

RADEMACHER–GAUSSIAN TAIL COMPARISON FOR COMPLEX COEFFICIENTS AND RELATED PROBLEMS

GIORGOS CHASAPIS, RUOYUAN LIU, AND TOMASZ TKOCZ

(Communicated by Qi-Man Shao)

ABSTRACT. We provide a generalisation of Pinelis’ Rademacher-Gaussian tail comparison to complex coefficients. We also establish uniform bounds on the probability that the magnitude of weighted sums of independent random vectors uniform on Euclidean spheres with matrix coefficients exceeds its second moment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ be independent Rademacher random variables (symmetric random signs, each ε_j takes the values ± 1 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$). Significant amount of work has been devoted to moment and tail bounds for weighted sums $S = \sum_j a_j \varepsilon_j$ in a variety of settings, with motivations and applications in areas such as statistics, or functional analysis (see, e.g. [12]). We shall be interested in tail probabilities of the magnitude of S and its higher-dimensional counterparts.

Pinelis in [17] (see also [3, 19]) proved the following precise deviation inequality: for every $n \geq 1$, real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_n$ and positive t ,

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{P}(|S| \geq t\sigma) \leq C \int_t^\infty e^{-u^2/2} \frac{du}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

where $S = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \varepsilon_j$, $\sigma = (\mathbb{E}S^2)^{1/2} = (\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2)^{1/2}$ and $C = \frac{2e^3}{9}$, the value of which was subsequently improved; see [1, 20] and the optimal value established in [2] (attained when $n = 2$, $a_1 = a_2 = 1$, $t = \sqrt{2}$). An asymptotically tight bound is also known: the constant C can be replaced with $1 + O(1/t)$; see [21]. Our first result provides an analogue of (1) for complex-valued coefficients a_j .

Another interesting regime concerns “typical values” of S . There are universal constants $c_1, C_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $n \geq 1$ and real numbers $a_1, \dots, a_n$,

$$(2) \quad c_1 \leq \mathbb{P}(|S| \geq \sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(|S| > \sigma) \leq C_1.$$

The lower bound was first established in [4], without any explicit value of c_1 , later with $c_1 = \frac{1}{4e^4}$ in [8], with $c_1 = \frac{1}{10}$ in [15] and with $c_1 = \frac{3}{16}$ in [5]. The upper bound with $C_1 = \frac{5}{8}$ was obtained in [9]. The conjecture that it holds with the sharp value $C_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ (attained again when $n = 2$, $a_1 = a_2 = 1$) was attributed

Received by the editors January 19, 2021, and, in revised form, May 17, 2021, and June 1, 2021.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60E15; Secondary 60G50.

Key words and phrases. Sums of independent random variables, Rademacher random variable, Gaussian random variable, Spherically symmetric random vector, Tail comparison.

The third author’s research was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1955175.

to Tomaszewski. Having received a lot of attention, the conjecture has recently been proved in [10] (see further references therein). Our second result provides a multidimensional extension of (2), where the random signs ε_j are replaced with uniform random vectors on the unit sphere, the coefficients a_j are matrix-valued and the magnitude is measured by the Euclidean norm.

We detail our results in Section 2 which is followed by the section devoted to their proofs. We finish with several remarks.

2. RESULTS

2.1. Rademacher-Gaussian tail comparison. Here and throughout, $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^d x_j y_j$ is the standard scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $|x| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ the Euclidean norm. Let $g_1, g_2, \dots$ be independent standard Gaussian random variables. Consider the following Rademacher-Gaussian tail comparison inequality

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{P}(|\varepsilon_1 v_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n v_n| \geq t) \leq C \mathbb{P}(|g_1 v_1 + \dots + g_n v_n| \geq t),$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_n$ are vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Note that when $d = 1$, since sums of independent Gaussians are Gaussian, (3) and (1) are equivalent. Pinelis in [17] first shows that for every even convex function f on $\mathbb{R}$ whose second derivative f'' is finite and convex, every $n \geq 1$ and vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{E}f(|\varepsilon_1 v_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n v_n|) \leq \mathbb{E}f(|g_1 v_1 + \dots + g_n v_n|).$$

Then he deduces that (3) holds with $C = 2e^3/9$ for every d, n and vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as long as the Gram matrix $A = [\langle v_k, v_l \rangle]_{k,l \leq n}$ is an orthogonal projection (equivalently its eigenvalues are 0 and 1). In this case $|g_1 v_1 + \dots + g_n v_n|^2$ has the chi-square distribution with $\text{rank}(A)$ degrees of freedom ($g_1 v_1 + \dots + g_n v_n$ is a standard Gaussian vector on the subspace spanned by the v_j), whose log-concavity properties were crucial in the technical parts of Pinelis' proof. We show that the same holds for arbitrary Gram matrices of rank at most 2.

