Check for updates

OPEN The unusual cell wall of the Lyme disease spirochaete *Borrelia burgdorferi* is shaped by a tick sugar

Tanner G. DeHart^{1,2}, Mara R. Kushelman^{1,2}, Sherry B. Hildreth², Richard F. Helm^{1,2} and Brandon L. Jutras^{1,2,3,4,5}

Peptidoglycan—a mesh sac of glycans that are linked by peptides—is the main component of bacterial cell walls. Peptidoglycan provides structural strength, protects cells from osmotic pressure and contributes to shape. All bacterial glycans are repeating disaccharides of *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) β -(1-4)-linked to *N*-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc). Borrelia burgdorferi, the tick-borne Lyme disease pathogen, produces glycan chains in which MurNAc is occasionally replaced with an unknown sugar. Nuclear magnetic resonance, liquid chromatography-mass spectroscopy and genetic analyses show that *B. burgdorferi* produces glycans that contain GlcNAc-GlcNAc. This unusual disaccharide is chitobiose, a component of its chitinous tick vector. Mutant bacteria that are auxotrophic for chitobiose have altered morphology, reduced motility and cell envelope defects that probably result from producing peptidoglycan that is stiffer than that in wild-type bacteria. We propose that the peptidoglycan of *B. burgdorferi* probably evolved by adaptation to obligate parasitization of a tick vector, resulting in a biophysical cell-wall alteration to withstand the atypical torque associated with twisting motility.

he peptidoglycan sacculus protects the cytoplasmic contents of virtually all bacterial cells. Peptidoglycan architecture (rigid glycan strands, cross-linked by flexible peptides) is universal across bacterial taxa. Peptidoglycan glycans comprise a disaccharide repeat unit of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and *N*-acetylmuramic acid (MurNAc). MurNAc provides a C3 lactyl moiety that anchors peptide assembly. Glycan chain lengths of six to hundreds of disaccharide repeats are terminated at the reducing-end anomeric position by a 1,6-anhydro-*N*-acetylmuramic acid (anhMurNAc) residue¹. Although alterations in peptidoglycan peptide chemistry occur across the bacterial domain, deviations from the β -(1–4)-linked GlcNAc-MurNAc disaccharide have not previously been reported.

The pathogenic spirochaete *B. burgdorferi* is estimated to cause more than 450,000 cases of Lyme disease each year, in the USA alone². On transmission via the bite of an infected *Ixodes scapularis* tick, *B. burgdorferi*, which is an obligate parasitic bacterium, causes a biphasic infection. An acute stage characterized by 'flu-like' symptoms is followed by a severe late stage that can involve multiple organ systems^{3,4}. Despite the public health burden posed by this ascending vector-borne disease, very little is known about what causes clinical symptoms.

B. burgdorferi lacks many of the classic virulence factors typically associated with invasive pathogens. One well-known feature, critical to *B. burgdorferi* pathogenesis, is the corkscrew-like motility that it uses to both escape immune cells and invade host tissues⁵. Endoflagella at each pole form a ribbon that wraps around the peptidoglycan sacculus. Motor rotation causes the flagella to torque the peptidoglycan, creating a backward wave that propels the bacterium forwards⁶. *B. burgdorferi* peptidoglycan, which has also been implicated in potentiating Lyme disease pathogenesis^{7,8}, is thought

to require unique feature(s) to counterbalance the immense flagellar stress. Previous reports describe the presence of ornithine (Orn) in the peptidoglycan stem peptide^{7,9}, as well as several unidentifiable components, including an unknown *N*-acetylated hexose (HexNAc) linked to the GlcNAc–MurNAc disaccharide in glycan strands⁷. The culprit responsible for this atypical alteration has remained unknown.

Results

B. burgdorferi glycan architecture. Similar to most parasitic bacteria, B. burgdorferi lacks many biosynthetic pathways and scavenges environmental molecules, including the peptidoglycan cell-wall precursor GlcNAc¹⁰. Optimal in vitro growth thus requires that B. burgdorferi culture medium be supplemented with GlcNAc¹¹. By taking advantage of this auxotrophy, we reasoned that we would be able to substitute GlcNAc with other N-acetylated sugars and identify the unknown hexose. Two candidates emerged for their ability to support growth in the absence of GlcNAc: N-acetylmannosamine (ManNAc) and N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) (Extended Data Fig. 1 and refs. ¹²⁻¹⁵). As bacteria cultured with ManNAc replicated at a similar rate and reached a comparable final density to GlcNAc (Extended Data Fig. 1), we proceeded with metabolic labelling studies. We propagated B. burgdorferi 5A11 in culture medium containing [1-13C]ManNAc and analysed the resulting muropeptide pool, obtained from purified and digested peptidoglycan, by liquid chromatography-mass spectroscopy (LC-MS) (Fig. 1a). Compared with muropeptide samples prepared from bacteria cultured with unlabelled GlcNAc (Fig. 1a), [1-13C]ManNAc-labelled muropeptides were identical and contained the expected mass shift, equally distributed across both GlcNAc and MurNAc (Fig. 1a). This strongly suggested not only that are there pathway(s) capable of converting

¹Department of Biochemistry, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA. ²Fralin Life Sciences Institute, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA. ³Molecular and Cellular Biology, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA. ⁴Translational Biology, Medicine, and Health, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA. ⁵Center for Emerging, Zoonotic and Arthropod-borne Pathogens, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA. ^{See}-mail: bjutras@vt.edu



Fig. 1 [Elucidating the peptidoglycan glycan strand composition of *B. burgdorferi*. **a**, MS/MS of the GlcNAc-MurNAc-AlaGluOrnGly muropeptide from *B. burgdorferi* 5A11 cultured in unlabelled (grey) and [1-¹³C]ManNAc (blue), respectively. Fragmentation data confirm the location of the labelled carbon resides in the glycan component and not the stem peptide. **b**, Monosaccharide analysis of purified peptidoglycan isolated from *B. burgdorferi* 5A11 and *E. coli* K-12. Results were compared with reference standards GlcNAc, MurNAc, ManNAc and GalNAc (below). The inset table highlights the molar percentage of MurNAc present in each bacterial sample. **c**, LC-MS chromatogram of *B. burgdorferi* 5A11 peptidoglycan. *B. burgdorferi* peptidoglycan was purified, digested with mutanolysin and analysed by LC-MS. Each peak corresponds to one or more muropeptides of interest; peaks are labelled as red (GlcNAc-MurNAc muropeptides) or blue (HexNAc-GlcNAc-MurNAc muropeptides). Co-eluting peaks can be found in Supplementary Table 2.

ManNAc, and probably GalNAc, to GlcNAc, but also that ManNAc was an unlikely candidate. Next, we took a more holistic approach and performed monosaccharide analysis of purified peptidoglycan isolated from *B. burgdorferi* 5A11 and compared our results with various *N*-acetylated reference standards (Fig. 1b). Surprisingly, we detected only GlcNAc and MurNAc, and the *B. burgdorferi* peptidoglycan sugar profile was identical to that of *Escherichia coli*. Collectively, our metabolic labelling studies and monosaccharide analysis suggested that the unknown HexNAc might be GlcNAc.

Muropeptide analysis of *B. burgdorferi* **peptidoglycan.** Previous analyses of the *B. burgdorferi* peptidoglycan cell wall separated muropeptides that were then analysed using targeted MS. This method captured the identity of ~45% of the *B. burgdorferi* muropeptides⁷. We reasoned that a more robust, untargeted approach to muropeptide analysis may provide further insights into composition of *B. burgdorferi* peptidoglycan. We created a new, high-resolution, LC-tandem MS (LC-MS/MS) method, which determined the identity of ~80% of the muropeptide pool in a fraction of the time (Fig. 1c). The LC step separated 25 discrete peaks, which contained 17 unique muropeptides (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 1), 5 of which contained the HexNAc-GlcNAc-MurNAc moiety (Supplementary Tables 1–3 and Supplementary Figs. 7, 10, 19, 25

and 28). We coupled LC-MS from NaBH₄-reduced muropeptides with data obtained from isotopically (NaBD₄) labelled reduction products to provide mass markers and increased resolution for MS² spectra in instances when more than one muropeptide eluted in the same fraction (Supplementary Table 2 and Extended Data Fig. 2). The latter confirmed that the unknown HexNAc was always adjacent to a GlcNAc-anhMurNAc residue, indicating that the new structure was at the terminus of glycan chains (Extended Data Fig. 2). Regardless of whether B. burgdorferi was cultured in medium containing labelled ([1-13C]GlcNAc) or unlabelled GlcNAc, the resulting LC-MS traces of each sample were identical, with mass shifts confirming that the label was distributed between the GlcNAc and MurNAc residues (Fig. 2a,b). These data further implicated GlcNAc as the unknown HexNAc because each sugar in the putative GlcNAc-GlcNAc-anhMurNAc (G-G-anhM) trisaccharide was equally labelled (Fig. 2b).

