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#### Abstract

We report high-resolution angular magnetoresistance (AMR) experiments performed on crystals of $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$ with high mobility ( $>100000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V}$ s at 2 K ) and extremely low residual resistivity ( $5-8 \mathrm{n} \Omega \mathrm{cm}$ ). The Fermi surface, comprised of intersecting cylinders, supports open orbits. The resistivity $\rho_{x x}$ in a magnetic field $B=9 \mathrm{~T}$ displays a singular pattern of behavior. With $\mathbf{E} \| \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ initially $\| \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, tilting $\mathbf{B}$ in the longitudinal $k_{z}-k_{x}$ plane leads to a steep decrease in $\rho_{x x}$ by a factor of 40 . However, if $\mathbf{B}$ is tilted in the transverse $k_{y}-k_{z}$ plane, $\rho_{x x}$ increases steeply by a factor of 8 . Using the Shockley-Chambers tube integral approach, we show that, in $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$, the singular behavior results from the rapid conversion of closed to open orbits, resulting in opposite signs for AMR in orthogonal planes. The floor values of $\rho_{x x}$ in both AMR scans are identified with specific sets of open and closed orbits. Also, the "completion angle" $\gamma_{c}$ detected in the AMR is shown to be an intrinsic geometric feature that provides a new way to measure the Fermi radius $k_{F}$. However, additional sharp resonant features that appear at very small tilt angles in the longitudinal AMR scans are not explained by the tube integral approach.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The past decade has witnessed renewed interest in semimetals and metals that exhibit unusually high carrier mobilities. In the Dirac semimetal $\mathrm{Cd}_{3} \mathrm{As}_{2}$, the mobility $\mu$ can attain $10^{7} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}$ [1]. The large- $\mu$ semimetal $\mathrm{WTe}_{2}$ displays nonsaturating magnetoresistance in magnetic fields up to 60 T [2]. The Weyl semimetals TaAs, NbAs, and NbP have mobilities exceeding $150000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}$. These enhanced $\mu$ may result from a very small effective mass in the vicinity of avoided band crossings and protection from carrier scattering. In metals, the Fermi energy is remote from such band crossings, but high-mobility candidates have also been identified, e.g., $\mathrm{PdCoO}_{2}, \mathrm{PtCoO}_{2}$ [3-6], and $\mathrm{Pd}_{3} \mathrm{~Pb}$ [7]. For Fermi surfaces that are multiply connected, angular magnetoresistance (AMR) is a powerful tool for unraveling how connectivity affects transport. Although AMR is most frequently employed to map the angular variation of the Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) period, e.g., in $\mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{RuO}_{4}$ [8] and the Bechgaard salts, it can also uncover surprising features unrelated to SdH oscillations. The Yamaji angle detected in the Bechgaard salts is a well-known example [9,10]. A more recent example is the existence of ultranarrow peaks in the AMR of the magnetic Weyl semimetal CeAlGe when $\mathbf{B}$ is aligned with symmetry axes [11].

Here we report novel features observed in the AMR of crystals of $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$ that exhibit extremely low residual resistivities. $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$ is the archetypal example of a metal in which the Fermi surface (FS) forms a three-dimensional (3D) junglegym network of intersecting cylinders plus two small closed

[^0]surfaces [12-14]. Early experiments on $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$ are reported in Refs. [15-19]. A recent angle-resolved photoemission experiment obtains close agreement of the observed Fermi surface with $a b$ initio calculations employing WIEN 2 K within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [20]. From a modern viewpoint, $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$ has some interesting features. The lattice structure, comprised of a Re ion surrounded by six nearestneighbor O ions, is the simplest expression of a 3D Lieb lattice [21]. A hallmark of Lieb lattices is the existence of flat bands caused by wave-function interference [22,23]. In $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$, flat bands are prominent along $X-M$, but they lie too far from the Fermi level (by 1 eV ) to affect transport directly.

We have grown crystals in which the residual resistivity $\rho_{00}$ is $5-8 \mathrm{n} \Omega \mathrm{cm}$ at 2 K (comparable to that in $\mathrm{PdCoO}_{2}$ [3] and $6-10$ times lower than in ultrapure Au ). At $2 \mathrm{~K}, \mu$ is estimated to be $>100000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{Vs}$. This corresponds to a transport mean free path of $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. In these crystals, we have uncovered a singular feature in the AMR. With axes $x, y$, and $z$ fixed parallel to the cylinders' axes, and the electric field $\mathbf{E} \| \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ (Fig. 1), we observe the longitudinal resistivity $\rho_{x x}$ to decrease by a factor of $\sim 40$ when $\mathbf{B}$ (fixed at 9 T ) is tilted towards $\mathbf{E}$. However, if $\mathbf{B}$ is tilted in the plane orthogonal to $\mathbf{E}, \rho_{x x}$ exhibits a 10 -fold increase. The extreme anisotropy in the response of $\rho$ to slight angular deviations from the singular point $(\theta, \chi)=(0,0)(\mathbf{B} \| \hat{\mathbf{z}})$ has not been reported previously in any metal to our knowledge. All the AMR curves investigated (as well as the Hall response) display a sharp discontinuity at a characteristic angle $\gamma_{c} \simeq 29^{\circ}$. Moreover, we observe weak features in the scans versus $\theta$ (sharp resonances) suggestive of enhanced scattering at specific tilt angles $1.1^{\circ}$ and $2.2^{\circ}$.

