Forum of Mathematics, Pi (2021), **Vol. 9**:e8 1–49
doi:10.1017/fmp.2021.7

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Endoscopic decompositions and the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture

Davesh Maulik[1] and Junliang Shen[2]

[1]Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA 02139, USA;
E-mail: maulik@mit.edu.
[2]Department of Mathematics, Yale University, 442 Dunham Lab, 10 Hillhouse Ave., New Haven, CT 06511, USA;
E-mail: junliang.shen@yale.edu.

Received: 26 August 2020; **Revised:** 4 June 2021; **Accepted:** 27 June 2021

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: *Primary* – 14H60; *Secondary* – 14D21

Abstract

We construct natural operators connecting the cohomology of the moduli spaces of stable Higgs bundles with different ranks and genera which, after numerical specialisation, recover the topological mirror symmetry conjecture of Hausel and Thaddeus concerning SL_n- and PGL_n-Higgs bundles. This provides a complete description of the cohomology of the moduli space of stable SL_n-Higgs bundles in terms of the tautological classes, and gives a new proof of the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture, which was also proven recently by Gröchenig, Wyss and Ziegler via p-adic integration.

Our method is to relate the decomposition theorem for the Hitchin fibration, using vanishing cycle functors, to the decomposition theorem for the twisted Hitchin fibration, whose supports are simpler.

0.1. Overview

Throughout, we work over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Let C be a nonsingular projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Let n, d be integers with $n > 0$ and $\gcd(n, d) = 1$.

The cohomology of the moduli space $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{n,d}$ of rank n, degree d stable vector bundles on C has been studied intensively for decades. By [1, 2], the cohomology $H^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{n,d}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ is generated by the *tautological classes* – the Künneth factors of the Chern characters of a universal family. Relations between the tautological classes were explored in [14, 27].

A natural moduli space closely related to $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{n,d}$ is the moduli of stable SL_n-bundles

$$\mathcal{N}_{n,L} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{n,d},$$

which parameterises rank n stable vector bundles with fixed determinant $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^d(C)$. The finite abelian group

$$\Gamma = \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[n]$$

acts on $\mathcal{N}_{n,L}$ via the tensor product, which induces a Γ-action on the cohomology $H^*\left(\mathcal{N}_{n,L}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. The Γ-invariant part $H^*\left(\mathcal{N}_{n,L}, \mathbb{C}\right)^\Gamma$ recovers the cohomology of the quotient $\mathcal{N}_{n,L}/\Gamma$, which can be viewed

as the moduli space of stable PGL_n-bundles. The tautological classes associated with a universal family generate the Γ-invariant cohomology $H^*\left(\mathcal{N}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma}$.

The following theorem by Harder and Narasimhan [19] shows that every class in $H^*\left(\mathcal{N}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)$ is Γ-invariant:

Theorem 0.1 (Harder and Narasimhan [19]). *The* Γ*-action on* $H^*\left(\mathcal{N}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)$ *is trivial.*

As a consequence of Theorem 0.1, we obtain immediately that the tautological classes generate the total cohomology $H^*\left(\mathcal{N}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)$.

The purpose of this paper is to study the Γ-action on the cohomology of the moduli space of stable SL_n-Higgs bundles from the viewpoint of the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture [22]. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ the (coarse) moduli space parameterising stable Higgs bundles

$$(\mathcal{E},\theta:\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{E}\otimes\Omega_C):\det(\mathcal{E})\simeq L,\quad \operatorname{trace}(\theta)=0,$$

on the curve C. It is a nonsingular quasi-projective variety admitting a natural hyper-Kähler structure [25, 34]. As in the case of vector bundles, the group Γ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ via the tensor product

$$\mathcal{L}\cdot(\mathcal{E},\theta)=(\mathcal{L}\otimes\mathcal{E},\theta),\quad \mathcal{L}\in\Gamma.$$

The induced Γ-action on $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)$ yields the following canonical decomposition:

$$H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)=H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}/\Gamma,\mathbb{C}\right)\oplus\bigoplus_{\kappa\neq 0}H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_{\kappa},\tag{1}$$

where $\kappa\in\hat{\Gamma}=\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma,\mathbb{C}^*)$ runs through all nontrivial characters of Γ and $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_{\kappa}$ denotes the κ-isotypic component. By [30] (and [9, (70)]), the tautological classes associated with a universal family of $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ generate the Γ-invariant cohomology

$$H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}/\Gamma,\mathbb{C}\right)=H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma}.$$

However, contrary to Theorem 0.1, the Γ-variant part of equation (1) is nontrivial and carries a rich structure, predicted by topological mirror symmetry [22].

In this paper, we focus on the structure of $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_{\kappa}$ for $\kappa\neq 0$. We introduce natural operators which determine $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_{\kappa}$ in terms of the cohomology of the moduli space of stable GL_r-Higgs bundles on a certain curve for some $r\leq n$. These operators respect the perverse and Hodge filtrations, and upon specialisation to Hodge polynomials, they recover the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture [22]. In particular, this gives a new proof using perverse sheaves of the topological mirror symmetry conjecture of Hausel and Thaddeus, which was recently proven using p-adic integration [17].

0.2. Hitchin fibrations

The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ carries a Lagrangian fibration

$$h:\mathcal{M}_{n,L}\to\mathcal{A}=\bigoplus_{i\geq 2}H^0\left(C,\Omega_C^{\otimes i}\right),\tag{2}$$

given by Hitchin's integrable system, which is now referred to as the *Hitchin fibration*. The Γ-action on $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ is fibrewise with respect to equation (2). There are two types of moduli spaces closely related to the cohomological study of $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$, from the perspectives of mirror symmetry [22] and representation theory [32, 33].

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The moduli spaces of the first type are the fixed loci of an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$. For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_\gamma \subset \mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ the γ-fixed subvariety, which maps to the Hitchin base via

$$\mathcal{M}_\gamma \xrightarrow{h_\gamma} \mathcal{A}_\gamma = \mathrm{Im}\left(h|_{\mathcal{M}_\gamma}\right) \xhookrightarrow{i_\gamma} \mathcal{A}.$$

The Γ-action on $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ induces a Γ-action on $\mathcal{M}_\gamma$.

Moduli spaces of the second type are associated with a cyclic Galois cover $\pi : C' \to C$ of the original curve given by $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We assume $\deg(\pi) = \mathrm{ord}(\gamma) = m$ and $n = mr$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{r,L}(\pi)$ be the moduli space parameterising rank r stable Higgs bundles $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ on C' such that

$$\det(\pi_*\mathcal{E}) \simeq L, \quad \mathrm{trace}(\pi_*\theta) = 0.$$

It admits a Hitchin fibration

$$h_\pi : \mathcal{M}_{r,L}(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}(\pi)$$

with a fibrewise Γ-action; see Section 1 for more details on these moduli spaces. From the viewpoint of representation theory, the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{r,L}(\pi)$ are related to the study of the corresponding endoscopic groups for SL_n over C [33]. They are nonsingular but disconnected. The Galois group

$$G_\pi = \mathrm{Aut}(\pi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

acts on both the source $\mathcal{M}_{r,L}(\pi)$ and the target $\mathcal{A}(\pi)$, whose quotients recover $\mathcal{M}_\gamma$ and $\mathcal{A}_\gamma$, respectively. We denote by

$$q_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}_\gamma$$

the quotient map of the base $\mathcal{A}(\pi)$. We also consider the largest open subset $\mathcal{A}(\pi)^* \subset \mathcal{A}(\pi)$ upon which the G_π-action is free, and set $A^*_\gamma := \mathcal{A}(\pi)^*/G_\pi \subset A_\gamma$.

0.3. Endoscopic decompositions

In order to understand the decomposition (1) sheaf-theoretically, we consider the canonical decompositions of the direct image complexes

$$\mathrm{Rh}_*\underline{\mathbb{C}} \in D^b_c(\mathcal{A}), \quad \mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma *}\underline{\mathbb{C}} \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}_\gamma\right), \quad \mathrm{Rh}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}} \in D^b_c(\mathcal{A}(\pi)),$$

into eigen-subcomplexes with respect to the Γ-actions. We first clarify some notation before stating the main theorems. Throughout, we use $D^b_c(-)$ to denote the bounded derived category of constructible sheaves. We say that $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{K}'$ is an *isomorphism* for two objects in a derived category if it is a quasi-isomorphism between the complexes $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}'$. Given a complex with a Γ-action and a character $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$, we denote by $(-)_\kappa$ the κ-isotypic component. We call $(-)_{\mathrm{st}} = (-)_{0 \in \hat{\Gamma}}$ its *stable part*, which is the subcomplex fixed by the Γ-action. The Weil pairing identifies canonically the group Γ and its dual,

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \Gamma \tag{3}$$

(see Section 1.3).

Our first result is the following theorem, which relates the stable part of the endoscopic cohomology with the pullback of the κ-isotypic contribution for SL_n. This extends the endoscopic decomposition of [39] in the case of SL_n from the elliptic locus to a much larger open subset on the Hitchin base.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Theorem 0.2 (Theorem 3.1). *Let $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be identified by equation (3), let $\pi : C' \to C$ be the cyclic Galois cover associated with γ and let $d_\gamma = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma)$. There are isomorphisms in $D_c^b(\mathcal{A}(\pi)^*)$ which are canonical up to scaling (see Definition 2.9):*

$$q_{\mathcal{A}}^* \left(\mathrm{Rh}_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \Big|_{\mathcal{A}(\pi)^*} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\pi *} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \Big|_{\mathcal{A}(\pi)^*} \left[-2d_\gamma\right] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\pi *} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\mathrm{st}} \Big|_{\mathcal{A}(\pi)^*} \left[-2d_\gamma\right], \tag{4}$$

with the first isomorphism G_π-equivariant.

In formula (4), the G_π-equivariant structure for the first term is given by the pullback map along the G_π-quotient $q_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}(\pi)^* \to \mathcal{A}_\gamma^*$. The G_π-equivariant structure for the second term is induced by the G_π-action on $\mathcal{M}_{r,L}(\pi)$.

The following theorem is a further extension of Theorem 0.2, which provides a complete description of the κ-isotypic component of $\mathrm{Rh}_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ in terms of the γ-fixed subvariety $\mathcal{M}_\gamma \subset \mathcal{M}_{n,L}$:

Theorem 0.3 (Theorem 3.2). *Let $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be identified by equation (3) and let $d_\gamma = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma)$. We have an isomorphism*

$$c_\kappa : \left(\mathrm{Rh}_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\simeq} i_{\gamma *} \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma *} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d_\gamma\right] \in D_c^b(\mathcal{A}), \tag{5}$$

which is canonical up to scaling.

The construction of the operator

$$c_\kappa : \left(\mathrm{Rh}_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\simeq} i_{\gamma *} \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma *} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d_\gamma\right] \in D_c^b(\mathcal{A})$$

realising the isomorphism of Theorem 0.3 is the main theme of this paper. It is of a geometric nature, given by a combination of algebraic correspondences and vanishing cycle functors. Since it induces a correspondence between the κ-part of the cohomology of an SL_n-Hitchin fibre and the κ-part of the cohomology of the corresponding endoscopic Hitchin fibre, we call Theorems 0.2 and 0.3 the *endoscopic decomposition* associated with SL_n and the character κ. A major difference between Theorem 0.3 and the work of Ngô [33] and Yun [39] is that they mainly work with D-twisted Hitchin fibrations with $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$ or with just the elliptic locus of the K_C-twisted Hitchin fibration, whereas we are interested in entire space in the latter setting. The structure of the supports of the direct image complexes is much more complicated in the K_C-case over the total Hitchin base; see [8].

In the following, we give some applications of Theorem 0.3.

0.4. Structure of the cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$

Let $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be identified by equation (3). Let $\pi : C' \to C$ be the degree m cyclic Galois cover associated with γ. Assume $n = mr$. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{r,d}$ the moduli space of stable (GL_r-)Higgs bundles

$$(\mathcal{E}, \theta) : \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = r, \quad \deg(\mathcal{E}) = d,$$

on the curve C'.

Recall decomposition (1). The following theorem is a structural result for $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}, \mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa$:

Theorem 0.4 (Theorem 5.4). *The operator (5) induces a surjective morphism*

$$\mathfrak{p}_\kappa : H^i\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{r,d}, \mathbb{C}\right) \twoheadrightarrow H^{i+2d_\gamma}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}, \mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Moreover, let $P_kH^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{r,d},\mathbb{C}\right)$ *and* $P_kH^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa$ *be the perverse filtrations defined via the Hitchin fibrations; then*

$$\mathfrak{p}_\kappa\left(P_kH^i\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{r,d},\mathbb{C}\right)\right)=P_{k+d_\gamma}H^{i+2d_\gamma}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa.$$

We refer to [11, 7] for perverse filtrations; see also Section 5.1 for a brief review.

If $\kappa=0$, we have $\pi=\mathrm{id}:C\xrightarrow{\simeq}C$. The operator $\mathfrak{p}_{\kappa=0}$ in this special case recovers the restriction map

$$j^*:H^i\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,d},\mathbb{C}\right)\twoheadrightarrow H^i\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)^\Gamma$$

associated with the embedding $j:\mathcal{M}_{n,L}\hookrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,d}=\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{r,d}$.

By Markman's theorem [30], the cohomology $H^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{r,d},\mathbb{C}\right)$ is generated by the tautological classes associated with a universal family on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}'_{r,d}$. Hence Theorem 0.4 shows that each isotypic component $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa$ for $\kappa\neq0$ is governed by the tautological classes of a *different* moduli space of Higgs bundles through the operator $\mathfrak{p}_\kappa$. More discussions concerning Theorem 0.4 and the P=W conjecture [7] are given in Section 5.

0.5. The Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture

In [22], Hausel and Thaddeus showed that the moduli spaces of stable SL_n- and PGL_n-Higgs bundles are mirror partners in the sense of the Strominger–Yau–Zaslow mirror symmetry. As a consequence, these two moduli spaces should have identical Hodge numbers.

As explained in [22], the moduli space of degree d stable PGL_n-Higgs bundles can be realised as the quotient $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}/\Gamma$, which is naturally a Deligne–Mumford stack. Therefore, Hausel and Thaddeus conjectured that for any two line bundles L,L' with

$$\deg(L)=d,\quad \deg(L')=d',\quad \gcd(d,n)=\gcd(d',n)=1,$$

the Hodge numbers of $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ are the same as the stringy Hodge numbers of the stack $\left[\mathcal{M}_{n,L'}/\Gamma\right]$ (twisted by a certain gerbe α):

$$h^{i,j}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}\right)=h^{i,j}_{\mathrm{st}}\left(\left[\mathcal{M}_{n,L'}/\Gamma\right],\alpha\right)\tag{6}$$

(see [22, Section 4] for precise definitions of the gerbe α and the stringy Hodge numbers). Later, Hausel further conjectured a refinement of equation (6): the Hodge numbers of $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa$ coincide with the Hodge numbers of the gerby sector $\left[\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L'}\right)_\gamma/\Gamma\right]$. Here $\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L'}\right)_\gamma\subset\mathcal{M}_{n,L'}$ is the γ-fixed subvariety, and κ and γ are matched via equation (3). We refer to [20, Conjecture 4.5] concerning Hodge numbers and [20, Conjecture 5.9] for a further refinement involving perverse filtrations.

The following theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 0.3 which proves the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture and a refinement of it; see [29] for an explanation of how the right-hand side is equivalent to the gerby description just given:

Theorem 0.5. *Assume that e is a multiplicative inverse of d' modulo n. Let γ and κ be matched via equation (3). The following identity holds in the Grothendieck group of complex Hodge structures $K_0(\mathrm{HS})$:*

$$\left[P_kH^i\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa\right]=\left[P_{k-d_\gamma}H^{i-2d_\gamma}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L'}\right)_\gamma,\mathbb{C}\right)_{de\kappa}\left(-d_\gamma\right)\right].\tag{7}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Here $(\bullet)$ *stands for the Tate twist,*[1] *and each piece of the perverse filtrations admits a natural Hodge structure by the theory of mixed Hodge modules. In particular, for any* $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ *coprime to n, we have*

$$\left[P_k H^i\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right] = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma}\left[P_{k-d_\gamma} H^{i-2d_\gamma}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L'}\right)_\gamma, \mathbb{C}\right)_{q_K}(-d_\gamma)\right] \in K_0(\mathrm{HS}). \tag{8}$$

Remark 0.6. By the last paragraph of [22, Proof of Proposition 8.2], the shift

$$d_\gamma = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathcal{A}_\gamma\right) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{M}_{n,L}}\left(\mathcal{M}_\gamma\right)$$

in equation (7) coincides with the 'fermionic shift' $F(\gamma)$ in the formulation of Hausel and Thaddeus.

A refined version of the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture was previously proven by Gröchenig, Wyss and Ziegler [17, Theorem 7.24] by p-adic integration, and was generalised by Loeser and Wyss [29, Remark 5.3.4] by motivic integration. Note that our refined version in equation (7) is slightly different from the versions of [17, 29], since the right-hand side of equation (7) depends on the degree of L, whereas the corresponding term in [17, 29] is independent of this degree. Instead, our refined version is closer to the conjectures formulated by Hausel [20, Conjectures 4.5 and 5.9]. Motivated by the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture, connections between the moduli of Higgs bundles and the γ-fixed locus with $\gamma \in \Gamma$ were discussed in [15] via the Fourier–Mukai transform.

0.6. Idea of the proof

Our approach proceeds in two steps. We first show analogus of Theorems 0.2 and 0.3 for the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L}$ of D-twisted SL_n-Higgs bundles with $\deg(D) > 2g-2$ (see Section 1 for precise definitions). As mentioned earlier, one expects this case to be simpler than the original setting, due to work of Chaudouard and Laumon [5] and of de Cataldo [6], which determines the supports appearing in the decomposition theorem for the twisted Hitchin map. After proving the corresponding support theorem for endoscopic moduli, we study the endoscopic decomposition of Ngô [33] and Yun [39] over the elliptic locus and extend it over the full twisted Hitchin base.

