# INEQUALITIES FOR THE DERIVATIVES OF THE RADON TRANSFORM ON CONVEX BODIES

### WYATT GREGORY AND ALEXANDER KOLDOBSKY

ABSTRACT. It was proved in [22] that the sup-norm of the Radon transform of an arbitrary probability density on an origin-symmetric convex body of volume 1 is bounded from below by a positive constant depending only on the dimension. In this note we extend this result to the derivatives of the Radon transform. We also prove a comparison theorem for these derivatives.

### 1. A SLICING INEQUALITY FOR FUNCTIONS.

Let K be an origin-symmetric convex body of volume 1 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let f be any non-negative measurable function on K with  $\int_K f = 1$ . Does there exist a constant  $c_n$  depending only on n so that for any such K and f there exists a direction  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$  with  $\int_{K \cap \xi^{\perp}} f \geq c_n$ ? Here  $\xi^{\perp} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x,\xi) = 0\}$  is the central hyperplane perpendicular to  $\xi$ , and integration is with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $\xi^{\perp}$ . It was proved in [22] that, in spite of the generality of the question, the answer to this question is positive, and one can take  $c_n > \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}$ . In [5] this result was extended to non-symmetric bodies K. Moreover, it was shown in [13] that this estimate is optimal up to a logarithmic term, and the logarithmic term was removed in [14], so, finally,  $c_n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ . We write  $a \sim b$  if there exist absolute constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that  $c_1 a \leq b \leq c_2 a$ .

Note that the same question for volume, where  $f \equiv 1$ , is the matter of the slicing problem of Bourgain [1, 2]. In this case, the best known result is  $c_n > cn^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ , where c > 0 is an absolute constant, and is due to Klartag [12] who removed a logarithmic term from an earlier result of Bourgain [3].

The constant  $c_n$  does not depend on the dimension for several classes of bodies K. For example, it was proved in [23] that if K belongs to the class of unconditional convex bodies, the constant  $c_n = \frac{1}{2e}$  works for all functions f and all dimensions n. The same happens for intersection bodies [21], and for the unit balls of subspaces of  $L_p$ , p > 2, where the constant is of the order  $p^{-1/2}$  [25].

The second named author was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation Grant DMS-1700036. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for a thoughtful report.

Denote by

$$Rf(\xi,t) = \int_{K \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x,\xi) = t\}} f(x)dx, \qquad \xi \in S^{n-1}, \ t \in \mathbb{R}$$

the Radon transform of f. The result described above means that the supnorm of the Radon transform of a probability density on an origin-symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded from below by a positive constant depending only on the dimension n.

In this note we prove a similar estimate for the derivatives of the Radon transform. Let us define the fractional derivatives. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and suppose that h is an even continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  that is m times continuously differentiable in some neighborhood of zero. For  $q \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $-1 < \Re(q) < m$ ,  $q \neq 0, 1, ..., m-1$ , the fractional derivative of the order q of the function h at zero is defined as the action of the distribution  $t_+^{-1-q}/\Gamma(-q)$  on the function h, as follows:

$$h^{(q)}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_0^1 t^{-1-q} \left( h(t) - h(0) - \dots - h^{(m-1)}(0) \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \right) dt +$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-1-q} h(t) dt + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{h^{(k)}(0)}{k!(k-q)}.$$
 (1)

It can be seen that for a fixed q the definition does not depend on the choice of  $m>\Re(q)$ , as long as h is m times continuously differentiable. Note that without dividing by  $\Gamma(-q)$  the expression for the fractional derivative represents an analytic function in the domain  $\{q\in\mathbb{C}:\Re(q)>-1\}$  not including integers, and has simple poles at integers. The function  $\Gamma(-q)$  is analytic in the same domain and also has simple poles at non-negative integers, so after the division we get an analytic function in the whole domain  $\{q\in\mathbb{C}:m>\Re(q)>-1\}$ , which also defines fractional derivatives of integer orders. Moreover, computing the limit as  $q\to k$ , where k is a non-negative integer, we see that the fractional derivatives of integer orders coincide with usual derivatives up to a sign (when we compute the limit the first two summands in the right-hand side of (1) converge to zero, since  $\Gamma(-q)\to\infty$ , and the limit in the third summand can be computed using the property  $\Gamma(x+1)=x\Gamma(x)$  of the  $\Gamma$ -function):

$$h^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^k \frac{d^k}{dt^k} h(t)|_{t=0}.$$
 (2)

The sign does not matter, because h is an even function, and its derivatives of odd orders at the origin are equal to zero. Also, in the case where h is even, for  $m-2 < \Re q < m$  the expression (1) becomes

$$h^{(q)}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_0^\infty t^{-1-q} \left( h(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \frac{t^{2j}}{(2j)!} h^{(2j)}(0) \right) dt.$$
 (3)

We also note that if -1 < q < 0 then

$$h^{(q)}(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_0^\infty t^{-1-q} h(t) \ dt. \tag{4}$$

A closed bounded set K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *star body* if every straight line passing through the origin crosses the boundary of K at exactly two points, the origin is an interior point of K, and the *Minkowski functional* of K defined by  $||x||_K = \min\{a \geq 0 : x \in aK\}$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $x \in S^{n-1}$ , then  $||x||_K^{-1} = r_K(x)$  is the radius of K in the direction of x. A star body K is origin-symmetric if K = -K. A star body K is called *convex* if for any  $x, y \in K$  and every  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in K$ .