We describe a semiclassical model based on open orbits on the jungle-gym Fermi surface (FS) that emphasizes the connectivity of the orbits in tilted $\mathbf{B}$ and the key role of orbital


FIG. 1. (a) Crystals of $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$ showing a characteristic brilliant pink hue in reflected light. The cubic cell parameter $a$ is $3.748 \AA$. (b) Sketch of the jungle-gym FS sheet in an extended zone scheme with eight Brillouin zones (BZs) shown. The reciprocal-lattice vector $K=2 \pi / a$ denotes the size of the cubic BZ, and $k_{f}=0.23 \mathrm{~K}$ is the cylinder radius. With $\mathbf{B} \| \mathbf{z}$, closed cyclotron orbits form around the cross-sections of the FS in the $k_{x}-k_{y}$ plane. At different $k_{z}$, the orbits change from closed and electronlike (four yellow loops) to closed and holelike (green loop). The inset shows the field tilt-angles $\theta$ and $\chi$ relative to axes $(x, y, z)$. (c) Plot of the resistivity $\rho$ vs $T$ with $B=$ 0 . The residual value $\rho_{00}$, measured in four crystals, is $5-8 \mathrm{n} \Omega \mathrm{cm}$ (inset). (d) Log-log plot of $\Delta \rho$ vs $T$ where $\Delta \rho(T)=\rho(T)-\rho_{00}$. A linear fit (red line) over $20<T<80 \mathrm{~K}$ gives $\Delta \rho=T^{\eta}$ with $\eta=3.1 \pm 0.2$.
links that convert closed to open orbits. The model accounts for the opposite signs of the AMR versus $\theta$ and $\chi$, as well as the physical meaning of $\gamma_{c}$ which we call the "completion" angle. However, it is inadequate for explaining the cusplike sensitivity at very small tilt angles or the appearance of sharp resonances.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Crystals of $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$ were grown by double-pass chemical vapor transport. A silica tube of inner diameter 14 mm and length 30 cm was loaded with 1 g of $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$ powder and 25 mg of iodine flakes and sealed under vacuum. The tube was inserted into a three-zone horizontal tube furnace in which the temperature was slowly raised over 6 h to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hot end) and $450^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cool end). After 4 days of vapor transport, the furnace was cooled over 10 h to 290 K . Vapor transport, again using iodine, was then repeated to enhance the crystal purity. Large, red, platelike crystals up to 1 cm on a side were harvested at the cold end [Fig. 1(a)]. The phase purity and crystal structure of ground crystals were determined by powder x-ray diffraction using a Bruker D8 Advance Eco with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation and a LynxEye-XE detector. The cubic cell parameter $a$ is $3.748 \AA$.

Figure 1(b) shows a sketch of the jungle-gym FS, using the value of the Fermi radius $k_{F}=0.386 \AA^{-1}$ derived from Refs. [15-17]. In the profile of the zero- $B$ resistivity $\rho$ versus $T$ [Fig. 1(c)], $\rho$ maintains its ultralow residual value $\rho_{00}$ (inset) to an unusually high $T \sim 20 \mathrm{~K}$, implying that phonon
scattering is suppressed until $T$ exceeds $\sim 20 \mathrm{~K}$. The residual resistivity ratio $\rho(300 \mathrm{~K}) / \rho_{00}$ is 1500 . The $T$-dependent part $\Delta \rho(T)=\rho(T)-\rho_{00}$ fits well to $T^{\eta}$ up to 80 K [Fig. 1(d)] with an exponent $\eta \simeq 3.1 \pm 0.2$, much reduced from that in the Bloch law ( $T^{3}$ versus $T^{5}$ ). See the case of $\mathrm{PdCoO}_{2}$ [3] as well.

We selected crystals with optimal rectangular shape $\left(1.0 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right.$ in area) and mechanically polished the broad faces with fine sandpaper to reduce the thicknesses to $80-100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The edges of the broad face are aligned (to a precision of $\pm 1^{\circ}$ ) with $k_{x}$ and $k_{y}$ of the lattice. In all field-tilt measurements, we define the $x, y$, and $z$ axes to be anchored to the $k_{x}, k_{y}$, and $k_{z}$ axes of the lattice, respectively [Fig. 1(b)]. Both the electric field $\mathbf{E}$ and the (spatially averaged) current density $\langle\mathbf{J}\rangle$ are $\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}$. The contact resistances of the Ag paint contacts were under $2 \Omega$.

We estimated the carrier mobility $\left(\approx 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}\right.$ at 2 K$)$ by measuring the field dependence of the resistivity tensor up to 9 T at zero tilt angle and inverting it to produce $\sigma_{x x}(B)$ and $\sigma_{x y}(B)$. The average carrier mobility may be estimated by the inverse of the field at which $\sigma_{x y}(B)$ exhibits a sharp peak (see Fig. 7). In two samples, this value was 0.16 T (corresponding to a mobility of $60000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}$ ) and 0.08 T ( $125000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}$ ). In Sec. III, we use the zero-field conductivity ( $1 / \rho_{00}$ ) and the Fermi surface dimensions reported by Refs. [15-19] to calculate the electron mobility as $\mu=$ $90000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V}$ s.

The sample platform was tilted using a horizontal rotator in a Quantum Design PPMS equipped with a 9-T magnet. The field tilt angles $\theta$ and $\chi$ defined in Fig. 1(b) were measured with a transverse Hall sensor (Lakeshore HGT 2101-10) to a resolution of $\pm 0.03^{\circ}$. The four-probe measurements of resistances were performed using a Keithley 6221 dc current source and 2182a nanovoltmeter in Delta mode using current pulses of $5-10 \mathrm{~mA}$.

