



## RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2021JD035064

### Key Points:

- Several eclipse-induced events are observed by mesosphere and lower thermo-sphere sounders
- Eclipse-induced events are not directly generated in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere
- A spectacular fast, bow-shaped gravity wave is observed in nightglow images, mesosphere temperature mapper brightness, and lidar temperature, and sodium density

### Correspondence to:

F. Vargas,  
fabio.vargas.br@gmail.com

### Citation:

Vargas, F., Liu, A., Swenson, G., Segura, C., Vega, P., Fuentes, J., et al. (2022). Mesosphere and lower thermosphere changes associated with the 2 July 2019 total eclipse in South America over the Andes Lidar Observatory, Cerro Pachon, Chile. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 127, e2021JD035064. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035064>

Received 12 APR 2021  
Accepted 6 MAY 2022

### Author Contributions:

**Data curation:** F. Vargas, A. Liu, P. Vega, D. Pautet, Y. Morton  
**Formal analysis:** F. Vargas  
**Funding acquisition:** F. Vargas, A. Liu, G. Swenson  
**Investigation:** G. Swenson  
**Methodology:** F. Vargas  
**Project Administration:** F. Vargas, A. Liu, G. Swenson  
**Resources:** A. Liu, C. Segura, P. Vega, J. Fuentes, D. Pautet, Y. Morton, H. Bourne  
**Software:** F. Vargas, A. Liu  
**Supervision:** F. Vargas, G. Swenson  
**Visualization:** D. Pautet  
**Writing – original draft:** F. Vargas  
**Writing – review & editing:** F. Vargas, A. Liu, D. Pautet, M. Taylor, Y. Zhao, Y. Morton, H. Bourne

© 2022. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Mesosphere and Lower Thermosphere Changes Associated With the 2 July 2019 Total Eclipse in South America Over the Andes Lidar Observatory, Cerro Pachon, Chile

F. Vargas<sup>1</sup> , A. Liu<sup>2</sup> , G. Swenson<sup>1</sup> , C. Segura<sup>3</sup>, P. Vega<sup>4</sup> , J. Fuentes<sup>5</sup>, D. Pautet<sup>6</sup> , M. Taylor<sup>6</sup> , Y. Zhao<sup>6</sup> , Y. Morton<sup>7</sup> , and H. Bourne<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA, <sup>2</sup>Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Daytona Beach, FL, USA, <sup>3</sup>Andes Lidar Observatory, Cerro Pachon, Chile, <sup>4</sup>La Serena University, La Serena, Chile, <sup>5</sup>NOIR Lab, Gemini South Observatory, La Serena, Chile, <sup>6</sup>Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA, <sup>7</sup>Ann and H. J. Smead Aerospace Engineering Science Department, Colorado State University, Boulder, CO, USA

**Abstract** This article presents the results of a week of observations around the 2 July 2019, total Chilean eclipse. The eclipse occurred between 19:22 and 21:46 UTC, with complete sun disc obscuration at 20:38–20:40 UTC (16:38–16:40 LT) over the Andes Lidar Observatory (ALO) at (30.3°S, 70.7°W). Observations were carried out using ALO instrumentation with the goal to observe possible eclipse-induced effects on the mesosphere and lower thermosphere region (MLT; 75–105 km altitude). To complement our data set, we have also utilized TIMED/SABER temperatures and ionosonde electron density measurements taken at the University of La Serena's Juan Soldado Observatory. Observed events include an unusual fast, bow-shaped gravity wave structure in airglow images, mesosphere temperature mapper brightness as well as in lidar temperature with 150 km horizontal wavelength 24 min observed period, and vertical wavelength of 25 km. Also, a strong zonal wind shear above 100 km in meteor radar scans as well as the occurrence of a sporadic E layer around 100 km from ionosonde measurements. Finally, variations in temperature and density and the presence of a descending sporadic sodium layer near 98 km were seen in lidar data. We discuss the effects of the eclipse in the MLT, which can shed light on a sparse set of measurements during this type of event. Our results point out several effects of eclipse-associated changes in the atmosphere below and above but not directly within the MLT.


### 1. Introduction

Since Chimonas and Hines (1970) original prediction followed by mathematical derivation of Chimonas (1974), extensive campaigns were undertaken to look for evidence of waves induced by solar eclipses all way from the troposphere to the ionosphere. This has been a difficult task, as pointed out by Eckermann et al. (2007), declaring that direct observational evidence for eclipse-generated waves and bow waves is yet to be seen.

The effects of solar eclipses on the middle and upper atmospheric layers and in the ionosphere are well documented in the literature. It has been theorized that during a solar eclipse, the fast motion of the Moon's shadow across the Earth should cause a wave in the upper atmosphere in a similar fashion to a bow wave developing in front of a boat (Chimonas & Hines, 1970). However, in the atmosphere, the cold region inside the shadow acts as a sinkhole that pulls the air ahead (Harding et al., 2018).

Chimonas (1974) modeled that the supersonic motion of the Moon's shadow across the Earth would generate a bow wave, a disturbance in the neutral atmosphere which would grow to an observable magnitude at ionospheric heights. Although the original focus was on the reduction in ozone heating in the stratosphere, models have subsequently shown that a significant large-scale wave is also expected to be seen in the thermosphere (e.g., Harding et al., 2018). Even if the generation of a large-scale wave in the upper atmosphere caused by a solar eclipse was predicted, experimental evidence remains sparse and comprises mostly indirect observations.

Although the vast majority of eclipse studies focus on ionospheric effects (e.g., Le et al., 2009; Rishbeth, 1968; Rishbeth & Garriot, 1969), the neutral atmospheric effects are also believed to be significant (e.g., Eckermann et al., 2007; Ridley et al., 1984). For instance, the impact of solar eclipses on weather and meteorological conditions has been studied by Mohanakumar and Sankaranarayanan (1982). Also, the reduction in radiative heating at all altitudes changes the temperature, wind, and composition of the neutral atmosphere, with the most significant



**Figure 1.** Eclipse path over the Andes Lidar Observatory. The red dot shows the location of the observatory and the blue circle the field of view of the new meteor radar system.

neutral response expected to occur in the thermosphere. The thermospheric response should cause additional secondary ionospheric effects, in addition to the direct photochemical responses, through ion-neutral coupling and chemistry (e.g., Müller-Wodarg et al., 1998; Roble et al., 1986).

This study presents observations gathered during a major solar eclipse passing over Chile on 2 July 2019, above the Andes Lidar Observatory (ALO) location. The results show remarkable events associated with this total solar eclipse, such as the detection of a fast bow-shaped wave observed in the OH nightglow images from 23:28 UTC to 00:14 UTC after the end of the eclipse, the appearance of a descending sporadic Na layer detected in lidar temperature and density, the evident bow wave-induced perturbation on the detected sporadic Na layer, eclipse-induced zonal wind shears, and finally eclipse-induced sporadic E layer at about 100 km altitude. We present the results of each of these effects and discuss their possible causes and sources in the vicinity of the mesosphere and lower thermosphere (MLT) region.

## 2. Instrumentation

The ALO, Chile, is a facility supported by the National Science Foundation of the United States since 2009. The facility is located over the Cerro Pachon Mountain, 60 miles away from La Serena, and sits at 2,300 m above sea level. Optical observation conditions are optimum for more than two-thirds of the year as cloudiness and humidity are low, and city lights contamination is minimized by the surrounding mountains.

Plans for a campaign to observe the total Chilean Eclipse initiated 1 yr early to allow time to install a new meteor radar system and deploy a Faraday filter permitting daytime lidar measurements of sodium density and mesospheric temperatures (e.g., H. Chen et al., 1996). Figure 1 depicts the totality path crossing the area over ALO.

Day and night observations were carried out during 7 days around eclipse day. Lidar and meteor radar ran day and night continuously, while optical cameras operate from sunset to sunrise. In this article, we focus on

eclipse-associated events in the MLT only, although measurements of the ionosphere were also taken and are available elsewhere (e.g., Bravo et al., 2020).