Unfortunately, this approach is not sufficient when $D = K_C$, since the supports of the Hitchin map remain mysterious [8]. Moreover, although $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ embeds inside $\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L}$ for a certain effective divisor D with $\deg(D) > 2g-2$, we cannot simply pull back formula (5).

Instead, we realise $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ as the critical locus of a regular function

$$\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \to \mathbb{A}^1 \tag{9}$$

(see Theorem 4.5). This allows us to express the cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ as the vanishing cohomology of this function. In addition, since the function $\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}}$ factors through the Hitchin base, we can use the vanishing cycles functor to relate the decomposition theorem for $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ in terms of that for $\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L}$. By applying this technique to the twisted version of formula (5), we obtain the full result.

0.7. Relation to other work

As discussed in Section 0.5, the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture and its refinements have been proven by Gröchenig, Wyss and Ziegler [17] via p-adic integration. Using a similar approach, they have also given a new proof of Ngô's geometric stabilisation theorem [18], which plays a crucial role in Ngô's proof of the fundamental lemma of the Langlands program [33]. Our approach goes in the inverse direction – we prove the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture by extending Ngô's method [33, 39] in the proof the geometric stabilisation theorem via perverse sheaves and the support theorems. This carries out Hausel's proposal

[1] Recall that for $V \in K_0(\mathrm{HS})$, we have $h^{i,j}(V(\bullet)) = h^{i+\bullet,j+\bullet}(V)$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

in [20, Section 5.4]. A benefit of the sheaf-theoretic approach is that it allows us to construct concrete geometric operators which realise the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture (Theorems 0.2 and 0.3) and provide a better understanding of $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa$ for each nontrivial κ.

In the case of D-twisted Higgs bundles, our result (Theorem 3.2) removes a technical condition of [17, Theorems 7.21] on the parity of $\deg(D)$.

1. Hitchin-type moduli spaces

Throughout, we work over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. In this section, we fix the curve C of genus $g \geq 2$, the rank n and the line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^d(C)$, which serves as the determinant of the Higgs bundles as in Section 0.1. We study several Hitchin-type moduli spaces relevant to Theorems 0.2 and 0.3.

1.1. D-Higgs bundles

For our purpose, it is important to consider generalised Higgs bundles $(\mathcal{E},\theta)$ with the Higgs field θ twisted by a divisor D that is not necessarily the canonical divisor K_C. This flexibility also plays a crucial role in the proof of the fundamental lemma [32, 33].

Let D be either an effective divisor of degree $\deg(D) > 2g-2$ or $D = K_C$. A D-Higgs bundle is a pair $(\mathcal{E},\theta)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a vector bundle and θ is a D-twisted Higgs field

$$\theta : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(D).$$

We denote by $\mathrm{char}(\theta)$ the tuple of the coefficients for the characteristic polynomial associated with $(\mathcal{E},\theta)$:

$$\mathrm{char}(\theta) = (a_1,\dots,a_n), \quad a_i = \mathrm{trace}\left(\wedge^i\theta\right) \in H^0(C,\mathcal{O}_C(iD)).$$

Parallel to the case of K_C-Higgs bundles, the stability condition for D-Higgs bundles is with respect to the slope $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = \deg(\mathcal{E})/\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{E})$. By [34], there is a nonsingular quasi-projective moduli space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^D_{n,d}$ parameterising stable D-Higgs bundles of rank n and degree d, with the Hitchin map

$$\widetilde{h}^D : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^D_{n,d} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^D = \oplus_{i=1}^n H^0(C,\mathcal{O}_C(iD)), \quad (\mathcal{E},\theta) \mapsto \mathrm{char}(\theta), \tag{10}$$

which is proper and surjective.

The moduli space of stable SL_n D-Higgs bundles is defined to be the subvariety

$$\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} = \left\{(\mathcal{E},\theta) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^D_{n,d};\ \det(\mathcal{E}) \simeq L,\ \mathrm{trace}(\theta) = 0\right\} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^D_{n,d}. \tag{11}$$

It is nonsingular and irreducible by [6, Section 2.1], which has a Hitchin map induced from the Hitchin map of the ambient space (10),

$$h^D : \mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \to \mathcal{A}^D = \oplus_{i=2}^n H^0(C,\mathcal{O}_C(iD)). \tag{12}$$

It is clear that the variety $\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L}$ is the fibre over the closed point $(L,0)$ of the smooth map

$$q : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^D_{r,d} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^D_{1,d} = \mathrm{Pic}^d(C) \times H^0(C,\mathcal{O}_C(D)), \quad (\mathcal{E},\theta) \mapsto (\det(\mathcal{E}),\mathrm{trace}(\theta)). \tag{13}$$

A major difference between the cases $D = K_C$ and $\deg(D) > 2g-2$ is that the Hitchin fibration for either GL_n or SL_n is *Lagrangian* with respect to the canonical hyper-Kähler structure for $D = K_C$, but the dimension of the Hitchin base is always larger than the dimension of a fibre in the case of $\deg(D) > 2g-2$. In view of the support theorems (Section 2.2), such a difference will substantially influence the study of the topology of Hitchin fibrations.

From now on, all D-Higgs bundles will be uniformly called *Higgs bundles* for convenience.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

1.2. Relative Hitchin moduli spaces

In this section, we study the relative Hitchin moduli space associated with a Galois cover $\pi : C' \to C$. This parameterises *stable* Higgs bundles with respect to the endoscopic group of SL_n over C attached to a character $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ [32, 33].

Let C' be a nonsingular curve with a cyclic Galois cover

$$\pi : C' \to C$$

whose Galois group is $G_\pi \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. We denote the divisor $\pi^* D$ by D'. For an element $\sigma \in H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(D'))$, the push-forward along π gives an element

$$\pi_* \sigma \in H^0(C, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C'}(D')).$$

The trace of $\pi_* \sigma$ recovers its projection to the direct summand component:

$$\mathrm{trace}(\pi_* \sigma) \in H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D)) \subset H^0(C, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{C'}(D')).$$

The moduli space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C')$ of rank r, degree d stable Higgs bundles on C' admits a map

$$q_\pi : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C') \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,d}(C), \tag{14}$$

which is the composition of equation (13) for the curve C' and the push-forward

$$\pi_* : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{1,d}(C') \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,d}(C), \quad (\mathcal{L}, \sigma) \mapsto (\det(\pi_* \mathcal{L}), \mathrm{trace}(\pi_* \sigma)).^{2} \tag{15}$$

Since both formulas (13) and (15) are smooth, the composition q_π is also smooth.

We define the relative Hitchin moduli space of rank r and degree d associated with π as the subvariety of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C')$ given as a fibre of formula (14):

$$\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi) = q_\pi^{-1}(L, 0) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C').$$

The variety $\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi)$, which recovers the SL_n-Hitchin moduli space (11) when $\pi = \mathrm{id}$, is nonsingular due to the smoothness of q_π.

Next we describe the Hitchin fibration associated with $\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi)$ which generalises equation (12). Recall from equation (10) the GL_r-Hitchin fibration $h^{D'} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C') \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C')$ associated with the curve C'. The restriction of $h^{D'}$ to $\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi)$ induces the Hitchin map

$$h^D_\pi : \mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi), \tag{16}$$

which fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi) & \hookrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C') \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^D_\pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^{D'}} \\ \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) & \hookrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C'). \end{array} \tag{17}$$

[2] When we wish to be specific regarding the dependence of the moduli spaces on the underlying curve C or C', we add (C) or (C') after the corresponding moduli spaces.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The Hitchin base $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ can be concretely described as

$$\mathcal{A}^D(\pi) = H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(D'))_{\mathrm{var}} \oplus \left(\oplus_{i=2}^{r} H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(iD'))\right), \tag{18}$$

where $H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(D'))_{\mathrm{var}}$ is the variant part with respect to the natural Galois group G_π-action induced by the G_π-action on C' [21, Section 5]. Since the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{C'}(iD')$ have canonical G_π-linearisations, there is a natural G_π-action on the Hitchin base (18).

Proposition 1.1. *We have the following properties:*

(a) *The moduli space $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ is a disjoint union of m nonsingular isomorphic components:*

$$\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m} M_i. \tag{19}$$

(b) *The restrictions of h^D_π to all components $h_i : M_i \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ are $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$-isomorphic. More precisely, for each pair $1 \le i, j \le m$, there exists an isomorphism $\phi_{ij} : M_i \xrightarrow{\simeq} M_j$ induced by tensoring with a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{ij} \in \Gamma$ satisfying the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_{ij}} & M_j \\ & {\scriptstyle h_i}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle h_j} & \\ & \mathcal{A}^D(\pi). & \end{array} \tag{20}$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ is the fibre of

$$q_\pi = (\pi_*) \circ q$$

(see formula (14)) over the point $(L, 0) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^D_{1,d}(C)$. The map q is surjective and smooth, and its fibres are isomorphic to the moduli of stable SL_r-Higgs bundles of degree d on the curve C'. In particular, each fibre of q is nonsingular and irreducible.

The morphism π_* given in formula (15) respects the product structures

$$\pi_* : \mathrm{Pic}^d(C') \times H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(D')) \to \mathrm{Pic}^d(C) \times H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D)), \tag{21}$$

where the morphism between the second factors form a trivial affine bundle. For the first factors, a fibre of $\pi_* : \mathrm{Pic}^d(C') \to \mathrm{Pic}^d(C)$ is isomorphic to the degree d Prym variety associated with the Galois cover $\pi : C' \to C$, which is the disjoint union of m isomorphic abelian varieties [22, Section 7]. Hence the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ has m nonsingular connected components.

Assume $n = mr$. Tensoring with a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \Gamma = \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[n]$ induces an $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$-automorphism

$$\phi_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi), \quad \phi_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{E}, \theta) = (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E}, \theta). \tag{22}$$

Moreover, for a general point $a \in \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ corresponding to a degree $n = rm$ spectral cover

$$g_a : C'_a \to C' \xrightarrow{\pi} C,$$

the fibre $\left(h^D_\pi\right)^{-1}(a)$ is identical to a fibre of the morphism

$$g_{a*} : \mathrm{Pic}^d\left(C'_a\right) \to \mathrm{Pic}^d(C), \quad \mathcal{L} \mapsto \det\left(g_{a*}\mathcal{L}\right),$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where Γ acts transitively on the set of its connected components (compare [21, Lemmas 2.1 and 2,2]). This ensures that Γ acts transitively on $\{M_i\}_{1\leq i\leq m}$. In particular, for any pair $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{ij} \in \Gamma$ such that the isomorphism $\phi_{ij} = \phi_{\mathcal{L}_{ij}}$ given in formula (22) satisfies the commutative diagram (20). Thus (a) and (b) are proved. □

1.3. Weil pairing and cyclic covers

Recall from Section 0.1 that the group $\Gamma = \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[n]$ acts on the SL_n-moduli space $\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L}$ via the tensor product. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the γ-fixed subvariety $\mathcal{M}^D_\gamma \subset \mathcal{M}^D_{n,L}$ carries an induced Hitchin map

$$\mathcal{M}^D_\gamma \xrightarrow{h^D_\gamma} \mathcal{A}^D_\gamma = \mathrm{Im}\left(h^D|_{\mathcal{M}_\gamma}\right) \xhookrightarrow{i^D_\gamma} \mathcal{A}^D.$$

As indicated by Theorem 0.3, the cohomology of $\mathcal{M}^D_\gamma$ is related to a κ-isotypic component of the cohomology of $\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L}$ with respect to the Γ-action.

In order to describe this connection, we need a correspondence (3) between an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and a character $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$, which we review in the following.

Let $\mu_n \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ denote the finite group of the nth roots of unity. We have the *Weil pairing* on the group of n-torsion points of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)$,

$$\langle\ ,\ \rangle_\Gamma : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to \mu_n.$$

Under the identification

$$\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[n] = H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

the Weil pairing coincides with the intersection pairing on $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, it is nondegenerate, which induces a character

$$\kappa = \langle\gamma,\ \rangle_\Gamma : \Gamma \to \mu_n \subset \mathbb{C}^*$$

for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This gives the identification (3).

We also note that an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ naturally corresponds to a cyclic Galois cover of C whose degree is the order of $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In fact, for fixed $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we denote by L_γ the n-torsion line bundle associated with γ, and let m be its order which divides n. Taking the mth roots of unity fibrewise inside the total space of L_γ with respect to the zero section C, we obtain a cyclic Galois cover

$$\pi : C' \to C$$

with the Galois group $G_\pi \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Conversely, every degree m étale cyclic Galois cover arises this way.

1.4. Characters

In this section we give a concrete description of the character κ in terms of the Prym variety associated with the corresponding Galois cover $\pi : C' \to C$.

As before, we assume that $\pi : C' \to C$ is a degree m cyclic Galois cover associated with $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ as in Section 1.3, and we assume that $n = mr$. The character $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ matches with $\gamma \in \Gamma$ via equation (3). We consider the Prym variety

$$\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C) = \mathrm{Ker}\left(\det(\pi_*-) : \mathrm{Pic}^0(C') \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)\right) \tag{23}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

with the component group

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}.$$

For an n-torsion line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \Gamma$, the projection formula yields

$$\det(\pi_*\pi^*\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[r].$$

In particular, the line bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(C')$ represents a point in $\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$, which yields a natural group homomorphism

$$\Gamma \to \pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)), \quad \mathcal{L} \mapsto \left[\pi^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r}\right] \in \pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)). \tag{24}$$

The morphism (24) admits a factorisation

$$\Gamma = \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[n] \xrightarrow{[r]} \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m] \to \pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)).$$

The first map is multiplication by r, which is clearly surjective. The second map sends $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m]$ to the equivalent class of the line bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)$, and its surjectivity is given by the proof of [21, Theorem 1.1 (2)]. Hence formula (24) is surjective.

Recall that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is of order m, so

$$\gamma \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m] \subset \Gamma.$$

The following lemma is obtained by viewing the Weil pairing on $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[i]$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, via Poincaré duality, as the intersection pairing

$$H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/i\mathbb{Z}) \times H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/i\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}/i\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mu_i.$$

Lemma 1.2. *Assume $\gamma' \in \Gamma$. We let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m]}$ denote the Weil pairing on $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m]$, and we view $r\gamma'$ naturally as an element in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m]$. We have*

$$\langle \gamma, \gamma' \rangle_\Gamma = \langle \gamma, r\gamma' \rangle_{\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m]}.$$

Proposition 1.3. *The character $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ (corresponding to $\pi : C' \to C$) factors through a character of $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$ of order m via the morphism (24):*

$$\kappa : \Gamma \to \pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)) \ (\simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Proof. Recall from Section 1.3 that the character κ is given by the Weil pairing $\langle \gamma,\ \rangle_\Gamma$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma$ corresponds to π. We have that γ is of order m – that is,

$$\gamma \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m] \subset \Gamma.$$

Therefore Lemma 1.2 implies for any element $\gamma' \in \Gamma$ that

$$\kappa(\gamma') = \langle \gamma, \gamma' \rangle_\Gamma = \langle \gamma, r\gamma' \rangle_{\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m]}. \tag{25}$$

We consider the subgroup

$$K = \mathrm{Ker}\left\{\pi^* : \mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(C')\right\} \subset \mathrm{Pic}^0(C).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

It is the cyclic finite subgroup $\langle\gamma\rangle$ of $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)$ generated by γ. Since γ is of order m, we have $K \subset \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m]$. By the proof of [21, Theorem 1.1 (1)], there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)) = \hat{K}, \tag{26}$$

which, for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m]$, identifies $[\pi^*\mathcal{L}] \in \pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$ with the character of K sending the generator $\gamma \in K$ to

$$\langle \gamma, \mathcal{L}\rangle_{\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[m]} \in \mu_m \subset \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Comparing with equation (25), this implies that $\kappa : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is the composition of formula (24) and the order m character of $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$ given by

$$\gamma \in K = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)), \mathbb{C}^*).$$

Here we dualise equation (26) in the last identity. This completes the proof. □

Since $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, its character group is also cyclic. We obtain the following corollary of Proposition 1.3:

Corollary 1.4. *A character $\rho : \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^*$ lies in the cyclic subgroup $\langle\kappa\rangle \subset \hat{\Gamma}$ if and only if ρ is induced by a character of $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$ factoring through formula (24).*

Now we consider the kernel of the morphism (24), which we denote by

$$\Omega \subset \Gamma. \tag{27}$$

The subgroup Ω acts on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ via the tensor product.

