



# Multidecadal declines in particulate mercury and sediment export from Russian rivers in the pan-Arctic basin

Scott Zolkos<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Alexander V. Zhulidov<sup>c</sup>, Tatiana Yu. Gurtovaya<sup>c</sup>, Vyacheslav V. Gordeev<sup>d</sup>, Sergey Berdnikov<sup>e</sup>, Nadezhda Pavlova<sup>f</sup>, Evgenia A. Kalko<sup>c</sup>, Yana A. Kuklina<sup>c</sup>, Danil A. Zhulidov<sup>c</sup>, Lyudmila S. Kosmenko<sup>g</sup>, Alexander I. Shiklomanov<sup>h</sup>, Anya Suslova<sup>b</sup>, Benjamin M. Geyman<sup>a</sup>, Colin P. Thackray<sup>a</sup>, Elsie M. Sunderland<sup>a,i</sup>, Suzanne E. Tank<sup>j</sup>, James W. McClelland<sup>k</sup>, Robert G. M. Spencer<sup>l</sup>, David P. Krabbenhoft<sup>m</sup>, Richard Roberts<sup>n</sup>, and Robert M. Holmes<sup>b</sup>

Edited by Andrea Rinaldo, Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland; received November 3, 2021; accepted February 16, 2022

High levels of methylmercury accumulation in marine biota are a concern throughout the Arctic, where coastal ocean ecosystems received large riverine inputs of mercury (Hg) (40 Mg·y<sup>-1</sup>) and sediment (20 Tg·y<sup>-1</sup>) during the last decade, primarily from major Russian rivers. Hg concentrations in fish harvested from these rivers have declined since the late 20th century, but no temporal data on riverine Hg, which is often strongly associated with suspended sediments, were previously available. Here, we investigate temporal trends in Russian river particulate Hg (PHg) and total suspended solids (TSS) to better understand recent changes in the Arctic Hg cycle and its potential future trajectories. We used 1,300 measurements of Hg in TSS together with discharge observations made by Russian hydrochemistry and hydrology monitoring programs to examine changes in PHg and TSS concentrations and fluxes in eight major Russian rivers between ca. 1975 and 2010. Due to decreases in both PHg concentrations (micrograms per gram) and TSS loads, annual PHg export declined from 47 to 7 Mg·y<sup>-1</sup> overall and up to 92% for individual rivers. Modeling of atmospheric Hg deposition together with published inventories on reservoir establishment and industrial Hg release point to decreased pollution and sedimentation within reservoirs as predominant drivers of declining PHg export. We estimate that Russian rivers were the primary source of Hg to the Arctic Ocean in the mid to late 20th century.

mercury | sediment | Arctic | riverine export | long-term

High levels of methylmercury accumulation in marine biota are a concern throughout the Arctic (1), where coastal ocean ecosystems receive large riverine inputs of mercury (Hg) and sediment. Recent estimates indicate that riverine export of Hg to the Arctic Ocean (36 to 44 Mg·y<sup>-1</sup>) (2–4) is comparable to inputs from coastal erosion (15 to 47 Mg·y<sup>-1</sup>) and nearly half that of atmospheric inputs from direct deposition and via sea ice and snow meltwater (45 to 98 Mg·y<sup>-1</sup>) (5, 6). Particulate Hg (PHg) accounts for roughly half of the Hg export in northern rivers (3), in part because of the strong binding affinity between Hg and organic matter in suspended solids (7). River discharge and total suspended solids (TSS) are thus strong predictors of Hg export to the coastal Arctic Ocean (4), where much of the riverine Hg is thought to be sequestered in marginal sediments or reduced and evaded to the atmosphere (8). Superimposed on these controls on Hg cycling, climate warming and intensifying hydrologic cycles are strengthening land–freshwater linkages at northern high latitudes (9). These effects are reflected by increases in riverine export of weathering ions and dissolved nutrients across the circumpolar north in recent decades (10–12) and regional intensification of Hg release into fluvial networks (13, 14). Yet, Hg concentrations in fish harvested from major Russian rivers, which account for 70% of riverine Hg inputs to the coastal Arctic Ocean (4), have declined since the late 20th century (15, 16). No published temporal data exist to evaluate trends in Russian river Hg against other hydrochemical constituents which have exhibited striking multidecadal increases.

Amid recent and ongoing environmental change, long-term trends in riverine Hg are likely to be controlled by factors that influence sediment and pollution loading to watersheds. First, natural and/or anthropogenic changes in river discharge (e.g., reservoirs) may alter fluvial energy and thus erosion of sediment Hg from river banks and beds (17). Dams for energy and water resources are widespread across Russia (18), and associated reservoirs are likely to reduce net sediment export by increasing sedimentation (19). Additionally, industrial activities are historically prominent local and regional sources of pollution across Russia and in 2010 released an estimated 10 Mg of Hg via effluent directly into freshwaters (17). Polluting activities in major Russian watersheds include Chlor-alkali–based sodium hydroxide production to bleach paper products

## Significance

Russian rivers are the predominant source of riverine mercury to the Arctic Ocean, where methylmercury biomagnifies to high levels in food webs. Pollution controls are thought to have decreased late-20th-century mercury loading to Arctic watersheds, but there are no published long-term observations on mercury in Russian rivers. Here, we present a unique hydrochemistry dataset to determine trends in Russian river particulate mercury concentrations and fluxes in recent decades. Using hydrologic and mercury deposition modeling together with multivariate time series analysis, we determine that 70 to 90% declines in particulate mercury fluxes were driven by pollution reductions and sedimentation in reservoirs. Results suggest that Russian rivers likely dominated over all other sources of mercury to the Arctic Ocean until recently.

Author contributions: A.V.Z., T.Y.G., V.V.G., and S.B. designed research; S.Z., A.V.Z., T.Y.G., V.V.G., S.B., N.P., E.A.K., Y.A.K., D.A.Z., and L.S.K. performed research; S.Z., A.I.S., A.S., B.M.G., and C.P.T. analyzed data; and S.Z., A.V.Z., T.Y.G., V.V.G., S.B., N.P., A.I.S., A.S., B.M.G., C.P.T., E.M.S., S.E.T., J.W.M., R.G.M.S., D.P.K., R.R., and R.M.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by PNAS. This article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 \(CC BY-NC-ND\)](#).

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: [sgzolkos@gmail.com](mailto:sgzolkos@gmail.com).

This article contains supporting information online at <http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2119857119/-DCSupplemental>.

Published March 28, 2022.

(20), coal- and gas-fired heat and power generation (21), gold mining (22), and municipal waste processing (23). From the late 1980s to late 1990s, economic output in Russia declined by 44% as the former Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) collapsed (24). This decreased industrial activity may have reduced Hg emissions to the atmosphere and curbed direct releases into aquatic ecosystems. Finally, the onset of Hg emission controls in the mid-20th century (25) reduced atmospheric Hg deposition in the northern hemisphere (26). Atmospheric Hg deposited to soils may be mobilized into fluvial networks via leaching and runoff over decades to centuries (27). The scarcity of riverine Hg data available from watersheds in the Russian sector of the pan-Arctic basin hinders a more concrete understanding of controls on northern high-latitude Hg cycling in recent decades.

Here we present multidecadal observations on TSS and PHg concentrations for eight major Russian rivers which discharge into seas bordering the Arctic Ocean: the Onega, Northern Dvina, Mezen, Pechora, Ob', Yenisey, Lena, and Kolyma (Fig. 1). Using 1,300 paired measurements of TSS and PHg concentration and daily river discharge made from the 1970s to the late 2010s as part of a long-term Russian biological monitoring program, we investigate spatiotemporal trends in TSS and Hg concentration and export with the aim of better understanding recent changes in the Arctic Hg cycle. We then assess potential controls on changes in riverine Hg using observations on TSS, inventories of reservoir establishment (18), records of

industrial Hg release (17), climate reanalysis data (28), and estimates of historical atmospheric Hg deposition simulated using a global atmospheric chemical transport model for Hg species (GEOS-Chem) (29). Our findings help to conceptualize future trajectories of Hg cycling at northern high latitudes, where rapid environmental change is expected to accelerate Hg release into ecosystems (13, 14, 30).

## Results and Discussion

**Multidecadal Declines in TSS and PHg Export.** Our multidecadal hydrochemical observations, together with flux estimates using weighted regressions of concentrations on time, discharge, and season (WRTDS) software (31, 32), reveal large declines in TSS and PHg fluxes in major Russian rivers in the pan-Arctic basin between the 1970s and 2010s (Table 1). Our trend analyses leverage WRTDS flow normalization, which controls for the influence of variability in discharge to better understand potential effects from disturbance (e.g., pollution and reservoirs) on constituent concentration and flux. Trend significance results for flow-normalized concentration and flux from WRTDS are equivalent to two-sided *P* values and we report them following ref. 32: highly likely ( $\geq 0.95$  and  $\leq 1.0$ ), very likely ( $\geq 0.90$  and  $< 0.95$ ), likely ( $\geq 0.66$  and  $< 0.90$ ), about as likely as not ( $> 0.33$  and  $< 0.66$ ), and unlikely ( $\leq 0.33$ ). Declines in flow-normalized TSS flux were highly likely in the Ob' ( $19.2 \text{ Tg} \cdot \text{y}^{-1}$ ), Lena ( $17.4$ ), Yenisey ( $5.6$ ), Pechora ( $4.3$ ),



**Fig. 1.** The eight rivers examined in this study span the longitudinal breadth of Russia. Their watersheds encompass nearly 10,000,000 km<sup>2</sup> and contain varied histories of industrial pollution and reservoir establishment associated with dams. The Yukon and Mackenzie Rivers are shown here and referenced in the main text but were not sampled as part of this study.

**Table 1. Absolute (total) and relative (percent) changes in mean annual flow-normalized concentrations and total annual flow-normalized fluxes of TSS and PHg, discharge, peak discharge magnitude, mean annual air temperature, and total annual precipitation**

