



INSTITUT DE FRANCE
Académie des sciences

Comptes Rendus

Mathématique

Christophe Cuny, Jérôme Dedecker, Florence Merlevède and Magda Peligrad

Berry–Esseen type bounds for the matrix coefficients and the spectral radius of the left random walk on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$

Volume 360 (2022), p. 475-482

[<https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.312>](https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.312)

© Académie des sciences, Paris and the authors, 2022.
Some rights reserved.

 This article is licensed under the
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Les Comptes Rendus. Mathématique sont membres du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte
www.centre-mersenne.org



Probability theory / *Probabilités*

Berry–Esseen type bounds for the matrix coefficients and the spectral radius of the left random walk on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$

Inégalités de type Berry–Esseen pour les coefficients matriciels et pour le rayon spectral de la marche aléatoire gauche sur $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$

Christophe Cuny^a, Jérôme Dedecker^{*, b}, Florence Merlevède^c
and Magda Peligrad^d

^a Univ. Brest, LMBA, UMR 6205 CNRS, 6 avenue Victor Le Gorgeu, 29238 Brest, France

^b Université Paris Cité, MAP5, UMR 8145 CNRS, 45 rue des Saints-Pères, F-75006 Paris, France

^c Univ. Gustave Eiffel, Univ. Paris Est Créteil, UMR 8050 CNRS, LAMA, F-77454 Marne-la-Vallée, France

^d Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, PO Box 210025, Cincinnati, Oh 45221-0025, USA

E-mails: Christophe.Cuny@univ-brest.fr (C. Cuny), jerome.dedecker@u-paris.fr (J. Dedecker), florence.merlevede@univ-eiffel.fr (F. Merlevède), peligrm@ucmail.uc.edu (M. Peligrad)

Abstract. We give rates of convergence in the Central Limit Theorem for the matrix coefficients and the spectral radius of the left random walk on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$, assuming the existence of an exponential or polynomial moment.

Résumé. Nous donnons des vitesses de convergence dans le théorème limite central pour les coefficients matriciels et pour le rayon spectral de la marche aléatoire gauche sur $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$, en supposant l'existence d'un moment exponentiel ou polynomial.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60F05, 60B15, 60G50.

Funding. The fourth author is supported by the NSF grant DMS-2054598.

Manuscript received 18th October 2021, revised 8th December 2021, accepted 9th December 2021.

* Corresponding author.

1. Introduction

Let $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be independent random matrices taking values in $G = GL_d(\mathbb{R})$, $d \geq 2$ (the group of invertible d -dimensional real matrices) with common distribution μ . Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and for every $A \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$, let $\|A\| = \sup_{x, \|x\|=1} \|Ax\|$. Let also $N(g) := \max(\|g\|, \|g^{-1}\|)$. We shall say that μ has an exponential moment if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\int_G (N(g))^\alpha d\mu(g) < \infty.$$

We shall say that μ has a polynomial moment of order $p \geq 1$ if

$$\int_G (\log N(g))^p d\mu(g) < \infty.$$

Let $A_n = \varepsilon_n \cdots \varepsilon_1$, with the convention $A_0 = \text{Id}$. It follows from Furstenberg and Kesten [10] that, if μ admits a moment of order 1 then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|A_n\| = \lambda_\mu \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda_\mu := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \mathbb{E} \log \|A_n\|$ is the so-called first Lyapunov exponent.

Let now $X := P(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the projective space of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and write $\bar{x}$ as the projection of $x \in \mathbb{R}^d - \{0\}$ to X . An element A of $G = GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ acts on the projective space X as follows: $A\bar{x} = \overline{Ax}$. Let Γ_μ be the closed semi-group generated by the support of μ . We say that μ is proximal if Γ_μ contains a matrix that admits a unique (with multiplicity 1) eigenvalue of maximal modulus. We say that μ is strongly irreducible if no proper union of subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is invariant by Γ_μ . Throughout the paper, we assume that μ is strongly irreducible and proximal. In particular, there exists a unique invariant measure ν on $\mathcal{B}(X)$, meaning that for any bounded measurable function h from X to $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_X h(x) d\nu(x) = \int_G \int_X h(g \cdot x) d\mu(g) d\nu(x). \quad (2)$$