Lemma 1.5. *The Ω-action on $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ preserves each connected component M_i of equation (19).*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ is a fibre of

$$q_\pi = (\pi_*) \circ q : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C') \xrightarrow{q} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{1,d}(C') \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,d}(C).$$

The fibres of the first map are connected. The second map respects the product structure (21). Both the maps q and π_* are Γ-equivariant. Hence the m connected components of equation (19) match the connected components of the degree d Prym variety

$$\mathrm{Prym}^d(C'/C) = (\pi_*)^{-1}(L), \quad \pi_* : \mathrm{Pic}^d(C') \to \mathrm{Pic}^d(C).$$

Since $\mathrm{Prym}^d(C'/C)$ is a torsor of $\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)$ (defined in equation (23)), and by definition the group Ω acts trivially on the component group $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$, we obtain that the action of Ω preserves each connected component of $\mathrm{Prym}^d(C'/C)$. The proposition then follows from the fact that the restriction of q to $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,d}(\pi)$,

$$q|_{(\pi_*)^{-1}(L,0)} : \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) \to (\pi_*)^{-1}(L,0) = \mathrm{Prym}^d(C'/C) \times H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(D'))_{\mathrm{var}},$$

is Ω-equivariant. □

1.5. Endoscopic moduli spaces and γ-fixed loci

In this section, we connect the γ-fixed subvariety $\mathcal{M}^D_\gamma$ to the relative Hitchin moduli spaces introduced in Section 1.2.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We fix $\gamma \in \Gamma$ of order m. Let $\pi : C' \to C$ be the cyclic Galois cover with the Galois group $G_\pi \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to γ, as in Section 1.3. Assume $n = mr$. We consider the relative Hitchin moduli space $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ with the Hitchin fibration

$$h^D_\pi : \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi). \tag{28}$$

By [22, Proposition 7.1], the Galois group G_π acts freely on the relative moduli space $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ whose quotient recovers the γ-fixed subvariety $\mathcal{M}^D_\gamma$. The group G_π also acts on the base $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ with the Hitchin map (28) G_π-equivariant. In summary, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) & \xrightarrow{q_{\mathcal{M}}} & \mathcal{M}^D_\gamma & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^D_\pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^D_\gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^D} \\ \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) & \xrightarrow{q_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{A}^D_\gamma & \xhookrightarrow{i_\gamma} & \mathcal{A}^D, \end{array} \tag{29}$$

where $q_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the quotient maps with respect to the natural actions of the Galois group G_π. Let $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$ be the largest open subset of $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ upon which the G_π-action is free. Then the left diagram is Cartesian after restricting to

$$\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^* \xrightarrow{q_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A}^{D*}_\gamma := \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*/G_\pi.$$

Remark 1.6. We see from the description (equation (18)) that $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$ is nonempty. In fact, it suffices to find vectors in the affine space $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ that are not fixed by any nontrivial element of the cyclic group G_π. We consider the push-forward of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{C'}$ along the Galois cover $\pi : C' \to C$, which admits a splitting $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C'} = \oplus_\chi L_\chi$, where χ runs through all characters of G_π and L_χ is a degree 0 line bundle corresponding to χ. The projection formula yields

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C'}(iD') = \pi_*\pi^*\mathcal{O}_C(iD) = \bigoplus_\chi \mathcal{O}_C(iD) \otimes L_\chi, \quad \forall\, i \geq 1.$$

In particular, for *any* character χ of G_π, we have

$$H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(iD'))_\chi = H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(iD) \otimes L_\chi) \neq 0, \tag{30}$$

where we used the Riemann–Roch formula. The nonemptiness of $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$ follows from equations (30) and (18).

Lemma 1.7. *The direct image complex*

$$\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}} \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right)$$

is G_π-equivariant, and we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\left(q_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{G_\pi} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Rh}^D_{\gamma *}\underline{\mathbb{C}} \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D_\gamma\right).$$

Proof. Since the trivial local system on $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ is G_π-equivariant, the push-forward $q_{\mathcal{M}*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ along the quotient map $q_{\mathcal{M}}$ admits a natural G_π-action with a canonical isomorphism

$$\left(q_{\mathcal{M}*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{G_\pi} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \underline{\mathbb{C}} \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{M}^D_\gamma\right). \tag{31}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The map h_π^D is G_π-equivalent, and therefore we obtain in $D_c^b\left(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^D\right)$ that

$$\left(q_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathrm{Rh}_{\pi*}^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{G_\pi} = \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma_*}^{\mathrm{D}} q_{\mathcal{M}*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{G_\pi} = \mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma_*}^{\mathrm{D}}\left(q_{\mathcal{M}*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{G_\pi} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma_*}^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}},$$

where the last isomorphism is induced by formula (31). □

2. Support theorems for Hitchin moduli spaces

2.1. Supports

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper morphism between nonsingular quasi-projective varieties. The decomposition theorem of Bernstein, Beilinson, Deligne and Gabber [3] implies that the direct image complex $\mathrm{Rf}_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ is (noncanonically) isomorphic to a direct sum of shifted simple perverse sheaves:

$$\mathrm{Rf}_*\underline{\mathbb{C}} \simeq \bigoplus_{Z_i} \mathrm{IC}_{Z_i}(L_i)[d_i] \in D_c^b(Y), \tag{32}$$

where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $Z_i \subset Y$ is an irreducible subvariety and L_i is a local system on an open subset U_i of Z_i. Every Z_i here is called a *support* of $f : X \to Y$. We say that a direct summand $\mathcal{F}$ of the object

$$\mathrm{Rf}_*\underline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F}'$$

has *full support* if each perverse constituent $\mathrm{IC}_{Z_i}(L_i)[d_i]$ of $\mathcal{F}$ has support $Z_i = Y$.

In this section, we analyse the supports of various Hitchin fibrations introduced in Section 1 when $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$.

2.2. Support theorems

For the Hitchin fibration h^D (resp., h_π^D), we define the elliptic locus of the Hitchin bases $\mathcal{A}^D$ (resp., $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$), denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}}$ (resp., $\mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}}(\pi)$), to be the open subset consisting of integral spectral curves.

Following the methods of Ngô [33] and Chaudouard and Laumon [5], de Cataldo showed in [6] that all the supports for the SL_n-Hitchin fibration (12) are governed by the elliptic locus $\mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}} \subset \mathcal{A}^D$ when $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$.

Theorem 2.1 ([6, Theorem 1.0.2]). *Let D be an effective divisor on C of degree $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$. Then the generic points of the supports of*

$$h^D : \mathcal{M}_{n,L}^D \to \mathcal{A}^D$$

are contained in $\mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}} \subset \mathcal{A}^D$.

Now we consider the Γ-action on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}^D$. This action is fibrewise with respect to the Hitchin map h^D, which induces a canonical decomposition of the direct image complex

$$\mathrm{Rh}_*^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}} \left(\mathrm{Rh}_*^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \in D_c^b\left(\mathcal{A}^D\right) \tag{33}$$

(see [28, Lemma 3.2.5]). We define the *stable part* $\left(\mathrm{Rh}_*^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}$ as the component in equation (33) corresponding to the trivial character $\kappa = 0 \in \hat{\Gamma}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Combining Theorem 2.1 with Ngô's support theorems over the elliptic loci [33, Theorems 7.8.3 and 7.8.5], we obtain the following complete description of the supports for every κ-part of equation (33):

Corollary 2.2. *The only support of* $\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa}$ *is* $\mathcal{A}^{D}_{\gamma}$*, where* γ *corresponds to* κ *via equation (3).*

The trivial character $0 \in \hat{\Gamma}$ corresponds to $0 \in \Gamma$, and $\mathcal{A}^{D}_{0} = \mathcal{A}^{D}$. Hence as a special case of Corollary 2.2, the stable part $\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{*}\mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}$ has full support $\mathcal{A}^{D}$.

We fix a character $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ of order m. Let $\pi : C' \to C$ be the cyclic Galois cover associated with κ, as in Section 1.3. Assume $n = mr$. By the projection formula, we have

$$\det\left(\pi_{*}(\pi^{*}\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E})\right) = \det\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \pi_{*}\mathcal{E}\right) = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes mr} \otimes \det(\pi_{*}\mathcal{E}) = \det(\pi_{*}\mathcal{E}),$$

for $\mathcal{L} \in \Gamma = \mathrm{Pic}^{0}(C)[n]$ and $\mathcal{E}$ a rank r vector bundle on C'. Therefore, the group Γ acts on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi)$ via the tensor product:

$$\mathcal{L} \cdot (\mathcal{E}, \theta) = (\pi^{*}\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{E}, \theta), \quad \mathcal{L} \in \Gamma.$$

We have a similar κ-decomposition to equation (33) for the Hitchin fibration (16) associated with $\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi)$:

$$\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa} \in D^{b}_{c}\left(\mathcal{A}^{D}(\pi)\right).$$

The main result of this section is to prove a support theorem for the Hitchin map (16) associated with $\pi : C' \to C$:

Theorem 2.3. *Let* D *be an effective divisor on* C *of degree* $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$*. Assume that the degree* m *Galois cover* $\pi : C' \to C$ *is associated with* $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ *via equation (3). Assume* $n = mr$*. Then we have the following concerning the supports of the Hitchin map* $h^{D}_{\pi} : \mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^{D}(\pi)$*:*

(a) *The generic points of the supports of* $\mathrm{Rh}^{D}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ *are contained in the elliptic locus* $\mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}}(\pi)$.
(b) *The stable part* $\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{D}_{\pi *}\mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}$ *has full support* $\mathcal{A}^{D}(\pi)$.

The validity of the support theorems (Theorems 2.1 and 2.3) is the main ingredient in the proof of the endoscopic decomposition in the twisted case of $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$ when $\deg(D)$ is even (see the proof of Theorem 3.3).

2.3. Weak abelian fibrations

We recall the notion of weak abelian fibration introduced in [33], which is modelled on the properties of Hitchin fibrations.

We follow closely the exposition of [6, Section 2.6]. Let A be an irreducible nonsingular variety. Assume that

$$h : M \to A \text{ and } g : P \to A$$

are morphisms of the same relative dimensions $\dim(h) = \dim(g)$ satisfying the following properties:

(a) The map $g : P \to A$ is a smooth commutative group scheme with connected fibres.
(b) The map h is proper and M is nonsingular.
(c) The group scheme P acts on M fibrewise with affine stabilisers for every geometric point of M.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We call a triple (M, A, P) a *weak abelian fibration* if the Tate module

$$T_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}(P) = \mathrm{R}^{2\mathrm{d_g}-1}\mathrm{g}_!\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l\left(d_g\right)$$

as an l-adic sheaf is polarisable [6, Section 2.6].

Over a closed point $a \in A$, we consider the Chevalley decomposition for the restricted group scheme P_a,

$$0 \to P_a^{\mathrm{aff}} \to P_a \to P_a^{\mathrm{ab}} \to 0,$$

where P_a^{aff} is the maximal connected affine linear subgroup of P_a and P_a^{ab} is an abelian variety.

We recall in the following the support inequality of Ngô [33]:

Theorem 2.4 ([33, Theorem 7.2.2]). *Let (M, A, P) be a weak abelian fibration. Assume the irreducible subvariety $Z \subset A$ is a support of $h : M \to A$; then*

$$\dim(h) - \dim(A) + \dim(Z) \geq d_Z^{\mathrm{ab}}(P). \tag{34}$$

Here $d_Z^{\mathrm{ab}}(P) = \dim\left(P_a^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$, with $a \in Z$ a general point.

2.4. Hitchin fibrations

Following [5, 6], we show that the Hitchin fibration

$$h_\pi^D : \mathcal{M}_{r,L}^D(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \tag{35}$$

associated with $\pi : C' \to C$ admits the structure of a weak abelian fibration.

Recall commutative diagram (17). The GL_r-Hitchin base $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C')$ parameterises spectral curves in the total space $V(D')$ of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{C'}(D')$. We assume that

$$\mathcal{C} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C') \tag{36}$$

is the universal spectral curve. Let $g_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathcal{C}}^0 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C')$ be the relative degree 0 Picard scheme associated with formula (36), which acts on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{r,d}^{D'}(C')$ via the tensor product. The following result is obtained in [5]; see also [6, Section 3] for a detailed review:

Proposition 2.5 ([5]). *The triple*

$$\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{r,d}^{D'}(C'), \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C'), \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathcal{C}}^0\right), \quad \widetilde{h}^{D'} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{r,d}^{D'}(C') \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C'), \quad g_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathcal{C}}^0 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C') \tag{37}$$

is a weak abelian fibration.

In order to study formula (35), we 'fix the determinant' after pushing forward along $\pi : C' \to C$. Since the relative Hitchin moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^D(\pi)$ is a closed fibre of formula (14), we consider the morphism of $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$-group schemes

$$N_\pi : \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathcal{C}}^0 \times_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C')} \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \times \mathcal{A}^D(\pi),$$

given by composition of the $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$-morphisms

$$\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathcal{C}}^0 \times_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C')} A^D(\pi) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(C') \times \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \times \mathcal{A}^D(\pi).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Here the first map is the restriction of the norm map N_p ([6, (42)]) to $A^D(\pi)$, and the second map is

$$(\mathcal{L}, a) \mapsto (\det(\pi_*\mathcal{L}), a).$$

By the discussion of [6, Section 4.1], the map N_π is smooth. We let

$$g_\pi : P \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \tag{38}$$

be the kernel of N_π, and we let the group scheme $g_\pi^0 : P^0 \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ be the identity component of P. The nonsingular group schemes P and P^0 act on $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ induced by the $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{C}}$-action on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C')$.

Proposition 2.6. *The triple*

$$\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi), A^D(\pi), P^0\right), \quad h^D_\pi : \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) \to A^D(\pi), \quad g^0_\pi : P^0 \to A^D(\pi) \tag{39}$$

is a weak abelian fibration.

Proof. The weak abelian fibration structure for formula (39) is essentially inherited from that for formula (37). The proof is parallel to [6, Section 4]. Here we summarise some necessary minor modifications.

It is clear that Section 2.3(a) and (c) follow from the construction. We need to verify (b), and show that the Tate module associated with $g_\pi : P \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ is polarisable.

(i) Affine stabilisers. For a closed point in $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C')$, its stabiliser with respect to the P^0-action on $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ is a subgroup of the corresponding stabiliser with respect the $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{C}}$-action on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C')$, whose affineness follows from the fact that formula (37) is a weak abelian fibration.

(ii) Polarisability of the Tate module. This follows from the proof of [6, Theorem 4.7.2]. In fact, for a closed point $a \in \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$, the Tate module of the abelian part P^{ab}_a is an orthogonal direct summand component of $T_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}\left(\mathrm{Pic}^{0,\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathcal{C},a}\right)$ with respect to the nondegenerate Tate–Weil pairing on $T_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}\left(\mathrm{Pic}^{0,\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathcal{C},a}\right)$. Hence the restriction of the Tate–Weil pairing on $T_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}\left(\mathrm{Pic}^{0,\mathrm{ab}}_{\mathcal{C},a}\right)$ to $T_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}\left(P^{\mathrm{ab}}_a\right)$ is nondegenerate. □

By [5, Section 9] (see also [6, Section 5.2]), the GL_r-Hitchin base admits a stratification

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C') = \bigsqcup_{\underline{m},\underline{n}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\underline{m},\underline{n}},$$

with $\underline{m} = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s)$, $\underline{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_s)$ satisfying

(a) $n_i \geq n_{i+1}$ for any i;
(b) $m_i \geq m_{i+1}$ whenever $n_i = n_{i+1}$;
(c) $\sum_{i=1}^s m_i n_i = r$.

Each $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\underline{m},\underline{n}}$ is a locally closed subset formed by spectral curves of the topological type $(\underline{m}, \underline{n})$:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\underline{m},\underline{n}} = \left\{ E \subset V(D') : E = \sum_i m_i E_i,\ E_i \subset V(D') \right\}, \tag{40}$$

where $V(D')$ is the total space of $\mathcal{O}_{C'}(D')$ and E_i is an integral spectral curve of degree n_i over C'. The stratification (40) induces a stratification on $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \subset \mathcal{A}^{D'}(C')$,

$$\mathcal{A}^D(\pi) = \bigsqcup_{\underline{m},\underline{n}} \mathcal{A}(\pi)_{\underline{m},\underline{n}}, \quad \mathcal{A}(\pi)_{\underline{m},\underline{n}} = \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\underline{m},\underline{n}}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We have the following multivariable inequality:

Proposition 2.7 (compare [6, Corollary 5.4.4]). *Let $Z \subset \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ be an irreducible subvariety whose general points are of the type $(\underline{m}, \underline{n})$. Then we have*

$$d_Z^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \geq \sum_i \left(d_{\widetilde{h}^{D'}_{n_i}(C')} - d_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}_{n_i}(C')}\right) + \dim(Z) + (\deg(D) - g + 1)\,. \tag{41}$$

Here $d_{\widetilde{h}^{D'}_{n_i}(C')}$ and $d_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}_{n_i}(C')}$ are the dimensions of a fibre and the base, respectively, of the GL_{n_i}-Hitchin fibration (10) associated with the curve C' and the divisor $D' = \pi^* D$, and

$$d_Z^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{C}}\right) = \dim\left(\left(\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{C},a}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right),$$

with $a \in Z$ a general point.

Proof. When $\pi = \mathrm{id}$, the subspace

$$\mathcal{A}^D(\mathrm{id}) = \left\{\mathrm{char}(\theta) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^D : \mathrm{trace}(\theta) = 0\right\} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^D \tag{42}$$

coincides with the SL_n-Hitchin base, and the inequality (41) is the δ-inequality for SL_n proven in [6, Corollary 5.4.4 (76)]. As explained in the last paragraph of [6, Proof of Corollary 5.4.4], the main ingredient in the proof of enhancing the δ-inequality for GL_n to that for SL_n is [6, Theorem 5.4.2], which asserts that the restriction of the δ-regular weak abelian fibrations to their elliptic loci in the sub-Hitchin base (42) remains δ-regular. This follows from the product structure [6, (74)] for the group schemes associated with the spectral curves, which says that the variations of the group schemes associated with the spectral curves are trivial along the $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D))$-direction.

Now for a general $\pi : C' \to C$ with $D' = \pi^* D'$ as we consider here, we have the canonical decomposition for the Hitchin base

$$\mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \oplus H = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}, \tag{43}$$

with $H = H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D))$ a direct summand component of $H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(D'))$. Applying the product structure [6, (74)] to the curve C' and the divisor D', we obtain an analogous product structure for equation (43), that the variations of the group schemes associated with the spectral curves are trivial along the H-direction. Hence formula (41) holds in the relative case $\pi : C' \to C$, by the same reason as for SL_n. □

2.5. Proof of Theorem 2.3(a)

The proof of Theorem 2.3(a) is parallel to the proofs of the main theorems in [5, 6], which we provide in the following for the reader's convenience. The crucial ingredient is to combine Ngô's support inequality (34) and the multivariable inequality (41).