Parameter	River	<i>n</i>	Start ( <i>t</i> <sub>1</sub> )	End ( <i>t</i> <sub>2</sub> )	Value at <i>t</i> <sub>1</sub>	Value at <i>t</i> <sub>2</sub>	Change (total)	Change, %	Trend
TSS concentration, mg·L <sup>-1</sup>	Onega	149	1980	1992	12.8 (11.2–14.4)	16.1 (12.4–22.2)	3.3	25.8	L
	Northern Dvina	1,062	1979	2001	21.0 (15.5–23.8)	16.6 (13.3–19.4)	–4.5	–21.0	L
	Mezen	378	1980	2018	16.8 (13.1–22.4)	8.2 (7.0–10.5)	–8.7	–51.2	HL
	Pechora	415	1983	2018	22.7 (16.3–34.4)	6.8 (5.1–8.8)	–15.9	–70.0	HL
	Ob'	319	1974	2008	53.8 (48.4–58.7)	22.2 (17.1–25.6)	–31.8	–58.7	HL
	Yenisey	122	1980	2011	9.4 (7.2–10.5)	5.5 (2.4–7.9)	–3.9	–41.5	HL
	Lena	58	2004	2018	40.8 (18.0–99.9)	13.9 (0.4–18.6)	–26.4	–65.9	L
	Kolyma	55	1982	1987	19.8 (15.2–25.9)	39.7 (33.0–53.7)	19.8	100.5	HL
	Onega	155	1980	1992	0.44 (0.41–0.48)	0.33 (0.28–0.36)	–0.11	–25.0	HL
	Northern Dvina	304	1980	2001	0.97 (0.89–1.11)	0.41 (0.37–0.49)	–0.57	–57.7	HL
PHg concentration, µg·g <sup>-1</sup>	Mezen	223	1980	2002	0.67 (0.61–0.72)	0.30 (0.26–0.34)	–0.37	–55.2	HL
	Pechora	182	1983	2002	0.50 (0.47–0.55)	0.27 (0.23–0.31)	–0.23	–46.0	HL
	Ob'	222	1979	2008	0.59 (0.50–0.75)	0.21 (0.18–0.28)	–0.39	–64.4	HL
	Yenisey	146	1980	2011	2.0 (1.9–2.2)	0.57 (0.37–0.66)	–1.46	–71.8	HL
	Lena	40	2004	2011	—	—	—	—	—
	Kolyma	63	1982	1987	0.80 (0.68–0.90)	1.09 (0.97–1.27)	0.29	36.3	VL
	Onega	149	1980	1992	0.26 (0.20–0.31)	0.48 (0.29–0.93)	0.21	84.6	L
	Northern Dvina	1,062	1979	2001	4.0 (2.5–4.8)	3.1 (2.1–3.8)	–0.85	–22.5	L
	Mezen	378	1980	2018	0.62 (0.44–0.99)	0.29 (0.20–0.40)	–0.34	–53.2	HL
	Pechora	415	1983	2018	5.3 (3.3–8.5)	1.0 (0.7–1.2)	–4.3	–81.1	HL
TSS flux, Tg·y <sup>-1</sup>	Ob'	319	1974	2008	31.3 (28.3–34.7)	12.7 (10.2–15.1)	–19.2	–59.4	HL
	Yenisey	122	1980	2011	9.9 (7.0–11.8)	4.3 (1.7–5.1)	–5.6	–56.9	HL
	Lena	58	2004	2018	31.0 (15.6–37.6)	13.5 (2.3–17.9)	–17.4	–56.5	HL
	Kolyma	55	1982	1987	5.6 (3.9–7.0)	12.2 (9.4–16.8)	6.6	117.9	HL
	Onega	126	1980	1992	0.10 (0.08–0.11)	0.12 (0.06–0.19)	0.02	20.0	N
	Northern Dvina	296	1980	2001	4.5 (2.7–5.7)	1.2 (0.9–2.0)	–3.3	–73.3	HL
	Mezen	183	1980	2002	0.37 (0.24–0.58)	0.05 (0.02–0.11)	–0.32	–86.5	HL
	Pechora	152	1983	2002	2.3 (1.4–4.0)	0.45 (0.19–0.73)	–1.9	–80.4	HL
	Ob'	222	1979	2008	9.7 (6.7–16.3)	3.1 (1.8–6.3)	–6.5	–68.0	HL
	Yenisey	122	1980	2011	30.0 (20.0–38.8)	2.3 (0.5–3.8)	–27.7	–92.3	HL
PHg flux, Mg·y <sup>-1</sup>	Lena	37	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Kolyma	43	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Onega	—	1980	1992	14.8	16.4	1.6	10.6	0.45
	Northern Dvina	—	1979	2001	99.4	107.2	7.8	7.9	0.65
	Mezen	—	1980	2018	19.1	20.5	1.4	7.2	0.92
	Pechora	—	1983	2018	132.4	102.4	–29.9	–22.6	0.02
	Ob'	—	1974	2008	390.2	408.2	18.1	4.6	0.55
	Yenisey	—	1980	2011	567.8	653.6	85.7	15.1	< 0.001
	Lena	—	2004	2018	639.3	629.7	–9.6	–1.5	0.44
	Kolyma	—	1982	1987	85.7	118.2	32.5	37.9	0.46
Discharge, km <sup>3</sup> ·y <sup>-1</sup>	Onega	—	1980	1992	3.7	4.1	0.4	11.5	0.24
	Northern Dvina	—	1979	2001	28.1	32.8	4.8	17.0	0.18
	Mezen	—	1980	2018	7.0	6.9	–0.06	–0.9	0.74
	Pechora	—	1983	2018	38.5	30.7	–7.8	–20.2	0.32
	Ob'	—	1974	2008	57.4	62.0	4.6	7.9	0.27
	Yenisey	—	1980	2011	168.5	166.0	–2.5	–1.5	0.89
	Lena	—	2004	2018	145.0	157.6	12.6	8.7	0.66
	Kolyma	—	1982	1987	8.8	36.2	27.4	313.2	0.81
	Onega	12	1980	1992	1.0	2.1	1.1	112.1	0.84
	NorthDvina	21	1980	2001	0.5	1.1	0.6	133.3	0.88
Peak discharge magnitude, km <sup>3</sup>	Mezen	22	1980	2002	–1.3	–1.4	–0.2	–13.4	0.34
	Pechora	19	1983	2002	–6.5	–7.7	–1.2	–18.3	0.94
	Ob	29	1979	2008	–0.7	1.6	2.3	333.3	0.05
	Yenisey	31	1980	2011	–5.7	–3.3	2.4	42.9	0.28
	Lena	7	2004	2011	–9.3	–7.1	2.2	23.2	1.00
	Kolyma	5	1982	1987	–12.3	–13.3	–1.0	–8.2	0.81
	Onega	12	1980	1992	567	700	133	23.5	0.95
	NorthDvina	21	1980	2001	696	674	–22	–3.2	0.38
	Mezen	22	1980	2002	567	664	97	17.1	0.26
	Pechora	19	1983	2002	897	893	–4	–0.4	0.44
Mean annual air temperature, °C	Ob	29	1979	2008	680	572	–108	–15.9	0.81
	Yenisey	31	1980	2011	591	566	–25	–4.2	0.56
	Lena	7	2004	2011	503	471	–32	–6.4	0.23
	Kolyma	5	1982	1987	185	159	–26	–14.1	0.46
	Onega	12	1980	1992	567	700	133	23.5	0.95
	NorthDvina	21	1980	2001	696	674	–22	–3.2	0.38
	Mezen	22	1980	2002	567	664	97	17.1	0.26
	Pechora	19	1983	2002	897	893	–4	–0.4	0.44
	Ob	29	1979	2008	680	572	–108	–15.9	0.81
	Yenisey	31	1980	2011	591	566	–25	–4.2	0.56
Total annual precipitation, mm	Lena	7	2004	2011	503	471	–32	–6.4	0.23
	Kolyma	5	1982	1987	185	159	–26	–14.1	0.46
	Onega	12	1980	1992	567	700	133	23.5	0.95
	NorthDvina	21	1980	2001	696	674	–22	–3.2	0.38
	Mezen	22	1980	2002	567	664	97	17.1	0.26
	Pechora	19	1983	2002	897	893	–4	–0.4	0.44
	Ob	29	1979	2008	680	572	–108	–15.9	0.81
	Yenisey	31	1980	2011	591	566	–25	–4.2	0.56
	Lena	7	2004	2011	503	471	–32	–6.4	0.23
	Kolyma	5	1982	1987	185	159	–26	–14.1	0.46

Trends in TSS and PHg were evaluated using WRTDS and bootstrapped 90% confidence intervals are shown in parentheses. WRTDS modeling requires *n* > 50 and was not done for the Lena (PHg concentration and flux) or Kolyma (PHg flux). Trend significance for WRTDS results are equivalent to two-sided *P* values and are reported as highly likely (HL ≥ 0.95 and ≤ 0.0), very likely (VL ≥ 0.90 and < 0.95), likely (L ≥ 0.66 and < 0.90), about as likely as not (*n* ≥ 0.33 and < 0.66), or unlikely (≤ 0.33). Trends in discharge and watershed climate were evaluated using the Mann–Kendall trend test (significant *P* ≤ 0.05). Decreases are preceded by minus signs.

and Mezen (0.3) and likely in the Northern Dvina (0.9). For these rivers, relative change in flow-normalized TSS flux ranged from  $-23\%$  (Northern Dvina) to  $-81\%$  (Pechora), whereas increased flow-normalized TSS flux was likely in the Onega and highly likely in the Kolyma. Declines in flow-normalized PHg flux were very or highly likely for the Yenisey ( $27.7 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ), Ob' ( $6.5$ ), Northern Dvina ( $3.3$ ), Pechora ( $1.9$ ), and Mezen ( $0.3$ ). For these five rivers, relative declines ranged from  $68\%$  (Ob') to  $92\%$  (Yenisey), while flow-normalized PHg flux in the Onega likely did not change and flux could not be estimated for the Lena or Kolyma due to a more limited number of observations in these two rivers (Table 1 and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S1).

Underlying these trends in total annual fluxes, mean annual flow-normalized concentrations of TSS (milligrams per liter) and PHg (micrograms per gram) declined on average by  $51\%$  ([TSS]) and  $53\%$  ([PHg]) in all rivers except for the Onega (only PHg declined) and Kolyma (Fig. 2). Measurements of [PHg] from this study (mean =  $0.7 \text{ }\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ , range =  $0.1$  to  $5.3$ ,  $n = 1,484$ ) overlapped with observations for global rivers ( $0.4$  [ $0.01$  to  $3.7$ ],  $n = 168$ ) (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2). All declines in flow-normalized [PHg] and most for flow-normalized [TSS] were highly likely (Table 1). Except for a  $15\%$  increase in annual discharge in the Yenisey and a  $23\%$  decrease in the Pechora, declines in flow-normalized [TSS] and [PHg] were not accompanied by significant changes in discharge or peak discharge magnitude in any other rivers, the latter representing a potential driver of fluvial erosion (33). Results derived for the Yenisey and Pechora using generalized flow normalization, which accounts for long-term change in discharge (see *Materials and Methods*), indicate that declines in flow-normalized TSS and PHg fluxes were mostly ( $91$  to  $93\%$  and  $91$  to  $96\%$ , respectively) due to changes in concentration. Hence, declines in TSS and PHg flux in recent decades appear to be primarily linked to diminished inputs of sediment and Hg to these rivers and/or increased sedimentation within fluvial networks (see below).


These trends extend previous assessments of mid- to late-20th-century sediment fluxes in major Russian rivers, which showed decreased TSS flux in the Yenisey and variability in the Lena and Ob' (34–36). Our observation that flow-normalized TSS flux in the Kolyma increased within only 6 y from 1982 to 1987 may reflect interannual variability due to a shorter sampling duration but agrees with long-term observations (ca. 1940 to 1988) of increased TSS flux (34, 35). Although our estimates of PHg flux extend to ca. 2010, independent measurements of total Hg (PHg + dissolved Hg) and TSS flux made from 2012 to 2017 in the Ob', Yenisey, and Lena (3, 4) align with trajectories of declining flow-normalized PHg flux that we document in preceding decades (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1). Our measurements bridge observations between the mid-20th century and 2010s, revealing large and previously undocumented declines in TSS and PHg concentration and flux that are indicative of striking changes in Arctic fluvial sediment regimes and Hg cycling during recent decades.

**Spatial Variability in PHg and TSS Export and Drivers.** Normalizing constituent fluxes by watershed area (i.e., yield) facilitates assessment of controls on constituent export from underlying terrain differences (e.g., surficial geology), hydrology, and anthropogenic impacts across diverse landscapes (37). We investigate TSS and PHg yields as a first step in characterizing potential drivers of declining Hg export among watersheds (continued in the next section). Fluxes we used to calculate yields were estimated by WRTDS Kalman filtering, which

accounts for serial autocorrelation and provides non-flow-normalized flux estimates that better capture temporal variation and discharge-driven patterns of constituent export (38). Mean annual TSS yields ranged from  $0.7$  to  $17.2 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{km}^{-2}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  and varied significantly among watersheds (ANOVA:  $F_{1,7} = 135$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Higher TSS yields in the Kolyma ( $17.2 \pm 4.4 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{km}^{-2}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ , mean  $\pm$  SE) and Lena ( $9.1 \pm 0.8$ ) than in the western watersheds ( $6.0 \pm 1.4$ ) have been attributed to relatively erodible Quaternary sediments bearing interstratified layers of ice in eastern Siberia (39). In the western Russian watersheds, sedimentation within expansive downstream lowlands is thought to sequester substrate derived from erosion in mountainous upper reaches, reducing TSS export to the coastal ocean (39). These trends highlight a broad longitudinal gradient in topography and surficial geology underlying regional variation in TSS yields across the Russian north. Superimposed on this gradient, large reservoirs constructed on the Ob', Yenisey, and their tributaries (Fig. 1) in the mid-20th century reduced TSS export in the decades following by trapping large amounts of sediment (34), consistent with global reductions in riverine sediment export due to reservoir establishment (19). Our observations of large reductions in late-20th-century TSS flux (Table 1) extend these earlier trends and implicate reservoirs as a primary sediment sink in Russian fluvial networks during the mid to late 20th century.