Theorem 1. *Inequality (3) holds with $C = 3824$ for every d, n and vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ if the subspace they span is 2-dimensional.*

Our proof also crucially relies on (4). For simplicity of ensuing arguments, but sacrificing values of the constants, to extract a tail bound from (4), we adapt ideas from a simpler approach developed in [18], rather than the original ones from [17]. Additionally, it becomes transparent what is needed to remove the restrictions on the matrix A (see remarks in Section 4).

2.2. Stein's property for spherically symmetric random vectors. Fix an integer $d \geq 1$ and let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$ be independent random vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ uniform on the unit sphere S^{d-1} . We are interested in weighted sums of the ξ_j . A fairly general and natural setup is perhaps to let the weights be matrices. We set

$$c_d = \inf \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right| \geq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right|^2} \right),$$

where the infimum is over all $n \geq 1$ and $d \times d$ real matrices $A_1, \dots, A_n$. Let c'_d be this infimum restricted to the matrices which are scalar multiples of the identity matrix. Plainly, $c'_1 = c_1$ and $c'_d \geq c_d$. As mentioned in Section 1, Oleszkiewicz showed in [15] that $c_1 \geq \frac{1}{10}$, very recently improved to $c_1 \geq \frac{3}{16}$ by Dvořák and Klein in [5]. König and Rudelson have recently showed in [11] that in general

$c'_d \geq \frac{2\sqrt{3}-3}{3+4/d}$, $d \geq 2$, along with better bounds in small dimensions, $c'_3 \geq 0.1268$ and $c'_4 \geq 0.1407$ (see Proposition 5.1 therein). We extend their result to arbitrary matrix valued coefficients, viz. we provide a lower bound on c_d .

Theorem 2. *For every $d \geq 1$, $c_d \geq \frac{7-4\sqrt{3}}{75}$.*

Moreover, if we consider the sibling quantity,

$$C_d = \sup \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right| > \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right|^2} \right),$$

where the supremum is taken again over all $n \geq 1$ and $d \times d$ real matrices $A_1, \dots, A_n$, the proof of Theorem 2 will immediately give a uniform bound on C_d as well.

Corollary 3. *For every $d \geq 1$, $C_d \leq 1 - \frac{7-4\sqrt{3}}{75}$.*

3. PROOFS

3.1. Auxiliary results. Both of our results will require at some point to lower bound the probability that a mean zero random variable is positive. This can be done thanks to the following standard Paley-Zygmund type inequality. We include its simple proof for completeness (see also, e.g. [7] or [16]). For results of this type with sharp constants, we refer to [23].

Lemma 4. *Let Y be a mean 0 random variable such that $\mathbb{E}Y^4 < \infty$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \geq 0) \geq 2^{-4/3} \frac{(\mathbb{E}Y^2)^2}{\mathbb{E}Y^4}.$$

Proof. We can assume that $\mathbb{P}(Y = 0) < 1$. Since Y has mean 0,

$$\mathbb{E}|Y| = 2\mathbb{E}Y\mathbf{1}_{Y \geq 0} \leq 2(\mathbb{E}Y^4)^{1/4} \mathbb{P}(Y \geq 0)^{3/4}.$$

Moreover, by Hölder's inequality, $\mathbb{E}|Y| \geq \frac{(\mathbb{E}Y^2)^{3/2}}{(\mathbb{E}Y^4)^{1/2}}$, so

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \geq 0) \geq 2^{-4/3} \frac{(\mathbb{E}Y^2)^2}{\mathbb{E}Y^4}.$$

□

Remark 5. The sharp bound for a non-zero random variable Y with $r = \frac{\mathbb{E}Y^4}{(\mathbb{E}Y^2)^2}$ reads

$$\mathbb{P}(Y > 0) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{r-1}{r+3}} \right), & r \in 1 \leq r < \frac{3}{2}(\sqrt{3}-1); \\ \frac{2\sqrt{3}-3}{r}, & r \geq \frac{3}{2}(\sqrt{3}-1), \end{cases}$$

see Proposition 2.3 in [23].