### 2.1. Airglow All-Sky Imager

The ALO all-sky imager (ASI) is equipped with an Apogee Alta 6 CCD camera and a 30 mm focal length fish-eye lens. It records several night sky emissions over a 180° field of view (FOV) allowed by fisheye and telecentric lens system. Apogee camera houses a Kodak KAF-1001 CCD sensor with a 1,024 × 1,024 array and 24  $\mu\text{m}$  pixel pitch with a 24.6 × 24.6 mm<sup>2</sup> active imaging area. High sensitivity is achieved by combining >72% QE @560 nm, low noise readout electronics, and deep TE cooling down to -20°C. The ASI interference filters permit to record images of the OH(6-2), O<sub>2</sub>(0, 1), O(<sup>1</sup>S), and O(<sup>1</sup>D) nightglow emissions during moonless periods. Images are integrated on a continuous cycle every ~45 s (75 s of the ionosphere redline emission), with each particular filter position visited every 3.5 min. More ASI features and specifications are given in Vargas et al. (2020).

### 2.2. Mesosphere Temperature Mapper (MTM)

The Utah State University MTM measures the brightness and rotational temperature of the mesospheric hydroxyl molecule nightglow emission over a 90° FOV centered on the zenith. MTM measurements are used to determine the temperature perturbations induced by atmospheric gravity wave activity. Although the MTM image frame has 1,024 × 1,024 pixels produced by a back-illuminated CCD detector, an (8 × 8) binning operation reduces the MTM image size to 128 × 128 pixels to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. The CCD detector is mounted below a telecentric lens system and a set of narrow-band interference filters to measure the P<sub>1</sub>(2) and P<sub>1</sub>(4) lines of the OH(6, 2) band at 840 and 846.5 nm, respectively, and the sky background intensity at 857 nm. Each emission is observed for 30 s followed by a background measurement, resulting in a 2 min cadence and a zenith pixel footprint of 0.9 × 0.9 km at 90 km altitude. Rotational temperatures are computed using the ratio method in Meriwether (1984), which show precision of 0.5% and 1–2 K in brightness and rotational temperature measurements, respectively. Complete details of the MTM design and calibration can be found in Pendleton et al. (2000) and Taylor et al. (2001).

### 2.3. Na Wind/Temperature Lidar

The ALO lidar system is a narrow-band resonance-fluorescence Na lidar. The lidar transmits a nominal power of 1.5 W via a source of coherent light locked at the Na resonance frequency at the D2a line, using the three-frequency technique (Krueger et al., 2015), where the central frequency (f<sub>0</sub>) is shifted by ±630 MHz to obtain the shifted frequencies f<sub>+</sub> and f<sub>-</sub> in a sequence to produce the optical excitation of the mesospheric sodium layer around the Na D2a linewidth, enabling the production of an artificial beacon source. In May 2014, the system was upgraded by replacing the old Coherent Ring Dye Laser with a high-power amplified diode laser (TA-SHG from Toptica Photonics) as the master oscillator (A. Liu et al., 2016), and the receiver system was improved with a more efficient optical design (Smith & Chu, 2015). The upgraded system runs now in nearly uninterrupted nightly lidar operations with signals of over 1,000 counts per laser pulse from the Na layer at about 0.4–0.6 Wm<sup>2</sup> power aperture product. These improvements result in a much more reliable and stable system with several times higher signals, making it possible to achieve many more nights of measurements with better data quality and higher resolutions.

The lidar performs nightly measurements of temperature, line-of-sight (LOS) winds, and Na density profiles between 80 and 105 km, depending on the ratios among the back-scattered signals at the three monitored frequencies. The ALO lidar system is equipped with four 75 cm diameter telescopes pointing toward zenith and 20° off-zenith to south, east, and west directions, although the west telescope was not used during the eclipse campaign. For the observation sequence (zenith, south, east), the cycle takes 3 min. To derive the LOS winds and temperature, raw lidar photon counts are typically processed at 60 s (90 s on some nights) integration time of 6 s and 500 m range resolution in a given direction, but the integration time for lidar scans varies between campaigns which depend on the signal-to-noise ratio retrieved from the photon returns. The root mean square errors for temperature and LOS winds due to photon noise are respectively 1.4 K and 1.1 m/s at the Na layer's peak. These errors increase quickly beyond this altitude range and are 2.2 K and 2.0 m/s at 85 and 100 km.

#### 2.4. Meteor Radar

The new ALO meteor radar was deployed in June 2019, just in time before the eclipse. The radar is an Enhanced Meteor Detection Radar built and installed by ATRAD Pty Ltd, Australia. The radar has a nominal peak power of 40 kW, higher than many meteor radars currently in operation. Combined with the quiet radio environment around ALO, this radar is able to routinely detect over 35,000 meteor echoes per day, compared to a few thousands up to 20,000 for other systems (Fritts et al., 2012; L. Liu et al., 2017). The location of the transmitter and receiving antennas are next to the ALO building, thus the radar measures neutral winds in the same volume as the lidar, but with a continuous coverage. Wind and wind shear calculations were carried out at 2 km spatial, 1 hr temporal resolution, respectively. We have also calculated winds and shears with 15 and 30 min temporal resolution, but the hourly resolution provided the best tradeoff between altitude and time coverage while providing high signal-to-noise ratio of at least 25 within the observed range.

#### 2.5. Juan Soldado Observatory Ionosonde

The ionosonde system is located the La Serena University's Juan Soldado scientific facility (29.9°S, 71.3°W), Chile. The system, deployed approximately 10 km north of La Serena, is an IPS-42 ionosonde operated by Inter-University Center for Upper Atmosphere Physics (CInFAA), and is located about 60 miles from the ALO facility. A full description of the ionosonde can be found in Bravo et al. (2020). Also, a comprehensive characterization of the eclipse's effects in the ionosphere near ALO and other two observatories are thoroughly discussed in Bravo et al. (2020).


#### 2.6. TIMED/SABER

Thermosphere Ionosphere Mesosphere Energetics and Dynamic (TIMED) mission was launched in December 2001, and is still operating some of the instruments over 19 yr later. SABER measurements of OH Meinel band emissions are a routine data product, including rotational temperature distributions (Marsh et al., 2006). We have retrieved measurements of the SABER (Sounding of the Atmosphere using Broadband Emission Radiometry onboard of TIMED Explorer mission (Mlynczak, 1997; Russell et al., 1999; Yee et al., 1999) to further investigate eclipse-induced effects on the MLT.

### 3. Mesosphere Measurements and Results

During the eclipse campaign, nightglow images were taken every night over the campaign. On the evening of 2 July, observations began at 23:00 UTC, about 3 hr after the eclipse ended. Figure 2 shows a spectacular and rare wave event displaying bow-shaped wavefronts traveling eastward at an apparent horizontal phase speed of 104–243 m/s. Luckily, the wave entered the imager FOV right after the observations began. Bow-shaped wavefronts are more discernible near the south-east edge in the image taken at 00:07 UTC, a few minutes after the wave crossed the image's zenith. Figure 2 shows a sequence of time-difference images of the OH emission where the contrast of the wave in the airglow brightness was the largest. The image sequence goes from left to right and top to bottom in time. The frames are distorted due to the camera fisheye lens but permit better visual inspection of wave's eastward horizontal propagation. Further wave feature analysis was carried out using dewarped image frames. Later in this article, we discuss the possible sources of this wave and its relationship with the eclipse event.

Calculation of features from dewarped frames reveals a wave with a horizontal wavelength of 150.0 km. By taking the vertical and horizontal lines of the sequence of dewarped image frames from 23:28 UTC to 00:18 UTC, we have built east-west (zonal) and north-south (meridional) keograms, allowing further analysis of the wave features. The resulting keograms are presented in Figure 3, where the green-dotted lines delimit the bow wave structure. The zonal keogram show tilted wavefronts since the wave is traveling eastward, while the meridional keogram show roughly the instant the wavefronts crossed the image zenith. From the tilt of the green-dotted lines in Figure 7a, we infer that the wave structure traveled 512 km in ~35 min, allowing to estimate an apparent




**Figure 2.** Sequence of time-difference OH airglow images showing the wavefronts of a fast, bow-shaped gravity wave on 2 July 2019 traveling eastward. The time spans from 23:28 UTC to 00:18 UTC. The red-dashed line on the image of 00:07 UTC shows the bow-shaped wavefront. The Supporting Information files present animation of the images in original as well as in dewarped formats.

horizontal phase speed of 243.0 m/s and apparent period of 10.3 min. The considerable phase speed of the wave (close to the sound speed of 270 m/s) was never seen over ALO.

