

Research Articles: Systems/Circuits

Coupling of mouse olfactory bulb projection neurons to fluctuating odour pulses

<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1422-21.2022>

Cite as: J. Neurosci 2022; 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1422-21.2022

Received: 11 July 2021

Revised: 24 March 2022

Accepted: 29 March 2022

This Early Release article has been peer-reviewed and accepted, but has not been through the composition and copyediting processes. The final version may differ slightly in style or formatting and will contain links to any extended data.

Alerts: Sign up at www.jneurosci.org/alerts to receive customized email alerts when the fully formatted version of this article is published.

Coupling of mouse olfactory bulb projection neurons to fluctuating odour pulses**Abbreviated title: Mouse olfactory bulb neurons couple to fluctuating odours**

Debanjan Dasgupta^{1,2*}, Tom P.A. Warner¹, Andrew Erskine¹, Andreas T. Schaefer^{1,2*}

¹Sensory circuits and Neurotechnology Laboratory, The Francis Crick Institute, London, United Kingdom

²Department of Neuroscience, Physiology & Pharmacology, University College London, London, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author

Email: d.dasgupta@ucl.ac.uk (DD) & Andreas.Schaefer@crick.ac.uk (ATS)

Number of figures: 10

Number of pages: 44

Abstract: 224 words

Significance statement: 99 words

Introduction: 593 words

Discussion: 1377 words

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Francis Crick Institute which receives its core funding from Cancer Research UK (FC001153), the UK Medical Research Council (FC001153), and the Wellcome Trust (FC001153); by the UK Medical Research Council (grant reference MC_UP_1202/5); a Wellcome Trust Investigator grant to ATS (110174/Z/15/Z) and the

NSF/CIHR/DFG/FRQ/UKRI-MRC Next Generation Networks for Neuroscience Program (Award #2014217). We thank the animal facilities at National Institute for Medical Research and the Francis Crick Institute for animal care and technical assistance. We thank the mechanical and electronic workshop (A. Ling, A. Hurst, M. Stopps). We thank Dr. S. Ghatak and members of the Sensory Circuits and Neurotechnology Laboratory for comments on earlier versions of the manuscript and Dr. R. Jordan for help during the initial days of whole-cell recordings. For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests

1 **Abstract**

2 Odours are transported by turbulent air currents, creating complex temporal fluctuations in
3 odour concentration that provide a potentially informative stimulus dimension. Recently, we
4 have shown that mice are able to discriminate odour stimuli based on their temporal structure,
5 indicating that information contained in the temporal structure of odour plumes can be
6 extracted by the mouse olfactory system. Here, using *in vivo* extra- and intracellular
7 electrophysiological recordings, we show that mitral and tufted cells (M/TCs) of the male
8 C57BL/6 mouse olfactory bulb can encode the dominant temporal frequencies present in
9 odour stimuli up to at least 20 Hz. A substantial population of cell-odour pairs showed
10 significant coupling of their subthreshold membrane potential with the odour stimulus at both
11 2Hz (29/70) and the supra-sniff frequency 20Hz (24/70). Furthermore, M/TCs show
12 differential coupling of their membrane potential to odour concentration fluctuations with
13 tufted cells coupling more strongly for the 20Hz stimulation. Frequency coupling was always
14 observed to be invariant to odour identity and M/TCs that coupled well to a mixture also
15 coupled to at least one of the components of the mixture. Interestingly, pharmacological
16 blocking of the inhibitory circuitry strongly modulated frequency coupling of cell-odour pairs
17 at both 2Hz (10/15) and 20Hz (9/15). These results provide insight into how both cellular and
18 circuit properties contribute to the encoding of temporal odour features in the mouse
19 olfactory bulb.

20

21 **Significance Statement**

22 Odours in the natural environment have a strong temporal structure which can be extracted
23 and used by mice in their behaviour. Here, using *in vivo* extra- and intracellular
24 electrophysiological techniques, we show that the projection neurons in the olfactory bulb

25 can encode and couple to the dominant frequency present in an odour stimulus. Furthermore,
 26 frequency coupling was observed to be differential between mitral and tufted cells, was
 27 odour-invariant but strongly modulated by local inhibitory circuits. In summary, this study
 28 provides insight into how both cellular and circuit properties modulate encoding of odour
 29 temporal features in the mouse olfactory bulb.

30

31 **Introduction**

32 Temporal structure has long been considered an integral part of sensory stimuli, notably in
 33 vision (Buracas et al., 1998; Kauffmann et al., 2014; Kauffmann et al., 2015; Borghuis et al.,
 34 2019; Chou et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019) and audition (Nelken et al., 1999; Theunissen and
 35 Elie, 2014; VanRullen et al., 2014; Deneux et al., 2016). Odours in natural environments are
 36 transported by turbulent air streams, resulting in complex spatiotemporal odour distributions
 37 and rapid concentration fluctuations (Shraiman and Siggia, 2000; Celani et al., 2014;
 38 Pannunzi and Nowotny, 2019; Crimaldi et al., 2021; Marin et al., 2021). The neuronal
 39 circuitry of the olfactory system, particularly in invertebrates, has been shown to support the
 40 encoding of temporal structures present in odour stimuli (Hendrichs et al., 1994; Vickers and
 41 Baker, 1994; Vickers et al., 2001; Szyszka et al., 2014; Huston et al., 2015; Pannunzi and
 42 Nowotny, 2019). Temporal features in odour stimuli such as differences in stimulus onset
 43 were shown to be detectable on a behavioural level by bees (Szyszka et al., 2012; Sehdev et
 44 al., 2019). In mammals, recent reports have indicated that the neural circuitry of the early
 45 olfactory system readily sustains temporally modulated and precise action potential discharge
 46 (Cury and Uchida, 2010; Shusterman et al., 2011; Gupta et al., 2015) and is able to relay
 47 information about optogenetic stimuli with ~10 millisecond precision (Smear et al., 2011; Li
 48 et al., 2014; Rebello et al., 2014). Furthermore, we have recently shown that mice can utilise

49 information in the temporal structure of odour stimuli at frequencies as high as 40 Hz to
 50 guide behavioural decisions (Ackels et al., 2021).

51 The olfactory bulb (OB) is the first stage of olfactory processing in the mammalian brain.
 52 Olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) in the nasal epithelium convert volatile chemical signals
 53 into electrical activity forming the input to the OB. Air flow through the nasal cavity,
 54 transport of odours through the mucus, and the multi-step biochemical signal transduction
 55 together result in slow odour responses in OSNs (Sicard, 1986; Reisert and Matthews, 2001),
 56 creating the general notion that mammalian olfaction has a limited temporal bandwidth.
 57 While OSN activity indeed reflects a low pass filtered version of the incoming odour signal
 58 (Verhagen et al., 2007), information about different frequency components can still be
 59 present in OSN population activity (Nagel and Wilson, 2011). Moreover, circuit mechanisms
 60 in other brain regions and species have been shown to boost high-frequency content and
 61 sharpen stimulus presentation (Tramo et al., 2002; Atallah and Scanziani, 2009; Nagel et al.,
 62 2015; O'Sullivan et al., 2019). Given the intricate circuitry present in the OB, where multiple
 63 types of interneurons process incoming signals (Aungst et al., 2003; Fukunaga et al., 2012;
 64 Kato et al., 2013; Miyamichi et al., 2013; Fukunaga et al., 2014; Banerjee et al., 2015;
 65 Burton, 2017), we decided to investigate whether the OB circuitry plays a role in representing
 66 and processing the temporal features of odour stimuli.

67 Here, we show that mitral and tufted (M/T) cells, the OB output neurons, respond to odours -
 68 temporally modulated at frequencies of 2-20 Hz - in a frequency-dependent manner. Using
 69 whole-cell recordings, we show that subthreshold M/T cell activity *in vivo* can follow odour
 70 frequencies both at sniff and sub-sniff range for monomolecular odours and odour mixtures.
 71 We observe that while putative tufted (pTC) and mitral cells (pMC) show similar frequency
 72 coupling capacity at 2Hz, tufted cells have a higher propensity to follow odour frequencies at

73 20Hz. Pharmacologically “clamping” GABA receptors (Fukunaga et al., 2012) we show that
74 local inhibition in the OB strongly modulates frequency coupling of M/T cells.

75

76 **Material and methods**

77 **Experimental Design**

78 All the experiments were performed using 5-8 weeks old C57BL6 male animals. For the unit
79 recordings a Neuronexus poly3 probe was used for the whole-cell recordings standard
80 borosilicate glass pipettes were used. This is described in detail below in their respective
81 sections. The odour stimulation was performed using custom-built olfactory delivery device
82 (tODD) run by custom written software in python. The details of the tODD are described in
83 its respective section below.

84

85 **Animals**

86 All animal procedures performed in this study were approved by the UK government (Home
87 Office) and by Institutional Animal Welfare Ethical Review Panel. 5-8 weeks old C57/Bl6
88 males were used for the study. The study involved 6 animals for the extracellular unit
89 recordings and 25 animals for the whole-cell patch recordings. The mice were housed up to 5
90 per cage under 12-12h light dark cycle with ad libitum food and water.

91

92 **Reagents**

93 All odours were obtained at highest purity available from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis MO,
 94 USA. Unless otherwise specified, odours were diluted 1:5 with mineral oil in 15 ml glass
 95 vials (27160-U, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis MO, USA).

96

97 **High-speed odour delivery device**

98 A high speed odour delivery device was built as described previously (Ackels et al., 2021).
 99 Briefly, we connected 4 VHS valves (INKX0514750A, The Lee Company, Westbrook CT,
 100 USA) to odour containing 15ml glass vials (27160-U, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis MO, USA)
 101 through individual output filters (INMX0350000A, The Lee Company, Westbrook CT,
 102 USA). The vials were connected to a clean air supply (1L/min) through individual input flow
 103 controllers (AS1211F-M5-04, SMC, Tokyo, Japan). Each valve was controlled through a data
 104 acquisition module (National Instruments) controlled by a custom written script using Python
 105 software (PyPulse, PulseBoy; github.com/warnerwarner).

106

107 **In vivo electrophysiology**

108

109 **Surgical and experimental procedures**

110 Prior to surgery all utilised surfaces and apparatus were sterilised with 1% trigene. 5-8 weeks
 111 old C57BL/6Jax mice were anaesthetised using a mixture of ketamine/xylazine (100mg/kg
 112 and 10mg/kg respectively) by injection inter-peritoneally (IP). Depth of anaesthesia was
 113 monitored throughout the procedure by testing the toe-pinch reflex. The fur over the skull and
 114 at the base of the neck was shaved away and the skin cleaned with 1% chlorhexidine scrub.
 115 Mice were then placed on a thermoregulator (DC Temperature Controller, FHC, ME USA)

116 heat pad which was controlled using feedback from a thermometer inserted rectally. While on
 117 the heat pad, the head of the animal was held in place with a set of ear bars. The scalp was
 118 incised and pulled away from the skull with 2 arterial clamps on each side of the incision. A
 119 custom head-fixation implant was attached to the base of the skull with medical super glue
 120 (Vetbond, 3M, Maplewood MN, USA) such that its most anterior point rested approximately
 121 0.5 mm posterior to the bregma line. Dental cement (Paladur, Heraeus Kulzer GmbH, Hanau,
 122 Germany; Simplex Rapid Liquid, Associated Dental Products Ltd., Swindon, UK) was then
 123 applied around the edges of the implant to ensure firm adhesion to the skull. A craniotomy
 124 over the right olfactory bulb (approximately ~2mm diameter) was made with a dental drill
 125 (Success 40, Osada, Tokyo, Japan) and then immersed in ACSF (NaCl (125 mM), KCl (5
 126 mM), HEPES (10 mM), pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH, MgSO₄·7H₂O (2 mM), CaCl₂·2H₂O
 127 (2 mM), glucose (10 mM)) before removing the skull with forceps. The dura was then peeled
 128 off using a bent 30G needle tip.