Mean annual PHg yields varied significantly among watersheds ( $F_{1,5} = 56$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and overall were relatively low ( $0.4$  to  $6.4 \text{ g}\cdot\text{km}^{-2}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ), which is typical of watersheds at northern high latitudes (2), where a cold climate tempers fluvial erosion for much of the year. In comparison to TSS, PHg yields were highest in the Yenisey and Northern Dvina ( $5.1 \pm 0.7$  to  $6.4 \pm 0.7$ ), lowest in the Mezen ( $0.4 \pm 0.1$ ), and intermediate in the other rivers ( $2.1 \pm 0.2$  to  $4.5 \pm 0.7$ ) (there are no data for Lena and Kolyma due to shorter periods of record). While regional variability in TSS yields appeared to be driven by longitudinal variability in terrain conditions and the distribution of reservoirs, relatively high PHg yields in the Yenisey and Northern Dvina correspond with records of historical Hg contamination from regional industry. For instance, major pulp and paper mills along the lower Northern Dvina accumulated large amounts of Hg waste adjacent to their facilities (20) from manufacturing of bleaching agents for paper production. Chlor-alkali production in headwaters of the Yenisey released more than  $1,200 \text{ Mg}$  of Hg into the environment during operation from 1973 to 1998 (40) and soil Hg concentrations in contaminated regions reach  $125 \text{ }\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$  (41). In addition to likely proximate sources of Hg to the rivers in this study, rivers integrate biogeochemical effects of anthropogenic disturbance from across their watersheds. In 2010, an estimated  $14 \text{ Mg}$  of Hg was released to Russian freshwaters from anthropogenic sources (effluent released directly and indirectly via soil erosion and leaching from contaminated sites) and  $7 \text{ Mg}$  from background sources (soil erosion and runoff of natural and atmospherically deposited Hg) (17). From a mass budget perspective, these recent inventories together with our hydrochemical observations estimate comparable magnitudes of Hg input to aquatic environments from anthropogenic sources ( $14 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  in 2010) and transported within Russian rivers ( $\sim 19 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  ca. 2000s; Table 1). The spatial patterns and overall large declines in PHg export we document surround a large decrease in industrial activity from the late 1980s to 1990s as the Soviet Union collapsed (24), which may have partly reduced Hg loading to rivers via declines in industrial effluent and emissions to the atmosphere.






**Fig. 2.** (A–H) Mean annual measured concentrations ( $\pm$  SE) of PHg (primary y axes) and TSS (secondary y axes) by river, shown as points superimposed on flow-normalized concentrations (smoothed line) from WRTDS (see *Materials and Methods*). Shaded regions are the 90% confidence interval around flow-normalized concentrations, derived using a WRTDS bootstrap approach for estimating uncertainty. Uncertainty could not be estimated for years with insufficient records of daily discharge (Onega and Northern Dvina) or low sample size (PHg, Lena).

**Atmospheric Hg Deposition to Russian Watersheds.** The onset of Hg emission controls during the mid-20th century and associated declines in deposition from primary anthropogenic emissions (42) are thought to have reduced Hg loading to Russian ecosystems and also riverine Hg export (16). To test this hypothesis, we modeled decadal trends in deposition of primary anthropogenic Hg emissions from regional (i.e., Europe and USSR) and global sources to the eight focal watersheds, using a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem v.12.7) (29) and published inventories of Hg emission magnitudes (25, 43, 44). Global primary anthropogenic Hg emissions declined from a peak of  $3,020 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  in 1970 to a minimum of  $1,960 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  in 1990, before rising to  $2,390 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  in 2015. Within Europe and the former Soviet Union, primary anthropogenic emissions declined by  $1,070 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  (from 1,230 to 160) between 1970 and 2015. During this time, mean annual Hg deposition from regional emissions to all focal watersheds declined by  $85 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ . The decline in Hg deposition from global emissions, which includes regional sources, was smaller ( $79 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ), largely due to increased emissions from eastern Asia. Declining primary anthropogenic emissions in recent decades are consistent with the trends we observed in Hg deposition to the focal watersheds (Fig. 3 and *SI Appendix, Table S1*).

Hg uptake within terrestrial ecosystems and eventual export of Hg from soils to freshwaters can occur over decades to centuries (27). This export lag may be accelerated in northern watersheds if winter and springtime Hg deposition is preserved

on the surface and flushed into fluvial networks via the fresher snowmelt pulse. Thus, comparing deposition and riverine Hg mass fluxes among watersheds facilitates assessment of potential contributions from changing emissions to fluvial export. In the four smaller western watersheds and also in the Ob', declines in atmospheric Hg deposition ( $-15$  to  $-17 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ) exceeded changes in riverine PHg flux ( $-12 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ) (Table 1). Normalized by watershed area, average peak deposition in the eastern watersheds ( $22 \text{ g}\cdot\text{km}^{-2}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ; Ob', Yenisey, Lena, Kolyma) was smaller than in the four western watersheds ( $46 \text{ g}\cdot\text{km}^{-2}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ), where a larger proportion of Hg deposition originated from proximate regional urban and industrial centers rather than from global sources (Fig. 3). Together, these trends in mass fluxes and areal deposition indicate relatively greater effects from declines in regional primary anthropogenic Hg emissions on riverine Hg export in the smaller western watersheds. In the Ob' and Yenisey, large decreases in flow-normalized PHg flux relative to deposition implicate reservoirs and reductions in local industrial pollution as primary drivers of declines in riverine PHg export. Together, our findings indicate that multidecadal declines in riverine PHg export across Russia were driven by decreases in [PHg] associated with varied environmental and anthropogenic factors.

**Potential Drivers of Trends in PHg Concentration.** Dynamic regression models (DRMs) we developed for each river (see *Materials and Methods* for multivariate time series analysis)



**Fig. 3.** (A–H) Total deposition of Hg [as dry Hg(0) + wet and dry Hg(II)] to the eight focal watersheds from 1960 to 2015, from global sources (solid line) and regional sources (Europe [EU] and Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR]; dashed line). Deposition was calculated using regional Hg emissions totals from the literature (43) as inputs to a global three-dimensional model of atmospheric chemistry (GEOS-Chem) (29). Gray shaded regions span the years for which flow-normalized PHg concentration was estimated using WRTDS.

provide evidence for potential drivers underlying the near-universal declines in flow-normalized [PHg]. Covariate coefficients indicated that changes in atmospheric Hg deposition from regional sources were most strongly associated with [PHg] in the four smaller western watersheds (Onega, Northern Dvina, Mezen, and Pechora) (Table 2). For these watersheds, deposition lagged at 10 to 15 y may reflect effects on aquatic Hg loading from both rapid (subdecadal) responses in direct deposition to surface waters and also delayed responses via runoff (45) and also capture the period from ~1970 to 1990 of relatively rapid declines in deposition from regional sources which contributed more to Hg deposition (Fig. 3 and “yields” results). Global Hg deposition lagged at 5 y was most strongly associated with [PHg] trends in the Ob’ watershed, where deposition to surface waters in vast wetlands (46) may accelerate the response of aquatic Hg cycling to changes in declining atmospheric deposition. Climate covariates were included in the most

parsimonious models for most of the five western rivers, despite showing negligible change over the study period (Table 1) and generally appearing less-associated with flow-normalized [PHg] than atmospheric Hg deposition and flow-normalized [TSS]. The association between flow-normalized [TSS] and [PHg], an indicator of sediment–Hg coupling, appeared to vary among rivers and was strongest in the Yenisey, where the top two DRMs included terms for flow-normalized [TSS] and lagged cumulative reservoir capacity, respectively. In the most parsimonious DRM, omission of reservoir capacity suggests the covariate for [TSS] captured reservoirs’ effects on sediment concentrations across the Yenisey watershed (Fig. 1). Although the short periods of record precluded assessment of [PHg] trends and drivers in the Lena and Kolyma, accelerating permafrost thaw is likely to emerge as a key driver of fluvial PHg export in these watersheds (47).

Other processes known to influence riverine PHg, such as local and regional industrial pollution, were not quantified well

**Table 2. Results from DRMs used to evaluate potential drivers underlying trends in flow-normalized PHg concentration**

River	BIC	Covariate	Coefficient	SE
Onega	−122.8	Regional Hg dep (15-y lag)	0.009	0.002
		[TSS]	0.007	0.003
		TAP	−0.001	0.002
		Intercept	−0.011	0.001
		AR1	−0.393	0.304
		σ	0.001	
Northern Dvina	−144.6	Regional Hg dep (15-y lag)	0.020	0.008
		[TSS]	−0.013	0.003
		MAAT	0.001	0.001
		AR1	0.985	0.020
		σ	0.006	
Mezen	−197.4	Regional Hg dep (10-y lag)	−0.042	0.019
		TAP	0.009	0.002
		[TSS]	−0.006	0.003
		AR1	0.865	0.106
		σ	0.002	
Pechora	−171.4	Regional Hg dep (10-y lag)	0.008	0.006
		[TSS]	0.008	0.002
		AR1	−0.008	0.245
		σ	0.002	
Ob'	−234.1	Global Hg dep (5-y lag)	0.003	0.003
		TAP	−0.002	0.006
		[TSS]	0.002	0.002
		AR1	0.960	0.035
		σ	0.003	
Yenisey	−157.4	[TSS]	0.047	0.016
		MAAT	0.003	0.002
		Intercept	−0.041	0.005
		AR1	0.466	0.197
		σ	0.015	

For each river, a suite of preliminary models contained all possible combinations of covariates: atmospheric Hg deposition (dep) (global vs. local with 0-, 5-, 10-, and 15-y lags), the flow-normalized concentration of total suspended solids ([TSS]), watershed total annual precipitation (TAP), watershed mean annual air temperature (MAAT), and (for the Ob' and Yenisey only) cumulative reservoir capacity (20- and 25-y lags). The most parsimonious model was selected as the model with the lowest Bayesian information criterion (BIC) score that did not violate model assumptions. AR1 (regression residuals) and σ (model residuals, calculated as  $\sqrt{\sigma^2}$ ) are components of the ARIMA error. The ARIMA structure was (1,2,0) for the Mezen and Pechora, and (1,1,0) for all other rivers (see Materials and Methods).

enough on a watershed basis to include as covariates in our models, but their effects are implicated by historical records and reflected by DRM residuals. Nevertheless, our modeling results suggest that reductions in atmospheric Hg deposition and likely also industrial polluting activities within watersheds drove declines in [PHg] which, together with sediment trapping by reservoirs (especially in the Yenisey), contributed to the large declines in Russian river Hg export in recent decades.

**Implications for Northern High-Latitude Hg Cycling.** Trajectories of declining PHg export together with estimates of flux magnitude enable a first assessment of historical riverine PHg fluxes. Summing the initial timepoint values from WRTDS results (Table 1) shows that riverine flow-normalized PHg fluxes from the Russian rivers (excluding the Lena and Kolyma) were  $\sim 47 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  around 1980. This value is 7 to 27% higher

than estimates of 37 to 44  $\text{Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  for contemporary (2012 to 2017) riverine total Hg flux upscaled to the entire pan-Arctic watershed (3, 4). Total Hg fluxes in the late 20th century were likely greater still, due to fluxes of dissolved Hg for which we are unable to account. Contemporary fluxes of dissolved Hg ( $<2.2 \mu\text{m}$  passing fraction) in these Russian rivers are considered significant relative to PHg ( $49\% \pm 2\%$ ) (3), suggesting that—under a potential scenario of limited historical changes in the proportion of the Hg pool in the dissolved versus particulate phase—total Hg flux by Russian rivers approached 100  $\text{Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  some four decades ago. Major sources of Hg to the Arctic Ocean and contemporary estimates of their inputs include ocean currents (21 to 48  $\text{Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ), coastal erosion (15 to 47  $\text{Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ), and atmospheric deposition and snowmelt (45 to 98  $\text{Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ ) (5, 6, 48). The latter may have declined since the onset of Hg controls in the 1970s (25). Therefore, we estimate that Russian rivers were potentially the single largest source of Hg to the Arctic Ocean in the mid-20th century. Further, contemporary total annual Hg flux from the Yukon and Mackenzie Rivers is  $\sim 10 \text{ Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  (4) and has potentially increased in recent decades (15). Thus, changes to Arctic fluvial Hg cycling during the last half century were driven by diminishing terrestrial Hg inputs within Russian rivers in the pan-Arctic basin.

Future permafrost thaw is expected to intensify Hg cycling (13, 30). Across the circumpolar north, diverse terrain conditions (e.g., relief, ground ice, and Hg content) are likely to drive regional variability in the effects of permafrost thaw on fluvial export and biogeochemical transformation of Hg (49). Particularly in watersheds with limited flow regulation and large stores of soil Hg, permafrost degradation and increased sediment transport associated with melting of regional glaciers (7, 50) are likely to emerge as prominent sources of fluvial Hg as the Arctic warms (30). In North America, the most severe trajectories of climate warming and associated permafrost thaw project a doubling of fluvial Hg flux to nearly 10  $\text{Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  in the Yukon River Basin by 2100 (30). In Canada, where large areas are susceptible to hillslope thermokarst activity (51), fluvial propagation of thawed substrate may redistribute Hg across watershed scales for centuries to millennia (14, 52). Permafrost degradation in western Siberia is predicted to double fluvial PHg flux in the coming decades (13). The degree to which these effects may counteract our observations of decreased riverine TSS and Hg export will likely be determined by anthropogenic activities (e.g., industrial activity and dam construction) that modify sediment transport and Hg loading to watersheds across the north.

