

Beyond Radical Rebound: Methane Oxidation to Methanol Catalyzed by Iron Species in Metal–Organic Framework Nodes

Matthew C. Simons, Steven D. Prinslow, Melike Babucci, Adam S. Hoffman, Jiyun Hong, Jenny G. Vitillo, Simon R. Bare, Bruce C. Gates, Connie C. Lu, Laura Gagliardi, and Aditya Bhan*

Cite This: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, 143, 12165–12174

Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Recent work has exploited the ability of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) to isolate Fe sites that mimic the structures of sites in enzymes that catalyze selective oxidations at low temperatures, opening new pathways for the valorization of underutilized feedstocks such as methane. Questions remain as to whether the radical-rebound mechanism commonly invoked in enzymatic and homogeneous systems also applies in these rigid-framework materials, in which resisting the overoxidation of desired products is a major challenge. We demonstrate that MOFs bearing Fe(II) sites within $\text{Fe}_3\text{-}\mu_3\text{-oxo}$ nodes active for conversion of $\text{CH}_4 + \text{N}_2\text{O}$ mixtures (368–408 K) require steps beyond the radical-rebound mechanism to protect the desired CH_3OH product. Infrared spectra and density functional theory show that $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_{(\text{g})}$ is stabilized as Fe(III)-OCH_3 groups on the MOF via hydrogen atom transfer with Fe(III)-OH groups, eliminating water. Consequently, upon addition of a protonic zeolite in inter- and intrapellet mixtures with the MOF, we observed increases in CH_3OH selectivity with increasing ratio and proximity of zeolitic H^+ to MOF-based Fe(II) sites, as methanol is protected within the zeolite. We infer from the data that $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_{(\text{g})}$ is formed via the radical-rebound mechanism on Fe(II) sites but that subsequent transport and dehydration steps are required to protect $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_{(\text{g})}$ from overoxidation. The results demonstrate that the radical-rebound mechanism commonly invoked in this chemistry is insufficient to explain the reactivity of these systems, that the selectivity-controlling steps involve both chemical and physical rate phenomena, as well as offering a strategy to mitigate overoxidation in these and similar systems.




INTRODUCTION

Methane, the primary component of natural gas, has emerged as a transitional low-carbon-intensity feedstock as the world evolves from its dependence on crude oil and coal to carbon-free energy carriers. Methanol, a high-energy-density liquid fuel, is formed by partial oxidation of methane, but industrial catalytic processes based on this conversion are still lacking because the strong, apolar C–H bonds in methane present formidable kinetic bottlenecks. In addition, because the C–H bonds in methane are stronger than those in methanol, methanol formed from methane is readily overoxidized to CO_2 .¹ Selective functionalization of C–H bonds occurs in enzymes via complex electron-transfer and gating mechanisms involving reactive metal–oxo centers,^{2,3} and consequently researchers have worked for decades on molecular analogues of these enzymes, establishing that the conversion of Fe(II) sites to highly reactive Fe(IV)=O species is one motif capable of activating and functionalizing C–H bonds.^{4,5} In attempts to mimic the chemistry of these sites in porous, crystalline supports, researchers have shown that Fe(II) sites stabilized at particular ion-exchange positions within zeolites react to form

highly reactive α -oxygen species capable of oxidizing methane.^{6–10} Solomon and co-workers determined that the α -oxygen species is a mononuclear Fe(IV)=O species formed by reaction of N_2O with a strained, square-planar, mononuclear Fe(II) species.^{11,12} CH_4 activation at ambient temperature was proposed to proceed by a radical-rebound mechanism favored by the strained geometry of the active site and the high-spin state of the Fe species conferred by the weak ligand field provided by zeolite framework oxygen atoms.¹¹ Other work has implicated diiron sites in Fe and Cu exchanged zeolites for methane oxidation using H_2O_2 as the terminal oxidant,¹³ with secondary Cu sites acting to prevent sequential oxidation pathways. Recently, these concepts have been extended^{14,15} to another class of crystalline, porous

Received: May 7, 2021

Published: July 27, 2021






Figure 1. Depiction of the stepped reaction scheme employed in methane activation by Fe₃-μ₃-oxo-containing MOFs. (a) Molecular structure of the Fe₃-μ₃-oxo secondary building unit and depiction of how ligand occupancy changes upon thermal activation. Inset: unit cells of PCN-250 and MIL-100(Fe) with the corresponding linkers (ABTC = 3,3',5,5'-azobenzene-tetracarboxylate; BTC = benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate). (b) Schematic representation of how the radical-rebound mechanism is proposed to activate methane on mononuclear Fe(II) centers exposed to mixtures of N₂O + CH₄.¹⁹ (c) Cumulative carbon selectivity of products observed upon exposure of MIL-100(Fe) (60 mg)/PCN-250 (27 mg) to 80 kPa of N₂O, 10 kPa of CH₄, and 10 kPa of Ar at 393 K for 4 h and quantified online (for CO and CO₂) and *ex situ* (for CH₃OH, CH₃OCH₃, and CH₂O). Product selectivity (ascending order): CH₃OH (dark blue), CH₃OCH₃ (light blue), CH₂O (green), CO (yellow), and CO₂ (red).

materials: metal–organic frameworks (MOFs).^{16–18} These materials proffer a distinct advantage over zeolite formulations by affixing active iron species in well-defined framework positions within an ultrahigh surface area and thermally and chemically stable material. Initial postulates emerging from a computational study¹⁹ affirming that isolated Fe(II) centers in Fe₃-μ₃-oxo nodes, common building units in an expansive family of MOFs,²⁰ with a weak ligand field imparted by carboxylate linker molecules, can form Fe(IV)=O moieties effective for subsequent C–H activation have been verified by Simons et al.²¹ using a combination of Mössbauer and X-ray absorption spectroscopies and chemical titrations.

Reports characterizing these¹⁹ and other Fe-containing MOFs^{15,22,23} and zeolites¹¹ consistently invoke the radical-rebound mechanism ascribed to homogeneous and enzymatic catalysts^{24–26} or lack mechanistic hypotheses. Open questions pertain to the catalytic nature of Fe(II) sites that could facilitate the formation of vapor-phase CH₃OH in these materials and to mechanisms that protect the kinetically vulnerable methanol product. We now present evidence that MOF-supported systems with Fe(II) sites affixed in framework nodes form stabilized methoxy groups in reactions of gaseous methanol, formed via the radical rebound mechanism, with Fe(III)–OH groups that are formed *in situ*. Pursuant to this understanding, we offer a potential strategy for resisting overoxidation using bifunctional, physical mixtures of H⁺ form zeolites with Fe(II)-containing MOFs.