129 Following surgery, mice were transferred to a custom head-fixation apparatus with a heat-pad
 130 (RS components) connected to a DC temperature controller (FHC, ME USA) for recording.
 131 The animals were maintained at 37±0.5 °C.

132

133 **Unit Recording**

134 A Neuronexus poly3 probe was positioned above the OB craniotomy. An Ag/Ag⁺Cl⁻
 135 reference coil was immersed in a well that was constructed of dental cement around the
 136 craniotomy. The reference wire was connected to both the ground and the reference of the
 137 amplifier board (RHD2132, Intan, CA, USA), which was connected (Omnetics, MN, USA) to
 138 a head-stage adapter (A32-OM32, Neuronexus, MI, USA). The probe, after zeroed at the OB
 139 surface, was advanced vertically into the dorsal OB at <4μm/s. This was continued until the

deepest channels showed decrease in their recorded spikes indicating the end of the dorsal mitral cell layer. This was largely in the range of 400-600 μm from the brain surface. The signal from the probe was fed into a OpenEphys acquisition board (<https://open-ephys.org/acquisition-system/eux9baf6a5s8tid06hk1mw5aafjdz1>) and streamed through the accompanying GUI software (<https://open-ephys.org/gui>). The data was acquired at 30KHz and displayed both in a raw format, and a band pass filtered (300 - 6KHz) format. The band passed format was used primarily to visualise spikes across channels during the recording.

Odour stimulation (unit recordings)

Four odours (ethyl butyrate, 2-hexanone, isoamyl acetate, and eucalyptol) were diluted in mineral oil, as mentioned previously, in a ratio of 1:5.

Temporal structure of the odour stimulation was created using the VHS valves while blank valves helped maintain air flow to be constant through-out the stimulation period (Fig 1B). The start of a stimulation was always triggered to the start of inhalation which was continuously monitored online using a flow sensor (AWM2000, Honeywell, NC, USA). A minimum of 8s inter-trial interval was given for all the experiments.

The onset pulse was passed to the OpenEphys acquisition board so that the trial trigger was recorded simultaneously with the neural data. 800 total trials were presented during the experiment, consisting of 32 repeats of 5 different frequencies for 4 odours and 1 blank. Each trial lasted 2 seconds and was spaced by a minimum of 8 seconds between the offset of one trial and the onset of the following trial.

Whole-cell recording

163 Borosilicate pipettes (2x1.5 mm) were pulled and filled with (in mM) KMeSO₃ (130), HEPES
 164 (10), KCl (7), ATP-Na₂ (2), ATP-Mg (2), GTP-Na_x (0.5), EGTA (0.05) (pH = 7.3, osmolarity
 165 ~290 mOsm/kg). The OB surface was submerged with ACSF containing (in mM) NaCl
 166 (135), KCl (5.4), HEPES (5), MgCl₂ (1), CaCl₂ (1.8), (pH = 7.4 and ~300 mOsm/kg. Signals
 167 were amplified and low-pass filtered at 10 kHz using an Axoclamp 2B amplifier (Molecular
 168 Devices, USA) and digitized at 40 kHz using a Micro 1401 analogue to digital converter
 169 (Cambridge Electronic Design, UK).

170 After zeroing the pipette tip position at the OB surface, we advanced the tip to reach a depth
 171 of ~200 μm from the surface. Next, we stepped at 2 μm/s to hunt for a cell in a similar
 172 manner as described before (Margrie et al., 2002; Margrie and Schaefer, 2003; Jordan, 2021).
 173 Upon getting a successful hit, we released the positive pressure to achieve a gigaseal. The
 174 next gentle suction helped achieve the whole-cell configuration. We swiftly shifted to
 175 current-clamp mode to start a recording. Series resistance was compensated and monitored
 176 continuously during recording. Neurons showing series resistance >25 MΩ were discarded
 177 from further analysis.

178 The vertical depths of recorded neurons reported (e.g. Fig 4B & Fig 6E-F) are vertical
 179 distances from the brain surface. Respiration was recorded using a mass flow sensor (A3100,
 180 Honeywell, NC, USA) and digitized at 10KHz.

181 The GABA_A clamping experiments were performed as described before (Fukunaga et al.,
 182 2012). Briefly, muscimol and gabazine (Tocris Biosciences, Bristol, UK) were dissolved in
 183 ACSF to achieve a final concentration of 2mM (muscimol) and 0.4mM (gabazine). In a
 184 subset of experiments, this solution was superfused after ~10 minutes of recording under
 185 control conditions.

186

187 **Odour stimulation (whole-cell recording)**

188 Odours were presented as mixtures of monomolecular odorants mixed in 1:1 ratio which was
189 eventually diluted in mineral oil in a 3:7 ratio. Odour A (ethyl butyrate + 2-hexanone) and B
190 (isopentyl acetate + eucalyptol) were used for *in vivo* patch clamp experiments. Odour
191 presentations were triggered on the onset of inhalation of the mouse as described for the unit
192 recordings. The temporal structure of the odour pulses and the triggering of the blank valves
193 were done as for the unit recording experiments described above. A minimum of 8s inter-trial
194 interval was given for all the experiments.

195

196 **Analysis**

197

198 **Fidelity**

199 Fidelity was defined here as the value of peak-to-trough of each square pulse normalised to
200 the peak-to-baseline value. A fidelity of 1 therefore indicates that odour fully returns to
201 baseline value between subsequent pulses, while a fidelity of ~ 0 for the flow indicates an
202 almost continuous square pulse of air flow devoid of temporal structure.

203

204 **Odour-Respiration Convolution**

205 Photo-Ionization Device (PID) traces were taken of the odour stimuli from the same position
206 as the mice were during the unit recording experiments. Each frequency was presented 4
207 times, with two different odours (Ethyl Butyrate and Isoamyl acetate) randomly presented
208 with 10s of intertrial interval. This replicated the actual odour presentation to animals. The

209 average signal from the 4 repetitions were used for the odour-sniff convolution outlined
210 below.

211 *Convolution step:* Firstly, the respiration signal was high pass filtered, flipped, and median
212 subtracted (such that the inhalation was now positive and exhalation negative). All values
213 below 0 (and therefore any which were linked to the exhalation) were set to zero. Using the
214 *find peaks* function, the times and heights of the inhalation peaks were recorded. The
215 respiration trace was deemed to be in the inhalation phase when the signal had reached 5% of
216 the total inhalation peak value and was deemed to have reached the end of inhalation when
217 this threshold was reached again post peak.

218 The PID odour signal was resampled using *scipy.signal.resample* to have the same sampling
219 frequency as the respiration signal (from 10kHz up 30kHz).

220 The inhalation only signal was convolved with the PID signal for the stimuli. Therefore, the
221 resulting odour signal was modulated by the flow rate at any given time point. This
222 convolved signal was then summed over the same time windows as in the binary convolution.

223 The convolutions were repeated for all presentations during the experiments using the same
224 averaged PID signals. To compare the odour signal between all the frequencies, we applied
225 Mann-Whitney U tests to the distributions of total odour calculated. The significance values
226 for these tests were subjected to a Bonferroni correction to account for multiple comparisons.

227

228 **Single Unit Responses**

229 Unit responses to blank stimuli were first subtracted from the responses to odour stimuli at
230 the same frequency. These subtracted responses were then averaged across repetitions of the
231 same frequency to produce averaged subtracted cell responses (one per frequency). These

responses were then z-scored so that they had an average response of 0 and a standard deviation of one, with each unit represented by an associated five value z-scored response vector. These z-scored responses were clustered using the *scipy.cluster.hierarchy.linkage* function, which groups units together by the distances between responses in the 5 dimensional space they occupy. The output was optimally ordered, ensuring that the global distances between neighboring cells was minimized.

pTC vs pMC classification

We classified our recordings (both unit and whole-cell) into putative tufted cells and putative mitral cells based on the methodology in Fukunaga et. al, 2012. We detected the exhalation peaks for every sniff cycle for the baseline period of a given recording which were then segregated into single sniffs with the corresponding spike-clipped membrane potential (whole- cell) or spike (unit recording). The membrane potential was then averaged over all sniff cycles while an average spike probability vector was created from the unit recording. Next, the sniff cycle and the thus obtained membrane potential or spike probability was converted into a phase plot, with phase 0 indicating peak exhalation. Then the preferred phase of the resultant membrane depolarisation or spiking probability were detected and considered ‘the phase of respiration coupling’ for the given cell/unit. Next, we classified cells into pTC if the phase of respiration coupling was in the range of 0-160° and pMC if in the range of 190-350°. Cells which did not have their phase in either of these ranges were not considered into any of the classes.

Spike sorting

255 Kilosort2 (<https://github.com/MouseLand/Kilosort2>) was used to spikesort detected events
 256 into ‘clusters’. Clusters were then manually curated using phy2 ([https://github.com/cortex-](https://github.com/cortex-lab/phy)
 257 [lab/phy](https://github.com/cortex-lab/phy)) and assigned a ‘good’, ‘mua’, or ‘noise’ label depending on if they were considered
 258 to be made up of neural spikes (good and multi-unit activity), or false detections (noise). The
 259 clusters made up of spikes were further divided into good or mua dependent on if they are
 260 thought to be spikes from a well isolated single unit or not. A ‘good’ unit is characterised by
 261 a well-defined rest period in its auto-correlogram, a characteristic spike waveform, and a
 262 stable firing rate and spike amplitude (however these can both vary throughout the recording)
 263 (Fig 2D-F). Only good clusters were used for further analysis.

264

265 **Linear Classifier**

266 97 clusters across 6 animals were grouped together and used for classification. Windows used
 267 to bin spikes varied both in size and in start relative to odour onset. Window starts span 0s to
 268 3.99s from odour onset. The window sizes ranged from 10ms to 4s. All classifiers did not
 269 consider spikes from greater than 4s from odour onset. Therefore, a classifier with a 500ms
 270 window range could start between 0s and 3.5s, and a window range of 1s would use starts up
 271 to 3s from odour onset. This full range of starts and widths were used to determine both the
 272 time after odour onset that frequencies could be distinguished, and the time window required.

273 The data sets were always of dimensions 160 x 97 where 160 is the number of trials and 97
 274 the number of clusters. The range of unit responses were scaled to have a mean response of 0
 275 and a SD of 1. The data was split into a training (80%) and a test set (20%). The training set
 276 was used to train a linear Support Vector Machine (SVM) with a low regularisation
 277 parameter. The low regularisation parameter translates to less restrictions in weightings
 278 assigned to each cluster by the classifier. Once the classifiers had been trained, they were

279 tested with the remaining 20% of trials. The trials to be saved for testing were picked at
 280 random. This training and testing were repeated 1000 times with a random selection of
 281 testing trials used each time. Classifiers were then trained on the same data but with their
 282 labels shuffled. To test how accuracy varied with number of clusters, random subsets of
 283 clusters were selected and used to train and test the classifiers.