We say that a star body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is infinitely smooth if the restriction to the unit sphere of the Minkowski functional of K belongs to the space  $C^{\infty}(S^{n-1})$  of infinitely differentiable functions on the sphere. For an origin-symmetric infinitely smooth convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , an infinitely differentiable function f on K, fixed  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ , and  $q \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re q > -1$ , we denote the fractional derivative of the order q at zero of the function  $t \to Rf(\xi,t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$(Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0) = \left(\int_{K \cap \{x: (x,\xi)=t\}} f(x)dx\right)_t^{(q)}(0).$$

The estimate that we prove is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** There exists an absolute constant c > 0 so that for any infinitely smooth origin-symmetric convex body K of volume 1 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , any even infinitely smooth probability density f on K, and any  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \le q \le n-2$ , which is not an odd integer, there exists a direction  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$  so that

$$\left(\frac{c(q+1)}{\sqrt{n\log^3(\frac{ne}{q+1})}}\right)^{q+1} \le \frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})} (Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0).$$
(5)

If q = 2k,  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , is an even integer, then  $(Rf(\xi, t))_t^{(2k)}(0)$  is the usual derivative and

$$\left(\frac{c(2k+1)}{\sqrt{n\log^3(\frac{ne}{2k+1})}}\right)^{2k+1} \le (-1)^k (Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(2k)}(0).$$

If q = 2k - 1,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , is an odd integer, then in the right-hand side of (5) we have  $\frac{0}{0}$ , and computing the limit as  $q \to 2k - 1$  we get that there exists a direction  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$  so that

$$\left(\frac{2kc}{\sqrt{n\log^3(\frac{ne}{2k})}}\right)^{2k}$$

$$\leq (-1)^k (2k-1)! \int_0^\infty t^{-2k} \left( Rf(\xi,t) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{t^{2j}}{(2j)!} (Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(2j)}(0) \right) dt.$$

We deduce Theorem 1 from a more general result. Note that in the case where q = 0 the following theorem was proved in [23].

**Theorem 2.** Suppose K is an infinitely smooth origin-symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , f is a non-negative even infinitely smooth function on K and -1 < q < n-1 is not an odd integer. Then

$$\int_{K} f(x)dx \le \frac{n}{(n-q-1) 2^{q} \pi^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})} |K|^{\frac{q+1}{n}} \left( d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^{n}) \right)^{q+1}$$

$$\times \max_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})} (Rf(\xi, t))_t^{(q)}(0). \tag{6}$$

Here |K| stands for the volume of K. By  $L_{-1-q}^n$  we denote the class of star bodies D in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for which the space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_D)$  embeds in  $L_{-1-q}$ , i.e. the function  $\|\cdot\|_D^{-1-q}$  represents a positive definite distribution; see Section 3 for details.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a class of compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the outer volume ratio distance from K to  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined by

$$d_{\mathrm{ovr}}(K,\mathcal{A}) = \inf \left\{ \left( \frac{|D|}{|K|} \right)^{1/n} : \ K \subset D, \ D \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

Let  $0 \le q \le n-2$  in Theorem 2. Since  $n/(n-q-1) < e^{q+1}$  and by the Stirling formula, if |K| = 1 and  $\int_K f = 1$ , the estimate (6) turns into

$$\max_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})} (Rf(\xi, t))_t^{(q)}(0) \ge \left(\frac{c(q+1)}{d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^n)}\right)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}, \tag{7}$$

where c is an absolute constant. This means that the lower estimate for the derivatives of the Radon transform is completely controlled by the distance  $d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^n)$ . Indeed, if this distance is equal to 1 or is bounded by an absolute constant, then, for every probability density f and every K with volume 1, the right-hand side of (7) depends only on g:

$$\frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})} Rf(\xi, t))_t^{(q)}(0) \ge (c(q+1))^{\frac{q+1}{2}}.$$

The distance  $d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^n)$  is known to be bounded by an absolute constant in the following cases.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  be any number from the interval (-1, n-1). (i) If K is the unit ball of an n-dimensional subspace of  $L_p$ ,  $0 , then <math>K \in L_{-1-q}^n$ , so  $d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^n) = 1$ .

- (ii) If K is an unconditional convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e. for every vector  $(x_1,...,x_n) \in K$  the vectors  $(\pm x_1,...,\pm x_n) \in K$  for all choices of signs, then  $d_{\text{ovr}}(K,L_{-1-a}^n) \leq e$ .
- (iii) If K is the unit ball of an n-dimensional subspace of  $L_p$ , p > 2, then  $d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-a}^n) \leq c\sqrt{p}$ , where c is an absolute constant.

**Proof:** (i) Proved in [17] and [15, Theorem 6.17].

(ii) It follows from (i) that the  $\ell_1^n$ -ball

$$B_1^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_1| + \dots + |x_n| \le 1\}$$

belongs to  $L^n_{-1-q}$  for every  $q \in (-1, n-1)$ . Also, the classes  $L^n_{-1-q}$  are invariant with respect to linear transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which follows from the connection between the Fourier transform and linear transformations, so  $T(B^n_1) \in L^n_{-1-q}$  for every linear operator T on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\det(T) \neq 0$ .

By a result of Lozanovskii [30] (see the proof in [36, Corollary 3.4]), there exists a linear operator T on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $T(B^n_\infty) \subset K \subset nT(B^n_1)$ , where  $B^n_\infty$  is the cube with sidelength 2 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $D = nT(B^n_1) \in L^n_{-1-q}$ . Since  $|B^n_1| = 2^n/n!$ , we have  $|D|^{1/n} \leq 2e|\det T|^{1/n}$ . On the other hand,  $|T(B^n_\infty)| = 2^n|\det T|$ , and  $T(B^n_\infty) \subset K$ , so  $|D|^{1/n} \leq e|K|^{1/n}$ .