Next, we carried out a series of proton nuclear magnetic resonance (H-NMR) experiments using N,N',N''-triacetylchitotriitol as a reference due to its structural similarity to G-G-anhM at the non-reducing end. As there are limitations associated with both purifying *B. burgdorferi* peptidoglycan and the detection limits for H-NMR, comparisons to the standard were in relation to the total muropeptide pool obtained from the $[1^{-13}C]GlcNAc$



Fig. 2 | *B. burgdorferi* peptidoglycan glycan strands contain the trisaccharide G-G-anhM. **a**, LC-MS chromatogram of unlabelled *B. burgdorferi* 5A11 peptidoglycan. Total ion chromatogram is shown in black with an unlabelled and [1-¹³C]G-G-anhM muropeptide overlaid in pink and blue, respectively. **b**, LC-MS chromatogram of [1-¹³C]GlcNAc metabolically labelled *B. burgdorferi* 5A11 peptidoglycan producing a mass shift corresponding to a G-G-anhM muropeptide. *B. burgdorferi* was cultured with unlabelled GlcNAc or [1-¹³C]GlcNAc before peptidoglycan purification and LC-MS analysis. The proposed G-G-anhM species 1,053 *m/z* in unlabelled peptidoglycan and the shifted mass to the predicted 1,056 *m/z* when labelled with [1-¹³C]GlcNAc are shown. **c**, The ¹³C-labelled NMR of the anomeric region of 1-¹³C-labelled *B. burgdorferi* and one strain of *B. hermsii*. Three laboratory strains of *B. burgdorferi*, two fully infectious clones of the B31-type strain (5A11, green; 5A3, purple) and non-infectious (n.i.) derivative of 5A3 (blue), as well as *B. hermsii* (yellow) were cultured to mid-log, peptidoglycan was purified, digested and muropeptide profiles compared by LC. All samples contained similar levels of G-G-anhM muropeptides (*).

experiment and not to an individual muropeptide. Anomeric ¹H chemical shifts (>5 p.p.m.) and coupling constants (~8 Hz) combined with ¹³C chemical shifts at ~100 p.p.m. firmly established all linkages as β -glycopyranosidic bonds between Glc*N*Ac residues (Fig. 2c). The only available hydroxyls for glycosidic bond formation are at positions 3, 4 and 6, with all known muropeptide linkages being (1–4)¹. Although we cannot exclude the existence of non-canonical (1–3) and glycosidic (1–6) bonds, the data that we obtained (Fig. 2c) match that of a β -(1–4) linkage most closely. These findings establish that *B. burgdorferi* glycan chains terminate with G-G-anhM.

Peptidoglycan composition is conserved among *Borrelia* strains and species. Laboratory strains of *B. burgdorferi* are known to lose extrachromosomal DNA during prolonged in vitro propagation¹⁶. This results in clonal heterogeneity, a reduction in biosynthetic capacity and avirulence^{16–18}. To assess whether the peptidoglycan phenotype of *B. burgdorferi* 5A11 was due to a loss of extrachromosomal DNA, or an artefact of prolonged in vitro cultivation, we used whole-genome sequencing (WGS) to analyse the three commonly studied strains of B31. We sequenced strain 5A11, which is a fully infectious clone of the B31-type strain¹⁰, with all genetic elements that we used for all our peptidoglycan work thus far, strain 5A3, a

fully infectious clonal derivative^{16,18} of 5A11 that is often used in the Lyme disease research field, and a high-passage variant of B31 that lacks many plasmids and is avirulent (Supplementary Table 4 and see data availability for repository links). WGS results were consistent with the expected nucleic acid content of each strain—5A11 and 5A3 were highly similar and carried a full repertoire of plasmids, whereas our high-passage strain lacked genetic elements associated with infectivity (Supplementary Table 4). Upon strain validation we isolated peptidoglycan from each and compared muropeptide profiles for the presence of G-G-anhM moieties. Each strain was almost identical and contained G-G-anhM (Fig. 2d).

Many different *Borrelia* genospecies cause Lyme disease. Our analysis, thus far, has been limited to derivatives of the B31-type strain. Instead of testing various Lyme disease-causing *Borrelia spp.*, we analysed muropeptides from the relapsing fever pathogen *B. hermsii*, which is transmitted by *Ornithodoros* ticks¹⁹. Comparative analysis of muropeptide profiles, once again, clearly indicated the presence of G-G-anhM, despite differences in the abundance of other peptidoglycan fragments (Fig. 2d). Collectively, our studies demonstrate the first modification to the disaccharide repeat arrangement in bacterial glycans—a core biological feature of *Borrelia* peptidoglycan that is conserved, regardless of genome content or phylogenetic relatedness.

NATURE MICROBIOLOGY



Fig. 3 | Comparative analysis of muropeptide profiles obtained from *B. burgdorferi* **A3** and chitobiose transport mutant **A3/chbC. a**, Principal component (PC) analysis of 37 distinct muropeptide features collected from LC-MS data of three biological replicates, from WT A3 strain (tan) and A3/chbC (teal) peptidoglycan. **b**, Representative LC spectra from our comparative muropeptide analysis (in **a**) in which the amount of purified and injected peptidoglycan was normalized by the total number of cells present in each culture.

Acquisition of GlcNAc-GlcNAc. B. burgdorferi can survive in the *I. scapularis* tick midgut for months between feeding cycles, so nutrient-rich blood is not a consistent carbon source. A plausible carbon source other than a blood meal is chitin, the primary component of the tick peritrophic membrane²⁰. N,N'-Diacetylchitobiose (chitobiose) is the repeat unit of chitin, a disaccharide of GlcNAc with a β -(1-4) glycosidic linkage, which is also present in BSK-II culture medium, routinely used to grow Borrelia spp. (Extended Data Figs. 3 and 4). The G-G-anhM sequence is essentially chitotriose with a 3-O-lactyl moiety. To assess the possibility that chitobiose is involved in B. burgdorferi peptidoglycan biosynthesis, we used a mutant bacterium (A3/chbC) that is incapable of importing GlcNAc-GlcNAc into the cytoplasm, as determined by isotopically labelled uptake experiments²¹. First, we used WGS to confirm that the parental A3 strain (analysed earlier) and the A3/chbC mutant strain were clonal and, with the exception of the hypervariable *vlsE* locus²² and the targeted deletion of *chbC* gene, the strains were



Fig. 4 | Impact of chitobiose (GlcNAc-GlcNAc) transport on peptidoglycan and cell-wall stress. a, Comparative AFM analysis of purified peptidoglycan. Peptidoglycan from both the WT A3 strain and the A3/*chbC* chitobiose mutant transporter strain was deposited on mica and topological features imaged in AM-FM mode. Height features for each image are shown as colour maps (right) in nanometres. Scale bars, 5μ m (upper panel), 500 nm (middle panel) and 50 nm (lower panel). **b**,**c**, Recovery after cell-wall stress. WT A3 and A3/*chbC* strains were exposed to 0.25 M NaCl (544 mosmol) (**b**) or 1mg ml⁻¹ of lysozyme (**c**) for 24 h. After removing exogenous stress, cultures were plated in quadruplicate and colony-forming units were determined 9 d later. Statistical significance (`) was determined by two-tailed, unpaired Student's *t*-test (NaCl: $P = 1.37 \times 10^{-5}$; lysozyme: P = 0.009).

genetically identical (Supplementary Table 5). Principal component analysis of the muropeptide profiles from three biological replicates—six different batches of culture—of the wild-type (WT) A3

ARTICLES



Fig. 5 | Morphological and motility defects in A3/chbC mutant bacteria. a, Comparative, quantitative, population-level morphological analysis of A3 and A3/chbC strains. Both strains were cultured to mid-log(exponential growth), fixed with paraformaldehyde to preserve cellular helicity and imaged on agarose pads by phase-contrast microscopy. Scale bar, $5\,\mu\text{m}$. b, Morphometric, population-level analysis of differences in helical pitch between strains estimated by the object analysis feature Roundness. Box plots from values attained from n = 360 (A3) and n = 481 (A3/chbC) strains are shown. Each dot indicates the values attained from an individual cell. Statistical significance was determined using the two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test ($P = 6.67 \times 10^{-9}$). **c**, Swarm plate assay to measure differences in bacterial motility. Liquid A3 and A3/chbC cultures were enumerated and equal amounts used to inoculate the same semisoft agar plate, equidistant from each other. After 5 d, swarming distance was measured from five replicate plates. Statistical significance (*) was determined using the two-tailed, unpaired Student's t-test (P = 0.0031).

and A3/*chbC* bacteria indicated homogeneity between replicates, but distinct features were apparent, suggesting that chitobiose transport impacts peptidoglycan composition (Fig. 3a). Comparative

analysis of muropeptide identity and absolute abundance revealed that the parental strain contained more peptidoglycan per cell (Fig. 3b). Our interpretation of these findings is that breakdown products of chitobiose are used to build the *B. burgdorferi* peptidoglycan cell wall^{13,15,21} and a lack of chitobiose reduces the amounts of peptidoglycan. Importantly, even after we normalized for decrease in peptidoglycan (Online methods), we found that bacteria that were unable to import chitobiose from their environment had ~37% less G-G-anhM (Supplementary Table 6). These data suggest that one source of G-G-anhM is chitobiose, and we would note that in a tick the only source of chitobiose would be the tick itself.

Peptidoglycan defects in the absence of chitobiose. Bacteria rely on peptidoglycan as an osmoprotectant and a load-bearing structure. We hypothesized that severe phenotypes would result from reduced peptidoglycan and/or G-G-anhM. We used atomic force microscopy (AFM) to analyse purified peptidoglycan sacculi and found that A3/*chbC* peptidoglycan was jagged and frayed, compared with smooth, WT, peptidoglycan sacculi (Fig. 4a). The gross structural defects that we observed in purified peptidoglycan sacculi from A3/*chbC* led us to ascertain the phenotypes of live cells. We exposed parental WT A3 and A3/*chbC* strains to either osmotic (NaCl; Fig. 4b) or peptidoglycan-specific (lysozyme; Fig. 4c) stressors for 24h, diluted each into medium lacking stress and plated. The parental A3 control strain produced significantly more colonies, indicating that it was able to withstand osmotic and enzymatic degradation better than the mutant (Fig. 4b,c).