284 Classifiers were then trained and tested on all 1v1 combinations of trials from the
 285 experimental data set. In this case a classifier was trained on all but two trials, one from each
 286 of the two trial types present in the training data. In this set chance was 50%. Finally, a series
 287 of classifiers were trained on all frequencies across all odours, with a single trial from every
 288 type being withheld for the test set. As there were 20 total trial types (5 frequencies with 4
 289 odours) chance was 4%.

290 *Single Cell Classifier:* To test the accuracy of single cells in separating just 2Hz from 20Hz, a
 291 series of classifiers were trained and tested, in the method outlined above, on single cell
 292 responses to the 2Hz and 20Hz stimuli. These classifications were repeated 1000 times with
 293 different splits in the StratifiedKFold shuffle. The cells were then ordered from the lowest
 294 average classifier accuracy (across the four odours) to the highest classifier accuracy.

295

296 **Change in membrane potential**

297 The raw recordings were spike-clipped using a custom script in spike2 (Cambridge Electronic
 298 Design, UK). They were then stored into MATLAB (Mathworks, USA) readable files for
 299 further analysis.

300 All the recordings used were baseline-subtracted to rule out the effect of sniff related
 301 background membrane potential oscillations. This was done as described previously
 302 (Abraham et al., 2010). Briefly, stretches of baseline period were collated after matching the

303 sniff-phase to that during the actual odour presentation. The membrane potential associated
 304 with these baseline periods was averaged to make a generic baseline trace for every cell. This
 305 was then subtracted from all the recorded traces during the odour-stimulation period to create
 306 a baseline subtracted trace.

307 For calculating the average change in membrane potential for 2 and 20Hz; we averaged the
 308 membrane potential in a 2s period pre-odour onset (Vm_{base}). Next, we averaged the
 309 membrane potential in the first 500ms (~ 2 sniffs) post odour onset ($Vm_{odour500}$) and
 310 subtracted from the baseline average voltage. In short;

$$Avg. change in membrane potential = Vm_{odour500} - Vm_{base}$$

311

312 **Change in spike frequency**

313 Action potentials were counted in the raw data and converted into spike frequency in bins of
 314 50ms. Bar plot of the spike frequency yielded PSTH plots in Fig 4E. Further, we calculated
 315 the average spike frequency in 2s before onset (FR_{base}) and 500ms post onset ($FR_{odour500}$) and
 316 eventually subtracted them from each other to calculate the net change in spike frequency. In
 317 short;

$$Avg. change in spike frequency = FR_{odour500} - FR_{base}$$

318

319 **Frequency-coupling coefficient estimation**

320 Baseline-subtracted membrane potential traces for every odour and frequency were collected
 321 (Fig 5A *middle*). PID traces recorded for the 2Hz and 20Hz odour stimulation were averaged
 322 over 10 different trials (Fig 5A *top*). Next, we cross-correlated the PID trace and all the
 323 individual baseline-subtracted traces. This was repeated for all the trials for a given odour and

frequency. We selected the peak correlation ($CC_{\text{odour}2\text{Hz}}$ or $CC_{\text{odour}20\text{Hz}}$) for all the trials. Similarly, we repeated the same exercise for the control blank stimulus which was also delivered at 2 and 20Hz and obtained a $CC_{\text{blank}2\text{Hz}}$ or $CC_{\text{blank}20\text{Hz}}$. Next, we normalized the CC_{odour} with the CC_{blank} for the respective frequencies and averaged them over all the trials to achieve a frequency-coupling coefficient (CpC) for a given cell-odour pair. In short for a given cell-odour pair:

$$CpC = \frac{CC_{\text{odour}2\text{Hz}}}{CC_{\text{blank}2\text{Hz}}} \text{ and } CpC = \frac{CC_{\text{odour}20\text{Hz}}}{CC_{\text{blank}20\text{Hz}}}$$

Baseline control CpC

For every recorded cell, we isolated the baseline periods for all the trials. These were then baseline subtracted as described before. Next, we cross-correlated each of these baseline traces with the 2Hz and 20Hz PID signals to obtain the peak cross-correlation value. The CpC value for all the baseline traces for a given cell were then calculated in the same manner as described above. This set of CpC baseline 2Hz and 20Hz was then used to determine statistical difference from the CpC odour 2Hz and 20Hz.

Statistics

When only two groups were compared, a non-parametric Student's t test (paired and unpaired) have been used with Bonferroni correction used in the case of multiple comparison. When more than two groups were compared, we used one-way ANOVA. Bars and scatter plots are represented with mean $\pm$ SD of the population. The box plots are represented with the median (midline), with the 25th percentile (top edge) and the 75th percentile (bottom edge) while the minimum and the maximum values are represented by the top and bottom whiskers

respectively. The violin plot in Fig 1C represents the distribution of all the datapoints with the
midline representing the median value.

Results

M/T cells differentially respond to different frequencies in odour stimuli

We have previously shown that mice can behaviourally distinguish temporal structure in odours at frequencies up to 40 Hz (Ackels et al., 2021). Breathing in awake mice is highly variable vs almost metronomic in anaesthetized animals. Thus, in order to precisely probe the effect of temporal structure in odour stimuli on M/T cell activity, we recorded neural activity in anaesthetized mice, linking odour stimulation to the rhythmic breathing. We recorded extracellular spiking activity using Neuronexus silicone probes (97 units, 6 mice) from the dorsal OB while presenting 4 different odours (ethyl butyrate, 2-hexanone, amyl acetate and eucalyptol) at 5 different frequencies (2, 5, 10, 15 and 20Hz, Fig 1A-B) using a high-speed odour delivery device we recently developed (Ackels et al., 2021). As M/T cells can respond to changes in air pressure due to mechanosensitivity of OSNs (Grosmaître et al., 2007), we offset changes in flow by presenting an odourless air stream from an additional valve following a temporal structure that operated anti-correlated to the odour valve. This resulted in approximately constant air flow profile throughout the odour presentation (Fig 1A-B). The temporal odour delivery device (tODD) allowed for a reliable odour pulse presentation (Fig 1B) with similar net volumes of odour for all the frequencies ($P = 0.3142$ Welch's t-test) (Fig 1C). To control for responses to residual flow changes, we included 'blank trials', i.e. trials identical to the odour trials in temporal structure, except that both the valves were connected to vials filled with mineral oil. Respiration was continuously monitored using a flow sensor placed in close proximity to the nostril contralateral to the recording hemisphere. Respiration

frequency was 2.8 ± 0.5 Hz (mean $\pm$ SD, $n=6$ animals) (Fig 1E). To minimise sniff-cycle related variability (Shusterman et al., 2011) we triggered odour stimulation at the onset of inhalation. Further, we estimated the amount of odour that the animals might be inhaling during all the different frequencies. To do that we convolved the inhalation phase of every sniff cycle during the odour presentation with the recorded PID trace (Fig 1F). We then compared the thus convolved value for every sniff for the entire duration of a given odour presentation for all the different odour frequencies (Fig 1G&I). Next, we performed a pairwise statistical analysis between all the frequency combinations for a given sniff (Fig 1H&J). We observe that the odour integral during the first sniff varies marginally albeit significantly between the slow frequencies (2Hz & 5Hz) and the fast frequencies (10-20 Hz) (Fig 1H) while from the second sniff onwards there is no significant difference (Fig 1J). Further, we did not find any statistical significance between the slow 2Hz and 5Hz or among the fast 10Hz, 15Hz and 20Hz frequencies.

A typical recording session yielded recordings from multiple clusters from a depth of 300-500 μ m from the OB surface. The recorded clusters were classified either as ‘good’ (well isolated clusters), ‘MUA’ (multi-unit activity, clusters which contained spikes of physiological origin but from numerous cells), or ‘noise’ (clusters containing spikes of non-physiological origin, e.g. electrical interference, movement artefacts) based on their autocorrelograms (Fig 2D-E *left*), waveforms (Fig 2D-E *middle*), firing rate and amplitude stabilities. Depending on the odour identity, between 49% and 72% of units displayed significant changes ($P<0.05$, Mann-Whitney U test) in their firing rates in response to the stimuli (Ethyl butyrate – 70/97; 2-Hexanone – 72/97; Amyl acetate – 56/97; Eucalyptol – 48/97) (Fig 2B-C). Corroborating previous findings (Fukunaga et al., 2012; Jordan et al., 2018b; Jordan et al., 2018a; Ackels et al., 2020) we observed that most of the units also coupled distinctly to either the inhalation (Fig 2D *right*, F) or exhalation phase (Fig 2E *right*,

396 F) of the sniff cycle. From the entire population of recorded units, we estimated a total of 64
 397 putative tufted cells (pTCs) and 26 putative mitral cells (pMCs) while 7 units could not be
 398 resolved into either of the class (Fig 2F). The average baseline firing of the recorded units
 399 was found to be 11 ± 9 Hz (mean $\pm$ SD) (Fig 2K).

400 Importantly, a subset of units displayed visibly different spiking profiles in response to
 401 different frequency odour stimuli (Fig 2G-H). Comparing activities of units between 2Hz and
 402 20Hz stimuli we observed that a substantial number of units showed significant difference in
 403 their activity in the first 500ms after odour onset when compared to their response to the
 404 blank stimuli. This was true for all the 4 odours tested (Ethyl butyrate – 22/97; 2-Hexanone –
 405 13/97; Amyl acetate – 28/97; Eucalyptol – 15/97; Blank – 9/97, $P < 0.01$ Mann-Whitney U
 406 test) (Fig 2I). However, we did not find any obvious pattern between units responding for a
 407 given frequency among all the odours (Fig 2L).

408 To examine the population level response to these different stimuli, we constructed response
 409 vectors by computing the cumulative spike count for all the 97 recorded units in the first
 410 500ms post odour-onset and subtracting from it the spike counts of a blank trial. We trained
 411 linear classifiers on 80% of the data and tested on 20% to examine whether spiking activity
 412 obtained for different stimulus frequencies was linearly separable (Fig 3A). We observed that
 413 when we used a 500ms rolling window with temporal steps of 10ms, a classifier could
 414 achieve peak accuracies (Ethyl butyrate: 0.55 ± 0.08 ; 2-Hexanone: 0.51 ± 0.08 ; Amyl acetate:
 415 0.53 ± 0.08 ; Eucalyptol: 0.45 ± 0.08) notably higher than what was obtained by training on
 416 shuffled data (0.2 ± 0.07) (Fig 3B). In addition, we trained classifiers on random subsets of
 417 unit responses, binned in a 500ms window from odour onset. The classifier accuracies
 418 increased with increasing number of units, with peak accuracies found for classifiers which
 419 had the full set of units available (Ethyl butyrate: 0.41 ± 0.05 ; 2-Hexanone: 0.35 ± 0.05 ;

420 Amyl acetate: 0.46 ± 0.05 ; Eucalyptol: 0.39 ± 0.05) compared to shuffled data (0.2 ± 0.05)
 421 (Fig 3C). We then trained a series of classifiers to distinguish pairs selected from all possible
 422 combinations of odour frequencies and identities. We found that classifiers could readily
 423 distinguish responses for different stimulus frequencies well above chance (>0.5) for a given
 424 odour while performing even better while comparing responses for trials across different
 425 odours (Fig 3D). Next, we withheld one trial of each type of stimuli and trained a classifier
 426 with all the remaining trials. We tested the classifier on the withheld trials to see both how
 427 well it could distinguish trials, as well as explore the structure of the false classifications (Fig
 428 3E). For a given odour, the predictability of a frequency of stimulus reached well above
 429 chance (> 0.04). Furthermore, when comparing across the different odours, the predictability
 430 was almost perfect (Fig 3E). Next, we trained classifiers based on each of the unit's response
 431 to all the 4 odours for the 5 different frequencies. Each of the classifier had access to a single
 432 unit's response for a single odour. The average accuracy obtained from all the odours for a
 433 given unit were sorted and plotted (Fig 3F). We could observe that both the pTC and pMC
 434 appeared at different accuracy values indicating that both the cell population responses were
 435 required to achieve the overall final accuracy values for the population. One should note,
 436 however, that the number of pTCs recorded were much larger than the number of pMCs.
 437 Overall, this suggests that OB neurons can encode temporal structure present in odour stimuli
 438 at frequencies of at least up to 20Hz in their spiking pattern. Importantly, this indicates that
 439 information can be read out by downstream structures simply by summing activity over
 440 populations of M/TCs at relatively low temporal resolution (i.e. spike counts over 500 ms).