We assume $Z \subset \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ is an irreducible support of formula (35) whose general points have types $(\underline{m}, \underline{n})$. By Theorem 2.4 and Propositions 2.6 and 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim\left(h^D_\pi\right) - \dim\left(A^D(\pi)\right) + \dim(Z) &\geq d_Z^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{C}}\right) - g \\ &\geq \sum_i \left(d_{\widetilde{h}^{D'}_{n_i}(C')} - d_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}_{n_i}(C')}\right) + \dim(Z) + (\deg(D) - g + 1) - g,\end{aligned}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where we use $d^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(P_a^0\right) = d^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{C},a}\right) - g$. Hence

$$\dim\left(h_\pi^D\right) - \dim\left(\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(d_{\widetilde{h}^{D'}_{n_i}(C')} - d_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}_{n_i}(C')}\right) + (\deg(D) - 2g + 1). \tag{44}$$

Here we recall that s is the number of irreducible components of the spectral curve. We now apply the dimension formulas for GL_*-Hitchin fibrations [6, Section 6.1] to compute both sides of formula (44). The left-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(d_{\widetilde{h}^{D'}_r(C')} - d_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}_r(C')}\right) + \left(\dim H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D)) - g\right)\\ &\quad = \left(-r\deg(D') + 2r(g'-1) + 1\right) + \left(\deg(D) - 2g + 1\right),\end{aligned}$$

where g' is the genus of C' and we used the last formula of [6, (77)]. Similarly, the right-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(-n_i\deg(D') + 2n_i(g'-1) + 1\right) + \left(\deg(D) - 2g + 1\right)\\ &\quad = \left(\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i\right)\left(\left(\deg(D') - 2(g'-1)\right) + s\right)\right) + \left(\deg(D) - 2g + 1\right).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, formula (44) implies that

$$1 - s \geq \left(r - \sum_i n_i\right)\left(\deg(D') - (2g'-2)\right). \tag{45}$$

Since

$$\deg(D') - (2g'-2) = \deg(\pi)(\deg(D) - (2g-2)) > 0$$

by the assumption on D, inequality (45) forces $s = 1$ and $m_1 = 1$. This implies that the generic point of Z lies in $\mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}}(\pi)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.3(a).

2.6. Proof of Theorem 2.3(b)

Due to Theorem 2.3(a), it suffices to prove (b) over the elliptic locus with respect to the restricted Hitchin map

$$h_\pi^{\mathrm{ell}} = h_\pi^D\Big|_{\mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}}(\pi)} : \mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D,\mathrm{ell}}(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}}(\pi). \tag{46}$$

Recall the group scheme (38) of the relative Prym variety. By the support theorem [33, Propositions 7.2.2 and 7.2.3], we only need to show that the constructible sheaf of the top degree cohomology

$$\left(\mathrm{R}^{2d_{h_\pi^D}}\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{ell}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}, \quad d_{h_\pi^D} = \dim\left(h_\pi^D\right), \tag{47}$$

is the trivial local system on the elliptic locus $\mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}}(\pi)$.

It is clear that formula (47) contains the trivial local system given by the sum of point classes for all irreducible components of the fibres of equation (46). Hence it suffices to prove that the stable part of the degree $2d_{h_\pi^D}$ cohomology group is 1-dimensional for each fibre of equation (46).

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Assume $a \in \mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}}(\pi)$. Let C'_a be the corresponding integral spectral curve with the spectral cover

$$C'_a \xrightarrow{p_a} C' \xrightarrow{\pi} C. \tag{48}$$

The Hitchin fibre $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,d}(\pi)_a$ contains a Zariski dense open subset

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{reg}}_a \subset \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)_a$$

parameterising line bundles on the spectral curve C'_a, which is a torsor of the group scheme P_a. Hence $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)_a$ has $|\pi_0(P_a)|$ irreducible components.

We fix a base point in $x \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{reg}}_a$. Since $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{reg}}_a$ is a torsor of the group scheme P_a, the base point x trivialises the torsor, and therefore the $\pi_0(P_a)$-action on x yields an isomorphism

$$[x] : \pi_0\left(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{reg}}_a\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \pi_0(P_a),$$

which further induces

$$H^{2d_{h^D_\pi}}\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)_a, \mathbb{C}\right) = \bigoplus_{v \in \pi_0(P_a)} \mathbb{C}v. \tag{49}$$

The action of P_a on the cohomology $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)_a, \mathbb{C}\right)$ factors through the group $\pi_0(P_a)$ of connected components, which acts naturally on the right-hand side of equation (49). In particular, the action of the discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset P_a$ on equation (49) factors through the natural action of $\pi_0(P_a)$. By the proof of [21, Theorem 1.1 (2)], the morphism

$$\Gamma = \mathrm{Pic}^0(C)[n] \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0(P_a)$$

induced by the pullback $p_a^* \circ \pi^*$ along formula (48) is a surjection. Therefore we obtain

$$H^{2d_{h^D_\pi}}\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)_a, \mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}} = H^{2d_{h^D_\pi}}\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)_a, \mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma} \subseteq H^{2d_{h^D_\pi}}\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)_a, \mathbb{C}\right)^{\pi_0(P_a)} = \mathbb{C},$$

where the last equality is given by the $\pi_0(P_a)$-equivariant isomorphism (49). This implies

$$\left(\mathrm{R}^{2d_{\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{D}}_\pi}} \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{ell}}_{\pi\,*} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\mathrm{st}} = \underline{\mathbb{C}} \tag{50}$$

and completes the proof of Theorem 2.3(b).

Remark 2.8. The vector space

$$H^{2d_{h^D_\pi}}\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)_a, \mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}} = H^{2d_{h^D_\pi}}\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)_a, \mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma}$$

may fail to be 1-dimensional when $a \in \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \setminus \mathcal{A}^{D,\mathrm{ell}}(\pi)$. In particular, the constructible sheaf $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2d_{\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{D}}_\pi}} \mathrm{h}^{D_\pi}{}_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}$ is not a rank 1 local system over the total Hitchin base $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$. Hence the proof of Theorem 2.3(b) relies heavily on the support theorem – Theorem 2.3(a).

2.7. Transfer from the κ-part to the stable part

In this section, we assume that D is an effective divisor of degree $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$ or $D = K_C$. Our main purpose is to prove Proposition 2.10, which allows us to transfer naturally from the κ-part to the stable part of the complex $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}$. This extends [39, Proposition 2.3.2] to the total Hitchin base for

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

certain endoscopic Hitchin moduli spaces associated with SL_n. We note that this transfer does not rely on the support theorem.

Recall the decomposition (19) of Proposition 1.1(a). By Lemma 1.5, the group Ω (introduced in formula (27)) acts on each direct image complex $\mathrm{Rh}_{i*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}$, and we consider its Ω-invariant part

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}_{i*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega} \in D_c^b\left(\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right).$$

For any pair $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, the isomorphism of Proposition 1.1(b),

$$\phi_{ij} = \phi_{\mathcal{L}_{ij}} : M_i \xrightarrow{\simeq} M_j, \quad (\mathcal{E}, \phi) \mapsto \left(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{ij}, \theta\right)$$

induced by a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{ij} \in \Gamma$, yields an isomorphism

$$\phi_{ij}^* : \mathrm{Rh}_{j*}\underline{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Rh}_{i*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}.$$

It preserves the Ω-invariant parts:

$$\phi_{ij}^* : \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{j*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{i*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega}. \tag{51}$$

We note that the isomorphism (51) depends only on the class of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{ij} \in \Gamma$ in the quotient group

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)) = \Gamma/\Omega.$$

Hence the Γ-action on $\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{j*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega}$ passes through $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$. Since the group Ω preserves each component M_i, it follows from Proposition 1.1(b) that the elements of the cyclic group $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)) = \Gamma/\Omega$ act transitively on the set $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^m$. We may view $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$ as the group of connected components of $\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^D(\pi)$. For any fixed $1 \leq i_0 \leq m$, the isomorphism (51) yields a canonical $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$-equivariant isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{j*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega} = \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{i_0*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega} \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\nu \in \pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))} \mathbb{C}\nu \right), \tag{52}$$

where the action on the right-hand side is the natural one.

Before stating Proposition 2.10, we introduce the following definition for convenience:

Definition 2.9. Let X be an algebraic variety, and let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in D_c^b(X)$ be two objects. We say that two morphisms

$$f_1 : \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ and } f_2 : \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2$$

are *equivalent up to scaling* if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $f_1 = \lambda f_2$. We say that there is an isomorphism between two objects $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$,

$$f : \mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2,$$

which is *canonical up to scaling* if our construction induces a set of isomorphisms $f_i : \mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{F}_2$ which are all equivalent up to scaling.

Proposition 2.10. *Let D be an effective divisor on C of degree $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$ or $D = K_C$. Assume that $\pi : C' \to C$ is the degree m Galois cover associated with $\gamma \in \Gamma$, which corresponds to the character*

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ via equation (3). Assume $n = mr$. Then for any two elements κ_1, κ_2 in the cyclic group $\langle \kappa \rangle \subset \hat{\Gamma}$ generated by κ, there is an isomorphism for the corresponding isotypic components,

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa_1} = \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa_2}, \tag{53}$$

which is canonical up to scaling. In particular, equation (53) induces an isomorphism which is canonical up to scaling:

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa} = \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}.$$

Proof. We consider the Ω-invariant part

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega} \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right) \tag{54}$$

of the direct image complex $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\mathbb{C}$. On one hand, the group Ω acts on each complex $\mathrm{Rh}_{i *}\mathbb{C}$, and we have

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m} \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{j *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega}. \tag{55}$$

On the other hand, by Corollary 1.4, an isotypic component $\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa'}$ contributes to formula (54) if and only if κ' lies in $\langle \kappa \rangle$. Hence

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega} = \bigoplus_{\kappa' \in \langle \kappa \rangle} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa'}. \tag{56}$$

Combining equations (52), (55) and (56), we obtain a natural $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$-equivariant isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\kappa' \in \langle \kappa \rangle} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa'} = \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{i_0 *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega} \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{v \in \pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))} \mathbb{C}v \right).$$

In particular, if we take the κ'-parts on both sides, since the κ'-part of the regular representation is 1-dimensional, this yields a natural isomorphism up to scaling

$$f_{i_0,\kappa'} : \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa'} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{i_0 *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{\Omega}. \tag{57}$$

This gives isomorphism (53) up to scaling, which a priori still depends on the choice of $1 \leq i_0 \leq m$.

Different choices of i_0 influence the isomorphism (53) via the action of an element

$$g \in \Gamma/\Omega = \pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C))$$

on both objects of formula (57). After isolating the κ'-isotypic component, we conclude that this changes the isomorphism (53) by only a possible scalar ambiguity. □

2.8. Changing the degree

Assume $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$. As another application of Ngô's support theorem, we analyse the G_π-equivariant complex

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa} \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right) \tag{58}$$

when the degree of the line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^d(C)$ is changed.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Due to Theorem 2.3(b) and Proposition 2.10, the object (58) has full support $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$, and hence is completely determined by its restriction to the open subset $U^{\mathrm{sm}} \subset \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ where the spectral curves are nonsingular.

Ngô's analysis of supports for direct image complexes [33, Section 7] works for each κ-part (see [33, Proposition 7.2.3]). In particular, as a corollary of 'freeness' [33, Proposition 7.4.10], the isomorphism class of the restriction of formula (58) to U^{sm} is determined by the group scheme $P^0|_{U^{\mathrm{sm}}}$ of formula (39) and the constructible sheaf

$$\left(\mathrm{R}^{2\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi}}}\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa}\Big|_{U^{\mathrm{sm}}} \in \mathrm{Sh}_c(U^{\mathrm{sm}}), \tag{59}$$

which are both equipped with the G_π-actions. See [12, Appendix] for a precise form expressing formula (58) in terms of the direct image complex associated with

$$g^0_\pi\Big|_{U^{\mathrm{sm}}} : P^0|_{U^{\mathrm{sm}}} \to U^{\mathrm{sm}}$$

and formula (59).

The following proposition will be used only in Section 5.5:

Proposition 2.11. *Assume* $\deg(D) > 2g-2$. *Let q be an integer coprime to n. We have an isomorphism of the G_π-equivariant objects*

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi,L_*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{q\kappa} \simeq \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi,L^{\otimes q}*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa} \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right). \tag{60}$$

Here $h^D_{\pi,L^{\otimes q}} : \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L^{\otimes q}}(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ stands for the Hitchin fibration associated with the line bundle $L^{\otimes q}$.

Proof. For notational convenience, we use e to denote $2d_{h^D_\pi}$. After restricting to U^{sm} we have

$$\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi,\mathrm{L}^{\otimes \mathrm{q}}*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa'} \simeq \underline{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \forall\, \kappa' \in \langle\kappa\rangle, \tag{61}$$

by Proposition 2.10 and equation (50). We need to analyse the G_π-equivariant structure on the rank 1 trivial local systems (61).

Now we consider the constructible sheaf

$$\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi,\mathrm{L}^{\otimes \mathrm{q}}*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\Big|_{U^{\mathrm{sm}}} \in \mathrm{Sh}_c(U^{\mathrm{sm}}) \tag{62}$$

with the G_π-equivariant structure.

By Proposition 1.1(b), the sheaf (62) is a trivial local system of rank m (corresponding to the m connected components of $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L^{\otimes q}}(\pi)$). We may view it as an m-dimensional vector space $V_q \simeq \mathbb{C}^m$ on which the cyclic groups G_π and Γ act. Therefore, to prove formula (60) we only need to show that the isotypic component $(V_q)_\kappa$ is G_π-equivariantly isomorphic to $(V_1)_{q\kappa}$.

Recall the degree dq Prym variety $\mathrm{Prym}^{dq}(C'/C)$ associated with the line bundle $L^{\otimes q}$, whose m connected components are identified with the m connected components of $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L^{\otimes q}}(\pi)$. To connect V_q and V_1, we consider the 'multiplication by q' map

$$\mathrm{mult}_q : \mathrm{Prym}^d(C'/C) \to \mathrm{Prym}^{dq}(C'/C), \quad \mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{L}^{\otimes q}, \tag{63}$$

which is clearly G_π-equivariant.

We note that mult_q induces an identification of the m connected components for the Prym varieties on both sides of formula (63). In fact, choosing base points $x \in \mathrm{Prym}^q(C'/C)$ and $qx \in \mathrm{Prym}^{dq}(C'/C)$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

trivialises both $\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)$-torsors, and the map mult_q induces a 'multiplication by q' map on the cyclic group $\pi_0(\mathrm{Prym}(C'/C)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. The claim follows from the fact that $\gcd(m, q) = 1$.

In conclusion, formula (63) induces a G_π-equivariant isomorphism

$$\left[\mathrm{mult}_q\right] : V_1 \xrightarrow{\simeq} V_q$$

whose Γ-action on the right-hand side is given by the Γ-action on the left-hand side composed with the 'multiplication by q' $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\cdot q} \Gamma$. In particular, we have a G_π-equivariant isomorphism between $\left(V_q\right)_\kappa$ and $(V_1)_{q\kappa}$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

The constraint $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$ will be removed by Remark 4.9, despite the fact that we no longer have full supports in that case.

3. Endoscopic decompositions

3.1. Overview: Main results

In Sections 3 and 4, we establish a generalised version of Theorem 0.3 for any effective divisor D with $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$ or $D = K_C$.

Let D be as before, and let $\pi : C' \to C$ be a degree m cyclic Galois cover with $n = mr$. Recall the Hitchin fibrations

$$h^D : \mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \to \mathcal{A}^D, \quad h^D_\pi : \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi),$$

the fibrewise Γ-actions and the corresponding κ-decompositions. The Galois group G_π acts naturally on $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,d}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ such that the Hitchin map h^D_π is G_π-equivariant (see Section 1.5). By Lemma 1.7, the direct image complex $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\mathbb{C}$ is G_π-equivariant, and so is each κ-isotypic part

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \in D^b_c(\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)),$$

due to the commutativity of the Γ- and the G_π-actions. We also note that

$$q^*_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right)$$

is naturally G_π-equivariant, induced by the pullback map from the G_π-quotient

$$q_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D_\gamma.$$

Recall the open subsets $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$ and $\mathcal{A}^{D\,*}_\gamma$ for the Hitchin bases and the free G_π-quotient map between them from Section 1.5.

The following theorem is a generalisation of Theorem 0.2:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be identified by equation (3), let $\pi : C' \to C$ be the Galois cover associated with γ and let $d^D_\gamma = \mathrm{codim}_{\mathcal{A}^D}\left(\mathcal{A}^D_\gamma\right)$. There are isomorphisms in $D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*\right)$ which are canonical up to scaling:*

$$q^*_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa\Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa\Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*}\left[-2d^D_\gamma\right] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}\Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*}\left[-2d^D_\gamma\right], \tag{64}$$

with the first isomorphism G_π-equivariant.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The second isomorphism of formula (64) is obtained immediately from Proposition 2.10, which actually holds over the total space $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$:

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa}\left[-2d_\gamma^D\right] \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}\left[-2d_\gamma^D\right].$$

The following theorem is a sheaf-theoretic enhancement of the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $i_\gamma^D : \mathcal{A}_\gamma^D \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^D$ be the closed embedding. With the same notation as in Theorem 3.1, there is an isomorphism which is canonical up to scaling:*

$$c_\kappa^D : \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\simeq} i^D_{\gamma *}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\gamma *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa\left[-2d_\gamma^D\right] \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D\right). \tag{65}$$

We first observe that formula (65) induces the first isomorphism of formula (64). So Theorem 3.1 is recovered by Theorem 3.2. In fact, we restrict c_κ^D to the open subset $\mathcal{A}_\gamma^{D^*} \subset \mathcal{A}_\gamma^D$ and pull it back along the free G_π-quotient map

$$q_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^* \to \mathcal{A}_\gamma^{D^*}. \tag{66}$$

Since the left diagram in diagram (29) is Cartesian restricting to formula (66), we recover the first map of formula (64) via proper base change.

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 recover Theorems 0.2 and 0.3 when $D = K_C$. When $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$, Theorem 3.2 provides a concrete description of the contribution of each support $\mathcal{A}_\gamma^D$ to

$$\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_*\underline{\mathbb{C}} \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D\right).$$

This enhances the main theorem of de Cataldo [6].

As already discussed, to prove Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we only need to construct G_π-equivariant isomorphisms

$$c_\kappa^D : \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\simeq} i^D_{\gamma *}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\gamma *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa\left[-2d_\gamma^D\right] \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D\right),$$

which we treat in this section for the following special cases.

Theorem 3.3. *Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 hold when* $\deg(D)$ *is even and greater than* $2g - 2$.