Motility and physical properties of peptidoglycan with fewer GlcNAc-GlcNAc disaccharides. One distinguishing feature of Borrelia spp. is periplasmic flagella. An individual flagellum wraps around the cell cylinder and peptidoglycan layer to impart a 'flat-wave' morphology²³. Each flagellum is inserted into 7-11 motors²⁴, which are positioned adjacent to each cell pole. Motor rotation of the flagella produces huge torsional stress, which creates backward moving waves that propel the organism forwards. Theoretically, contorting the cell cylinder with torque of this magnitude would necessitate strong and flexible peptidoglycan to counteract the deforming forces produced by the flagella^{25,26}. We speculated that defects in peptidoglycan caused by a reduction in G-G-anhM might alter the response to flagellar ribbon tension, thereby resulting in altered morphology. Phase-contrast micrographs of individual cells show a clear discrepancy in the pitch (or trough) of the wave between WT A3 and A3/chbC strains (Fig. 5a). Morphometric, single-cell analysis between each population was determined by measuring the Roundness²⁷ or the collective area required to enclose an object in an ellipse, corrected by aspect ratio^{28,29}. Roundness provides a normalized assessment of deviations from the typical flat-wave morphology by estimating collective differences in helical trough depth. Population-level analysis of individual cells confirmed that there was a significant amount of variability in the helical pitch of the chitobiose mutant strain (Fig. 5b). Morphological changes in helicity suggest an imbalance in the elastic force homoeostasis between the peptidoglycan and the motility machinery.

An imbalance in counteracting forces may impact spirochaete motility. We evaluated this possibility by a swarm assay, in which two equidistant sites on a single semisoft agar plate were inoculated with each strain and, after 5 d of incubation, the radial distance was measured. Although A3/*chbC* retains the ability to move in this assay, the A3 WT strain translated significantly greater distances, confirming that cell wall:motility balance was disrupted (Fig. 5c).

These data lend support to a model in which *B. burgdorferi* peptidoglycan homoeostasis is tuned to the torsional stress created by periplasmic flagella³⁰. Our analyses provide evidence that the *B. burgdorferi* cell-wall composition is required to withstand the



Fig. 6 | Biophysical properties of *B. burgdorferi* **peptidoglycan with reduced levels of G-G-anhM. a**, AM-FM topological mapping (upper) and elasticity measurements (lower) using the Hertz contact model on purified peptidoglycan sacculi from each strain. Note that measurements collected for each sample had dramatically different force ranges, which is reflected in colour maps (below). These images represent data collected from eight independent sacculi per sample. Scale bar, 400 nm. **b**, Line-scan analysis of force measurements collected from each pixel in seven independent sacculi per sample. Statistical significance (`) was assessed using the two-tailed, unpaired Student's *t*-test ($P=5.3 \times 10^{-5}$). **c**, The same line scans in **b** were used to measure pixel-level height differences in each sample. Statistical significance (`) was assessed using the two-tailed, upaired Student's *t*-test (P=0.0028). **d**, Fold-change of the elasticity of A3/*chbC* peptidoglycan, relative to A3, normalized by peptidoglycan height.

torsional forces produced by periplasmic endoflagella. The phenotypic differences (Figs. 4 and 5) we observed in bacteria unable to import chitobiose might result solely from reduced levels of cellular peptidoglycan (Fig. 3b), or it is possible that reduced levels of G-G-anhM could alter the biophysical properties of the B. burgdorferi peptidoglycan sacculus. To evaluate whether the incorporation of GlcNAc-GlcNAc into B. burgdorferi peptidoglycan increases the distance between muropeptides, adjacent to glycan termini (Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 2), and renders peptidoglycan more flexible, we carried out elasticity-based mechanical measurements using AFM on purified sacculi. Comparative analysis of peptidoglycan elasticity between individual sacculi appeared similar (Fig. 6a) but, to capture the full range of measurements, the A3/chbC sample required a colour map that extended $>3\times$ the maximum force of WT sacculi (Fig. 6a). Tandem height and force-map measurements revealed that peptidoglycan samples with reduced G-G-anhM were, on average, 3.3× stiffer than those with WT levels of G-G-anhM (Fig. 6b). We note, however, that topological height mapping also showed differences in peptidoglycan thickness between samples (Fig. 6c), potentially due to less total peptidoglycan in A3/chbC (Fig. 3b). To exclude the possibility that differences in thickness contribute to elastic modulus differences, we normalized readouts to peptidoglycan thickness, and performed a relative comparison on the same sacculi. Even after accounting for thickness differences, G-G-anhM content correlated with elasticity (Fig. 6d).

Discussion

Peptidoglycan is important in bacterial physiology, morphology, cell biology, host interactions, and as a target for antibiotics^{1,31,32}. Peptidoglycan cell-wall chemistry is intimately linked to each process, but typically by way of the variability in muropeptide(s) and/ or their linkages. Peptidoglycan glycan stoichiometry, on the other hand, was thought to be invariable. In the present study, we report that peptidoglycan from multiple strains and species of *Borrelia* terminates glycans with G-G-anhM (Fig. 2d).

Peptidoglycan determines the shape of most bacterial cells through its flexibility and structure^{33,34}. However, in *B. burgdorferi*,

the periplasmic flagellar ribbon is the main cell-shape determinant^{23,24}. Modelling has indicated that peptidoglycan resists both the natural curvature of the flagellar filaments and the stress created by locomotion^{25,35}. We provide evidence for this model. Specifically, we demonstrate that bacteria unable to import chitobiose have reduced amounts of peptidoglycan (Fig. 3) and altered peptidoglycan composition (Fig. 4), which results in abnormalities in cell morphology (Fig. 5a,b).

Disrupting the balance between flagellar motion and peptidoglycan structure impairs motility (Fig. 5c). The elastic properties of peptidoglycan are a function of the degree and type of peptide cross-linking, in addition to the thickness and glycan orientation relative to the long axis^{33,36-38}. Our AFM analyses suggest that a B. burgdorferi peptidoglycan structure might endow cells with elasticity by terminating glycans with G-G-anhM (Figs. 1 and 2), which enables larger distances between adjacent peptides and increases peptidoglycan flexibility (Fig. 6). The latter is remarkable given that, on average, B. burgdorferi glycan length is 30 disaccharides⁷ and, thus, G-G-anhM can constitute only ~3.3% of all muropeptides (Supplementary Tables 1-3). Although it is difficult to make direct comparisons of elastic modulus results due to the variability in probe standardization and data acquisition, our Young's modulus measurements of WT B. burgdorferi peptidoglycan (~5.2×108 N m⁻²; Fig. 6b) are very much in line with those published for E. coli $(\sim 3.5 \times 10^8 \,\mathrm{N\,m^{-2}})^{36}$, which were obtained using similar sample processing and data acquisition conditions. The elastic properties of peptidoglycan isolated from bacteria unable to utilize chitobioseleading to a reduction in G-G-anhM-were reduced, resulting in stiffer peptidoglycan (Fig. 6). Our data support the hypothesis that the flexibility and molecular organization of the B. burgdorferi cell wall are fine-tuned to the shape-determining properties of periplasmic flagella to enable optimal motility³⁸.

The Lyme disease spirochaete lives in two distinct environments: vertebrates and ticks³⁹. The *chbC* transcript is expressed during all phases of growth⁴⁰, and is upregulated in the tick vector⁴¹ and under conditions similar to the tick midgut¹³, when spirochaete replication rate is slow⁴² and sugar metabolism is at a premium.

ARTICLES

The tick-associated, *B. burgdorferi* response regulator Rrp1 is involved in *chbC* upregulation in the vector, probably via RpoS¹⁵. Chitobiose is thought to be important both in cell-wall biosynthesis and as a carbon/nitrogen source in the nutrient-poor tick midgut, but through the utilization and isomerization of GlcNAc monomers^{13,15,23}, not the direct use of the disaccharide chitobiose in peptidoglycan biosynthesis (Fig. 3). It is surprising that chitobiose transport is not required to successfully complete the tick–vertebrate enzootic life cycle of *B. burgdorferi*²¹. Chitobiose transport accounts for only ~37% of peptidoglycan G-G-anhM (Supplementary Table 6), which means that *B. burgdorferi* must possess additional, yet to be determined, means by which G-G-anhM is synthesized.

B. burgdorferi encounters transient changes in osmotic stress during migration from the tick midgut to the salivary glands during feeding and subsequently in a vertebrate host⁴³. Bacteria with reduced *chbC* synthesis cannot survive in medium with >500 mosmol⁴³, which is in line with our findings (Fig. 4b). Curiously, early stages of *B. burgdorferi* migration in the tick are reported to coincide with changes in spirochaete morphology and mode of motility⁴⁴. It is possible that, similar to other pathogens that alter their peptide cross-linking to withstand changes in environmental and host-derived insults⁴⁵, *B. burgdorferi* alters the amount of G-G-anhM in its cell wall during different stages of the enzootic cycle.

Bacterial growth requires peptidoglycan turnover. Fragments are excised from the existing sacculus and replaced with large multimers, resulting in elongation. Instead of re-purposing released muropeptides, like many diderms *B. burgdorferi* sheds them into their environment⁷. The hallmark of muropeptide turnover is the release of anhMurNAc-containing peptidoglycan fragments⁴⁶. It is tempting to speculate that G-G-anhM may be key in peptidoglycan-associated Lyme disease pathologies⁷. Not only may G-G-anhM-containing muropeptides produce unusual innate immune-mediated responses, but also they may be responsible for creating specificity in certain surveillance system(s)⁴⁷. The unusual sugar organization may also be more resistant to degradation (Fig. 4c) by host-derived lysozyme and could be key in extending the half-life of *B. burgdorferi* peptidoglycan in the synovial fluid of patients with Lyme disease arthritis⁷.