We first disclose herein that the MOF platform PCN-250,²⁰ containing Fe(II) sites situated in Fe₃-μ₃-oxo nodes, activates methane at temperatures <398 K via a stepped reaction scheme. The desired product methanol remains adsorbed within the material after exposure to CH₄ and N₂O and has been quantified *ex situ* with ¹H NMR spectroscopy. We evince, using *in situ* infrared (IR) spectroscopy, that methanol is stabilized as Fe(III)–OCH₃ groups on the MOF via reactions with Fe(III)–OH moieties formed *in situ*. Pursuant to this, we prepared a composite catalyst formulation by adding a zeolite (MFI, Si/Al = 11.5) in inter- and intrapellet mixtures with the MOF and observed a monotonic increase in methanol selectivity with increasing ratio and proximity of zeolitic H⁺

(H⁺_{MFI}) to MOF Fe(II) sites (Fe(II)_{MOF}). We ascribe this trend to a molecular entity stable in the vapor phase, likely methanol, which can diffuse out of the MOF particles to be dehydrated and protected within the zeolite particles. These observations show, for the first time, that gaseous methanol is a product of methane activation on supported Fe sites, providing vital evidence for the applicability of the radical-rebound mechanism on Fe sites supported in solid catalysts—while also demonstrating that this mechanism is insufficient to fully explain the reactivity of these systems. In addition, the data demonstrate that incorporation of nearby catalyst particles with a complementary function to capture and protect methanol affords a potential strategy to mitigate overoxidation of methane and potentially other reactants with strong C–H bonds.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Following our report documenting the ability of high-spin (*S* = 2) Fe(II) sites in MIL-100(Fe) to activate C₃H₈,²¹ via a Fe(IV)=O intermediate formed by reaction of these sites with N₂O, Hall and Bollini²⁷ reported the stoichiometric formation of methanol from CH₄ + N₂O mixtures on these sites in MIL-100(Fe). This MOF contains Fe₃-μ₃-oxo nodes (Figure 1a) incorporating a maximum of one Fe(II) site per node, which are connected by benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate linkers (Figure 1a, inset).

In the work reported here, we address the reactivity of this common Fe(II) site in MIL-100(Fe) by comparison with PCN-250 (Figure 1a, inset), a MOF that is formed with 3,3',5,5'-azobenzene-tetracarboxylate linkers, before seeking to provide details of the function of these materials as it relates to the production and stabilization of the desired methanol product. Details of our experimental and computational methods are presented in section S1 of the Supporting Information.

Structure and Reactivity of PCN-250. The common Fe₃-μ₃-oxo secondary building unit, synthesizable as Fe₃(μ₃-O) acetate clusters, in PCN-250 and MIL-100(Fe) (Figure 1a) that hosts the Fe(II) site implies that each material should be able to activate methane by using N₂O as an oxidant. The as-

synthesized $\text{Fe}_3\text{-}\mu_3\text{-oxo}$ clusters do not show any reactivity as nonporous agglomerates, but situated as separate nodes within MOF frameworks they display reactivity in a stepped reaction scheme that involves sequential (i) activation of the material in an *in vacuo* thermal treatment at high temperatures to form Fe(II) centers (Figure 1a), (ii) contact of the activated material with mixtures of $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4$ to induce C–H bond scission (Figure 1b), and (iii) *ex situ* extraction of methanol products with D_2O (Figure 1c). Herein we provide evidence for the structure and reactivity of the MOF PCN-250 that heretofore has not been investigated for reactions with $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4$, demonstrating key similarities to MIL-100(Fe) and some differences, too.

PCN-250 was synthesized following literature reports,²⁰ with modifications made to the final work-up procedure to fully desorb solvent molecules from the pores that were found to otherwise interfere with the reactivity. Bulk structural properties were confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) crystallography (Figure S1) and N_2 adsorption measurements (Figures S2 and S3), confirming the expected single-phase crystallinity and porosity of the material. The formation of high-spin ($S = 2$) Fe(II) centers upon thermal treatment was confirmed *ex situ* with Mössbauer spectroscopy (Figure S4), with the data indicating that $\sim 27\%$ of the Fe species (Table S1) were reduced from Fe(III) to Fe(II); the corresponding value for the sample of MIL-100(Fe) used in these experiments was 18% (Figure S5 and Table S2). Nitric oxide (NO) was used as a chemical titrant for unsaturated Fe(II) sites to supplement these results.^{28,29} IR spectra of PCN-250 recorded while dosing increasing amounts of NO gas (Figure S6) yielded absorption bands in the range $1788\text{--}1804\text{ cm}^{-1}$, consistent with Fe(II)–NO adducts measured experimentally²⁸ or computed with DFT.²⁹ Thus, the data demonstrate the formation of coordinatively unsaturated Fe(II) centers upon thermal activation. The loss of H_2O molecules and $-\text{OH}$ moieties (Figure 1a) to form open metal centers was observed explicitly as broad absorption bands ($2400\text{--}3600\text{ cm}^{-1}$), signifying H_2O agglomerates, and a $\nu_{\text{O-H}}$ band at 3682 cm^{-1} , assigned to a Fe(III)–OH group (*vide infra*), disappeared during activation as the temperature was increased to 523 K (Figure S7).

In situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy was used to provide further evidence of changes in the oxidation state and coordination of Fe, both after the thermal activation and after reaction with $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4$. Shifts of the absorption edge energy to lower values in the X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) data after thermal activation in helium to 513 K were accompanied by a decrease in white-line intensity and an increase in the pre-edge peak intensity (Figure 2a). The edge energy is one indicator of the iron formal oxidation state,³⁰ and it has been used previously to quantify the conversion of Fe(III) centers to Fe(II) in MIL-100(Fe).²¹ Comparing the edge energy of PCN-250 with those of reference compounds (Figures S8–S10), we infer from XANES that thermal activation converts 18–22% of the Fe(III) sites to Fe(II) sites (Figures S11 and S12), in agreement with *ex situ* Mössbauer spectra (*vide supra*). The magnitude of the Fourier transform (FT) of the EXAFS spectrum at 2.03 Å (Figure 2b) also decreased slightly. After reaction with $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4$ at 393 K, the absorption edge position had shifted back to a higher value, and the magnitude of the FT at 2.04 Å had increased, indicating that Fe(II) sites were consumed and converted back to Fe(III).^{30–32} Isosbestic




Figure 2. (a) Fe K-edge XANES, with inset showing the pre-edge peaks, and (b) EXAFS data (magnitude of the Fourier transform (k^2 -weighted)) characterizing PCN-250 after various treatments: in flowing helium at 393 K (black curve) and then at 513 K (red curve); and after reaction with $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4$ at 393 K (blue curve).

points in the Fe K edge XANES spectra acquired during treatment and reaction with $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4$ (Figure S8) indicate that the changes in the structure of the Fe species were stoichiometrically simple.

