# Future Directions in Physiochemical Modeling of the Thermodynamics of Polyelectrolyte Coacervates (PECs)

#### Mohsen Ghasemi and Ronald G. Larson\*

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

## Introduction

Polyelectrolyte coacervates (PECs) are dense polymeric phases obtained from spontaneous association of positively and negatively charged units on polyelectrolytes (PEs) in aqueous solutions. Properties of PECs vary widely depending on the conditions of the solution, such as types<sup>1–4</sup> and concentrations of polyelectrolytes and salt, charge patterns along PEs,<sup>5–11</sup> mixing stoichiometry,<sup>12–15</sup> pH,<sup>16</sup> porosity,<sup>17</sup> and temperature. <sup>18,19</sup> In addition to macroscopic phases, which will be the main focus of this article, PECs can be produced in a variety of micro- or nano-structures, including colloidal suspensions,<sup>15,20</sup> films,<sup>21–24</sup> lamellae,<sup>25,26</sup> etc.<sup>26</sup> Novel technological applications of PECs include encapsulation and delivery of therapeutics, <sup>27–30</sup> stabilization of vaccines,<sup>31</sup> microfiltration membranes,<sup>32</sup> water treatment,<sup>33–36</sup> and food processing.<sup>37</sup> Owing to their importance in technology and biology, significant efforts in recent years have been devoted to fill in the many gaps in our understanding of PECs. In addition, there has been a resurgence of activity in this area due to the recent realization that coacervation, which is referred to as liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) in the context of cell biology, can drive cellular compartmentalization in biology.<sup>38–42</sup> For instance, coacervation has been used to rationalize the formation of dense droplets, or organelles, containing intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) inside cells.<sup>69,11,43</sup>

In this perspective, we focus on the phase behavior of coacervates made from (synthetic) polyelectrolytes, complemented by a brief discussion of single polyelectrolyte solutions. Many theoretical, 44–52 simulation, 53–55 and experimental 16,38,55–60 studies have been performed to identify the

driving forces for polyelectrolyte coacervation and to seek a comprehensive description of the phenomenon. Sophisticated theories along with experiments using materials produced by modern polymer synthesis techniques have provided significant insights into the behavior of coacervates in recent years. However, given their rich physiochemistry spanning multiple length-scales, an accurate, quantitative, theory has remained elusive. Broadly speaking, some researchers have adopted a approach in which polyelectrolytes are defined by charge level, chain length, and chain flexibility, but otherwise lacking chemical identity, with long-range electrostatic interactions driving coacervation. 45,47,61 Others have focused on the local interactions between the monomer and salt species for which chemical identity is critical, and describe PEC formation as the result of competitive *local* binding interactions of monomers and salts. As a short-hand, we label the former approach "physics-based" and the latter "chemistry-based" from here on, for lack of better labels. Some "chemistry-based" approaches completely neglect the long-range electrostatics that provide the sole driving force for coacervation in some purely "physics-based" theories. 58,62 While each route ("physics-based" and "chemistry-based") has shed light on the behavior of polyelectrolytes and coacervates, for the field to progress further, the two approaches must be merged so that the contributions to coacervation of both long-range non-specific, and short-range specific, interactions can be delineated. In this article, we will highlight recent advances in the description of polyelectrolyte coacervation from both schools of thought. Then, we discuss how these approaches can complement each other by presenting recent approaches that take both physical and chemical effects into account. Finally, we present remaining challenges as well as forward-looking ideas for combining both approaches more intimately to develop more complete, and system-specific, understanding and modeling of coacervation.

#### • Earlier reviews

This paper seeks to present a brief perspective on recent progress and future directions in modeling of coacervates obtained from linear polyelectrolytes. While we will highlight key coacervate models, this paper is not intended to be an exhaustive review of all previous works. Readers are encouraged to explore other important reviews to get a more comprehensive view of complexation of oppositely charged

macromolecules. These include works by Rumyantsev et al.<sup>62</sup> on thermodynamics and rheology of PECs, by Sing and Perry<sup>63</sup> on a diverse range of coacervation theories, simulations and experimental approaches, by Srivastava and Tirrell<sup>64</sup> on thermodynamics, structure, and interfacial properties of PECs, by Cohen Stuart and co-workers<sup>65</sup> on polyelectrolyte colloids and their distinction from bulk PECs, and by Muthukumar<sup>66</sup> on kinetics, thermodynamics and structure of polyelectrolyte solutions.

# "Physics-Based" Approach

The first model of polyelectrolyte coacervation, known as the Voorn-Overbeek (VO) theory,<sup>61</sup> combines the Debye-Hückel (DH) free energy with a mixing entropy for the species. Coacervation is then the result of competition between mixing entropy and long-range electrostatic attractions of polyanions and polycations in the presence of salt ions, which can screen these attractions. Major deficiencies of the DH theory are its failures to account for electrostatic correlations at high ionic strengths (even for monovalent salt ions) and polyelectrolyte chain connectivity.<sup>63-65</sup> Efforts to correct this limitation have included the application of the random phase approximation (RPA),<sup>45,46,66,67</sup> field theoretic simulations (FTS),<sup>9,47,68</sup> liquid-state (LS) -based theories,<sup>64,69</sup> and scaling theories.<sup>50,70,71</sup>

The RPA method, developed for mass density fluctuations in polymers by de Gennes,<sup>72</sup> and then for charge density fluctuations in polyelectrolytes by Borue and Erukhimovich,<sup>66</sup> provides a closed-form expression for the (mass or) charge fluctuation free energy up to the pair-correlation level.<sup>66</sup> This method was later applied to coacervates by Castelnovo and Joanny;<sup>45,46</sup> however, the polyelectrolyte conformation, or form factor (which reflects monomer connectivity and must be supplied to the RPA formalism), was taken to be fixed and not responsive to the concentrations or binding states of species.<sup>63,65</sup> Recently, a variational method developed by Shen and Wang rectifies this limitation by allowing the PE conformation and the electrostatic free energy to be self-consistently determined.<sup>63</sup> Incorporation of this variational method into coacervation models could be a promising prospect in the future.

The Chan group applied the RPA formulism to model coacervation of sequence-defined polyampholytes. 5,6,73 These are analogs of intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs), in which the precise amino-acid sequence along the protein controls its structure-function properties. Hence, coacervates made from these polyelectrolytes are analogous to organelles assembled from IDPs in biology. In line with several in vitro and in vivo experiments on organelles,74 the Chan group found that PEs with longer like-charge blocks, i.e., "blockier" sequences, yield a larger coacervation composition window than do PEs with a random distribution of charges along the chain. Coacervates formed from the latter dissolve more readily in the solution with increased temperature or salt concentration, or in some cases do not even form coacervates at all.

The method of field theoretic simulations (FTS) developed by Fredrickson and co-workers includes two forces between charged species: long-range electrostatic, and short-range excluded volume interactions, the strengths of which are controlled, respectively, by the Bjerrum length  $l_{\rm B}$  and an excluded-volume parameter  $\nu$ , respectively. The advantage of FTS is its ability to move beyond the limitations of RPA by capturing higher order electrostatic correlations (i.e., beyond pair-correlation level) in polyelectrolyte solutions. In addition to homo-PEs, 47,60 this approach has been applied to coacervation of sequence-defined polyampholytes. 9,10,68

Such advancements in "physics-based" theories of coacervation open up unprecedented opportunities for progress not only in understanding cellular organization in biology, but also in materials science more generally. Nevertheless, the coacervation mechanism in the aforementioned approaches is mainly limited to the electrostatic attractions between oppositely charged monomers of PEs in a dielectric continuum. In addition to the electrostatic interactions, however, the behaviors of synthetic as well as naturally occurring PEs are significantly affected by the chemical identities of the species involved, which control local interactions on the nanoscale and are considered to lie in the domain of physical chemistry.