Let W_0 be a random variable with values in the projective space X , independent of $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and with distribution ν . By the invariance of ν , we see that the sequence $(W_n := A_n W_0)_{n \geq 1}$ is a strictly stationary Markov chain with values in X . Let now, for any integer $k \geq 1$,

$$X_k := \sigma(\varepsilon_k, W_{k-1}) - \lambda_\mu = \sigma(\varepsilon_k, A_{k-1} W_0) - \lambda_\mu, \quad (3)$$

where, for any $g \in G$ and any $\bar{x} \in X$, $\sigma(g, \bar{x}) = \log(\|gx\|/\|x\|)$. Note that σ is an additive cocycle in the sense that $\sigma(g_1 g_2, \bar{x}) = \sigma(g_1, g_2 \bar{x}) + \sigma(g_2, \bar{x})$. Consequently

$$S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n X_k = \log \|A_n V_0\| - n\lambda_\mu,$$

where V_0 is a random variable such that $\|V_0\| = 1$ and $\bar{V}_0 = W_0$.

Benoist and Quint [2] proved that if μ has a moment of order 2, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(S_n^2) = s^2 > 0, \quad (4)$$

and, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} |\mathbb{P}(\log |\langle A_n x, y \rangle| - n\lambda_\mu \leq t\sqrt{n}) - \phi(t/s)| = 0,$$

where ϕ denotes the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

Given a matrix $g \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ denote by $\lambda_1(g)$ its spectral radius (the greatest modulus of its eigenvalues). Aoun [1] proved that if μ has a moment of order 2, then, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{P}(\log(\lambda_1(A_n)) - n\lambda_\mu \leq t\sqrt{n}) - \phi(t/s)| = 0.$$

In this paper we provide rates of convergence in these Central Limit Theorems, if μ has either an exponential moment, or a polynomial moment of order $p \geq 3$.

Before giving our main results, let us recall the known results on this subject. Let μ be a proximal and strongly irreducible probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(G)$.

If μ has an exponential moment, then a Berry–Esseen bound of order $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ for the quantity $\log \|A_n x\| - n\lambda_\mu$ is proved in [13]. The same rate is obtained in [7] under a polynomial moment of order 4; in the same paper, the rate $O(\log n/\sqrt{n})$ is proved under a moment of order 3. Recently, the rate $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ has been obtained in [9] under a moment of order 3, in the special case $d = 2$.

If μ has an exponential moment, then a Berry–Esseen bound of order $O(\log n/\sqrt{n})$ for the quantity $\log \|A_n\| - n\lambda_\mu$ is proved in [14]. The rate $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ is obtained in [7] under a polynomial moment of order 4; in the same paper, the rate $O(\sqrt{\log(n)/n})$ is proved under a polynomial moment of order 3.

If μ has an exponential moment, then a Berry–Esseen bound of order $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ for the quantity $\log |\langle A_n x, y \rangle| - n\lambda_\mu$ has been obtained very recently by Dinh et al. [8] (see also [15] for a more precise statement). This improves on the rate $O(\log n/\sqrt{n})$ of Theorem 1 (1) below (note that the preprint [8] was available on arxiv after this note was submitted).

If μ has an exponential moment, then a Berry–Esseen bound of order $O(\log n/\sqrt{n})$ for the quantity $\log(\lambda_1(A_n)) - n\lambda_\mu$ is proved in [14].

As we can see, with regard to the Berry–Esseen type bounds for the four quantities described above, the main questions which remain to be treated concern the case of polynomial moments. In particular, it would be interesting to see if the existing moment conditions are optimal (with regard to the rates obtained), and also to propose bounds in the case where μ has a polynomial moment of order between 2 and 3.