In this study, we found significant declines in sediment and Hg concentration and export in major Russian rivers that were driven by pollution reductions and reservoir establishment, resolving a decades-long period of uncertainty in the magnitude and drivers of Hg cycling across a vast portion of the Arctic. As anthropogenic polluting activities continue to change and permafrost thaw intensifies land–freshwater linkages (13, 14, 30), hydrochemical and biological monitoring programs building on continuous, long-term data collection will be imperative to capture changes in Hg cycling at northern high latitudes (53). Future research should also prioritize 1) investigating the fate of PHg within northern reservoirs, lakes, and coastal regions, where large accumulations of Hg in sediments (54) may influence methylmercury dynamics and accumulation within food webs; 2) modeling of permafrost region Hg cycling (30) which incorporates potential effects from regional human activities on Hg mass budgets; and 3) tracing sources of fluvial Hg, to

discriminate between permafrost and nonpermafrost Hg sources and to elucidate Hg cycling (55).

## Materials and Methods

**Sampling and Analysis.** River water samples for TSS and PHg concentration were collected approximately monthly and sampling duration varied across all rivers from 5 to 36 y (*SI Appendix, Fig. S3* and *Table S2*). River water was collected at midchannel and at the left and right banks from near the surface (0- to 0.5-m depth) and from midchannel only in November, December, February, March, and April during frozen conditions. Samples were collected using a metal-free sampler, stored in precleaned 2- to 5-L borosilicate glass bottles (Teflon-coated bottles in the year 1980) following clean sampling techniques, sealed, and kept chilled until analysis. Laboratory methods for processing of TSS and PHg samples followed clean techniques from previous studies (15, 16, 56–59) and was consistent throughout the duration of this study. Within 2 h of collection, up to 2 L of water was filtered using acid-cleaned polycarbonate Nuclepore filters (0.45  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Filters were dried at 105 °C and weighed to obtain TSS (detection limit = 3  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ) (60). For PHg, additional sediment was filtered, digested, reduced, and quantified using cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (PerkinElmer Coleman Mas-50 Mercury Analyzer or Spectrophotometer VARIAN AA-475) (61). Certified reference materials for total Hg were obtained from the National Research Council of Canada, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, and the International Atomic Energy Agency, Monaco (certified reference material range: 0.061 to 4.64  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ ). PHg (nanograms per liter) used in flux estimates was calculated as the product of PHg (micrograms per gram) and TSS (milligrams per liter). Additional details on quality assurance and control, along with watershed characteristics related to reservoirs and industrial pollution, are available in *SI Appendix, Supplementary Text*.

**River Discharge and Watershed Climate.** Observations of daily discharge were obtained from ref. 62. We calculated annual peak discharge magnitude (33) as the sum of daily discharge in the 95th percentile for each year, to assess annual trends in fluvial energy, implications for erosion and sediment transport, and potential effects from anthropogenic activity (e.g., river flow regulation) in the context of changing northern hydrology (9). We tested temporal trends in annual discharge, peak discharge magnitude, watershed mean annual air temperature, and watershed total annual precipitation using the nonparametric Mann-Kendall and trend prewhitening to account for serial correlation (63) using the *zyp* package (64) in R software v.3.6.2 (65). (See *Multivariate Time Series Analysis* for information on climate data sources.) Additional details on discharge measurements are available in *SI Appendix, Supplementary Text*.

**Hg and Sediment Flux Modeling.** Estimates of annual TSS and PHg concentrations and fluxes were made using the United States Geological Survey weighted regressions on time, discharge, and season (WRTDS) modeling approach (31) in the R package Exploration and Graphics for RivEr Trends (EGRET) v.3.0 (66). A major benefit of WRTDS for modeling long-term trends in hydrochemical constituent concentrations and fluxes is that it allows for flexibility in the relationship between discharge and constituent concentration, resulting in reduced bias and improved accuracy of flux estimates (31). WRTDS outputs include flow-normalized concentration and fluxes, while an extension (WRTDS\_K) provides Kalman filter (i.e., non-flow-normalized) flux estimates (38). We show mean annual measured concentrations (Fig. 2) and total annual Kalman fluxes (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1*) to illustrate interannual variation in TSS and PHg, and we use Kalman fluxes to estimate constituent yields (flux/watershed area), which facilitates assessment of controls on constituent export from underlying terrain differences (e.g., surficial geology), hydrology, and anthropogenic impacts across diverse landscapes (37). Watershed areas upstream of each discharge monitoring station were obtained from (67) and the ArcticRIMS Project (<https://rims.unh.edu>). A bootstrap method was used to estimate uncertainty in flow-normalized concentrations and fluxes and to test for statistical significance and strength of trends (32). Trends in river discharge were also tested over the PHg concentration interval and, for rivers exhibiting a significant change in discharge (Pechora and Yenisey only), flow-normalized concentrations, fluxes, and their bootstrap uncertainties were derived using a generalized flow normalization procedure detailed for v.3.0 of EGRET (66) (<http://usgs-r.github.io/EGRET/>

[index.html](#)). This procedure also determines the change in flow-normalized concentration and flux that is attributable to discharge versus concentration. Total absolute and relative (percent) changes in TSS and PHg concentrations and fluxes were given as outputs from WRTDS. No issues in model performance were detected during visual inspection of model fit and bias in residuals. Prior to TSS and PHg modeling, corrections for spatiotemporal offsets between chemistry sampling and discharge monitoring stations for the Northern Dvina, Mezen, Pechora, Yenisey, Lena, and Kolyma Rivers were applied following ref. 67.

**Atmospheric Hg Deposition 1960 to 2015.** Simulations of atmospheric Hg deposition trends from local (Europe and USSR) and global sources to the eight focal watersheds were conducted using the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model for Hg (version 12.7; <https://geos-chem.seas.harvard.edu/>) (29). The model calculates the transport, chemistry, and deposition of atmospheric Hg species and has been extensively evaluated against all available observations (29). Atmospheric transport is driven by assimilated meteorological data from the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office's MERRA-2 reanalysis product (68). All simulations were conducted at the  $2^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$  horizontal resolution, with 47 vertical levels. The model is forced by primary anthropogenic emissions for the period 1960 to 2015 based on published inventories (25, 43, 44). These inventories account for the role of emission control technologies in changing the speciation of power plant emissions, allowing us to better estimate effects on regional deposition which is, to a large degree, determined by Hg(II) emissions. Emissions from terrestrial ecosystems, ocean evasion, and geogenic emissions were held constant at the modern value of 5,260  $\text{Mg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$  in the GEOS-Chem benchmark budget. We used magnitudes of atmospheric Hg deposition together with inventories on industrial Hg release to assess potential contributions from pollution sources to riverine Hg export. Additional details on reconstructing historical deposition trends are available in *SI Appendix, Supplementary Text*.

**Multivariate Time Series Analysis.** To assess potential drivers underlying trends in PHg concentration in each river, we developed DRMs using the *fable* package (69) in R. We accounted for time series autocorrelation in each model by including an autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) error structure, which contains the components ( $p, d, q$ ). Components were determined using a Durbin-Watson test (for  $p$ , the autoregressive order) and a Kwiatkowski-Phillips-Schmidt-Shin test (for  $d$ , the degree of first-order differencing to achieve stationarity);  $q$ , the moving average component, is often used for modeling data with intra-annual (e.g., seasonal) trends (70) and was thus set to 0 for our time series of annual data. ARIMA error structures were either (1,1,0) or (1,2,0). We included predictor covariates for changes in atmospheric Hg deposition, suspended sediments, reservoirs, and climate. Potential delayed effects on PHg concentration from changes in Hg deposition (27) and reservoir establishment (19) were modeled by including lagged values of atmospheric Hg deposition (lags of 5, 10, and 15 y) and cumulative reservoir capacity (20 and 25 y). Lag spans were limited by data availability and reservoir effects were assessed only for the Ob' and Yenisey, because datasets were not available to evaluate long-term (>10 y) trends in PHg for the Lena and Kolyma. Mean annual air temperature and total annual precipitation were derived from ERA5 monthly reanalysis data ( $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ ) (28) available from 1979 to 2015 using Google Earth Engine (71). Reservoir locations, volumes, and dates of establishment were obtained from the Global Reservoir and Dam Database (18) and the literature (*SI Appendix, Table S3*). The preliminary suite of DRMs included all possible combinations of predictors, with one term each for deposition and reservoirs to differentiate between contemporary and lagged effects. The model with the lowest Bayesian information criterion was selected as the most parsimonious. A Ljung-Box test and plots of model residual autocorrelation and distribution were used to confirm that residuals were indistinguishable from white noise (i.e., uncorrelated, homoscedastic, and mean of zero), and models violating these conditions were not considered.

**Additional Statistics.** Mean annual yields of PHg and TSS among rivers were compared using a Welch's heteroscedastic *F* test from the R package *onewaytests* (72). Bartlett's test was used to first confirm that variances were not homogenous.

**Data Availability.** Data are deposited online and publicly accessible via the Arctic Data Center (<https://arcticdata.io/catalog/view/doi%3A10.18739%2FA2>



DZ0331M) (73). Scripts for processing this data are publicly available at <https://github.com/szolikos/Russian-river-Hg>. All other data are available in the main text and/or in *SI Appendix*.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We are grateful to all colleagues who have been involved in this work over the years, and especially to the late professors Yu. N. Kurazhskovsky, V. V. Kowalsky, D. A. Krivolutsky, M. S. Ghilyarov, A. D. Pokarzhevskij, A. P. Lisitsyn, A. M. Nikanorov, I. A. Shiklomanov, Yu. A. Izrael, and V. A. Kimstach, as well as Drs. N. I. Bazilevich and G. S. Kononov and Mr. V. V. Khlobystov. We thank Dr. Robert Hirsch for sharing insights on WRTDS and EGRET and Greg Fiske for assistance with Google Earth Engine. This work was completed in part under the Agreement between the Government of Canada and the Government of the Russian Federation Concerning Environmental Cooperation and the Russian Federation Environmental Management Project (North-Caucasus water management and protection sub-component under the World Bank loan to the Government of the Russian Federation). We acknowledge support from the NSF for the Pan-Arctic River Transport of Nutrients, Organic Matter, and Suspended Sediments (PARTNERS) Project (2003–2006, Grant 0229302), Student-PARTNERS Project (2006–2007, Grant 0519840), Arctic Great Rivers Observatory (2009–2018/2019, Grants 0732522, 1107774, 1602615, 1602680, 1603149, 1602879, and 1913888). The work was also supported by Russian Science Foundation Grant 19-17-00234: Biogeochemical accumulation and migration of organic compounds, heavy metals and radionuclides in the components of ecosystems of the Arctic seas, and by the state assignment research of Southern Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences Project No. 01201363188. The work was also partly supported by the Russian Science Foundation within the framework of Projects 18-05-60165 “Trends in long-term changes in the chemical composition of water and environmental risk in the river ecosystems of the Russian Arctic under the influence of climate change and economic activity within watersheds (Arctic)” and 18-45-140065 “Assessment of

groundwater contribution to the Lena River streamflow using tritium and hydrological modelling.” Financial support for this work was also provided by the Association of Canadian Community Colleges; the Partnerships for Tomorrow Programme, the Kajima Foundation, Japan; and the South Russia Centre for Preparation and Implementation of International Projects Limited (CPPI-S Ltd.), Rostov-on-Don, Russia. The atmospheric deposition modeling for this paper was performed on the Harvard University Faculty of Arts and Sciences (FAS) Research Computing Cannon cluster supported by the FAS Division of Science Research Computing Group. This publication contains modified Copernicus Climate Change Service information (2021) and neither the European Commission nor ECMWF is responsible for any use that may be made of the Copernicus information or data it contains. We thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful feedback, which greatly improved the manuscript.