When $\mathbf{B}$ is tilted by $\theta$ in the longitudinal $x-z$ plane with $\chi$ fixed at $0, \rho_{x x}(\theta, 0)$ displays sharp maxima at $\theta=0^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$. Figure 2(a) plots $\rho_{x x}(\theta, 0)$ versus $\theta$ measured at $T=1.9 \mathrm{~K}$ (red curve). We call this the longitudinal AMR (LAMR) curve. In the polar plot, the LAMR curve describes two very narrow plumes directed along $\theta=0^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ [red curves in Fig. 2(b)]. An expanded view of the LAMR curve is shown in a semilog scale in Fig. 2(c). As $\theta$ increases from $0, \rho_{x x}$ decreases steeply by a factor of $\sim 40$ [semilog plot in Fig. 2(c)]. A characteristic angle $\gamma_{c} \sim 29^{\circ}$ (which we call the "completion" angle) is prominently seen in all AMR curves investigated. In the LAMR scan, $\rho_{x x}(\theta, 0)$ displays a rounded step-drop to the "floor" value $\rho^{L, \text { fil }}$, where it remains until $\theta \rightarrow 150^{\circ}$. We have $\rho^{L, \mathrm{fl}} \simeq 20 \times \rho_{00}$.

The transverse AMR (TAMR) curve plotting $\rho_{x x}(0, \chi)$ versus $\chi$ with $\mathbf{B}$ lying in the transverse $y-z$ plane is radically different [blue curve in Fig. 2(a)]. At small tilt angle ( $|\chi|<$ $15^{\circ}$ ), $\rho_{x x}$ increases steeply to a peak value $8-10 \times$ higher than at $\chi=0$. Further increase of $\chi$ to $\gamma_{c}$ leads to a steep decrease to a resistivity floor value $\rho^{T, \mathrm{fl}}$ that is $10 \times$ larger than the floor value $\rho^{L, \mathrm{fi}}$ in the LAMR [see the semilog plot in Fig. 2(c)]. We estimate $\rho^{T, \mathrm{fl}}=4.5 \times \rho^{L, \mathrm{fl}} \gg \rho_{00}$. The polar plot of the TAMR curve [blue curve in Fig. 2(b)] shows an eight-petal floral pattern with $C_{4}$ symmetry weakly broken by misalignment.


FIG. 2. (a) The singular, anisotropic angular magnetoresistance $\rho_{x x}(\theta, \chi)$ measured at $T=1.9 \mathrm{~K}$ with $\mathbf{E} \| \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $|\mathbf{B}|$ fixed at 9 T . The LAMR curve (in red) plots $\rho_{x x}(\theta, 0)$ vs $\theta$ with $\mathbf{B}$ lying in the (longitudinal) $x-z$ plane at angle $\theta=\angle(\mathbf{B}, \hat{\mathbf{z}})$. The TAMR curve (blue) plots $\rho(0, \chi)$ vs $\chi$ with $\mathbf{B}$ in the transverse $y-z$ plane at angle $\chi=\angle(\mathbf{B}, \hat{\mathbf{z}})$. A slight misalignment causes a weak breaking of mirror symmetry about $\chi=0$ or $\theta=0$ (see the text). The singular AMR complicates determination of $\rho_{x x}(\theta, \chi)$ at $(\theta, \chi)=(0,0)$. Panel (b) shows the polar plot of the TAMR and LAMR curves. The TAMR curve (blue) displays $C_{4}$ symmetry. However, the LAMR curve (red) exhibits $C_{2}$ symmetry because, with $\mathbf{E}$ fixed $\| \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \rho_{x x}(0,0) \gg \rho_{x x}(\pi / 2,0)$ [the latter is equal to $\rho_{z z}(0,0)$ ]. Panel (c) is an expanded view of the curves of LAMR (red) and TAMR (blue) in a semilog plot. The TAMR curve shows a steep decrease at the completion angle $\gamma_{c}$. The step decrease in the LAMR curve is milder but still well resolved. Panel (a) shows an expanded view of the LAMR curve $\rho_{x x}(\theta, 0)$ at 1.9 K with $|\mathbf{B}|$ fixed at 6 T (blue curve), 7.5 T (red), and 9 T (gray). In all three curves, sharp resonant features are observed at $\theta=0^{\circ}, \pm 1.1^{\circ}$, and $\pm 2.2^{\circ}$.

In principle, the sharp maximum in $\rho_{x x}$ at $\theta=0$ in the LAMR curve must equal the minimum in the TAMR at $\chi=0$. In our experiment, however, a residual misalignment leads to a difference of a factor of 4 . The singular behavior in the vicinity of $(\theta, \chi)=(0,0)$ amplifies errors caused by angular misalignments of $\pm 1^{\circ}$ (the difficulty is roughly similar to aligning the tips of two sharp needles). The traces in Fig. 2 result from progressive alignment improvements in repeated scans. The misalignment also accounts for slight deviations from $C_{4}$ symmetry in the polar plot of the TAMR curve.

Returning to the LAMR curve, we resolve weak, ultranarrow resonant features at small $\theta$. The expanded view in Fig. 2(d) displays three LAMR scans measured at 1.9 K with $|\mathbf{B}|$ fixed at $6,7.5$, and 9 T . In each curve, $\rho_{x x}$ displays distinct peaks with ultranarrow widths $\left(\sim 0.1^{\circ}\right)$ centered at $\theta=0^{\circ}$, $\pm 1.1^{\circ}$, and $\pm 2.2^{\circ}$. The peak amplitudes are strongest at $0^{\circ}$ and $\pm 2.2^{\circ}$. Because their angular positions are independent of $B$, they are unrelated to quantization of the magnetic flux. We discuss their origin below.