2. The case of matrix coefficients

Theorem 1. *Let μ be a proximal and strongly irreducible probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(G)$.*

- (1) *Assume that μ has an exponential moment, and let $s > 0$ be defined by (4). Then there exists a positive constant K such that, for any integer $n \geq 2$,*

$$\sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P} \left(\log |\langle A_n x, y \rangle| - n\lambda_\mu \leq t\sqrt{n} \right) - \phi(t/s) \right| \leq \frac{K \log n}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (5)$$

- (2) *Assume that μ has a polynomial moment of order $p \geq 3$ and let $s > 0$ be defined by (4). Then there exists a positive constant K such that, for any integer $n \geq 2$,*

$$\sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P} \left(\log |\langle A_n x, y \rangle| - n\lambda_\mu \leq t\sqrt{n} \right) - \phi(t/s) \right| \leq \frac{K}{n^{(p-1)/2p}}. \quad (6)$$

The proof of this theorem is based on Berry–Esseen estimates for $\log \|A_n x\| - n\lambda_\mu$ (given in [13] and [7]), and on the following elementary lemma (see [12, Lemma 5.1] for a similar result):

Lemma 2. *Let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of random variables. Assume that there exist three sequences of positive numbers $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ going to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and a positive constant s such that, for any integer n ,*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P} \left(T_n \leq t\sqrt{n} \right) - \phi(t/s) \right| \leq \frac{1}{a_n}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P} \left(|R_n| \geq \sqrt{2\pi n s} / b_n \right) \leq \frac{1}{c_n}.$$

Then,

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbb{P} \left(T_n + R_n \leq t\sqrt{n} \right) - \phi(t/s) \right| \leq \frac{1}{a_n} + \frac{1}{b_n} + \frac{1}{c_n}.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Recall that ϕ is $1/\sqrt{2\pi}$ -Lipschitz. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(T_n + R_n \leq t\sqrt{n}) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(T_n - \sqrt{2\pi ns}/b_n \leq t\sqrt{n}, -R_n \leq \sqrt{2\pi ns}/b_n\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(-R_n \geq \sqrt{2\pi ns}/b_n\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(T_n - \sqrt{2\pi ns}/b_n \leq t\sqrt{n}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(-R_n \geq \sqrt{2\pi ns}/b_n\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(T_n + R_n \leq t\sqrt{n}) - \phi(t/s) &\leq \frac{1}{a_n} + \left|\phi\left(t/s + \sqrt{2\pi}/b_n\right) - \phi(t/s)\right| + \frac{1}{c_n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a_n} + \frac{1}{b_n} + \frac{1}{c_n}.\end{aligned}$$

The lower bound may be proved similarly, by noting that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(T_n + \sqrt{2\pi ns}/b_n \leq t\sqrt{n}\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(R_n \geq \sqrt{2\pi ns}/b_n\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(T_n + R_n \leq t\sqrt{n}, R_n \leq \sqrt{2\pi ns}/b_n\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(T_n + R_n \leq t\sqrt{n}).\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (1). The proof follows the steps used in [5, Section 8.3]. We shall need some notations. For every $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in X$, let

$$d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := \frac{\|x \wedge y\|}{\|x\| \|y\|},$$

where $\wedge$ stands for the exterior product, see e.g. [4, p. 61], for the definition and some properties. Then, d is a metric on X . Let also

$$\delta(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) := \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|}{\|x\| \|y\|}. \quad (7)$$

Recall that the function δ is linked to the distance d on X by the following: For every $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in X$,

$$\delta^2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = 1 - d^2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}). \quad (8)$$

We shall also need the following result due to Guivarc'h [11] (see [3, Theorem 14.1]):

Proposition 3. *Let μ be a proximal and strongly irreducible probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(G)$. Assume that μ has an exponential moment. Then, there exists $\eta > 0$, such that*

$$\sup_{\bar{y} \in X} \int_X \frac{1}{\delta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^\eta} d\nu(\bar{x}) < \infty.$$

We start with the identity, for $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\log |\langle A_n x, y \rangle| &= \log \|A_n x\| + \log \frac{|\langle A_n x, y \rangle|}{\|A_n x\| \|y\|} \\ &= \log \|A_n x\| + \log \delta(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, \bar{y}).\end{aligned}$$

We shall then apply Lemma 2 to $T_n = \log \|A_n x\| - n\lambda_\mu$ and $R_n = \log \delta(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, \bar{y})$. Since μ has an exponential moment, we know from [13] that we can take $a_n = C\sqrt{n}$ in Lemma 2.