441

442 **M/T cells follow odour stimulus both at 2 and 20Hz**

443 To better understand the mechanism that gives rise to frequency dependent M/T cell spiking
 444 responses and to get insight into their subthreshold basis, we performed whole-cell recordings
 445 from M/T cells (Fig 4A). To increase the probability of finding a responsive cell-odour pair
 446 and due to the time limitation and lower yield of stable whole-cell recordings, we employed
 447 odour mixtures as stimuli (A: Ethyl butyrate + 2-Hexanone; B: Amyl acetate + Eucalyptol)
 448 and presented odours at only two frequencies, 2Hz and 20Hz (Fig 4E). As with the unit
 449 recordings, stimuli were triggered at the onset of inhalation and blank trials were included.
 450 The animals had an average respiration frequency of 2.9 ± 1.3 Hz (mean $\pm$ SD, n=25 mice)
 451 (Fig 1D). We recorded from 42 neurons in 25 mice at depths of 180-450 μ m from the surface
 452 of the olfactory bulb (Fig 4B). The neurons showed resting membrane potentials (RMP)
 453 ranging from -38 mV to -60 mV (Fig 4C) and input resistance of 45-280 M Ω (Fig 4D). These
 454 values are congruent with previous findings indicating that our recordings were largely from
 455 M/T cells (Margrie et al., 2001; Margrie et al., 2002; Margrie and Schaefer, 2003; Fukunaga
 456 et al., 2012; Jordan et al., 2018b; Jordan et al., 2018a). In response to 2 Hz odour presentation
 457 25/70 cell-odour pairs showed significant changes in AP discharge compared to the blank
 458 stimulus at the same frequency in the first 500ms after odour onset (13/35 for Mixture A,
 459 12/35 for Mixture B). Of these, 14/25 cell-odour pairs significantly increased their firing in
 460 response to odour stimulation while 11/25 showed a significant decrease. To assess the
 461 subthreshold response, we calculated the average change in membrane potential (Δ Voltage)
 462 during the first 500ms of the stimulus from the baseline. With 2 Hz odour presentation 34/70
 463 cell-odour pairs showed significant subthreshold responses compared to the blank stimulus at
 464 2Hz (24/34 for Mixture A, 10/34 for Mixture B). Of these, 18/34 cell-odour pairs
 465 significantly depolarised and 16/34 hyperpolarised in response to odour stimulation. When
 466 presented with the 20 Hz odour stimulation, 18/70 cell-odour pairs showed significant
 467 spiking responses compared to the blank stimulus at 20Hz (12/18 for Mixture A, 6/18 for

468 Mixture B). Of these, 11/18 cell-odour pairs significantly increased their firing in response to
 469 odour stimulation whereas 7/18 showed a significant decrease. On the subthreshold level,
 470 25/70 cell-odour pairs showed significant odour responses to the 20 Hz odour stimulation
 471 (18/25 for Mixture A, 7/25 for Mixture B). Of these, 15/25 cell-odour pairs significantly
 472 depolarised in response to the odour stimulation and 10/25 hyperpolarised.

473 Comparing average change in action potential firing frequency in the first 500ms after odour
 474 onset from the baseline between the 2Hz and 20Hz responses (Fig 4F-H) we observed that for
 475 15/70 cell-odour pairs, responses differed significantly between the two cases (Fig 4H,
 476 $P < 0.05$, Two-tailed unpaired t-test). For 6/15 cell-odour pairs responses were significantly
 477 larger for the 2 Hz case. This is consistent with our findings from the unit recordings (Fig 2-
 478 3). Interestingly, a larger number of cell-odour pairs (27/70) showed significant differences
 479 between the subthreshold responses to the two stimuli (Fig 4I&K, $P < 0.05$; Two-tailed
 480 unpaired t-test) compared to the suprathreshold response (18/27 cell-odour pairs showed
 481 significantly larger Δ Voltage responses for the 2Hz stimulus).

482 To quantify the coupling of membrane potential to the frequency of odour stimulation, we
 483 estimated the peak correlation-coefficient of the odour period (CC_{odour}) and compared with
 484 that for the blank condition (CC_{blank}) (Fig 5H-I). We observed that 20/70 (Fig 5H) and 16/70
 485 (Fig 5I) (2Hz and 20Hz respectively) showed a significant difference between CC_{odour} and
 486 CC_{blank} . Further only 1/20 cell-odour pair among the ones showing significant change in the
 487 2Hz case showed a CC_{blank} higher than the CC_{odour} . This might be due to the residual
 488 respiration coupling left for this particular case.

489 To avoid the issue of the residual respiration driven membrane potential coupling
 490 contaminating the stimulus driven coupling, we computed a coupling coefficient index (CpC ,
 491 Fig 5 A-F) for each individual cell ($n = 42$ cells, 70 cell-odour pairs, 25 mice, see Methods

for details). In brief, to calculate CpC , the membrane potential was first baseline subtracted to minimise sniff-related membrane potential oscillations (Abraham et al., 2010) (Fig 5G). For a given cell-odour pair, CpC was then obtained by normalising the peak cross-correlation value for the odour response to that for the mineral-oil response (Fig 5 C-F), resulting in a CpC value > 0 . A high CpC value indicates a cell that has a strong cell-odour frequency coupling relative to the baseline. Further, a $CpC > 1$ suggests a cell's response to the odour-frequency pair is stronger than that to a blank trial and is not due to a response of a potential residual purely mechanical stimulus. A subset of the recorded cell-odour pairs showed $CpC > 1$ suggesting possible coupling to both 2Hz (35/70) (Fig 5J) and 20Hz (25/70) (Fig 5N). To assess statistical significance of this coupling measure, we estimated the CpC of all the baseline periods for a given cell and compared with the ones obtained for the odour period for all the trials (Fig 5K&O). Comparing the CpC between the original and the baseline, we observed that a substantial number of M/T cell-odour pairs indeed significantly coupled to both 2Hz (29/70 cell-odour pairs) (Fig 5L) and 20Hz (24/70 cell-odour pairs) (Fig 5P) ($P < 0.05$, Two-tailed unpaired t-test; all of these were cell-odour pairs where we had observed significant subthreshold odour-evoked responses as defined above). However, we observed that 2/29 and 1/24 (2Hz & 20Hz respectively) cell-odour pairs among the significantly coupled ones showed a decrease in CpC for the odour stimulus compared to the baseline condition. For a subset of recorded cells, we presented a third stimulus, a continuous odour (with no temporal structure) and estimated the CpC as before. As expected, $CpCs$ obtained from the 2Hz and 20Hz stimuli was significantly higher than that from the constant odour stimulus for a substantial portion of the recorded M/T cells (2Hz: 17/32 cell-odour pairs; 20 Hz: 13/26 cell-odour pairs; $P < 0.01$, Two-tailed paired t-test, Fig 5M&Q).

Depth of recording correlated with CpC

517 We next asked if the *CpC* was related to the intrinsic properties of the recorded cells. We
 518 observed that input resistance (Fig 6A-B) and resting membrane potential (RMP, Fig 6C-D)
 519 of a cell were not correlated with its *CpC*. *CpC* and depth showed different correlations for
 520 2Hz and 20Hz. For the 2Hz cases we could not find any correlation between depth and *CpC*
 521 (Fig 6E), while *CpC* decreased with depth for the 20Hz cases (Fig 6F) ($P = 0.03$, odour A and
 522 $P = 0.019$, odour B; Two-Tailed test). This suggests that tufted cells which are located more
 523 superficially than mitral cells might couple more strongly to high frequency (20 Hz) odour
 524 stimuli. Overall, however, *CpC* was only weakly dependent on intrinsic cellular properties.

525

526 **Putative Tufted cells show higher *CpC* than putative Mitral cells**

527 Spontaneous oscillation of membrane potential has been observed to be a reliable predictor of
 528 projection neuron type in the OB (Fukunaga et al., 2012; Jordan et al., 2018b; Ackels et al.,
 529 2020). We classified the recorded neurons into 23 putative mitral cells (pMC) and 17 putative
 530 tufted cells (pTC) based on the phase locking of the spontaneous membrane potential to the
 531 respiration cycle of the mouse (Fig 7A-B). Two of the cells could not be resolved. While
 532 overall similar, pTCs tended to couple marginally more strongly to the odour stimuli than
 533 pMCs, reaching significance for the 20 Hz case (2 Hz: $CpC_{pTC} = 1.32 \pm 0.48$ (mean $\pm$ SD),
 534 $CpC_{pMC} = 1.24 \pm 0.41$; $P = 0.6476$, KS test; 20 Hz: $CpC_{pTC} = 1.12 \pm 0.29$, $CpC_{pMC} = 0.95 \pm 0.49$; $P =$
 535 0.0436 , KS test). This was consistent with the depth-based classification where we observed
 536 that for the 20Hz cases, superficially located (putative tufted) cells showed higher *CpC*
 537 compared to the deeper cells (putative mitral cells; Fig 6 F). However, we did not observe
 538 any significant difference in the lag of the peak correlation point between pTC and pMC ($P =$
 539 0.6297 , 2Hz; $P = 0.6634$, 20Hz, Unpaired t test). (Fig 7 G-H).

540

541 ***CpC* for odour mixtures can be linearly predicted from that of individual constituents**

542 As described above, we presented two different odour stimuli at different frequencies (odour
 543 A and odour B). Comparing *CpCs* for odour A with that displayed for odour B we noticed
 544 that these were tightly linked: M/T cells coupling well to odour A, also coupled well to odour
 545 B whereas M/T cells poorly coupling to one also coupled weakly to the other (Fig 8A-B).
 546 This was the case for both 2Hz (Fig 8C) and 20Hz (Fig 8D) suggesting that the frequency
 547 coupling of a cell is independent of the odour presented. To further corroborate that *CpC* is
 548 an odour-independent parameter, we probed M/T cells with a mixture of the two odours. If
 549 frequency-coupling is indeed odour-independent, a cell's response to odour mixtures should
 550 be predictable from the *CpC* for the individual odour. To assess this, we first categorized
 551 recordings based on the direction of average change in membrane potential in the first 500ms
 552 after odour onset, and classified responses into 3 types: excitatory-inhibitory (Ex-In),
 553 excitatory-excitatory (Ex-Ex), and inhibitory-inhibitory (In-In) (Fig 9A-B). Notably, we did
 554 not observe any significant difference between *CpCs* for the different response types –
 555 neither for 2Hz ($P = 0.54$, One-way ANOVA; Fig 9C) nor for 20Hz ($P = 0.15$, One-way
 556 ANOVA; Fig 9D).