Author affiliations: <sup>a</sup>John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138; <sup>b</sup>Woodwell Climate Research Center, Falmouth, MA 02540; <sup>c</sup>South Russia Centre for Preparation and Implementation of International Projects, Rostov-on-Don 344090, Russia; <sup>d</sup>Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 117997, Russia; <sup>e</sup>Southern Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Rostov-on-Don 344000, Russia; <sup>f</sup>Melnikov Permafrost Institute, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Sakha Republic 677010, Russia; <sup>g</sup>Hydrochemical Institute of the Federal Service for Hydrometeorology and Environmental Monitoring, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment of the Russian Federation, Rostov-on-Don 344090, Russia; <sup>h</sup>Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824; <sup>i</sup>Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA 02115; <sup>j</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2E9, Canada; <sup>k</sup>Marine Science Institute, University of Texas at Austin, Port Aransas, TX 78373; <sup>l</sup>Department of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306; <sup>m</sup>Upper Midwest Water Science Center, Mercury Research Laboratory, United States Geological Survey, Middleton, WI 53562; and <sup>n</sup>World Water and Climate Foundation, Courtenay, BC V9N 0E2, Canada

1. R. Dietz *et al.*, What are the toxicological effects of mercury in Arctic biota? *Sci. Total Environ.* **443**, 775–790 (2013).
2. M. Liu *et al.*, Rivers as the largest source of mercury to coastal oceans worldwide. *Nat. Geosci.* **14**, 672–677 (2021).
3. J. E. Sonke *et al.*, Eurasian river spring flood observations support net Arctic Ocean mercury export to the atmosphere and Atlantic Ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, E11586–E11594 (2018).
4. S. Zolikos *et al.*, Mercury export from Arctic Great rivers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **54**, 4140–4148 (2020).
5. AMAP, AMAP Assessment 2011: Mercury in the Arctic (Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme, 2011).
6. J. A. Fisher *et al.*, Riverine source of Arctic Ocean mercury inferred from atmospheric observations. *Nat. Geosci.* **5**, 499–504 (2012).
7. P. F. Schuster *et al.*, Mercury export from the Yukon River Basin and potential response to a changing climate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **45**, 9262–9267 (2011).
8. Y. Zhang *et al.*, Biogeochemical drivers of the fate of riverine mercury discharged to the global and Arctic oceans. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **29**, 854–864 (2015).
9. M. A. Rawlins *et al.*, Analysis of the Arctic system for freshwater cycle intensification: Observations and expectations. *J. Clim.* **23**, 5715–5737 (2010).
10. T. W. Drake *et al.*, Increasing alkalinity export from large Russian Arctic rivers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **52**, 8302–8308 (2018).
11. S. E. Tank, R. G. Striegl, J. W. McClelland, S. V. Kokelj, Multi-decadal increases in dissolved organic carbon and alkalinity flux from the Mackenzie drainage basin to the Arctic Ocean. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **11**, 054015 (2016).
12. R. C. Toohey, N. M. Herman-Mercer, P. F. Schuster, E. A. Mutter, J. C. Koch, Multidecadal increases in the Yukon River Basin of chemical fluxes as indicators of changing flowpaths, groundwater, and permafrost. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43**, 12,120–12,130 (2016).
13. A. G. Lim *et al.*, Enhanced particulate Hg export at the permafrost boundary, western Siberia. *Environ. Pollut.* **254** (Pt B), 113083 (2019).
14. K. A. St Pierre *et al.*, Unprecedented increases in total and methyl mercury concentrations downstream of retrogressive thaw slumps in the Western Canadian Arctic. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **52**, 14099–14109 (2018).
15. L. Castello *et al.*, Low and declining mercury in arctic Russian rivers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **48**, 747–752 (2014).
16. A. R. Pelletier *et al.*, Temporal and longitudinal mercury trends in Burbot (*Lota lota*) in the Russian Arctic. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **51**, 13436–13442 (2017).
17. D. Kocman *et al.*, Toward an assessment of the global inventory of present-day mercury releases to freshwater environments. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **14**, 138 (2017).
18. B. Lehner *et al.*, High-resolution mapping of the world's reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-flow management. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **9**, 494–502 (2011).
19. J. P. M. Syvitski, C. J. Vörösmarty, A. J. Kettner, P. Green, Impact of humans on the flux of terrestrial sediment to the global coastal ocean. *Science* **308**, 376–380 (2005).
20. C. B. Gundersen *et al.*, Mercury Risk Evaluation, Risk Management and Risk Reduction Measures in the Arctic (ARCRISK) (Norwegian Institute for Water Research, 2020).
21. A. Romanov, L. Sloss, W. Jozewicz, Mercury emissions from the coal-fired energy generation sector of the Russian Federation. *Energy Fuels* **26**, 4647–4654 (2012).
22. N. I. Tananaev, Estimation of the annual discharge of suspended matter by the rivers of North Siberia and the Far East. *Oceanology (Mosc.)* **54**, 650–659 (2014).
23. G. V. Mikhailova, A. N. Davydov, *Environmental Conflicts as Reflected in Koriakhma's Two Local Newspapers* (Norwegian Institute for Urban and Regional Research, 2002).
24. E. Kvintadze, Russia's output collapse and recovery: Evidence from the post-soviet transition. *IMF Work. Pap.* **10**, 1 (2010).
25. D. G. Streets *et al.*, Five hundred years of anthropogenic mercury: Spatial and temporal release profiles. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **14**, 084004 (2019).
26. X. Fain *et al.*, Polar firm air reveals large-scale impact of anthropogenic mercury emissions during the 1970s. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 16114–16119 (2009).
27. R. C. Harris *et al.*, Whole-ecosystem study shows rapid fish-mercury response to changes in mercury deposition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 16586–16591 (2007).
28. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S), *ERAS: Fifth Generation of ECMWF Atmospheric Reanalyses of the Global Climate* (Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store, 2017).
29. H. M. Horowitz *et al.*, A new mechanism for atmospheric mercury redox chemistry: Implications for the global mercury budget. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **17**, 6353–6371 (2017).
30. K. Schaefer *et al.*, Potential impacts of mercury released from thawing permafrost. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4650 (2020).
31. R. M. Hirsch, D. L. Moyer, S. A. Archfield, Weighted regressions on time, discharge, and season (WRTDS), with an application to Chesapeake Bay river inputs. *J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc.* **46**, 857–880 (2010).
32. R. M. Hirsch, S. A. Archfield, L. A. De Cicco, A bootstrap method for estimating uncertainty of water quality trends. *Environ. Model. Softw.* **73**, 148–166 (2015).
33. R. Ahmed, T. Prowse, Y. Dibike, B. Bonsal, H. O'Neil, Recent trends in freshwater influx to the Arctic Ocean from four major Arctic-draining rivers. *Water* **12**, 1189 (2020).
34. N. N. Bobrovitskaya, A. V. Kokorev, N. A. Lemesko, Regional patterns in recent trends in sediment yields of Eurasian and Siberian rivers. *Global Planet. Change* **39**, 127–146 (2003).
35. V. V. Gordeev, Fluvial sediment flux to the Arctic Ocean. *Geomorphology* **80**, 94–104 (2006).
36. R. M. Holmes *et al.*, A circumpolar perspective on fluvial sediment flux to the Arctic ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **16**, 45–145 (2002).
37. R. G. Striegl, M. M. Dornblaser, G. R. Aiken, K. P. Wickland, P. A. Raymond, Carbon export and cycling by the Yukon, Tanana, and Porcupine rivers, Alaska, 2001–2005. *Water Resour. Res.* **43**, 1–9 (2007).
38. Q. Zhang, R. M. Hirsch, River water-quality concentration and flux estimation can be improved by accounting for serial correlation through an autoregressive model. *Water Resour. Res.* **55**, 9705–9723 (2019).
39. V. V. Gordeev, J. M. Martin, I. S. Sidorov, M. V. Sidorova, A reassessment of the Eurasian river input of water, sediment, major elements, and nutrients to the Arctic Ocean. *Am. J. Sci.* **296**, 664–691 (1996).
40. O. N. Gordeeva, G. A. Belogolova, M. V. Pastukhov, Mercury speciation and mobility in soils of industrial areas in the Baikal region, Southern Siberia, Russia. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **76**, 558 (2017).
41. P. V. Koval *et al.*, Correlation of natural and technogenic mercury sources in the Baikal polygon, Russia. *J. Geochem. Explor.* **66**, 277–289 (1999).
42. D. G. Streets *et al.*, Total mercury released to the environment by human activities. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **51**, 5969–5977 (2017).

43. D. G. Streets *et al.*, Global and regional trends in mercury emissions and concentrations, 2010–2015. *Atmos. Environ.* **201**, 417–427 (2019).
44. Y. Zhang *et al.*, Observed decrease in atmospheric mercury explained by global decline in anthropogenic emissions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **113**, 526–531 (2016).
45. P. J. Blanchfield *et al.*, Experimental evidence for recovery of mercury-contaminated fish populations. *Nature* **601**, 74–78 (2022).
46. L. H. Fraser, P. A. Keddy, *The World's Largest Wetlands: Ecology and Conservation* (Cambridge University Press, 2006).
47. B. Wild *et al.*, Rivers across the Siberian Arctic unearth the patterns of carbon release from thawing permafrost. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 10280–10285 (2019).
48. A. L. Soerensen *et al.*, A mass budget for mercury and methylmercury in the Arctic Ocean. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **30**, 560–575 (2016).
49. S. E. Tank *et al.*, Landscape matters: Predicting the biogeochemical effects of permafrost thaw on aquatic networks with a state factor approach. *Permafrost. Periglac. Process.* **31**, 358–370 (2020).
50. K. A. St Pierre *et al.*, Drivers of mercury cycling in the rapidly changing glacierized watershed of the high Arctic's largest lake by volume (Lake Hazen, Nunavut, Canada). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **53**, 1175–1185 (2019).
51. S. V. Kokelj, T. C. Lantz, J. Tunnicliffe, R. Segal, D. Lacelle, Climate-driven thaw of permafrost preserved glacial landscapes, northwestern Canada. *Geology* **45**, 371–374 (2017).
52. S. V. Kokelj *et al.*, Thaw-driven mass wasting couples slopes with downstream systems, and effects propagate through Arctic drainage networks. *Cryosphere* **15**, 3059–3081 (2021).
53. B. M. Fekete *et al.*, Time for in situ renaissance. *Science* **349**, 685–686 (2015).
54. M. V. Pastukhov, V. I. Poletaeva, E. N. Tirsikh, Long-term dynamics of mercury pollution of the Bratsk reservoir bottom sediments, Baikal region, Russia. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **321**, 012041 (2019).
55. A. Campeau *et al.*, Sources of riverine mercury across the Mackenzie River Basin; inferences from a combined HgC isotopes and optical properties approach. *Sci. Total Environ.* **806**, 150808 (2022).
56. A. M. Nikanorov, A. V. Zhulidov, A. D. Pokarzhenskij, *Biomonitoring of Heavy Metals in Freshwater Ecosystems* [in Russian] (Hydrometeoizdat, 1985).
57. A. M. Nikanorov, A. V. Zhulidov, V. M. Emetz, *Heavy Metals in the Organisms of Wetlands of Russia* (Hydrometeoizdat, 1993).
58. A. M. Nikanorov, A. V. Zhulidov, *Biomonitoring of Metals in Freshwater Ecosystems* (Hydrometeoizdat, 1991).
59. A. V. Zhulidov *et al.*, Long-term changes of heavy metal and sulphur concentrations in ecosystems of the Taymyr Peninsula (Russian Federation) North of the Norilsk Industrial Complex. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **181**, 539–553 (2011).
60. L. V. Boeva, A. A. Nazarova, *Methodological Instructions on Measuring Mass Concentrations of Suspended Particles and Total Content of Admixtures in Waters Using the Weighing Method* (Hydrochemical Institute, 1995).
61. L. V. Boeva, T. S. Evdokimova, *Methodological Instructions on Measuring Mass Concentration of Mercury in Waters Using Atomic Absorption in Cold Vapour* (Hydrochemical Institute, 1995).
62. A. I. Shiklomanov, R. M. Holmes, J. W. McClelland, S. E. Tank, R. G. M. Spencer, Arctic Great Rivers Observatory. Discharge Dataset, Version 20190211 (2019).
63. S. Yue, P. Pilon, B. Phinney, G. Cavadias, The influence of autocorrelation on the ability to detect trend in hydrological series. *Hydrol. Processes* **16**, 1807–1829 (2002).
64. D. Bronaugh, A. Werner, *zyp: Zhang + Yue-Pilon Trends Package* (Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium, 2013).
65. R Core Team, *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing* (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2018).
66. R. M. Hirsch, L. A. DeCicco, "User guide to exploration and graphics for RivEr trends (EGRET) and dataRetrieval: R packages for hydrologic data (version 3.0, February 2015)" in *Hydrologic Analysis and Interpretation* (US Geological Survey, 2015), p. 93.
67. R. M. Holmes *et al.*, Seasonal and annual fluxes of nutrients and organic matter from large rivers to the Arctic Ocean and surrounding seas. *Estuaries Coasts* **35**, 369–382 (2012).
68. R. Gelaro *et al.*, The modern-era retrospective analysis for research and applications, version 2 (MERRA-2). *J. Clim.* **30**, 5419–5454 (2017).
69. M. O'Hara-Wild *et al.*, *fable: Forecasting models for tidy time series*. R package version 0.3.1. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=fable>. Accessed 16 January 2022.
70. R. J. Hyndman, G. Athanasopoulos, *Forecasting: Principles and Practice* (OTexts, ed. 3, 2021).
71. N. Gorelick *et al.*, Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **202**, 18–27 (2017).
72. O. Dag, A. Dolgun, N. M. Konar, S. Weerahandi, M. Malwane, onewaytests: An R Package for One-Way Tests in Independent Groups Designs. *The R Journal* **10**, 175–199 (2018).
73. S. Zolkos, A. V. Zhulidov, and T. Yu. Gurtovaya, Russian river total suspended solids (TSS), mercury in TSS, and drivers of long-term trends, 1974–2018. Arctic Data Center. <https://arcticdata.io/catalog/view/doi%3A10.18739%2FA2DZ0331M>. Deposited 9 March 2022.

