This year's Fall Meeting is in a whole new environment. Learn to navigate the meeting, build your schedule, and see what sessions, events, activities, and resources are available to online and in-person attendees here.





V45D-0154 - What we can learn in the kitchen sink: an example from garnet granulite



Thursday, 16 December 2021



17:00 - 19:00



Convention Center - Poster Hall, D-F

Abstract

Interpretation of geochronological and petrological data from partially-melted granulite is challenging. However, integration of multiple chronometers and mineral assemblage diagrams (MAD) can be used to estimate the nature and duration of processes. Excellent lower-crustal exposures of garnet granulite from the Malaspina Pluton, Fiordland New Zealand provide an ideal place to employ this kitchen sink approach. We use zircon U-Pb ages from LA-ICPMS, SHRIMP-RG, and CA-TIMS, garnet Lu-Hf and Sm-Nd ages, and MAD in order to evaluate local partial melting vs. melt injection, equilibrium volumes, P-T conditions, and the duration of lower crustal thermal events. Host diorite (H), garnet-clinopyroxene reaction zones (GRZ), coarse garnet selvages, and tonalite veins provide a record of intrusion and granulite facies partial melting.

Zircon U-Pb ages range from 123 to 107 Ma (all); LA-ICPMS ages contain the entire range; CA-TIMS ages range from 118.30±0.13 to 115.7±0.18 Ma; and SHRIMP-RG ages range from 121.4±2 to 109.8±1.8 Ma. The latter two techniques are interpreted to indicate primary igneous crystallization from ~119 to ~116 Ma and the youngest ~110 Ma ages are interpreted as metamorphic zircon growth. Garnet ages for ~1 cm grains are ~113 Ma (Lu-Hf & Sm-Nd) and record metamorphic growth, and <0.3 mm grains with Sm-Nd ages from 113 to 104 Ma reflect high temperature intracrystalline diffusion and isotopic closure during cooling to amphibolite facies. Zircon trace-element compositions indicate 2 distinct crystallization trends reflecting evolution of primary magma batches. MAD indicate that garnet was not in equilibrium with sampled rock compositions. Instead, garnet shows apparent equilibrium with a modeled mixture of the GRZ and the H and grew in equilibrium with an effective bulk composition that shifted toward the leucosome. This would produce the observed increase in garnet grossular content.

We conclude that: Malaspina rocks from Crooked Arm preserve evidence for 2 igneous layers which evolved as discrete magmas, igneous crystallization lasted 2 to 3 m.y., granulite metamorphism peaked ~ 3 m.y. after intrusion, metamorphism lasted ≥3 m.y., cooling occurred at ~20°C/m.y., and granulite minerals equilibrated with a mixture of solid phases and melt at ~14 kbar and 920°C (based on garnet compositions and MAD).

Plain-language Summary

The isotopic composition of zircon and garnet indicate that high temperature metamorphic rocks from the lower crust of a magmatic arc exposed in Fiordland New Zealand preserve a record of multiple magmatic intrusions followed by high temperature metamorphism. All of which lasted from c. 119 to c. 104 million years before present. Forward thermodynamic models indicate that metamorphic garnet grew in equilibrium with a mixture of solid and melt phases at 14 kbar and 920 °C.

First Author



Harold H Stowell

University of Alabama

Authors



Flizahath Rollan

LIIZADEIII DUIIEII

University of Alabama



Joshua Schwartz

California State University Northridge



Andrew J Tulloch GNS Science



Jahandar Ramezani MIT-EAPS



Keith Klepeis

University of Vermont

View Related

V45D - The Metamorphic Kitchen Sink II Poster

Alicia M Cruz-Uribe, University of Maine, Orono, ME, United States, Ruth Aronoff, Furman University, Earth, Environmental, and Sustainability Sciences, Greenville, SC, United States, Joshua Garber, Pennsylvania State University Main Campus, Department of Geosciences, University Park, PA, United States and Besim Dragovic, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, United States



Thursday, 16 December 2021



Convention Center - Poster Hall, D-F

Volcanology, Geochemistry and Petrology

Similar

Crustal Evolution of the Lofoten – Vesterålen Area in Norway Through Solution Hf Isotope, Coupled U-Pb and Hf Isotopic Analysis and Garnet Geochronology.

Manuela Botero, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, United States, Jeffrey D. Vervoort, Washington State University, School of the Environment, Pullman, WA, United States and Fernando Corfu, Univ Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Assembly of continental lower crust: constraints from garnet Lu-Hf geochronology of metapelites from the Ivrea-Verbano Zone, Italy

Charlotte Connop¹, Andrew Smye¹, Joshua Garber¹ and Jeffrey D. Vervoort², (1)Pennsylvania State University Main Campus, Department of Geosciences, University Park, PA, United States, (2)Washington State University, School of the Environment, Pullman, WA, United States

Addressing the complex tectonometamorphic history of the Catalina Schist using Sm-Nd garnet geochronology

Benjamin Alexander, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, United States, Kayleigh M M Harvey, University of Maryland College Park, College Park, MD, United States, Sarah Penniston-Dorland, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States and Ethan Baxter, Boston College, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Chestnut Hill, MA, United States

Timing of Rodingitization of the Servette Mine Meta-Rodingite (Zermatt-Saas Ophiolite) using U-Pb Geochronology of Andradite-Grossular Garnet

E Hostettler¹, Jaime Barnes¹, Daniel F Stockli¹, Lisa Stockli¹, Paul G Starr², Ethan Baxter² and Besim Dragovic³, (1)University of Texas at Austin,

Department of Geological Sciences, Austin, TX, United States, (2)Boston College, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Chestnut Hill, MA, United States, (3)University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, United States

What's my age again? New constraints from zoned Sm-Nd garnet geochronology on the subduction history of UHP Dora-Maira whiteschists, Italy

Kayleigh M M Harvey¹, Paul G Starr², Mattia Gilio³, Matteo Alvaro³, Michael J Jercinovic⁴, Marco Scambelluri⁵ and Ethan Baxter², (1)Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, United States, (2)Boston College, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Chestnut Hill, MA, United States, (3)University of Pavia, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Pavia, Italy, (4)University of Massachusetts Amherst, Department of Geosciences, Amherst, MA, United States, (5)University of Genova, Italy, Genova, Italy