Structural models of the Fe sites inferred from the EXAFS data (Tables S3 and S4, Figure 2b, and Figures S13–S28) characterizing the as-synthesized PCN-250 are consistent with the known structure of PCN-250 determined by XRD crystallography.²⁰ Activation at temperatures from 398 to 513 K led to a negligible change in the average Fe–O coordination number, from 5.8 ± 0.5 to 5.6 ± 0.5 (Figure 2b and Table S4), consistent with axial $-\text{OH}$ ligands being removed in the reduction of Fe(III) centers to open Fe(II) sites (Figure 1a). After reaction with $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4$ at 393 K, the average Fe–O coordination number increased again, suggesting that the Fe species in the nodes were again coordinated to axial ligands in the positions where they had been originally.

XANES, EXAFS, and Mössbauer data show that thermal activation induces changes in the coordination and oxidation state of Fe in PCN-250, forming Fe sites that are similar to Fe centers in αKG -dependent dioxygenases in geometry and spin state.^{21,26} Exposure to $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4$ mixtures at 363–393 K in a recirculating batch reactor led to conversion of these species accompanied by the formation of gas-phase CO and CO_2 . CH_3OH and other products (CH_3OCH_3 , CH_2O) remained adsorbed within the MOF and were observed only after the




Figure 3. (a) IR spectra of PCN-250 (20 mg) treated to 513 K *in vacuo* before (black) and after (purple) exposure to a 4:1 flow of N₂O and CH₄ at 393 K for 4 h. (b) DFT computed vibrational frequencies of various surface species modeled on a single Fe₃-μ₃-oxo cluster (top to bottom: -OH, -OCH₃, CH₃OH, CH₃OCH₃, CH₂O) and ABTC linker. (c) IR spectra of PCN-250 (20 mg, treated at temperatures up to 423 K *in vacuo*) at 393 K (black) and with added increments (1–2 μmol each) of CH₃OH vapor (gray) until the pressure reached 450 Pa (blue).

material was washed with D₂O *ex situ* and quantified with ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Figure 1c). These results confirm recent work^{19,27} demonstrating that the putative Fe(IV)=O species oxidizes CH₄ to methanol and other products (CH₃OCH₃, CH₂O, CO, and CO₂). A key difference between the two MOFs is in product selectivity, with MIL-100(Fe) having a higher selectivity for CH₃OCH₃ than PCN-250, seemingly at the expense of CH₃OH. We posit the role of secondary species linked to the defective nature of the MIL-100(Fe) sample (18% Fe(II) concentration compared with 33% if every Fe₃-μ₃-oxo node is active) in effecting the formation of CH₃OCH₃ from CH₃OH in additional steps. The existence of distinct secondary pathways converting CH₃OH to CH₃OCH₃ is supported by experiments discussed in the next section, whereby capturing gaseous methanol with a protonic ZSM-5 zeolite decreases the selectivity to CH₃OCH₃ in MIL-100(Fe) systems.

Batch reactor experiments with varied N₂O partial pressures show that the N₂ formation and CH₄ consumption reactions are first-order in N₂O (Figure S29) but almost zero-order in CH₄ (Figure S30), congruent with the postulate of an initial rate-limiting reaction of N₂O with the Fe(II) center to form a highly reactive Fe(IV)=O species, as was reported for the N₂O + C₃H₈ reaction on MIL-100(Fe).²¹ The nonzero-order dependence of the initial rate of CH₄ conversion on CH₄ partial pressure suggests there are regimes in which CH₄ activation by an Fe(IV)=O group is kinetically significant for CH₄ consumption, although DFT calculations predict a substantially higher intrinsic barrier for Fe(IV)=O formation (95 kJ mol⁻¹)²¹ than for CH₄ activation (60 kJ mol⁻¹).¹⁹ The reactivity of PCN-250 and MIL-100(Fe) can be compared explicitly through measurements of Arrhenius activation energy barriers for N₂ production (Figure S31). Normalizing reaction rates by Fe(II) concentration collapses first-order rate constants to within a factor of 2 for these materials, and

varying temperatures results in similar values for activation energy barriers, 79.2 ± 7.6 and 79.1 ± 5.8 kJ mol⁻¹, for the reaction of N₂O with Fe(II) on PCN-250 and MIL-100(Fe), respectively, in quantitative agreement with the computed barrier (85 kJ mol⁻¹) for N₂O activation on a single cluster model of the Fe₃-μ₃-oxo nodes.^{19,21} Recycle experiments using the same sample of PCN-250 showed no significant changes in product yield or selectivity (Figure S32).

Mechanisms of CH₃OH Formation and Stabilization.

Activation of methane to form methanol on this Fe(II) site is proposed, on the basis of DFT calculations,^{19,21} to occur via a radical-rebound mechanism, consistent with the proposed mechanism for C–H bond hydroxylation in enzymatic, homogeneous, and supported catalysts incorporating metal–oxo sites. Nonetheless, reactions of N₂O + CH₄ on PCN-250 and MIL-100(Fe) are characterized by significant selectivity to various overoxidation products (CH₂O, CO, and CO₂) and other products (CH₃OCH₃). These observations are unsurprising as further CH₃OH oxidation is both kinetically and thermodynamically favored (Figure S33), with the maximum yield of methanol determined by the relative susceptibilities of methanol and methane to be oxidized (Figure S34).¹ The C–H bond scission step is not readily accessible to experimental investigation, but DFT calculations (Section S6) show that methanol reacts so readily with Fe(IV)=O that experimental determination of the CH₃OH yield is expected to be extremely difficult. Experimentally, methanol is observed as the major product after washing the material *ex situ*; gaseous methanol, predicted to be formed by the commonly invoked radical-rebound mechanism, was not observed, consistent with observations made with other supported Fe-containing solids.^{9,10,27,33,34} We thus posited that deleterious overoxidation pathways are minimized by binding methanol to the MOF, motivating us to investigate the mechanism of CH₃OH protection.