Considering an eastward wave propagation on top of an eastward zonal wind of 20 m/s (Figure 6), we estimate a bow wave intrinsic period of 11.2 min. Using the dispersion relation and the linear gravity wave theory, we can calculate a bow wave vertical wavelength of 67 km and a vertical group velocity of 97.7 m/s (5.8 km/min). For reference in the discussion section, we label the wave feature obtained utilizing the keograms as imager measurement parameters.

Figure 4a presents the MTM measurements during three nights around the eclipse day. The OH(6, 2) brightness is represented by the continuous black lines and the rotational temperature by blue lines. The vertical red lines in Figure 4a represent the beginning, total obscuration, and ending of the eclipse event over ALO. Measurements taken on the evening of 2 July 2019, are presented in Figure 4b. In the nights prior and after the eclipse, the rotational temperature decreases fast from  $>200$  K at the beginning of observations to  $<180$  K by 02:00 UTC. Similarly, the OH brightness decreases from  $11 \times 10^4$  counts to  $<6 \times 10^4$  counts by 02:00 UTC. However, on the evening of 2 July, the temperature remains high ( $\sim 200$  K) until 04:00 UTC and then decreases to  $<180$  K rapidly, while the OH brightness remains high until 02:00 UTC and then decreases slowly, reaching  $<6 \times 10^4$  counts at 04:00 UTC.

In Figure 4b, the red-dotted box indicates the occurrence of a large-amplitude oscillation (15.4% peak-to-peak) in nightglow brightness. However, the rotational temperature oscillation shows an amplitude of 2.5 K (1.2% peak-to-peak). The OH brightness is more responsive of wave perturbations compared to that in rotational temperature because the OH photochemistry depends on various perturbed mesospheric constituents such as the atomic oxygen, molecular oxygen, and molecular nitrogen as well as the perturbed temperature (Swenson




**Figure 3.** (a) Zonal and (b) meridional keograms built with OH airglow images taken on 2–3 July 2019. Green-dotted lines depict the wave fronts of the fast wave crossing the imager field of view with apparent phase speed of 240 m/s.

& Gardner, 1998; Vargas et al., 2007). This brightness response is large for waves of long vertical wavelength ( $>25$  km; Vargas, 2019), which is the case for the bow-shaped wave, as shown in the discussion.

The vertical, red-dashed arrows indicate the wave's ridges and troughs. Notice the first wavefront crossing zenith instants before 00:00 UTC. Notice in Figure 2 the bow wave's horizontal structure across the image zenith at 00:00 UTC. The wave amplitude in OH brightness is large at 00:14 UTC, which can be confirmed in Figure 2 as well. From the time difference between the salient brightness peak, we have estimated an apparent wave period of 24 min. Taking into account the 150 km horizontal wavelength calculated from the OH images, we obtain a bow wave apparent phase speed of 104 m/s.

Again, as the bow wave travels eastward over a zonal wind of  $\sim 20$  m/s (eastward wind), the intrinsic phase speed is estimated in 84 m/s, and the intrinsic period is 29 min. The bow wave is fast compared with regularly observed waves over ALO that usually show horizontal phase speeds of  $<60$  m/s (e.g., Vargas et al., 2015) but usually with shorter wavelengths. However, the bow wave is still in the gravity wave branch since the estimated sound speed in the MLT for the eclipse night was 276 m/s. Using the gravity wave dispersion and the gravity wave linear theory, we can calculate the bow wave's vertical wavelength in 25 km and a vertical group velocity of 13.8 m/s (0.8 km/min). We label the wave features obtained here as MTM measurement parameters. Table 1 summarizes the bow wave features obtained from the two instruments.

Figures 5a and 5b show lidar sodium densities and temperatures for the 3 days centered at the eclipse event, respectively. Daytime measurements were made possible by a narrow-band, magnetic effect, Faraday filter receiver (H. Chen et al., 1996) used from sunrise to sunset. The regular optical interference filter receiver was used for nighttime from sunset to sunrise. No changes were necessary on the lidar transmitter, and switching from daytime to nighttime lidar observation mode was carried out manually. The vertical red lines in Figure 5 indicate the eclipse start, total obscuration, and end. The eclipse totality occurred at 16:38 LT (20:38 UTC) over ALO.



**Figure 4.** Mesosphere temperature mapper (MTM) rotational temperature is warmer than the night before and after the night following the eclipse. The zoomed in (c) red-dotted square indicates a strong oscillation in the OH intensity caused by the fast, bow wave shown in the airglow images. The vertical red lines in (a) indicate the beginning, total obscuration, and the end of the eclipse.


Figures 5c and 5d show lidar measurements for the eclipse day only. At first sight, the measurements indicate consistency between day and night, meaning that what is occurring during the day continued during the night, even though day measurements are noisier as a consequence of the Faraday filter that rejects daylight radiation and with that some portion of the Na back-scatter from the transmitted lidar beam pulses. During the eclipse totality, both sodium density and ambient temperature do not present significant enhancement, although the sodium density is slightly larger, around 86–88 km. The sodium layer is relatively narrow (~4 km) up to the eclipse start, widening vertically to 10 km by totality. The diurnal tide is present and is more evident in temperature, where its descending phase is more noticeable. The red-dotted arrow labeled “Diurnal Tide” helps to localize the wave descending phase in Figures 5c and 5d.

The mesopause region maintained its diurnal evolution. After sunset, we observed a cooling above 90 km (Figure 5d) and a sporadic Na Layer ( $\text{Na}_s$ ) at 98 km (Figure 5c) synonymous with the strong sporadic Es. A faint signature of the  $\text{Na}_s$  is noticeable earlier around the eclipse totality at 98 km altitude. The  $\text{Na}_s$  descends from 98 km at 20:40 UTC to 94 km at 23:30 UTC when its density is more prominent, and we notice that the descending phase speed of the  $\text{Na}_s$  resembles that of the diurnal tide in Figure 5c. After 23:30 UTC, the  $\text{Na}_s$  dissipates, although Na's more prominent density peak is visible later at 00:30 UTC at 96 km. Around 23:30 UTC, when the  $\text{Na}_s$  is stronger at 94 km, we also notice a strong oscillation of 20–25 min period in both  $\text{Na}_s$  density and ambient temperature.


In Figure 5d, the temperature clearly shows a descending phase structure appearing near 100 km at 21:40 UTC, 94 km at 23:30 UTC, and 88–90 km at 00:00 UTC. We assume this descending structure is associated with the bow wave seen in the airglow images. The red-dotted arrow labeled “bow wave” helps to visualize the wave descending phase structure. The insets in Figures 5e and 5f are close-ups of the interest region highlighted by the black-dotted boxes in Figures 5c and 5d. The red-dotted arrows at the bottom of Figures 5e and 5f indicate ridges and troughs of the oscillation at around 87 km with a period of 20–25 min as well.

Figure 6 shows the meteor radar measurements taken around the eclipse event. We notice more significant zonal wind variance is more significant in the 70–85 km range, while the meridional wind variance is large in the 85–100 km range. Tidal oscillations are also present in both wind directions. During the eclipse day (Figures 6c and 6d), we notice an apparent disruption of the tidal oscillation patterns at 90–110 km altitude around the eclipse start time. As the eclipse ends, the zonal wind decreases in magnitude to about 20 m/s at 87 km altitude and continues in that range until 02:00 UTC on the morning of the next day. Observe that a wind jet (80–100 m/s) is occurring near 75 km level on the zonal wind, which is not present on the meridional wind. The meridional wind is weak and directed southwards on average, although it is northward during the eclipse times in the 95–110 km range and at the 75 km level from 22:00 UTC onward.