To complement the longitudinal resistivity, we have also performed Hall measurements. In Fig. 3(a), the green curve plots the angular Hall resistivity $\rho_{y x}(\theta, 0)$ versus $\theta$ in the LAMR experiment ( $\rho_{y x}$ depends on $B \cos \theta$ so it is even in $\theta$ ). At the angle $\gamma_{c}, \rho_{y x}$ displays a remarkable step-decrease that involves a sign change. Inverting the resistivity matrix $\rho_{i j}(\theta, 0)$, we obtain the conductivity matrix $\sigma_{i j}(\theta, 0)$. The curves of $\sigma_{x x}$ (red) and $\sigma_{x y}$ (green) are plotted in Fig. 3(b). As $\theta$ increases from 0 , the conductivity $\sigma_{x x}(\theta, 0)$ increases monotonically up to $\gamma_{c}$, above which it becomes nearly independent of $\theta$. The more interesting Hall curve $\sigma_{x y}(\theta, 0)$ is initially negative at $\theta=0$. It displays a broad minimum near $12^{\circ}$ and then increases steeply to positive values above $16^{\circ}$. At $\gamma_{c}$, however, $\sigma_{x y}$ suffers a giant discontinuity, ending back at a large negative value that slowly increases in magnitude as $\theta \rightarrow 45^{\circ}$.

In our analysis (next section), we have focused on understanding the diagonal conductivity element $\sigma_{x x}$. The Hall conductivity $\sigma_{x y}$ is more difficult to analyze because the competing holelike and electronlike contributions demand better


FIG. 3. (a) Comparison of the angular Hall resistivity $\rho_{y x}(\theta, 0)$ (green curve) and $\rho_{x x}(\theta, 0)$ (red curve) measured vs $\theta$ (setting $\chi=0$ ) at 1.9 K with $|\mathbf{B}|$ fixed at 9 T . Initially, $\rho_{y x}$ is electron-type at $\theta=0$, but changes to holelike near $16^{\circ}$. At $\gamma_{c}, \rho_{y x}$ undergoes a stepwise change, involving a second sign-change. The curves for the inferred conductivity $\sigma_{x x}$ (red curve) and Hall conductivity $\sigma_{x y}$ (green) are plotted in panel (b). At small $\theta, \sigma_{x y}$ is negative. Near $16^{\circ}$, it changes sign and increases steeply before suffering a large discontinuous jump at $\gamma_{c}$ to return to negative values.
estimates of the Hall currents. The interesting Hall behavior is deferred for further investigation.

## III. SEMICLASSICAL MODEL

Given the $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ symmetry of the lattice, the sign difference of the AMR scans versus $\theta$ and $\chi$ and their steep variations are unexpected at first glance. We show that the Shockley-Chambers tube-integral approach [24] can account qualitatively for the sign difference and floor values observed. Although AMR curves are usually difficult to calculate, there are several mitigating factors in this material. $A b$ initio cal-
culations [12-14] reveal that the cylinders have uniform cross-sections, which simplifies the evaluation of the tube integral. Moreover, the condition $\mu B \gg 1$ ensures that the cylinders dominate the conductivity matrix element $\sigma_{x x}$. (As discussed later, the sharp "resonant" features appearing in LAMR seem to require a more sophisticated treatment.)

In a magnetic field, $\sigma_{a b}$ is given by the Shockley-Chambers tube integral (see the Appendix)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{a b}=\frac{2 e^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3} \hbar^{2}} \int \frac{m^{*}}{\omega_{c}} \mathcal{C}_{a b} d k_{H} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the velocity-velocity correlator $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_{a b}= & \left(\frac{\hbar k_{F}}{m_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\left(1-e^{-2 \pi \alpha}\right)} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi^{\prime} v_{a}(\phi) v_{b}\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right) e^{-\alpha \phi^{\prime}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{k})$ is the group velocity, $m_{0}$ is the band mass, and $\alpha=\left(\omega_{c} \tau\right)^{-1}$.

We approximate the FS as three intersecting cylinders (radius $k_{F}$ ), $C_{x}, C_{y}$, and $C_{z}$, with axes along $\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{y}}$, and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, respectively [Fig. 4(a)].

We assume $\mathbf{E} \| \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ throughout. It is convenient to denote the conductivity of an isolated cylinder in zero $B$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{0}^{(1)}=n^{(1)} e \mu \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n^{(1)}$, the carrier density enclosed within the cylinder, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{(1)}=2 \frac{\pi k_{F}^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3}}\left(K-2 k_{F}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{F}$ is the radius of the cylinder, $K=2 \pi / a$, and $a$ is the primitive lattice spacing. In a tilted $\mathbf{B}$, Eq. (A8) in the Appendix gives for $C_{y}$ (in isolation) the conductivity $\sigma_{x x}^{C y}=$ $\sigma_{0}^{(1)} /\left[1+\left(\mu B_{y}\right)^{2}\right]$.

Including both $C_{y}$ and $C_{z}$, the measured residual resistivity at $B=0$ is then $1 / \rho_{00}=2 n^{(1)} e \mu$. With $K \simeq 4 k_{F}$, we find $n^{(1)} \simeq 0.75 \times 10^{22} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, which yields $\mu=90000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}$. This estimate agrees with the low-field peak in the Hall conductivity $\sigma_{x y}$, which occurs at $B=0.08 \mathrm{~T}$ at 2 K (Fig. 7). The inferred transport mean free path is then $l_{\mathrm{mfp}}=\hbar k_{F} \mu / e=25$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

We next consider open orbits. In a tilted $\mathbf{B}$, a wave packet on the FS moves along an orbit [red curves in Fig. 4(a)] defined by the intersection of a plane normal to $\mathbf{B}$ (pale blue plane) and the FS. As drawn, the right-moving wave packet on cylinder $C_{y}$ loops under $C_{x}$ (dashed curve) before resuming its straight-line path on $C_{y}$, whereas the left-moving wave packet in the companion orbit loops over $C_{x}$. In the high-field limit, such open orbits, with nonvanishing $v_{x}$, dominate the conductivity $\sigma_{x x}$.