In Section 4, we reduce the other cases of Theorem 3.2 – including possibly the most interesting case, $D = K_C$ – to Theorem 3.3.

3.2. Spectral curves and line bundles

Recall the universal spectral curve (36) for GL_r-Higgs bundles over the curve C'. We denote its restriction to the subspace $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C')$ by

$$\mathcal{C}_\pi \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi). \tag{67}$$

This is G_π-equivariant with respect to the natural Galois group G_π actions on both the base $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ and the universal curve $\mathcal{C}_\pi$.

We consider the largest Zariski open subset

$$\mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi) \subset A^D(\pi)$$

such that[3]

[3]We note that our notation $\mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi)$ has a different meaning from the similar notation used in [33].

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(a) the action of G_π is free on $\mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi)$ and
(b) the restriction

$$\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit_\pi \to \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi) \tag{68}$$

of the spectral curves (67) is smooth.

Taking the G_π-quotients, the family (68) descends to a family of nonsingular curves

$$\mathcal{C}^\heartsuit_\gamma \to \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit_\gamma, \tag{69}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^\heartsuit_\gamma = \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi)/G_\pi$ is an open dense subset of $\mathcal{A}^D_\gamma = \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)/G_\pi$. We denote by

$$\mathcal{C}^\circ_\gamma \to \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit_\gamma \tag{70}$$

the restriction of the universal SL_n-spectral curves over $\mathcal{A}^D$ to $\mathcal{A}^\heartsuit_\gamma$. The families (69) and (70) are connected by the following lemma:

Lemma 3.4. *There is a natural $\mathcal{A}^\heartsuit_\gamma$-morphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit_\gamma & \xrightarrow{u_C} & \mathcal{C}^\circ_\gamma \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit_\gamma & \end{array} \tag{71}$$

whose restriction to each closed fibre

$$u_a : \mathcal{C}^\heartsuit_{\gamma,a} \to \mathcal{C}^\circ_{\gamma,a}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit_\gamma,$$

is a normalisation of curves.

Proof. We first recall the construction in [21, Section 5.1] that, for a given degree r spectral curve $C'_\alpha \to C'$ lying in $V(D')$, there is a natural birational morphism

$$C'_\alpha \to C_\alpha,$$

with C_α a degree n spectral curve over C lying in the total space $V(D)$. In fact, given $C'_\alpha \to C'$, let

$$g^* C'_\alpha \to C'$$

be another degree r spectral cover over C' obtained as the pullback of $C'_\alpha \to C'$ along the Galois automorphism

$$g : C' \xrightarrow{\simeq} C', \quad g \in G_\pi.$$

The G_π-invariant curve

$$\widetilde{C}'_\alpha = \bigcup_{g \in G_\pi} g^* C'_\alpha$$

is a degree $n(= mr)$ spectral cover over C', which descends to a degree n spectral cover $C_\alpha \to C$ via taking the G_π-quotient. Moreover, we see from the construction of Φ_Γ in [21, Section 5.1] that the point $\left[C'_\alpha\right] \in \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi)$ maps to $[C_\alpha] \in \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit_\gamma$ via the natural quotient map $q^D_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit_\gamma$. The composition

$$C'_\alpha \hookrightarrow \widetilde{C}'_\alpha \to C_\alpha$$

is birational, hence it is a normalisation by the smoothness of C'_α.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

This construction works for families of spectral curves over the Hitchin bases. Hence we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_\pi^\heartsuit & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_\gamma^\circ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi) & \xrightarrow{q_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\heartsuit, \end{array}$$

where the left vertical morphism is G_π-equivariant and the horizontal morphisms are G_π-quotient maps. The lemma follows from descending the left vertical arrow. □

For a closed point $a \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\heartsuit$, we denote the corresponding spectral curves over C' and C by C'_a and C_a, respectively, with the morphism

$$u_a : C'_a \to C_a$$

given by Lemma 3.4. We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C'_a & \xrightarrow{u_a} & C_a \\ & {\scriptstyle s'_a} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle s_a} & \\ & C. & \end{array} \tag{72}$$

Here $s_a : C_a \to C$ is the spectral cover over C, and $s'_a : C'_a \to C$ is the composition of the spectral cover $C'_a \to C'$ and the cyclic Galois cover $\pi : C' \to C$. Both s'_a and s_a are finite of degree n.

We also consider the line bundles

$$\omega_{\pi,a} = \det\left(s'_{a*}\mathcal{O}_{C'_a}\right) \in \mathrm{Pic}(C) \text{ and } \omega_a = \det\left(s_{a*}\mathcal{O}_{C_a}\right) \in \mathrm{Pic}(C).$$

The line bundle $\omega_{\pi,a}$ is defined for every spectral curve over $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$, which gives a family of line bundles over the affine space $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$. Hence it is constant over $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ and does not depend on the choice of the spectral curve. Similarly, the line bundle ω_a is also independent of the spectral curve over C. So we may write

$$\omega_\pi = \omega_{\pi,a}, \quad \omega = \omega_a.$$

The following lemma is obtained via a direct calculation:

Lemma 3.5. *We have*

$$\deg(\omega_\pi) = n(1-r)\frac{\deg(D)}{2}, \quad \deg(\omega) = n(1-n)\frac{\deg(D)}{2}.$$

In particular, if $\deg(D)$ *is even, both line bundles* ω_π *and* ω *have degrees divisible by n.*

Proof. Since the second equality is a special case of the first one, we only prove the degree formula for $\deg(\omega_\pi)$.

By the discussion before Lemma 3.5, the line bundle ω_π does not depend on the choice of the spectral curve. Let

$$s'_a : C'_a \to C' \xrightarrow{\pi} C$$

be the spectral cover where C'_a is a nonsingular curve lying in $V(D')$ of genus

$$g\left(C'_a\right) = r(r-1)\frac{\deg(D')}{2} + r\left(g(C') - 1\right) + 1$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(see the formula for d_{h_n} in [6, Section 6.1]). Then applying the Riemann–Roch formula to $\chi\left(C'_a, \mathcal{O}_{C'_a}\right) = \chi(C, \omega_\pi)$, we obtain

$$1 - g\left(C'_a\right) = \deg(\omega_\pi) + (1 - g),$$

which implies the lemma. □

The following lemma concerns pushing forward a line bundle $\mathcal{N} \in \mathrm{Pic}(C_a)$ and its pullback

$$u_a^* \mathcal{N} \in \mathrm{Pic}\left(C'_a\right)$$

to the curve C'_a:

Lemma 3.6. *With the same notation as in diagram (72), we have*

$$\det\left(s_{a*}\mathcal{N}\right) = \det\left(s'_{a*}u_a^*\mathcal{N}\right) \otimes \omega \otimes \omega_\pi^{-1}.$$

Proof. Recall the norm maps

$$\mathrm{Nm} : \mathrm{Pic}\left(C'_a\right) \to \mathrm{Pic}(C) \text{ and } \mathrm{Nm} : \mathrm{Pic}(C_a) \to \mathrm{Pic}(C)$$

from [21, Section 3]. By [21, Lemma 3.4], we have

$$\mathrm{Nm}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathrm{Nm}\left(u_a^*\mathcal{N}\right).$$

Then [21, Corollary 3.12] implies that

$$\det\left(s_{a*}\mathcal{N}\right) \otimes \omega^{-1} = \mathrm{Nm}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathrm{Nm}\left(u_a^*\mathcal{N}\right) = \det\left(s'_{a*}u_a^*\mathcal{N}\right) \otimes \omega_\pi^{-1}.$$

□

For $n \geq 1$ and $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(C)$, we consider the regular parts

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{D,\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{D}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D,\mathrm{reg}}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D}(\pi),$$

which are open subvarieties parameterising Higgs bundles obtained as the push-forward of *line bundles* supported on the spectral curves. We define the line bundle

$$L' = L \otimes \omega \otimes \omega_\pi^{-1} \in \mathrm{Pic}(C). \tag{73}$$

The following is a corollary of Lemma 3.6:

Corollary 3.7. *The pullback u_C^* of diagram (71) induces a G_π-equivariant morphism of the regular parts*

$$g_u : \mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{D,\mathrm{reg}} \times_{\mathcal{A}^D} \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi) \to \mathcal{M}_{r,L'}^{D,\mathrm{reg}}(\pi) \times_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)} \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi),$$

where L' is given by equation (73). The morphism $\mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D$ used in the base change of the left-hand side is the composition

$$\mathcal{A}^\heartsuit(\pi) \xrightarrow{q_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit_\gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^D.$$

Remark 3.8. Since $\gcd(n, \deg(L)) = 1$ and

$$\deg(L') = \deg(L) + \deg(\omega) - \deg(\omega_\pi),$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Lemma 3.5 implies that

$$\gcd(r, \deg(L')) = \gcd(n, \deg(L')) = 1$$

when $\deg(D)$ is even.

Finally, we note that both varieties $\mathcal{M}^{D,\mathrm{reg}}_{n,L}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{D,\mathrm{reg}}_{r,L'}(\pi)$ admit Γ-actions induced by the tensor product with n-torsion line bundles. The Γ-actions are clearly fibrewise with respect to the Hitchin maps

$$\mathcal{M}^{D,\mathrm{reg}}_{n,L} \to \mathcal{A}^D, \quad \mathcal{M}^{D,\mathrm{reg}}_{r,L'}(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi). \tag{74}$$

Since the pullback $u_a^* : \mathrm{Pic}(C_a) \to \mathrm{Pic}\left(C'_a\right)$ induced by diagram (72) is compatible with the Γ-action on both sides, we conclude the following lemma:

Lemma 3.9. *The morphism g_u given in Corollary 3.7 is Γ-equivariant.*

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.3, step 1: Cohomological correspondences

Through Section 3.5, we assume that D is an effective divisor with $\deg(D)$ even and $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$. Our first step in the proof of Theorem 3.3 is to construct a correspondence between the direct image complexes associated with the two Hitchin maps attached to formula (74). Then we show that this correspondence induces an isomorphism for the κ-parts following Ngô and Yun ([39, Appendix A]).

We consider the graph of g_u in Corollary 3.7, which gives a subvariety

$$\mathrm{Graph}(g_u) \subset \left(\mathcal{M}^{D,\mathrm{reg}}_{r,L'}(\pi) \times_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)} \mathcal{A}^{\heartsuit}(\pi)\right) \times_{\mathcal{A}^{\heartsuit}(\pi)} \left(\mathcal{M}^{D,\mathrm{reg}}_{n,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}^D} \mathcal{A}^{\heartsuit}(\pi)\right).$$

Taking its Zariski closure, we obtain a closed subvariety

$$\Sigma = \overline{\mathrm{Graph}(g_u)} \subset \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L'}(\pi) \times_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)} \left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}^D} \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right),$$

which fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Sigma & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}^D} \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) & & & & \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L'}(\pi) \\ & {\scriptstyle h^D} \searrow & & \swarrow {\scriptstyle h^D_{\pi,L'}} & \\ & & \mathcal{A}^D(\pi). & & \end{array} \tag{75}$$

Here we use $h^D_{\pi,L'}$ to denote the Hitchin fibration

$$h^D_{\pi,L'} := h^D_\pi : \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L'}(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D(\pi),$$

indicating its dependence on the line bundle L'. All the morphisms in the diagram are proper.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

By Corollary 3.7 and Lemma 3.9, the morphism g_u is equivariant under the actions of G_π and Γ. Hence, as the Zariski closure of the graph of g_u, the subvariety Σ is invariant under the natural actions of G_π and Γ on the ambient space $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L'}(\pi) \times_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)} \left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}^D} \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right)$. Since the projections

$$\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L'}(\pi) \times_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)} \left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}^D} \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right) \to \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L'},$$
$$\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L'}(\pi) \times_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)} \left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}^D} \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right) \to \mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}^D} \mathcal{A}^D(\pi),$$

to both factors are G_π- and Γ-equivariant, the projections from the invariant subvariety Σ to both factors are also G_π- and Γ-equivariant.

Theorem 3.10. *The correspondence (75) induces a morphism*

$$[\Sigma]_\# : q_{\mathcal{A}}^* \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right) \to \mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi,L'*} \underline{\mathbb{C}} \left[-2d^D_\gamma\right], \tag{76}$$

which is equivariant under the natural actions of G_π and Γ. Assume that the element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ inducing the Galois cover $\pi : C' \to C$ matches with κ via equation (3). Then the G_π-equivariant morphism for the κ-parts

$$[\Sigma]_{\#,\kappa} : q_{\mathcal{A}}^* \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \to \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi,L'*} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d^D_\gamma\right] \tag{77}$$

induced by formula (76) is an isomorphism after restricting to $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$:

$$[\Sigma]_{\#,\kappa}\Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*} : q_{\mathcal{A}}^* \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi,L'*} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*} \left[-2d^D_\gamma\right]. \tag{78}$$

Proof. The first part follows from the general theory of cohomological correspondences. We refer to [38, Appendix A] as a reference; see also the paragraph before [39, Proposition 3.3.1]. In particular, since the variety Σ is invariant under the G_π- and Γ-actions, and both projections from Σ to $\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L} \times_{\mathcal{A}^D} \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L'}(\pi)$ are G_π- and Γ-equivariant, we conclude that the cohomological correspondence (76) is also G_π- and Γ-equivariant.

Now we restrict $[\Sigma]_{\#,\kappa}$ to the open subset $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$ and show that it is an isomorphism. It suffices to prove that the restriction of $[\Sigma]_{\#,\kappa}$ induces an isomorphism on every perverse cohomology – that is,

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^i\left([\Sigma]_{\#,\kappa}\right)\Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*} : q_{\mathcal{A}}^* {}^p\mathcal{H}^i \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*} \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}^p\mathcal{H}^{i-2d^D_\gamma} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi,L'*} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*}. \tag{79}$$

Here we used the fact that $q_{\mathcal{A}}$ is étale restricting to $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$. By Corollary 2.2, the left-hand side has $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$ as the only support. Theorem 2.3(b) and Proposition 2.10 yield that the right-hand side also has $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$ as the only support. Therefore, both sides are intermediate extensions of certain local systems defined on an open subset of $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$. As a consequence, in order to prove formula (79), we only need to show that

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^i\left([\Sigma]_{\#,\kappa}\right)\Big|_U : q_{\mathcal{A}}^* {}^p\mathcal{H}^i \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \Big|_U \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}^p\mathcal{H}^{i-2d^D_\gamma} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi,L'*} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \Big|_U,$$

with $U \subset \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$ a Zariski open subset. This reduces the proof to showing that, for a general point $a \in \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*$, the correspondence between the Hitchin fibres

$$[\Sigma_a] : H^i\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L}\right)_a, \mathbb{C}\right) \to H^{i-2d^D_\gamma}\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L'}(\pi)_a, \mathbb{C}\right) \tag{80}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

induced by the fundamental class of Σ_a is an isomorphism between the κ-parts. Here Σ_a is the restriction of Σ over a. Let a be a general point lying in the open subset $\mathcal{A}^{\heartsuit}(\pi) \subset \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$. The pullback of the diagram (71) along $\{a\} \to \mathcal{A}^{\heartsuit}_{\gamma}$ is a normalisation

$$u_a : C'_a \to C_a$$

of curves where C_a has at worst nodal singularities. In this case, the description of the correspondence (80) is concretely given in [39, Appendix A], and the isomorphism of the κ-parts follows from a direct calculation [39, Lemma 3.4.1].[4] This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.11. *With the same assumption as in Theorem 3.10, the G_π-equivariant isomorphism (78) induces an isomorphism*

$$[\Sigma]^{G_\pi}_{\#,\kappa} : \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\simeq} i^D_{\gamma\,*} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\gamma,L'\,*} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d^D_\gamma\right] \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D\right).$$

Proof. We push forward the morphism (77) along the G_π-quotient map $q_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D_\gamma$ and take the G_π-invariant parts on both sides. This gives a morphism

$$[\Sigma]^{G_\pi}_{\#,\kappa} : \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \to i^D_{\gamma\,*} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\gamma,L'\,*} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d^D_\gamma\right],$$

where both sides are semisimple with $\mathcal{A}^D_\gamma$ the only support, by Corollary 2.2, Theorem 2.3(b) and Proposition 2.10. Hence, similar to the proof of Theorem 3.10, it suffices to check that it is an isomorphism restricting to $\mathcal{A}^{D^*}_\gamma$ which is equivalent to formula (78). □

Remark 3.12. We cannot conclude that formula (77) is an isomorphism over the total space $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ from the isomorphism (78), for the following reason. For a nonsmooth finite morphism $f : X \to Y$ and a semisimple perverse sheaf $\mathcal{K}$ on Y, the pullback $f^*\mathcal{K}$ may fail to be semisimple. A typical example is the case where

$$f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^1, \quad z \mapsto z^2,$$

and $\mathcal{K}$ is the intermediate extension of a 2-torsion rank 1 local system on $\mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathbb{A}^1$. The object $f^*\mathcal{K}$ is not determined by its restriction to the open subset $\mathbb{C}^*$. In particular, although the restriction of the natural morphism $f^*\mathcal{K} \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^*$ is an isomorphism, the morphism $f^*\mathcal{K} \to \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ itself fails to be an isomorphism on $\mathbb{A}^1$. The issue here is caused by the fact that f is not étale at $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$.

3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.3, step 2: Changing from L' to L

By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\deg(L') - \deg(L) = 0 \mod n. \tag{81}$$

Hence there exists a line bundle

$$\mathcal{N}_0 \in \mathrm{Pic}^k(C), \quad k = \frac{\deg(L') - \deg(L)}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{82}$$

[4] For the correspondence (80), we are only concerned with Hitchin fibres over a general closed point $a \in \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$. Since tensoring with degree 1 line bundles on the spectral curves over $a \in \mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$ identifies the Hitchin fibres in the case of degree 0 and those in the case of coprime rank and degree, the result of [39, Lemma 3.4.1] concerning degree 0 Higgs bundles applies here.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

such that $L' = L \otimes \mathcal{N}_0^{\otimes n}$. The line bundle $\mathcal{N}_0$ induces an $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$-isomorphism between the relative Hitchin moduli spaces,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathcal{N}_0}} & \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L'}(\pi) \\ & {\scriptstyle h^D_{\pi,L}} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle h^D_{\pi,L'}} & \\ & \mathcal{A}^D(\pi), & \end{array} \tag{83}$$

via the tensor product $\phi_{\mathcal{N}_0}(\mathcal{E}, \theta) = (\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{N}_0, \theta)$. It is clear that $\phi_{\mathcal{N}_0}$ is G_π- and Γ-equivariant.