The evolutionary landscape of arthropods, and their resident microbial symbionts, is beginning to come into focus. Co-evolutionary adaptive mechanisms have been fine-tuned for tens of millions of years⁴⁸. For instance, *I. scapularis* has co-opted a peptidoglycan hydrolase of bacterial origins to limit *B. burgdorferi* expansion⁴⁹, while protecting itself from pathogen acquisition. Microbial communities act in concert to alter tick midgut physiology, impacting the frequency and transmissibility of its residents^{50,51}. *B. burgdorferi* has foregone the need for seemingly essential vitamins like thiamine, which are probably not present in tick midguts⁵². Our findings provide another example of how an endoparasitic bacterium has evolved to hijack arthropod components for use as a basic cell-wall building block.

Methods

B. burgdorferi strains, genome analysis, growth conditions and analysis. All *B. burgdorferi* strains used in the present study are transformable derivatives of the type strain¹⁰. *B. burgdorferi* B31-5A11, B31-5A3 and a non-infectious clone of B31-5A3 (ref. ³³) were provided by F. Gheradini (National Institutes of Health (NIH)), J. Coburn (Medical College of Wisconsin) and U. Pal (University of Maryland), respectively. The B31-5A3/*chbC1* strain was provided by P. Rosa (Rocky Mountain Labs, NIH) and has been characterized elsewhere²¹. *B. hermsii* strain HS1 was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC).

All *Borrelia* strains were grown in Barbour–Stoenner–Kelly II (BSK-II) medium supplemented with 6% heat-inactivated rabbit serum (Gibco Laboratories), hereafter referred to as BSK-II complete culture medium⁵⁴. We note that BSK-II complete culture medium contains yeast autolysate, which is a source of chitobiose (Extended Data Figs. 3 and 4). Metabolic labelling studies simply replaced unlabelled Glc/Ac (Sigma-Aldrich, 0.33 gl⁻¹) with [1-¹³C]Glc/Ac or [1-¹³C] Man/Ac (Omicron Biochemicals). Glc/Ac-free BSK-II was supplemented with

varying amounts of GalNAc or ManNAc (Sigma-Aldrich), as described in the text. Regardless of medium manipulations, all cultures were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. Bacteria were enumerated using Incyto C-Chip disposable haemocytometers (SKC Inc.). All measurements were performed in triplicate and the average was reported or used to normalize material for downstream analysis.

The entire genome of each B. burgdorferi strain was sequenced to confirm: (1) plasmid content; (2) clean deletion of A3/chbC; (3) A3/chbC free of polar mutations; and (4) clonality (Supplementary Tables 4 and 5). We note that cells collected for DNA analysis were from a small fraction of a larger batch of culture that was used for peptidoglycan analysis and, thus, were the same passage. For instance, 450 ml of batch culture was split into 40 ml and 410 ml before harvesting cells by centrifugation. After washing each 3× with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), genomic DNA was purified using quick-DNA miniprep plus kit (Zymo Research) following the manufacturer's recommended procedures for the 40-ml culture, whereas the rest was used to attain a highly pure preparation of peptidoglycan (below). Purified DNA was sequenced and assembled by the Microbial Genome Sequencing Center. Reads were analysed using breseq55 (freely available online at http://barricklab.org/breseq) to align Illumina reads with reference genome. We ran breseq separately for each of three strains to identify base-pair substitutions and plasmid profiles relative to the reference genome B. burgdorferi B31 clonal isolate 5A3 (RefSeq GCF_000008685.2). Outputs were analysed manually and summarized in Supplementary Tables 4 and 5.

For peptidoglycan purification, cells were harvested when cultures reached a density of $\sim 5 \times 10^7$ cells ml⁻¹ by centrifugation at 3,500g for 15 min at 4 °C. The resulting pellet was gently washed 3× with PBS before being centrifuged at 3,000g for 15 min at 4 °C. Whole-cell lysate pellets were stored at -20 °C for later use. For direct comparative purposes (A3 versus A3/*chbC*), cells were enumerated and peptidoglycan was extracted (below) from equivalent cell counts (5×10⁷ cells ml⁻¹).

Peptidoglycan isolation: intact peptidoglycan sacculi. Peptidoglycan was isolated and purified from 0.25–21 of mid-log phase cultures; volumes depended on application. Regardless of culture volume, all peptidoglycan was prepared following previously published procedures^{7,56}. The final pellet, containing intact peptidoglycan sacculi, was resuspended in 495 µl of ultra-pure H₂O. Intact peptidoglycan sacculi were stored at 4 °C for AFM analysis or used to generate digested muropeptides as described in the following sections.

Peptidoglycan processing for muropeptide analysis. Intact peptidoglycan sacculi, resuspended in NaHPO₄/NaH₂PO₄ buffer (5 mM, pH 5.5) containing mutanolysin (7.8 μ l, 4000 U ml⁻¹; Sigma-Aldrich), were digested overnight at 37 °C with shaking. The following morning, an additional 7.8 μ l of mutanolysin was spiked in and allowed to incubate, shaking, for 5 h at 37 °C. The mutanolysin digest was then heat inactivated at 100 °C for 10 min. After heat inactivation, the digest was cooled to room temperature and centrifuged at 22,000g for 30 min. The supernatant (containing digested peptidoglycan muropeptides) was carefully moved to a preweighed microfuge tube without disturbing the pellet (undigested peptidoglycan). The supernatant, containing digested muropeptides, was dried and the final weight determined.

Purified, dried muropeptides were fully dissolved in 150 µl of saturated sodium borate buffer, pH 9.25. Sodium borohydride or borodeuteride (50 mg) was dissolved in 500 µl of LC-MS-grade H_2O , and an aliquot (50 µl) was added slowly to the muropeptide solution with mixing after the addition was complete. The reduction was quenched after 1 h by the addition of LC-MS-grade formic acid (~10 µl) to a pH of ~3 as evaluated by pH paper. Samples were then immediately snap-frozen and dried using a high vacuum line equipped with a liquid nitrogen solvent trap. Dried samples were stored desiccated until analysis, which involved reconstitution in $H_2O:MeCN$ (200 µl, 9:1, v:v) containing 0.1% formic acid. Reconstituted samples were sonicated in a water bath for 10 min and centrifuged at 4°C (13,000g, 10 min). From the centrifuged sample, 180 µl was placed in a labelled LC-MS vial for analysis.

LC–MS analysis. Analyses were performed on a Shimadzu LCMS9030 QToF instrument interfaced with a LC-40B X3 UPLC, a SIL-40C X3 autosampler (10 °C) and a CTO-40C column oven (40 °C). Gradient separations utilized a BEH C₁₈ column (2.1 mm × 50 mm, 1.7-µm particle size; Waters) with solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and solvent B (0.1% formic acid in MeOH) at a constant flow rate of 0.4 ml min⁻¹. Initial solvent conditions were 99:1 (A:B) which was held constant for 3 min, followed by a shallow linear gradient to 8% B at 12 min, then to 20% B at 24 min and finally to 95% B at 25 min, which was held for 4 min. The gradient was converted to starting conditions with a 1-min gradient to 1% B (29 min), followed by a 5-min hold. Sample injection volumes ranged from 0.5 µl to 20 µl. The first 1.25 min of the separation was diverted to waste to avoid reduction reaction product contamination of the mass spectrometer interface.

The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ion mode using electrospray ionization and external calibration (NaI). Interface voltage was 4.0 kV at 300 °C, with a desolvation temperature of 526 °C and a DL transfer line temperature of 250 °C. Gas flows (lmin⁻¹) were 2, 10 and 10 for nebulizing, heating and drying gases, respectively. Muropeptide data were collected between 1.25 and 24 min using several different MS and MS/MS programmes. For statistical comparisons

NATURE MICROBIOLOGY

of strains, data were collected in MS mode only, from 400 m/z to 2,000 m/z at 0.1 Hz. Fragmentation data were collected in data-dependent mode (top three) at low Q1 resolution, with three MS/MS spectra, before placement on the exclusion list (15 s of exclusion time). The precursor window as set to 400–2,000 m/z with fragmentation data collected between 50 and 2,000 m/z, using a ramped collision energy (25±10 V). Total duty cycle was 0.4 s (0.1 s per event).

LC-MS data analytics. Shimadzu.LCD files were converted to the .mzML file format using Shimadzu LabSolutions (v.5.99 SP2). The discovery of features and associated peak areas was performed using the xcms package (v.3.13) in the R programming environment (v.4.0.3)^{57,88}. The R package RamClustR (v.1.1)³⁹ was used to reduce spectral redundancy through the binning of the features into groups and this reduced dataset was used for further statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (ref. ⁶⁰). Principal component analysis was performed on log(transformed) and pareto-scaled peak area values.

To determine the relative amount of G-G-anhM present in WT A3 and A3/*chbC* strains, we prepared 6 independent, 450-ml culture volumes of BSK-II complete medium. Each strain was propagated in three independent cultures and peptidoglycan was purified from the same number of cells, in all six samples. Relative abundances of muropeptides were quantified from all three independent peptidoglycan samples of A3 and A3/*chbC*. RamClustR was once again used as above; however, from the resulting binned dataset, data were manually curated to ensure that all adducts and redundancies were successfully filtered out. For each muropeptide, from each replicate, relative abundance was calculated as the amount of muropeptide compared with the sum of all muropeptides present. These values were averaged between all three replicates of A3/*chbC* and compared with the averaged replicates of A3 for each muropeptide.