Since we will need to apply Lemma 4 to sums of independent random variables, it will be convenient to record the following standard computation.

Lemma 6. *Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ be independent mean 0 random variables such that $\mathbb{E}Y_i^4 \leq L(\mathbb{E}Y_i^2)^2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ for some constant $L \geq 1$. Then for $Y = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$,*

$$\mathbb{E}Y^4 \leq \max\{L, 3\}(\mathbb{E}Y^2)^2.$$

Proof. Using independence, $\mathbb{E}Y_i = 0$ and the assumption $\mathbb{E}Y_i^4 \leq L(\mathbb{E}Y_i^2)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}Y^4 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}Y_i^4 + 6 \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 \mathbb{E}Y_j^2 \leq \max\{L, 3\} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}Y_i^2)^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{E}Y_i^2 \mathbb{E}Y_j^2 \right) \\ &= \max\{L, 3\} (\mathbb{E}Y^2)^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

In particular, we will also need the following moment comparison involving coordinates of spherically symmetric vectors (which are mildly dependent, nevertheless Lemma 6 will be of use here).

Lemma 7. *Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$ be a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ uniform on the unit sphere S^{d-1} and let $a_1, \dots, a_d$ be nonnegative. For $X = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j \theta_j^2$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}(X - \mathbb{E}X)^4 \leq 15 (\mathbb{E}|X - \mathbb{E}X|^2)^2.$$

Proof. By homogeneity, we can assume that $\mathbb{E}X = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d a_j = 1$. Then, using $\sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2 = 1$,

$$X - \mathbb{E}X = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j \theta_j^2 - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^d (a_j - 1) \theta_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^d b_j \theta_j^2.$$

where we put $b_j = a_j - 1$. Note that $\sum_{j=1}^d b_j = 0$. Let $g = (g_1, \dots, g_d)$ be a standard Gaussian random vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then $\frac{g}{|g|}$ has the same distribution as θ and $\frac{g}{|g|}$ and $|g|$ are independent. Thanks to this independence, for every $p > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^d b_j \theta_j^2 \right|^p \cdot \mathbb{E}|g|^{2p} = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^d b_j \frac{g_j^2}{|g|^2} \right|^p \cdot \mathbb{E}|g|^{2p} = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^d b_j g_j^2 \right|^p = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^d b_j (g_j^2 - 1) \right|^p,$$

where in the last equality we use that $\sum_{j=1}^d b_j = 0$. As a result,

$$\mathbb{E}|X - \mathbb{E}X|^p = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}|g|^{2p}} \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^d b_j (g_j^2 - 1) \right|^p.$$

Since $\frac{\mathbb{E}(g_j^2 - 1)^4}{(\mathbb{E}(g_j^2 - 1)^2)^2} = 15$, from Lemma 6,

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^d b_j (g_j^2 - 1) \right|^4 \leq 15 \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^d b_j (g_j^2 - 1) \right|^2 \right)^2$$

which together with the obvious bound $\mathbb{E}|g|^8 \geq (\mathbb{E}|g|^4)^2$ yields

$$\mathbb{E}|X - \mathbb{E}X|^4 \leq 15 (\mathbb{E}|X - \mathbb{E}X|^2)^2.$$

□

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1. The Gram matrix $A = [\langle v_k, v_l \rangle]_{k,l \leq n}$ diagonalises, say $A = U^\top \Lambda U$ for an orthogonal matrix U and a diagonal matrix $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of nonnegative eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then

$$|g_1 v_1 + \dots + g_n v_n| = \sqrt{g^\top A g} = \sqrt{g^\top U^\top \Lambda U g},$$

where $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$. Thanks to the rotational invariance of Gaussian measure, Ug has the same distribution as g and as a result, $|g_1 v_1 + \dots + g_n v_n|$ has the same distribution as $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k g_k^2$.