We sought to identify the adsorbed methanol species under reaction conditions using *in situ* IR spectroscopy. Spectra of PCN-250 (Figure 3a) activated by heating to 513 K *in vacuo* show the exclusive presence of ν_{CH} bands belonging to the ABTC linker (3082–3076 cm⁻¹)—consistent with the removal of solvent molecules and axial ligands leading to the formation of open Fe(II)/Fe(III) sites (Figure 1a).^{21,35,36} After exposure of the activated sample to N₂O + CH₄ at 393 K, we observed the appearance of two sets of bands: ν_{CH} bands at 2904, 2876, and 2802 cm⁻¹ and a ν_{OH} band at 3682 cm⁻¹. The three ν_{CH} bands correlate with methanol formation quantified with ¹H NMR spectroscopy data determined after washing the IR wafer with D₂O (Figures S35 and S36), thus allowing us to assign these bands to specific C–H vibrational modes of a stabilized methanol-derived species.³⁷ DFT calculations were used to aid the assignment of these bands by considering candidate adsorbed species on a single-cluster model of the Fe₃-μ₃-oxo nodes of PCN-250 and MIL-100(Fe) (Figure S40). Similar models have been used to accurately predict the apparent energy barriers for the rate-limiting N₂O + Fe(II) reaction step,^{19,21,38} and a similar cluster model and level of theory (see sections S1 and S9.1 for full details of computations) have been used to accurately assign IR bands to surface groups on the Zr-containing MOF NU-1000,³⁹ scaling the computed vibrational frequencies by a factor of 0.958 to ensure appropriate comparison with experiment.⁴⁰ The closest agreement between the models considered (Figure 3b and section S9.1) and experimental observations is achieved

with a methoxy group affixed to one Fe atom on the Fe₃-μ₃-oxo node (Fe(III)–OCH₃). Each computed band is shifted by 20–25 cm⁻¹ to higher wavenumbers relative to experiment, within the expected error for computations at this level of theory.^{39,40}

In contrast, calculations for physisorbed methanol predict vibrational bands at much higher wavenumbers, confirmed experimentally by dosing gaseous methanol onto PCN-250 (Figure S37) with the observation of ν_{CH} bands at 2846 and 2958 cm⁻¹, a ν_{OH} band at 3620 cm⁻¹, and a broad absorption band between 3200 and 3500 cm⁻¹ assigned to hydrogen-bonded agglomerates of CH₃OH molecules, altogether allowing us to exclude these species. Assignment of this stable species formed *in situ* is consistent with the lack of a ν_{CO} stretching band at 1000–1020 cm⁻¹, which was evident in both experimental and DFT models of physisorbed methanol (Figure S38). These results allow us to rule out the dissociative adsorption of methanol on the bare Fe₃-μ₃-oxo nodes to form covalently bound, and thus stabilized, surface species, suggesting that an alternative mechanism is needed to protect the desired methanol product.

DFT calculations also led us to assign the ν_{OH} band observed *in situ* (3682 cm⁻¹) to Fe(III)–OH groups affixed to the MOF nodes (Figure 3a,b). This group is a ligand present in the as-synthesized MOF and removed during activation in the autoreduction of Fe(III) species to form Fe(II) sites (Figure 1a), consistent with the EXAFS results (*vide supra*). Nonetheless, it appears to form again *in situ*. Assignment of both sets of bands to methoxy and hydroxyl groups on molecular Fe sites is consistent with assignments made for similar Fe-exchanged ZSM-5 zeolite materials.^{33,34}

The formation of this stable methoxy group from the reaction of CH₄ + N₂O with the Fe(II) centers opens a route to the production of methanol in high selectivity—provided that a separate step is invoked to extract this species without overoxidizing it—widely characteristic of low-temperature selective oxidation on other metal–oxo species on solid supports.^{10,33,41} Significantly, the formation of this methoxy group is not consistent with the radical-rebound mechanism (Figure 1b), which predicts the formation of gaseous methanol. We consequently hypothesize that the methoxy species forms from CH₃OH molecules reacting with surface hydroxyl groups (ν_{OH} = 3682 cm⁻¹) observed *in situ*, eliminating H₂O. This pathway offers a potential route for the protection of gaseous methanol, otherwise unobserved but predicted by DFT calculations to be produced by the radical-rebound mechanism.¹⁹

We proffer evidence for the plausibility of this reaction pathway, first with IR spectroscopy. PCN-250 was heated *in vacuo* to 413 K, an intermediate temperature that removes physisorbed solvent molecules but not nascent Fe(III)–OH groups present in the as-synthesized MOF (Figure 3c, black). Then a small dose (0.05–1 μmol per mg of PCN-250) of gas-phase CH₃OH (CH₃OH_(g)) was added (Figure 3c, gray) at 393 K, leading to an immediate decrease in intensity of the ν_{OH} band and formation of the three ν_{CH} bands (2904, 2876, and 2802 cm⁻¹) assigned to an Fe(III)–OCH₃ moiety. This result provides evidence that Fe(III)–OH groups react with CH₃OH at low (<40 Pa) partial pressures at the reaction temperature (393 K) to form the stabilized Fe(III)–OCH₃ species observed *in situ* and resulting from the reaction of N₂O + CH₄ on PCN-250. These changes continued upon further incremental addition of CH₃OH_(g) until the ν_{OH} band was mostly consumed, at which point bands attributable to




Figure 4. Methoxy formation from methanol over Fe(III)–OH sites in PCN-250. (a). Schematics for methanol dehydration via C–O and Fe–O scission pathways. (b). Reaction enthalpy profiles for C–O (blue) and Fe–O (violet) scission dehydration pathways calculated at the UM06-L/def2-TZVP level on the $2S + 1 = 16$ spin surface. The enthalpy of the separated reactants (the $\text{Fe}_3\text{-}\mu_3\text{-oxo}$ cluster and one methanol molecule) set the zero enthalpy. The optimized structure of the Fe center, its first coordination sphere, and interacting species is shown for each step. Color code: red (oxygen), gray (carbon), orange (iron), white (hydrogen).




Figure 5. (a) Cumulative product selectivity (left ordinate) and N₂ yield (right ordinate) for interpellet physical mixtures of PCN-250 and MFI (Si/Al = 11.5). (b) Cumulative product selectivity (left ordinate) and N₂ yield (right ordinate) for intra- and interpellet physical mixtures of PCN-250 and MFI (HZSM-5, Si/Al = 11.5). Reaction conditions: 393 K, 80 kPa of N₂O, 10 kPa of CH₄, 10 kPa of Ar, 4 h reaction time, 27–29 mg of PCN-250, and 0–200 mg of MFI (HZSM-5, Si/Al = 11.5) in the form of separate or mixed catalyst pellets (180–400 μm). Products given in ascending order: CH₃OH (blue), CH₃OCH₃ (sky blue), CH₂O (green), CO (yellow), and CO₂ (red), N₂ (open diamonds, error bars equal $\pm 2 \times$ Std. Dev.). Inset (lower part): schematic showing configuration of the MOF and zeolite in the fixed bed reactor.

methanol physisorbed on the MOF (Figure S39) became apparent.