Confirmation of chitobiose in autohydrolysed yeast. The confirmation is based on matching retention times and high-resolution mass spectrometric analysis of both parent and fragment ions. Both a chitobiose standard (Neogen) and autohydrolysed yeast (Yeastolate, Difco, BD & Co.) were separated by porous graphitic carbon (PGC) LC, essentially as described previously⁶¹. Separations were performed on a Hypercarb PGC column (100 mm × 2.1 mm, 5-mm particle size; Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a binary gradient of water (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B), both containing 10 mM ammonium hydroxide. The separation began at 95% solvent A (0–2 min), with a linear gradient to 75% A at 15 min and then to 5% A at 20 min. The system was held at 5% A for 4 min, with a 1-min linear ramp back to initial conditions, and held for 5 min. Total run time was 30 min at a flow rate of 0.4 ml min⁻¹, with the column maintained at 50°C. The LC unit comprised two LC-40B X3 pumps, a SIL-40C X3 autosampler (10°C) and a CTO-40C column oven (Shimadzu Scientific). The first 1.25 min of the separation was sent to waste, with data collection from 1.25 min to 24 min.

The mass spectrometer (LCMS9030; Shimadzu) was operated as described for the muropeptide work using three events. Event 1 was a scan from 200 m/z, to 1,500 m/z, followed by two sequential MS/MS scans using m/z values matching those of the $[M+H]^+$ and $[M+Na]^+$ forms of N,N'-diacetylchitobiose (425.1766 and 447.1585). Each event time was 0.1 s. Collision energy for the MS/MS scans was ramped ± 17 V centred on 35 V.

Monosaccharide analysis. Peptidoglycan glycosyl composition analysis was performed by the Complex Carbohydrate Research Center (Athens, GA). Peptidoglycan was purified, as described above, from two independent *E. coli* K-12 and *B. burgdorferi* 5A11 cultures. Each sample was spiked with 20 µg of myoinositol (internal standard) and hydrolysed (200 µl 6M HCl, 100 °C, 16 h). After solvent removal under a stream of nitrogen, glycosyl composition analysis was performed by combined gas chromatography (GC)–MS of the alditol acetates as described previously⁶². The samples were hydrolysed again in 2 M trifluoroacetic acid for 2 h in a sealed tube at 120 °C, reduced with NaBD₄ and acetylated using acetic anhydride/pyridine. The resulting alditol acetates were analysed by GC–MS analysis on an Agilent 7890A GC interfaced to a 5975C MSD, electron impact ionization mode. Separation was performed on a 30-m Equity 1 capillary column. Alongside the samples, standards of Glc/NAc, GalNAc, ManNAc and MurNAc were also analysed.

NMR spectroscopy. Muropeptide samples and a chitotriose standard were reduced with NaBH₄ as described above, followed by removal of reaction byproducts using gravity-fed size exclusion chromatography (1 cm × 20 cm column, 15 ml of Bio-Gel P-2 medium, fine-grade) using a 9:1 (v:v) mixture of wate:95% ethanol (food grade/glass distilled) as the mobile phase. Muropeptide fractions (~0.5 ml) were collected manually and combined after assessment by ultraviolet absorption (DeNovix DS-11 FX+) and LC–MS. Combined fractions were snap-frozen, dried and freeze-dried once with 100% D₂O before NMR. Samples (unlabelled muropeptides, ¹³C-labelled and chitotriitol) were dissolved in 100% D₂O, placed in a standard NMR tube (unlabelled and chitotriitol) or a Shigemi tube (¹³C-labelled) and analysed on a Bruker Biospin600 MHz instrument. Standard pulse sequences were used for ¹H, ¹³C, COSY, gH2BC, gHMBC and gHSOC. Data were processed using MestReNova (v.14.2, Mestrelab Research).

Stress tests and plate recovery assay. WT 5A3 and 5A3/*chbC* strains were cultured to a final density of 5×10^7 cells ml⁻¹ and back-diluted to a concentration of 10^6 cells ml⁻¹ in 5 ml in BSK-II complete culture medium. NaCl (Affymetrix) and lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich) were added to a final concentration of 0.1 M and 0.375 µg ml⁻¹, respectively—one treatment per tube, per strain—and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. These conditions are identical to those used previously for a similar purpose⁸. We note that the addition of 0.1 M NaCl resulted in a final osmolality of 544 mosmol, as determined by Fiske Micro-Osmometer Model 210, following the manufacturer's recommended procedure.

Four batches of 100-ml BSK plating medium were prepared as previously described⁸ and added to four 100-ml volumes of pre-equilibrated, 5% low-melt agarose solution (1:1 ratio). The resulting solution was then allowed to re-equilibrate to 48 °C in the water bath (referred to as the plating medium hereafter). The plating medium was poured—25 ml per plate, 4 plates per batch of plating medium, for 16 plates—and allowed to solidify at room temperature for 2 h. The medium poured constitutes the bottom layer. The top layer consisted of an equal amount of culture medium, which was inoculated in a serial dilution of each strain, for each treatment. After 9 d, the colony-forming units were determined using a magnified Petri dish light box, fitted with a grid.

The serial dilution replicates for each strain and treatment were normalized by cell inoculum concentration, to the highest concentration, and reported as total colony-forming units observed. Differences in total colony-forming units were compared using a two-tailed, unpaired Student's *t*-test and graphed in GraphPad Prism 8.0.

Swarm/motility assay. To evaluate the motility of 5A3 and 5A3/*chbC* strains, we prepared solid medium as described above, but with the following modifications: (1) the final concentration of low-melt agarose was adjusted to 0.5% (w:v) and (2) the entire volume-plating medium was added at once (that is, no layering) and allowed to solidify for 4h at room temperature. After the plates solidified, we subsurface inoculated one side of each plate with 7.5 µl of the 10⁹ cells ml⁻¹ of 5A3, and the other with equal amounts of 5A3/*chbC*. This was repeated for a total of five plates.

After 5 d we measured the radius, in millimetres, of the disseminated colony in four different directions. These values were averaged to obtain a single, average radius value, which was recorded for both strains on each plate. Differences in the five average radius values for 5A3 and 5A3/chbC were compared using a two-tailed, unpaired Student's *t*-test and graphed in GraphPad Prism 8.0.

Sample preparation, brightfield microscopy and image analysis. The

morphological differences between 5A3 and 5A3/*chbC* were evaluated using phase-contrast microscopy on fixed cells. Briefly, both strains were cultured to a final density of 10⁷ cells ml⁻¹ in BSK-II complete culture medium. Cells were fixed by adding 16% paraformaldehyde, from a fresh ampoule to a final concentration of 1.8% (v:v), as previously described⁴³. The mixture was incubated with gentle agitation for 10 min at room temperature, followed by 20 min on ice. Fixed cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3,500g for 15 min at 4°C, and washed 3× with, and resuspended in, PBS.

Fixed cells were spotted on 2% agarose (in PBS) pads, as previously described⁵⁶. Phase-contrast micrographs were acquired on a Zeiss Axio Observer equipped with an oil-immersion phase-contrast objective Plan Apochromat 100×/1.45 numerical aperture (Nikon) using a Hamamatsu Orca-Flash 4.0 V3 Digital CMOS camera. Image acquisition occurred on the same day, using the same agarose pad, which was split in half. Cell preparation, image acquisition and analysis were repeated to ensure reproducibility. Results from independent experiments were almost identical and, thus, results from one experiment were reported.

We attempted to use the automated cell detection software $Oufti^{64}$, as has been done in the past for *B. burgdorferi* phase-contrast micrographs⁵⁶. However, the gross morphological changes (Fig. 5) in 5A3/*chbC* made cell detection challenging. We opted for an alternative approach whereby a threshold was applied to each phase-contrast micrograph, using Fiji. This resulted in clear cell outlines, with clean cell boundaries, for virtually all cells in a field of view (see Supplementary Fig. 32, for example). After semi-automated cell detection, we used the macro function Roundness to calculate differences in cell shape. In the present study, the cell area is fitted to an ellipse, normalized by the aspect ratio of the object—an established method to evaluate the differences in the area that a cell occupies²⁷. Values were attained from ≥300 cells for each experiment and statistical significance was determined by an unpaired Student's *t*-test.

AFM. A suspension of purified peptidoglycan (above), isolated from 5A3 and 5A3/*chbC*, was created with ultra-pure water, diluted 1:5 (v:v), and 50 µl was deposited on to a freshly cleaved mica sheet (10 mm in diameter) attached to a metal AFM sample puck with epoxy. Samples were incubated for 5 min before being dried with nitrogen gas. All images were acquired using a Jupiter-XR AFM (Oxford Instruments Asylum Research) operating in amplitude-modulated–frequency-modulated (AM–FM) mode with an AC160TS-R3 (Olympus) cantilever. Cantilever oscillation was produced using photothermal excitation. The cantilevers first Eigen and second Eigen modes were tuned to free amplitudes of 2 and 0.025 V, respectively. The setpoints were established to achieve a phase

angle <90° (repulsive regime) to permit stiffness image acquisition: typically, 1.5 and 0.018 V, respectively. Stiffness values were calculated using the Hertz contact model assuming that the radius of contact was 8 nm. Before image acquisition, the cantilever spring constant was calibrated using Asylum Research's GetReal Calibration Software API. Raw data files were processed and analysed using Gwyddion. Height and stiffness measurements were compiled in Gwyddion and results graphed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

All data collected from our studies can be found in the main article, Supplementary information, Extended data and Source data. The raw WGS data can be found here: strain B31-5A11, accession no. SAMN21566060 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/biosample/SAMN21566061; strain B31-5A3, accession no. SAMN21566061 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample/21566061); strain B31-5A3., accession no. SAMN21566062 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample/21566062); strain B31-5A3/chbC, accession no. SAMN21566063 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample/21566063). Source data are provided with this paper.