## "Chemistry-Based" Approach

For a fixed concentration, charge pattern, and temperature, a coacervate can have rheological properties ranging from that of a viscous liquid to a glassy solid,<sup>1</sup> depending on the chemical identity of PE monomers and salts. In addition to charge regulation,<sup>16</sup> which will be discussed later, the effects of the specificity of charged groups (i.e., ions and PE monomers) are largely reflected in their hydration,<sup>1,75,76</sup> which in turn influences how the charged groups interact at short distances. Due to their strong polarity, water molecules are attracted to bare charged groups in solution and form hydration shell around them,<sup>77,78</sup> which reduces their mixing entropy. The number of waters drawn into a hydration shell of a charged group in aqueous solutions depends on a number of factors (such as size of the charged group, dispersion interactions, etc.), and can be thought of as the solvent affinity or, "hydrophilicity," of the charged group.<sup>1,75</sup>

A lower solvent affinity generally leads to enhanced association of oppositely charged groups in aqueous solutions.  $^{1,75}$  For instance, Douglas, de Pablo and co-workers found that cations with lower solvent affinities, such as Cs<sup>+</sup>, form stronger "pairs" with Cl<sup>-</sup> ions in water than do highly hydrated Li<sup>+</sup> ions.  $^{75}$  This finding is in accord with the experiments of Hofmeister more than 100 years ago, who observed that the more hydrophobic anions denature proteins more easily (due to stronger binding to proteins) than do highly hydrated ones.  $^{79}$  Similar interactions drive strong association of hydrophobic PEs with each other, and thus induce their phase separation in solutions (as discussed later), or their localization at the water-air interface.  $^{80}$  The mean-field Flory-Huggins  $\chi_{PW}$  parameter is traditionally employed to describe, in a crude way, these hydrophobic interactions of polyelectrolytes with water.

In addition to hydrophobicity, binding of oppositely charged groups at short distances can also be driven by release of overlapping hydration waters, hydrogen bonding,  $\pi - \pi$  interactions, and of course, electrostatic attractions. <sup>81–86</sup> In an interesting study, Sinn et al., showed that K<sup>+</sup>and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions even bind to a neutral polymer by liberating hydration waters, <sup>87</sup> indicating that electrostatic attractions are not required for binding between two species.

Highly hydrated PECs generally display a lower critical solution temperature (LCST),  $^{10,60,88}$  as was recently observed for  $\varepsilon$ -poly-L-lysine ( $\varepsilon$ PL)/hyaluronic acid (HA) $^{60}$  and tau protein/RNA coacervates.  $^{10}$  LCST behavior indicates a major role of entropy gain(s) in driving coacervation, and aligns with calorimetric experiments which show nearly athermal ( $\Delta H \approx 0$ ) coacervation.  $^{59,89}$  Based on this, Han, Shea, Fredrickson and co-workers pointed to the release of overlapping hydration waters from PEs as a driving force for coacervation,  $^{10,60}$  which is enhanced at high temperatures due to the weakening of the hydration shells around PEs. The release of hydration waters leads polymers to become more hydrophobic, and so to enhanced coacervation. This effect is usually captured by a temperature-dependent expression for  $\chi_{PW}$ .  $^{10,19}$  Despite this chemically-specific influence, the most popular explanation for coacervation is entropy gain by counterion release.  $^{48,49,53,54,56-58,90-92}$  Regardless, noting the above discussion, since water binding, like ion binding, to a PE-monomer is local and chemically specific,  $^{78}$  both release mechanisms highlight the need to incorporate local interactions into coacervation theory. We note that upper critical solution temperature (UCST) behavior, on the other hand, has also been observed in some PECs, but polyelectrolytes forming these PECs are highly hydrophobic and have abundant directly contacting ion-pairs (i.e., with no mediation waters of hydration) between polyanion and polycation.

A relatively simple "chemistry-based" approach, which focuses on the local interactions, is to consider coacervation to be the product of a reaction between polyanions and polycations, as envisioned first by Veis and Aranyi. <sup>95</sup> Along this line, the Schlenoff group developed an ion-exchange coacervation model, which is based on a local charge-binding picture of PEC formation. <sup>62</sup> This model views the PEC as the product of a competition between polyanion-polycation pairing against ion-PE pairings:

$$(A+)+(C-) \stackrel{\Delta G_f^0}{\longleftrightarrow} (AC)+(+)^++(-)^-$$
 (1)

Here, (AC) represents an ion-pair between charged polyanion (A) and polycation (C) monomers, (A +) denotes a charged polyanion monomer paired with a small cation, and (C-) is a charged polycation

monomer-anion pair.  $\Delta G_f^0$  denotes the standard free energy of PEC formation (where the subscript "f" stands for "formation"), and  $(+)^+$  and  $(-)^-$  designate the salt cation and anion, respectively.<sup>62</sup>

Based on the above reaction, a PEC forms if ion-pairing between PEs along with any associated release of salt ions (initially bound to PEs) is energetically and entropically more favorable than the reverse. The overall strength of the PEC formation, accounting for all these factors, is then given by an equilibrium constant  $\mathcal{K}_f = \exp\left(-\Delta G_f^0\right)$ . The inverse of this, termed "doping" constant  $\mathcal{K}_{dop} = 1/\mathcal{K}_f$ , describes the efficacy of salt ions in breaking ion-pairs and dissociating PECs (i.e., the reverse of the reaction (1)) in salt solutions. Recently, Schlenoff and co-workers revealed, by varying salt anions along a Hofmeister series, that more hydrophobic salt anions (with lower hydration numbers) are more effective at breaking ion-pairs (i.e., have a higher doping constant  $\mathcal{K}_{dop}$ ) and partition more into the PEC phase than the dilute phase (see Figure 1).<sup>2,96</sup>

**Figure 1.** a) Salt partitioning, and b) doping constant of various sodium salts from refs 96 and 2, with salt anions, from left to right, in order of increasing hydration number. Figure 1 is adapted or reprinted with permission from refs 2 and 96.

The ability of anions to break ion-pairs and dope PECs reflects their relative hydrophobicity, as is also seen in their ability to denature proteins, as observed by Hofmeister. <sup>79,97</sup> In parallel with the above studies on the salt type, the Schlenoff group found that the more hydrophobic PEs yield glassy, solid, PECs with stronger ion-pairs, which are especially hard to dissociate by salt ions. <sup>1</sup> Similarly, Tirrell, de Pablo and coworkers showed that more hydrophobic PEs tend to produce PECs which require high salt concentrations to dissolve, with the phase diagrams often left "open" at the top, where in one case the coacervate did not dissolve even at a very high [NaCl] of 6 M. <sup>4</sup> The Lutkenhaus and Shull groups, among others, have shown that hydration level acts as a kind of "master" control parameter in the phase behavior and rheology of coacervates, with differing polyelectrolytes and salt ions influencing behavior through their ability to attract waters of hydration into the coacervate. <sup>98,99</sup>

Taking advantage of chemical specificity, in a recent work, Spruijt and co-workers mixed different coacervate droplets, each formed from a distinct polyanion/polycation pair, to form multiphase droplets with hierarchical structures due to the immiscibility of the original coacervates, mimicking the structure of cell nuclei.<sup>39</sup>

These novel experiments clearly show the importance of chemical identity effects of salt ions and PE monomers in the phase behavior of coacervates. Nevertheless, we note that, as will be discussed shortly, the importance of chemical effects does not imply that the electrostatic correlations are negligible in polyelectrolyte solutions. Thus, while qualitative predictions of coacervation can be made using either the "physics-based" or "chemistry-based" models, quantitative predictions need to draw on ideas from both realms.

# Nexus of Physics- and Chemistry-Based Approaches

In this section, we explore recent polyelectrolyte theories that incorporate both short-range associations (reflecting chemical specificity) and longer-ranged electrostatic interactions. In particular, Lytle and Sing developed a novel transfer matrix (TM) framework to determine the free energy of binding of oppositely charged species.<sup>49</sup> Within this theory, polyelectrolyte chains and salt ions with chemical potentials of  $\mu_P$  and  $\mu_S$ , respectively, can bind to the monomers of a (test) chain from the surrounding environment (or reservoir). Given the binding state of monomer i-1 of the (test) chain, the TM determines that the binding of monomer i to an oppositely charged species makes a contribution to the grand canonical partition function of the chain defined by the matrix:<sup>49</sup>

$$M(s_{i}, s_{i-1}) = \begin{bmatrix} SS & SP & SP' & S0 \\ PS & PP & PP' & P0 \\ P'S & P'P & P'P' & P'0 \\ 0S & 0P & 0P' & 00 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\mu_{S}} & e^{\mu_{S}} & e^{\mu_{S}} & e^{\mu_{S}} \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ e^{\mu_{P}} & e^{\mu_{P}} & e^{\mu_{P}} & e^{\mu_{P}} \\ e^{-\varepsilon} & e^{-\varepsilon} & e^{-\varepsilon} & e^{-\varepsilon} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