Proposition 3.13. *There is a G_π-equivariant isomorphism*

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi,L'*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa = \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\pi,L*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)\right) \tag{84}$$

induced by diagram (83). Up to scaling, it is independent of the choice of line bundle (82). In particular, equation (84) induces

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\gamma,L'*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa = \left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\gamma,L*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D_\gamma\right).$$

Proof. The pullback along $\phi_{\mathcal{N}_0}$ in the diagram (83) induces a G_π- and Γ- equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi,\mathrm{L}'*}\underline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi,\mathrm{L}*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{85}$$

which gives our desired isomorphism. For another choice $\mathcal{N}_0'$ of the line bundle (82), we have

$$\mathcal{N}_0^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{N}_0' \in \Gamma.$$

Hence the difference of the isomorphisms $\phi^*_{\mathcal{N}_0}$ and $\phi^*_{\mathcal{N}_0'}$ is induced by an automorphism of $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}$ given by an element $g \in \Gamma$. In particular, our choice of isomorphism is affected only by scaling.

The last claim follows from Lemma 1.7. □

Remark 3.14. In view of Lemma 3.5, equation (81) and the existence of the line bundle (82) rely heavily on the assumption that $\deg(D)$ is even.

3.5. Completing the proof of Theorem 3.3

Theorem 3.3 follows from Corollary 3.11 and Proposition 3.13.

More precisely, we construct an isomorphism

$$c^D_\kappa : \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\simeq} i^D_{\gamma\,*}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\gamma\,*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d^D_\gamma\right] \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^D\right)$$

which is canonical up to scaling as the composition

$$\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow[\simeq]{[\Sigma]^{G_\pi}_{\#,\kappa}} i^D_{\gamma\,*}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\gamma,\mathrm{L}'*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d^D_\gamma\right] \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{Prop. 3.13}} i^D_{\gamma\,*}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\gamma,\mathrm{L}*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d^D_\gamma\right].$$

Here the first isomorphism $[\Sigma]^{G_\pi}_{\#,\kappa}$ is given by Corollary 3.11, and the second isomorphism is given by Proposition 3.13. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

4. Vanishing cycles and Hitchin moduli spaces

4.1. Overview

In this section we follow the same notation as in Section 3. We complete the proof of Theorem 3.2 (and therefore of Theorem 3.1, as explained in the text after Theorem 3.2) by constructing an operator

$$c_{\kappa}^{D} : \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{*}^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa} \xrightarrow{\simeq} i_{\gamma\,*}^{D}\left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma_{*}}^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa}\left[-2d_{\gamma}^{D}\right] \in D_{c}^{b}\left(\mathcal{A}^{D}\right),$$

which is constructed up to scaling for any effective divisor D with $\deg(D) > 2g-2$ or $D = K_C$. Our main tool is Theorem 4.5, where we apply a vanishing cycle functor to connect the moduli of D-Higgs bundles to the moduli of $(D+p)$-Higgs bundles, with $p \in C$ a closed point. This reduces the general cases of Theorem 3.2 to the special cases already treated in Theorem 3.3.

In this section, it is convenient to work with the moduli stacks of stable SL_n-Higgs bundles and relative stable Higgs bundles associated with $\pi : C' \to C$. As these are nonsingular Deligne–Mumford stacks, gerbes over the coarse moduli spaces, this has no effect on the direct image complexes (64). Therefore, throughout this section, we still use the notation $\mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{D}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D}(\pi)$ to denote the corresponding moduli stacks for stable Higgs bundles and relative stable Higgs bundles.

4.2. Restrictions of Higgs bundles to a point

Let p be an abstract reduced point $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. Any rank n vector bundle on p is an n-dimensional vector space. Hence the category of SL_n-Higgs bundles on p can be thought of as the category of matrices in $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ up to SL_n-conjugation, whose moduli stack is given by the quotient

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,p} = [\mathfrak{sl}_n/\mathrm{SL}_n].$$

Here SL_n acts on $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ via conjugation. The Hitchin fibration associated with $\mathcal{M}_{n,p}$ is

$$h_p : \mathcal{M}_{n,p} \to \mathcal{A}_p,$$

where $\mathcal{A}_p = \mathfrak{sl}_n /\!\!/ \mathrm{SL}_n$ is the affine GIT-quotient parameterising all characteristic polynomials

$$(a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}_p, \quad a_i = \mathrm{trace}\left(\wedge^{i}\theta_p\right),$$

of the traceless endomorphism $\theta_p \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{A}^n)_0$ associated with a matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{n,p}$. We refer to [33, Section 2.2] for more details concerning the stack $\mathcal{M}_{n,p}$ and the morphism h_p.

Now we consider p as a closed point on the curve C. We fix a trivialisation

$$\mathcal{O}_C(D)_p \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{C}. \tag{86}$$

Then the restriction map with respect to the closed embedding

$$i_p : \{p\} \hookrightarrow C$$

induces the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{D} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_p} & \mathcal{M}_{n,p} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^D} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_p} \\ \mathcal{A}^{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_p, \end{array} \tag{87}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where the trivialisation (86) induces an evaluation (at p) map ev_p:

$$\mathrm{ev}_p(\mathcal{E},\theta) = i_p^*(\mathcal{E},\theta) \in \mathcal{M}_{n,p}.$$

To generalise the diagram (87) for the relative moduli space $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ with $n = mr$, we consider the Lie group

$$H_\pi = \left\{(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m) \in \mathrm{GL}_r^{\times m} : \prod_i \det(g_i) = 1\right\} \subset \mathrm{GL}_r^{\times m},$$

which is naturally a subgroup of SL_n with Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{h}_\pi = \left\{(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m) \in \mathfrak{gl}_r^{\times m} : \sum_i \mathrm{trace}(g_i) = 0\right\}.$$

The quotient stack

$$\mathcal{M}_{r,p}(\pi) = [\mathfrak{h}_\pi / H_\pi]$$

is the moduli of SL_n-Higgs bundles on the point p obtained as the push-forward of rank r Higgs bundles on m distinct reduced points $\sqcup_{i=1}^m p_i$ along the projection

$$\pi_p : \sqcup_{i=1}^m p_i \to p.$$

Similar to diagram (87), we have the following diagram given by the restriction to $p \in C$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_p} & \mathcal{M}_{r,p}(\pi) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^D_\pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_{\pi,p}} \\ \mathcal{A}^D & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_p(\pi). \end{array} \tag{88}$$

Here for a Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E},\theta) \in \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}$ on C', again formula (86) induces an evaluation map:

$$\mathrm{ev}_p(\mathcal{E},\theta) = i_p^*\,(\pi_*\mathcal{E}, \pi_*\theta) \in \mathcal{M}_{r,p}(\pi),$$

and the 'Hitchin map over a point' h_p is the natural projection

$$h_{\pi,p} : [\mathfrak{h}_\pi / H_\pi] \to \mathcal{A}_p(\pi) := \mathfrak{h}_\pi /\!\!/ H_\pi.$$

Diagram (88) recovers diagram (87) when $C' = C$ and $\pi : C' \to C$ is the identity.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that D is a divisor on C satisfying either*

(a) $D - p = K_C$ *or*
(b) $D - p$ *is effective and* $\deg(D-p) > 2g-2$.

Then the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_p : \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) \to \mathcal{M}_{r,p}(\pi)$ *in diagram (88) is smooth.*

Proof. We first review the deformation theory of $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ following [4] and [33, Section 4.14]. The deformation theory of a rank r Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E},\theta)$ on C' is governed by the tangent complex

$$\left[\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}(\theta)} \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C'}(D')\right] \tag{89}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

lying in degrees -1 and 0. Since now we only consider rank r Higgs bundles $(\mathcal{E},\theta)$ on C' with the fixed determinant and trace after pushing forward to C, to govern the deformation theory of $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ we need to remove the traces of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E})$ after pushing forward the complex (89) to C. Hence the deformation theory of $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ is governed by

$$C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D)=\left[(\pi_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}))_0\xrightarrow{\pi_*\mathrm{ad}(\theta)}(\pi_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}))_0\otimes\mathcal{O}_C(D)\right],\quad (\mathcal{E},\theta)\in\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi),\tag{90}$$

where $(\pi_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}))_0$ denotes the kernel with respect to the trace

$$\mathrm{tr}_C:\pi_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E})\xrightarrow{\pi_*\mathrm{tr}_{C'}}\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{C'}\to\mathcal{O}_C$$

on C. The complex (90) is the tangent complex of $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$. The automorphism space, the tangent space and the obstruction space, respectively, are thus given by the cohomology groups

$$H^0(C,C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D)),\quad H^1(C,C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D))\quad\text{and}\quad H^2(C,C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D)).$$

Since the evaluation map ev_p is induced by the restriction to the point p via $i_p:\{p\}\hookrightarrow C$, the tangent map of ev_p is

$$\mathrm{Tan}_{\mathrm{ev}_p}:H^1(C,C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D))\to H^1\left(p,i_p^*C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D)\right),\tag{91}$$

induced by the restriction morphism between the tangent complexes. Here $i_p^*C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D)=\left[\mathfrak{h}_\pi\xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}}\mathfrak{h}_\pi\right]$ recovers the tangent complex of $\mathcal{M}_{r,p}(\pi)$; see [8, Appendix 8.2]. To prove the smoothness of ev_p, we show in the following that formula (91) is surjective.

The restriction map between the tangent complexes

$$C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D))\to i_{p*}i_p^*C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D))$$

fits into the exact triangle

$$E^\bullet\to C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D)\to i_{p*}i_p^*C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D)\xrightarrow{+1},\tag{92}$$

where $E^\bullet$ is given by

$$E^\bullet=C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D)\otimes\mathcal{O}_C(-p)=\left[(\pi_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}))_0\otimes\mathcal{O}_C(-p)\xrightarrow{\pi_*\mathrm{ad}(\theta)}(\pi_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}))_0\otimes\mathcal{O}_C\,(D-p)\right].$$

The long exact sequence associated with formula (92) contains

$$H^1(C,C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D))\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tan}_{\mathrm{ev}_p}}H^1\left(C,i_{p*}i_p^*C^\bullet(\mathcal{E},\theta,D)\right)\to H^2(C,E^\bullet).$$

Hence, in view of the Serre duality, it suffices to show the vanishing of

$$H^2(C,E^\bullet)^\vee=H^0\left(C,(E^\bullet)^\vee\otimes\Omega_C\right),\tag{93}$$

where

$$(E^\bullet)^\vee\otimes\Omega_C=\left[(\pi_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}))_0\otimes\mathcal{O}_C(p-D+K_C)\xrightarrow{-\mathrm{ad}(\pi_*\theta)}(\pi_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E})_0\otimes\mathcal{O}_C\,(p+K_C)\right].$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

To calculate equation (93), we consider the hypercohomology group $H^0\left(C, \left(\widetilde{E}^\bullet\right)^\vee \otimes \Omega_C\right)$ with

$$\widetilde{E}^\bullet = \left[(\pi_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p) \xrightarrow{\pi_*\mathrm{ad}(\theta)} (\pi_*\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(D-p)\right].$$

It is clear that $H^0\left(C, \left(\widetilde{E}^\bullet\right)^\vee \otimes \Omega_C\right)$ contains equation (93) as a direct sum component, and the complement is contributed by the trace parts on C. The hypercohomology group $H^0\left(C, \left(\widetilde{E}^\bullet\right)^\vee \otimes \Omega_C\right)$ can be written as

$$H^0\left(C', \left[\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_C(p-D+K_C) \xrightarrow{-\mathrm{ad}(\theta)} \mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_C(p+K_C)\right]\right) \tag{94}$$

via the projection formula associated with $\pi : C' \to C$. By [16, Theorem 5.1] (see also the calculation of [17, Lemma 7.3]), formula (94) can be interpreted as the group of homomorphisms of stable Higgs bundles

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{C'}\left((\mathcal{E},\theta), (\mathcal{E} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_C(K_C - D + p), \theta)\right). \tag{95}$$

In case (a), these two stable Higgs bundles coincide, so the Hom space (95) is 1-dimensional, given by the identity map. Hence we have the vanishing of equation (93) by the removal of the 1-dimensional trace parts on C from formula (95). In case (b), we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{E}) > \deg\left(\mathcal{E} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_C(K_C - D + p)\right).$$

Hence formula (95) vanishes due to the stability condition, which further implies the vanishing of equation (93). □

Assume that the divisor D satisfies Proposition 4.1(a) and (b). The moduli of stable $(D-p)$-Higgs bundles admits a natural closed embedding into the moduli of D-Higgs bundles. More precisely, let $(\mathcal{E},\theta)$ be a stable $(D-p)$-Higgs bundle on C; then we may view it naturally as a D-Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E},\theta')$ by setting the new Higgs field as the composition

$$\theta' : \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(D-p) \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(D),$$

where the second map is induced by $\mathcal{O}_C(-p) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$. By definition, the (slope-)stability conditions of $(\mathcal{E},\theta)$ and $(\mathcal{E},\theta')$ coincide. Hence we obtain a closed embedding

$$\mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{D-p} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{D}, \quad (\mathcal{E},\theta) \mapsto (\mathcal{E},\theta'). \tag{96}$$

Similarly, we also have the relative version with respect to $\pi : C' \to C$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D-p}(\pi) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D}(\pi), \quad (\mathcal{E},\theta) \mapsto (\mathcal{E},\theta'), \tag{97}$$

where $\theta' : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_C(D)$ is given by the composition

$$\theta' : \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_C(D-p) \to \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_C(D).$$

As before, the relative case (97) recovers formula (96) by setting $\pi = \mathrm{id}$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

A Higgs bundle in $\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi)$ which sits inside $\mathcal{M}^{D-p}_{r,L}(\pi)$ can be characterised by the vanishing of the restricted Higgs field over the point p. Therefore it is natural to use the evaluation map ev_p to describe formulas (96) and (97) as in the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that the divisor D satisfies Proposition 4.1(a) and (b). We denote by*

$$0_H = [0/H_\pi] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{r,p}(\pi) = [\mathfrak{h}_\pi/H_\pi]$$

the closed substack corresponding to the 0 matrix. Then the closed embedding (97) is realised as a closed fibre of ev_p over 0_H.

4.3. Functions and critical loci

We consider the quotient map

$$\mathfrak{sl}_n \to \mathfrak{sl}_n /\!\!/ \mathrm{SL}_n$$

sending a matrix to the coefficients

$$(a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathfrak{sl}_n /\!\!/ \mathrm{SL}_n = \mathrm{Spec}\left(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{sl}_n]^{\mathrm{SL}_n}\right), \quad \deg(a_i) = i,$$

of its characteristic polynomial. The term a_i defines a degree i polynomial function on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. We define the quadratic function on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ given by a_2 as

$$\mu = a_2 : \mathfrak{sl}_n \to \mathbb{A}^1, \tag{98}$$

which induces a function $\mu_\pi : \mathfrak{h}_\pi \to \mathbb{A}^1$ via the composition

$$\mu_\pi : \mathfrak{h}_\pi \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_n \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{A}^1. \tag{99}$$

By definition, the functions μ and μ_π are invariant under the conjugation actions by the Lie groups SL_n and H_π, respectively.

Since any matrix $g \in \mathfrak{h}_\pi \subset \mathfrak{sl}_n$ satisfies $\mathrm{trace}(g) = 0$, the quadratic function μ_π (up to scaling) can be written as

$$g \mapsto \mathrm{trace}\left(g^2\right). \tag{100}$$

For the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, formula (100) is the Killing form, which is clearly nondegenerate. In the following we prove the nondegeneracy for general $\mathfrak{h}_\pi$:

Lemma 4.3. *The critical locus of the quadratic function μ_π is the isolated reduced point $0 \in \mathfrak{h}_\pi$ – that is,*

$$\mathrm{Crit}(\mu_\pi)\,(= \{d\mu_\pi = 0\}) = \{0\} \subset \mathfrak{h}_\pi.$$

Consequently, the perverse sheaf of vanishing cycles $\varphi_{\mu_\pi}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}[\dim(\mathfrak{h}_\pi])$ [13, Theorem 5.2.21] is the skyscraper sheaf supported on the closed point $0 \in \mathfrak{h}_\pi$.

Proof. To prove the first part of the lemma, it suffices to show that the quadratic form (100) on $\mathfrak{h}_\pi$ is nondegenerate.

We consider the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h}_\pi = \mathfrak{h}'_\pi \oplus \mathfrak{c}, \tag{101}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{h}_\pi$ is the Cartan subalgebra of trace-free diagonal matrices and $\mathfrak{h}'_\pi$ consists of the matrices in $\mathfrak{h}_\pi$ such that the entries of the diagonals vanish. For a matrix $g \in \mathfrak{h}_\pi$ with the decomposition

$$g = g' + c, \quad g' \in \mathfrak{h}'_\pi, \quad c \in \mathfrak{c},$$

a direct calculation yields $\mathrm{trace}(g'c) = 0$. Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{trace}\left(g^2\right) = \mathrm{trace}\left(g'^2\right) + \mathrm{trace}\left(c^2\right).$$

So it suffices to show that the quadratic forms (100) are nondegenerate for both $\mathfrak{h}'_\pi$ and $\mathfrak{c}$.

We notice that the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_\pi$ is the same as that of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. Also, equipped with the quadratic forms (100), $\mathfrak{h}'_\pi$ is a direct summand component of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_r^{\times m}$ via the decomposition (101) for $\mathfrak{sl}_r^{\times m}$. Since both $\mathfrak{h}'_\pi$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ are direct summand components of semisimple Lie algebras where the Killing forms (100) are nondegenerate, we conclude the nondegeneracy of formula (100) for $\mathfrak{h}'_\pi$ and $\mathfrak{c}$, which further implies the nondegeneracy of $\mathfrak{h}_\pi$ through equation (101).