The black-dotted squares highlight the region of interest, showing the zonal wind with a magnitude of about 180 m/s at around 105 km and -20 m/s at 90 km. The meridional wind also shows substantial variation over the 90–110 km range, with significant changes occurring about 30 min earlier than the eclipse totality within 100–110 km altitude range. Because of the substantial variation of the wind magnitude in height during the eclipse, we



**Figure 5.** Lidar measurements. The insets (e and f) show oscillatory features in the Na density and temperature associated with the bow-shaped wave also seen ~30 min later in the airglow images and mesosphere temperature mapper (MTM) brightness signal. The vertical red lines indicate the beginning, total obscuration, and the end of the eclipse. Sunset time is also indicated by the vertical black line. The white and black rectangles indicate daytime and nighttime lidar operation modes, respectively.




**Figure 6.** Zonal and Meridional time vs. altitude cross-section of horizontal winds from measurements of the new ALO meteor radar system. The insets (e and f) are the zonal and meridional wind shear cross-sections for the region of interest highlighted by the black-dotted boxes. The vertical red lines indicate the beginning, total obscuration, and the end of the eclipse.

have also calculated the zonal and meridional wind shears presented in the insets (Figures 6e and 6f). The zonal wind shear is strong ( $>60 \text{ ms}^{-1}/\text{km}$ ) at 102 km by 20:00 UTC about 30 min earlier the eclipse totality, and also at 104 km with a magnitude  $>40 \text{ ms}^{-1}/\text{km}$ . The meridional wind shear is much weaker and presents magnitudes of  $30\text{--}40 \text{ ms}^{-1}/\text{km}$  around 96 km about 20 min after the totality.

#### 4. Discussion

We have shown indications of the influence of the eclipse in the MLT, namely, the detection of a fast, bow-shaped wave traveling eastward in OH nightglow images as well as the appearance of a descending sporadic Na layer in our lidar scans, and also a strong wind shear in the zonal wind 30 min prior the eclipse totality. We investigate the possibility that these features were triggered by eclipse-induced changes on the lower stratosphere (from the cooling of the ozone layer) and on the ionosphere (from electron temperature cooling and recombination rate changes).



**Figure 7.** SABER temperature measurements taken during three consecutive days around 2 July eclipse event. On 2 July, the eclipse totality occurred over (19°S, 242°E) at 18:53 UTC, while (b) shows SABER scans on 2 July at 230°E in longitude around the same time span. Panels (a and c) depict control days. The inset (d) shows the variation in temperature on 2 July in relation to the averaged temperature of the control days.

#### 4.1. Direct Effects of the Eclipse in the MLT

To evaluate the eclipse effects on the MLT, we have first retrieved SABER temperate measurements (Figure 7) along the 230°E meridian for the days before, during, and after the eclipse around 18:53 UTC. During the eclipse day, SABER measurements were retrieved as close as possible to the eclipse totality at (19°S, 242°E), about 12° in latitude far from the total obscuration region. SABER sampled an area within a 50%–90% obscuration to the west of the totality location. SABER temperatures during the eclipse day (Figure 7b) indicate a colder (~97 km) and warmer (~105 km) region at 30°S–10°S latitude range depicted by the black-dashed box. Figure 7d shows an inset of the same interest region indicating that the area was 35% colder and 30% warmer than the average of the control days (before and after the eclipse) at the given reference altitudes. Over ALO, the eclipse totality occurred at 20:38–20:40 UTC, but SABER measurements were only taken in the morning of 3 July at around 04:55 UTC.

Although it seems reasonable to consider the temperature changes in Figure 7d as a direct consequence of the eclipse, a closer examination of lidar temperatures measured during the eclipse totality over ALO allows a different interpretation. The daytime Lidar scans recorded over ALO around the eclipse totality in Figure 5d indicates neither cooling nor warming induced by the eclipse in the MLT temperature, suggesting that the cold/warm regions as showed by SABER would not be a direct consequence of eclipse. Moreover, similar cold/warm structures in the MLT are also present in Figure 7c but not in Figure 7a around the same region of interest. Thus, the observed cooling/warming in the MLT cannot be directly associated with the eclipse conclusively. However, indirect effects of the eclipse in the MLT are possible.

It could be possible, for example, that the observed cooling/warming temperatures be caused by waves coming from above or below the MLT since the highlighted area in Figure 7b resembles a vertical oscillating structure. Previous publications show the occurrence of gravity waves in the ionospheric E region induced by eclipse cooling. For instance, some of the ionospheric wavelike disturbances result from gravity waves excited in the thermosphere. Jakowski et al. (2008) reported the ionospheric effects of the 3 October 2005 solar eclipse over Spain. They found that the ionosphere responded by (a) an approximately 30 total electron content (TEC) units decrease (as measured by GNSS TEC) resulting from an NmF2 decrease, an hmF2 increase, and an initial slab thickness increase, and (b) a small-amplitude gravity waves with

**Table 1**

*Estimated Features of the Bow Wave Observed in the Airglow From ASI and MTM Measurements, Respectively*

	$\lambda_h$ (km)	$\lambda_z$ (km)	$\tau_o$ (min)	$\tau_i$ (min)	$c_o$ (m/s)	$c_i$ (m/s)	$c_{gz}$ (m/s)
ASI	<b>150</b>	67	10.3	11.2	<b>243</b>	223	97.7
MTM	150	25	<b>24</b>	29	104	84	13.8

*Note.* Bold values indicate directly measured quantities.

an approximately 6 min period. The former was probably associated with a competing slab thickness increase and regional cooling, while the latter had no apparent source, that is, either in the thermosphere ( $\sim 180$  km altitude) or somewhere in the middle atmosphere.

Similarly, G. Chen et al. (2011) focused on the study of sporadic  $E_s$  layer effects over Wuhan, China ( $30.4^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $114.3^\circ\text{E}$ ), using simultaneous observations from an ionosonde and an oblique backscattering sounding system. They found the exceptional occurrence of the  $E_s$  layer during the eclipse with a periodicity of 35 min for both the electron concentration and the spread  $E_s$  drifting velocity. They suggested that a gravity wave deformed the  $E_s$  layer and produced wave-like structures responsible for off-vertical  $E_s$  echoes. Thus, we hypothesize that the strong temperature oscillation in the MLT observed by SABER is possibly due to a downward oscillation excited in the ionosphere due to eclipse-induced cooling.

#### 4.2. Bow Wave Source and Vertical Propagation Conditions

We cannot rule out the possibility of indirect eclipse effects on the MLT due to waves coming from below. The fast bow wave observed clearly in the OH images in Figure 2 has properties that support its excitation below the MLT. It has been suggested that the cooling action resulting from a solar eclipse can generate bow waves as the shadow of the moon passes across the surface of the earth at a supersonic speed (Beer & May, 1972; Chimonas, 1974; Chimonas & Hines, 1970). This mechanism seems capable of generating the observed continuous atmospheric wave spectrum in the upper atmosphere. The rationale is that, for instance, if the supersonic shadow of a solar eclipse would have a similar effect as that of the terminator (e.g., Beer, 1973, 1978; Raitt & Clark, 1973). The terminator is supersonic between  $+45^\circ$  latitude at all altitudes below the mesopause, but no longer supersonic above 100 km because the high temperatures in the thermosphere increase the sound speed to a value greater than the Earth's rotational speed. Thus, it seems likely that the ozone heating region and the bottom of the molecular oxygen heating region will generate atmospheric waves every 12 hr due to the terminator and also when there is a solar eclipse. Bow waves should be induced by extreme, short time frame impulses such as those caused by eclipses initiating rapid atmospheric cooling. An impulsive event has been reported by Harding et al. (2018) in thermospheric wind measurements of the September 2017 Great American Eclipse. It has also been simulated by Huba and Drob (2017), showing the effects of that eclipse on ionospheric quantities such as electron density and temperature, O+ velocity, and TEC near the eclipse totality location and at its conjugate point. However, plasma temperature cooling, especially in electron temperature, is not the same physics as the neutral atmosphere. The cooling is predominately related to the reduced photo-electrons via photo-ionization processes by EUV irradiation. Purely ionospheric variations as those of Huba and Drob (2017) which do not have eclipse-related neutral temperature and winds specifications and can not excite gravity waves.