Here,  $s_i$  denotes the binding state of monomer i, which can be free (0), bound with salt (S), or bound with a monomer of an oppositely charged PE (P' or P). The designation (P') applies if the monomer is the first monomer of the oppositely charged chain to bind and is P if it is a subsequent neighboring monomer of that chain in a consecutive sequence or "run" of monomers to bind. The TM elegantly accounts for the fact that binding of one monomer of an oppositely charged PE to the test chain biases the binding of the adjacent monomer to the test chain. The chemical potentials are prescribed as  $\mu_S = \ln A_0 \phi_S$  and  $\mu_P = \ln B_0 \phi_P$ , where  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  determine the deviations of salt and PE species from ideal solution behavior and implicitly reflect the strengths of binding of the respective species onto the chain.<sup>3,49</sup> To account for the electrostatic energy for each unpaired monomer along the chain, an energy penalty  $\varepsilon$  is assigned, which is approximated from single-chain molecular simulations, thus indirectly accounting for long-range electrostatic correlations.<sup>49</sup>

The free energy of the binding of oppositely charged species to the chain,  $F_{\rm int}$ , is obtained from the partition function of the test chain.<sup>49</sup> The total free energy of the system is then written as  $F = F_{\rm mixing} + F_{\Lambda} + F_{\rm FH} + F_{\rm int}$ , where  $F_{\rm mixing}$ ,  $F_{\Lambda}$ , and  $F_{\rm FH}$  denote the free energies due to mixing entropy, excluded volume between charged species, and Flory-Huggins dispersion interactions, respectively.<sup>3</sup>

This approach was applied to coacervates from sequence-defined PEs, where, in parallel to the findings of the Chan and Fredrickson groups, it was found that polyelectrolytes with longer (or blockier) sequences of like charges on the chain tend to form more salt-resistant PECs (see Figure 2). Sing and co-workers suggested that blockier sequences result in higher electrostatic repulsions between like charges in each sequence, which are relieved by more extensive salt binding to the chain (prior to coacervation). Hence, the coacervation of PEs with blockier sequences results in stronger PE associations due to more entropy gain from release of more (initially bound) salt ions.

**Figure 2.** a) Binodal phase diagrams for the complexation between b) polyanion and polycation with different periodicity ( $\tau$ ) of charged monomers, where  $\tau$  gives the periodicity length (in polycation monomers) of the charged

and neutral monomer blocks. c) shows representative dense coacervate and dilute phases. All three panels are adapted from ref 7.

In addition to charge patterns on PEs, Perry, Sing and co-workers recently performed a systematic study of the effects of PE chemistry and chain length on coacervation using experiment and theory.<sup>3</sup> In line with Schlenoff, Tirrell, de Pablo and their co-workers, <sup>1,4</sup> for the same chain length it was found that increasing the hydrophobicity of the PE (by incorporating methacryloyl instead of acryloyl in monomers) yields more salt-resistant coacervates, which was modeled using the  $\chi_{PW}$  parameters in the FH free energy (Figure 3). Similarly, longer polyelectrolytes (i.e., PEs with higher degrees of polymerization) produced a larger coacervate window.<sup>3</sup>

**Figure 3.** a) Experimental and b) theoretical binodal diagrams of coacervation between pairs of PEs of two different hydrophobicities. The chain lengths of both polyanions and polycations are kept fixed at 250. In a), the less hydrophobic polycation and polyanion both contain an acryloyl backbone, while the more hydrophobic ones have methacryloyl backbones. The charge is controlled by the side group, which is identical in the acryloyl and methacryloyl polyelectrolytes. Figures are reprinted from ref 3.

Within the TM approach, the strengths of binding for both types of salt ions to their opposite PEs are assumed to be identical (captured by the parameter  $A_0$ ), which could be extended to assign different strengths for each type of salt ion-PE pairing. Thus, while the parameters are empirical, they can be adjusted to account for chemical specificity, local architecture, and correlations between opposite species.

Olvera de la Cruz and co-workers developed one of the first theories for polyelectrolyte coacervation that combined long-range electrostatics, captured by the RPA, with ion-pairing between opposite PEs.<sup>44</sup> Later, Salehi and Larson incorporated all ion-binding effects, including ion-pairing between oppositely charged polyelectrolytes, salt ion binding, and protonation/deprotonation reactions, while using DH theory for long-range electrostatics.<sup>48</sup> Noting the aforementioned deficiencies of the DH, Friedowitz et al. recently improved this model by replacing the DH theory with the RPA, yielding a contribution from the electrostatic correlations to ion-binding, that accounts for charge connectivity in the polyelectrolytes.<sup>100</sup> Within this

model, the binding of oppositely charged groups is driven by electrostatic correlations  $\mu^{corr}$  and intrinsic binding free energies,  $\Delta G$ 's, that capture chemical specificity effects, such as ion-specific release of hydration waters, etc. The binding reactions are described as, <sup>101</sup>

$$A^{-} + (+)^{+} \stackrel{\Delta G_{A+}^{eff,0}}{\longleftrightarrow} (A+) \tag{3}$$

$$C^{+} + (-)^{-} \stackrel{\Delta G_{C^{-}}^{\text{eff,0}}}{\Longrightarrow} (C^{-}) \tag{4}$$

$$A^{-} + C^{+} \stackrel{\Delta G_{CA}^{eff,0}}{\longleftrightarrow} (CA) \tag{5}$$

where  $\Delta G_{ij}^{\text{eff,0}} = \Delta G_{ij}^{0} + \mu_{ij}^{\text{corr}} - 1$  denotes the effective free energy of binding between the groups of *i* and *j*, taking account both intrinsic and longer-ranged electrostatic contributions.

Within this model, the total free energy of the solution receives contributions from the mixing entropy  $_{\text{mixing}}$ , Flory-Huggins dispersion interactions  $_{\text{FH}}$ , combinatorial entropy  $_{\text{comb}}$  of the ways of arranging different binding pairs along the chain, electrostatic correlations  $_{\text{corr}}$ , and of course binding reactions  $_{\text{rxn}}$ , leading to  $=_{\text{mixing}} +_{\text{FH}} +_{\text{comb}} +_{\text{rxn}} +_{\text{corr}}$ . Minimization of the total free energy with respect to the extent of the reactions yields three mass action equations, each with an equilibrium constant written in the general form  $K_{ij} = \frac{c_{ij}c_W}{c_ic_j} = \exp(-\Delta G_{ij}^{\text{eff,0}})$ , with  $C_k$  being the concentration of species k (= i, j, ij, W (the latter denoting water)).  $^{101}$ 

Dissociation of PEC ion pairs through salt binding is controlled by a "doping" equilibrium constant obtained from the model of Friedowitz et al. by multiplying the two equilibrium constants for salt binding to the oppositely charged PE, and dividing by the ion-pairing equilibrium constant, i.e.,  $K_{dop} = K_{A+}K_{C-}K_{CA}^{-1}$ . This doping constant interestingly boils down to an expression similar to that employed by Schlenoff and co-workers ( $K_{dop} \propto \mathcal{K}_{dop}$ ). Ghasemi and Larson modeled the experiments of Schlenoff and co-workers on doping of PECs from poly(diallyldimethylammonium), PDADMA, and poly(styrene-sulfonate), PSS, with different sodium salts, by tuning the intrinsic strength,  $\Delta G_{C-}^0$ , of salt anions binding

to polycations within the model.<sup>101</sup> In accord with the experiments, they found that strongly binding salt ions with more negative  $\Delta G_{C-}^0$  (corresponding to more hydrophobic anions), more effectively localize on PEs and break ion-pairs between them and hence, are more concentrated in the PEC than in the dilute phase (Figure 4a).<sup>101</sup> However, we note that the hydrophobic interaction of salt ions with water could also affect their partitioning behavior, with more hydrophobic salt ions expected to partition more into the coacervate, which has a lower water content (than does the supernatant phase).

**Figure 4.** a) Theoretical binodal diagrams for various strengths  $\Delta G_{\rm C-}^0$  of salt anion binding to polycations including absence of ion-binding (yellow line with open symbols). b) variation of the PEC volume fraction, defined as the PEC volume over the entire solution volume as a function of total salt concentration,  $C_{\rm S}$ . c) and d) show, both theoretically and experimentally, the variation of the salt and water content in the PEC, respectively defined as  $r = [{\rm salt}]^{\rm PEC}/[{\rm PE}]^{\rm PEC}$  and  $r^{\rm W} = [{\rm water}]^{\rm PEC}/[{\rm PE}]^{\rm PEC}$  for PDADMA/PSS in KBr (Reprinted or adapted with permission from ref 101.)