In view of Lemma 2, we see that Theorem 1 will be proved if we can show that there exist $\tau, K > 0$ such that (recall that $\delta(\cdot, \cdot) \leq 1$)

$$\mathbb{P}(|\log \delta(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, \bar{y})| > \tau \log n) = \mathbb{P}(\delta(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, \bar{y}) < n^{-\tau}) \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad (9)$$

which means that the sequences $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are such that $b_n = \sqrt{2\pi ns}/(\tau \log n)$ and $c_n = \sqrt{n}/K$.

Recall the identity (8). As in [5], we have, using that $d(\cdot, \cdot) \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^2(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, \bar{y}) &= 1 - d^2(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq 1 - (d(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, W_n) + d(W_n, \bar{y}))^2 \\ &\geq \delta^2(W_n, \bar{y}) - d^2(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, W_n) - 2d(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, W_n)d(W_n, \bar{y}) \\ &\geq \delta^2(W_n, \bar{y}) - 3d(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, W_n).\end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Hence, to prove (9), it suffices to prove that there exist $\tau, K > 0$ such that,

$$\mathbb{P}(\delta^2(W_n, \bar{y}) < n^{-2\tau} + 3d(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, W_n)) \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (11)$$

Now, since μ has a polynomial moment of order 3, by [5, Lemma 6], there exists $\ell > 0$, such that

$$\mathbb{P}(d(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, W_n) \geq e^{-\ell n}) \leq \frac{C}{n}$$

(in fact this estimate remains true as soon as μ has a polynomial moment of order 2, via a monotonicity argument).

Hence, for n large enough (such that $3e^{-\ell n} \leq n^{-2\tau}$), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\delta^2(W_n, \bar{y}) < n^{-2\tau} + 3d(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, W_n)) \leq \mathbb{P}(\delta^2(W_n, \bar{y}) < 2n^{-2\tau}) + \frac{C}{n}.$$

On another hand, by Markov's inequality, since W_n has law ν ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\delta^2(W_n, \bar{y}) < 2n^{-2\tau}) = \nu\left\{\bar{x} \in X : \frac{1}{\delta^2(\bar{x}, \bar{y})} > \frac{n^{2\tau}}{2}\right\} \leq \frac{2^{\eta/2}}{n^{\eta\tau}} \sup_{\bar{y} \in X} \int_X \frac{1}{\delta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})^\eta} d\nu(\bar{x}),$$

and (11) follows from Proposition 3 by taking $\tau = \frac{1}{2\eta}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1 (2). The proof follows the lines of that of Theorem 1 (1). Instead of Proposition 3, we shall use the following result due to Benoist and Quint (see [2, Proposition 4.5]):

Proposition 4. *Let μ be a proximal and strongly irreducible probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(G)$. Assume that μ has a polynomial moment of order $p > 1$. Then*

$$\sup_{\bar{y} \in X} \int_X |\log \delta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})|^{p-1} d\nu(\bar{x}) < \infty.$$

We shall then apply Lemma 2 to $T_n = \log \|A_n x\| - n\lambda_\mu$ and $R_n = \log \delta(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, \bar{y})$. Since μ has a moment of order 3, we know from [7] that we can take $a_n = C\sqrt{n/\log(n)}$ in Lemma 2 (and even $a_n = C\sqrt{n}$ if $p \geq 4$).

In view of Lemma 2, we see that Theorem 1 will be proved if we can show that there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(|\log \delta(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, \bar{y})| > n^{1/2p}) \leq \frac{K}{n^{(p-1)/2p}}, \quad (12)$$

which means that the sequences $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are such that $b_n = \sqrt{2\pi n s}/n^{1/2p}$ and $c_n = n^{(p-1)/2p}/K$.