DFT calculations were subsequently used to confirm the ability of this Fe(III)–OH group to eliminate H₂O and stabilize CH₃OH, significantly indicating that the mechanism in PCN-250 is different from the one reported for acidic supports, such as zeolites^{42–45} and polyoxometalates.⁴⁶ In

zeolites, single-step^{44,45} and two-step dehydration mechanisms⁴² have been proposed that occur through concurrent or sequential protonation of methanol by the acid and subsequent C–O scission. A similar mechanism in PCN-250 would require the concerted (Figure 4a) or sequential (Figure S42) activation of the CH₃–OH and FeO–H bonds with the formation of the CH₃–OFe bond. The activation enthalpy

reported for zeolites was found to be dependent on the system (ranging from 180–230 kJ mol⁻¹ in SAPO-5, -11, -34, and -41 materials⁴⁴ to 90 kJ mol⁻¹ in ZSM-5⁴⁵ in a concerted mechanism and 80 kJ mol⁻¹ in the two-step mechanism in ZSM-22⁴²). Computing the reaction profiles of both single- and two-step mechanisms via C–O scission (blue line in Figure 4a and Figure S42, respectively), using a Fe₃-μ₃-oxo cluster model previously employed,^{19,21,38} predicts activation enthalpies of 288 and 181 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. These values are unfeasible regarding experiments showing methoxy formation at 393 K (Figure 3). Instead, the surface hydroxyl group could act to abstract an H atom from methanol to form water and proceed via Fe–O scission (Figure 4a) through displacement of the nascent H₂O molecule by a CH₃O· species (solid violet line, Figure 4b) or by the methoxy group attaching to an adjacent Fe site on the node (dashed violet line in Figure 4b). Intrinsic activation enthalpies of 57 and 74 kJ mol⁻¹ for methoxy formation via Fe–O scission are compatible with experimental results (*vide supra*), suggesting that CH₃OH formally acts to dehydrate Fe(III)–OH groups, rather than the converse, on these Fe₃-μ₃-oxo MOFs to form a stabilized methoxy species.

A conceivable alternative pathway to produce Fe–OCH₃ groups would be one in which CH₃ radicals produced after the initial C–H bond scission event in the radical rebound mechanism do not rebound to the nascent Fe–OH group (Figure 1b). Instead, they could diffuse and attach to another Fe(IV)=O active center. There is evidence of alkyl radicals produced by C–H scission dissociating from active centers in homogeneous metal–oxo complexes,⁴⁷ and this reaction is almost barrierless (Figure S43). However, we infer that this route is unlikely to be relevant because of the low concentration of Fe(IV)=O groups; the reaction kinetics indicate a rate-limiting reaction of N₂O with Fe(II) sites to form Fe(IV)=O intermediates, which are then quickly consumed in the subsequent C–H scission step.

Finally, we sought further evidence that mechanistic steps that lie beyond the radical-rebound mechanism function to protect methanol from overoxidation, in particular for (i) the formation of gaseous methanol from Fe(II) sites situated in the MOF and (ii) the ability of methanol produced within the MOF to diffuse from active Fe centers and undergo dehydration on nearby sites. We chose to probe these questions by adding a second material, a protonic zeolite (ZSM-5/MFI, Si/Al = 11.5), in physical mixtures with particles of PCN-250 or MIL-100(Fe), to give evidence of the ability of gaseous methanol, if present, to diffuse from Fe(II) centers within the MOF crystallites to zeolites and therein to undergo dehydration on the strong Brønsted acid sites.⁴⁵

In interpellet mixtures, holding the amount of PCN-250 (24 μmol of Fe(II)_{MOF}) and all other process parameters constant barring the amount of zeolite added to the fixed bed; we observed that the selectivity for methanol formation increased monotonically with increasing ratio of zeolite to MOF (Figure 5a). Constant values of the cumulative N₂ yield, a measure of the total number Fe(IV)=O groups created during reaction, across different physical mixtures confirm that adding a second functional material to the catalyst bed had no effect on the initial and rate-limiting reaction between Fe(II) sites and N₂O within the MOF particles. This result demonstrates that the increase in methanol selectivity observed upon addition of the zeolite is reflective of an improved ability of these multifunctional formulations to protect methanol from overoxidation, as

fewer Fe(IV)=O equivalents were consumed in overoxidation reactions.

We confirmed that methanol was transported to zeolite particles by conducting equivalent experiments with interpellet mixtures incorporating MOF and zeolite particles of distinct sizes, separating them prior to *ex situ* extraction to show that methanol (and CH₃OCH₃) was released from the zeolite particles (Figure S44). Control experiments performed with N₂O + CH₄ mixtures in the presence of the zeolite without the MOF showed no activity, and no C₁ oxygenate products were observed *ex situ*.

Next, we tested the effect of increasing proximity of Fe(II)_{MOF} sites to H⁺_{MFI} sites through the use of inter- and intrapellet mixtures at fixed ratios of MOF to zeolite (Figure 5b), wherein intrapellet mixtures are formed from a physical mixture of the MOF and zeolite powders as a means to decrease the average Fe(II)_{MOF}:H⁺_{MFI} distance. This results in a further small increase in the yield (Figures S45 and S46) and selectivity to methanol (71 to 73%) and DME (2.4 to 3.4%) (Figure 5b). The consequences of this are twofold. First, the increase in yield to methanol with closer proximity between Fe(II) centers and H⁺ sites intimates the influence of diffusion within catalyst pores and between particles on reaction rates and therefore selectivity as more methanol is protected. Consistent with this conclusion, the increase in DME selectivity that becomes apparent upon addition and proximity of H⁺_{MFI} demonstrates the ability of these bifunctional formulations to enhance secondary pathways. Equivalent experiments were performed with physical mixtures of MIL-100(Fe) and ZSM-5 zeolite wherein the same trends with increasing ratio and proximity of Fe(II)_{MOF} to H⁺_{MFI} hold (Figure S47). These results implicate the ability of CH₃OH_(g) molecules, not otherwise observed experimentally, to dissociate from the MOF-supported Fe(II) sites, exit the MOF particles, and be transported to zeolitic H⁺ sites where they presumably undergo dehydration and are thus protected from overoxidation. Although physisorption of methanol, enhanced by H-bonding with protonic sites and the small pores of the zeolite, can be partially responsible for methanol protection, the increase in selectivity to CH₃OCH₃ observed when ZSM-5 was added to mixtures with PCN-250 (Figure 5) suggests that methanol dehydration pathways within the zeolite are active under our reaction conditions.