Interestingly, in the absence of binding reactions (yellow line with open symbols in Figure 4a), so that only the RPA and mixing entropy terms are present in the free energy, salt ions behave similarly to ideal solutions and are almost equipartitioned throughout the solution,<sup>101</sup> mimicking field theoretic predictions in which ion and polyelectrolyte specificity are absent and the mixing entropy constitutes the major part of the chemical potential of ions.<sup>102</sup> Since experiments have shown that salt partitioning is sensitive to salt identity,<sup>2,96</sup> the above results highlight the importance of including the effects of chemical specificity, for example through the value of  $\Delta G_{C-}^0$ , in the phase behavior of PECs.

Note that the variations of salt content, water content, and PEC volume within the above model can, by fitting  $\Delta G_{C-}^0$  and other parameters, match the experimental data of PDADMA/PSS in KBr (see Figures 4c – 4d) as functions of total salt concentration,  $C_S$ . <sup>101,103</sup> Similar to data in Figure 1a, the salt (and water) content of the PEC exhibits a linear change with  $C_S$  at low  $C_S$ , which is termed the "doping" regime. <sup>103</sup> At high salt concentrations, polyanions and polycations in the PEC are extensively bound by the salt ions and only loosely associate with each other. The incompressibility condition, which includes excluded volume

interactions at the mean-field level, ensures a steep increase of water (and free salt) content in the PEC upon weakening of ion pairing at high  $C_S$ . The same mechanism leads to a high water content in the PEC when the salt ions bind strongly to PEs for a given  $C_S$  (see Figure 4b). This also supports the experimental observation that less hydrated salt ions swell PECs more effectively than do more hydrated ones. <sup>83,104</sup> Further, this corroborates the notion that increasing the binding strength of salt ions acts similarly to increasing the concentration of weakly binding salt. For instance, recently it was experimentally observed that switching to less hydrated salts (which bind strongly to PEs) influences the rheology of PECs similarly to increasing the concentration of more hydrated (or, weakly binding) salt. <sup>99</sup> A recent review discusses hydration effects and relaxation mechanisms in the rheology of PECs. <sup>105</sup>

Lou, Friedowitz, Qin, and Xia explored the effect of water-affinity of PE monomers on coacervation of well-defined polyelectrolytes of identical backbones with different side groups each containing a sulfide group with controllable degree of oxidation, leading to various mixtures of sulfoxide and sulfone side groups. The level of oxidation was varied from one pair of PEs to the next but kept the same for each PE in the pair, leading to variable but controlled monomer polarities and water affinities. Higher oxidation levels corresponded to stronger monomer hydrations and hence, lower  $\chi_{PW}$ . Treating  $\chi_{PW}$  as an adjustable parameter at each oxidation level of PEs, Lou et al. produced excellent fits to the experimental data for different degrees of polymerization. The first parameter and polymerization.

**Figure 5.** Theoretical (lines) and experimental (symbols) binodal diagrams for complexation of PEs with various chain lengths and monomer polarities tuned by peroxide reaction at equivalence levels given in the legends. Fitted  $\chi_{PW}$  parameter at equivalence levels of 0.0, 0.1, 0.5, and 2.0 are respectively, 0.556, 0.531, 0.472, and 0.445. The degree of polymerization of the polycation ion equals that of the polyanion in each case and are set at a) 180, b) 100, and c) 50. Figures are reprinted from ref 106.

Figures 3 – 5 clearly show how the chemical structures of the charged groups, captured in the theory through  $\Delta G_{ij}^0$  and/or  $\chi_{PW}$ , control the coacervate phase behavior. Electrostatic correlations also significantly affect the phase behavior, as inferred from the dependence on monomer sequence in Figure 2 and also from

the coacervation studies of Chan,<sup>6,73</sup> Fredrickson,<sup>9,10</sup> and their co-workers. The significance of both generic electrostatic correlations and specificity effects can also be revealed through investigation of single-polyelectrolyte solutions.

Single polyelectrolyte solutions have been much more extensively studied than polyelectrolyte coacervates, and it is not our purpose here to review this extensive literature. Interested readers can find excellent discussion in refs 107–109 as well as the references given therein. Here, we provide a few illustrative examples of how coacervate models that include both of local chemical effects and long-range electrostatics, can be tested by applying them to single PE solutions, which can therefore aid in development of PE coacervate models.

Sammalkorpi and co-workers recently used fully atomistic MD simulations to study the neutralization of a single PE chain, stretched across the simulation box, by various salt ions. They showed that localization of salt ions around fully charged polyelectrolytes (in particular polyglutamic acid (PGA)) is generally dependent on the type of ion, especially in the vicinity of the chain (Figure 6a). Upon increase of charge fraction of poly(acrylic acid), or PAA, they found strong localization of sodium ions near the chain (Figure 6b), thus, highlighting the effects of electrostatic correlations. Molecular-level information, such as salt-PE radial distribution functions (RDFs) and/or diffusivity of salt ions near PE, can be employed to separate the effects of chemical specificity from electrostatic correlations in polyelectrolyte solutions.

**Figure 6.** Cylindrical radial distribution functions  $\rho(r)$  of ions around polyelectrolyte chains, stretched across the simulation box. Results for a) a polyglutamic acid chain (PGA), with sodium, potassium, or cesium counterions, and b) a polyacrylic acid chain of various charge fractions and sodium ions. PGA and PAA are 20 monomer long chains, and the number next to "PAA" in the legend in b denotes the number of charged monomers in the PAA chain. Figures are reproduced from ref 110.

Ghasemi and Larson recently performed full atomistic MD simulations of poly(acrylic acid), or PAA, chains and K<sup>+</sup> potassium ions to obtain a priori the intrinsic free energies of salt-PE binding,  $\Delta G_{ij}^0$ , and probe the contributions of  $\Delta G_{ij}^0$  and electrostatic correlations ( $\mu_{ij}^{corr}$ ) to potassium binding to PAA.<sup>111</sup> Free

energies of salt-PE binding were extracted using the equilibrium constant given by  $\frac{C_{A+}C_W}{C_AC_+} = \exp(-\Delta G_{A+}^{eff,0})$ , where the concentrations of "bound" cations,  $C_{A+}$ , were defined as ones within the first two peaks of potassium-(charged)monomer radial distribution functions (RDFs) representing directly bound cations and cations separated by a single water of hydration from the monomer; see Figure 7a.<sup>111</sup> (The presence of two near-neighbor peaks in Fig. 7a while only one appears in Fig. 6b seems result from the details of the simulation and definitions used.)

As the charge fraction of the chain decreases, the RDF approaches that of short, singly-charged chains (see ref 111), suggesting little effect of electrostatic correlations along the chain. In this limit, one can obtain an estimate for the intrinsic binding free energy ( $\Delta G_{A+}^0$ ).<sup>111</sup>

Figure 7. Potassium-charged monomer radial distribution function (RDF) for aqueous solutions containing potassium ions and a 30-monomer PAA chain with varying numbers of charged monomers on the chain. The number next to "PAA" in the legends in a denotes the number of charged monomers in PAA chain. Note that, in this figure the terminal two monomers at each end of the chain are kept neutral and these monomers are not included in definitions of  $\alpha_{A+}$  and  $\gamma$ . Within the theory, the contributions to the effective binding free energy  $\Delta G_{A+}^{\text{eff,0}} = \Delta G_{A+}^0 + \mu_{A+}^{\text{corr}} - 1$  beyond  $\Delta G_{A+}^0 - 1 = -4 k_B T$  are due to the electrostatic correlations, given by  $\mu_{A+}^{\text{corr}}$ . In b,  $\Delta G_{A+}^0 - 1 = -4 k_B T$  is obtained from MD simulations of weakly-charged PAA chains and is used an input into the theory (lines are theoretical predictions). Figures are reprinted from ref 111.

By increasing the charge fraction  $\gamma$  on the other hand, the chain takes on more extended configurations, while simultaneously, the ion binding extent and binding strength increases, which similar to Figure 6b, highlights the effects of electrostatic correlations; see the increasingly sharp peaks of the RDF in Figure 6b, and higher ion binding fraction  $\alpha_{A+}$  and more negative  $\Delta G_{A+}^{\text{eff,0}}$  with increase of  $\gamma$  in Figure 7.<sup>111</sup>

These results corroborate a number of experiments and simulations. First, it has long been known that "counterion condensation," which relieves electrostatic repulsion, is prominent for polyelectrolytes with high charge densities. This effect is observed, for example, in the Langevin simulations of

Muthukumar and co-workers.<sup>53</sup> Second, it has been experimentally shown that the degree of counterion binding to a polyelectrolyte chain is higher in the middle of chain than near the chain ends,<sup>114</sup> since the middle of chain in more concentrated in polyelectrolyte charged groups than the ends. In addition, these results explain the stronger association of oppositely charged PEs with blockier sequences, which leads to larger coacervation windows in the studies of Sing and Perry,<sup>7</sup> Chan,<sup>5,6</sup> de Pablo,<sup>115</sup> and Fredrickson<sup>9</sup> and their co-workers. The proximity of like charges in the blocky sequences creates strong electrostatic repulsions within each block, which are relieved by higher levels of binding between the oppositely charged chains (and by release of more initially bound counterions).