We have determined the bow wave properties from the wave signature analysis on the OH airglow images, MTM, meteor radar winds, and finally lidar temperatures and sodium density. Assuming that the bow wave was generated by impulsive cooling in the ozone layer near the peak of stratosphere, 40 km below the MLT, and considering homogeneous both the wind and temperature, it would take, from imager measurements (Table 1), about 6.9 min for the bow wave to travel from the excitation region up to the detection region in the peak of the OH layer at 87 km around 00:00 UTC. From MTM measurements, the bow wave would take 48 min to cover the same 40 km vertical distance. Alternatively, the bow wave could have also been excited around 20 km in the tropopause water vapor layer due to eclipse-induced cooling, that is, 67 km below the OH layer peak. From imager measurements, it would take about 11.5 min for the wave to cover the 67 km distance, whereas it would take 80.0 min for the wave to cover the same distance under MTM measurements.

The bow wave excitation indeed occurred to the west of ALO since it appears first in the west corner to the OH airglow images and travels eastward. Assuming the horizontal wind is homogeneous for a moment, we can calculate the distance traveled horizontally by the wave from its excitation sources below the OH layer. Under the assumption of wind homogeneity, the horizontal phase velocity  $\omega/k_h$  equals the horizontal group velocity  $N/m + u_o$  when  $u_o = 0$  because  $m = (N/\omega)k$ , the dispersion relation for high-frequency waves. This way, for imager measurements, the wave would have been generated 92 km the west of ALO for the stratosphere generation case and 154 km away for the water vapor layer generation case.

For bow wave parameters obtained from MTM measurements, we infer that the wave would have been generated 242 km away from ALO for the stratospheric case and 403 km away for the water vapor layer generation case.

Considering an eastward wave propagation on top of a zonal wind of 20 m/s (Figure 6), we estimate a bow wave intrinsic velocity to be 223 m/s. The hydrostatic assumption of the dispersion relationship (Swenson et al., 2003) defines the vertical wavelength as  $\lambda_z = \frac{c_i}{N} = 67$  km, where  $N$  is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency. This vertical wavelength is also consistent with that of the semidiurnal tide. The large eastward motion of the apparent two brightness bumps in the OH airglow could be an artifact associated with coupling with the semidiurnal tide. For bow wave parameters obtained from MTM, data is marginal and inconclusive regarding the cause, but the temporal signature of the bow wave in lidar temperature confirms a shorter temporal scale wave.

Our calculations show that it is not possible to conciliate the wave detection time in the OH airglow (from 23:30 UTC to 00:18 UTC) with the times and locations of wave excitation in the lower atmosphere, at least using the simplistic approach where the horizontal wind and temperature are homogeneous. Thus, we must realize that wind and temperature vary with altitude in such a way to make possible the detection of the bow wave by our sensors. Assuming a structured atmosphere, the linear wave theory shows that the bow wave vertical group velocity could have slowed down near leaky absorption regions, where the wave is partially absorbed but still can penetrate through after some time. This must have been the case for the bow wave propagation conditions in its path from the excitation altitude to the detection region.

Evidence of this is that the bow wave is propagating into the zonal wind in the MLT, which is not strong enough to absorb this relatively fast wave entirely. Notice the zonal jet in Figure 6 near 75 km presenting a magnitude of <100 m/s, while the bow wave eastward apparent speed is 104 m/s, characterizing a leaky absorption region just below the mesopause. This leaky absorption region allows part of the wave energy to go through the region, whereas part is absorbed within the region. Because of this, we can hypothesize the bow wave may have been generated in the lower atmosphere by an impulsive, eclipse-induced cooling of the ozone or water vapor layers in a location a few hundred kilometers away to the west, earlier than 19:20–21:40 UTC, the eclipse time over ALO. Another strong evidence that the bow wave was generated in the region below the MLT is seen in Figure 5d that shows the bow wave's descending phase, suggesting that the oscillation is upward propagating.

Results from other investigators support this claim. For example, Kumar et al. (2016) using GPS and FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC satellite data taken during eclipses at 100%–78% obscuration observed TEC oscillations with periods of 40–120 min associated with gravity waves generated in the lower atmosphere. Similarly, Paulino et al. (2020) have demonstrated the presence of mid-scale gravity waves associated with the August 2017 great American solar eclipse in airglow measurements with observed periods of 152 min triggered ~1,618 km away from the observatory location in Brazil.


Using the bow wave characteristics found from MTM measurements, we have investigated the vertical propagation conditions for the bow wave in the altitude range of 80–100 km. For that, we have inserted in the Taylor-Goldstein equation (Salby et al., 1996, p. 449).

$$m^2 = \frac{N^2}{(u - c_o)^2} + \frac{u_{zz}}{(u - c_o)} - k_h^2$$

The bow wave parameters for MTM measurements (Table 1), preprocessed lidar temperatures, and meteor radar winds to obtain the bow wave vertical wavenumber in altitude vs. time (Figure 8). In the Taylor-Goldstein equation,  $N$  is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency,  $u$  is the background wind in the wave propagation direction,  $u_{zz}$  is the wind second derivative, and  $c_o$  and  $k_h$  are the observed horizontal phase velocity and the horizontal wavenumber, respectively.

Figure 8 shows several patches of forbidden vertical propagation regions for an oscillation similar to the bow wave. The deep blue areas indicate  $m^2 \leq 0$ . Interestingly, the red-dotted box highlights a permitted vertical propagation region bounded by forbidden regions to the top and bottom, revealing a wave propagation channel. Coincidentally, the highlighted propagation channel coincides with the OH layer peak altitude observed by SABER for that same night but later morning hours (not shown). Also, the channel extends from 23:00 UTC to 01:30 UTC, within the bow wave detection time window in the OH airglow images. The propagation channel depicted in Figure 8 also explains the weak signature of the bow wave in the brightness of O<sub>2</sub> and O(<sup>1</sup>S) images, because these emissions are located at 92 and 96 km, respectively, well above the permitted vertical propagation channel.

Another possible source of the bow wave would be associated with the eclipse obscuration and subsequent cooling of the ionosphere regions below 130–140 km. Goncharenko et al. (2018) show that the electron and ion




**Figure 8.** Bow wave vertical wavenumber variation with altitude due to structured wind and temperature across the mesosphere and lower thermosphere (MLT) on 2–3 July 2019. The red-dotted box indicates a channel around the OH layer altitude between 85 and 90 km. The vertical red lines indicate the beginning, total obscuration, and the end of the eclipse.

temperatures decrease by 100–220 K (electrons) and 50–140 K (ions), respectively, above 150 km, evidencing the ionosphere cooling during eclipse events. G. Chen et al. (2011) determine the presence of wave-like structures and traveling ionospheric disturbances (TIDs) during 22 July 2009, total solar eclipse over Wuhan, China. They assumed that the TEC perturbations (periods from 1.0 to 2.5 hr) were entirely due to the obscuration of solar UV radiation, which led to varying ionization levels in the ionosphere. One of the early reports about the great American eclipse using a large set of observations was presented by Zhang et al. (2017). The experiment used observations from 2000 GNSS receivers to derive the TEC over North America. They have found an “unambiguous evidence” of ionospheric bow waves lasting approximately 1 hr with a wavelength of 300–400 km and a phase speed of 280 m/s originating from the totality region.