Taken together, these results open avenues of research whereby improved bifunctional formulations can be targeted to minimize or enhance undesired or desired secondary reaction pathways.

CONCLUSIONS

In the Fe₃-μ₃-oxo nodes of PCN-250 and MIL-100(Fe), Fe(II) centers that bear coordination and spin states similar to those found in enzymatic and homogeneous systems effect CH₄ activation with N₂O as the oxidant. Prior DFT calculations suggest this activation occurs via the commonly cited radical-rebound mechanism, whereby the Fe(II) site reacts with N₂O in the rate-limiting step to form an Fe(IV)=O species which in turn activates CH₄ homolytically, producing CH₃OH molecules that dissociate from the active center to close the catalytic cycle. Thermodynamic and kinetic factors that drive methanol to rapidly overoxidize led us to propose, on the basis of *in situ* IR spectroscopy and DFT calculations, that methanol is protected within the MOF under reaction conditions as a

methoxy group affixed to the nodes, the formation of which cannot be explained by the radical-rebound mechanism.

We present for the first time a plausible formation pathway for this stabilized species, having used IR spectroscopy to show that Fe(III)–OH reacts stoichiometrically with CH₃OH_(g) present in low, pascal-level concentrations to form Fe(III)–OCH₃ groups at reaction temperatures. A novel formulation consisting of physical mixtures of Fe-containing MOFs with MFI zeolites incorporating Brønsted acid groups was found to increase the amount of methanol protected from over-oxidation, signaling first that CH₃OH_(g), otherwise unobserved, is indeed produced by reactions of N₂O + CH₄ on the MOF, supporting the proposal that the radical-rebound mechanism, commonly invoked for other systems and supported by DFT results, is indeed active in these systems. Moreover, the results show that CH₃OH_(g) produced in the MOF has the ability to diffuse from Fe(II) sites, out of the MOF particles, and to zeolitic Brønsted acid groups, where it can be dehydrated and thereby protected. These observations unequivocally evince additional mechanistic steps beyond the radical-rebound mechanism act to protect the CH₃OH product. We envision that in these catalysts gas-phase CH₃OH produced via the radical rebound mechanism dissociates from the active center, whereupon it either migrates to a Fe(IV)=O group and reacts to form one of a number of overoxidation products (CH₂O, CO, CO₂) or, alternatively, migrates to a Fe(III)–OH species, where it undergoes hydrogen atom transfer and is protected. The effects of both ratio and proximity of Fe(II)_{MOF}:H⁺_{MFI} sites on methanol selectivity demonstrate that both chemical and physical rate processes govern selectivity, providing guidance for the design of new systems that should seek to improve efficacy of dehydration on a particular site as well as proximity of this site to the Fe(II) center. These results provide a potential strategy for protecting the methanol during methane oxidation, whereby the desired product is both thermodynamically and kinetically driven to overoxidation.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.1c04766>.

Detailed descriptions of experimental and computational methods, including of synthesis protocols, materials characterization (XRD, N₂ isotherms, X-ray absorption spectroscopy, Mössbauer spectroscopy, IR spectroscopy), reactivity experiments, and DFT calculations with supplementary results (PDF)

xyz coordinates of computational models (ZIP)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Aditya Bhan – Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-6069-7626; Email: abhan@umn.edu

Authors

Matthew C. Simons – Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-4279-043X

Steven D. Prinslow – Department of Chemistry, Chemical Theory Center, and Supercomputing Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States
Melike Babucci – Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California, Davis, Davis, California 95616, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-7785-3755
Adam S. Hoffman – SSRL, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, California 94025, United States
Jiyun Hong – SSRL, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, California 94025, United States
Jenny G. Vitillo – Department of Science and High Technology and INSTM, University of Insubria, 22100 Como, Italy; orcid.org/0000-0002-6213-2039
Simon R. Bare – SSRL, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, California 94025, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-4932-0342
Bruce C. Gates – Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California, Davis, Davis, California 95616, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-0274-4882
Connie C. Lu – Department of Chemistry, Chemical Theory Center, and Supercomputing Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-5162-9250
Laura Gagliardi – Department of Chemistry, Pritzker School of Molecular Engineering, The James Franck Institute and Chicago Center for Theoretical Chemistry, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-5227-1396

Complete contact information is available at:

<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.1c04766>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Inorganometallic Catalyst Design Center, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the US Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences (BES) (DE-SC0012702). The authors acknowledge the Minnesota Supercomputing Institute (MSI) at the University of Minnesota for providing computational resources. Mössbauer spectroscopy was performed at the Institute for Rock Magnetism (IRM) at the University of Minnesota with the help of Peter Solheid. The IRM is a US National Multiuser Facility supported through the Instrumentation and Facilities program of the NSF, Earth Sciences Division, and by funding from the University of Minnesota. We acknowledge the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) for access to beam time on Beamline 9-3. SSRL, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, is supported by DOE BES, under Contract DE-AC02-76SF00515, and the DOE BES-funded Consortium for Operando and Advanced Catalyst Characterization via Electronic Spectroscopy and Structure (Co-ACCESS) at SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Labinger, J. A. Selective Alkane Oxidation: Hot and Cold Approaches to a Hot Problem. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2004**, *220* (1), 27–35.
- (2) Wallar, B. J.; Lipscomb, J. D. Dioxygen Activation by Enzymes Containing Binuclear Non-Heme Iron Clusters. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96* (7), 2625–2657.