(iii) It follows from (i) with p=2 that all n-dimensional origin-symmetric ellipsoids belong to the class  $L_{-1-q}^n$  for every  $q \in (-1, n-1)$ . This can also be shown directly using formula (14). Now the result follows from [33] (see also [25]), where it was proved that the outer volume ratio distance from the unit ball of a subspace of  $L_p$ , p > 2 to the class of ellipsoids is bounded by  $c\sqrt{p}$ , where c is an absolute constant.

Let us show how to get the result of Theorem 1 from the estimate of Theorem 2. In general, one cannot expect to get an estimate for the distance  $d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^n)$  independent of the dimension n. In fact, it was shown in [13, 14] that in the case q=0 this distance can be of the order  $\sqrt{n}$ . Since the classes  $L_{-1-q}^n$  contain ellipsoids, one can use John's theorem [9] to prove that  $d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^n) \leq \sqrt{n}$  for every origin-symmetric convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and every  $q \in (-1, n-1)$ . However, the estimate of Theorem 1 is better for large values of q.

To prove this better estimate, we need to introduce another class of bodies. For p > 0, the radial p-sum of star bodies K and L in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as a new star body  $K \tilde{+}_p L$  whose radius in every direction  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$  is given by

$$r^p_{K\tilde{+}_nL}(\xi) = r^p_K(\xi) + r^p_L(\xi), \qquad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}.$$

The radial metric in the class of origin-symmetric star bodies is defined by

$$\rho(K, L) = \sup_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} |r_K(\xi) - r_L(\xi)|.$$

**Definition 1.** Let  $0 . We define the class of generalized p-intersection bodies <math>\mathcal{BP}_p^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as the closure in the radial metric of radial p-sums of finite collections of origin-symmetric ellipsoids in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Note that when p = k is an integer, we get the class of generalized k-intersection bodies introduced by Zhang [39].

The following estimate was proved in [27, Th. 1.1.] for integers p, but the proof remains exactly the same for non-integers. Also note that a mistake in the proof in [27] was corrected in [23, Section 5].

**Proposition 2.** ([27]) For every  $p \in [1, n-1]$  and every origin-symmetric convex body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$d_{\text{ovr}}(K, \mathcal{BP}_p^n) \le C\sqrt{\frac{n\log^3(\frac{ne}{p})}{p}},$$

where C is an absolute constant.

It was proved in [20] (see also [34, 28]) that for any integer k,  $1 \le k < n$  every generalized k-intersection body belongs to the class  $L_{-k}^n$ . We need an extension of this fact to non-integers, as follows.

**Proposition 3.** For every  $0 , we have <math>\mathcal{BP}_p^n \subset L_{-p}^n$ .

**Proof:** We need to prove that for any star body  $K \in \mathcal{BP}_p^n$ , the function  $\|\cdot\|_K^{-p}$  represents a positive definite distribution in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . As mentioned in the proof of Proposition 1, the powers of the Euclidean norm  $|x|_2^{-p}$ ,  $0 represent positive definite distributions in <math>\mathbb{R}^n$ ; see formula (14). Because of the connection between the Fourier transform of distributions and linear transformations, for any origin-symmetric ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the function  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}^{-p}$  represents a positive definite distribution. Note that for any unit vector  $x \in S^{n-1}$  and any star body K,  $r_K(x) = \|x\|_K^{-1}$ . Therefore, radial p-sums of ellipsoids in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belong to the class  $L_{-p}^n$ . The fact that positive definiteness is preserved under limits in the radial metric follows from [15, Lemma 3.11], which proves the result.

**Deduction of Theorem 1 from Theorem 2.** By Propositions 2 and 3, for any  $0 \le q \le n-2$ ,

$$d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^n) \le d_{\text{ovr}}(K, \mathcal{BP}_{q+1}^n) \le C\sqrt{\frac{n \log^3(\frac{ne}{q+1})}{q+1}}.$$
 (8)

Now the first estimate (5) of Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2, in the form of (7), combined with (8).

In order to prove the case of even integers in Theorem 1, put q = 2k in (5). In the case of odd integers, we use the expression for the fractional

derivative (3) to find the limit in (5) as  $q \to 2k-1$ :

$$\lim_{q \to 2k-1} \frac{(Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0)}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})} = \lim_{q \to 2k-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})}$$
$$\times \int_0^\infty t^{-2k} \left( Rf(\xi,t) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{t^{2j}}{(2j)!} (Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(2j)}(0) \right) dt.$$

Now use  $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$  to compute

$$\lim_{q \to 2k-1} \Gamma(-q) \cos\left(\frac{\pi q}{2}\right)$$

$$= \lim_{q \to 2k-1} \frac{\Gamma(-q+2k)}{(-q)(1-q)\cdots(2k-1-q)} \sin\left(\frac{(q-2k+1)\pi}{2}\right) (-1)^k$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2(2k-1)!} (-1)^k$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is presented in Section 4.

We conclude this section by showing the place of the classes  $L^n_{-1-q}$  in the general theory of convex bodies. These classes are generalizations of the concept of an intersection body introduced by Lutwak in [31]. Intersection bodies are an important component of Lutwak's dual Brunn-Minkowski theory, and they played the crucial role in the solution of the Busemann-Petty problem; see Section 2.

**Definition 2.** ([31]) For star bodies D, L in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we say that D is the intersection body of L if

$$r_D(\xi) = |L \cap \xi^{\perp}|, \quad \forall \xi \in S^{n-1}.$$

Taking the closure in the radial metric of the class of intersection bodies of star bodies, we define the class of intersection bodies.

A generalization of the concept of an intersection body was introduced in [20].