The interplay of physical and chemical effects in the equilibrium behavior of polyelectrolyte solutions is also revealed in the phenomenon of charge regulation. Ghasemi and Larson modeled charge regulation of weakly dissociating polyacids in aqueous solutions by combining acid-base equilibria and ion binding to polyacids with an electrostatics theory. Similar to the above discussion of the ion binding to polyelectrolyte chains, one finds that polyacid monomers deprotonate with an effective (or apparent) ionization constant  $pK_A^{eff}$  that has two contributions: 1) the "intrinsic" constant,  $pK_A = \Delta G_A^0/2.3$ , which solely depends on the chemical structure of the polyacid monomer, and 2) the electrostatic correlations between ionized monomers along the chain, quantified by  $\mu_A^{corr}/2.3$ .

Figure 8 depicts the predicted titration data of monoacids (red symbols) and polyacids with different assumed chain structures (solid lines). According to the Henderson-Hasselbalch (HH) theory, monoacids deprotonate with their intrinsic ionization constant, i.e.,  $pK_A^{eff} = pK_A$  (see Figure 8b). Using the Debye-Hückel (DH) theory to account for electrostatics of polyacid solution yields the same response as the Henderson-Hasselbalch (HH) prediction for monoacids, with no change in the strength of deprotonation of monomers as they deprotonate i.e.,  $pK_A^{eff} = pK_A$  (see Figure 8b). This result can be attributed to the neglect of polyacid chain connectivity within the DH theory.<sup>117</sup>

**Figure 8.** a) Predicted degree of deprotonation as a function of pH for polyacids with charge interactions modeled in four ways: 1) using the Henderson-Hasselbalch expression (red symbols, which is valid for monoacids), 2) using the

Debye-Hückel theory with no charge connectivity (dashed line), 3) using the RPA theory with a rodlike chain (black solid line), or 4) using the RPA with a Gaussian chain (blue solid line). b) Corresponding effective ionization constants as functions of degree of deprotonation. Figures are adapted from ref 117.

Employing the RPA to account for electrostatics, which incorporates chain connectivity, causes polyacid monomers to "feel" increases in electrostatic repulsions on the chain as they deprotonate. To minimize these repulsions, monomers resist further deprotonation (or ionization) through an increase (or shift) of the ionization constant,  $pK_A^{\text{eff}}$ , from its intrinsic value ( $pK_A$ ) (see Figure 8b). This shift in the ionization constant is accounted for by the contribution of  $\mu_A^{\text{corr}}$  to  $pK_A^{\text{eff}}$ . Using a rodlike chain within the RPA theory yields the weakest repulsions along the chain, whose titration response mimics that of the hydrophilic PAA.

The effect of  $\mu_A^{\text{corr}}$  on ionization reflects the influence of long-range physics on weak polyelectrolytes while the effect of physical chemistry is captured by the intrinsic ionization constant  $pK_A$ , chain hydrophobicity, and other local physical chemical effects. The hydrophobic effects are implicitly accounted for through choice of a PE structure more compact than the rodlike chain. Assuming a Gaussian polyacid configuration in solution, we obtain a very strong resistance of the polyacid to deprotonation, as shown by the lower degree of deprotonation  $\alpha_A$  at a given pH in Figure 8a and sharp increase in  $pK_A^{\text{eff}}$  in Figure 8b. <sup>117</sup> This resistance to deprotonation, which is captured by  $\mu_A^{\text{corr}}$ , arises from the proximity of charges to each other. Thus, when a polyacid chain becomes more (intrinsically) hydrophobic, it takes a more compact structure to avoid interaction with water, making it resist deprotonation so that it behaves as a weaker polyacid. Such behavior is observed in the titration curve of poly(acrylamido- 2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid), or PAMPs, due to its compactness derived from its hydrophobic methyl and amide groups. <sup>117</sup>

This approach is in essence similar to a new theory by Gallegos et al. for charge regulation of single polyelectrolyte solutions, which decomposes a monomer apparent ionization constant into contributions from its chemical reactions and non-bound (electrostatic) interactions.<sup>116</sup>

The above studies show an interplay between short-range chemical interactions (salt binding and protonation) and long-range physical interactions (electrostatics), in governing equilibrium behavior of polyelectrolyte solutions. Coacervate theories should thus incorporate both effects in a self-consistent fashion to produce quantitative predictions. 118,119

### Outlook

Recent developments in the description of polyelectrolyte coacervates have provided remarkable insights into their complex behavior, opening up exciting future research avenues in this field. Accounting for electrostatic correlations in sequence-defined polyelectrolytes, Chan<sup>6,73</sup> and Fredrickson<sup>9,10</sup> and their coworkers have advanced the current understanding of coacervate formation from such polyelectrolytes. Such studies suggest intriguing parallels between such coacervates and liquid organelles formed from intrinsically disordered proteins, which may be correlated with neurodegenerative diseases. <sup>120</sup> In addition to electrostatic correlations, interactions of biopolymers are affected by local binding between charged groups, which are governed by the chemical identity of charged groups, and are reflected in charge regulation and hydrophobicity. <sup>121–125</sup> For instance, the effective p $K_A$  of a protein and its dependence on charge can influence the structure-function properties of the protein in solution. <sup>126–129</sup> Hence, an exciting prospect in this area could be to include the local binding and chemical effects into the random phase approximation (RPA) or field theoretical simulations (FTS) and apply these to coacervation of sequence-defined (protein-like) polyelectrolytes. One possible approach in this direction might be to merge RPA theories for far-field electrostatics with the transfer matrix (TM) theory <sup>34,72</sup> for nearest-neighbor correlations in binding free energies.

Another interesting avenue for exploration of binding of charged groups is to scrutinize the role of hydration water during binding:<sup>130</sup> How big a role does the release of waters from hydration shells play in binding?<sup>131</sup> While such interactions are ubiquitous, they remain incompletely understood. Recent

theoretical and experimental studies by Abbott and coworkers on hydrophobic effects in peptide binding may provide useful new ways of addressing these issues. 132,133

Although coacervation of polyelectrolytes requires electrostatic interactions, the primary driving force has been recognized to be entropic. 53,54,57,60 While counterion release has been taken as the obvious source of increased entropy driving coacervation, 53,57 additional work has also identified the release of hydration waters, 10,60 as well as the combinatorial entropy of the arrangements of oppositely charged salt ions and monomers along the chain. 49,51,134 The combinatorial entropy can be calculated simply if ion pairing is uncorrelated along the chain, but is likely strongly influenced by binding correlations, considered at the nearest-neighbor level in the work of Lytle and Sing. 48 Thus, the significance of each of these mechanisms is still only partly resolved; 135 studies of coacervation in a dielectric medium by Muthukumar<sup>53</sup> and Whitmer<sup>54</sup> and their co-workers suggest that counterion release is the primary driver of coacervation, while Han, Shea, Fredrickson and co-workers<sup>60</sup> point to water release as a major coacervation driving force. These two mechanisms are chemically specific and distinguishing the significance of each in specific systems under various solvent conditions (e.g., salt, pH) would be an attractive target for future research.

To date, in coacervate theories with closed-form expressions for free energy, the chain structure, used for example in the RPA theory, is taken as given and not allowed to respond to the concentration of species or the charge or ion-binding state of the polyelectrolyte. This oversimplification was recently addressed for a single-component polyelectrolyte by Shen and Wang through self-consistent adjustment of chain structure in response to concentrations of species in the solution. <sup>63</sup> An interesting future effort would be to incorporate this formulism into coacervate theories involving oppositely charged PEs, and to allow ion binding as well as species concentrations to affect the polyelectrolyte conformation.

Lastly, although the current polyelectrolyte theories are limited to coacervates with uniform distribution of polyelectrolytes, polyelectrolytes form a wide variety of soft materials in aqueous solutions, including layer-by-layer films, <sup>28,136</sup>, hydrogels containing lamellae or hexagonally packed cylinders, <sup>26</sup> complexes of polyelectrolytes and oppositely charged surfactant micelles, <sup>137,138</sup> and nano- or micro-particle

complexes.<sup>20,29,139,140</sup> These morphologies continue to attract strong interest due to their novel applications, especially in the area of biotechnology. For instance, overcharged nanocomplexes containing DNA or RNA are being used to transport genetic materials into cells, for gene therapy or vaccine delivery. Improved theoretical understanding of these new morphologies would help these applications to realize their full potential.