With $\mathbf{B}$ strictly $\| \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, the orbits on the cylinder $C_{z}$ are closed and electronlike. The orbits on cylinders $C_{x}$ and $C_{y}$ are also closed (apart from a negligible subset at the top and bottom of $C_{x}$ and $C_{y}$ for which $v_{x}=0$ ). However, they are holelike (comprised of alternating straight segments on $C_{x}$ and $C_{y}$ ). Because of the high mobility, the contributions of the closed hole orbits on cylinders $C_{x}$ and $C_{y}$ to $\sigma_{x x}$ decrease as $1 / B^{2}$


FIG. 4. Sketch of open orbits. Panel (a) shows the three FS cylinders $C_{x}, C_{y}$, and $C_{z}$ (gray tubes) and a plane normal to $\mathbf{B}$ (pale blue). Intersections of the FS with the normal planes define possible orbits of a wave packet. In the LAMR experiment, when $\mathbf{B}$ is tilted by $\theta$ relative to $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, an open orbit can emerge (thick curves). A right-moving wave packet on $C_{y}$ loops under $C_{x}$ (dashed curve) before resuming its orbit on $C_{y}$. The left-moving partner loops over $C_{x}$. In the high- $B$ limit, these open orbits contribute strongly to $\sigma_{x x}$. Panel (b) shows end-on views of three cylinders $C_{y}$ in the repeated zone scheme with $K=2 \pi / a$. The planes normal to $\mathbf{B}$ that are tangential to the outer cylinders (blue lines) define the FS portion hosting open orbits on the middle cylinder (thick green arcs). States outside the green arcs remain in closed orbits. The green arcs lengthen rapidly as $\theta \rightarrow \gamma_{c}$, the completion angle defined by the inner tangent (red dashed line). (c) Sketch of open orbits in the TAMR experiment. With $\mathbf{B}$ tilted by angle $\chi$ relative to $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ in the plane transverse to $\mathbf{E}$, the open orbits are straight-line segments on $C_{x}$ alternating with looped segments on $C_{y}$. The inset on the right shows the conical wedge (white area) on $C_{y}$. Cyclotron orbits on the wedge (red ellipses) project onto circular orbits $\mathcal{P}$ on the cross-section (front end-face of $C_{y}$ ). Each orbit subtends an angle $2 \beta$ on $\mathcal{P}$, while the longest one subtends angle $2 \beta_{0}$. The conductivity arising from states on the entire wedge is obtained by integrating the orbits over the white area [Eq. (A13)].
when $\mu B \gg 1$. The absence of open orbits causes the resistivity to increase monotonically in the large- $B$ regime, as observed. Our analysis focuses on the conversion of closed to open orbits for states on $C_{x}$ and $C_{y}$. The cylinder $C_{z}$ is less important for the AMR. However, it plays the dominant role in the angular Hall conductivity $\sigma_{x y}(\theta, 0)$ [Fig. 3(b)], which we leave for a future study.

## A. LAMR

In the LAMR experiment, we observe a dramatic increase in $\sigma_{x x}$ when $\mathbf{B}$ is tilted, even slightly, in the longitudinal $k_{x}-k_{z}$ plane. To show that this results from a sharp increase in the fraction of open-orbit states, we consider the set of planes normal to B. Figure 4(b) shows cross-sections of three $C_{y}$ cylinders separated by $K=2 \pi / a$ in the repeated zone scheme, together with two planes at the tilt angle $\theta$. The planes that are tangential to the outer cylinders (blue lines) intersect the middle cylinder to define two FS arcs hosting open-orbit states [thick green arcs in Fig. 4(b)]. A wave packet prepared initially on the left green arc on $C_{y}$ loops under $C_{x}$ (as a "looped segment") then alternates between straight-line segments on $C_{y}$ and looped segments on $C_{x}$ [thick red curves in Fig. 4(a)]. Conversely, if the initial state lies outside the green arcs, the wave packet runs into a neighboring $C_{y}$ before it can complete a loop on $C_{x}$. These states, lying in the "shadow" cast by adjacent cylinders, remain trapped in closed holelike orbits.

The looped segments on $C_{x}$ are crucial for linking straight segments on $C_{y}$ into open orbits even though they themselves do not contribute to $\sigma_{x x}$. Increasing $\theta$ converts more of the states on $C_{x}$ to looped segments (as the fraction in the shadow
decreases). This results in a sharp increase in the fraction of states on $C_{y}$ that become open orbits. Hence $\sigma_{x x}$ increases rapidly with $\theta$.

## B. Completion angle

The increase in $\sigma_{x x}$ ends abruptly when the blue line becomes the inner tangent to adjacent cylinders [red dashed line in Fig. 4(b)] at the "completion angle" $\gamma_{c}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \gamma_{c}=\frac{2 k_{F}}{K} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The completion angle provides a direct way to measure $k_{F}$.
As mentioned, $\rho_{x x}$ abruptly drops to its "floor" value at $\gamma_{c} \sim 29^{\circ}$ and stays there until $\theta$ exceeds $150^{\circ}$ [Fig. 2(c)]. Using Eq. (5), we find that $k_{F} / K=0.25$, in good agreement with de Haas-van Alphen experiments [15-17], which reported $k_{F} / K=0.23$. The negative LAMR profile provides a new way to measure $k_{F}$ in $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$. In both the Hall scan and the TAMR experiment, the step-changes at $\gamma_{c}$ are much more pronounced.