Received: 23 February 2021; Accepted: 20 October 2021; Published online: 24 November 2021

References

- Vollmer, W., Blanot, D. & de Pedro, M. A. Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 32, 149–167 (2008).
- Kugeler, K. J., Schwartz, A. M., Delorey, M. J., Mead, P. S. & Hinckley, A. F. Estimating the frequency of Lyme disease diagnoses, United States, 2010–2018. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 27, 616–619 (2021).
- Branda, J. A. et al. Advances in serodiagnostic testing for Lyme disease are at hand. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 66, 1133–1139 (2018).
- 4. Steere, A. C. Treatment of Lyme arthritis. J. Rheumatol. 46, 871-873 (2019).
- Motaleb, M. A., Liu, J. & Wooten, R. M. Spirochetal motility and chemotaxis in the natural enzootic cycle and development of Lyme disease. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 28, 106–113 (2015).
- Charon, N. W. et al. The unique paradigm of spirochete motility and chemotaxis. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 66, 349–370 (2012).
- Jutras, B. L. et al. Borrelia burgdorferi peptidoglycan is a persistent antigen in patients with Lyme arthritis. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 116, 13498–13507 (2019).
- Davis, M. M. et al. The peptidoglycan-associated protein NapA plays an important role in the envelope integrity and in the pathogenesis of the Lyme disease spirochete. *PLoS Pathog.* 17, e1009546 (2021).
- Beck, G., Benach, J. L. & Habicht, G. S. Isolation, preliminary chemical characterization, and biological actity of *Borrelia burgdorferi* peptidoglycan. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 167, 89–95 (1990).
- Fraser, C. M. et al. Genomic sequence of a Lyme disease spirochaete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Nature 390, 580-586 (1997).
- 11. Barbour, A. G. Isolation and cultivation of Lyme disease spirochetes. *Yale J. Biol. Med.* **57**, 521–525 (1984).
- Schneider, E. M. & Rhodes, R. G. N-Acetylmannosamine (ManNAc) supports the growth of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in the absence of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc). *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 365, (2018).
- 13. Tilly, K. et al. Genetics and regulation of chitobiose utilization in *Borrelia* burgdorferi. J. Bacteriol. **183**, 5544–5553 (2001).
- von Lackum, K. & Stevenson, B. Carbohydrate utilization by the Lyme borreliosis spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi. FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 243, 173–179 (2005).
- Sze, C. W. et al. Study of the response regulator Rrp1 reveals its regulatory role in chitobiose utilization and virulence of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Infect. Immun.* 81, 1775–1787 (2013).
- Elias, A. F. et al. Clonal polymorphism of *Borrelia burgdorferi* strain B31 MI: implications for mutagenesis in an infectious strain background. *Infect. Immun.* 70, 2139–2150 (2002).
- Jewett, M. W. et al. The critical role of the linear plasmid lp36 in the infectious cycle of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Mol. Microbiol. 64, 1358–1374 (2007).
- Kawabata, H., Norris, S. J. & Watanabe, H. BBE02 disruption mutants of Borrelia burgdorferi B31 have a highly transformable, infectious phenotype. Infect. Immun. 72, 7147–7154 (2004).
- Barbour, A. G. & Gupta, R. S. The family Borreliaceae (Spirochaetales), a diverse group in two genera of tick-borne spirochetes of mammals, birds, and reptiles. J. Med. Entomol. 58, 1513–1524 (2021).
- 20. Zhu, Z., Gern, L. & Aeschlimann, A. The peritrophic membrane of *Ixodes ricinus*. *Parisitol. Res.* 77, 635–641 (1991).
- 21. Tilly, K. G. D., Bueschel, D. M., Krum, J. G. & Rosa, P. Infectious cycle analysis of a *Borrelia burgdorferi* mutant defective in transport of chitobiose, a tick cuticle component. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 4, 159–168 (2004).

- Coutte, L., Botkin, D. J., Gao, L. & Norris, S. J. Detailed analysis of sequence changes occurring during vlsE antigenic variation in the mouse model of *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection. *PLoS Pathog.* 5, e1000293 (2009).
- Motaleb, M. A. et al. Borrelia burgdorferi periplasmic flagella have both skeletal and motility functions. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 97, 10899–10904 (2000).
- Charon, N. W. et al. The flat-ribbon configuration of the periplasmic flagella of *Borrelia burgdorferi* and its relationship to motility and morphology. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**, 600–607 (2009).
- 25. Dombrowski, C. et al. The elastic basis for the shape of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Biophys. J.* **96**, 4409-4417 (2009).
- Goldstein, S. F., Charon, N. W. & Kreiling, J. A. Borrelia burgdorferi swims with a planarwaveform similar to that of eukaryotic flagella. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 91, 3433–3437 (1994).
- Milner, D. S. et al. DivIVA controls progeny morphology and diverse ParA proteins regulate cell division or gliding motility in *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 542 (2020).
- 28. Takashimizu, Y. & Iiyoshi, M. New parameter of roundness R: circularity corrected by aspect ratio. *Prog. Earth Planet. Sci.* **3**, 2 (2016).
- 29. Hart, M. et al. Shaping the cell and the future: recent advancements in biophysical aspects relevant to regenerative medicine. *J. Funct. Morphol. Kinesiol.* **3**, 2 (2018).
- Charon, N. W. & Goldstein, S. F. Genetics of motility and chemotaxis of a fascinating group of bacteria: the spirochetes. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 36, 47–73 (2002).
- Irazoki, O., Hernandez, S. B. & Cava, F. Peptidoglycanmuropeptides: release, perception, and functions as signaling molecules. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 500 (2019).
- Wolf, A. J. & Underhill, D. M. Peptidoglycan recognition by the innate immune system. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 18, 243–254 (2018).
- Huang, K. C., Mukhopadhyay, R., Wen, B., Gitai, Z. & Wingreen, N. S. Cell shape and cell-wall organization in Gram-negative bacteria. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 105, 19282–19287 (2008).
- Cabeen, M. T. & Jacobs-Wagner, C. Bacterial cell shape. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3, 601–610 (2005).
- Goldstein, S. F., Buttle, K. F. & Charon, N. F. Structural analysis of the Leptospiraceae and *Borrelia burgdorferi* by high-voltage electron microscopy. *J. Bacteriol.* 178, 6539–6545 (1996).
- Yao, X., Jericho, M., Pink, D. & Beveridge, T. Thickness and elasticity of Gram-negative murein sacculi measured by atomic force microscopy. J. Bacteriol. 181, 6865–6875 (1999).
- Meroueh, S. O. et al. Three-dimensional structure of the bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*. 103, 4404–4409 (2006).
- Harman, M. W. et al. Vancomycin reduces cell wall stiffness and slows swim speed of the Lyme disease bacterium. *Biophys. J.* 112, 746–754 (2017).
- Radolf, J. D., Caimano, M. J., Stevenson, B. & Hu, L. T. Of ticks, mice and men: understanding the dual-host lifestyle of Lyme disease spirochaetes. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 10, 87–99 (2012).
- Arnold, W. K. et al. RNA-Seq of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in multiple phases of growth reveals insights into the dynamics of gene expression, transcriptome architecture, and noncoding RNAs. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0164165 (2016).
- Pappas, C. J. et al. *Borrelia burgdorferi* requires glycerol for maximum fitness during the tick phase of the enzootic cycle. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, e1002102 (2011).
- Jutras, B. L., Chenail, A. M. & Stevenson, B. Changes in bacterial growth rate govern expression of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* OspC and Erp infection-associated surface proteins. *J. Bacteriol.* **195**, 757–764 (2013).
- Bontemps-Gallo, S., Lawrence, K. & Gherardini, F. C. Two different virulence-related regulatory pathways in *Borrelia burgdorferi* are directly affected by osmotic fluxes in the blood meal of feeding *Ixodes* ticks. *PLoS Pathog.* 12, e1005791 (2016).
- Dunham-Ems, S. M. et al. Live imaging reveals a biphasic mode of dissemination of *Borrelia burgdorferi* within ticks. *J. Clin. Invest.* 119, 3652–3665 (2009).
- Yadav, A. K., Espaillat, A. & Cava, F. Bacterial strategies to preserve cell wall integrity against environmental threats. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 2064 (2018).
- 46. Schaub, R. E. & Dillard, J. P. The pathogenic *Neisseria* use a streamlined set of peptidoglycan degradationproteins for peptidoglycan remodeling, recycling, and toxic fragment release. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 73 (2019).
- Gupta, A. et al. A human secretome library screen reveals a role for peptidoglycan recognition protein 1 in Lyme borreliosis. *PLoS Pathog.* 16, e1009030 (2020).
- Stewart, P. E. & Bloom, M. E. Sharing the ride: *Ixodes scapularis* symbionts and their Interactions. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **10**, 142 (2020).
- Chou, S. et al. Transferred interbacterial antagonism genes augment eukaryotic innate immune function. *Nature* 518, 98–101 (2015).
- 50. Narasimhan, S. et al. Gut microbiota of the tick vector *Ixodes scapularis* modulate colonization of the Lyme disease spirochete. *Cell Host Microbe* **15**, 58–71 (2014).