557 Next, we tried to predict the *CpC* of a given cell to an odour mixture, based on the cell's *CpC*
 558 obtained from the constituent odours. As predicted from the observation that *CpC* is largely
 559 cell-intrinsic and odour-independent, we observed that the *CpC* for a given cell-mixture pair
 560 could be reliably predicted from the cell-constituent pairs both for 2Hz ($P = 1.3 \times 10^{-9}$, Two-
 561 Tailed test) (Fig 9E) and 20Hz ($P = 1.81 \times 10^{-6}$, Two-Tailed test) (Fig 9F). Notably, we
 562 observed that *CpC* was not correlated with the strength of odour response for a given cell-
 563 odour pair (data not shown).

564

565 **Influence of inhibition on *CpC***

566 Since our observations indicated that *CpC* is cell-intrinsic, independent of the odour
 567 presented (Figs 8-9) and was only weakly dependent on intrinsic cellular properties (Fig 6),
 568 we next asked whether a cell's *CpC* was shaped by circuit properties.

569 OB inhibitory circuits are known to shape M/T cell activity and odour responses (Yokoi et
 570 al., 1995; Fukunaga et al., 2012; Fukunaga et al., 2014; Burton, 2017). To assess the role that
 571 circuit level inhibition may have on cellular *CpC*, we recorded from M/T cells as outlined
 572 previously, and then washed in a titrated mixture of 0.4mM gabazine and 2mM muscimol to
 573 cause "GABA_A clamping" (Fukunaga et al., 2012), blocking synaptic inhibition while
 574 providing sufficient unspecific, background inhibition to avoid epileptic discharge. Following
 575 a short period of change in membrane potential, the recorded neurons returned to
 576 approximately their original RMP within a few minutes (Fig 10A). The input resistance (Fig
 577 10E) and RMP (Fig 10H) did not change significantly in any of the neurons recorded. Under
 578 baseline conditions before GABA-clamping, the estimated *CpC* was significant compared to
 579 their baseline control for most of the recorded cell-odour pairs (13/15; 2Hz and 14/15; 20Hz,
 580 $P < 0.01$, Two-tailed paired t-test). Post drug perfusion (with GABA_A-clamp) all recorded cells
 581 were significantly coupled (15/15; 2 & 20Hz, $P < 0.01$, Two-tailed paired t-test) (Fig 10C-D).
 582 Furthermore, we noticed a significant change in *CpC* for most of the cell-odour pairs post
 583 drug treatment from their baseline values ($n = 10/15$; 2Hz and $9/15$; 20Hz, $P < 0.01$, Two-
 584 tailed paired t test) (Fig 10F-G). Interestingly, this shift in *CpC* post GABA_A clamping
 585 happened in both directions with 5/15 cell-odour pairs showing a significant *decrease* and
 586 5/15 a significant *increase* in their *CpC* values in the 2Hz case (Fig 10F). For the 20Hz case,
 587 we observed that 2/15 cell-odour pairs showed a *decrease* while for 7/15 *CpC* values
 588 *increased* (Fig 10G). Furthermore, we observed that cell-odour pairs which showed a
 589 significant *increase* in *CpC* post GABA_A clamp from their baseline values, largely had initial

590 $CpC < 1$ (2Hz: 0.947 ± 0.076 , 20Hz: 0.98 ± 0.11 (mean $\pm$ SD)), while for cell-odour pairs
 591 showing a decrease post GABA_A clamp had initial $CpC > 1$ (2Hz: 2.31 ± 0.39 , 20Hz: 1.3 ± 0.07
 592 (mean $\pm$ SD)). Overall, our results indicate that the local inhibitory circuitry contributes
 593 significantly to determining a cell's CpC .

594

595 Discussion

596 Mammalian olfaction research has largely used odour identity (chemical structure) and
 597 intensity as modulators of odour responses despite the presence of rich temporal structure in
 598 natural odour landscapes. Here we have shown that M/T cells in the OB *in vivo* can encode
 599 frequencies in odour stimuli as high as 20Hz. Furthermore, whole-cell recordings indicated
 600 that a subset of M/T cells significantly couple to frequencies of odour stimuli both at the sniff
 601 range and sub-sniff range in a largely odour-independent manner. Importantly, while
 602 heterogeneous between cells, the strength of coupling is largely independent of the odour
 603 applied. We have demonstrated that odour frequency coupling capacity is similar between
 604 pMCs and pTCs populations at 2Hz, while at 20 Hz, pTCs couple somewhat more strongly
 605 than pMCs. Finally, while coupling capacity is variable between cells but largely independent
 606 of specific intrinsic properties, we observed that inhibitory circuits strongly modulate a cell's
 607 frequency coupling capacity. Overall, we show that the OB has the capacity to encode high
 608 frequency temporal patterns present in olfactory stimuli. M/T cells vary in their propensity to
 609 follow temporally structured stimuli, and this depends on the local circuitry.

610 In mammals, respiration ensures a low-frequency, periodic sampling of olfactory stimuli
 611 which in turn is the main source of theta activity in the early olfactory areas (Macrides and
 612 Chorover, 1972; Margrie and Schaefer, 2003; Schaefer et al., 2006). This causes rhythmic
 613 activity in M/T cells, even when devoid of odour stimuli (Grosmaître et al., 2007; Connelly et

614 al., 2015; Diaz-Quesada et al., 2018). Furthermore, the concentration of natural odour stimuli
 615 fluctuates in time (Riffell et al., 2008; Martinez and Moraud, 2013; Celani et al., 2014;
 616 Pannunzi and Nowotny, 2019; Ackels et al., 2021) providing an extra layer of temporal
 617 information to the input signal of the OB. Altogether this creates temporally complex input
 618 signals for OSNs that are thought to carry information about the odour source (Hopfield,
 619 1991; Vergassola et al., 2007; Celani et al., 2014; Ackels et al., 2021). While signal
 620 transduction at the olfactory receptor neuron level is relatively slow (Ghatpande and Reisert,
 621 2011), simulations have shown that OSN convergence into the OB can help sustain high-
 622 frequency information (Ackels et al., 2021), similar to encoding in the auditory system (Carr,
 623 1993). Furthermore, correlated and anti-correlated odour stimuli were shown to be faithfully
 624 represented in the OB and resulted in distinct behavioural responses for frequencies up to 40
 625 Hz (Ackels et al., 2021). Together with the aforementioned physiological (Cury and Uchida,
 626 2010; Shusterman et al., 2011) and behavioural experiments using precise optogenetic stimuli
 627 (Smear et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014; Rebello et al., 2014), this suggests the presence of cellular
 628 and/or network mechanisms supporting the encoding of high-frequency natural odour stimuli.
 629 Previous reports have varied inhalation frequency via tracheotomy and shown that M/T cells
 630 followed the respiratory rhythm at frequencies up to 5Hz (Diaz-Quesada et al., 2018; Short
 631 and Wachowiak, 2019; Eiting and Wachowiak, 2020). Here we have shown that in naturally
 632 breathing mice M/T cells in the OB can follow odour fluctuations well exceeding respiration
 633 rate at frequencies of 20Hz and that local circuit inhibition plays an important role in this
 634 encoding.

635

636 **Functional implications**

637 Naturally odours are carried by turbulent plumes of wind or water generating filamentous
 638 fluctuations of odour concentration (Celani et al., 2014) that can in principle contain
 639 information about the nature and location of odour sources (Fackrell and Robins, 1982;
 640 Hopfield, 1991; Moore and Atema, 1991; Mylne and Mason, 1991; Vergassola et al., 2007;
 641 Schmuker et al., 2016; Ackels et al., 2021; Crimaldi et al., 2021; Marin et al., 2021). Our
 642 observations here indicate that the M/T cells reliably encode information about odour
 643 fluctuations at frequencies from 2-20 Hz (Fig 2-3 & 5). Consistent with these results obtained
 644 from unit recordings, we observe that indeed a subset of neurons recorded intracellularly
 645 showed significantly different spiking and subthreshold membrane potential activity when
 646 presented with 2Hz or 20Hz fluctuating odour stimuli (Fig 5K&O). Furthermore, our read-out
 647 parameter, *CpC*, provides an estimate of how strongly a given neuron can *directly* couple to a
 648 specific odour frequency. Both types of projection neurons coupled equally to odours
 649 presented at 2Hz (Fig 7C) while tufted cells showed somewhat higher coupling than mitral
 650 cells to 20Hz (Fig 6F&7D). It is possible that further physiological analysis with different
 651 temporally structured stimuli might reveal distinct subtypes of projection neurons. Recent
 652 reports have for example identified a subset of mitral/tufted cells specifically responsive to
 653 changes in concentration (Parabucki et al., 2019). Emerging molecular subtype division of
 654 projection neurons (Zeppilli et al., 2020) might reveal distinct groups of projection neurons
 655 following different temporal patterns of odour presentation. Considering the heterogeneity of
 656 projection targets, this might indicate that different postsynaptic regions receive differentially
 657 filtered information. Tufted cells that in our hands showed stronger frequency coupling for 20
 658 Hz for example preferentially project to anterior olfactory nucleus or anterior piriform cortex
 659 (Scott et al., 1980; Schneider and Scott, 1983; Nagayama et al., 2010). Further studies will be
 660 required to find exact structures in the downstream areas related to frequency-specific odour
 661 signal computation. The fact that blocking inhibition altered the frequency coupling capacity

(Fig 10) indicates that the inhibitory circuitry of the OB plays a strong role in shaping the encoding of temporal features. This is consistent with a previous finding in *Drosophila* projection neurons where blocking presynaptic inhibition altered response kinetics for temporally dynamic odour stimuli (Nagel et al., 2015). Additionally, we observe that post GABA_A-clamping some of the cells displayed a decrease of CpC while other cells showed an increase (Fig 10F-G). Further studies are required to pinpoint the precise inhibitory pathway which might be responsible for modulating frequency-coupling in M/T cells in a cell-to-cell basis and possibly identify subpopulations of MCs and TCs that encode specific temporal features.

Limitations of the study

All the recordings presented here were performed in anesthetized mice benefitting from the stability of respiration in this state. Previous reports suggested that the behavioural state affects mitral cell firing properties (Rinberg et al., 2006; Kato et al., 2012). However, studies have suggested that M/T cell firing rates in awake conditions do not change but rather get redistributed over a breathing cycle (Gschwend et al., 2012). Whole cell recordings from M/T cells indicate that membrane properties are largely similar between the two states (Kollo et al., 2014), consistent with recent unit recording results (Bolding et al., 2020). It will nevertheless be important to repeat these experiments in awake mice to probe if the M/T cells hold to the same frequency coupling behaviour as that found in anaesthetised. Secondly, owing to the time limitation of reliable whole-cell recordings we could probe only two frequencies. Extracellular unit recording data partially alleviated this limitation by investigating additional intermediate frequencies. Linear classifiers performed at accuracies well above chance level (Fig 3), suggesting that M/T cells can encode several frequencies up

686 to 20Hz. Therefore, it is likely that the frequency coupling capacity of subthreshold activity
 687 could span this range as well.