Case 1 ($t \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k$). When t is *small*, there is nothing to do because the right hand side is at least 1 if we choose C large enough. More precisely, we have

$$(5) \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k g_k^2 > \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \right) \geq \frac{1}{15 \cdot 2^{4/3}}.$$

This follows from Lemmas 4 and 6 applied to $Y_k = \lambda_k (g_k^2 - 1)$ for which we have $\frac{\mathbb{E} Y_k^4}{(\mathbb{E} Y_k^2)^2} = 15$ (the constant $\frac{1}{15 \cdot 2^{4/3}}$ can be improved to $\frac{2\sqrt{3}-3}{15}$; see Proposition 3.5 in [23]).

Case 2 ($t \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k$). If A has rank at most 2, then at most two of the λ_k are nonzero. If only one is nonzero (A has rank 1), the theorem reduces to Pinelis' result. Suppose that A has rank 2. By homogeneity, we can assume that the eigenvalues λ_k are $1, \lambda^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0$ for some $\lambda \geq 1$. By Markov's inequality combined with Pinelis' result (4), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(|\varepsilon_1 v_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n v_n| > t) = \mathbb{P}(\sqrt{\varepsilon^\top A \varepsilon} > t) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(\sqrt{\varepsilon^\top A \varepsilon})}{f(t)} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(\sqrt{g^\top A g})}{f(t)}$$

for every $t > 0$ and every function $f(x)$ of the form $f(x) = (x - u)_+^3$ with $0 < u < t$. The proof is finished with Lemma 8 applied to $X = \sqrt{g^\top A g}$.

Lemma 8. *Let $X = \sqrt{g_1^2 + \lambda^{-1} g_2^2}$ with $\lambda \geq 1$ and g_1, g_2 independent standard Gaussian random variables. For every $t > 1$ there is $0 < u < t$ such that*

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(X - u)_+^3}{(t - u)_+^3} \leq C_0 \mathbb{P}(X > t)$$

with a universal constant $C_0 > 0$. Moreover, we can take $C_0 = 3824$.

Proof. Let $f_\lambda(t)$ be the density of X ,

$$f_\lambda(t) = \lambda^{1/2} t \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda+1}{4} t^2\right) I_0\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{4} t^2\right) \mathbf{1}_{t>0},$$

where $I_0(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \exp(s \cos \theta) d\theta$ stands for the modified Bessel function of the first kind. We need two technical claims about f_λ (we defer their proofs).

Claim 1. For every $\lambda \geq 1$, f_λ is log-concave on $(\frac{3}{4}, \infty)$.

Claim 2. For every $\lambda \geq 1$, $f_\lambda(1) > \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi e}}$.

By Claim 1 and the Prékopa-Leindler inequality, the tail function $h(t) = \mathbb{P}(X > t)$ is also log-concave on (t_0, ∞) , $t_0 = \frac{3}{4}$ (see, e.g. Proposition 5.4 in [6]). Fix $0 < u < t$ and write

$$\mathbb{E}(X - u)_+^3 = \int_u^\infty 3(x - u)^2 h(x) dx.$$

If we choose $u > t_0$, using the supporting tangent line of the convex function $-\log h$ at $x = t$, we have

$$(6) \quad h(x) \leq h(t)e^{-a(x-t)}, \quad x > u,$$

where $a = (-\log h)'(t) = -\frac{h'(t)}{h(t)} > 0$ (as h is strictly decreasing). Thus

$$\mathbb{E}(X - u)_+^3 \leq 3h(t) \int_u^\infty (x - u)^2 e^{-a(x-t)} dx = 6h(t) \frac{e^{a(t-u)}}{a^3}.$$

Setting $u = t - \frac{c}{a}$ with $c = (1 - t_0)\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi e}}$ yields

$$\mathbb{E}(X - u)_+^3 \leq 6h(t) \frac{e^{a(t-u)}}{a^3} = \frac{6e^c}{c^3} (t - u)^3 h(t).$$

It remains to check that for this choice of u , we indeed have $u > t_0$, as required earlier. Since a , as a function of t , is nondecreasing (as h is log-concave), for every $t > 1$, we have

$$t - \frac{c}{a} > 1 - \frac{c}{-\frac{h'(1)}{h(1)}} = 1 - c \frac{h(1)}{f_\lambda(1)} > 1 - c \frac{1}{\sqrt{2/(\pi e)}} = t_0,$$

where in the last inequality we use that trivially $h(1) < 1$ and $f_\lambda(1) > \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi e}}$, by Claim 2. Thus the lemma holds with $C_0 = \frac{6e^c}{c^3} < 3824$. $\square$