- (3) Dinh, K. T.; Sullivan, M. M.; Serna, P.; Meyer, R. J.; Dincă, M.; Román-Leshkov, Y. Viewpoint on the Partial Oxidation of Methane to Methanol Using Cu- and Fe-Exchanged Zeolites. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8* (9), 8306–8313.
- (4) Que, L. The Road to Non-Heme Oxoferryls and Beyond. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40* (7), 493–500.
- (5) Que, L., Jr.; Puri, M. The Amazing High-Valent Nonheme Iron-Oxo Landscape. *Bull. Japan Soc. Coord. Chem.* **2016**, *67*, 10–18.
- (6) Dubkov, K. A.; Sobolev, V. I.; Panov, G. I. Low-Temperature Oxidation of Methane to Methanol on FeZSM-5 Zeolite. *Kinet. Catal.* **1998**, *39* (1), 72–79.
- (7) Panov, G. I.; Dubkov, K. A.; Starokon, E. V. Active Oxygen in Selective Oxidation Catalysis. *Catal. Today* **2006**, *117* (1), 148–155.
- (8) Dubkov, K. A.; Sobolev, V. I.; Talsi, E. P.; Rodkin, M. A.; Watkins, N. H.; Shteinman, A. A.; Panov, G. I. Kinetic Isotope Effects and Mechanism of Biomimetic Oxidation of Methane and Benzene on FeZSM-5 Zeolite. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **1997**, *123* (2–3), 155–161.
- (9) Parfenov, M. V.; Starokon, E. V.; Pirutko, L. V.; Panov, G. I. Quasicatalytic and Catalytic Oxidation of Methane to Methanol by Nitrous Oxide over FeZSM-5 Zeolite. *J. Catal.* **2014**, *318*, 14–21.
- (10) Starokon, E. V.; Parfenov, M. V.; Arzumanov, S. S.; Pirutko, L. V.; Stepanov, A. G.; Panov, G. I. Oxidation of Methane to Methanol on the Surface of FeZSM-5 Zeolite. *J. Catal.* **2013**, *300*, 47–54.
- (11) Snyder, B. E. R.; Vanelderen, P.; Bols, M. L.; Hallaert, S. D.; Böttger, L. H.; Ungur, L.; Pierloot, K.; Schoonheydt, R. A.; Sels, B. F.; Solomon, E. I. The Active Site of Low-Temperature Methane Hydroxylation in Iron-Containing Zeolites. *Nature* **2016**, *536* (7616), 317–321.
- (12) Snyder, B. E. R.; Böttger, L. H.; Bols, M. L.; Yan, J. J.; Rhoda, H. M.; Jacobs, A. B.; Hu, M. Y.; Zhao, J.; Alp, E. E.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O.; Schoonheydt, R. A.; Sels, B. F.; Solomon, E. I. Structural Characterization of a Non-Heme Iron Active Site in Zeolites That Hydroxylates Methane. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2018**, *115* (18), 4565–4570.
- (13) Hammond, C.; Forde, M. M.; Ab Rahim, M. H.; Thetford, A.; He, Q.; Jenkins, R. L.; Dimitratos, N.; Lopez-Sanchez, J. A.; Dummer, N. F.; Murphy, D. M.; Carley, A. F.; Taylor, S. H.; Willock, D. J.; Stangland, E. E.; Kang, J.; Hagen, H.; Kiely, C. J.; Hutchings, G. J. Direct Catalytic Conversion of Methane to Methanol in an Aqueous Medium by Using Copper-Promoted Fe-ZSM-5. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51* (21), 5129–5133.
- (14) Xiao, D. J.; Bloch, E. D.; Mason, J. A.; Queen, W. L.; Hudson, M. R.; Planas, N.; Borycz, J.; Dzubak, A. L.; Verma, P.; Lee, K.; Bonino, F.; Crocella, V.; Yano, J.; Bordiga, S.; Truhlar, D. G.; Gagliardi, L.; Brown, C. M.; Long, J. R. Oxidation of Ethane to Ethanol by N₂O in a Metal–Organic Framework with Coordinatively Unsaturated Iron(II) Sites. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6* (7), 590–595.
- (15) Verma, P.; Vogiatzis, K. D.; Planas, N.; Borycz, J.; Xiao, D. J.; Long, J. R.; Gagliardi, L.; Truhlar, D. G. Mechanism of Oxidation of Ethane to Ethanol at Iron(IV)–Oxo Sites in Magnesium-Diluted Fe₂(Dobdc). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137* (17), 5770–5781.
- (16) Kang, Y.-S.; Lu, Y.; Chen, K.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, P.; Sun, W.-Y. Metal–Organic Frameworks with Catalytic Centers: From Synthesis to Catalytic Application. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *378*, 262–280.
- (17) Rogge, S. M. J.; Bavykina, A.; Hajek, J.; Garcia, H.; Olivos-Suarez, A. I.; Sepúlveda-Escribano, A.; Vimont, A.; Clet, G.; Bazin, P.; Kapteijn, F.; Daturi, M.; Ramos-Fernandez, E. V.; Llabrés, i.; Xamena, F. X.; Van Speybroeck, V.; Gascon, J. Metal–Organic and Covalent Organic Frameworks as Single-Site Catalysts. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46* (11), 3134–3184.
- (18) Howarth, A. J.; Liu, Y.; Li, P.; Li, Z.; Wang, T. C.; Hupp, J. T.; Farha, O. K. Chemical, Thermal and Mechanical Stabilities of Metal–Organic Frameworks. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2016**, *1* (3), 15018.
- (19) Vitillo, J. G.; Bhan, A.; Cramer, C. J.; Lu, C. C.; Gagliardi, L. Quantum Chemical Characterization of Structural Single Fe(II) Sites in MIL-Type Metal–Organic Frameworks for the Oxidation of Methane to Methanol and Ethane to Ethanol. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9* (4), 2870–2879.
- (20) Feng, D.; Wang, K.; Wei, Z.; Chen, Y. P.; Simon, C. M.; Arvapally, R. K.; Martin, R. L.; Bosch, M.; Liu, T. F.; Fordham, S.; Yuan, D.; Omary, M. A.; Haranczyk, M.; Smit, B.; Zhou, H. C. Kinetically Tuned Dimensional Augmentation as a Versatile Synthetic Route towards Robust Metal–Organic Frameworks. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5* (1), 5723.
- (21) Simons, M. C.; Vitillo, J. G.; Babucci, M.; Hoffman, A. S.; Boubnov, A.; Beauvais, M. L.; Chen, Z.; Cramer, C. J.; Chapman, K. W.; Bare, S. R.; Gates, B. C.; Lu, C. C.; Gagliardi, L.; Bhan, A. Structure, Dynamics, and Reactivity for Light Alkane Oxidation of Fe(II) Sites Situated in the Nodes of a Metal–Organic Framework. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141* (45), 18142–18151.
- (22) Rosen, A. S.; Notestein, J. M.; Snurr, R. Q. Identifying Promising Metal–Organic Frameworks for Heterogeneous Catalysis via High-Throughput Periodic Density Functional Theory. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2019**, *40* (12), 1305–1318.
- (23) Rosen, A. S.; Notestein, J. M.; Snurr, R. Q. Structure–Activity Relationships That Identify Metal–Organic Framework Catalysts for Methane Activation. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9* (4), 3576–3587.
- (24) Baik, M. H.; Newcomb, M.; Friesner, R. A.; Lippard, S. J. Mechanistic Studies on the Hydroxylation of Methane by Methane Monooxygenase. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103* (6), 2385–2419.
- (25) He, X.; Ortiz De Montellano, P. R. Radical Rebound Mechanism in Cytochrome P-450-Catalyzed Hydroxylation of the Multifaceted Radical Clocks Alpha- and Beta-Thujone. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *279* (38), 39479–39484.
- (26) Krebs, C.; Galonić Fujimori, D.; Walsh, C. T.; Bollinger, J. M. Non-Heme Fe(IV)–Oxo Intermediates. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40* (7), 484–492.
- (27) Hall, J. N.; Bollini, P. Low-Temperature, Ambient Pressure Oxidation of Methane to Methanol Over Every Tri-Iron Node in a Metal–Organic Framework Material. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2020**, *26* (70), 16639–16643.
- (28) Nechita, M. T.; Berlier, G.; Ricchiardi, G.; Bordiga, S.; Zecchina, A. New Precursor for the Post-Synthesis Preparation of Fe-ZSM-5 Zeolites with Low Iron Content. *Catal. Lett.* **2005**, *103* (1–2), 33–41.
- (29) Vitillo, J. G.; Gagliardi, L. Thermal Treatment Effect on CO and NO Adsorption on Fe(II) and Fe(III) Species in Fe₃O₄-Based MIL-Type Metal–Organic Frameworks: A Density Functional Theory Study. *Inorg. Chem.* **2021**.
- (30) Wilke, M.; Farges, F.; Petit, P. E.; Brown, G. E.; Martin, F. Oxidation State and Coordination of Fe in Minerals: An Fe K-XANES Spectroscopic Study. *Am. Mineral.* **2001**, *86* (5–6), 714–730.
- (31) Boubnov, A.; Lichtenberg, H.; Mangold, S.; Grunwaldt, J.-D. Identification of the Iron Oxidation State and Coordination Geometry in Iron Oxide- and Zeolite-Based Catalysts Using Pre-Edge XAS Analysis. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* **2015**, *22* (2), 410–426.
- (32) Westre, T. E.; Kennepohl, P.; DeWitt, J. G.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O.; Solomon, E. I. A Multiplet Analysis of Fe K-Edge 1s → 3d Pre-Edge Features of Iron Complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119* (27), 6297–6314.
- (33) Starokon, E. V.; Parfenov, M. V.; Pirutko, L. V.; Abornev, S. I.; Panov, G. I. Room-Temperature Oxidation of Methane by α-Oxygen and Extraction of Products from the FeZSM-5 Surface. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115* (5), 2155–2161.
- (34) Wood, B. R.; Reimer, J. A.; Bell, A. T.; Janicke, M. T.; Ott, K. C. Methanol Formation on Fe/Al-MFI via the Oxidation of Methane by Nitrous Oxide. *J. Catal.* **2004**, *225* (2), 300–306.
- (35) Leclerc, H.; Vimont, A.; Lavalley, J.-C.; Daturi, M.; Wiersum, A. D.; Llwelllyn, P. L.; Horcajada, P.; Férey, G.; Serre, C. Infrared Study of the Influence of Reducible Iron(III) Metal Sites on the Adsorption of CO, CO₂, Propane, Propene and Propyne in the Mesoporous Metal–Organic Framework MIL-100. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13* (24), 11748.
- (36) Yoon, J. W.; Seo, Y. K.; Hwang, Y. K.; Chang, J. S.; Leclerc, H.; Wuttke, S.; Bazin, P.; Vimont, A.; Daturi, M.; Bloch, E.; Llwelllyn, P. L.; Serre, C.; Horcajada, P.; Grenèche, J. M.; Rodrigues, A. E.; Férey, G. Controlled Reducibility of a Metal–Organic Framework with