Furthermore, they noted supersonic ionospheric perturbations from the maximum solar obscuration, which were too fast to be associated with gravity waves or traveling ionosphere disturbances. Similar analyses by Sun et al. (2018) indicated that a “great ionospheric bow wavefront” (3,000 km wide) was observed. The supersonic moon shadow-induced acoustic shock wave resulted in the bow wave trough and crest near the totality path. The acoustic shock wave and plasma recombination in the ionosphere controlled the bow wavefront formation rather than gravity waves excited by the moon shadow from the lower atmosphere. Furthermore, Eisenbeis et al. (2019), using 3,000 GNSS receivers to determine the TEC, found that complete identification of eclipse-generated TIDs is possible using 3D fast Fourier transform analysis. They have shown that these disturbances exhibit wavelengths and periods of 50–100 km and 30 min, respectively, and 500–600 km and 65 min, identifying these oscillations as bow-type waves. Moreover, they suggested that these TIDs are what other researchers have identified as bow waves in Sun et al. (2018) and Zhang et al. (2017).

#### 4.3. Connection Between the Observed $E_s$ and $Na_s$ Layers

The sporadic sodium layer showed in Figure 5c points out the possibility of the bow wave generation above the MLT within the ionospheric  $E$  region. We have highlighted interest regions in Figures 5c and 5d by the red-dotted arrows. The arrow labeled  $Na_s$  in Figure 5c indicates a descending feature that culminates in a strong sporadic layer at around 23:00–23:30 UTC. Note that about 23:00–23:30 UTC, the  $Na_s$  shows an oscillation with a period




**Figure 9.** Ionomonde measurements showing the occurrence of a sporadic E layer ( $E_s$ ) around 100 km during the 2 July 2019 total solar eclipse. The back continuous line represents the  $E_s$  electron density and the blue curve the  $E_s$  virtual altitude. The vertical red lines indicate the beginning, total obscuration, and the end of the eclipse.

of 20–25 min, which is consistent with the bow wave periods determined using data from our airglow instruments. The  $Na_s$  oscillation associated with the bow wave also occurs in the MTM brightness (Figure 4d). The bow wave descending phase is depicted by the red-dotted arrows in Figures 5c and 5d as well. We have extended the arrows down to the 87 km altitude to show that the occurrence of the bow wave in the OH altitude would be detectable around 00:00 UTC when the wave crosses the zenith of the all-sky camera system. However, the bow wave signature can be seen earlier in the bottom of the Na layer in the insets presented in Figures 5e and 5f and pointed out by the red-dotted arrows.

The sporadic sodium layer revealed by the ALO lidar scans could have been a consequence of eclipse-triggered sporadic E layers in the lower ionospheric E region. Figure 9 shows that a sporadic E layer was detected during the eclipse day indeed. Figure 9 shows the fast enhancement of the electron density associated with the sporadic E near the eclipse totality over the ALO region at 20:38 UTC. The Na sporadic layer is visible at around 98 km altitude in the lidar Na density about the same time. Observe in Figure 9 the virtual altitude of the  $E_s$  around ~100 km. There is, however, a possibility that the appearance of this sporadic Na layer to be due to chance, once this type of event occurs frequently over ALO as well as report from other observational sites point out the occurrence of  $Na_s$  about 20% of the time.

Sporadic E layers are ionization enhancements in the E region at altitudes between 90 and 120 km. The layer densities can be up to an order of magnitude greater than background densities, and the primary ions in the layers are metallic (e.g.,  $Na^+$ ,  $Fe^+$ ,  $Mg^+$ ). Neutral metal atoms are created during meteor ablation, and their subsequent ionization via photo-ionization and charge exchange yields the long-lived metallic ions (Schunk & Nagy, 2018). In general, sporadic E layers at mid-latitudes are primarily a result of wind shears (e.g., Didebulidze et al., 2020). Still, they can also be created by diurnal and semi-diurnal tides and gravity waves (Schunk & Nagy, 2018). The E<sub>s</sub> layers are formed when the vertical ion drift changes direction with altitude, and the layers occur at the altitudes where the ion drift converges. In the E region, the zonal neutral wind is primarily responsible for inducing vertical ion drifts, which result from a  $u \times B$  dynamo action ( $u$  is the zonal wind and  $B$  is the geomagnetic field). Hence, a reversal of the zonal neutral wind with altitude will result in ion convergence and divergence regions. The ions accumulate in the convergence regions, but since the molecular ions ( $NO^+$ ,  $O_2^+$ ,  $N_2^+$ ) rapidly recombine, it is the long-lived metallic ions that survive and dominate the sporadic E layers. A strong evidence of zonal wind



**Figure 10.** Comparison of meteor radar winds during the eclipse day and control days around the eclipse time.

reversal with altitude is given in Figure 6d where positive and negative wind shears are seen in the 100–106 km range. Thus, the observed  $E_s$  layer was likely caused by the effect of the wind shear supposedly induced directly by eclipse cooling since similar wind shears are not observed in the control days. Moreover, by comparing the winds present during the eclipse day with the averaged wind of the days before and after the event (Figure 10), we see that the upper MLT region does not show neither day-to-day nor diurnal variability comparable to those of the control days. The observed wind gradients in the meteor radar are at an altitude and time of day with few meteor echoes. Large gradients are common at this altitude and this region is known for “large persistent wind shears”.

Due to gravity waves or tides, sporadic E layers tend to descend to altitudes where recombination of metallic ions is faster. Thus, neutralized metallic ions such as  $Na^+$  would show in lidar scans as sporadic  $Na$  layers as shown in Figure 5c. Because the descending speed of the  $Na_s$  layer is similar to that of the diurnal tide, it is likely the  $Na_s$  and the  $E_s$  time evolution was controlled by the diurnal tide dynamics. Amaro-Rivera et al. (2021) have presented a detailed analysis showing the dominance of tidal modes over ALO using airglow images and numerical simulations.

Bravo et al. (2020) support the idea that the sporadic E layer is associated with the eclipse, possibly generated by a gravity wave along the path of totality as pointed out by G. Chen et al. (2011). On the other hand, they show reservations in the claim that the eclipse generated the sporadic E layer once they have also observed  $E_s$  in the days preceding the eclipse. However, we have shown the  $E_s$  is likely the product of eclipse-induced wind shears in the lower thermosphere. Bravo et al. (2020) also show reductions in the E electron concentrations following the eclipse's start since the layer electron concentrations are mainly dependent on the production and loss of ionization (Rishbeth, 1968). This direct dependence of the E density on solar radiation shows similarities to previous eclipses measurements at low latitudes, such as 23 September 1987, reported by Cheng et al. (1992). The same feature is also revealed in the measurements and models for the Great American Eclipse of 2017 by Reinisch et al. (2018).

Didebulidze et al. (2020) have demonstrated numerically the possible formation of multilayered sporadic E by gravity waves propagating into the lower thermosphere in mid-latitudes. Their results corroborate measurements of G. Chen et al. (2011) focused on studying sporadic E<sub>s</sub> layer effects over Wuhan, China (30.4°N, 114.3°E). On the other hand, Pezzopane et al. (2015) showed how the 20 March 2015, partial solar eclipse (45%–54% maximum obscuration) influenced sporadic E layers using records of advanced ionospheric sounders in Rome (41.8°N, 12.5°E) and Gibilmanni (37.9°N, 14.0°E), Italy. They show that the E<sub>s</sub> critical frequencies did not depend on strong thermal gradients, which were comparable between the previous day and the next day. The E<sub>s</sub> layer was always present near the solar eclipse time, both at Rome and Gibilmanni. An analysis of isoheight ionogram plots suggests that traveling ionosphere disturbances due to gravity waves played a significant role in the persisting E<sub>s</sub> layer.

Thus, based on our findings, it is reasonable to claim that the electron density increase over the eclipse period generated a sporadic E layer. This is likely the product of the wind shear in the lower thermosphere, causing convergence of electrons to a thin region under the influence of the Earth's magnetic field. The sporadic E layer then descends due to a downward drift of the plasma under the influence of the diurnal tide dominant over ALO latitude (Amaro-Rivera et al., 2021). At altitudes <100 km, the Na<sup>+</sup> ions in the E<sub>s</sub> layer recombine with free electrons producing neutral Na that would be detected by the Lidar system as a sporadic sodium layer (Na<sub>s</sub>) (e.g., Raizada et al., 2015). The sporadic Na layer presents peak density around 22:50–23:30 UTC at 94 ± 2 km, and a wavelike structure is also seen in the temporal structure of the Na<sub>s</sub>. The Na<sub>s</sub> shows descending progression in time due to the diurnal tide dynamics present over the night. It is possible that the wavelike structure associated with our fast bow wave was locally generated in the ionosphere, traveling downwards, and detected in the OH airglow layer (Figure 2) at 87 km, but more substantial evidence point out to a bow wave excitation below the MLT. This bow wave also caused variations in the Na<sub>s</sub> density earlier at an altitude of 98 km earlier and in the OH brightness near 87 km later.