Proof of Claim 1. Letting $a = \frac{\lambda+1}{2}$ and $b = \frac{\lambda-1}{2}$, we write

$$f_\lambda(t) = \lambda^{1/2} t e^{-at^2/2} I_0(bt^2/2),$$

differentiate (using $I'_0(x) = I_1(x)$ and $I'_1(x) = I_0(x) - \frac{1}{x} I_1(x)$), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-1} e^{at^2} \left((f'_\lambda)^2(t) - f''_\lambda(t) f_\lambda(t) \right) &= (1 + at^2 - (bt^2)^2) I_0^2 + bt^2 I_0 I_1 + (bt^2)^2 I_1^2 \\ &= I_0^2 \left(\left(2uR(u) + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \left(2u - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + 1 + t^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $R = \frac{I_1}{I_0}$ and all the functions on the right hand side are evaluated at $u = bt^2/2$. Thus to show that $(f'_\lambda)^2(t) - f''_\lambda(t) f_\lambda(t) > 0$ for every $\lambda \geq 1$ and $t > \frac{3}{4}$, it suffices to show that for every $u > 0$, we have

$$(7) \quad \left(2uR(u) + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 - \left(2u - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + 1 + \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^2 > 0.$$

By results of Näsell (see Theorem 3 in [13]),

$$R(u) \geq L_{0,5,1}(u), \quad u > 0,$$

with

$$L_{0,5,1}(u) = \frac{u(120960 + 60480u + 25200u^2 + 7140u^3 + 1455u^4 + 204u^5 + 16u^6)}{241920 + 120960u + 80640u^2 + 29400u^3 + 7950u^4 + 1563u^5 + 212u^6 + 16u^7}.$$

Thus to show (7), it suffices to show the same inequality with $R(u)$ replaced by $L_{0,5,1}(u)$. The left hand side then becomes $\frac{P(u)}{Q(u)}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} P(u) = & 1\,463\,132\,160\,000 + 3\,335\,941\,324\,800u + 404\,799\,897\,600u^2 \\ & - 249\,138\,892\,800u^3 - 239\,747\,558\,400u^4 - 55\,539\,993\,600u^5 \\ & + 1\,473\,272\,640u^6 + 4\,994\,831\,520u^7 + 1\,686\,522\,420u^8 + 309\,775\,380u^9 \\ & + 28\,100\,385u^{10} - 1\,681\,032u^{11} + 768\,112u^{12} + 57\,984u^{13} + 2\,304u^{14} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q(u) = 16(241920 + 120960u + 80640u^2 + 29400u^3 + 7950u^4 + 1563u^5 + 212u^6 + 16u^7)^2.$$

It suffices to show that the polynomial $P(u)$ is positive for $u > 0$. Write it as $P(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{14} a_k u^k$. For $u \in (0, 2)$, plainly

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 + (a_5 + 10^{10})u^5 &> a_0 + (a_5 + 10^{10}) \cdot 2^5 > 0, \\ a_2 u^2 - 10^{10} u^5 &> u^2(a_2 - 10^{10} \cdot 2^3) > 0, \\ a_1 u + a_3 u^3 + a_4 u^4 &> u(a_1 + a_3 \cdot 2^2 + a_4 \cdot 2^3) > 0, \\ a_{10} u^{10} + a_{11} u^{11} &> u^{10}(a_{10} + 2a_{11}) > 0, \\ a_k u^k &> 0, \quad k = 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these together shows that $P(u) > 0$, $u \in (0, 2)$. Finally, writing $P(u+2) = \sum_{k=0}^{14} b_k u^k$, we get that $b_k > 0$ for all $k \geq 5$, so $\sum_{k=5}^{14} b_k u^k > 0$ for all $u > 0$ and using standard formulae for the discriminant of the quartic part $\sum_{k=0}^4 b_k u^k$, we check that it has no real roots, so it is positive everywhere (as being positive at $u = 0$), hence $P(u) > 0$ also for all $u > 2$. $\square$