**Definition 3.** For an integer k,  $1 \le k < n$  and star bodies D, L in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we say that D is the k-intersection body of L if

$$|D \cap H^{\perp}| = |L \cap H|, \qquad \forall H \in Gr_{n-k}.$$

Taking the closure in the radial metric of the class of k-intersection bodies of star bodies, we define the class of k-intersection bodies.

It was proved in [17] for k = 1, and in [20] for k > 1, that an origin-symmetric star body K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a k-intersection body if and only if the function  $\|\cdot\|_K^{-k}$  represents a positive definite Schwartz distribution in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This result is related to embeddings in  $L_p$ -spaces. By  $L_p$ , p > 0 we mean the  $L_p$ -space of functions on [0,1] with Lebesgue measure. It was shown in [16] that an n-dimensional normed space embeds isometrically in  $L_p$ , where

p > 0 and p is not an even integer, if and only if the Fourier transform in the sense of Schwartz distributions of the function  $\Gamma(-p/2)\|\cdot\|^p$  is a nonnegative distribution outside of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The concept of embedding of finite dimensional normed spaces in  $L_p$  with negative p was introduced in [19, 20], as an analytic extension of embedding into  $L_p$  with p > 0.

**Definition 4.** For 0 , we say that star body <math>D belongs to the class  $L_{-p}^n$ , or, in other words, the space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_D)$  embeds in  $L_{-p}$ , if the function  $\|\cdot\|_D^{-p}$  represents a positive definite Schwartz distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

A connection between k-intersection bodies and embedding in  $L_p$  with negative p was found in [20].

**Proposition 4.** ([20]) Let  $1 \leq k < n$ . An origin symmetric star body D in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a k-intersection body if and only if  $D \in L^n_{-k}$ , or, equivalently, the space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_D)$  embeds in  $L_{-k}$ .

The classes  $L_{-p}^n$  were studied by a number of authors. The advantage of Proposition 4 is that one can take any result about the usual  $L_p$ -spaces, extend it to  $L_{-p}$ , and immediately get a geometric application to intersection bodies. Let us mention one of the results. If  $n-3 \leq p < n$ , the class  $L_{-p}^n$  contains all origin-symmetric convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; see [15, Corollary 4.9]. This result was proved as an extension of the fact that every two-dimensional normed space embeds in  $L_1$ . The result implies that every origin-symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  is an intersection body, which provides an affirmative answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in the critical 4-dimensional case. More results about embeddings in  $L_{-p}$  can be found in [10, 27, 24, 33, 34, 29, 38], [15, Chapter 6] and [28].

## 2. A COMPARISON THEOREM FOR THE DERIVATIVES OF THE RADON TRANSFORM.

Our next result is related to the Busemann-Petty problem [4] which is the following question. Let K, L be origin-symmetric convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and suppose that the (n-1)-dimensional volume of every central hyperplane section of K is smaller than the same for L, i.e.  $|K \cap \xi^{\perp}| \leq |L \cap \xi^{\perp}|$  for every  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ . Does it necessarily follow that the n-dimensional volume of K is smaller than the volume of L, i.e.  $|K| \leq |L|$ ? The answer is affirmative if the dimension  $n \leq 4$ , and it is negative when  $n \geq 5$ ; see [6, 15] for the solution and its history.

It was proved in [18] (see also [15, Theorem 5.12]) that the answer to the Busemann-Petty problem becomes affirmative if one compares the derivatives of the parallel section function of high enough orders. Namely, denote by

$$A_{K,\xi}(t) = R(\chi_K)(\xi, t) = |K \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x,\xi) = t\}|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

the parallel section function of K in the direction  $\xi$ . If K, L are infinitely smooth origin-symmetric convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ ,  $q \in [n-4, n-1)$  is

not an odd integer, and for every  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$  the fractional derivatives of the order q of the parallel section functions at zero satisfy

$$\frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})}A_{K,\xi}^{(q)}(0) \le \frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})}A_{L,\xi}^{(q)}(0),$$

then  $|K| \leq |L|$ . For -1 < q < n-4 this is no longer true.

Another generalization of the Busemann-Petty problem, known as the isomorphic Busemann-Petty problem, asks whether the inequality for volumes holds up to an absolute constant. Does there exist an absolute constant C so that for any dimension n and any origin-symmetric convex bodies K, L in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying  $|K \cap \xi^{\perp}| \leq |L \cap \xi^{\perp}|$  for all  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ , we have  $|K| \leq C|L|$ ? As shown in [35], this question is equivalent to the slicing problem of Bourgain mentioned in Section 1.

Zvavitch [40] considered an extension of the Busemann-Petty problem to general Radon transforms, as follows. Suppose that K, L are origin-symmetric convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and f is an even continuous strictly positive function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $R(f|_K)(\xi,t)(0) \leq R(f|_L)(\xi,t)(0)$  for every  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ , where  $f|_K$  is the restriction of f to K. Does it necessarily follow that  $|K| \leq |L|$ ? The answer is exactly the same as in the case of volume. Isomorphic versions of this result were proved in [29, 26].

In this note we generalize these results to general Radon transforms as follows. In the case q = 0 this result was proved in [26].