## 5. Conclusions

We have shown in this article several effects of 2 July 2019, total eclipse around the MLT region above the ALO in Chile. Among remarkable effects, we highlight the excitation of a fast, bow-shaped gravity wave detected in images of the OH(6, 2) nightglow, but not directly affecting neither the mesospheric nightglow emissions nor the thermospheric O(<sup>1</sup>D) emission. The signature of this spectacular bow wave is also present clearly in MTM OH(6, 2) brightness and rotational temperature and lidar temperate and Na density scans. Other eclipse-induced events include a sporadic E layer detected with a nearby ionosonde and a sporadic Na layer presenting a descending phase likely controlled by the diurnal tide dynamics observed in lidar temperatures. We have also noticed strong shears in horizontal wind evident 30 min prior to the eclipse totality over ALO as measured by the new meteor radar system. Finally, TIMED/SABER temperature measurements near the eclipse totality region at 243°E meridian revealed strong cooling and warming (±30%) in the range of 90–105 km at 15°–25°S. From the analysis of these events, we have drawn the following conclusion:

1. We were unable to confirm whether the cooling/warming seen in SABER temperatures was directly caused by the eclipse because our lidar shows no temperature variation during the eclipse event. However, SABER measurements were taken far away from ALO.
2. The bow wave shows strong magnitude in images of the OH emission, but not in images of the mesospheric molecular and atomic oxygen nightglow layers. This is explained by the formation of a narrow channel in the MLT around 87 km altitude permitting the horizontal propagation of the wave at the OH layer level but not above.
3. The bow wave was likely generated in the lower atmosphere by the cooling effect of the eclipse around the ozone layer's peak or near the tropospheric water vapor layer. The excitation of the bow wave above the MLT is also a possibility. The wave descending phase feature seen in lidar temperature scans reinforces the hypothesis of excitation below the MLT, although we cannot pinpoint the exact location. While the wave occurred around the time of the eclipse and may be associated with it, there was no conclusive evidence of association between the two events.
4. The sporadic E layer observed by a nearby ionosonde was likely generated by shears seen 30 min before the eclipse totality in meteor radar winds. These horizontal wind shears were induced by the eclipse at the bottom

side of the ionospheric E region, generating the  $E_s$  due to the interaction of the sheared background wind and the local magnetic field.

5. The strong descending phase of the diurnal tide caused Na ions present in the sporadic E layer to descend below 100 km. Na ions were then neutralized at lower altitudes and observed as a sporadic Na layer in lidar density scans.
6. The sporadic Na layer presented a larger magnitude near 94 km at 23:15 UTC when it also presented a vertical oscillatory motion of 20–25 min likely caused by the bow wave seen in the airglow.
7. Although these events present strong signature in our registers, we were unable to see a direct effect of the eclipse on the MLT, but only its induced variations in regions above or below the 80–100 km range and their coupling with the MLT via atmosphere oscillations.

## Data Availability Statement

The data sets used in this work are available at <https://zenodo.org/badge/latestdoi/401930851>.

## Acknowledgments

The ALO lidar operation was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) grants 1759471 and 1759573. The meteor radar installation was supported by NSF Grant 1828589. Support for the operations of the USU MTM and associated OH data analyses were provided by the NSF Grant No. 1911970. F. Vargas and G. Swenson's work in this article was partially supported by NSF Grant 1903336.

## References

Amaro-Rivera, Y., Vargas, F., Huang, T.-Y., & Urbina, J. (2021). Unusual intensity patterns of oh(6, 2) and o(1s) airglow driven by long-period waves observed over the Andes Lidar Observatory. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 126(2), e2020JA028091. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JA028091>

Beer, T. (1973). Supersonic generation of atmospheric waves. *Nature*, 242(34), 34. <https://doi.org/10.1038/242034a0>

Beer, T. (1978). On atmospheric wave generation by the terminator. *Planetary and Space Science*, 26(2), 185–188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633\(78\)90017-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633(78)90017-x)

Beer, T., & May, A. (1972). Atmospheric gravity waves to be expected from the solar eclipse of 30 June 1973. *Nature*, 240(5375), 30–32. <https://doi.org/10.1038/240030a0>

Bravo, M., Martínez-Ledesma, M., Foppiano, A., Urrea, B., Ovalle, E., Villalobos, C., et al. (2020). First report of an eclipse from Chilean ionosonde observations: Comparison with total electron content estimations and the modeled maximum electron concentration and its height. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 125(9), e2020JA027923. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JA027923>

Chen, G., Zhao, Z., Zhang, Y., Yang, G., Zhou, C., & Huang, S. (2011). Gravity waves and spread Es observed during the solar eclipse of 22 July 2009. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, 09314. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JA016720>

Chen, H., White, M. A., Krueger, D. A., & She, C. Y. (1996). Daytime mesopause temperature measurements with a sodium-vapor dispersive Faraday filter in a lidar receiver. *Optics Letters*, 21(15), 1093–1095. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.21.001093>

Cheng, K., Huang, Y.-N., & Chen, S.-W. (1992). Ionospheric effects of the solar eclipse of 23 September 1987, around the equatorial anomaly crest region. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 97(A1), 103–111. <https://doi.org/10.1029/91JA02409>

Chimonas, G. (1974). Internal gravity-wave motions induced in the Earth's atmosphere by a solar eclipse. In *The upper atmosphere in motion* (pp. 708–714). American Geophysical Union (AGU). <https://doi.org/10.1029/GM018p0708>

Chimonas, G., & Hines, C. (1970). Atmospheric gravity waves induced by a solar eclipse. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 75(4), 875. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JA075i004p00875>

Didebulidze, G. G., Dalakishvili, G., & Todua, M. (2020). Formation of multilayered sporadic E under an influence of atmospheric gravity waves (AGWs). *Atmosphere*, 11(6), 653. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11060653>

Eckermann, S. D., Broutman, D., Stollberg, M. T., Ma, J., McCormack, J. P., & Hogan, T. F. (2007). Atmospheric effects of the total solar eclipse of 4 December 2002 simulated with a high-altitude global model. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112(D14). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006jd007880>

Eisenbeis, J., Occhipinti, G., Astafyeva, E., & Rolland, L. (2019). Short-and long-wavelength TIDs generated by the great American eclipse of 21 August 2017. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 124, 9486–9493. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JA026919>

Fritts, D. C., Janches, D., Hocking, W. K., Mitchell, N. J., & Taylor, M. J. (2012). Assessment of gravity wave momentum flux measurement capabilities by meteor radars having different transmitter power and antenna configurations. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117(D10), D10108. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD017174>

Goncharenko, L. P., Erickson, P. J., Zhang, S.-R., Galkin, I., Coster, A. J., & Jonah, O. F. (2018). Ionospheric response to the solar eclipse of 21 August 2017 in millstone hill (42°N) observations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(10), 4601–4609. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077334>

Harding, B. J., Drob, D. P., Buriti, R. A., & Makela, J. J. (2018). Nightside detection of a large-scale thermospheric wave generated by a solar eclipse. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(8), 3366–3373. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2018GL077015>

Huba, J. D., & Drob, D. (2017). SAMI3 prediction of the impact of the 21 August 2017 total solar eclipse on the ionosphere/plasmasphere system. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(12), 5928–5935. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073549>

Jakowski, N., Stankov, S., Wilken, V., Borries, C., Altadill, D., Chum, J., et al. (2008). Ionospheric behaviour over Europe during the solar eclipse of 3 October 2005. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 70(6), 836–853. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2007.02.016>