In the floor interval $\gamma_{c}<\theta<\pi-\gamma_{c}$, nearly all the states on $C_{y}$ belong to open orbits [the green arcs in Fig. 4(b) cover the entire cross section]. As noted in the Appendix [line below Eq. (A8)], B has no effect on open orbits. Hence the conductivity contribution from $C_{y}$ reverts to its zero- $B$ value $\sigma_{0}^{(1)}$. In the same interval $\gamma_{c}<\theta<\pi-\gamma_{c}$, all the states on $C_{z}$ execute closed cyclotron orbits driven by the field component $B_{z}=B \cos \theta$. By Eq. (A8), the conductivity contribution from $C_{z}$ is then $\sigma_{0}^{(1)} /\left[1+(\mu B \cos \theta)^{2}\right]$. As a result,
the total conductivity in the floor interval is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{L, \mathrm{fl}}=\sigma_{0}^{(1)}\left[1+\frac{1}{1+(\mu B \cos \theta)^{2}}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This conclusion is in accord with our experiment. Although $\rho_{x x}$ in the floor interval is indeed very low [red curve for $|\theta|>$ $30^{\circ}$ in Fig. 2(a)], it is still nearly twice the residual resistivity (measured in zero $B$ ) $\rho_{00}=1 /\left(2 \sigma_{0}^{(1)}\right)$.

## C. TAMR

We turn next to the TAMR experiment with $\mathbf{B}$ tilted in the plane $k_{y}-k_{z}$ transverse to $\mathbf{E}$ [Fig. 4(c)]. Now, the conversion of states on $C_{y}$ into looped segments directly suppresses their conductivity. Initially, with $\chi=0(\mathbf{B} \| \hat{\mathbf{z}})$, the states $\mathbf{k}$ on $C_{y}$ contribute strongly to $\sigma_{x x}$ despite being parts of hole-type closed orbits. At finite $\chi$, a subset of the planes normal to $\mathbf{B}$ intersect $C_{y}$ to define the surface of a conical wedge [inset in Fig. 4(c)]. As discussed above, the orbits covering the wedge are looped segments that link straight segments on $C_{x}$ to form open orbits. At the extrema of the loop, the $x$-component of $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{k})$ vanishes. Since $\mathbf{v}$ appears squared in $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$ [Eq. (2)], this results in a strong suppression of the conductance. In effect, a finite $\chi$ converts high-conductance states on $C_{y}$ to ones with vanishing conductivity. With increasing $\chi$, the conversion proceeds until it consumes all the high-conduction states on $C_{y}$. This occurs at the completion angle $\gamma_{c} \sim 29^{\circ}$ [Eq. (5)].

Using the tube integral, we have calculated the suppression of $\sigma_{x x}$ in the wedge as a function of $\chi$. For the cylinder $C_{y}$, the elliptical orbit on the tilted plane can be projected onto a circular orbit $\mathcal{P}$ in the cross-section of the cylinder [inset in Fig. 4(c)]. On $\mathcal{P}$, the phase variable $\phi$ then becomes just the azimuthal angle $\varphi$, which greatly simplifies the calculation of $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$.

As a wave packet traverses a looped segment, its orbit projects onto an arc of angular length $2 \beta$ on $\mathcal{P}$. As shown, the angular half-length $\beta_{0}$ of the longest loop segment is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\cos \beta_{0}=\left(\frac{K}{k_{F}}-1\right) \tan \chi \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have integrated $0<\beta<\beta_{0}$ numerically to determine the value of the conductivity $\sigma_{\text {loop }}$ at each $\chi$ (Fig. 5). The maximum net conductivity from $C_{y}$ (attained when $\chi=\gamma_{c}$ ) is under $0.5 \%$ of that at $\chi=0$.

Finally, once $\chi$ exceeds $\gamma_{c}$, the states on $C_{y}$ abruptly disconnect from open orbits to execute closed cyclotron orbits driven by the field component $B_{y}=B \sin \chi$. By contrast, the closed orbits in $C_{z}$ are driven by the complementary component $B_{z}=B \cos \chi$. With all states in $C_{y}$ and $C_{z}$ in closed orbits [Eq. (A8)], the total conductivity in the interval $\gamma_{c}<$ $\chi<\pi / 2-\gamma_{c}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{T, \mathrm{fl}}=\sigma_{0}^{(1)}\left[\frac{1}{1+(\mu B \sin \chi)^{2}}+\frac{1}{1+(\mu B \cos \chi)^{2}}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\sigma^{T, \mathrm{fl}} \ll \sigma^{L, \mathrm{fl}}$, Eq. (8) implies that the observed resistivity within this interval [blue curve in Fig. 1(a) in the interval $29^{\circ}<\chi<65^{\circ}$ ] is much larger than the floor value


FIG. 5. Variation of the dimensionless integral $\mathcal{G}$ [Eq. (A13)] vs tilt angle $\chi$. Even when $\chi \rightarrow \gamma_{c}, \mathcal{G}$ is $<0.015$. This implies that when all the states on $C_{y}$ are converted to open orbits, its conductivity is suppressed to less than $1.5 \%$ of the value at $\chi=0$ [see Eq. (A12)].
in the LAMR scan (red curve), again in agreement with experiment.

This holds until $\chi$ increases beyond $\pi / 2-\gamma_{c}$. Then the looped segments wrap around $C_{z}$ instead of $C_{x}$, and $\rho_{x x}$ rises steeply.

In both LAMR and TAMR scans, these large-angle features are qualitatively consistent with the experiment. A quantitative comparison with $\rho_{x x}$ requires a more involved calculation of $\sigma_{x y}$ (which can be larger than $\sigma_{x x}$ ).


FIG. 6. Numerical simulation of the pattern of open and closed orbits at three selected values of $\theta\left(1^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ}\right)$ with $\chi=0$ in the LAMR experiment. The cross-section displayed is centered on the intersection of the cylinders. The array extends over 25 Brillouin zones in the extended zone scheme. The orbits lie in a plane normal to $\mathbf{B}$ with the horizontal axis $k_{x} / \cos \theta$ measured in the direction $\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{B}$. In each panel, the orbits are quasiperiodic despite the appearance of nominal periodicity.