## Supplementary Information for

### Multi-decadal declines in particulate mercury and sediment export from Russian rivers in the pan-Arctic basin

Scott Zolkos<sup>a,b</sup>, Alexander V. Zhulidov<sup>c</sup>, Tatiana Yu. Gurtovaya<sup>c</sup>, Vyacheslav V. Gordeev<sup>d</sup>, Sergey Berdnikov<sup>e</sup>, Nadezhda Pavlova<sup>f</sup>, Evgenia A. Kalko<sup>c</sup>, Yana A. Kuklina<sup>c</sup>, Danil A. Zhulidov<sup>c</sup>, Lyudmila S. Kosmenko<sup>g</sup>, Alexander I. Shiklomanov<sup>h</sup>, Anya Suslova<sup>b</sup>, Benjamin M. Geyman<sup>a</sup>, Colin P. Thackray<sup>a</sup>, Elsie M. Sunderland<sup>a,i</sup>, Suzanne E. Tank<sup>j</sup>, James W. McClelland<sup>k</sup>, Robert G.M. Spencer<sup>l</sup>, David P. Krabbenhoft<sup>m</sup>, Richard Roberts<sup>n</sup>, Robert M. Holmes<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge MA 02138

<sup>b</sup>Woodwell Climate Research Center, Falmouth, MA 02540

<sup>c</sup>South Russia Centre for Preparation and Implementation of International Projects, Rostov-on-Don, 344090, Russia

<sup>d</sup>Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 117997, Russia

<sup>e</sup>Southern Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Rostov-on-Don, 344000, Russia

<sup>f</sup>Melnikov Permafrost Institute, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Yakutsk, Sakha Republic, 677010, Russia

<sup>g</sup>Hydrochemical Institute of the Federal Service for Hydrometeorology and Environmental Monitoring, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Russian Federation, Rostov-on-Don, 344090, Russia

<sup>h</sup>Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824

<sup>i</sup>Department of Environmental Health, T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA 02115

<sup>j</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2E9, Canada

<sup>k</sup>Marine Science Institute, University of Texas at Austin, Port Aransas, TX 78373

<sup>l</sup>Department of Earth, Ocean, and Atmospheric Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306

<sup>m</sup>Upper Midwest Water Science Center, Mercury Research Laboratory, United States Geological Survey, Middleton, WI 53562

<sup>n</sup>World Water and Climate Foundation, Courtenay, BC V9N 0E2, Canada

**Corresponding author:** Scott Zolkos, 29 Oxford St., Cambridge, MA 02138, +1 508-444-1524

**Email:** [sgzolkos@gmail.com](mailto:sgzolkos@gmail.com)

#### This PDF file includes:

Supplementary Text

Figures S1 to S3

Tables S1 to S3

## Supplementary Text

### Methods

**Study Sites.** Riverine sediment and Hg export may be considerably influenced at local and regional scales by anthropogenic activities (1, 2) and also from long-range transport and deposition of continental-scale industrial emissions (3, 4). Anthropogenic disturbance to fluvial sediment regimes and Hg cycling (e.g., reservoirs, industrial pollution) occurs to varying degrees within all of the focal watersheds. Industrial activity (e.g., pulp and paper mills) and resource extraction (e.g., coal mining, oil extraction) are documented sources of contaminants, including Hg, in most of the watersheds. Mid- to late-20<sup>th</sup> century dam construction and reservoir establishment is well-documented for the Ob', Yenisey, Lena, and Kolyma (5). Dams and reservoirs along the Onega, Northern Dvina, Mezen, and Pechora rivers are not evident in modern satellite imagery, and documentation of late-20<sup>th</sup> century dam construction and operations in the watersheds is sparse.

**Onega.** Inspection of contemporary, moderate-resolution satellite imagery freely available in Google Earth reveals no visible reservoirs or dams along the Onega River or its major tributaries.

**Northern Dvina.** Three major pulp and paper mills are located along the Northern Dvina river, in Arkhangelsk, Novodvinsk (20 km upriver of Arkhangelsk), and Koryazhma (610 km upriver of Arkhangelsk). Large amounts of Hg accumulated in dumps and on territories of these pulp and paper mills (6). Among them, the Ilim Group (formerly Kotlas Pulp and Paper Mill) in Koryazhma is the largest pulp and paper mill in Russia (6). Since around the year 2000, the mills have used modern methods rather than Hg to produce bleaching agents for paper production ([www.ilimgroup.com](http://www.ilimgroup.com)). Additional sources of Hg to the Northern Dvina may include municipal waste, and heat and power generating plants (7).

**Mezen.** Previous research found large declines in industrial contaminants during the late 1980s and early 1990s within the headwaters of the Mezen. The decline and collapse of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) were implicated as a driver of these trends (8, 9).

**Pechora.** Exploitation of the relatively rich oil, gas, and coal deposits within the Pechora region has polluted the Pechora River and its tributaries since the early 20<sup>th</sup> century (10). Hg and other contaminants within regional lake sediments reflect historical deposition of industrial emissions within the region (11, 12).

**Ob'.** Reservoirs occur within the upper reaches of the Ob' mainstem and in the watershed of the Irtysh River, a major tributary of the Ob'. The reservoir along the Ob' mainstem in Novosibirsk (9 km<sup>3</sup>) began filling in 1956 (5) for its hydroelectric dam (13). Large reservoirs on the Irtysh River include those associated with the Bukhtarminsk (50 km<sup>3</sup>) and Shulbinsk (2 km<sup>3</sup>) hydroelectric power plants in Kazakhstan. The Bukhtarminsk reservoir began to fill in 1960 (5). Several smaller dams are located in the watershed of the Tobol River, the largest tributary of the Irtysh River (5). Extractive industries in the southern Irtysh river basin (Kazakhstan) have resulted in heavy metal pollution in headwaters (14). The Ob' river basin is a primary oil extraction and coal mining region in Russia with many large industrial cities located on the banks of the Ob' river and its tributaries (15).

**Yenisey.** Chlor-alkali production in headwaters (16, 17) during operation from 1973–1998 released more than 1,200 Mg of Hg into the environment. Soil Hg content in contaminated regions reaches 125 µg g<sup>-1</sup> (18). Numerous reservoirs were constructed for dams along the Yenisey River since the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century, including the large, perennial Krasnoyarsk and Sayano-Shushenskoye dams, which began to fill their reservoirs in 1967 and 1978, respectively (5). The Krasnoyarsk



Dam has a large (73 km<sup>3</sup>) reservoir and the Sayano-Shushenskoye a smaller (31 km<sup>3</sup>) (13). Large dams along the Angara River, a major tributary of the Yenisey, include the perennial Irkutsk (began filling in 1956, reservoir capacity = 46 km<sup>3</sup>) and Bratskoe (1961, 169 km<sup>3</sup>), and seasonal Ust-Ilimskoe (1974, 59 km<sup>3</sup>) and Boguchanskoe (2012, 58 km<sup>3</sup>) (5, 13). Two reservoirs exist in the lower Yenisei basin, on the tributaries Khantaika (1970, 24 km<sup>3</sup>) and Kureika (1988, 10 km<sup>3</sup>).

**Lena.** Anthropogenic disturbance to sediment loads in the Lena watershed are partly attributed to mining (19). The Vilyuyskoe Reservoir (1967, 36 km<sup>3</sup>) on the Vilyuy River is the largest perennial reservoir in the Lena River basin (13), while other reservoirs exist in the watershed.

**Kolyma.** The Kolyma watershed is characterized by industrial development associated with natural resource extraction and hydroelectric dam operations (20). The Kolymsk Dam (1982) has a relatively large reservoir (15 km<sup>3</sup>) ([www.kolymaenergo.rushydro.ru/hpp/general/108681.html](http://www.kolymaenergo.rushydro.ru/hpp/general/108681.html)). The Ust-Srednekansk Dam (2013) is located downstream on the Kolyma River with a reservoir volume of 5.4 km<sup>3</sup> (13). Gold mining in the middle and lower reaches of the Kolyma River significantly increases suspended sediments within the river (21–23).

## Sampling and Analyses

**Sample Collection and Laboratory Analyses.** Sampling stations were located at Porog (Onega), Arkhangelsk (Northern Dvina), Dorogorskoye (Mezen), Nar'yan-Mar (Pechora), Salekhard (Ob'), Dudinka (Yenisey), Zhigansk (Lena), and Cherskiy (Kolyma) (Table S1). River water samples for TSS and PHg concentration were collected approximately monthly, as part of the Special Scientific Research Program of Biomonitoring of trace elements and organochlorine pesticides in freshwater ecosystems (SPB) initiated during the USSR era. SPB was coordinated by several agencies in recent decades: the Hydrochemical Institute, Roshydromet (the Federal Service for Hydrometeorology and Environmental Monitoring, the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Russian Federation), the Institute of Oceanology (Russian Academy of Sciences, RAS), Rostov State University, and the A.N. Severtzov Institute of Evolution Morphology and Ecology of Animals, RAS (from 1994, known as the A.N. Severtsov Institute of Problems of Ecology and Evolution), from 1976–1995/1997; the Centre for Preparation and Implementation of International Projects on Technical Assistance, North-Caucasus Branch (NCB CPPI) from 1997–2001; and by the South Russia Centre for Preparation and Implementation of International Projects (CPPI-S Ltd) from 1997–2008 (24–26).

Sample processing and analysis was performed in a cleanroom using equipment pre-cleaned with concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub> and 6 M HCl. All chemicals used were of analytical grade or higher. Prior to filtering, samples were composited when collected from mid-channel and both banks. Replicate TSS samples had a coefficient of variation < 8%. For PHg, additional sediment was filtered in the same fashion and digested in HNO<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at 90°C for 2 h followed by potassium permanganate and hydrogen peroxide treatments. Samples were reduced using either stannous chloride or sulfate and detected at the 253.7 nm Hg line (detection limit = 0.005 µg Hg g<sup>-1</sup>) using cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (Perkin-Elmer Coleman Mas-50 Mercury Analyzer or Spectrophotometer VARIAN AA-475) (27). The concentration of PHg was determined under dry weight conditions. Working standards for Hg(II) were prepared daily by diluting a standard solution of 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Hg (CertiPUR, Merck, Germany) in 2 M HNO<sub>3</sub>. Quality assurance / quality control procedures included analysis of certified reference materials (CRMs), duplicate samples, and sample blanks. CRM measured values were within 7% of the CRM. Duplicate samples and replicate blanks were run after every ~20 samples and were within 5% of sample values. Duplicate values were averaged

prior to statistical analysis. Particulate Hg (ng L<sup>-1</sup>) used in flux estimates was calculated as the product of PHg (µg g<sup>-1</sup>) and TSS (mg L<sup>-1</sup>).