**Theorem 3.** Let K, L be infinitely smooth origin-symmetric convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , f, g non-negative infinitely differentiable functions on K and L, respectively,  $||g||_{\infty} = g(0) = 1$ , and  $q \in (-1, n-1)$  is not an odd integer. If for every  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ 

$$\frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})}(Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0) \le \frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})}(Rg(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0),$$

then

$$\int_{K} f(x)dx \le \frac{n}{n-q-1} \left( d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^{n}) \right)^{q+1} \left( \int_{L} g(x)dx \right)^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}} |K|^{\frac{q+1}{n}}.$$

### 3. The main tools

We often use integration in polar coordinates  $x = r\theta$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r \geq 0$ ,  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ ; see [32, Ch.6, Th. 5.2]. If f is an integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)dx = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} f(r\theta) dr \right) d\theta.$$
 (9)

If K is a star body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , putting  $f(x) = \chi_K(x)$ , the indicator function of K, we get a formula for volume:

$$|K| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_K(x) dx = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \int_0^{\|\theta\|_K^{-1}} r^{n-1} dr \right) d\theta = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_K^{-n} d\theta.$$
(10)

Our main tool is the Fourier transform of distributions; see [15, 28] for a comprehensive introduction to the Fourier approach in convex geometry. The Fourier transform of a distribution f is defined by  $\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \hat{\phi} \rangle$  for every test function  $\phi$  from the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}$  of rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any even distribution f, we have  $(\hat{f})^{\wedge} = (2\pi)^n f$ ; see [37, Th. 7.7] for the inversion formula for the Fourier transform.

If K is a star body and  $0 , then <math>\|\cdot\|_K^{-p}$  is a locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and represents a distribution acting on test functions by integration. Suppose that K is infinitely smooth, i.e.  $\|\cdot\|_K \in C^{\infty}(S^{n-1})$  is an infinitely differentiable function on the sphere. Then by [15, Lemma 3.16], the Fourier transform of  $\|\cdot\|_K^{-p}$  is an extension of some function  $g \in C^{\infty}(S^{n-1})$  to a homogeneous function of degree -n+p on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . When we write  $(\|\cdot\|_K^{-p})^{\wedge}(\xi)$ , we mean  $g(\xi)$ ,  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ . If K, L are infinitely smooth star bodies, the following spherical version of Parseval's formula was proved in [18] (see [15, Lemma 3.22]): for any  $p \in (-n, 0)$ 

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \|\cdot\|_K^{-p} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \left( \|\cdot\|_L^{-n+p} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) = (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-p} \|x\|_L^{-n+p} dx.$$
(11)

A distribution is called *positive definite* if its Fourier transform is a positive distribution in the sense that  $\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle \geq 0$  for every non-negative test function  $\phi$ .

We need a lemma that can be found in [15, Lemma 3.14]. We include the proof for completeness.

**Lemma 1.** Let -1 < q < 0. For every even test function  $\phi$  and every fixed vector  $\theta \in S^{n-1}$ 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\theta, \xi)|^{-1-q} \phi(\xi) d\xi = \frac{2\Gamma(-q)\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty t^q \hat{\phi}(t\theta) dt.$$

**Proof :** A well-known connection between the Fourier and Radon transforms is that, for any test function  $\phi$ , the function  $t \to \hat{\phi}(t\theta)$  is the Fourier transform of the function  $z \to \int_{(\theta,\xi)=z} \phi(\xi) d\xi$ ; see for example [15, Lemma 2.11]. Using the Fubini theorem and the formula for the Fourier transform of  $|z|^{-1-q}$  (see [15, Lemma 2.23])

$$(|z|^{-1-q})^{\wedge}(t) = 2\Gamma(-q)\cos(\pi q/2)|t|^q,$$

we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\theta, \xi)|^{-1-q} \phi(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |z|^{-1-q} \left( \int_{(\theta, \xi) = z} \phi(\xi) d\xi \right) dz$$
$$= \left\langle |z|^{-1-q}, \int_{(\theta, \xi) = z} \phi(\xi) d\xi \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle 2\Gamma(-q) \cos(\pi q/2) |t|^q, \hat{\phi}(t\theta) \right\rangle$$

$$=\frac{\Gamma(-q)\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|t|^{q}\hat{\phi}(t\theta)dt.$$

Finally, recall that  $\phi$  is an even function.

Our next lemma generalizes Theorem 1 from [7] (see also [15, Th. 3.18]).

**Lemma 2.** Let K be an infinitely smooth origin-symmetric convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , let f be an even infinitely smooth function on K, and let  $q \in (-1, n-1)$ . Then for every fixed  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ 

$$(Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0) \tag{12}$$

$$= \frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi} \left( |x|_2^{-n+q+1} \left( \int_0^{\frac{|x|_2}{\|x\|_K}} r^{n-q-2} f\left(r \frac{x}{|x|_2}\right) dr \right) \right)_x^{\wedge} (\xi).$$

**Proof:** Let -1 < q < 0. Then, using the definitions of the Radon transform and the fractional derivative (4), the Fubini theorem and integration in polar coordinates (9) with  $x = r\theta$ , we get

$$(Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(-q)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t|^{-1-q} \left( \int_{K \cap \{x: (x,\xi)=t\}} f(x) dx \right) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\Gamma(-q)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(x,\xi)|^{-1-q} f(x) \chi_K(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\Gamma(-q)} \int_{S^{n-1}} |(\theta,\xi)|^{-1-q} \left( \int_0^{\|\theta\|_K^{-1}} r^{n-q-2} f(r\theta) dr \right) d\theta.$$

Consider the latter as a homogeneous of degree -1-q function of  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , apply it to an even test function  $\phi$  and use Lemma 1:

$$\langle (Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0), \phi \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\Gamma(-q)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(\xi) \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} |(\theta,\xi)|^{-1-q} \left( \int_0^{\|\theta\|_K^{-1}} r^{n-q-2} f(r\theta) dr \right) d\theta \right) d\xi$$

$$= \frac{\cos(q\pi/2)}{\pi} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \int_0^\infty t^q \hat{\phi}(t\theta) dt \right) \left( \int_0^{\|\theta\|_K^{-1}} r^{n-q-2} f(r\theta) dr \right) d\theta.$$