ARTICLES

NATURE MICROBIOLOGY

- Abraham, N. M. et al. Pathogen-mediated manipulation of arthropod microbiota to promote infection. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 114, E781–E790 (2017).
- 52. Zhang, K. et al. Lyme disease spirochaete Borrelia burgdorferi does not require thiamin. Nat. Microbiol. 2, 16213 (2016).
- Purser, J. E. & Norris, S. J. Correlation between plasmid content and infectivity in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 13865–13870 (2000).
- Zückert, W. R. Laboratory maintenance of *Borrelia. Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* 12, C.1 (2007).
- Deatherage, D. E. & Barrick, J. E. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1151, 165–188 (2014).
- 56. Jutras, B. L. et al. Lyme disease and relapsing fever *Borrelia* elongate through zones of peptidoglycan synthesis that mark division sites of daughter cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 113, 9162–9170 (2016).
- 57. Tautenhahn, R., Bottcher, C. & Neumann, S. Highly sensitive feature detection for high resolution LC/MS. *BMC Bioinforma.* 9, 504 (2008).
- R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2009).
- RAMClustR: Mass spectrometry metabolomics feature clustering and interpretation. R Package version 1.1.0 (2019).
- Chong, J. et al. MetaboAnalyst 4.0: towards more transparent and integrative metabolomics analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, W486–W494 (2018).
- Fan, J. Q., Kondo, A., Kato, I. & Lee, Y. C. High-performance liquid chromatography of glycopeptides and oligosaccharides on graphitized carbon columns. *Anal. Biochem.* 219, 224–229 (1994).
- Pena, M. J., Tuomivaara, S. T., Urbanowicz, B. R., O'Neill, M. A. & York, W. S. Methods for structural characterization of the products of cellulose- and xyloglucan-hydrolyzing enzymes. *Methods Enzymol.* 510, 121–139 (2012).
- Brock, A. M. & Jutras, B. L. A simple method to detect *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato proteins in different sub-cellular compartments by immunofluorescence. *Ticks Tick. Borne Dis.* 12, 101808 (2021).
- Paintdakhi, A. et al. Oufti: an integrated software package for high-accuracy, high-throughput quantitative microscopy analysis. *Mol. Microbiol.* 99, 767–777 (2016).

Acknowledgements

We thank P. Rosa, U. Pal and J. Coburn for the bacterial strains used in the present study. We thank Oxford Instruments (Asylum Instruments) and E. Valois for the AFM image acquisition, and the Complex Carbohydrate Research Center for their monosaccharide analysis. We thank Z. Hartman for contributing to the confirmation of chitobiose using PGC technologies and LC–MS. We also thank GlycoMIP at Virginia Tech for the resources that they provided—a National Science Foundation Materials Innovation Platform funded through Cooperative Agreement (no. DMR-1933525). We thank members of the Jutras lab, W. Vollmer and K.R. Jutras for spirited discussions during manuscript preparations. These studies were funded, in part, by the Steven & Alexandra Cohen Foundation on tick and tick-borne diseases, the Bay Area Lyme Foundation, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the NIH (grant no. R21A1159800), the US Department of Agriculture (grant no. VA-160113) and the Virginia Tech Fralin Life Sciences Institute. The LC–MS used in the present study was provided by Shimadzu Scientific Instruments (SSI) as part of an ongoing collaboration between the Virginia Tech Mass Spectrometry Incubator and SSI.

Author contributions

T.G.D., R.F.H. and B.L.J. conceived the study and wrote the manuscript. T.G.D., M.R.K., S.B.H., R.F.H. and B.L.J. provided the methodology and performed the investigations. B.L.J. acquired the funding, R.F.H. and B.L.J. administered the project.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Extended data are available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41564-021-01003-w.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-021-01003-w.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Brandon L. Jutras.

Peer review information *Nature Microbiology* thanks Melissa Caimano and the other, anonymous, reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer review reports are available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long

as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021



Extended Data Fig. 1 | Growth of 5A11 *B. burgdorferi* in BSK-II complete media supplemented with GlcNAc, ManNAc, or GalNAc. Growth of 5A11 *B. burgdorferi* in BSK-II complete media supplemented with GlcNAc, ManNAc, or GalNAc. Values represent the mean and standard deviation of three independent cultures.





ARTICLES



Extended Data Fig. 3 | LC-MS Analysis of a Chitobiose Standard. LC-MS Analysis of a Chitobiose Standard. **a**) LC-MS traces using Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) of the sodiated ion, and the Total Ion Chromatograms (TIC) for MS2 analysis of the protonated and sodiated species. **b**) MS2 spectra for the protonated (top) and sodiated (bottom) forms of chitobiose. Spectra summed over the time window indicated in the grey box (4.80-5.17 min).



Extended Data Fig. 4 | LC-MS Analysis of Commercial Autohydrolyzed Yeast (Yeastolate). Supplemental Fig. 10: LC-MS Analysis of Commercial Autohydrolyzed Yeast (Yeastolate). A) LC-MS traces using Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) of the m/z values for chitobiose as the sodiated ion, and the Total Ion Chromatograms (TIC) for MS2 analysis of the protonated and sodiated species. B) MS2 spectra for the m/z values of the protonated (top) and sodiated (bottom) forms of chitobiose. Spectra summed over the time window indicated in the grey box (4.80-5.11 min).

nature research

Corresponding author(s): Brandon Lyon Jutras

Last updated by author(s): 21/10/2021

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.	
n/a	Confirmed		
	\boxtimes	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement	
	\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly	
	\boxtimes	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.	
	\boxtimes	A description of all covariates tested	
\boxtimes		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons	
	\boxtimes	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)	
	\boxtimes	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.	
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings	
\boxtimes		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes	
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated	
	0	Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.	

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code		
Data collection	Peptiodglycan LC-MS data collection: Shimadzu LabSolutions (v 5.99 SP2) Peptidoglycan sugar analysis: Agilent 7890A GC interfaced to 5975C MSD NMR: Bruker TopSpin 3 AFM: Jupiter-XR AFM with Olympus cantilever AC160TS-R3	
Data analysis	AFM force mapping: Asylum Research GetReal Calibration Software (API) Genome Sequencing analysis was performed by breseq (http: //barricklab.org/breseq) Peptidoglycan peak area: xcms package (v 3.13) R programming environment (v 4.0.3) Peptidoglycan spectral redundancy binning: R package RamClustR (v 1.1) Peptidoglycan statistical analysis: MetaboAnalyst 4.0 NMR analysis: MestReNova (v 14.2) Stress and motility: GraphPad Prism (v 8.0) Cell morphology: Fiji (imageJ v 2.0) and GraphPad Prism (v 8.0) AFM analysis: Gwyddion (v 2.57)	

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

All data collected and analyzed for this study are included in the main text or supplemental information.

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

 \checkmark Life sciences Behavioural & social sciences

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

Policy information about availability of data

- A list of figures that have associated raw data - A description of any restrictions on data availability

Field-specific reporting

Data

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	Sample size, for each experiment, was pre-determined based on traditional <i>n</i> values published for each technique
Data exclusions	No data was excluded
Replication	All experiments contained multiple technical replicates. All experiments were repeated at least once (biological replicates)
Randomization	Not applicable
Blinding	Not applicable

Behavioural & social sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	Briefly describe the study type including whether data are quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods (e.g. qualitative cross-sectional, quantitative experimental, mixed-methods case study).
Research sample	State the research sample (e.g. Harvard university undergraduates, villagers in rural India) and provide relevant demographic information (e.g. age, sex) and indicate whether the sample is representative. Provide a rationale for the study sample chosen. For studies involving existing datasets, please describe the dataset and source.
Sampling strategy	Describe the sampling procedure (e.g. random, snowball, stratified, convenience). Describe the statistical methods that were used to predetermine sample size OR if no sample-size calculation was performed, describe how sample sizes were chosen and provide a rationale for why these sample sizes are sufficient. For qualitative data, please indicate whether data saturation was considered, and what criteria were used to decide that no further sampling was needed.
Data collection	Provide details about the data collection procedure, including the instruments or devices used to record the data (e.g. pen and paper, computer, eye tracker, video or audio equipment) whether anyone was present besides the participant(s) and the researcher, and whether the researcher was blind to experimental condition and/or the study hypothesis during data collection.
Timing	Indicate the start and stop dates of data collection. If there is a gap between collection periods, state the dates for each sample cohort.
Data exclusions	If no data were excluded from the analyses, state so OR if data were excluded, provide the exact number of exclusions and the rationale behind them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established.
Non-participation	State how many participants dropped out/declined participation and the reason(s) given OR provide response rate OR state that no participants dropped out/declined participation.
Randomization	If participants were not allocated into experimental groups, state so OR describe how participants were allocated to groups, and if

April 2020

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description	Briefly describe the study. For quantitative data include treatment factors and interactions, design structure (e.g. factorial, nested, hierarchical), nature and number of experimental units and replicates.
Research sample	Describe the research sample (e.g. a group of tagged Passer domesticus, all Stenocereus thurberi within Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument), and provide a rationale for the sample choice. When relevant, describe the organism taxa, source, sex, age range and any manipulations. State what population the sample is meant to represent when applicable. For studies involving existing datasets, describe the data and its source.
Sampling strategy	Note the sampling procedure. Describe the statistical methods that were used to predetermine sample size OR if no sample-size calculation was performed, describe how sample sizes were chosen and provide a rationale for why these sample sizes are sufficient.
Data collection	Describe the data collection procedure, including who recorded the data and how.
Timing and spatial scale	Indicate the start and stop dates of data collection, noting the frequency and periodicity of sampling and providing a rationale for these choices. If there is a gap between collection periods, state the dates for each sample cohort. Specify the spatial scale from which the data are taken
Data exclusions	If no data were excluded from the analyses, state so OR if data were excluded, describe the exclusions and the rationale behind them, indicating whether exclusion criteria were pre-established.
Reproducibility	Describe the measures taken to verify the reproducibility of experimental findings. For each experiment, note whether any attempts to repeat the experiment failed OR state that all attempts to repeat the experiment were successful.
Randomization	Describe how samples/organisms/participants were allocated into groups. If allocation was not random, describe how covariates were controlled. If this is not relevant to your study, explain why.
Blinding	Describe the extent of blinding used during data acquisition and analysis. If blinding was not possible, describe why OR explain why blinding was not relevant to your study.