Krueger, D., She, C.-Y., & Yuan, T. (2015). Retrieving mesopause temperature and line-of-sight wind from full-diurnal-cycle Na Lidar observations. *Applied Optics*, 54(32), 9469–9489. <https://doi.org/10.1364/ao.54.009469>

Kumar, K., Maurya, A., Kumar, S., & Singh, R. (2016). Total solar eclipse induced gravity waves in ionosphere as inferred from GPS observations over ELA. *Advances in Space Research*, 58(9), 1755–1762. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2016.07.019>

Le, H., Liu, L., Yue, X., Wan, W., & Ning, B. (2009). Latitudinal dependence of the ionospheric response to solar eclipses. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114, 07308. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JA014072>

Liu, A., Guo, Y., Vargas, F., & Swenson, G. (2016). First measurement of horizontal wind and temperature in the lower thermosphere (105–140 km) with a Na Lidar at Andes Lidar Observatory, geophys. *Research Letters*, 43, 2374–2380. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068461>

Liu, L., Liu, H., Le, H., Chen, Y., Sun, Y.-Y., Ning, B., et al. (2017). Mesospheric temperatures estimated from the meteor radar observations at Mohe, China. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 122(2), 2249–2259. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JA023776>

Marsh, D. R., Smith, A. K., Mlynczak, M. G., & Russell, J. M., III. (2006). SABER observations of the OH Meinel airglow variability near the mesopause. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111(A10), A10S05. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005ja011451>

Meriwether, J. (1984). Ground based measurements of mesospheric temperatures by optical means. *MAP Handbook*, 13, 1–18.

Mlynczak, M. G. (1997). Energetics of the mesosphere and lower thermosphere and the SABER experiment. *Advances in Space Research*, 20(6), 1177–1183. Coupling and Energetics in the Stratosphere-Mesosphere-Thermosphere-Ionosphere System. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1177\(97\)00769-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1177(97)00769-2)

Mohanakumar, K., & Sankaranarayanan, D. (1982). Solar eclipse of 16 February 1980—It's effect on meteorological parameters. *Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy*, 48A, 209.

Müller-Wodarg, I., Aylward, A., & Lockwood, M. (1998). Effects of a mid-latitude solar eclipse on the thermosphere and ionosphere—A modeling study. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 25(20), 3787–3790. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1998GL900045>

Paulino, I., Figueiredo, C., Rodrigues, F., Buriti, R., Wrasse, C., & Paulino, A. (2020). Atmospheric gravity waves observed in the nightglow following the 21 August 2017 total solar eclipse. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47, e2020GL088924. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088924>

Pendleton, W., Jr., Taylor, M., & Gardner, L. (2000). Terdiurnal oscillations in OH Meinel rotational temperatures for fall conditions at northern mid-latitude sites. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27(12), 1799–1802. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL003744>

Pezzopane, M., Pietrella, M., Pignalberi, A., & Tozzia, R. (2015). 20 March 2015 solar eclipse influence on sporadic E layer. *Advances in Space Research*, 56(10), 2064–2072. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2015.08.001>

Raitt, W., & Clark, D. (1973). Wave-like disturbances in the ionosphere. *Nature*, 243, 508–509. <https://doi.org/10.1038/243508a0>

Raizada, S., Brum, C. M., Tepley, C. A., Lautenbach, J., Friedman, J. S., Mathews, J. D., et al. (2015). First simultaneous measurements of Na and K thermospheric layers along with TILs from Arecibo. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(23), 10106–10112. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066714>

Reinisch, B. W., Dandenault, P. B., Galkin, I. A., Hamel, R., & Richards, P. G. (2018). Investigation of the electron density variation during the 21 August 2017 solar eclipse. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(3), 1253–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076572>

Ridley, E., Dickinson, R., Roble, R., & Rees, M. (1984). Thermospheric response to the 11 June 1983, solar eclipse. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 89(A9), 7583–7588. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JA089iA09p07583>

Rishbeth, H. (1968). Solar eclipses and ionospheric theory. *Space Science Reviews*, 8(4), 543–554. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00175006>

Rishbeth, H., & Garriot, O. (1969). *Introduction to Ionospheric Physics* (Vol. 193–199). Academic Press.

Roble, R., Emery, B., & Ridley, E. (1986). Ionospheric and thermospheric response over millstone hill to the 30 May 1984, annular solar eclipse. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 91(5), 1661–1670. <https://doi.org/10.1029/ja091ia02p01661>

Russell, J., Mlynczak, M., Gordley, L., Tansock, J., & Esplin, R. (1999). Overview of the SABER experiment and preliminary calibration results. *Proceedings of SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering*, 3756, 277–288.

Salby, M., L. S., Pielke, R., Pielke, R., & Dmowska, R. (1996). *Fundamentals of atmospheric physics*. Elsevier Science. Retrieved from [https://books.google.com/books?id=xWQ0G-\\\_UTB0C](https://books.google.com/books?id=xWQ0G-\_UTB0C)

Schunk, R., & Nagy, A. (2018). *Ionospheres: Physics, plasma physics, and chemistry*. Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from <https://books.google.com/books?id=VU4oswEACAAJ>

Smith, J., & Chu, X. (2015). High-efficiency receiver architecture for resonance-fluorescence and Doppler lidars. *Applied Optics*, 54(11), 3173–3184. <https://doi.org/10.1364/ao.54.003173>

Sun, Y., Liu, J., Lin, C., Lin, C., Shen, M., & Chen, C. (2018). Ionospheric bow wave induced by the moon shadow ship over the continent of United States on 21 August 2017. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 538–544. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL075926>

Swenson, G. R., & Gardner, C. S. (1998). Analytical models for the responses of the mesospheric OH\* and Na layers to atmospheric gravity waves. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 103(D6), 6271–6294. <https://doi.org/10.1029/97jd02985>

Swenson, G. R., Liu, A., Li, F., & Tang, J. (2003). High-frequency atmospheric gravity wave damping in the mesosphere. *Advances in Space Research*, 32(5), 785–793. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0273-1177\(03\)00399-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0273-1177(03)00399-5)

Taylor, M., Gardner, L., & Pendleton, W., Jr. (2001). Long period wave signatures in mesospheric OH Meinel (6, 2) band intensity and rotational temperature at mid-latitudes. *Advances in Space Research*, 27(6–7), 1171–1179. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0273-1177\(01\)00153-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0273-1177(01)00153-3)

Vargas, F. (2019). Traveling ionosphere disturbance signatures on ground-based observations of the O(<sup>1</sup>D) nightglow inferred from 1-D modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 124(11), 9348–9363. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JA027356>

Vargas, F., Fuentes, J., Vega, P., Navarro, L., & Swenson, G. (2020). Probing the analytical cancellation factor of short scale gravity waves using Na lidar and nightglow data from the Andes Lidar Observatory. *Atmosphere*, 11(12), 1311. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11121311>

Vargas, F., Swenson, G., & Liu, A. (2015). Evidence of high-frequency gravity wave forcing on the meridional residual circulation at the mesopause region. *Advances in Space Research*, 56(9), 1844–1853. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2015.07.040>

Vargas, F., Swenson, G., Liu, A., & Gobbi, D. (2007). O(<sup>1</sup>S), OH, and O<sub>2</sub>(b) airglow layer perturbations due to AGWs and their implied effects on the atmosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 112(D14), D14102. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006jd007642>

Yee, J.-H., Cameron, G. E., & Kusnierzewicz, D. Y. (1999). Overview of TIMED. In A. M. Larar (Ed.), *Optical spectroscopic techniques and instrumentation for atmospheric and space research III* (Vol. 3756, pp. 244–254). SPIE. <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.366378>

Zhang, S.-R., Erickson, P. J., Goncharenko, L. P., Coster, A. J., Rideout, W., & Vierinen, J. (2017). Ionospheric bow waves and perturbations induced by the 21 August 2017 solar eclipse. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(24), 12067–12073. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017GL076054>