Starting again from (10), we see that it suffices to prove that there exists $K > 0$ such that,

$$\mathbb{P}(\delta^2(W_n, \bar{y}) < e^{-2n^{1/2p}} + 3d(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, W_n)) \leq \frac{K}{n^{(p-1)/2p}}. \quad (13)$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we deduce that, for n large enough (such that $e^{-\ell n} \leq e^{-2n^{1/2p}}$), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\delta^2(W_n, \bar{y}) < e^{-2n^{1/2p}} + 3d(A_n \cdot \bar{x}, W_n)) \leq \mathbb{P}(\delta^2(W_n, \bar{y}) < 4e^{-2n^{1/2p}}) + \frac{C}{n}.$$

On another hand, by Markov's inequality, since W_n has law ν , and for n large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\delta^2(W_n, \bar{y}) < 4e^{-2n^{1/2p}}) &= \mathbb{P}(|\log \delta(W_n, \bar{y})| > n^{1/2p} - \log 2) \\ &= \nu\{\bar{x} \in X : |\log \delta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})| > n^{1/2p} - \log 2\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n^{1/2p} - \log 2)^{p-1}} \sup_{\bar{y} \in X} \int_X |\log \delta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})|^{p-1} d\nu(\bar{x}), \end{aligned}$$

and (13) follows from Proposition 4. $\square$

3. The case of the spectral radius

We now prove similar results for the spectral radius. Given a matrix $g \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ denote by $\lambda_1(g)$ its spectral radius (the greatest modulus of its eigenvalues). The first result (Theorem 5(1) below), assuming an exponential moment for μ , has been recently proved by Xiao et al. [14] (in fact, a stronger result is proved in [14]). We state it only for completeness.

Theorem 5. *Let μ be a proximal and strongly irreducible probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(G)$.*

- (1) *Assume that μ has an exponential moment, and let $s > 0$ be defined by (4). Then there exists a positive constant K such that, for any integer $n \geq 2$,*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(\log \lambda_1(A_n) - n\lambda_\mu \leq t\sqrt{n}) - \phi(t/s)| \leq \frac{K \log n}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (14)$$

- (2) *Assume that μ has a polynomial moment of order $p \geq 3$, and let $s > 0$ be defined by (4). Then there exists a positive constant K such that, for any integer $n \geq 2$,*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(\log \lambda_1(A_n) - n\lambda_\mu \leq t\sqrt{n}) - \phi(t/s)| \leq \frac{K}{n^{(p-1)/2p}}. \quad (15)$$

The proof of Item (2) is based on a Berry-Esseen estimate for $\log \|A_n\| - n\lambda_\mu$ given in [7], and on Lemma 2.

A key ingredient in the proof of Item (1) by Xiao et al. [14] is [3, Lemma 14.13].

To prove Item (2), we shall need a suitable version of [3, Lemma 14.13]. The proof of Lemma 14.13 relies on [3, Lemma 14.2] (of geometrical nature) and on large deviations, leading to Lemma 14.3.

We shall need the following consequence of large deviation estimates of Benoist and Quint [2] (see also [6] for related results under proximality).

Lemma 6. *Let μ be a strongly irreducible probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(G)$. Assume that μ has a polynomial moment of order $p > 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $C > 0$ such that, for any integer $n \geq 1$,*

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\log \|A_k x\| - k\lambda_\mu| > \varepsilon n \right) \leq \frac{C}{n^{p-1}}, \quad (16)$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\log \|A_k\| - n\lambda_\mu| > \varepsilon n \right) \leq \frac{C}{n^{p-1}}, \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\log \|\Lambda^2(A_k)\| - k(\lambda_\mu + \gamma_\mu)| > \varepsilon n \right) \leq \frac{C}{n^{p-1}}. \quad (18)$$

Remark 7. Let us recall that, for any $A \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$, $\Lambda^2(A)$ is the matrix on $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by $\Lambda^2(A)(x \wedge y) = Ax \wedge Ay$. In addition, in (18), γ_μ is the second Lyapunov exponent of μ . With the notations of [3, Section 14], λ_μ is denoted either $\lambda_{1,\mu}$ or λ_1 , while γ_μ is denoted either $\lambda_{2,\mu}$ or λ_2 .

Proof of Lemma 6. Let u_n be any of the left-hand side in (16), (17) or (18). It follows from [2, Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.2] that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{p-2} u_n < \infty. \quad (19)$$

In fact, in [2], (19) is proved for u_n defined without the maximum over $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ under the probability. However, it is easy to see that the maximum over k can be added: it suffices to follow the proof of [2, Theorem 2.2] with obvious changes, and to use a maximal version of Burkholder's inequality for martingales. Now, once (19) has been proven, it is easy to infer (via a monotonicity argument) that (16), (17) and (18) are satisfied. $\square$

Using Lemma 6 one can reproduce the proof of [3, Proposition 14.3] to prove the following version of it.