## IV. SHARP RESONANT FEATURES

To investigate the highly unusual LAMR behavior in the limit of small tilt angles, we have performed high-resolution measurements of $\rho_{x x}$ versus $\theta$ at fixed $B$. As shown in Fig. 3(c), the profile of $\rho_{x x}$ versus $\theta$ displays a sharp cusp in the limit $\theta \rightarrow 0$. This implies that $\rho_{x x}$ deviates from its value at $(0,0)$ in a nonanalytical way. More interestingly, we observe weak peaks at $\theta=1.1^{\circ}$ and $2.2^{\circ}$. Above the angle $2.2^{\circ}, \rho_{x x}$ steepens its decrease with $\theta$, displaying a sharp break in slope. Because the angular positions of the resonances are independent of $B$, they are unrelated to Landau quantization effects. The tiny $B$-independent angles suggest to us that the features are geometric in origin, arising resonantly at small $\theta$ from very large orbits that extend over multiple Brillouin zones.

A conceptual difficulty in analyzing the small tilt regime is the appearance of quasiperiodic orbits. In Fig. 6 (see the Appendix), we plot numerical simulations of the combination of closed and open orbits that appear at small tilt angles $\theta=1^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}$, and $10^{\circ}$ in the LAMR experiment. In each panel, the plot extends over 25 Brillouin zones. The orbits are subtly quasiperiodic despite the nominal repetition. As it stands, the tube-integral approach lacks the formalism to handle quasiperiodic orbit patterns.

## v. CONCLUSION

High-resolution angular magnetoresistance performed in the regime $\mu B \gg 1$ in high-mobility metals can uncover novel features that are not evident in conventional Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations. In $\mathrm{ReO}_{3}$ with $\mu \sim 90000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}$, we observe a singular variation of the resistivity: $\rho_{x x}$ decreases steeply by a factor of 40 when $\mathbf{B}$ is tilted in the longitudinal plane containing $\mathbf{E}$. However, it rises steeply by a factor of $8-10$ when $\mathbf{B}$ is tilted in the plane orthogonal to $\mathbf{E}$. Using the tube integral approach, we show that this previously unreported singular variation is inherent to the jungle-gym FS geometry. The AMR profiles display a rounded shoulder at a completion angle $\gamma_{c}$ that is an intrinsic feature of the FS topology. In addition to explaining $\gamma_{c}$, the tube-integral approach accounts for the relative magnitudes of the floor values in both the LAMR and TAMR scans. However, the semiclassical model fails to explain the series of sharp resonant features observed in the LAMR scans (or the cuspy variations as $\theta$ and $\chi$ approach zero). These features, which may involve orbit patterns extending over multiple Brillouin zones, invite further investigation.
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## APPENDIX: SHOCKLEY-CHAMBERS TUBE INTEGRAL

In general, the semiclassical conductivity in a strong magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ can be computed using the Shockley-Chambers
tube integral [10,24]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{a b}=\frac{2 e^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{3} \hbar^{2}} \int \frac{m^{*}}{\omega_{c}} \mathcal{C}_{a b} d k_{H} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$ is the velocity-velocity correlator discussed below. The states in $\mathbf{k}$ space are divided into a set of parallel planes normal to $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and indexed by $k_{H}=\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{n}}=\mathbf{B} /|\mathbf{B}|$. In Eq. (A1), $\omega_{c}$ is the angular frequency of a cyclotron orbit confined to a plane, with $m^{*}$ the cyclotron mass. We may express $m^{*}$ as the derivative with respect to the energy $\varepsilon$ of the area $\mathcal{A}$ enclosed by the cyclotron orbit, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{*}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial \varepsilon} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The velocity-velocity correlator $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{a b}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{0}^{\infty} d \phi^{\prime} v_{a}(\phi) v_{b}\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right) e^{-\alpha \phi^{\prime}} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbf{v}(\phi)$ is the group velocity at the phase coordinate $\phi=$ $\left(\omega_{c} / e B\right) \int^{\mathbf{k}} d k / v_{\perp}$ in a cyclotron orbit, with $v_{\perp}=|\mathbf{v} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}|$.

Equation (A1) is derived using the Green's function of the high- $B$ Boltzmann equation [24]. The contribution to $\sigma_{a b}$ of a state at the phase coordinate $\phi$ is the sum of wave packets created with velocity $v_{b}$ by a train of $E$-field $\delta$-function pulses applied at all earlier times corresponding to the phase coordinate $\phi-\phi^{\prime}$. The wave packets advance along the cyclotron trajectory at the rate $\dot{\phi}^{\prime}=\omega_{c}$ while decaying exponentially with the decay constant $\alpha=\left(\omega_{c} \tau\right)^{-1}$, where $\tau$ is the lifetime.

By segmenting the interval $0<\phi^{\prime}<\infty$ into finite segments, we simplify $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$ to

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_{a b}= & \left(\frac{\hbar k_{F}}{m_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\left(1-e^{-2 \pi \alpha}\right)} \\
& \times \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \phi^{\prime} v_{a}(\phi) v_{b}\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right) e^{-\alpha \phi^{\prime}} \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

Our goal is to find $\sigma_{x x}$ of the cylinder $C_{y}$ in a field $\mathbf{B}$ tilted at angle $\pi / 2-\chi$ to its axis. If we assume the quadratic dispersion $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})=\hbar^{2}\left(k_{x}^{2}+k_{y}^{2}\right) / 2 m_{0}$ with band mass $m_{0}$, Eq. (A2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{*}=m_{0} / \sin \chi, \quad \alpha=\left(\omega_{c} \tau\right)^{-1}=(\mu|\mathbf{B}| \sin \chi)^{-1} \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\mu \simeq 90000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}$, we have $\mu B \simeq 81$ at 9 T .
For the cylinder, the cyclotron period in tilted $\mathbf{B}$ is identical to that of a circular orbit $\mathcal{P}$ projected onto the cross-section in the $k_{x}-k_{z}$ plane and driven by the field component along $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$, $B_{y}=B \sin \chi$ [inset in Fig. 4(c)]. Moreover, we can replace the phase variable $\phi$ with the azimuthal angle $\varphi$ in $\mathcal{P}$ [inset in Fig. 4(c)]. The cylindrical geometry enables each $\mathbf{k}$ and its velocity $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{k})$ to be mapped one-to-one to corresponding vectors on $\mathcal{P}$. The mapping greatly simplifies the calculation of $\sigma_{x x}$.

