

**RESEARCH ARTICLE**

## No evidence for pericardial restraint in the snapping turtle (*Chelydra serpentina*) following pharmacologically induced bradycardia at rest or during exercise

Brandt Smith,<sup>1</sup>  Dane A. Crossley 2nd,<sup>1</sup> Tobias Wang,<sup>2</sup> and  William Joyce<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas and <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology—Zoophysiology, Aarhus University, Aarhus C, Denmark**Abstract**

Most animals elevate cardiac output during exercise through a rise in heart rate ( $f_H$ ), whereas stroke volume ( $V_S$ ) remains relatively unchanged. Cardiac pacing reveals that elevating  $f_H$  alone does not alter cardiac output, which is instead largely regulated by the peripheral vasculature. In terms of myocardial oxygen demand, an increase in  $f_H$  is more costly than that which would incur if  $V_S$  instead were to increase. We hypothesized that  $f_H$  must increase because any substantial rise in  $V_S$  would be constrained by the pericardium. To investigate this hypothesis, we explored the effects of pharmacologically induced bradycardia, with ivabradine treatment, on  $V_S$  at rest and during exercise in the common snapping turtle (*Chelydra serpentina*) with intact or opened pericardium. We first showed that, in isolated myocardial preparations, ivabradine exerted a pronounced positive inotropic effect on atrial tissue but only minor effects on ventricle. Ivabradine reduced  $f_H$  in vivo, such that exercise tachycardia was attenuated. Pulmonary and systemic  $V_S$  rose in response to ivabradine. The rise in pulmonary  $V_S$  largely compensated for the bradycardia at rest, leaving total pulmonary flow unchanged by ivabradine, although ivabradine reduced pulmonary blood flow during swimming (exercise  $\times$  ivabradine interaction,  $P < 0.05$ ). Although systemic  $V_S$  increased, systemic blood flow was reduced by ivabradine both at rest and during exercise, despite ivabradine's potential to increase cardiac contractility. Opening the pericardium had no effect on  $f_H$ ,  $V_S$ , or blood flows before or after ivabradine, indicating that the pericardium does not constrain  $V_S$  in turtles, even during pharmacologically induced bradycardia.

*activity; cardiovascular; ectotherm; reptile; Testudines***INTRODUCTION**

Regulating cardiac output (i.e., systemic blood flow;  $\dot{Q}_{\text{sys}}$ ), the product of heart rate ( $f_H$ ) and stroke volume ( $V_S$ ), is essential to sustain aerobic metabolism when oxygen consumption increases. During exercise, vertebrates typi-

oxygen demand, a rise in  $f_H$  is energetically less efficient than to increase  $V_S$  (17–21), as could be attained via the Frank-Starling mechanism. However, exercise tachycardia may be necessary to increase  $\dot{Q}_{\text{sys}}$  if  $V_S$  were to reach its maximum under an unchanged  $f_H$ . In dogs with atrio-ventricular block, permitting the precise control of  $f_H$