Proof of Claim 2. We have $f_\lambda(1) = \sqrt{\lambda} e^{-\frac{\lambda+1}{4}} I_0(\frac{\lambda-1}{4})$, so letting $u = \frac{\lambda-1}{4}$, we want to show that for every $u > 0$,

$$\sqrt{4u+1} e^{-u-1/2} I_0(u) > \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi e}}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\int_0^\pi e^{u(\cos \theta - 1)} d\theta > \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{4u+1}}, \quad u > 0.$$

Using $\cos \theta \geq 1 - \theta^2/2$ and changing the variables $s = \theta\sqrt{u}$, it suffices to show that

$$\int_0^{\pi\sqrt{u}} e^{-s^2/2} ds - \sqrt{\frac{2\pi u}{4u+1}} > 0, \quad u > 0.$$

Call the left hand side $\psi(u)$. We have, $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(\infty) = 0$, so it is enough to show that ψ' is first positive and then negative. We have,

$$\psi'(u) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2u}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-\pi^2 u/2} - (4u+1)^{-3/2} \right).$$

The sign of ψ' is thus the same as of $\log \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} - \frac{\pi^2}{2} u + \frac{3}{2} \log(4u+1)$ which is plainly strictly concave, is positive at $u = 0$ and tends to $-\infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$, therefore is first positive and then negative. $\square$

3.3. Proof of Theorem 2. Our goal is to show that for every $n \geq 1$ and $d \times d$ real matrices $A_1, \dots, A_n$, we have

$$(8) \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right|^2 \geq \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right|^2 \right) \geq \frac{7 - 4\sqrt{3}}{75}.$$

A natural approach would be to use Lemma 4, however comparing the second and fourth moments of $Y = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right|^2 - \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right|^2$ does not seem to be approachable through a direct computation (in the case when each A_j is a scalar multiple of the identity matrix, Y becomes a quadratic form in $\langle \xi_j, \xi_k \rangle$ which is manageable, as done in [11]). Instead, we shall first exploit the symmetry of the ξ_j . Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ be independent Rademacher random variables, also independent of the sequence $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$. Note that the sequences (ξ_j) and $(\varepsilon_j \xi_j)$ have the same distribution. Set

$$\mu = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} |A_j \xi_j|^2.$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right|^2 \geq \mu \right) &= \mathbb{P}_{\varepsilon, \xi} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j A_j \xi_j \right|^2 \geq \mu \right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_{\varepsilon, \xi} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j A_j \xi_j \right|^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^n |A_j \xi_j|^2, \sum_{j=1}^n |A_j \xi_j|^2 \geq \mu \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left[\mathbb{P}_{\varepsilon} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j A_j \xi_j \right|^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^n |A_j \xi_j|^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{\sum_{j=1}^n |A_j \xi_j|^2 \geq \mu\}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We know from (3.8) in Corollary 3.4 from [23] that for arbitrary vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$(9) \quad \mathbb{P}_{\varepsilon} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j v_j \right|^2 \geq \sum_{j=1}^n |v_j|^2 \right) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{3} - 3}{15}.$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j \right|^2 \geq \mu \right) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{3} - 3}{15} \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |A_j \xi_j|^2 \geq \mu \right).$$

Finally, to lower bound the probability on the right hand side, we first remark that here, without loss of generality, we can assume that the matrices A_j are diagonal. This is because invoking the singular value decomposition, $A_j = V_j \Lambda_j U_j$ with U_j, V_j orthogonal and Λ_j diagonal $d \times d$ matrices. Since $|A_j \xi_j| = |U_j \Lambda_j V_j \xi_j| = |\Lambda_j V_j \xi_j|$, by rotational symmetry, $|A_j \xi_j|$ has the same distribution as $|\Lambda_j \xi_j|$. In the case when the A_j are diagonal, from Lemma 7,

$$\mathbb{E} (|A_j \xi_j|^2 - \mathbb{E} |A_j \xi_j|^2)^4 \leq 15 (\mathbb{E} |A_j \xi_j|^2 - \mathbb{E} |A_j \xi_j|^2)^2,$$

so Lemma 6 combined with Remark 5 yields

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |A_j \xi_j|^2 \geq \mu\right) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{3}-3}{15},$$