## 1. Isolated cylinder

We first consider an isolated cylinder with axis $\| \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ in a field $\mathbf{B}$ tilted at an angle $\chi$ to $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ in the $y-z$ plane $(\mathbf{E} \| \hat{\mathbf{x}})$. The cylinder accommodates an electron density

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\ell}=\frac{2}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \pi k_{F}^{2} K_{\ell} \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 7. Plot of the Hall conductivity $\sigma_{x y} / \sigma_{0}$ vs $B$ at 2 K and zero tilt angle. The low-field dependence was fit to a semiclassical model; peaks in $\sigma_{x y}(B)$ occur at $\left|\mu B^{*}\right|=1$. For two different samples, this yielded $\mu=59000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}$ (M1) and $126000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{V} \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{F} 1)$.
where $K_{\ell}$ is its length. The orbits are closed ellipses with $m^{*}$ and $\alpha$ given by Eq. (A5). Integrating $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ over $(0,2 \pi)$ in Eq. (A4) gives the following for both $\mathcal{C}_{x x}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{z x}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{x x}=\left(\frac{\hbar k_{F}}{m_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{\pi \alpha}{1+\alpha^{2}}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{z x}=\left(\frac{\hbar k_{F}}{m_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{\pi}{1+\alpha^{2}} \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these expressions in Eq. (A1), the conductivity $\sigma_{x x}$ and the Hall conductivity $\sigma_{x y}$ (see Fig. 7) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x x}=\frac{n_{\ell} e \mu}{\left[1+(\mu B \sin \chi)^{2}\right]}, \quad \sigma_{z x}=\frac{n_{\ell} e \mu^{2} B \sin \chi}{\left[1+(\mu B \sin \chi)^{2}\right]}, \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=e \tau / m_{0}$ is the mobility.
In the limit $\chi \rightarrow 0\left(\mathbf{B} \perp\right.$ axis), $\sigma_{x x}$ recovers its zero- $B$ value $n_{\ell} e \mu$. This is the simplest example of an open-orbit conductivity that is $B$-independent even when $\mu B \gg 1$.

## 2. Jungle-gym FS

Next, we apply the tube integral to address the TAMR experiment in the jungle-gym FS with intersecting cylinders [Fig. 4(c)]. Tilting of $\mathbf{B}$ in the $k_{x}-k_{z}$ plane causes a fraction
of the holelike closed orbits to become looped segments that belong to open orbits. The loops are shown as red curves on the curved area of the conical wedge shown in white in the inset of Fig. 4(c). In the open orbit, the wave packets traverse alternatingly straight segments on $C_{x}$ and looped segments on $C_{y}$ until they damp out.

As $v_{x}=0$ on the former, only the looped segments contribute to $\sigma_{x x}$. Projecting the loop to the circular orbit $\mathcal{P}$ on the cross-section [inset in Fig. 4(c)], the azimuthal angle $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{P}$ runs from $\pi / 2-\beta$ to $\pi / 2+\beta$ to describe an arc of angular length $2 \beta$. Since the planes are indexed by $k_{H}, d \beta$ and $d k_{H}$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d k_{H}=k_{F} \cos \chi \sin \beta d \beta \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating the integrals over $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{x x}$ between the limits $(\pi / 2-\beta, \pi / 2+\beta$ ), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C}_{x x}(\beta)= & \left(\frac{\hbar k_{F}}{m_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\left(1-e^{-2 \pi \alpha}\right)} \frac{2 e^{-\alpha \pi / 2}}{\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)}\left(\beta-\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \beta\right) \\
& \times[\alpha \sin \beta \cosh \alpha \beta-\cos \beta \sinh \alpha \beta] . \tag{A10}
\end{align*}
$$

As mentioned, the looped segments cover the curved area of the conical wedge [inset of Fig. 4(c)]. The longest orbit, corresponding to the maximum angle $\beta_{0}$, is fixed by the plane tangential to the neighboring $C_{y}$. Hence $\beta_{0}$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\cos \beta_{0}=\left(\Delta K / k_{F}\right) \tan \chi \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta K=K-k_{F}$. Integrating over all the orbits covering the wedge and using Eq. (4), we obtain the conductivity $\sigma^{\text {loop }}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\mathrm{loop}}(\chi)=n^{(1)} e \mu \frac{k_{F}}{K-2 k_{F}} \mathcal{G}(\chi) \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}(\chi)$ is the dimensionless integral

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{G}(\chi)= & \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{e^{-\alpha \pi / 2}}{\left(1-e^{-2 \pi \alpha}\right)} \frac{\alpha \cot \chi}{\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)} \int_{0}^{\beta_{0}}\left(\beta-\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \beta\right) \\
& \times[\alpha \sin \beta \cosh \alpha \beta-\cos \beta \sinh \alpha \beta] \sin \beta d \beta . \tag{A13}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathcal{G}(\chi)$ is plotted in Fig. 5. As shown, $\sigma^{\text {loop }}$ is strongly suppressed. Even when $\chi \rightarrow \gamma_{c}$ (all states on $C_{y}$ are open orbits), $\sigma^{\text {loop }}$ is $<0.015 \times \sigma^{(1)}$. The suppression accounts for the observed increase in $\rho_{x x}$ when $\mathbf{B}$ is tilted away from $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ in the TAMR experiment.
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