This completes the proof of the first part of the lemma and reduces the second part to the case

$$\mu_\pi : \mathbb{A}^N \to \mathbb{A}^1, \quad (z_1, \ldots, z_N) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N z_i^2.$$

In this case, the Milnor fibre is a sphere [31] whose reduced homology computes the vanishing cycle [13, Proposition 4.2.2]. □

The H_π-invariant function (99) induces the functions

$$\mu_1 : [\mathfrak{h}_\pi / H_\pi] \to \mathbb{A}^1, \quad \mu_2 : \mathfrak{h}_\pi /\!\!/ H_\pi \to \mathbb{A}^1,$$

which form the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{r,p}(\pi) & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_{\pi,p}} & \searrow^{\mu_1} & \\ \mathcal{A}_p(\pi) & \xrightarrow{\mu_2} & \mathbb{A}^1. \end{array} \tag{102}$$

The pullback of diagram (102) along diagram (88) yields the functions

$$\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) \to \mathbb{A}^1, \quad \mu_{\pi,\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \to \mathbb{A}^1, \tag{103}$$

fitting into the commuatative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^D_\pi} & \searrow^{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}}} & \\ \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{A}}} & \mathbb{A}^1. \end{array} \tag{104}$$

Before stating and proving the main theorem (Theorem 4.5) of this section, we note the following standard facts:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $f : V \to \mathbb{A}^1$ be a regular function.*

(a) *Assume that V admits an action of a finite group G which is fibrewise with respect to f. Then the nearby and vanishing cycle functors Φ_f, φ_f are G-equivariant.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

(b) *Assume $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(V)$ and that $g = \lambda \cdot \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F})$ is a scaling automorphism of $\mathcal{F}$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then applying the nearby or vanishing cycle functor to g yields also a scaling endomorphism:*

$$\Phi_f(g) = \lambda \cdot \mathrm{id} : \Phi_f \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Phi_f \mathcal{F}, \quad \varphi_f(g) = \lambda \cdot \mathrm{id} : \varphi_f \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \varphi_f \mathcal{F}.$$

(c) *Assume that $g : W \to V$ is smooth with $f' = f \circ g : W \to \mathbb{A}^1$ the composition; then we have the smooth pullback formula for vanishing cycles:*

$$g^* \circ \varphi_f = \varphi_{f'} \circ g^* : D_c^b(V) \to D_c^b\left(f'^{-1}(0_{\mathbb{A}^1})\right).$$

Parts (a) and (c) follow directly from the definition of these functors [26, (1.1.5)]; part (b) holds more generally for any $\mathbb{C}$-linear exact functor between triangulated categories.

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that the divisor D satisfies Proposition 4.1(a) and (b).*

(a) *The closed embedding (97) can be realised as the critical locus of the function $\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}_{r,L}^D(\pi) \to \mathbb{A}^1$ – that is, we have*

$$\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D-p}(\pi) = \mathrm{Crit}\left(\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{r,L}^D(\pi).$$

(b) *We have a natural isomorphism*

$$\varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}} = \underline{\mathbb{C}}[-r_0]. \tag{105}$$

Here the first and the second $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ stand for the trivial local systems on $\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^D(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D-p}(\pi)$, respectively, and r_0 is the codimension of formula (97).

(c) *For any character $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$, the isomorphism (105) induces a natural isomorphism*

$$\varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{A}}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\pi *}^D \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa = \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\pi *}^{D-p} \underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa [-r_0],$$

where $\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{A}}$ is given in diagram (104) and r_0 is the same as in (b).

Proof. By definition, the function $\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}_{r,L}^D(\pi) \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is the composition

$$\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^D(\pi) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_p} \mathcal{M}_{r,p}(\pi) \xrightarrow{\mu_1} \mathbb{A}^1,$$

where the first morphism is smooth. Hence we have

$$\mathrm{Crit}\left(\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}}\right) = \mathrm{Crit}\left(\mu_1 \circ \mathrm{ev}_p\right) = \mathrm{ev}_p^{-1}\mathrm{Crit}(\mu_1) = \mathrm{ev}_p^{-1}(0_H).$$

Here the last identity follows from Lemma 4.3. This implies (a) by Lemma 4.2.

Now we prove (b). The smooth pullback of vanishing cycles (Lemma 4.4(c)) yields the canonical equivalence of the functors

$$\mathrm{ev}_p^* \circ \varphi_{\mu_1} = \varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}}} \circ \mathrm{ev}_p^*. \tag{106}$$

Since the vanishing cycle complex

$$\varphi_{\mu_1} \underline{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{D}_c^b\left([\mathfrak{h}_\pi / H_\pi]\right) \tag{107}$$

is the H_π-equivariant vanishing cycle complex $\varphi_{\mu_\pi} \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_\pi$, we see from Lemma 4.3 that formula (107) is the shifted skyscraper sheaf supported at 0_H with the trivial H_π-action. Hence, applying equation (106) to the trivial local system $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$, we deduce that the vanishing cycle complex $\varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}$ is canonically

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

isomorphic to $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ with a shift. Since the functor $\varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}}}$ preserves the perverse t-structures, equation (105) is concluded.

For (c), the proper base change of vanishing cycles [13, Proposition 4.2.11] implies the canonical equivalence of the functors

$$\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *} \circ \varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}}} = \varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{A}}} \circ \mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}.$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$\varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{A}}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right) = \mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D-p}}_{\pi\ *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}[-r_0] \tag{108}$$

by applying the push-forward functor $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}$ to equation (105) and the fact that h^D_π coincides with h^{D-p}_π restricting to $\mathcal{M}^{D-p}_{r,L}$. Because the regular function

$$\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi) \to \mathbb{A}^1$$

passes through the Hitchin base $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$, it admits a fibrewise Γ-action. By Lemma 4.4(a), the vanishing cycle functor $\varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{M}}}$ is Γ-equivariant. Hence the isomorphism (105) is compatible with the Γ-equivariant structures on the shifted trivial local systems on $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{D-p}_{r,L}(\pi)$. After pushing forward, we see that equation (108) matches for any κ-isotypic components with respect to the Γ-action. This completes the proof of (c). □

The value of the function $\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is constant along each orbit of the Galois group G_π-action on $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$. Hence $\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{A}}$ induces a regular function on the G_π-quotient of $\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)$,

$$\mu_{\gamma,\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^D_\gamma \to \mathbb{A}^1, \tag{109}$$

where the element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ corresponds to $\pi : C' \to C$. Alternatively, formula (109) is the restriction of the function on the SL_n-Hitchin base

$$\mu_{\pi=\mathrm{id},\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^D \to \mathbb{A}^1$$

to the closed subvariety $i^D_\gamma : \mathcal{A}^D_\gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^D$.

Recall the notation from diagram (29). We have the following corollary of Theorem 4.5:

Corollary 4.6. *Theorem 4.5(c) induces for any character $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ a natural isomorphism*

$$\varphi_{\mu_{\gamma,\mathcal{A}}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^D_{\gamma *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa = \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{D-p}_{\gamma\ *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa [-r_0].$$

Here r_0 is the same as in Theorem 4.5(b) and (c).

Proof. We consider the quotient map $q_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) \to \mathcal{A}^D_\gamma$. By the proper base change [13, Proposition 4.2.11], we have

$$\varphi_{\mu_{\gamma,\mathcal{A}}}\left(q_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right) = q_{\mathcal{A}*}\varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{A}}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right). \tag{110}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.5(c), the identity (110) is compatible with the G_π- and Γ-actions on both sides. By taking the G_π-invariant and the κ-isotypic parts, we obtain

$$\varphi_{\mu_{\gamma,\mathcal{A}}}\left(\left(q_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{G_\pi}\right)_\kappa = \left(q_{\mathcal{A}*}\varphi_{\mu_{\pi,\mathcal{A}}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa\right)^{G_\pi} = \left(q_{\mathcal{A}*}\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D-p}}_{\pi\ *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{G_\pi}_\kappa [-r_0],$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where we use Theorem 4.5(c) in the last identity. Hence Lemma 1.7 implies that

$$\varphi_{\mu_\gamma,\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\gamma *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa = \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{p}}_{\gamma *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa [-r_0].$$

□

Remark 4.7. A different choice of trivialisation (86) affects the functions (103), and therefore the vanishing cycle sheaves, by a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Since all the isomorphisms in our main theorems are constructed only up to scaling, choices of trivialisation (86) do not matter for our purpose.

4.4. Proof of Theorem 3.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.2 by constructing the operator

$$c^D_\kappa : \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\simeq} i^D_{\gamma\,*}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\gamma *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d^D_\gamma\right]. \tag{111}$$

This recovers the G_π-equivariant operator

$$q^*_{\mathcal{A}}\left(c^D_\kappa\right) : q^*_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa\Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{\pi *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa\Big|_{\mathcal{A}^D(\pi)^*}\left[-2d^D_\gamma\right]$$

for Theorem 3.1, as explained in Section 3.1.

We construct the operator (111) in the following three cases:

Case 1. The effective divisor D satisfies the conditions that $\deg(D)$ is even and greater than $2g-2$. This is Theorem 3.3, already proven in Section 3, where the operator comes from Ngô's endoscopic correspondence and the support theorems.

Case 2. The effective divisor D satisfies the conditions that $\deg(D)$ is odd and greater than $2g-2$. In this case, the support theorems still hold, but the isomorphism of Proposition 3.13 is missed due to parity reasons (see Remark 3.14). We apply Theorem 4.5 to reduce this case to case 1 as follows:

Let p be a closed point of C. Then the effective divisor

$$D_p = D + p$$

satisfies the assumption of case 1. Hence we have an isomorphism

$$c^{D_p}_\kappa : \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D_p}}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\simeq} i^{D_p}_{\gamma\,*}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D_p}}_{\gamma *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d^{D_p}_\gamma\right] \in D^b_c\left(\mathcal{A}^{D_p}\right), \tag{112}$$

which is canonical up to scaling, constructed from Ngô's endoscopic correspondence. Applying to formula (112) the vanishing cycle functor $\varphi_{\mu_\mathcal{A}}$ associated with the function

$$\mu_\mathcal{A} := \mu_{\mathrm{id},\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^{D_p} \to \mathbb{A}^1,$$

we obtain

$$\varphi_p\left(c^{D_p}_\kappa\right) := \varphi_{\mu_\mathcal{A}}\left(c^{D_p}_\kappa\right) : \varphi_{\mu_\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D_p}}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\simeq} \varphi_{\mu_\mathcal{A}}\left(i^{D_p}_{\gamma\,*}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D_p}}_{\gamma *}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa\right)\left[-2d^{D_p}_\gamma\right]. \tag{113}$$

Since formula (112) is canonical up to scaling, so is formula (113), by Lemma 4.4(b). In fact, the operator (113) gives the desired operator c^D_κ. To justify this, we calculate both sides of it.For the left-hand side, Theorem 4.5(c) applied to the special case $\pi = \mathrm{id}$ yields

$$\varphi_{\mu_\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D_p}}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa = \left(\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{D}}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa [-r_1], \quad r_1 = \mathrm{codim}_{\mathcal{M}^{D_p}_{n,L}}\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L}\right).$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For the right-hand side, we have by the proper base change ([13, Proposition 4.2.11]) and Corollary 4.6 that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}}\left(i_{\gamma}^{D_p}{}_{*}\left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{D_p}}{}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa}\right) &= i_{\gamma}^{D_p}{}_{*}\varphi_{\mu_{\gamma,\mathcal{A}}}\left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{D_p}}{}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa}\\ &= i_{\gamma}^{D}{}_{*}\left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{D}}{}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa}[-r_2],\end{aligned}$$

where

$$r_2 = \mathrm{codim}_{\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D_p}(\pi)}\left(\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D}(\pi)\right).$$

In conclusion, formula (113) gives an isomorphism

$$\varphi_p\left(c_{\kappa}^{D_p}\right) : \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{*}^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa} \xrightarrow{\simeq} i_{\gamma *}\left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma *}^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa}\left[-2d_{\gamma}^{D_p} + r_1 - r_2\right].$$

By the dimension formulas in [6, Section 6.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned}r_1 - r_2 &= \left(\dim\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{D_p}\right) - \dim\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{D}\right)\right) - \left(\dim\left(\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D_p}(\pi)\right) - \dim\left(\mathcal{M}_{r,L}^{D}(\pi)\right)\right)\\ &= 2d_{\gamma}^{D_p} - 2d_{\gamma}^{D}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $-2d_{\gamma}^{D_p} + r_1 - r_2 = -2d_{\gamma}^{D}$, and the operator

$$c_{\kappa}^{D} = \varphi_p\left(c_{\kappa}^{D_p}\right) \tag{114}$$

induces an isomorphism (111), as desired.

Case 3. We now treat the last case, $D = K_C$. In this case the support theorems fail for the Hitchin fibrations (compare [8]). However, we are able to construct the operator (111) following the same strategy as in case 2.

Let p, q be two closed points on the curve C. Then the divisor

$$K_{p,q} = K_C + p + q$$

satisfies the assumption of case 1, and we have

$$c_{\kappa}^{K_{p,q}} : \left(\mathrm{Rh}_{*}^{\mathrm{K_{p,q}}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa} \xrightarrow{\simeq} i_{\gamma}^{K_{p,q}}{}_{*}\left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{K_{p,q}}}{}_{*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_{\kappa}\left[-2d_{\gamma}^{K_{p,q}}\right].$$

Similar to equation (114), we define

$$c_{\kappa}^{K_C} := \varphi_q\left(\varphi_p\left(c_{\kappa}^{K_{p,q}}\right)\right), \tag{115}$$

which gives the desired isomorphism (111) for $D = K_C$.

We have completed the construction of formula (111), which proves Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. In cases 2 and 3, the construction of the operator c_{κ}^{D} a priori depends on the choice of the closed points p and q. We finish this section by showing in the following proposition that c_{κ}^{D} in case 2 or 3 is in fact independent of the choice of points:

Proposition 4.8. *The operators (114) and (115) do not depend on the choice of $p \in C$ and $p, q \in C$ respectively.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. We prove independence for the operator (114). By varying the point p, we have a family of Hitchin fibrations

$$h^{D_p} : \mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{D_p} \to \mathcal{A}^{D_p}$$

over a base T. The construction of the correspondence (112) works relatively over the base, which gives a family of operators $c_\kappa^{D_p}$. By applying the vanishing cycle functor relatively over T, we obtain a family of operators $\phi_p\left(c_\kappa^{D_p}\right)$ which form a section of the trivial local system

$$\underline{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^D}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$$

on T. Here

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \left(\mathrm{Rh}_*^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_2 = i_{\gamma_*}\left(\mathrm{Rh}_{\gamma_*}^{\mathrm{D}}\underline{\mathbb{C}}\right)_\kappa \left[-2d^D\right]$$

are independent of the point p. Hence $\phi_p\left(c_\kappa^{D_p}\right)$ is constant over T.

An identical argument works for formula (115), which we omit. □

Remark 4.9. Applying the vanishing cycle functors as in cases 2 and 3, we obtain that Proposition 2.11 also holds for $D = K_C$.

5. The P=W conjecture and the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture

Throughout this section, we assume that the curve C has genus $g \geq 2$. We assume that D is an effective divisor of degree $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$ or $D = K_C$. For a cyclic Galois cover $\pi : C' \to C$, we denote by D' the divisor $\pi^* D$ on C'.

We discuss some applications of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

5.1. Perverse filtrations

We briefly recall the definition of perverse filtrations [11, 7].

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper morphism, with X a nonsingular algebraic variety. The perverse t-structure on the constructible derived category $D_c^b(Y)$ induces an increasing filtration on the cohomology $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$,

$$P_0H^*(X,\mathbb{C}) \subset P_1H^*(X,\mathbb{C}) \subset \cdots \subset P_kH^*(X,\mathbb{C}) \subset \cdots \subset H^*(X,\mathbb{C}), \tag{116}$$

called the *perverse filtration* associated with f.

The perverse filtration (116) can be described via the decomposition theorem [3]. In fact, applying the decomposition theorem to the map $f : X \to Y$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Rf}_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}[\dim(X) - l] \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2l} \mathcal{P}_i[-i] \in D_c^b(Y),$$

with $\mathcal{P}_i$ a perverse sheaf on Y and l the defect of semismallness:

$$l = \dim\left(X \times_Y X\right) - \dim(X).$$

The kth piece of the perverse filtration is

$$P_kH^j(X,\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Im}\left\{H^{j-(\dim(X)-l)}\left(Y, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k} \mathcal{P}_i[-i]\right) \to H^j(X,\mathbb{Q})\right\}.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

5.2. The P=W conjecture

Perverse filtrations appear naturally in studying the topology of Hitchin fibrations. For notational convenience, we let

$$h : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{A}$$

be the Hitchin fibration with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{n,L}$ or $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,d}$.[5] We denote by $\mathcal{M}^B$ the Betti moduli space associated with $\mathcal{M}$. There is a diffeomorphism $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}^B$ induced by nonabelian Hodge theory [36, 37, 23] which identifies the cohomology

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{C}) = H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^B, \mathbb{C}\right). \tag{117}$$

A central question concerning the cohomological aspect of nonabelian Hodge theory is *the P=W conjecture* formulated by de Cataldo, Hausel and Migliorini [7], connecting the perverse filtration associated with the Hitchin fibration h to the weight filtration

$$W_0 H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^B, \mathbb{C}\right) \subset W_1 H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^B, \mathbb{C}\right) \subset \cdots \subset W_k H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^B, \mathbb{C}\right) \subset \cdots \subset H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{B}}, \mathbb{C}\right)$$

associated with the mixed Hodge structure on $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{B}}$.