which inserted into the previous bound finishes the proof. $\square$

3.4. Proof of Corollary 3. We repeat verbatim the proof of Theorem 2 with each “ $\geq$ ” replaced by “ $\leq$ ” in all of the events considered: for inequality (9) this is justified again by Corollary 3.4 from [23] (with (3.7) used instead of (3.8)) and in the very last step Remark 5 is applied to $-Y$ instead of Y . This way we obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j\right|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \xi_j\right|^2\right) \geq \frac{7-4\sqrt{3}}{75},$$

equivalently, $C_d \leq 1 - \frac{7-4\sqrt{3}}{75}$. $\square$

4. FURTHER REMARKS

4.1. Constant in Theorem 1. Instead of the simple convexity argument (6) of Lemma 8, adapting the proof of Theorem 2.4 from [17], after somewhat lengthy and nontrivial computations, Lemma 8 can be established with $C_0 = \frac{3e^2}{4}$. As a result, the value of the constant C in Theorem 1 can be improved to $\frac{3e^2}{4}$.

4.2. Extensions of (3). We know that (3) holds with a universal constant when the Gram matrix of the vectors v_j has eigenvalues in the set $\{0, 1\}$ (see [17]), or when the vectors v_j all lie in a 2-dimensional subspace (Theorem 1). We conjecture that (3) continues to hold with a universal constant for every d and every n vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$. To establish that, it would be enough to have analogues of Claims 1 and 2, essentially to the effect that f_λ is log-concave on (s, ∞) and $f_\lambda(s) > c_0$ for a universal constant c_0 , where now f_λ is the density of $(\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j g_j^2)^{1/2}$ and $s = (\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j)^{1/2}$, given a positive sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_j)_{j=1}^k$.

We also know that a multidimensional analogue of (3) in the spirit of Section 2.2 holds for scalar coefficients (see [14] and [22] for two different approaches). It would perhaps be interesting to investigate a generalisation to matricial coefficients.

Finally, the Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$ in (3) cannot be replaced with an arbitrary norm. For instance, for the ℓ_1 norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ and the standard basis, we have $\|\sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon_j e_j\|_1 = d$, whereas $\|\sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon_j g_j\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^d |g_j|$ which concentrates around its expectation which is $\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}d$ and in fact $\mathbb{P}\left(\|\sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon_j g_j\|_1 \geq d\right) \leq \exp(-cd)$ for a universal constant c .

4.3. Typical probabilities in high dimensions. For the constant c'_d and c_d defined in Section 2.2 in high-dimensions, that is as $d \rightarrow \infty$, we conjecture that $c'_d = \frac{1}{2} - o(1)$ and $c_d = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_1^\infty e^{-u^2/2} du\right) - o(1)$ (furnished by the examples of $A_1 = \dots = A_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\text{Id}$ and $A_1 = \dots = A_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\text{diag}(1, 0, \dots, 0)$, respectively; see also Remark 5.2(b) in [11]).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to an anonymous referee for many valuable comments which helped significantly improve the manuscript; particularly for sharing and letting us use their slick and elegant proof of Claim [2](#).