On the other hand, if we apply the function in the right-hand side of (12) to the test function  $\phi$  we get

$$\left\langle \frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi} \left( |x|_2^{-n+q+1} \left( \int_0^{\frac{|x|_2}{\|x\|_K}} r^{n-q-2} f\left(\frac{rx}{|x|_2}\right) dr \right) \right)_x^{\wedge} (\xi), \phi(\xi) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|_2^{-n+q+1} \left( \int_0^{\frac{|x|_2}{\|x\|_K}} r^{n-q-2} f(\frac{rx}{|x|_2}) dr \right) \hat{\phi}(x) dx$$

$$=\frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi}\int_{S^{n-1}}\left(\int_0^\infty t^q\hat{\phi}(t\theta)dt\right)\left(\int_0^{\|\theta\|_K^{-1}}r^{n-q-2}f(r\theta)dr\right)d\theta,$$

where in the last step we use integration in polar coordinates (9) with  $x = t\theta$ . Comparing the computations, we see that, for any even test function  $\phi$ ,

$$\langle (Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0), \phi \rangle \tag{13}$$

$$= \left\langle \frac{\cos(\pi q/2)}{\pi} \left( |x|_2^{-n+q+1} \left( \int_0^{\frac{|x|_2}{||x||_K}} r^{n-q-2} f\left(\frac{rx}{|x|_2}\right) dr \right) \right)_x^{\wedge} (\xi), \phi(\xi) \right\rangle.$$

Since both distributions are even, this proves the lemma for -1 < q < 0. By an argument similar to that in Lemma 2.22 from [15], one can see that both sides of (13) are analytic functions of q in the domain  $-1 < \Re q < n - 1$ . By analytic extension, (13) holds for all -1 < q < n - 1, which completes the proof.

Let us compute the fractional derivatives of the Radon transform in the case where  $f \equiv 1$  and  $K = B_2^n$ , the unit Euclidean ball.

Corollary 1. For -1 < q < n-1 and every  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ 

$$(R(\chi_{B_2^n})(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0) = \frac{2^{q+1}\pi^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})}{(n-q-1)\Gamma(\frac{n-q-1}{2})}.$$

**Proof:** First, we use Lemma 2 with  $f \equiv 1$  and  $K = B_2^n$ :

$$(R(\chi_{B_2^n})(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0) = \frac{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})}{\pi(n-q-1)}(|x|_2^{-n+q+1})^{\wedge}(\xi).$$

Next, we apply the formula for the Fourier transform of powers of the Euclidean norm (see [8]):

$$(|x|_2^{-n+q+1})^{\wedge}(\xi) = \frac{2^{q+1}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-q-1}{2})}|\xi|_2^{-1-q}, \qquad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$
(14)

Note that if we replace the unit Euclidean ball by the Euclidean ball of volume 1, the constant in Corollary 1 has to be divided by  $|B_2^n|^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}}$ , and it matches the estimate of Theorem 2 for bodies K of volume 1; see inequality (7). We leave this computation for the interested reader.

### 4. Proofs of the main results

**Proof of Theorem 3.** The assumption of the theorem is that, for every  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})}(Rf(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0) \le \frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\pi q}{2})}(Rg(\xi,t))_t^{(q)}(0).$$

By Lemma 2, for every  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ , this assumption is equivalent to

$$\left(|x|_2^{-n+q+1} \left( \int_0^{\frac{|x|_2}{\|x\|_K}} r^{n-q-2} f\left(r \frac{x}{|x|_2}\right) dr \right) \right)_x^{\wedge} (\xi) \tag{15}$$

$$\leq \left( |x|_2^{-n+q+1} \left( \int_0^{\frac{|x|_2}{\|x\|_L}} r^{n-q-2} g\left( r \frac{x}{|x|_2} \right) dr \right) \right)_x^{\wedge} (\xi).$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $D \in L^n_{-1-q}$  be such that  $K \subset D$  and

$$|D|^{1/n} \le (1+\delta)d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^n)|K|^{1/n}.$$
(16)

By approximation, we can assume that D is infinitely smooth; see [15, Lemma 4.10]. Then  $(\|x\|_D^{-1-q})^{\wedge}$  is a non-negative function on the sphere. Multiplying both sides of (15) by  $(\|x\|_D^{-1-q})^{\wedge}(\xi)$ , integrating over the sphere and using Parseval's formula on the sphere (11) we get

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_D^{-1-q} \left( \int_0^{\|\theta\|_K^{-1}} r^{n-q-2} f(r\theta) dr \right) d\theta 
\leq \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_D^{-1-q} \left( \int_0^{\|\theta\|_L^{-1}} r^{n-q-2} g(r\theta) dr \right) d\theta,$$

or, using the formula for integration in polar coordinates (9) with  $x = r\theta$ ,

$$\int_{K} \|x\|_{D}^{-1-q} f(x) dx \le \int_{L} \|x\|_{D}^{-1-q} g(x) dx. \tag{17}$$

Since  $K \subset D$ , we have  $||x||_D \leq 1$  for every  $x \in K$ , and thus

$$\int_{K} ||x||_{D}^{-1-q} f(x) dx \ge \int_{K} f(x) dx.$$
 (18)

On the other hand, by the Lemma from section 2.1 from Milman-Pajor [35, p.76],

$$\left(\frac{\int_{L} \|x\|_{D}^{-1-q} g(x) dx}{\int_{D} \|x\|_{D}^{-1-q} dx}\right)^{1/(n-q-1)} \le \left(\frac{\int_{L} g(x) dx}{\int_{D} dx}\right)^{1/n}.$$
(19)