Conjecture 5.1 (P=W [7]). *Under the nonabelian Hodge correspondence (117), we have*

$$P_k H^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{C}) = W_{2k} H^i\left(\mathcal{M}^B, \mathbb{C}\right).$$

For the GL_n case, the P=W conjecture was proven for $n = 2$ in [7], and recently for $g = 2$ [9]. Furthermore, [9] reduces the full P=W conjecture to the multiplicativity of the perverse filtration (see [9, Introduction] for the precise statement). In either situation, the way to attack the P=W conjecture is to analyse the location of the *tautological classes* in both the perverse and the weight filtrations.

The case of SL_n is more complicated, due to the lack of tautological classes accessing the Γ-variant cohomology. When n is a prime number, the shapes of the perverse and weight filtrations on the Γ-variant parts are of simpler forms, and therefore the P=W conjecture has been verified for the Γ-variant cohomology via direct calculations; see [7] for $n = 2$ and [10] for any prime number n.

When n is not a prime number, numerical evidence from the Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture suggests that the P=W conjecture for SL_n should rely on the P=W conjecture for a sequence of moduli spaces of stable GL_*-Higgs bundles on different curves with different ranks. In particular, we expect that the P=W conjecture for SL_n can be eventually reduced to the P=W conjecture for GL_r.

As a first step in this direction, we will introduce the operator (125) connecting $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}, \mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa$ and the cohomology of the moduli space of stable GL_r-Higgs bundles on another curve C', where r and C' are determined by $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$. Then we prove Theorem 5.4 on the compatibility of the perverse filtrations.

5.3. The stable cohomology

Let $\pi : C' \to C$ be a cyclic Galois cover of degree m. Let $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^d(C)$ be a fixed line bundle with $\gcd(n, d) = 1$. We allow D to be any effective divisor with $\deg(D) > 2g - 2$ or $D = K_C$.

We recall the moduli spaces $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C')$ and $\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi)$ as well as their respective Hitchin fibrations (10) and (16). The group scheme

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^D_{1,0}(C) = \mathrm{Pic}^0(C) \times H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D))$$

[5] Here the divisor D is chosen as the canonical divisor K_C.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

acts on the moduli space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C')$ inducing

$$\widetilde{q} : \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C) \times \mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'), \quad ((\mathcal{E}_1, \theta_1), (\mathcal{E}_r, \theta_r)) \mapsto (\pi^*\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_r, \pi^*\theta_1 + \theta_r). \tag{118}$$

Here $\theta_1 \in H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D))$ and its pullback give a section $\pi^*\theta_1 \in H^0(C', \mathcal{O}_{C'}(D'))$. The finite group Γ acts on the left-hand side of formula (118) diagonally:

$$\mathcal{L} \cdot ((\mathcal{E}_1, \theta_1), (\mathcal{E}_r, \theta_r)) = \left(\left(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}, \theta_1\right), (\mathcal{E}_r \otimes \mathcal{L}, \theta_r)\right), \quad \mathcal{L} \in \Gamma.$$

The morphism (118) factors through this Γ-quotient, and its fibres are given by Γ-orbits. For dimension reasons, the right-hand side of formula (118) coincides with the Γ-quotient of the left-hand side. We have the following canonical isomorphisms of the cohomology:

$$\begin{aligned} H^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'), \mathbb{C}\right) &\xrightarrow{\simeq} H^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C) \times \mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma} \\ &= \left(H^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C), \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)\right)^{\Gamma} \\ &= H^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C), \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma}, \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

where the first isomorphism is induced by the Γ-quotient map $\widetilde{q}^*$, the second identity is the Künneth decomposition and the last identity follows from the triviality of the Γ-action on $H^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C), \mathbb{C}\right)$.

For any Hitchin-type moduli space $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{n,d}$, $\mathcal{M}^{D}_{n,L}$ or $\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi)$, we consider the perverse filtrations on the cohomology defined via the corresponding Hitchin fibration (10), (12) or (16). The following proposition provides a description of the perverse filtration on the stable part of $H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)$:

Proposition 5.2. *The quotient map (118) induces a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'), \mathbb{C}\right) = H^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C), \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}} \tag{120}$$

satisfying

$$P_k H^*\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'), \mathbb{C}\right) = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} H^i\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C), \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes P_j H^*\left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}. \tag{121}$$

Here the stable part $(-)_{\mathrm{st}}$ *denotes the* Γ*-invariant part of the cohomology.*

Proof. The first isomorphism is induced by formula (119). It suffices to show the compatibility (121) of the perverse filtrations.

We notice that the quotient map (118) is compatible with the Hitchin fibrations, and we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C) \times \mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{q}} & \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C') \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{h}^D(C) \times h^D_\pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{h}^{D'}} \\ H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D)) \times \mathcal{A}^D(\pi) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{D'}(C'), \end{array}$$

where the bottom arrow is a canonical identification. The pullback morphism $\widetilde{q}^*$ for the cohomology is induced sheaf-theoretically by the canonical morphism

$$\underline{\mathbb{C}} \to \widetilde{q}_* \underline{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{122}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

where the first and the second $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$, respectively, denote the trivial local systems on the target and the source of $\widetilde{q}$. By applying the perverse truncation functor to the push-forward of formula (122) along $\widetilde{h}^{D'}$, we find that the first map of formula (119) satisfies

$$P_k H^* \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'), \mathbb{C}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} P_k H^* \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C) \times \mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)^{\Gamma}. \tag{123}$$

We conclude equation (121) from formula (123), the Künneth decomposition and the fact that the perverse filtration on

$$H^* \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C), \mathbb{C}\right) = H^* \left(\mathrm{Pic}^0(C), \mathbb{C}\right)$$

coincides with the cohomological filtration $H^{*\leq k}$. □

As a consequence of Proposition 5.2, we obtain a canonical operator given by the projection

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{G}} : H^i \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'), \mathbb{C}\right) \to H^i \left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}, \qquad \forall i \geq 0, \tag{124}$$

sending a class in $H^i \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'), \mathbb{C}\right)$ to its projection to the direct summand component

$$H^0 \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C), \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes H^i \left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}} = H^i \left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}$$

with respect to the decomposition (120). This identity is induced by the fundamental class $1 \in H^0 \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C), \mathbb{C}\right)$.

Corollary 5.3. *The operator (124) respects the perverse filtrations:*

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{G}} \left(P_k H^i \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'), \mathbb{C}\right)\right) = P_k H^i \left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}}.$$

Proof. Since the fundamental class $1 \in H^0 \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C), \mathbb{C}\right)$ lies in $P_0 H^0 \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D}_{1,0}(C), \mathbb{C}\right)$, the corollary follows from equation (121). □

5.4. Operators

Let $\pi : C' \to C$ be the cyclic Galois cover given by $\gamma \in \Gamma$, which corresponds to $\kappa \in \hat{\Gamma}$ via equation (3). We define the operator

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\kappa} : H^{i-2d^D_\gamma} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'), \mathbb{C}\right) \twoheadrightarrow H^i \left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{n,L}, \mathbb{C}\right)_{\kappa} \tag{125}$$

as the following composition:

$$\begin{aligned} H^* \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'), \mathbb{C}\right) &\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{p}_1} H^* \left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)_{\mathrm{st}} = H^* \left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)_{\kappa} \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} H^* \left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{r,L}(\pi), \mathbb{C}\right)^{G_\pi}_{\kappa} = H^* \left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{\gamma}, \mathbb{C}\right)_{\kappa} \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{Thm. 3.2}} H^{*+2d^D_\gamma} \left(\mathcal{M}^{D}_{n,L}, \mathbb{C}\right)_{\kappa}. \end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

Here the first morphism is formula (124), the second isomorphism is given by Proposition 2.10, the third morphism is the projection to the G_π-invariant part, the fourth isomorphism is given by Lemma 1.7 and the last isomorphism follows from Theorem 3.2. Hence we obtain that formula (125) is surjective and canonically defined up to scaling.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Theorem 5.4. *We have*

$$\mathfrak{p}_\kappa\left(P_k H^i\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'),\mathbb{C}\right)\right) = P_{k+d^D_\gamma} H^{i+2d^D_\gamma}\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa.$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.3, the morphism $\mathfrak{p}_1$ preserves the perverse filtrations. All the other morphisms in formula (126) except the last one are deduced from sheaf-theoretic morphisms which clearly preserve the perverse filtrations. Hence we have

$$P_k H^i\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{D'}_{r,d}(C'),\mathbb{C}\right) \twoheadrightarrow P_k H^i\left(\mathcal{M}^D_\gamma,\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa.$$

The last morphism of formula (126) is given by the sheaf-theoretic isomorphism (65). Taking account of the shift, we have

$$P_k H^i\left(\mathcal{M}^D_\gamma,\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\simeq} P_{k+d^D_\gamma} H^{i+2d^D_\gamma}\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{r,L}(\pi),\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa.$$

□

Now we consider the special case $D = K_C$. Passing through the isomorphisms (117) induced by the nonabelian Hodge theory, we obtain an operator for the corresponding Betti moduli spaces

$$\mathfrak{p}^B_\kappa : H^{i-2d_\gamma}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^B_{r,d}(C'),\mathbb{C}\right) \twoheadrightarrow H^i\left(\mathcal{M}^B_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa.$$

Here $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^B_{r,d}(C')$ is the Betti moduli space associated with the curve C', the group GL_r and the degree d, and $\mathcal{M}^B_{n,L}$ stands for the Betti moduli space associated with the curve C, the group SL_n and the line bundle L. We refer to [23] for more details on these moduli spaces.

Question 5.5. *Is it true that*

$$\mathfrak{p}^B_\kappa\left(W_{2k}H^i\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^B_{r,d}(C'),\mathbb{C}\right)\right) = W_{2k+2d_\gamma}H^{i+2d_\gamma}\left(\mathcal{M}^B_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa?$$

If Question 5.5 has an affirmative answer, then Theorem 5.4 implies that if the P=W conjecture holds for GL_r for any r dividing n, then the P=W conjecture holds for SL_n. However, the construction of the operator $\mathfrak{p}_\kappa$ relies heavily on the topology of Hitchin fibrations, which is mysterious on the Betti side. A better understanding of the operator $\mathfrak{p}_\kappa$ may be needed.

5.5. The Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture

We explain in this final section that Theorem 3.2 implies Theorem 0.5. Here for Higgs bundles, we again work with any effective divisor D with $\deg(D) > 2g-2$ or $D = K_C$.

Proof of Theorem 0.5. We first note that for two line bundles L_1 and L_2 with $L_1 = L_2 \otimes N^{\otimes n}$, there is a natural identification of the moduli spaces

$$\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L_1} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{M}^D_{n,L_2}, \quad (\mathcal{E},\theta) \mapsto (\mathcal{E}\otimes N,\theta), \tag{127}$$

compatible with the Hitchin fibrations. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left[P_k H^i\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa\right] &= \left[P_k H^i\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L'^{\otimes de}},\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa\right]\\ &= \left[P_{k-d^D_\gamma} H^{i-2d^D_\gamma}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L'^{\otimes de}}\right)_\gamma,\mathbb{C}\right)_\kappa\right]\\ &= \left[P_{k-d^D_\gamma} H^{i-2d^D_\gamma}\left(\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L'}\right)_\gamma,\mathbb{C}\right)_{de\kappa}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

in the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathrm{Vect})$ of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. Here the first identity is induced by formula (127), since $\deg(L) = \deg\left(L'^{\otimes de}\right) \mod n$; the second identity follows from formula (65); and the third identity is given by Remark 4.9 and Proposition 2.11.

This proves the Betti-number version of the refined Hausel–Thaddeus conjecture (7). To get the enhanced version concerning Hodge structures, we follow [12, Section 2.1] to work with the category of mixed Hodge modules [35], which refines the category of perverse sheaves. Identical arguments show that Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 actually hold in the derived category of mixed Hodge modules, which gives the enhanced version of equation (128) in $K_0(\mathrm{HS})$. This completes the proof of equation (7).

Finally, we note that equation (7) implies equation (8). This follows from taking the summation over all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and the natural identification of the fixed loci

$$\left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L'}\right)_\gamma = \left(\mathcal{M}^D_{n,L'}\right)_{q\gamma}$$

for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime to n. □

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Mark Andrea de Cataldo, Michael Gröchenig and Zhiwei Yun for helpful discussions. We also thank the anonymous referee for careful reading and numerous useful suggestions. The first author would like to thank Chris and Martin Kratt for providing logistical support. The second author was supported by NSF DMS-2000726 and DMS-2134315.

Conflict of Interest: None.

References

[1] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, 'The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces', *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A* **308**(1505) (1983), 523–615.
[2] A. Beauville, 'Sur la cohomologie de certains espaces de modules de fibrés vectoriels', in *Geometry and Analysis (Bombay, 1992)* (Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1995), 37–40.
[3] A. A. Beĭlinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, 'Faisceaux pervers', in *Analysis and Topology on Singular Spaces, I (Luminy, 1981)*, *Astérisque* vol. **100** (Société mathématique de France, Paris, 1982), 5–171.
[4] I. Biswas and S. Ramanan, 'An infinitesimal study of the moduli of Hitchin pairs', *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **49** (1994), 219–231.
[5] P.-H. Chaudouard and G. Laumon, 'Un théorème du support pour la fibration de Hitchin', *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* **66**(2) (2016), 711–727.
[6] M. A. de Cataldo, 'A support theorem for the Hitchin fibration: The case of SL_n', *Compos. Math.* **153** (2017), 1316–1347.
[7] M. A. de Cataldo, T. Hausel and L. Migliorini, 'Topology of Hitchin systems and Hodge theory of character varieties: The case A_1', *Ann. of Math. (2)* **175**(3) (2012), 1329–1407.
[8] M. A. de Cataldo, J. Heinloth and L. Migliorini, 'A support theorem for the Hitchin fibration: The case of GL_n and K_C', Preprint, 2019, arXiv:1906.09582.
[9] M. A. de Cataldo, D. Maulik and J. Shen, 'Hitchin fibrations, abelian surfaces, and the $P = W$ conjecture', Preprint, 2019, arXiv:1909.11885.
[10] M. A. de Cataldo, D. Maulik and J. Shen, 'On the P=W conjecture for SL_n', Preprint, 2020, arXiv:2002.03336.
[11] M. A. de Cataldo and L. Migliorini, 'The Hodge theory of algebraic maps', *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **38**(5) (2005), 693–750.
[12] M. A. de Cataldo, A. Rapagnetta and G. Saccà, 'The Hodge numbers of O'Grady 10 via Ngô strings', Preprint, 2019, arXiv:1905.03217.
[13] A. Dimca, *Sheaves in Topology* (Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 2004).
[14] R. Earl and F. Kirwan, 'Complete sets of relations in the cohomology rings of moduli spaces of holomorphic bundles and parabolic bundles over a Riemann surface', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **89**(3) (2004), 570–622.
[15] E. Franco, P. B. Gothen, A. Oliveira and A. Peón-Netao, 'Unramified covers and branes on the Hitchin system', *Adv. Math.* **377** (2021), 22.
[16] P. B. Gothen and A. D. King, 'Homological algebra of twisted quiver bundles', *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **71** (2005), 85–99.
[17] M. Groechenig, D. Wyss and P. Ziegler, 'Mirror symmetry for moduli spaces of Higgs bundles via p-adic integration', *Invent. Math.* **221** (2020), 505–596.
[18] M. Groechenig, D. Wyss and P. Ziegler, 'Geometric stabilisation via p-adic integration', *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **33**(3) (2020), 807–873.
[19] G. Harder and M. S. Narasimhan, 'On the cohomology groups of moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves', *Math. Ann.* **212** (1974/75), 215–248.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[20] T. Hausel, 'Global topology of the Hitchin system', in *Handbook of Moduli, Vol. II*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics vol. **25** (International Press, Somerville, MA, 2013), 29–69.
[21] T. Hausel and C. Pauly, 'Prym varieties of spectral covers', *Geom. Topol.* **16**(3) (2012), 1609–1638.
[22] T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus, 'Mirror symmetry, Langlands duality, and the Hitchin system', *Invent. Math.* **153**(1) (2003), 197–229.
[23] T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus, 'Relations in the cohomology ring of the moduli space of rank 2 Higgs bundles', *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**(2) (2003), 303–327.
[24] N. J. Hitchin, 'The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **55**(1) (1987), 59–126.
[25] N. J. Hitchin, 'Stable bundles and integrable systems', *Duke Math. J.* **54** (1987), 91–114.
[26] L. Illusie, 'Vanishing cycles over general bases, after P. Deligne, O. Gabber, G. Laumon and F. Orgogozo', Preprint, 2006, URL: http://www.math.u-psud.fr/illusie/vanishing1b.pdf.
[27] F. C. Kirwan, 'The cohomology rings of moduli spaces of bundles over Riemann surfaces', *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1992), 853–906.
[28] G. Laumon and B. C. Ngô, 'Le lemme fondamental pour les groupes unitaires', *Ann. of Math. (2)* **168** (2008), 477–573.
[29] F. Loeser and D. Wyss, 'Motivic integration on the Hitchin fibration', Preprint, 2019, arXiv:1912.11638v2.
[30] E. Markman, 'Generators of the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves on symplectic surfaces', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **NNN**(544) (2002), 61–82.
[31] J. W. Milnor, Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces, *Annals of Mathematics Studies* vol **61** (Publisher, Location, 1968).
[32] B. C. Ngô, 'Fibration de Hitchin et endoscopie', *Invent. Math.* **164**(2) (2006), 399–453.
[33] B. C. Ngô, 'Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de Lie', *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **111** (2010), 1–169.
[34] N. Nitsure, 'Moduli space of semistable pairs on a curve', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **62**(2) (1991), 275–300.
[35] M. Saito, 'Mixed Hodge modules', *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **26**(2) (1990), 221–333.
[36] C. T. Simpson, 'Higgs bundles and local systems', *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **75** (1992), 5–95.
[37] C. T. Simpson, 'Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of smooth projective varieties II', *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **80** (1994), 5–79.
[38] Z. Yun, 'Global Springer theory', *Adv. Math.* **228** (2011), 266–328.
[39] Z. Yun, 'Towards a global springer theory III: Endoscopy and Langlands duality', Preprint, 2009, arXiv:0904.3372.

https://doi.org/10.1017/fmp.2021.7 Published online by Cambridge University Press