688

689 **Temporally structured stimuli and pathophysiology**

690 In addition to better replicating naturalistic stimuli, temporally patterned sensory stimuli have
 691 been found to be advantageous in treating diseases. While direct electrical (and more recently
 692 optical) rhythmic deep brain stimulation is recognised as a possible treatment for a variety of
 693 neurodegenerative diseases (Benabid et al., 1987; Laxton et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2019), it
 694 is only quite recent that temporally modulated sensory stimulation has been employed to in a
 695 similar manner. For example using 40 Hz visual and/or auditory stimuli has been found to
 696 help alleviate the amyloid burden from medial prefrontal cortex (Martorell et al., 2019).
 697 These reports strongly suggest that temporally structured sensory stimuli can be used as a tool
 698 to treat AD patients. A recent report indicating that humans can use temporal olfactory cues
 699 suggests this may be possible for olfaction as well (Perl et al., 2020). Therefore, temporally
 700 structured odour stimuli could offer an additional route for treatment for neurodegenerative
 701 disorders.

702 Overall, in this study we report that M/T cells in the mouse olfactory bulb can encode
 703 temporal structure in odour stimuli and that their membrane potentials can follow frequencies
 704 of at least up to 20Hz. Extent of coupling is independent of the odours presented, varies
 705 between cells and is shaped by inhibition in the olfactory bulb.

706

707 **Author Contributions**

708 ATS and DD conceived the project, DD, ATS and TW designed experiments with inputs
 709 from AE; DD (whole cell) and TW (Unit recordings) performed experiments and analysed

710 data, AE contributed tools and to experimental design; DD and ATS wrote the manuscript
 711 with input from all authors.

712

713 References

- 714 Abraham NM, Egger V, Shimshek DR, Renden R, Fukunaga I, Sprengel R, Seeburg PH, Klugmann M,
 715 Margrie TW, Schaefer AT, Kuner T (2010) Synaptic inhibition in the olfactory bulb accelerates
 716 odor discrimination in mice. *Neuron* 65:399-411.
- 717 Ackels T, Jordan R, Schaefer AT, Fukunaga I (2020) Respiration-Locking of Olfactory Receptor and
 718 Projection Neurons in the Mouse Olfactory Bulb and Its Modulation by Brain State. *Frontiers*
 719 *in Cellular Neuroscience* 14.
- 720 Ackels T, Erskine A, Dasgupta D, Marin AC, Warner TPA, Tootoonian S, Fukunaga I, Harris JJ, Schaefer
 721 AT (2021) Fast odour dynamics are encoded in the olfactory system and guide behaviour.
 722 *Nature*.
- 723 Atallah BV, Scanziani M (2009) Instantaneous modulation of gamma oscillation frequency by
 724 balancing excitation with inhibition. *Neuron* 62:566-577.
- 725 Aungst JL, Heyward PM, Puche AC, Karnup SV, Hayar A, Szabo G, Shipley MT (2003) Centre-surround
 726 inhibition among olfactory bulb glomeruli. *Nature* 426:623-629.
- 727 Banerjee A, Marbach F, Anselmi F, Koh MS, Davis MB, Garcia da Silva P, Delevich K, Oyibo HK, Gupta
 728 P, Li B, Albeanu DF (2015) An Interglomerular Circuit Gates Glomerular Output and
 729 Implements Gain Control in the Mouse Olfactory Bulb. *Neuron* 87:193-207.
- 730 Benabid AL, Pollak P, Louveau A, Henry S, de Rougemont J (1987) Combined (thalamotomy and
 731 stimulation) stereotactic surgery of the VIM thalamic nucleus for bilateral Parkinson disease.
 732 *Appl Neurophysiol* 50:344-346.
- 733 Bolding KA, Nagappan S, Han BX, Wang F, Franks KM (2020) Recurrent circuitry is required to
 734 stabilize piriform cortex odor representations across brain states. *Elife* 9.
- 735 Borghuis BG, Tadin D, Lankheet MJM, Lappin JS, van de Grind WA (2019) Temporal Limits of Visual
 736 Motion Processing: Psychophysics and Neurophysiology. *Vision (Basel)* 3.
- 737 Buracas GT, Zador AM, DeWeese MR, Albright TD (1998) Efficient discrimination of temporal
 738 patterns by motion-sensitive neurons in primate visual cortex. *Neuron* 20:959-969.
- 739 Burton SD (2017) Inhibitory circuits of the mammalian main olfactory bulb. *J Neurophysiol* 118:2034-
 740 2051.
- 741 Carr CE (1993) Processing of temporal information in the brain. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 16:223-243.
- 742 Celani A, Villermanx E, Vergassola M (2014) Odor Landscapes in Turbulent Environments. *Physical*
 743 *Review X* 4:041015.
- 744 Chou TH, Toft-Nielsen J, Porciatti V (2019) Adaptation of retinal ganglion cell function during
 745 flickering light in the mouse. *Sci Rep* 9:18396.
- 746 Connelly T, Yu Y, Grosmaître X, Wang J, Santarelli LC, Savigner A, Qiao X, Wang Z, Storm DR, Ma M
 747 (2015) G protein-coupled odorant receptors underlie mechanosensitivity in mammalian
 748 olfactory sensory neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112:590-595.
- 749 Crimaldi J, Lei H, Schaefer A, Schmuker M, Smith BH, True AC, Verhagen JV, Victor JD (2021) Active
 750 sensing in a dynamic olfactory world. *J Comput Neurosci*.
- 751 Cury KM, Uchida N (2010) Robust odor coding via inhalation-coupled transient activity in the
 752 mammalian olfactory bulb. *Neuron* 68:570-585.
- 753 Deneux T, Kempf A, Daret A, Ponsot E, Bathellier B (2016) Temporal asymmetries in auditory coding
 754 and perception reflect multi-layered nonlinearities. *Nat Commun* 7:12682.

- 755 Diaz-Quesada M, Youngstrom IA, Tsuno Y, Hansen KR, Economo MN, Wachowiak M (2018)
 756 Inhalation Frequency Controls Reformatting of Mitral/Tufted Cell Odor Representations in
 757 the Olfactory Bulb. *J Neurosci* 38:2189-2206.
- 758 Eiting TP, Wachowiak M (2020) Differential Impacts of Repeated Sampling on Odor Representations
 759 by Genetically-Defined Mitral and Tufted Cell Subpopulations in the Mouse Olfactory Bulb. *J*
 760 *Neurosci* 40:6177-6188.
- 761 Fackrell JE, Robins AG (1982) Concentration fluctuations and fluxes in plumes from point sources in a
 762 turbulent boundary layer. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 117:1-26.
- 763 Fukunaga I, Berning M, Kollo M, Schmaltz A, Schaefer AT (2012) Two distinct channels of olfactory
 764 bulb output. *Neuron* 75:320-329.
- 765 Fukunaga I, Herb JT, Kollo M, Boyden ES, Schaefer AT (2014) Independent control of gamma and
 766 theta activity by distinct interneuron networks in the olfactory bulb. *Nat Neurosci* 17:1208-
 767 1216.
- 768 Ghatpande AS, Reisert J (2011) Olfactory receptor neuron responses coding for rapid odour
 769 sampling. *J Physiol* 589:2261-2273.
- 770 Grosmaître X, Santarelli LC, Tan J, Luo M, Ma M (2007) Dual functions of mammalian olfactory
 771 sensory neurons as odor detectors and mechanical sensors. *Nat Neurosci* 10:348-354.
- 772 Gschwend O, Beroud J, Carleton A (2012) Encoding odorant identity by spiking packets of rate-
 773 invariant neurons in awake mice. *PLoS One* 7:e30155.
- 774 Gupta P, Albeanu DF, Bhalla US (2015) Olfactory bulb coding of odors, mixtures and sniffs is a linear
 775 sum of odor time profiles. *Nat Neurosci* 18:272-281.
- 776 Hendrichs J, Katsoyannos BI, Wornoayporn V, Hendrichs MA (1994) Odour-mediated foraging by
 777 yellowjacket wasps (Hymenoptera: Vespidae): predation on leks of pheromone-calling
 778 Mediterranean fruit fly males (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Oecologia* 99:88-94.
- 779 Hopfield JJ (1991) Olfactory computation and object perception. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 88:6462-
 780 6466.
- 781 Huston SJ, Stopfer M, Cassenaer S, Aldworth ZN, Laurent G (2015) Neural Encoding of Odors during
 782 Active Sampling and in Turbulent Plumes. *Neuron* 88:403-418.
- 783 Jordan R (2021) Optimized protocol for in vivo whole-cell recordings in head-fixed, awake behaving
 784 mice. *STAR Protoc* 2:100347.
- 785 Jordan R, Kollo M, Schaefer AT (2018a) Sniffing Fast: Paradoxical Effects on Odor Concentration
 786 Discrimination at the Levels of Olfactory Bulb Output and Behavior. *eNeuro* 5.
- 787 Jordan R, Fukunaga I, Kollo M, Schaefer AT (2018b) Active Sampling State Dynamically Enhances
 788 Olfactory Bulb Odor Representation. *Neuron* 98:1214-1228 e1215.
- 789 Kato HK, Chu MW, Isaacson JS, Komiyama T (2012) Dynamic sensory representations in the olfactory
 790 bulb: modulation by wakefulness and experience. *Neuron* 76:962-975.
- 791 Kato HK, Gillet SN, Peters AJ, Isaacson JS, Komiyama T (2013) Parvalbumin-expressing interneurons
 792 linearly control olfactory bulb output. *Neuron* 80:1218-1231.
- 793 Kauffmann L, Ramanoel S, Peyrin C (2014) The neural bases of spatial frequency processing during
 794 scene perception. *Front Integr Neurosci* 8:37.
- 795 Kauffmann L, Bourgin J, Guyader N, Peyrin C (2015) The Neural Bases of the Semantic Interference of
 796 Spatial Frequency-based Information in Scenes. *J Cogn Neurosci* 27:2394-2405.
- 797 Kollo M, Schmaltz A, Abdelhamid M, Fukunaga I, Schaefer AT (2014) 'Silent' mitral cells dominate
 798 odor responses in the olfactory bulb of awake mice. *Nat Neurosci* 17:1313-1315.
- 799 Laxton AW, Tang-Wai DF, McAndrews MP, Zumsteg D, Wennberg R, Keren R, Wherrett J, Naglie G,
 800 Hamani C, Smith GS, Lozano AM (2010) A phase I trial of deep brain stimulation of memory
 801 circuits in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* 68:521-534.
- 802 Li A, Gire DH, Bozza T, Restrepo D (2014) Precise detection of direct glomerular input duration by the
 803 olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci* 34:16058-16064.
- 804 Macrides F, Chorover SL (1972) Olfactory bulb units: activity correlated with inhalation cycles and
 805 odor quality. *Science* 175:84-87.