Lemma 8. *Let μ be a strongly irreducible and proximal probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(G)$. Assume that μ has a polynomial moment of order $p > 1$. Then, the estimates [3, (14.5), (14.6), (14.7) and (14.8)] hold with $1 - \frac{C}{n^{p-1}}$ in the right-hand side instead of $1 - e^{-cn}$.*

Lemma 8 implies the next result.

Lemma 9. *Let μ be a strongly irreducible probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(G)$. Assume that μ has a polynomial moment of order $p > 1$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C > 0$ and $\ell_0 > 0$ such that for every $\ell_0 \leq \ell \leq n$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\log(\lambda_1(A_n)) - \log\|A_n\| \geq -\varepsilon\ell) \geq 1 - \frac{C}{\ell^{p-1}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 9. The lemma is a version of [3, Lemma 14.13] with the following difference: Lemma 14.13 holds under an exponential moment while in Lemma 9 we assume polynomial moments.

Now, it happens that there is a small imprecision in the proof of [3, Lemma 14.13] which can be easily fixed thanks to a slight modification of the original argument.

One of the steps in the proof of Lemma 14.13 consists in proving that the property (14.38) is true on an exponentially small set (see the end of [3, page 233] for the definition on an exponentially small set). A second step of the proof consists in proving the equivalence of the fact that [3, the properties (14.38) and (14.43)] are true on an exponentially small set.

The problem then comes from the fact that it does not seem possible to deduce straightforwardly from (14.7) that the property (14.43) is true on an exponentially small set, as mentioned in [3]. Yet the weaker property (20) below follows from (14.7). Notice that since we prove below that the property (14.38) is true on an exponentially small set, from the above mentioned equivalence, it will follow that the property (14.43) is also true on an exponentially small set.

We choose to explain how to fix the proof of the original Lemma 14.13. Then, the proof of our Lemma 9 may be done similarly, using our Lemma 8 instead of [3, Lemma 14.3].

From [3, (14.7)] it follows that, with the notations of [3], for every $n \geq n_0$

$$\mu^{\otimes n} \left(\left\{ (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in G^n : \delta \left(x_{b_n \dots b_{[n/2]+1}}^M, y_{b_{[n/2]} \dots b_1}^m \right) \geq e^{-\varepsilon[n/2]} \right\} \right) \geq 1 - e^{-c[n/2]}. \quad (20)$$

Using (14.39), (14.40), (14.41) and (14.42), this yields that

$$\mu^{\otimes n} \left(\left\{ (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in G^n : \delta \left(x_{b_n \dots b_1}^M, y_{b_{[n/2]} \dots b_1}^m \right) \geq e^{-\varepsilon\ell} \right\} \right) \geq 1 - e^{-c\ell} \quad \forall [n/2] \leq \ell \leq n, \quad (21)$$

for some $c > 0$ that may differ from the above one (and from the other c 's below).

Let $\ell_0 \leq \ell < [n/2]$, with $\ell_0 \geq n_0$, where n_0 is such that Lemma 14.3 be true.

By [3, (14.6)], we have

$$\mu^{\otimes n} \left(\left\{ (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in G^n : d \left(x_{b_n \dots b_{n-\ell}}^M, b_n \dots b_1 x_0 \right) \leq e^{-(\lambda_1, \mu - \lambda_2, \mu - \varepsilon)\ell} \right\} \right) \geq 1 - e^{-c\ell}. \quad (22)$$

By (14.7), we have

$$\mu^{\otimes n} \left(\left\{ (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in G^n : \delta \left(x_{b_n \dots b_{n-\ell}}^M, y_{b_{[n/2]} \dots b_1}^m \right) \geq e^{-\varepsilon\ell} \right\} \right) \geq 1 - e^{-c\ell}, \quad (23)$$

where we used that $b_n \dots b_{n-\ell}$ and $b_{[n/2]} \dots b_1$ are independent since $n - \ell > [n/2]$.