**Discharge Data and Climate Trends.** Measurements of daily river discharge were made by Roshydromet monitoring stations located at Porog (Onega), Ust' Pinega (Northern Dvina), Malonisogorskoye (Mezen), Ust-Tsilma (Pechora), Salekhard (Ob'), Igarka (Yenisey), Kyusyur (Lena), and Kolymaskoye (Kolyma) (Fig. 1, Table S1). Short (< 10 day) gaps in discharge data, which occurred rarely, were linearly interpolated for observations made during the winter, when flow is relatively low and less variable (28). Longer gaps (weeks to months) and gaps in the spring and summer were not interpolated. In such cases, the entire calendar year of discharge observations was omitted from flux modeling and is reflected graphically as a data gap (Fig. S1). Temporal trends in river discharge (see main text) are reported for years coinciding with the TSS data period, which extend seven years longer than PHg records for the Lena, and 16 years for the Mezen and Pechora (Fig. S1, Table S1), allowing for discharge trends to encompass the entire duration of the dataset. Trends in mean annual air temperature and total annual precipitation for each river (Table 2) were tested over the PHg concentration interval, to coincide temporally with the dynamic regression modeling.

**Atmospheric Hg Deposition 1960–2015.** In order to reconstruct historical deposition trends, we first defined source-receptor relationships for each of five anthropogenic emission regions and one representing natural and legacy emissions from the ocean, terrestrial biosphere, and geogenic sources. The source-receptor function ( $I_{ij}$ ) is defined following (29) and provides the pattern of global deposition ( $D$ ) to receptor region  $j$  resulting from an emission ( $E$ ) released from target region  $i$ :

$$I_{ij} = \frac{D_{ij}}{E_i} \quad (\text{S3})$$

Global deposition is then reconstructed for each time period by multiplying each regional emission magnitude by the corresponding source-receptor function, and summing over these products:

$$D_j D_j^t = \sum_i E_i \times I_{ij} \quad (\text{S4})$$

Regional emission magnitudes (1960–2015) were derived from published inventories (4, 30, 31) and decadal emission trends were linearly interpolated to produce annual fluxes.

## Supplementary References

1. V. V. Gordeev, J. M. Martin, I. S. Sidorov, M. V. Sidorova, A reassessment of the Eurasian river input of water, sediment, major elements, and nutrients to the Arctic Ocean. *Am. J. Sci.* **296**, 664–691 (1996).
2. A. V. Zhulidov, J. V. Headley, R. D. Robarts, A. M. Nikanorov, A. A. Ischenko, *Atlas of Russian wetlands: biogeography and metal concentrations* (National Hydrology Research Institute, 1997).
3. AMAP, “AMAP Assessment 2011: Mercury in the Arctic” (Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), 2011).
4. D. G. Streets, *et al.*, Five hundred years of anthropogenic mercury: spatial and temporal release profiles. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **14**, 084004 (2019).
5. A. Shiklomanov, *et al.*, “River Freshwater Flux to the Arctic Ocean” in *Arctic Hydrology, Permafrost and Ecosystems*, D. Yang, D. L. Kane, Eds. (Springer, Cham., 2021), pp. 703–738.
6. C. B. Gundersen, *et al.*, “Mercury Risk Evaluation, Risk Management and Risk Reduction Measures in the Arctic (ARCRISK)” (Norwegian Institute for Water Research, 2020).
7. G. V. Mikhailova, A. N. Davydov, “Environmental conflicts as reflected in Koriyazhma’s two local newspapers” (Norwegian Institute for Urban and Regional Research, 2002).
8. A. V. Zhulidov, *et al.*, Riverine fluxes of the persistent organochlorine pesticides hexachlorocyclohexane and DDT in the Russian Federation. *Chemosphere* **41**, 829–841 (2000).
9. A. V. Zhulidov, *et al.*, Levels of DDT and hexachlorocyclohexane in burbot (*Lota lota* L.) from Russian Arctic rivers. *Sci. Total Environ.* **292**, 231–246 (2002).
10. T. R. Walker, *et al.*, Multiple indicators of human impacts on the environment in the Pechora Basin, north-eastern European Russia. *Ecol. Indic.* **9**, 765–779 (2009).
11. V. A. Dauvalter, Effect of Atmospheric Emissions of the Vorkuta Industrial Region on the Chemical Composition of Lake Sediments. *Water Resour.* **31**, 668–672 (2004).
12. V. A. Dauvalter, Chalcophile elements (Hg, Cd, Pb, and As) in bottom sediments of water bodies of the white sea catchment area on the kola peninsula. *Geochem. Int.* **44**, 205–208 (2006).
13. B. Lehner, *et al.*, High-resolution mapping of the world’s reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-flow management. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **9**, 494–502 (2011).


14. V. V. Gordeev, V. Rachold, I. E. Vlasova, Geochemical behaviour of major and trace elements in suspended particulate material of the Irtysh river, the main tributary of the Ob river, Siberia. *Appl. Geochem.* **19**, 593–610 (2004).
15. G. M. Fedorov, T. Yu. Kuznetsova, Datasets on the GRP of Russian regions, GRP sectoral composition and growth rates in 2013–2018. *Data Brief* **33**, 106551 (2020).
16. O. N. Gordeeva, G. A. Belogolova, M. V. Pastukhov, Mercury speciation and mobility in soils of industrial areas in the Baikal region, Southern Siberia, Russia. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **76**, 558 (2017).
17. M. V. Pastukhov, V. I. Poletaeva, E. N. Tirsikh, Long-term dynamics of mercury pollution of the Bratsk reservoir bottom sediments, Baikal region, Russia. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **321**, 012041 (2019).
18. P. V. Koval, *et al.*, Correlation of natural and technogenic mercury sources in the Baikal polygon, Russia. *J. Geochem. Explor.* **66**, 277–289 (1999).
19. V. Rachold, A. Alabyan, H.-W. Hubberten, V. N. Korotaev, A. A. Zaitsev, Sediment transport to the Laptev Sea—hydrology and geochemistry of the Lena River. *Polar Res.* **15**, 183–196 (1996).
20. N. I. Tananaev, Estimation of the annual discharge of suspended matter by the rivers of North Siberia and the Far East. *Oceanology* **54**, 650–659 (2014).
21. V. V. Gordeev, Fluvial sediment flux to the Arctic Ocean. *Geomorphology* **80**, 94–104 (2006).
22. R. H. Meade, N. N. Bobrovitskaya, V. I. Babkin, Suspended-sediment and fresh-water discharges in the Ob and Yenisey rivers, 1960-1988. *Int. J. Earth Sci.* **89**, 461–469 (2000).
23. D. E. Walling, D. Fang, Recent trends in the suspended sediment loads of the world's rivers. *Glob. Planet. Change* **39**, 111–126 (2003).
24. A. V. Zhulidov, *et al.*, Long-term changes of heavy metal and sulphur concentrations in ecosystems of the Taymyr Peninsula (Russian Federation) North of the Norilsk Industrial Complex. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **181**, 539–553 (2011).
25. A. V. Zhulidov, V. V. Khlobystov, R. D. Robarts, D. F. Pavlov, Critical analysis of water quality monitoring in the Russian Federation and former Soviet Union. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **57**, 8 (2000).
26. A. V. Zhulidov, R. D. Robarts, V. V. Khlobystov, The Need and Requirements for Modernizing Surface Water Quality Monitoring in the Russian Federation. *Water Int.* **26**, 536–546 (2001).



27. L. V. Boeva, T. S. Evdokimova, “Methodological Instructions on measuring mass concentration of mercury in waters using atomic absorption in cold vapour” (Hydrochemical Institute, 1995).
28. R. M. Holmes, *et al.*, Seasonal and Annual Fluxes of Nutrients and Organic Matter from Large Rivers to the Arctic Ocean and Surrounding Seas. *Estuaries Coasts* **35**, 369–382 (2012).
29. E. S. Corbitt, D. J. Jacob, C. D. Holmes, D. G. Streets, E. M. Sunderland, Global Source–Receptor Relationships for Mercury Deposition Under Present-Day and 2050 Emissions Scenarios. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **45**, 10477–10484 (2011).
30. D. G. Streets, *et al.*, Global and regional trends in mercury emissions and concentrations, 2010–2015. *Atmos. Environ.* **201**, 417–427 (2019).
31. Y. Zhang, *et al.*, Observed decrease in atmospheric mercury explained by global decline in anthropogenic emissions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113**, 526–531 (2016).
32. S. Zolkos, *et al.*, Mercury Export from Arctic Great Rivers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **54**, 4140–4148 (2020).
33. J. E. Sonke, *et al.*, Eurasian river spring flood observations support net Arctic Ocean mercury export to the atmosphere and Atlantic Ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **115**, E11586–E11594 (2018).
34. A. G. Lim, *et al.*, Enhanced particulate Hg export at the permafrost boundary, western Siberia. *Environ. Pollut.* **254**, 113083 (2019).
35. M. Liu, *et al.*, Rivers as the largest source of mercury to coastal oceans worldwide. *Nat. Geosci.* (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00793-2> (July 23, 2021).
36. P. H. Balcom, C. R. Hammerschmidt, W. F. Fitzgerald, C. H. Lamborg, J. S. O’Connor, Seasonal distributions and cycling of mercury and methylmercury in the waters of New York/New Jersey Harbor Estuary. *Mar. Chem.* **109**, 1–17 (2008).
37. T. Cardona-Marek, J. Schaefer, K. Ellickson, T. Barkay, J. R. Reinfelder, Mercury Speciation, Reactivity, and Bioavailability in a Highly Contaminated Estuary, Berry’s Creek, New Jersey Meadowlands. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **41**, 8268–8274 (2007).
38. K.-Y. Choe, G. A. Gill, R. Lehman, Distribution of particulate, colloidal, and dissolved mercury in San Francisco Bay estuary. 1. Total mercury. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **48**, 1535–1546 (2003).
39. M. Coquery, D. Cossa, J. M. Martin, The distribution of dissolved and particulate mercury in three Siberian estuaries and adjacent Arctic coastal waters. *Water. Air. Soil Pollut.* **80**, 653–664 (1995).


40. D. Cossa, M. Coquery, “The Mediterranean Mercury Anomaly, a Geochemical or a Biogeochemical Issue” in *The Mediterranean Sea*, Handbook of Environmental Chemistry., A. Salot, Ed. (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2005), pp. 177–208.
41. S. Covelli, A. Acquavita, R. Piani, S. Predonzani, C. De Vittor, Recent contamination of mercury in an estuarine environment (Marano lagoon, Northern Adriatic, Italy). *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **82**, 273–284 (2009).
42. C. A. Emmerton, *et al.*, Mercury Export to the Arctic Ocean from the Mackenzie River, Canada. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **47**, 7644–7654 (2013).
43. A. Hare, G. A. Stern, R. W. Macdonald, Z. Z. Kuzyk, F. Wang, Contemporary and preindustrial mass budgets of mercury in the Hudson Bay Marine System: The role of sediment recycling. *Sci. Total Environ.* **406**, 190–204 (2008).
44. J. L. Kirk, V. L. St. Louis, Multiyear Total and Methyl Mercury Exports from Two Major Sub-Arctic Rivers Draining into Hudson Bay, Canada. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **43**, 2254–2261 (2009).
45. M. Leermakers, S. Galletti, S. De Galan, N. Brion, W. Baeyens, Mercury in the Southern North Sea and Scheldt estuary. *Mar. Chem.* **75**, 229–248 (2001).
46. D. R. Leitch, *et al.*, The delivery of mercury to the Beaufort Sea of the Arctic Ocean by the Mackenzie River. *Sci. Total Environ.* **373**, 178–195 (2007).
47. A. I. Lillebø, *et al.*, Assessment of Mercury in Water, Sediments and Biota of a Southern European Estuary (Sado Estuary, Portugal). *Water. Air. Soil Pollut.* **214**, 667–680 (2011).
48. M. Liu, *et al.*, The impact of the Three Gorges Dam on the fate of metal contaminants across the river–ocean continuum. *Water Res.* **185**, 116295 (2020).
49. S. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Cui, Mercury in four north China estuaries: the Daliaohe, Yalujiang, Luanhe and Dongcunhe. *J. Ocean Univ. Qingdao* **31**, 136–142 (2001).
50. B. Luo, L. Liu, Levels and distribution characteristics of heavy metals in sediments in main stream of Huaihe River. *J. Environ. Health* **27**, 1122–1127 (2010).
51. L. Maurice-Bourgoin, B. Quemerais, P. Moreira-Turcq, P. Seyler, Transport, distribution and speciation of mercury in the Amazon River at the confluence of black and white waters of the Negro and Solimões Rivers: MERCURY IN THE AMAZON RIVER AT THE CONFLUENCE OF BLACK AND WHITE WATERS. *Hydrol. Process.* **17**, 1405–1417 (2003).
52. B. Muresan, D. Cossa, M. Coquery, S. Richard, Mercury sources and transformations in a man-perturbed tidal estuary: The Sinnamary Estuary, French Guiana. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **72**, 5416–5430 (2008).