By (9) and (10),

$$\int_{D} \|x\|_{D}^{-1-q} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \|x\|_{D}^{-1-q} \chi_{D}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_{D}^{-1-q} \left( \int_{0}^{\|\theta\|_{D}^{-1}} r^{n-q-2} dr \right) d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-q-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|\theta\|_{D}^{-n} d\theta = \int \frac{n}{n-q-1} |D|.$$

Now we can rewrite (19) as

$$\int_{L} \|x\|_{D}^{-1-q} g(x) dx \le \frac{n}{n-q-1} \left( \int_{L} g(x) dx \right)^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}} |D|^{\frac{q+1}{n}}. \tag{20}$$

Combining estimates (17), (18) and (20) with the definition of D, (16), and sending  $\delta$  to zero, we get

$$\int_{K} f(x)dx \leq \frac{n}{n-q-1} \left( \int_{L} g(x)dx \right)^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}} \left( d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^{n}) \right)^{q+1} |K|^{\frac{q+1}{n}},$$

which is the conclusion of the theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Consider a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for every  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\cos(\pi q/2)} (Rf(\xi, t))_t^{(q)}(0) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{\cos(\pi q/2)} R(\chi_{B_2^n})(\xi, t))_t^{(q)}(0).$$

By Lemma 2, for every  $\xi \in S^{n-1}$ ,

$$\left(|x|_{2}^{-n+q+1} \left( \int_{0}^{\frac{|x|_{2}}{\|x\|_{K}}} r^{n-q-2} f\left(r \frac{x}{|x|_{2}}\right) dr \right) \right)_{r}^{\wedge} (\xi) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n-q-1} \left(|x|_{2}^{-n+q+1}\right)^{\wedge} (\xi)$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ , and let  $D \in L^n_{-1-q}$  be such that  $K \subset D$  and

$$|D|^{1/n} \le (1+\delta)d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^n)|K|^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$
(21)

By approximation, we can assume that D is infinitely smooth. Then  $(\|x\|_D^{-1-q})^{\wedge}$  is a non-negative function on the sphere. Multiplying both sides of the latter inequality by  $(\|x\|_D^{-1-q})^{\wedge}(\xi)$ , integrating over the sphere and using Parseval's formula on the sphere we get (like in the proof of Theorem 3)

$$\int_{K} \|x\|_{D}^{-1-q} f(x) dx \le \frac{\varepsilon}{n-q-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_{D}^{-1-q} dx.$$
 (22)

Since  $K \subset D$ , we have

$$\int_{K} \|x\|_{D}^{-1-q} f(x) dx \ge \int_{K} \|x\|_{K}^{-1-q} f(x) dx \ge \int_{K} f(x) dx. \tag{23}$$

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality with the exponents  $\frac{n}{q+1}$  and  $\frac{n}{n-q-1}$ , and by (10),

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_D^{-1-q} dx \le |S^{n-1}|^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}} n^{\frac{q+1}{n}} |D|^{\frac{q+1}{n}}, \tag{24}$$

where (see for example [15, Corollary 2.20])

$$|S^{n-1}| = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}.$$

Combining (22), (23), (24), we get

$$\int_{K} f(x)dx \le \frac{\varepsilon |S^{n-1}|^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}} n^{\frac{q+1}{n}}}{n-q-1} |D|^{\frac{q+1}{n}}.$$

Now replace D by K using (21):

$$\int_{K} f(x) dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon |S^{n-1}|^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}} n^{\frac{q+1}{n}}}{n-q-1} (1+\delta)^{q+1} \left( d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^{n}) \right)^{q+1} |K|^{\frac{q+1}{n}},$$

and put

$$\varepsilon = \max_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \frac{\frac{1}{\cos(\pi q/2)} (Rf(\xi, t))_t^{(q)}(0)}{\frac{1}{\cos(\pi q/2)} R(\chi_{B_2^n})(\xi, t))_t^{(q)}(0)}.$$

Replace the denominator in the expression for  $\varepsilon$  using Corollary 1, and substitute the formula for  $|S^{n-1}|$ :

$$\int_{K} f(x)dx \le c(n,q)(1+\delta)^{q+1} \left( d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^{n}) \right)^{q+1} |K|^{\frac{q+1}{n}} \qquad (25)$$

$$\times \max_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{\cos(\pi q/2)} (Rf(\xi, t))_{t}^{(q)}(0),$$

where

$$c(n,q) = \frac{\pi\Gamma(\frac{n-q-1}{2})n^{\frac{q+1}{n}} 2^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}} \pi^{\frac{n-q-1}{2}}}{2^{q+1}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})\left(\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\right)^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}}}.$$

Now use  $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$  and the inequality

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-q-1}{2}+1)}{\left(\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)\right)^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}}} \le 1,$$

which follows from the log-convexity of the  $\Gamma$ -function (see [15, Lemma 2.14]). We get

$$c(n,q) = \frac{n \Gamma(\frac{n-q-1}{2}+1)}{2^q \pi^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})(n-q-1) \left(\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)\right)^{\frac{n-q-1}{n}}} \le \frac{n}{2^q \pi^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2})(n-q-1)},$$

and (25) implies

$$\begin{split} \int_{K} f(x) dx &\leq \frac{n}{2^{q} \pi^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{q+1}{2}) (n-q-1)} (1+\delta)^{q+1} \left( d_{\text{ovr}}(K, L_{-1-q}^{n}) \right)^{q+1} |K|^{\frac{q+1}{n}} \\ &\times \max_{\xi \in S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{\cos(\pi q/2)} (Rf(\xi, t))_{t}^{(q)}(0). \end{split}$$

Finally, send  $\delta$  to zero to get the result.