Did the study involve field work?

Field work, collection and transport

Field conditions	Describe the study conditions for field work, providing relevant parameters (e.g. temperature, rainfall).
Location	State the location of the sampling or experiment, providing relevant parameters (e.g. latitude and longitude, elevation, water depth).
Access & import/export	Describe the efforts you have made to access habitats and to collect and import/export your samples in a responsible manner and in compliance with local, national and international laws, noting any permits that were obtained (give the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information).
Disturbance	Describe any disturbance caused by the study and how it was minimized.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study	
\mathbf{X}	Antibodies	
\mathbf{X}	Eukaryotic cell lines	
\mathbf{X}	Palaeontology and archaeology	
\mathbf{X}	Animals and other organisms	
\mathbf{X}	Human research participants	
\mathbf{X}	Clinical data	
\mathbf{X}	Dual use research of concern	

Methods



MRI-based neuroimaging

Antibodies

Antibodies used	Describe all antibodies used in the study; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.
Validation	Describe the validation of each primary antibody for the species and application, noting any validation statements on the manufacturer's website, relevant citations, antibody profiles in online databases, or data provided in the manuscript.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about <u>cell lines</u>		
Cell line source(s)	State the source of each cell line used.	
Authentication	Describe the authentication procedures for each cell line used OR declare that none of the cell lines used were authenticated.	
Mycoplasma contamination	Confirm that all cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination OR describe the results of the testing for mycoplasma contamination OR declare that the cell lines were not tested for mycoplasma contamination.	
Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.	

Palaeontology and Archaeology

Specimen provenance	Provide provenance information for specimens and describe permits that were obtained for the work (including the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information).
Specimen deposition	Indicate where the specimens have been deposited to permit free access by other researchers.
Dating methods	If new dates are provided, describe how they were obtained (e.g. collection, storage, sample pretreatment and measurement), where they were obtained (i.e. lab name), the calibration program and the protocol for quality assurance OR state that no new dates are provided.
Tick this box to confi	rm that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.

Ethics oversight Identify the organization(s) that approved or provided guidance on the study protocol, OR state that no ethical approval or guidance was required and explain why not.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals	For laboratory animals, report species, strain, sex and age OR state that the study did not involve laboratory animals.
Wild animals	Provide details on animals observed in or captured in the field; report species, sex and age where possible. Describe how animals were caught and transported and what happened to captive animals after the study (if killed, explain why and describe method; if released, say where and when) OR state that the study did not involve wild animals.
Field-collected samples	For laboratory work with field-collected samples, describe all relevant parameters such as housing, maintenance, temperature, photoperiod and end-of-experiment protocol OR state that the study did not involve samples collected from the field.
Ethics oversight	Identify the organization(s) that approved or provided guidance on the study protocol, OR state that no ethical approval or guidance was required and explain why not

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Human research participants

Policy information about studie	s involving human research participants
Population characteristics	Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, gender, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."
Recruitment	Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.
Ethics oversight	Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Clinical data

Policy information about <u>clinical studies</u>

All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJE guidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.		
Clinical trial registration	Provide the trial registration number from ClinicalTrials.gov or an equivalent agency.	
Study protocol	Note where the full trial protocol can be accessed OR if not available, explain why.	
Data collection	Describe the settings and locales of data collection, noting the time periods of recruitment and data collection.	
Outcomes	Describe how you pre-defined primary and secondary outcome measures and how you assessed these measures.	

Dual use research of concern

Policy information about dual use research of concern

Hazards

Could the accidental, deliberate or reckless misuse of agents or technologies generated in the work, or the application of information presented in the manuscript, pose a threat to:

No	Yes	
\checkmark		Public health
\checkmark		National security
\checkmark		Crops and/or livestock
\checkmark		Ecosystems
\checkmark		Any other significant area

Experiments of concern

Does the work involve any of these experiments of concern:

No	Yes
\checkmark	Demonstrate how to render a vaccine ineffective
\checkmark	Confer resistance to therapeutically useful antibiotics or antiviral agents
\checkmark	Enhance the virulence of a pathogen or render a nonpathogen virulent
\checkmark	Increase transmissibility of a pathogen
\checkmark	Alter the host range of a pathogen
\checkmark	Enable evasion of diagnostic/detection modalities
\checkmark	Enable the weaponization of a biological agent or toxin
\checkmark	Any other potentially harmful combination of experiments and agents

ChIP-seq

Ν

Data deposition

Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as GEO.

Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

Data access links May remain private before publication.	For "Initial submission" or "Revised version" documents, provide reviewer access links. For your "Final submission" document, provide a link to the deposited data.
Files in database submission	Provide a list of all files available in the database submission.
Genome browser session (e.g. <u>UCSC</u>)	Provide a link to an anonymized genome browser session for "Initial submission" and "Revised version" documents only, to enable peer review. Write "no longer applicable" for "Final submission" documents.
Aethodology	

0202 III

07	
Replicates	Describe the experimental replicates, specifying number, type and replicate agreement.
Sequencing depth	Describe the sequencing depth for each experiment, providing the total number of reads, uniquely mapped reads, length of reads and

Sequencing depth	whether they were paired- or single-end.
Antibodies	Describe the antibodies used for the ChIP-seq experiments; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.
Peak calling parameters	Specify the command line program and parameters used for read mapping and peak calling, including the ChIP, control and index files used.
Data quality	Describe the methods used to ensure data quality in full detail, including how many peaks are at FDR 5% and above 5-fold enrichment.
Software	Describe the software used to collect and analyze the ChIP-seq data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation	Describe the sample preparation, detailing the biological source of the cells and any tissue processing steps used.
Instrument	Identify the instrument used for data collection, specifying make and model number.
Software	Describe the software used to collect and analyze the flow cytometry data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.
Cell population abundance	Describe the abundance of the relevant cell populations within post-sort fractions, providing details on the purity of the samples and how it was determined.
Gating strategy	Describe the gating strategy used for all relevant experiments, specifying the preliminary FSC/SSC gates of the starting cell population, indicating where boundaries between "positive" and "negative" staining cell populations are defined.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.

Magnetic resonance imaging

Experimental design	
Design type	Indicate task or resting state; event-related or block design.
Design specifications	Specify the number of blocks, trials or experimental units per session and/or subject, and specify the length of each trial or block (if trials are blocked) and interval between trials.
Behavioral performance measures	State number and/or type of variables recorded (e.g. correct button press, response time) and what statistics were used to establish that the subjects were performing the task as expected (e.g. mean, range, and/or standard deviation across subjects).
Acquisition	
Imaging type(s)	Specify: functional, structural, diffusion, perfusion.
Field strength	Specify in Tesla
Sequence & imaging parameters	Specify the pulse sequence type (gradient echo, spin echo, etc.), imaging type (EPI, spiral, etc.), field of view, matrix size, slice thickness, orientation and TE/TR/flip angle.
Area of acquisition	State whether a whole brain scan was used OR define the area of acquisition, describing how the region was determined.
Diffusion MRI Used	Not used

Preprocessing

Preprocessing software	Provide detail on software version and revision number and on specific parameters (model/functions, brain extraction, segmentation, smoothing kernel size, etc.).
Normalization	If data were normalized/standardized, describe the approach(es): specify linear or non-linear and define image types used for transformation OR indicate that data were not normalized and explain rationale for lack of normalization.
Normalization template	Describe the template used for normalization/transformation, specifying subject space or group standardized space (e.g. original Talairach, MNI305, ICBM152) OR indicate that the data were not normalized.
Noise and artifact removal	Describe your procedure(s) for artifact and structured noise removal, specifying motion parameters, tissue signals and physiological signals (heart rate, respiration).
Volume censoring	Define your software and/or method and criteria for volume censoring, and state the extent of such censoring.

Statistical modeling & inference

Model type and settings	Specify type (mass univariate, multivariate, RSA, predictive, etc.) and describe essential details of the model at the first and second levels (e.g. fixed, random or mixed effects; drift or auto-correlation).	
Effect(s) tested	Define precise effect in terms of the task or stimulus conditions instead of psychological concepts and indicate whether ANOVA or factorial designs were used.	
Specify type of analysis: Whole brain ROI-based Both		
Statistic type for inference (See <u>Eklund et al. 2016)</u>	Specify voxel-wise or cluster-wise and report all relevant parameters for cluster-wise methods.	
Correction	Describe the type of correction and how it is obtained for multiple comparisons (e.g. FWE, FDR, permutation or Monte Carlo).	

Models & analysis

n/a Involved in the study Image: State of the study Functional and/or effective connectivity Image: State of the study Graph analysis Image: State of the study Multivariate modeling or predictive analysis		
Functional and/or effective connectivity	Report the measures of dependence used and the model details (e.g. Pearson correlation, partial correlation, mutual information).	
Graph analysis	All plots were generated using R or GraphPad and the methods are described in test.	
Multivariate modeling and predictive analysis	Specify independent variables, features extraction and dimension reduction, model, training and evaluation metrics.	