- 806 Margrie TW, Schaefer AT (2003) Theta oscillation coupled spike latencies yield computational vigour
807 in a mammalian sensory system. *J Physiol* 546:363-374.
- 808 Margrie TW, Sakmann B, Urban NN (2001) Action potential propagation in mitral cell lateral
809 dendrites is decremental and controls recurrent and lateral inhibition in the mammalian
810 olfactory bulb. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98:319-324.
- 811 Margrie TW, Brecht M, Sakmann B (2002) In vivo, low-resistance, whole-cell recordings from
812 neurons in the anaesthetized and awake mammalian brain. *Pflugers Arch* 444:491-498.
- 813 Marin AC, Schaefer AT, Ackels T (2021) Spatial information from the odour environment in
814 mammalian olfaction. *Cell Tissue Res* 383:473-483.
- 815 Martinez D, Moraud EM (2013) Reactive and Cognitive Search Strategies for Olfactory Robots. In:
816 Neuromorphic Olfaction (Persaud KC, Marco S, Gutierrez-Galvez A, eds). Boca Raton (FL).
- 817 Martorell AJ, Paulson AL, Suk HJ, Abdurrob F, Drummond GT, Guan W, Young JZ, Kim DN, Kritskiy O,
818 Barker SJ, Mangena V, Prince SM, Brown EN, Chung K, Boyden ES, Singer AC, Tsai LH (2019)
819 Multi-sensory Gamma Stimulation Ameliorates Alzheimer's-Associated Pathology and
820 Improves Cognition. *Cell* 177:256-271 e222.
- 821 Miyamichi K, Shlomai-Fuchs Y, Shu M, Weissbourd BC, Luo L, Mizrahi A (2013) Dissecting local
822 circuits: parvalbumin interneurons underlie broad feedback control of olfactory bulb output.
823 *Neuron* 80:1232-1245.
- 824 Moore PA, Atema J (1991) Spatial Information in the Three-Dimensional Fine Structure of an Aquatic
825 Odor Plume. *Biol Bull* 181:408-418.
- 826 Mylne KR, Mason PJ (1991) Concentration fluctuation measurements in a dispersing plume at a
827 range of up to 1000 m. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 117:177-206.
- 828 Nagayama S, Enerva A, Fletcher ML, Masurkar AV, Igarashi KM, Mori K, Chen WR (2010) Differential
829 axonal projection of mitral and tufted cells in the mouse main olfactory system. *Front Neural*
830 *Circuits* 4.
- 831 Nagel KI, Wilson RI (2011) Biophysical mechanisms underlying olfactory receptor neuron dynamics.
832 *Nat Neurosci* 14:208-216.
- 833 Nagel KI, Hong EJ, Wilson RI (2015) Synaptic and circuit mechanisms promoting broadband
834 transmission of olfactory stimulus dynamics. *Nat Neurosci* 18:56-65.
- 835 Nelken I, Rotman Y, Bar Yosef O (1999) Responses of auditory-cortex neurons to structural features
836 of natural sounds. *Nature* 397:154-157.
- 837 O'Sullivan C, Weible AP, Wehr M (2019) Auditory Cortex Contributes to Discrimination of Pure
838 Tones. *eNeuro* 6.
- 839 Pannunzi M, Nowotny T (2019) Odor Stimuli: Not Just Chemical Identity. *Front Physiol* 10:1428.
- 840 Parabucki A, Bizer A, Morris G, Munoz AE, Bala ADS, Smear M, Shusterman R (2019) Odor
841 Concentration Change Coding in the Olfactory Bulb. *eNeuro* 6.
- 842 Perl O, Nahum N, Belevsky K, Haddad R (2020) The contribution of temporal coding to odor coding
843 and odor perception in humans. *Elife* 9.
- 844 Rebello MR, McTavish TS, Willhite DC, Short SM, Shepherd GM, Verhagen JV (2014) Perception of
845 odors linked to precise timing in the olfactory system. *PLoS Biol* 12:e1002021.
- 846 Reiser J, Matthews HR (2001) Response properties of isolated mouse olfactory receptor cells. *J*
847 *Physiol* 530:113-122.
- 848 Riffell JA, Abrell L, Hildebrand JG (2008) Physical processes and real-time chemical measurement of
849 the insect olfactory environment. *J Chem Ecol* 34:837-853.
- 850 Rinberg D, Koulakov A, Gelperin A (2006) Sparse odor coding in awake behaving mice. *J Neurosci*
851 26:8857-8865.
- 852 Schaefer AT, Angelo K, Spors H, Margrie TW (2006) Neuronal oscillations enhance stimulus
853 discrimination by ensuring action potential precision. *PLoS Biol* 4:e163.
- 854 Schmuker M, Bahr V, Huerta R (2016) Exploiting plume structure to decode gas source distance using
855 metal-oxide gas sensors. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* 235:636-646.

- 856 Schneider SP, Scott JW (1983) Orthodromic response properties of rat olfactory bulb mitral and
857 tufted cells correlate with their projection patterns. *J Neurophysiol* 50:358-378.
- 858 Scott JW, McBride RL, Schneider SP (1980) The organization of projections from the olfactory bulb to
859 the piriform cortex and olfactory tubercle in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 194:519-534.
- 860 Sehdev A, Mohammed YG, Triphan T, Szyszka P (2019) Olfactory Object Recognition Based on Fine-
861 Scale Stimulus Timing in *Drosophila*. *iScience* 13:113-124.
- 862 Short SM, Wachowiak M (2019) Temporal Dynamics of Inhalation-Linked Activity across Defined
863 Subpopulations of Mouse Olfactory Bulb Neurons Imaged In Vivo. *eNeuro* 6.
- 864 Shraiman BI, Siggia ED (2000) Scalar turbulence. *Nature* 405:639-646.
- 865 Shusterman R, Smear MC, Koulakov AA, Rinberg D (2011) Precise olfactory responses tile the sniff
866 cycle. *Nat Neurosci* 14:1039-1044.
- 867 Sicard G (1986) Electrophysiological recordings from olfactory receptor cells in adult mice. *Brain Res*
868 397:405-408.
- 869 Smear M, Shusterman R, O'Connor R, Bozza T, Rinberg D (2011) Perception of sniff phase in mouse
870 olfaction. *Nature* 479:397-400.
- 871 Szyszka P, Stierle JS, Biergans S, Galizia CG (2012) The speed of smell: odor-object segregation within
872 milliseconds. *PLoS One* 7:e36096.
- 873 Szyszka P, Gerkin RC, Galizia CG, Smith BH (2014) High-speed odor transduction and pulse tracking
874 by insect olfactory receptor neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111:16925-16930.
- 875 Theunissen FE, Elie JE (2014) Neural processing of natural sounds. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 15:355-366.
- 876 Tramo MJ, Shah GD, Braida LD (2002) Functional role of auditory cortex in frequency processing and
877 pitch perception. *J Neurophysiol* 87:122-139.
- 878 VanRullen R, Zoefel B, Ilhan B (2014) On the cyclic nature of perception in vision versus audition.
879 *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 369:20130214.
- 880 Vergassola M, Villermaux E, Shraiman BI (2007) 'Infotaxis' as a strategy for searching without
881 gradients. *Nature* 445:406-409.
- 882 Verhagen JV, Wesson DW, Netoff TI, White JA, Wachowiak M (2007) Sniffing controls an adaptive
883 filter of sensory input to the olfactory bulb. *Nat Neurosci* 10:631-639.
- 884 Vickers NJ, Baker TC (1994) Reiterative responses to single strands of odor promote sustained
885 upwind flight and odor source location by moths. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 91:5756-5760.
- 886 Vickers NJ, Christensen TA, Baker TC, Hildebrand JG (2001) Odour-plume dynamics influence the
887 brain's olfactory code. *Nature* 410:466-470.
- 888 Wang HP, Garcia JW, Sabottke CF, Spencer DJ, Sejnowski TJ (2019) Feedforward Thalamocortical
889 Connectivity Preserves Stimulus Timing Information in Sensory Pathways. *J Neurosci*
890 39:7674-7688.
- 891 Yokoi M, Mori K, Nakanishi S (1995) Refinement of odor molecule tuning by dendrodendritic
892 synaptic inhibition in the olfactory bulb. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 92:3371-3375.
- 893 Zeppilli S, Ackels T, Attey R, Klimpert N, Ritola KD, Boeing S, Crombach A, Schaefer AT, Fleischmann A
894 (2020) Molecular characterization of projection neuron subtypes in the mouse olfactory
895 bulb. *bioRxiv:2020.2011.2030.405571*.
- 896 Zhang C, Pan Y, Zhou H, Xie Q, Sun B, Niu CM, Li D (2019) Variable High-Frequency Deep Brain
897 Stimulation of the Subthalamic Nucleus for Speech Disorders in Parkinson's Disease: A Case
898 Report. *Front Neurol* 10:379.

899

900

901 **Fig 1. Respiration and odour interaction.**

902 (A) Photo-Ionisation Detector (PID) signal (*left*) and airflow (*right*) measurements of Ethyl
 903 Butyrate stimuli at 5 different frequencies. (B) Fidelity of the odour stimuli and flow at
 904 different frequencies. (C) Integral values of the total odour for the 5 frequencies, shown in
 905 (B), for 3 seconds after odour onset (2 seconds of odour and 1 second to allow full return to
 906 baseline). (D) Heatmap of the probability density of the respiration rate recorded from the
 907 animals during the whole-cell recordings (n=25). Each row represents an animal. (E) Same as
 908 (D) but from animals used for the unit recordings (n=6). (F) An example outlining the
 909 convolution method used to measure the odour signal present in single inhalations. The black
 910 dotted line represents the threshold for the start of inhalation. The black filled circles
 911 represent the odour integral obtained by convolving the inverted inhalation with the PID
 912 signal. (G) The odour integral in each inhalation for the entire duration of Ethyl Butyrate
 913 presentation. The filled circles represent mean values obtained from all the animals for a
 914 given frequency. The error bars represent the SD. (H) Violin plot of the odour integral values
 915 in the first sniff after the start of Ethyl Butyrate presentation. (I) Same as in (G) but for
 916 Isoamyl Acetate. (J) Same as in (H) but for sniff 2 for Ethyl Butyrate presentation.

917

918 **Fig 2. OB neurons encode odour frequency.**

919 (A) Schema of the unit recording experimental setup (B) Example recordings from single
 920 units for the odours and corresponding blank trials. For each subpanel, the top is the raster
 921 and the bottom is the average PSTH. (C) Cumulative fraction of units vs Log(P) values
 922 obtained by comparing the spike times in the first 500ms after odour onset with that for the
 923 blank trials. The P values were obtained using Mann-Whitney U test. (D) Autocorrelogram
 924 from a 'good' example putative mitral cell (pMC) (*left*), individual spike waveforms from the
 925 cell (grey) and its average waveform (magenta) (*middle*) and baseline spiking probability
 926 (magenta) overlaid on the respiration trace (black) (*right*). Peak spiking probability coincides

927 with inhalation phase of the animal. **(E)** Same as in (D) but for putative tufted cell (cyan)
 928 with peak firing probability coincides with exhalation phase of the animal. **(F)** Summary
 929 Phase plot displaying peak firing probability of all recorded units against phase of respiration
 930 (n=64; pTC (cyan), n=26; pMC (magenta) and n=7; unresolved (black)). **(G)** Respiration
 931 trace **(top)** and raster plot for a single unit's response to five odour frequencies. **(H)**
 932 Respiration trace **(top)** and average PSTH of the same unit's responses in (G). **(I)** Fraction of
 933 units showing significant difference ($P < 0.01$, Mann-Whitney U test) in firing rate in the first
 934 500ms after odour onset between the 2Hz and 20Hz odour stimuli. The different colours
 935 represent the different odours used for all the units. (EB: Ethyl Butyrate; 2H: 2-Hexanone;
 936 AA: Isoamyl Acetate; EU: Eucalyptol; S: Shuffled). **(J)** Average waveform for the pTC in
 937 (E) across all channels of recording probe. Average waveforms shown in black, with the cyan
 938 average indicating the channel with the largest average waveform. **(K)** Baseline firing rate
 939 distribution of all recorded units across all experiments. **(L)** Z scored average change in
 940 spiking frequency in the first 500ms after odour onset compared to the baseline for (*left to*
 941 *right*) Ethyl butyrate, 2-Hexanone, Eucalyptol presentation and Isoamyl acetate presentation.
 942 The units have been sorted and grouped based on similarity in their activity for a given odour.
 943

944 **Fig 3. Classifiers to unit responses.**

945 **(A)** Schematic outlining of the procedure to train classifiers on unit spike times following an
 946 odour stimulus. **(B)** Average accuracy of linear SVM classifiers trained on a summed 500ms
 947 rolling window of detected unit spikes from different start times post odour onset. **(C)**
 948 Average accuracy of a set of linear SVM classifiers trained on the summed response from
 949 units 500ms from odour onset as the number of units available increases. **(D)** A matrix of all
 950 possible 1 vs. 1 comparisons between different trial types and the average accuracy of a linear
 951 SVM trained to distinguish between the two. Chance is 0.5 **(E)** A confusion matrix of linear

fractional classifications for a set of classifiers trained to distinguish all trial types from one another. Y axis represents a trial's true label and the x axis represents a classifier's given label to a trial. Chance is 0.04. **(F)** The single cell responses to all four odours were used to train classifiers to distinguish responses to 2Hz and 20Hz. Each classifier only had access to a single unit's responses to a single odour. The accuracies from these classifiers were averaged across the four odours and used to sort the units. Each vertical line connects the accuracies to classifiers trained on the same unit but to different odours. Each odour is represented by a different symbol. The vertical lines and symbols are coloured by each unit's putative cell classification, cyan (pTCs), magenta (pMCs) or unknown (gray).