Using the fact that (14.39), (14.41) and (14.42) are true except on an exponentially small set, combined with (22) and (23), we infer that

$$\mu^{\otimes n} \left(\left\{ (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in G^n : \delta \left(x_{b_n \dots b_1}^M, y_{b_{[n/2]} \dots b_1}^m \right) \geq e^{-\varepsilon\ell} \right\} \right) \geq 1 - e^{-c\ell} \quad \forall \ell_0 \leq \ell < [n/2]. \quad (24)$$

Combining (21) and (24), we see that the property (14.38) is true on an exponentially small set.

Then, the proof of Lemma 14.13 may be finished as in [3], combining Lemma 14.14 with the facts that the properties (14.37) and (14.38) are true on exponentially small sets. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5 (2). We shall apply Lemma 2 to $T_n = \log \|A_n\| - n\lambda_\mu$ and $R_n = \log(\lambda_1(A_n)) - \log \|A_n\|$. Since μ has a moment of order 3, we know from [7] that we can take $a_n = C\sqrt{n/\log(n)}$ in Lemma 2 (and even $a_n = C\sqrt{n}$ if $p \geq 4$).

In view of Lemma 2, we see that Theorem 5 (2) will be proved if we can show that there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(|\log(\lambda_1(A_n)) - \log \|A_n\|| > n^{1/2p}) \leq \frac{K}{n^{(p-1)/2p}}, \quad (25)$$

which means that the sequences $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are such that $b_n = \sqrt{2\pi n s}/n^{1/2p}$ and $c_n = n^{(p-1)/2p}/K$.

Recall that $\lambda_1(g) \leq \|g\|$ for every $g \in GL_d(\mathbb{R})$. Hence (25) follows from Lemma 9 by taking $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\ell = n^{1/2p}$. $\square$

References

- [1] R. Aoun, “The central limit theorem for eigenvalues”, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **149** (2021), no. 2, p. 859-873.
- [2] Y. Benoist, J.-F. Quint, “Central limit theorem for linear groups”, *Ann. Probab.* **44** (2016), no. 2, p. 1308-1340.
- [3] ———, *Random walks on reductive groups*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge.*, vol. 62, Springer, 2016.
- [4] P. Bougerol, J. Lacroix, *Products of random matrices with applications to Schrödinger operators*, *Progress in Probability and Statistics*, vol. 8, Birkhäuser, 1985.
- [5] C. Cuny, J. Dedecker, C. Jan, “Limit theorems for the left random walk on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ ”, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Probab. Stat.* **53** (2017), no. 4, p. 1839-1865.
- [6] C. Cuny, J. Dedecker, F. Merlevède, “Large and moderate deviations for the left random walk on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ ”, *ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **14** (2017), no. 1, p. 503-527.
- [7] C. Cuny, J. Dedecker, F. Merlevède, M. Peligrad, “Berry–Esseen type bounds for the left random walk on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ under polynomial moment conditions”, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03329189/document>, 2021.
- [8] T.-C. Dinh, L. Kaufmann, H. Wu, “Berry–Esseen bound and local limit theorem for the coefficients of products of random matrices”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.09032>, 2021.
- [9] ———, “Random walks on $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$: spectral gap and local limit theorems”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.04019>, 2021.
- [10] H. Furstenberg, H. Kesten, “Products of Random Matrices”, *Ann. Math. Stat.* **31** (1960), no. 2, p. 457-469.
- [11] Y. Guivarc’h, “Produits de matrices aléatoires et applications aux propriétés géométriques des sous-groupes du groupe linéaire”, *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.* **10** (1990), no. 3, p. 483-512.
- [12] S. Hörmann, “Berry–Esseen bounds for econometric time series”, *ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **6** (2009), p. 377-397.
- [13] E. Le Page, “Théorèmes limites pour les produits de matrices aléatoires”, in *Probability measures on groups (Oberwolfach, 1981)*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 928, Springer, 1982, p. 258-303.
- [14] H. Xiao, I. Grama, Q. Liu, “Berry–Esseen bounds and moderate deviations for the random walk on $GL_d(\mathbb{R})$ ”, *Stochastic Processes Appl.* **142** (2021), p. 293-318.
- [15] ———, “Limit theorems for the coefficients of random walks on the general linear group”, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03438876/document>, 2021.