## **Author Information**

#### **Corresponding Author**

**Ronald G. Larson** – Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-7465-1963; Phone: +17349360772; Email: rlarson@umich.edu

#### **Author**

**Mohsen Ghasemi** – Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-8314-2896

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 2100513. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of NSF.

### References

- Fu J, Fares HM, Schlenoff JB. Ion-Pairing Strength in Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Macromolecules*.
   2017;50:1066–1074. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.6b02445
- 2. Ghostine RA, Shamoun RF, Schlenoff JB. Doping and Diffusion in an Extruded Saloplastic Polyelectrolyte Complex. *Macromolecules*. 2013;46(10):4089-4094.
- 3. Liu Y, Santa Chalarca CF, Carmean RN, et al. Effect of Polymer Chemistry on the Linear Viscoelasticity of Complex Coacervates. *Macromolecules*. 2020;53(18):7851-7864.
- 4. Li L, Rumyantsev AM, Srivastava S, Meng S, de Pablo JJ, Tirrell M V. Effect of Solvent Quality on the Phase Behavior of Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Macromolecules*. 2021;54(1):105-114.
- 5. Lin Y-H, Chan HS. Phase Separation and Single-Chain Compactness of Charged Disordered Proteins Are Strongly Correlated. *Biophys J.* 2017;112(10):2043-2046.
- Lin Y-H, Song J, Forman-Kay JD, Chan HS. Random-phase-approximation theory for sequence-dependent, biologically functional liquid-liquid phase separation of intrinsically disordered proteins. *J Mol Liq*.
   2017;228:176-193. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2016.09.090
- 7. Chang L-W, Lytle TK, Radhakrishna M, et al. Sequence and entropy-based control of complex coacervates.

  Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):1273. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01249-1
- 8. Lytle TK, Chang L-W, Markiewicz N, Perry SL, Sing CE. Designing Electrostatic Interactions via Polyelectrolyte Monomer Sequence. *ACS Cent Sci.* 2019;5(4):709-718. doi:10.1021/acscentsci.9b00087
- 9. McCarty J, Delaney KT, Danielsen SPO, Fredrickson GH, Shea J-E. Complete Phase Diagram for Liquid–Liquid
  Phase Separation of Intrinsically Disordered Proteins. *J Phys Chem Lett*. 2019;10:1644–1652.
- 10. Lin Y, McCarty J, Rauch JN, et al. Narrow equilibrium window for complex coacervation of tau and RNA under cellular conditions. *Elife*. 2019;8:e42571. doi:10.7554/eLife.42571
- 11. Madinya JJ, Chang L-W, Perry SL, Sing CE. Sequence-Dependent Self-Coacervation in High Charge-Density

- Polyampholytes. Mol Syst Des Eng. 2020;5:632-644.
- 12. Blocher McTigue WC, Voke E, Chang L-W, Perry SL. The benefit of poor mixing: kinetics of coacervation. *Phys Chem Chem Phys.* 2020;22(36):20643-20657. doi:10.1039/D0CP03224G
- Liu X, Haddou M, Grillo I, Mana Z, Chapel J-P, Schatz C. Early stage kinetics of polyelectrolyte complex coacervation monitored through stopped-flow light scattering. *Soft Matter*. 2016;12(44):9030-9038. doi:10.1039/C6SM01979J
- 14. Chollakup R, Smitthipong W, Eisenbach CD, Tirrell M. Phase Behavior and Coacervation of Aqueous Poly(acrylic acid)–Poly(allylamine) Solutions. *Macromolecules*. 2010;43(5):2518-2528. doi:10.1021/ma902144k
- Ding P, Chen L, Wei C, et al. Efficient Synthesis of Stable Polyelectrolyte Complex Nanoparticles by Electrostatic Assembly Directed Polymerization. *Macromol Rapid Commun*. 2020;42(4):2000635. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/marc.202000635
- 16. Li L, Srivastava S, Meng S, Ting JM, Tirrell M V. Effects of Non-Electrostatic Intermolecular Interactions on the Phase Behavior of pH-Sensitive Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Macromolecules*. 2020;53(18):7835-7844. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.0c00999
- 17. Chen Y, Yang M, Schlenoff JB. Glass Transitions in Hydrated Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *Macromolecules*. 2021;54(8):3822-3831. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.0c02682
- Ali S, Bleuel M, Prabhu VM. Lower Critical Solution Temperature in Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates.
   ACS Macro Lett. 2019;8(3):289-293. doi:10.1021/acsmacrolett.8b00952
- 19. Adhikari S, Prabhu VM, Muthukumar M. Lower Critical Solution Temperature Behavior in Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates. *Macromolecules*. 2019;52(18):6998-7004. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.9b01201
- Kremer T, Kovačević D, Salopek J, Požar J. Conditions Leading to Polyelectrolyte Complex Overcharging in Solution: Complexation of Poly(acrylate) Anion with Poly(allylammonium) Cation. *Macromolecules*.
   2016;49(22):8672-8685. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.6b01892

- 21. Ariga K, Hill JP, Ji Q. Layer-by-layer assembly as a versatile bottom-up nanofabrication technique for exploratory research and realistic application. *Phys Chem Chem Phys.* 2007;9(19):2319-2340. doi:10.1039/B700410A
- 22. Decher G. Fuzzy Nanoassemblies: Toward Layered Polymeric Multicomposites. *Science*. 1997;277(5330):1232-1237.
- Dubas ST, Schlenoff JB. Factors Controlling the Growth of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *Macromolecules*.
   1999;32(24):8153-8160. doi:10.1021/ma981927a
- 24. Guzmán E, Rubio RG, Ortega F. A closer physico-chemical look to the Layer-by-Layer electrostatic self-assembly of polyelectrolyte multilayers. *Adv Colloid Interface Sci.* 2020;282:102197.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2020.102197
- 25. Koltover I, Salditt T, Safinya CR. Phase Diagram, Stability, and Overcharging of Lamellar Cationic Lipid–DNA Self-Assembled Complexes. *Biophys J.* 1999;77(2):915–924.
- 26. Srivastava S, Levi AE, Goldfeld DJ, Tirrell M V. Structure, Morphology, and Rheology of Polyelectrolyte Complex Hydrogels Formed by Self-Assembly of Oppositely Charged Triblock Polyelectrolytes.
  Macromolecules. 2020;53(14):5763-5774. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.0c00847
- 27. Alkekhia D, Hammond PT, Shukla A. Layer-by-Layer Biomaterials for Drug Delivery. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng.* 2020;22(1):1-24. doi:10.1146/annurev-bioeng-060418-052350
- Correa S, Boehnke N, Deiss-Yehiely E, Hammond PT. Solution Conditions Tune and Optimize Loading of Therapeutic Polyelectrolytes into Layer-by-Layer Functionalized Liposomes. ACS Nano. 2019;13(5):5623-5634. doi:10.1021/acsnano.9b00792
- 29. Felgner PL, Gadek TR, Holm M, et al. Lipofection: A Highly Efficient, Lipid-Mediated DNA-Transfection Procedure. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 1987;84(21):7413-7417. doi:10.1073/pnas.84.21.7413
- 30. Andreeva D V. Chapter 6 Polyelectrolyte multilayers for drug delivery. In: Singh MR, Singh D, Kanwar JR,

  Chauhan NS, eds. Advances and Avenues in the Development of Novel Carriers for Bioactives and Biological

- Agents. Academic Press; 2020:183-209. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819666-3.00006-7
- 31. Mi X, Blocher McTigue WC, Joshi PU, Bunker MK, Heldt CL, Perry SL. Thermostabilization of Viruses via Complex Coacervation. *Biomater Sci.* 2020;8:7082-7092. doi:10.1039/D0BM01433H
- 32. Chandra PN, Mohan MK. Tailor-Made Polyelectrolyte Multilayers for the Removal of Obidoxime from Water in Microfiltration Process. *Membr Membr Technol*. 2020;2(2):132-147. doi:10.1134/S2517751620020031
- 33. Valley B, Jing B, Ferreira M, Zhu Y. Rapid and Efficient Coacervate Extraction of Cationic Industrial Dyes from Wastewater. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*. 2019;11(7):7472-7478. doi:10.1021/acsami.8b21674
- te Brinke E, Reurink DM, Achterhuis I, de Grooth J, de Vos WM. Asymmetric polyelectrolyte multilayer membranes with ultrathin separation layers for highly efficient micropollutant removal. *Appl Mater Today*. 2020;18:100471. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2019.100471
- 35. Sadman K, Delgado DE, Won Y, Wang Q, Gray KA, Shull KR. Versatile and High-Throughput Polyelectrolyte