53. S. Noh, *et al.*, Influence of salinity intrusion on the speciation and partitioning of mercury in the Mekong River Delta. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **106**, 379–390 (2013).
54. H. H. M. Paraquetti, G. A. Ayres, M. D. de Almeida, M. M. Molisani, L. D. de Lacerda, Mercury distribution, speciation and flux in the Sepetiba Bay tributaries, SE Brazil. *Water Res.* **38**, 1439–1448 (2004).
55. A. Ram, M. A. Rokade, D. V. Borole, M. D. Zingde, Mercury in sediments of Ulhas estuary. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **46**, 846–857 (2003).
56. E. Ramalhosa, *et al.*, Mercury distribution in Douro estuary (Portugal). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **50**, 1218–1222 (2005).
57. J. Schäfer, G. Blanc, S. Audry, D. Cossa, C. Bossy, Mercury in the Lot–Garonne River system (France): Sources, fluxes and anthropogenic component. *Appl. Geochem.* **21**, 515–527 (2006).
58. P. F. Schuster, *et al.*, Mercury Export from the Yukon River Basin and Potential Response to a Changing Climate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **45**, 9262–9267 (2011).
59. E. M. Sunderland, *et al.*, Mercury sources and fate in the Gulf of Maine. *Environ. Res.* **119**, 27–41 (2012).
60. P. Voitke, *et al.*, Analysis and assessment of heavy metal pollution in suspended solids and sediments of the river Danube. *Chemosphere* **51**, 633–642 (2003).
61. NGIA, Sergeyevskoye Vodokhranilishche: Kazakhstan (2001) (October 1, 2021).
62. Y. Vinokurov, B. Krasnoyarova, Tran boundary Pool of the Irtysh River: Problems’ Solutions of Municipal Facilities and Urban Development. *MATEC Web Conf.* **170**, 04007 (2018).
63. F. Huang, Z. Xia, L. Guo, F. Yang, Effects of reservoirs on seasonal discharge of Irtysh River measured by Lepage test. *Water Sci. Eng.* **7**, 363–372 (2014).
64. J. Li, Z. Xia, W. Yin, H. Jia, Instream ecological flow and reservoir ecological operation in the upper reaches of Irtysh River. *MATEC Web Conf.* **246**, 01103 (2018).
65. N. I. Yermolaeva, Zooplankton and water quality of the Ishim River in Northern Kazakhstan. *Arid Ecosyst.* **5**, 176–187 (2015).
66. JICA, “Chapter 5. Planning of Engineering Protection.” (Japan International Cooperation Agency, 2001).




**Fig. S1.** Total annual fluxes of particulate Hg (PHg, primary y-axes) and total suspended solids (TSS, secondary y-axes) estimated from WRTDS for the eight rivers. Kalman-filtered (non-flow-normalized) fluxes (see Methods) are shown as points superimposed on flow-normalized fluxes (smoothed line). Shaded regions are the 90% confidence interval around flow-normalized fluxes, derived using a WRTDS bootstrap approach for estimating uncertainty. In e)–h) diamonds = mean of total annual TSS and total Hg (PHg + dissolved Hg) fluxes from (32), triangles = PHg fluxes from (33), and squares = PHg fluxes from (34). Vertical error bars depict standard deviation and horizontal error bars represent the range.





**Fig. S2.** Overlapping density distributions of particulate Hg (PHg) concentrations observed in this study and in global rivers. Data from global rivers were compiled by (35), which includes data from (36–60).



**Fig. S3.** Days on which river water was sampled for total suspended solids (TSS) and particulate mercury (PHg) superimposed on the hydrographs of the eight rivers made from daily observations of river discharge.

**Table S1.** Absolute (total) and relative (%) changes in mean annual flow-normalized concentrations and fluxes of total suspended solids (TSS) and particulate Hg (PHg), discharge, peak discharge magnitude, and Hg deposition from global and regional (Europe and USSR) sources. Changes in Hg deposition were estimated over the PHg concentration interval. Trends in Hg deposition were not tested.

Parameter	River	<i>n</i>	Start	End	Value at <i>t</i> <sub>1</sub>	Value at <i>t</i> <sub>2</sub>	Change (total)	Change (%)
Hg deposition (Global, Mg y <sup>-1</sup> )	Onega	12	1980	1992	3.2	2.7	-0.5	-15.5
	Northern Dvina	21	1980	2001	10.3	7.1	-3.1	-30.5
	Mezen	22	1980	2002	2.2	1.7	-0.6	-26.4
	Pechora	19	1983	2002	9.0	6.8	-2.2	-24.7
	Ob'	29	1979	2008	42.1	31.9	-10.2	-24.2
	Yenisey	31	1980	2011	38.6	30.5	-8.1	-21.0
	Lena	7	2004	2011	33.1	34.6	1.5	4.5
	Kolyma	5	1982	1987	12.4	12.1	-0.3	-2.8
Hg deposition (EU+USSR, Mg y <sup>-1</sup> )	Onega	12	1980	1992	2.4	1.9	-0.5	-21.0
	Northern Dvina	21	1980	2001	5.7	2.3	-3.4	-59.3
	Mezen	22	1980	2002	1.1	0.4	-0.7	-61.2
	Pechora	19	1983	2002	4.3	1.8	-2.5	-58.6
	Ob'	29	1979	2008	17.5	5.4	-12.2	-69.4
	Yenisey	31	1980	2011	16.0	5.1	-10.9	-67.9
	Lena	7	2004	2011	3.7	3.0	-0.7	-17.9
	Kolyma	5	1982	1987	2.6	2.1	-0.5	-17.8

**Table S2.** Locations of water sampling and discharge monitoring stations, start and end dates of measurements, and the sources of data used in this study. Refer to Figure S1 for years with complete daily discharge used for LOADEST modeling for each river. Latitude and longitude are reported in decimal degrees. SPB = Special Scientific Research Program of Biomonitoring. NCB CPPI = Centre for Preparation and Implementation of International Projects on Technical Assistance, North-Caucasus Branch. CPPI-S = South Russia Centre for Preparation and Implementation of International Projects. Roshydromet = Federal Service for Hydrometeorology and Environmental Monitoring, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Russian Federation. OGSNK/GSN is part of Roshydromet.

River	Parameter	Hydrochemistry sampling location / discharge station & code	Lat (DD)	Long (DD)	Start	End	Data source
Onega	TSS	Porog	63.82	38.47	1980-01-22	1992-12-20	SPB, OGSNK/GSN, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	PHg	Porog	63.82	38.47	1980-01-22	1992-12-20	SPB, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	Discharge	Porog (70842)	63.82	38.47	1980-01-01	1992-12-31	Roshydromet
Northern Dvina	TSS	Arkhangelsk	64.52	40.55	1979-01-10	2001-11-23	SPB, OGSNK/GSN, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	PHg	Arkhangelsk	64.52	40.55	1980-01-20	2001-12-21	SPB, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	Discharge	Severnaya Dvina at Ust' Pinega (70801)	64.13	41.92	1979-01-01	2001-12-31	Roshydromet
Mezen	TSS	Dorogorskoye	65.60	44.50	1980-04-09	2018-10-10	SPB, OGSNK/GSN, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	PHg	Dorogorskoye	65.60	44.50	1980-01-22	2002-12-17	SPB, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	Discharge	Mezen at Malonisogorskoye (70844)	65.00	45.62	1980-01-01	2018-12-31	Roshydromet
Pechora	TSS	Nar'yan-Mar	67.68	53.10	1983-01-13	2018-10-08	SPB, OGSNK/GSN, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	PHg	Nar'yan-Mar	67.68	53.10	1983-01-13	2002-12-20	SPB, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	Discharge	Pechora at Ust-Tsilma (70850)	65.42	52.28	1983-01-01	2018-12-31	Roshydromet
Ob'	TSS	Salekhard	66.63	66.60	1974-06-30	2008-07-27	SPB, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	PHg	Salekhard	66.63	66.60	1979-02-10	2008-05-28	SPB, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	Discharge	Ob' at Salekhard (11801)	66.63	66.60	1974-01-01	2008-12-31	Roshydromet
Yenisey	TSS	Dudinka	69.38	86.15	1980-03-18	2011-11-30	SPB, NCB CPPI, CPPI-S
	PHg	Dudinka	69.38	86.15	1980-02-19	2011-11-30	SPB
	Discharge	Yenisey at Igarka (9803)	67.43	86.48	1980-01-01	2011-12-31	Roshydromet
Lena	TSS	Zhigansk	66.77	127.37	2004-04-13	2018-10-03	CPPI-S
	PHg	Zhigansk	66.77	127.37	2004-04-13	2011-11-20	CPPI-S
	Discharge	Lena at Kyusyur (3821)	70.68	127.39	2004-01-01	2018-12-31	Roshydromet



Kolyma	TSS	Cherskiy	68.75	161.30	1982-03-10	1987-10-17	SPB
	PHg	Cherskiy	68.75	161.30	1982-02-09	1987-12-14	SPB
	Discharge	Kolyma at Kolymskoye (1802) / Kolymsk-1 (1803)	68.73	158.72	1982-01-01	1987-12-31	Roshydromet

---

**Table S3.** Information on reservoirs within the study watersheds. Unless otherwise noted, data are from (13).

Main river	Tributary	Dam name	Reservoir name	Lat (DD)	Long (DD)	Year filled	Year commissioned	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Capacity (km <sup>3</sup> )
Ob'	Irtys	Bukhtarma	Lake Zaysan	49.655849	83.347580	–	1960	4194	49.8
Ob'	Ob	Novosibirsk	Novosibirskoye	54.842791	83.001042	1956	1957	1024	8.8
Ob'	Irtys	Shulbinsk	NA	50.400315	81.070488	–	1987 (64)	179	2.4
Ob'	Tobol	Verkhne-Tobolskaya	NA	52.518748	62.334486	–	1977	75	0.8
Ob'	Irtys	Oskemen	Ust-Kamenogorsk (63)	50.403750	81.070417	–	1952 (63)	–	0.7 (63)
Ob'	Ishim	Sergeevskoye (61)	–	53.839445	67.432500	–	1969 (65)	–	0.6 (62)
Ob'	Ishim	Vyacheslavsky (62)	–	51.00078	72.170382	–	1970 (66)	–	0.4 (62)
Yenisei	Angara	Bratsk	Bratskoye	56.284112	101.785413	1961	1964	4811	169.0
Yenisei	Yenisei	Krasnoyarsk	Krasnoyarskoye	55.933970	92.293240	1967	1972	1630	73.3
Yenisei	Angara	Ust-Ilim	Ust-Ilimskoye	57.976665	102.668786	1974	1977	1703	59.3
Yenisei	Angara	Boguchany	NA	58.693824	99.152222	2012	2013	1768	58.2
Yenisei	Angara	Irkutsk	Baikal	52.234393	104.321875	1956	1956	31968	46.0
Yenisei	Yenisei	Sayano-Shushenskaya	Sayano-Shushenskoye	52.825779	91.367186	1978	1990	282	31.3
Yenisei	Khantaika	Ust-Khantaika	Khantayskoye	68.124830	87.761458	1970	1970	2189	23.5
Yenisei	Kureika	Kureiskaya	Kureiskaya	66.949844	88.285430	1988	1989	500	9.9
Lena	Vilyui	Vilyui	Vilyuyskoye	63.034291	112.477083	1967	1967	2190	35.9
Lena	Vilyui	–	Mamanskoye	57.808750	114.032917	–	1963	–	0.2
Lena	Irelakh	Irelakh	–	62.521250	113.929583	–	1964	–	0.0
Kolyma	Kolyma	Ust-Srerdnekanskaya	NA	62.431600	152.148089	2013	2012	265	5.4
Kolyma	Kolyma	Kolyma	NA	62.055299	150.409359	1980	1991	446	1.5