Coordinatively Unsaturated Sites for Preferential Gas Sorption. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49 (34), 5949–5952.

(37) Moruzzi, G. Theoretical Aspects. In *Microwave, Infrared, and Laser Transitions of Methanol Atlas of Assigned Lines from 0 to 1258 cm⁻¹*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1995; pp 8–9.

(38) Vitillo, J. G.; Lu, C. C.; Cramer, C. J.; Bhan, A.; Gagliardi, L. Influence of First and Second Coordination Environment on Structural Fe(II) Sites in MIL-101 for C–H Bond Activation in Methane. *ACS Catal.* **2021**, 11 (2), 579–589.

(39) Yang, D.; Bernales, V.; Islamoglu, T.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T.; Cramer, C. J.; Gagliardi, L.; Gates, B. C. Tuning the Surface Chemistry of Metal Organic Framework Nodes: Proton Topology of the Metal-Oxide-Like Zr₆ Nodes of UiO-66 and NU-1000. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, 138 (46), 15189–15196.

(40) Alecu, I. M.; Zheng, J.; Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D. G. Computational Thermochemistry: Scale Factor Databases and Scale Factors for Vibrational Frequencies Obtained from Electronic Model Chemistries. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2010**, 6 (9), 2872–2887.

(41) Alayon, E. M. C.; Nachttegaal, M.; Ranocchiari, M.; van Bokhoven, J. A. Catalytic Conversion of Methane to Methanol over Cu–Mordenite. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, 48 (3), 404–406.

(42) Moses, P. G.; Nørskov, J. K. Methanol to Dimethyl Ether over ZSM-22: A Periodic Density Functional Theory Study. *ACS Catal.* **2013**, 3 (4), 735–745.

(43) Sun, J.; Yang, G.; Yoneyama, Y.; Tsubaki, N. Catalysis Chemistry of Dimethyl Ether Synthesis. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, 4 (10), 3346–3356.

(44) Sierraalta, A.; Añez, R.; Coll, D. S.; Alejos, P. Conversion of Methanol to Dimethyl Ether over Silicoaluminophosphates: Isolated Acid Sites and the Influence of Silicon Islands. A DFT-ONIOM Study. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2020**, 292, 109732.

(45) Jones, A. J.; Iglesia, E. Kinetic, Spectroscopic, and Theoretical Assessment of Associative and Dissociative Methanol Dehydration Routes in Zeolites. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53 (45), 12177–12181.

(46) Janik, M. J.; Macht, J.; Iglesia, E.; Neurock, M. Correlating Acid Properties and Catalytic Function: A First-Principles Analysis of Alcohol Dehydration Pathways on Polyoxometalates. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, 113 (5), 1872–1885.

(47) Cho, K.-B.; Hirao, H.; Shaik, S.; Nam, W. To Rebound or Dissociate? This Is the Mechanistic Question in C–H Hydroxylation by Heme and Nonheme Metal–Oxo Complexes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, 45 (5), 1197–1210.