REFERENCES

- [1] Vidmantas Bentkus, *On measure concentration for separately Lipschitz functions in product spaces*, Israel J. Math. **158** (2007), 1–17, DOI 10.1007/s11856-007-0001-2. MR[2342455](#)
- [2] Vidmantas Kastytis Bentkus and Dainius Dzindzalieta, *A tight Gaussian bound for weighted sums of Rademacher random variables*, Bernoulli **21** (2015), no. 2, 1231–1237, DOI 10.3150/14-BEJ603. MR[3338662](#)
- [3] Sergey G. Bobkov, Friedrich Götze, and Christian Houdré, *On Gaussian and Bernoulli covariance representations*, Bernoulli **7** (2001), no. 3, 439–451, DOI 10.2307/3318495. MR[1836739](#)
- [4] D. L. Burkholder, *Independent sequences with the Stein property*, Ann. Math. Statist. **39** (1968), 1282–1288, DOI 10.1214/aoms/1177698253. MR[228045](#)
- [5] V. Dvořák and O. Klein, *Probability mass of Rademacher sums beyond one standard deviation*, preprint, [arXiv:2104.10005](#), 2021.
- [6] Olivier Guédon, Piotr Nayar, and Tomasz Tkocz, *Concentration inequalities and geometry of convex bodies*, Analytical and probabilistic methods in the geometry of convex bodies, IMPAN Lect. Notes, vol. 2, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2014, pp. 9–86. MR[3329056](#)
- [7] Simai He, Zhi-Quan Luo, Jiawang Nie, and Shuzhong Zhang, *Semidefinite relaxation bounds for indefinite homogeneous quadratic optimization*, SIAM J. Optim. **19** (2008), no. 2, 503–523, DOI 10.1137/070679041. MR[2425027](#)
- [8] Paweł Hitczenko and Stanisław Kwapień, *On the Rademacher series*, Probability in Banach spaces, 9 (Sandjberg, 1993), Progr. Probab., vol. 35, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994, pp. 31–36. MR[1308508](#)
- [9] Ron Holzman and Daniel J. Kleitman, *On the product of sign vectors and unit vectors*, Combinatorica **12** (1992), no. 3, 303–316, DOI 10.1007/BF01285819. MR[1195893](#)
- [10] N. Keller and O. Klein, *Proof of Tomaszewski’s conjecture on randomly signed sums*, preprint, [arXiv:2006.16834](#), 2020.
- [11] Hermann König and Mark Rudelson, *On the volume of non-central sections of a cube*, Adv. Math. **360** (2020), 106929, 30, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2019.106929. MR[4037492](#)
- [12] Michel Ledoux and Michel Talagrand, *Probability in Banach spaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 23, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. Isoperimetry and processes, DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-20212-4. MR[1102015](#)
- [13] Ingemar Näsell, *Rational bounds for ratios of modified Bessel functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **9** (1978), no. 1, 1–11, DOI 10.1137/0509001. MR[466662](#)
- [14] Piotr Nayar and Tomasz Tkocz, *A multidimensional analogue of the Rademacher-Gaussian tail comparison*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), no. 1, 413–419, DOI 10.1090/proc/13731. MR[3723150](#)
- [15] Krzysztof Oleszkiewicz, *On the Stein property of Rademacher sequences*, Probab. Math. Statist. **16** (1996), no. 1, 127–130. MR[1407938](#)
- [16] Krzysztof Oleszkiewicz, *Precise moment and tail bounds for Rademacher sums in terms of weak parameters*, Israel J. Math. **203** (2014), no. 1, 429–443, DOI 10.1007/s11856-014-1109-9. MR[3273447](#)
- [17] Iosif Pinelis, *Extremal probabilistic problems and Hotelling’s T^2 test under a symmetry condition*, Ann. Statist. **22** (1994), no. 1, 357–368, DOI 10.1214/aos/1176325373. MR[1272088](#)
- [18] Iosif Pinelis, *Optimal tail comparison based on comparison of moments*, High dimensional probability (Oberwolfach, 1996), Progr. Probab., vol. 43, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998, pp. 297–314. MR[1652335](#)
- [19] Iosif Pinelis, *Fractional sums and integrals of r -concave tails and applications to comparison probability inequalities*, Advances in stochastic inequalities (Atlanta, GA, 1997), Contemp. Math., vol. 234, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 149–168, DOI 10.1090/conm/234/03452. MR[1694770](#)

- [20] Iosif Pinelis, *Toward the best constant factor for the Rademacher-Gaussian tail comparison*, ESAIM Probab. Stat. **11** (2007), 412–426, DOI 10.1051/ps:2007027. MR2339301
- [21] Iosif Pinelis, *An asymptotically Gaussian bound on the Rademacher tails*, Electron. J. Probab. **17** (2012), no. 35, 22, DOI 10.1214/EJP.v17-2026. MR2924368
- [22] Iosif Pinelis, *On a multidimensional spherically invariant extension of the Rademacher-Gaussian comparison*, Electron. Commun. Probab. **21** (2016), Paper No. 67, 5, DOI 10.1214/16-ECP23. MR3564214
- [23] Mark Veraar, *A note on optimal probability lower bounds for centered random variables*, Colloq. Math. **113** (2008), no. 2, 231–240, DOI 10.4064/cm113-2-5. MR2425084

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY, PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15213

Email address: gchasapi@andrew.cmu.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, EDINBURGH, EH9 3FD, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: ruoyuan.liu@ed.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY, PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15213

Email address: ttkocz@math.cmu.edu