Fig 4. OB neurons respond to both 2 Hz and 20Hz temporally structured odour stimuli. **(A)** Schema of the whole-cell recording experimental setup. **(B)** Histogram representing the distribution of depth from the OB surface of all the recorded neurons, **(C)** resting membrane potential and **(D)** input resistance (n=42 cells, 25 mice) **(E)** Example recordings for 2Hz (*left*) and 20Hz (*right*) stimuli. From top to bottom, schema of odour stimulus, example respiration trace, example recording from a neuron, extracted V_m and PSTH. **(F)** Histogram of change in action potential frequency in the first 500ms compared to the baseline for 2Hz stimuli and **(G)** 20Hz stimuli. **(H)** Spike frequency change for 2Hz vs 20Hz for all cell-odour pairs. The hollow circles represent cell-odour pairs which showed statistically insignificant difference between the 2Hz and the 20Hz trials while the solid markers (15/70) represent cell-odour pairs showing significant difference ($P < 0.05$, Two-tailed unpaired t-test). Each marker represents a cell-odour pair and the error bars represent the SD obtained from all the trials. **(I)** Histogram of change in average V_m in the first 500ms compared to the baseline for 2Hz stimuli and **(J)** 20Hz stimuli. **(K)** Avg. Change in V_m for 2Hz vs. 20Hz. The markers and error bars have similar meaning as in (H) but for change in V_m from baseline. 27/70 cell

977 odour pairs showed significant difference between the 2Hz and 20Hz trials ($P < 0.05$, Two-
 978 tailed unpaired t-test).

979

980 **Fig 5. Olfactory bulb neurons can follow temporally structured odour stimuli.**

981 **(A) (Top)** An example trace recorded using a PID of a 2Hz odour stimulus; **(Middle)** an
 982 example V_m trace (action potentials clipped and baseline subtracted) recorded during 2Hz
 983 odour stimulus presentation; **(Bottom)** recording from the same neuron during 2Hz mineral
 984 oil stimulus presentation. **(B)** Same as in (A) but for a 20Hz stimulus from a different neuron.
 985 **(C)** Cross-correlation plot between 2Hz PID trace and 2Hz V_m odour trace; **(D)** 20Hz PID
 986 trace and 20Hz V_m odour trace; **(E)** 2Hz PID trace and 2Hz V_m mineral oil trace and **(F)**
 987 20Hz PID trace and 20Hz V_m mineral oil trace. **(G)** Mean Peak correlation coefficient of the
 988 baseline period with the respective respiration stretches (2s) plotted as before and after the
 989 subtraction operation. Black lines denote cells showing significant decrease in correlation
 990 after the correction (34/42, $P < 0.05$, Paired t Test). **(H)** CC_{odour} and CC_{blank} in cell-odour pair
 991 basis for the 2Hz case. Red open circles mark the cell-odour pairs which showed significant
 992 difference compared to the blank trials ($n=20/70$) ($P < 0.05$, 2 Tailed t test). **(I)** Same as in (H)
 993 but for the 20Hz case. Blue open circles mark the cell-odour pairs which showed significant
 994 difference compared to the blank trials ($n=16/70$) ($P < 0.05$, 2 Tailed t test). The marker size
 995 denotes the SD obtained from all the trials for a given cell-odour pair. **(J)** Histogram of
 996 coupling co-efficient (CpC) for all cell-odour pairs for 2Hz responses ($n=70$ cell-odour pairs,
 997 25 mice). **(K)** CpC estimated from the individual odour trials (red hollow) and that from the
 998 baseline control (grey) of 2Hz odour stimuli for a given cell-odour pair. The filled circle
 999 represents the population average of CpC obtained from the odour trials (red solid) and the
 1000 baseline controls (black) ($P=0.013$, Unpaired t test). **(L)** Odour CpC vs baseline CpC from all
 1001 recorded cell-odour pairs. The grey markers ($n=41/70$) represent the cell-odour pairs showing

1002 non-significant difference between the two while the coloured markers (29/70) represent the
 1003 significantly different cell-odour pairs. Significance threshold was set at $P < 0.05$. **(M)**
 1004 Estimated CpC for a subset of cell-odour pairs which were provided both the temporal
 1005 stimulus and square pulse. Note the significant decrease in CpC for the square pulse (DC)
 1006 compared to the 2Hz case. The red coloured open circles denote cell-odour pairs for 2Hz
 1007 which showed significant difference from their paired DC trials (black open circles) (16/27
 1008 cell-odour pairs) ($P < 0.05$; Two-tailed paired t test). **(N)** Same as in (J) but for 20Hz responses
 1009 **(O)** Same as in (K) but for 20Hz responses. ($n = 24/70$, significant cell-odour pairs) **(P)** Same
 1010 as in (L) but for 20Hz responses. **(Q)** Same as in (M) but for the 20Hz case. The blue
 1011 coloured open circles denote cell-odour pairs for 20Hz which showed significant difference
 1012 from their paired DC trials (black open circles) (13/27 cell-odour pairs) ($P < 0.05$; Two-tailed
 1013 paired t test).

1014

1015 **Fig 6. Cellular intrinsic properties do not explain the CpC of a cell.**

1016 **(A)** CpC vs input resistance for the 2Hz and **(B)** 20Hz case. **(C)** CpC vs RMP for the 2Hz and
 1017 **(D)** 20Hz case **(E)** CpC vs recording depth from the OB surface for the 2Hz and **(F)** 20Hz
 1018 case. Note with increasing depth of recording estimated CpC significantly decreased for the
 1019 20Hz cases indicating TCs couple more to 20Hz odour stimulation than MCs.

1020

1021 **Fig 7. Putative Tufted cells show higher probability of following 20Hz stimulus but not**
 1022 **2Hz stimulus compared to putative Mitral cells.**

1023 **(A) (Top)** Example baseline average V_m trace from a putative mitral cell (magenta) overlaid
 1024 with average sniff cycle (black). **(Bottom)** similar traces for a putative tufted cell (cyan). **(B)**
 1025 Summary phase diagram of peak subthreshold oscillation phase plot (pTC; $n = 17$, pMC;

1026 n=23). **(C)** CpC for all the recorded pTC and pMC for 2Hz showing no significant difference
 1027 between the two population ($P=0.64$, KS test) while **(D)** pTCs show higher CpC than pMCs
 1028 for 20Hz cases ($P=0.04$, KS test). **(E)** Cumulative histogram of CpC for all pTC and pMC for
 1029 2Hz and **(F)** 20Hz cases. **(G)** Lag of the peak correlation point for the 2Hz case. The cyan
 1030 and the magenta open circles represent individual pTC-odour pairs and pMC-odour pairs
 1031 respectively. The population did not show any significant difference ($P = 0.6297$, Unpaired t
 1032 Test). The marker size denotes the SD obtained from all the trials for a given cell **(H)** Same
 1033 as in (G) but for the 20Hz case ($P = 0.6634$, Unpaired t Test).

1034

1035 **Fig 8. CpC is odour invariant.**

1036 **(A)** Example recordings from two cells responding to both odours A and B at 2 Hz. Note that
 1037 CpC values are similar for the two odours. **(B)** As in (A) for 20Hz stimulation. **(C)** Plot of
 1038 estimated CpC A vs CpC B from all the recorded cells for 2Hz and **(D)** 20Hz case. Note the
 1039 strong correlation in both cases suggesting that coupling to frequency-modulated odour
 1040 stimuli is odour-independent.

1041

1042 **Fig 9. CpC for a mixture of odour can be linearly predicted from their individual**
 1043 **component.**

1044 **(A)** Example recordings for 3 different types of mixture interaction; excitatory-inhibitory
 1045 (Ex-In), excitatory-excitatory (Ex-Ex) and inhibitory-inhibitory (In-In). From *top to bottom*
 1046 respiration trace, V_m for odour A, B and A+B mixture delivered at 2Hz. **(B)** as (A) but for
 1047 20Hz. **(C)** No significant difference in CpC between the 3 types of mixture responses for 2Hz
 1048 and **(D)** 20Hz. The numbers in bracket are the number of cells. (1-way Anova, $P=0.54$
 1049 (2Hz); $P=0.14$ (20Hz)) **(E)** Computed CpC of odour $(A+B)/2$ vs actual CpC of mixture

1050 (A+B) for 2Hz cases and **(F)** 20Hz cases. Note linear regression can reliably predict the
 1051 relation between calculated and estimated CpC ($n=35$, $P = 1.3 \times 10^{-9}$, 2Hz; $P = 1.81 \times 10^{-6}$,
 1052 20Hz).

1053

1054 **Fig 10. Influence of blocking inhibition on CpC .**

1055 **(A)** Example recording showing time of 2mM Muscimol + 0.4mM Gabazine infusion for
 1056 GABA_A clamping. **(B)** Example V_m trace without drug (**Top**) and after 10 minutes from drug
 1057 infusion point (**Bottom**) in a continuous recording for 2Hz (red) and 20Hz case (blue). **(C)**
 1058 Fraction of cell-odour pairs showing significant CpC compared to their baseline control
 1059 before and after the drug infusion point for 2Hz stimuli. **(D)** Same as in (C) but for 20Hz
 1060 cases. **(E)** Input resistance of the recorded neurons estimated both before and after drug
 1061 infusion ($n=5$). No significant change was observed (Paired t test; $P=0.272$). **(F)** 10/15 cell-
 1062 odour pairs (red) showed significant change in their CpC post GABA_A clamping while 5/15
 1063 (grey) showed no significant change in the 2Hz case ($P<0.01$, Two-tailed paired t-test). **(G)**
 1064 9/15 cell-odour pairs (blue) showed significant change in their CpC post GABA_A clamping
 1065 while 6/15 (grey) showed no significant change in the 20Hz case ($P<0.01$, Two-tailed paired
 1066 t-test). **(H)** RMP of the recorded cells did not change significantly due to GABA_A clamping.

1067

1068



