#### References

- J. Bourgain, On high-dimensional maximal functions associated to convex bodies, Amer. J. Math. 108 (1986), 1467–1476.
- [2] J. Bourgain, Geometry of Banach spaces and harmonic analysis, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, 871–878.
- [3] J. Bourgain, On the distribution of polynomials on high-dimensional convex sets, Geometric aspects of functional analysis, Israel seminar (1989–90), Lecture Notes in Math. 1469 Springer, Berlin, 1991, 127–137.
- [4] H. Busemann, C.M. Petty, Problems on convex bodies, Math. Scand. 4 (1956), 88-94.
- [5] G. Chasapis, A. Giannopoulos and D. Liakopoulos, Estimates for measures of lower dimensional sections of convex bodies, Adv. Math. 306 (2017), 880–904.
- [6] R. J. Gardner, Geometric tomography, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [7] R.J. Gardner, A. Koldobsky, Th. Schlumprecht, An analytic solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies, Annals of Math. 149 (1999), 691–703.
- [8] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov, Generalized functions, vol. 1. Properties and operations, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [9] F. John, Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions, Courant Anniversary Volume, Interscience, New York (1948), 187–204.
- [10] N.J. Kalton and A. Koldobsky, Intersection bodies and L<sub>p</sub>-spaces, Adv. Math. 196 (2005), 257–275.
- [11] N. Kalton and M. Zymonopoulou, Positive definite distributions and normed spaces, Adv. Math. 227 (2011), 986–1018.
- [12] B. Klartag, On convex perturbations with a bounded isotropic constant, Geom. Funct. Anal. 16 (2006), 1274–1290.
- [13] B. Klartag and A. Koldobsky, An example related to the slicing inequality for general measures, J. Funct. Anal. 274 (2018), 2089–2112.
- [14] B. Klartag and G. Livshyts, *The lower bound for Koldobsky's slicing inequality via random rounding*, to appear in GAFA Seminar Notes, arXiv:1810.06189.
- [15] A. Koldobsky, Fourier analysis in convex geometry, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2005.
- [16] A. Koldobsky, Generalized Levy representation of norms and isometric embeddings into  $L_p$ -spaces, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare, Prob. et Stat., ser. B 28 (1992), 335-353
- [17] A. Koldobsky, Intersection bodies, positive definite distributions and the Busemann-Petty problem, Amer. J. Math. 120 (1998), 827–840.
- [18] A. Koldobsky, A generalization of the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies, Israel J. Math. 110 (1999), 75–91.
- [19] A. Koldobsky, Positive definite distributions and subspaces of  $L_{-p}$  with applications to stable processes, Canad. Math. Bull. 42 (1999), 344-353.
- [20] A. Koldobsky, A functional analytic approach to intersection bodies, Geom. Funct. Anal. 10 (2000), 1507–1526.
- [21] A. Koldobsky, A hyperplane inequality for measures of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \leq 4$ , Discrete Comput. Geom. 47 (2012), 538–547.
- [22] A. Koldobsky, A √n estimate for measures of hyperplane sections of convex bodies, Adv. Math. 254 (2014), 33–40.
- [23] A. Koldobsky, Slicing inequalities for measures on convex bodies, Adv. Math. 283 (2015), 473-488.
- [24] A. Koldobsky, Slicing inequalities for subspaces of L<sub>p</sub>, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (2016), 787–795.

- [25] A. Koldobsky and A. Pajor, A remark on measures of sections of L<sub>p</sub>-balls, GAFA Seminar Notes, Lect. Notes in Math. 2169 (2017), 213–220
- [26] A. Koldobsky, G. Paouris and A. Zvavitch, Measure comparison and distance inequalities for convex bodies, Indiana Univ. Math. J., in press
- [27] A. Koldobsky, G. Paouris and M. Zymonopoulou, *Isomorphic properties of intersection bodies*, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), 2697-2716.
- [28] A. Koldobsky and V. Yaskin, *The interplay between convex geometry and harmonic analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2008.
- [29] A. Koldobsky and A. Zvavitch, An isomorphic version of the Busemann-Petty problem for arbitrary measures. Geom. Dedicata 174 (2015), 261–277.
- [30] G. Lozanovskii, On some Banach lattices, Siberian Math. J. 10 (1969), 419–431.
- [31] E. Lutwak, Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes, Adv. Math. 71 (1988), 232– 261.
- [32] B. Makarov and A. Podkorytov, Real analysis: measures, integrals and applications, Universitext. Springer, London, 2013
- [33] E. Milman, Dual mixed volumes and the slicing problem, Adv. Math. 207 (2006), 566-598.
- [34] E. Milman, Generalized intersection bodies. J. Funct. Anal. 240 (2) (2006), 530–567.
- [35] V. Milman and A. Pajor, Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed n-dimensional space, in: Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, ed. by J. Lindenstrauss and V. Milman, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1376, Springer, Heidelberg, 1989, pp. 64–104.
- [36] G. Pisier, The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [37] W. Rudin, Functional analysis, McGraw-Hill, 1973.
- [38] V. Yaskin, On strict inclusions in hierarchies of convex bodies, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136 (2008), 3281-3291.
- [39] Gaoyong Zhang, Sections of convex bodies, Amer. J. Math. 118 (1996), 319–340.
- [40] A. Zvavitch, The Busemann-Petty problem for arbitrary measures. Math. Ann. 331 (2005), 867–887.

(Wyatt Gregory) Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

 $Email\ address: {\tt wtgmtf@mail.missouri.edu}$ 

(Alexander Koldobsky) Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

Email address: koldobskiya@missouri.edu