  Complex Membranes via Phase Inversion. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*. 2019;11(17):16018-16026.

  doi:10.1021/acsami.9b02115
- 36. Yang L, Tang C, Ahmad M, Yaroshchuk A, Bruening ML. High Selectivities among Monovalent Cations in Dialysis through Cation-Exchange Membranes Coated with Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*. 2018;10(50):44134-44143. doi:10.1021/acsami.8b16434
- 37. Bouhallab S, Croguennec T. Spontaneous Assembly and Induced Aggregation of Food Proteins. In: Müller M, ed. *Polyelectrolyte Complexes in the Dispersed and Solid State II: Application Aspects*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg; 2013:67-101. https://doi.org/10.1007/12\_2012\_201.
- 38. Nakashima KK, Vibhute MA, Spruijt E. Biomolecular Chemistry in Liquid Phase Separated Compartments.

  Front Mol Biosci. 2019;6:21. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2019.00021
- 39. Lu T, Spruijt E. Multiphase Complex Coacervate Droplets. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2020;142(6):2905-2914. doi:10.1021/jacs.9b11468
- 40. Banani SF, Lee HO, Hyman AA, Rosen MK. Biomolecular Condensates: Organizers of Cellular Biochemistry.

- Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2017;18(5):285-298. doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.7
- 41. Chen Y, Yuan M, Zhang Y, et al. Construction of coacervate-in-coacervate multi-compartment protocells for spatial organization of enzymatic reactions. *Chem Sci.* 2020;11(32):8617-8625. doi:10.1039/D0SC03849K
- 42. Ghosh B, Bose R, Tang T-YD. Can coacervation unify disparate hypotheses in the origin of cellular life? *Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci.* 2021;52:101415. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cocis.2020.101415
- 43. van Lente JJ, Claessens MMAE, Lindhoud S. Charge-Based Separation of Proteins Using Polyelectrolyte

  Complexes as Models for Membraneless Organelles. *Biomacromolecules*. 2019;20(10):3696-3703.

  doi:10.1021/acs.biomac.9b00701
- 44. Kudlay A, Ermoshkin A V, Olvera de la Cruz M. Complexation of Oppositely Charged Polyelectrolytes: Effect of Ion Pair Formation. *Macromolecules*. 2004;37(24):9231-9241. doi:10.1021/ma048519t
- 45. Castelnovo M, Joanny J-F. Complexation Between Oppositely Charged Polyelectrolytes: Beyond the Random Phase Approximation. *Eur Phys J E*. 2001;6(1):377-386. doi:10.1007/s10189-001-8051-7
- 46. Castelnovo M, Joanny JF. Phase Diagram of Diblock Polyampholyte Solutions. *Macromolecules*. 2002;35(11):4531-4538. doi:10.1021/ma012097v
- 47. Lee J, Popov YO, Fredrickson GH. Complex Coacervation: A Field Theoretic Simulation Study of Polyelectrolyte Complexation. *J Chem Phys.* 2008;128(22):224908. doi:10.1063/1.2936834
- 48. Salehi A, Larson RG. A Molecular Thermodynamic Model of Complexation in Mixtures of Oppositely Charged Polyelectrolytes with Explicit Account of Charge Association/Dissociation. *Macromolecules*.

  2016;49(24):9706-9719. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.6b01464
- 49. Lytle TK, Sing CE. Transfer Matrix Theory of Polymer Complex Coacervation. *Soft Matter*. 2017;13(39):7001-7012. doi:10.1039/C7SM01080J
- 50. Rubinstein M, Liao Q, Panyukov S. Structure of Liquid Coacervates Formed by Oppositely Charged Polyelectrolytes. *Macromolecules*. 2018;51(23):9572-9588. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.8b02059
- 51. Ghasemi M, Friedowitz S, Larson RG. Overcharging of Polyelectrolyte Complexes: An Entropic Phenomenon.

- Soft Matter. 2020;16:10640-10656.
- 52. Adhikari S, Leaf MA, Muthukumar M. Polyelectrolyte complex coacervation by electrostatic dipolar interactions. *J Chem Phys.* 2018;149(16):163308. doi:10.1063/1.5029268
- 53. Ou Z, Muthukumar M. Entropy and Enthalpy of Polyelectrolyte Complexation: Langevin Dynamics Simulations. *J Chem Phys.* 2006;124(15):154902. doi:10.1063/1.2178803
- 54. Rathee VS, Sidky H, Sikora BJ, Whitmer JK. Role of Associative Charging in the Entropy–Energy Balance of Polyelectrolyte Complexes. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2018;140(45):15319-15328. doi:10.1021/jacs.8b08649
- 55. Li L, Srivastava S, Andreev M, Marciel AB, de Pablo JJ, Tirrell M V. Phase Behavior and Salt Partitioning in Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates. *Macromolecules*. 2018;51(8):2988-2995. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.8b00238
- 56. Michaels AS. POLYELECTROLYTE COMPLEXES. Ind Eng Chem. 1965;57(10):32-40. doi:10.1021/ie50670a007
- 57. Gummel J, Cousin F, Boué F. Counterions Release from Electrostatic Complexes of Polyelectrolytes and Proteins of Opposite Charge: A Direct Measurement. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007;129(18):5806-5807. doi:10.1021/ja070414t
- 58. Fu J, Schlenoff JB. Driving Forces for Oppositely Charged Polyion Association in Aqueous Solutions: Enthalpic, Entropic, but Not Electrostatic. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2016;138(3):980-990. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b11878
- 59. Bucur CB, Sui Z, Schlenoff JB. Ideal Mixing in Polyelectrolyte Complexes and Multilayers: Entropy Driven Assembly. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2006;128(42):13690-13691. doi:10.1021/ja064532c
- 60. Park S, Barnes R, Lin Y, et al. Dehydration Entropy Drives Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation by Molecular Crowding. *Commun Chem.* 2020;3(1):83. doi:10.1038/s42004-020-0328-8
- 61. Overbeek JTG, Voorn MJ. Phase Separation in Polyelectrolyte Solutions. Theory of Complex Coacervation. *J Cell Comp Physiol.* 1957;49:7-26. doi:10.1002/jcp.1030490404
- 62. Schlenoff JB. Site-Specific Perspective on Interactions in Polyelectrolyte Complexes: Toward Quantitative Understanding. *J Chem Phys.* 2018;149(16):163314. doi:10.1063/1.5035567

- 63. Shen K, Wang Z-G. Electrostatic Correlations and the Polyelectrolyte Self Energy. *J Chem Phys*. 2017;146(8):84901/1-16. doi:10.1063/1.4975777
- 64. Perry SL, Sing CE. PRISM-Based Theory of Complex Coacervation: Excluded Volume versus Chain Correlation.

  \*Macromolecules. 2015;48(14):5040-5053. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.5b01027
- 65. Qin J, de Pablo JJ. Criticality and Connectivity in Macromolecular Charge Complexation. *Macromolecules*. 2016;49(22):8789-8800. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.6b02113
- 66. Borue VY, Erukhimovich IY. A statistical theory of globular polyelectrolyte complexes. *Macromolecules*. 1990;23(15):3625-3632. doi:10.1021/ma00217a015
- 67. Borue VY, Erukhimovich IY. A Statistical Theory of Weakly Charged Polyelectrolytes: Fluctuations, Equation of State and Microphase Separation. *Macromolecules*. 1988;21(11):3240-3249. doi:10.1021/ma00189a019
- 68. Delaney KT, Fredrickson GH. Theory of Polyelectrolyte Complexation—Complex Coacervates are Self-Coacervates. *J Chem Phys.* 2017;146(22):224902. doi:10.1063/1.4985568
- 69. Zhang P, Alsaifi NM, Wu J, Wang Z-G. Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervation: Effects of Concentration Asymmetry. *J Chem Phys.* 2018;149:163303. doi:10.1063/1.5028524
- 70. Dobrynin A V, Colby RH, Rubinstein M. Scaling Theory of Polyelectrolyte Solutions. *Macromolecules*. 1995;28(6):1859-1871. doi:10.1021/ma00110a021
- 71. Danielsen SPO, Panyukov S, Rubinstein M. Ion Pairing and the Structure of Gel Coacervates.

  \*\*Macromolecules. 2020;53(21):9420-9442. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.0c01360
- 72. de Gennes PG. *Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics*. Cornell University Press; 1979. https://books.google.com/books?id=ApzfJ2LYwGUC.
- 73. Lin Y-H, Forman-Kay JD, Chan HS. Sequence-Specific Polyampholyte Phase Separation in Membraneless Organelles. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2016;117(17):178101. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.178101
- 74. Nott TJ, Petsalaki E, Farber P, et al. Phase transition of a disordered nuage protein generates environmentally responsive membraneless organelles. *Mol Cell*. 2015;57(5):936-947.

- doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.01.013
- 75. Andreev M, de Pablo JJ, Chremos A, Douglas JF. Influence of Ion Solvation on the Properties of Electrolyte Solutions. *J Phys Chem B*. 2018;122(14):4029-4034. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.8b00518
- 76. Okur HI, Hladílková J, Rembert KB, et al. Beyond the Hofmeister Series: Ion-Specific Effects on Proteins and Their Biological Functions. *J Phys Chem B*. 2017;121(9):1997-2014. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.6b10797
- 77. Kiriukhin MY, Collins KD. Dynamic hydration numbers for biologically important ions. *Biophys Chem*. 2002;99(2):155-168. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4622(02)00153-9
- 78. Auffinger P, Westhof E. Water and ion binding around RNA and DNA (C,G) oligomers11Edited by I. Tinoco. *J Mol Biol.* 2000;300(5):1113-1131. doi:https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.3894
- 79. Hofmeister F. Zur Lehre von der Wirkung der Salze. *Arch für Exp Pathol und Pharmakologie*. 1888;24(4):247-260. doi:10.1007/BF01918191
- 80. Caminati G, Gabrielli G. Polystyrene sulfonate adsorption at water—graphon and water—air interfaces.

  \*\*Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem Eng Asp. 1993;70(1):1-14. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0927-7757(93)80491-V
- 81. Marcus Y. Electrostriction, Ion Solvation, and Solvent Release on Ion Pairing. *J Phys Chem B*. 2005;109(39):18541-18549. doi:10.1021/jp051505k
- 82. Sinn CG, Dimova R, Antonietti M. Isothermal Titration Calorimetry of the Polyelectrolyte/Water Interaction and Binding of Ca<sup>2+</sup>: Effects Determining the Quality of Polymeric Scale Inhibitors. *Macromolecules*. 2004;37:3444-3450.
- 83. Schlenoff JB, Rmaile AH, Bucur CB. Hydration Contributions to Association in Polyelectrolyte Multilayers and Complexes: Visualizing Hydrophobicity. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008;130(41):13589-13597. doi:10.1021/ja802054k
- 84. Rekharsky M, Inoue Y, Tobey S, Metzger A, Anslyn E. Ion-Pairing Molecular Recognition in Water:

  Aggregation at Low Concentrations That Is Entropy-Driven. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2002;124(50):14959-14967.

  doi:10.1021/ja020612e

- 85. Mahtab R, Harden HH, Murphy CJ. Temperature- and Salt-Dependent Binding of Long DNA to Protein-Sized Quantum Dots: Thermodynamics of "Inorganic Protein"–DNA Interactions. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2000;122(1):14-17. doi:10.1021/ja9907156
- 86. Gitlin I, Carbeck JD, Whitesides GM. Why Are Proteins Charged? Networks of Charge—Charge Interactions in Proteins Measured by Charge Ladders and Capillary Electrophoresis. *Angew Chemie Int Ed*. 2006;45(19):3022-3060. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200502530
- 87. Sinn CG, Dimova R, Huin C, Sel Ö, Antonietti M. Binding of Ion Pairs onto Polymer Gels via Dehydration Entropy: A New Mechanism for Ion Exchange. *Macromolecules*. 2006;39(18):6310-6312. doi:10.1021/ma061095d
- 88. Ye Z, Sun S, Wu P. Distinct Cation–Anion Interactions in the UCST and LCST Behavior of Polyelectrolyte Complex Aqueous Solutions. *ACS Macro Lett.* 2020;9(7):974-979. doi:10.1021/acsmacrolett.0c00303
- 89. Požar J, Kovačević D. Complexation Between Polyallylammonium Cations and Polystyrenesulfonate Anions:

  The Effect of Ionic Strength and the Electrolyte Type. *Soft Matter*. 2014;10(34):6530-6545.

  doi:10.1039/C4SM00651H
- 90. Record MT, Lohman TM, Haseth P de. Ion effects on ligand-nucleic acid interactions. *J Mol Biol.* 1976;107(2):145-158. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(76)80023-X
- 91. Vieregg JR, Lueckheide M, Marciel AB, et al. Oligonucleotide–Peptide Complexes: Phase Control by Hybridization. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2018;140(5):1632-1638. doi:10.1021/jacs.7b03567
- 92. Perry SL, Li Y, Priftis D, Leon L, Tirrell M. The Effect of Salt on the Complex Coacervation of Vinyl Polyelectrolytes. *Polymers*. 2014;6(6):1756-1772. doi:10.3390/polym6061756
- 93. Lu T, Nakashima KK, Spruijt E. Temperature-Responsive Peptide–Nucleotide Coacervates. *J Phys Chem B*. 2021;125(12):3080-3091. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c10839
- 94. Quiroz FG, Chilkoti A. Sequence heuristics to encode phase behaviour in intrinsically disordered protein polymers. *Nat Mater.* 2015;14(11):1164-1171. doi:10.1038/nmat4418

- 95. Veis A, Aranyi C. Phase Separation in Polyelectrolyte Systems. I. Complex Coacervates of Gelatin. *J Phys Chem.* 1960;64(9):1203-1210.
- 96. Schlenoff JB, Yang M, Digby ZA, Wang Q. Ion Content of Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates and the Donnan Equilibrium. *Macromolecules*. 2019;52(23):9149-9159. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.9b01755
- 97. Nihonyanagi S, Yamaguchi S, Tahara T. Counterion Effect on Interfacial Water at Charged Interfaces and Its Relevance to the Hofmeister Series. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2014;136(17):6155-6158. doi:10.1021/ja412952y
- 98. Suarez-Martinez PC, Batys P, Sammalkorpi M, Lutkenhaus JL. Time—Temperature and Time—Water Superposition Principles Applied to Poly(allylamine)/Poly(acrylic acid) Complexes. *Macromolecules*. 2019;52(8):3066-3074. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.8b02512
- 99. Sadman K, Wang Q, Chen Y, Keshavarz B, Jiang Z, Shull KR. Influence of Hydrophobicity on Polyelectrolyte Complexation. *Macromolecules*. 2017;50(23):9417-9426. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.7b02031
- 100. Friedowitz S, Salehi A, Larson RG, Qin J. Role of Electrostatic Correlations in Polyelectrolyte Charge Association. *J Chem Phys.* 2018;149(16):163335/1-14. doi:10.1063/1.5034454
- 101. Ghasemi M, Friedowitz S, Larson RG. Analysis of Partitioning of Salt Through Doping of Polyelectrolyte Complex Coacervates. *Macromolecules*. 2020;53(16):6928-6945. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.0c00797
- Danielsen SPO, McCarty J, Shea J-E, Delaney KT, Fredrickson GH. Small Ion Effects on Self-Coacervation Phenomena in Block Polyampholytes. *J Chem Phys.* 2019;151(3):34904. doi:10.1063/1.5109045
- 103. Wang Q, Schlenoff JB. The Polyelectrolyte Complex/Coacervate Continuum. *Macromolecules*.2014;47(9):3108-3116. doi:10.1021/ma500500q
- 104. Salomäki M, Tervasmäki P, Areva S, Kankare J. The Hofmeister Anion Effect and the Growth of Polyelectrolyte Multilayers. *Langmuir*. 2004;20(9):3679-3683. doi:10.1021/la036328y
- 105. Larson RG, Liu Y, Li H. Linear viscoelasticity and time-temperature-salt and other superpositions in polyelectrolyte coacervates. *J Rheol.* 2021;65(1):77-102. doi:10.1122/8.0000156
- 106. Lou J, Friedowitz S, Qin J, Xia Y. Tunable Coacervation of Well-Defined Homologous Polyanions and

- Polycations by Local Polarity. ACS Cent Sci. 2019;5(3):549-557. doi:10.1021/acscentsci.8b00964
- 107. Muthukumar M. 50th Anniversary Perspective: A Perspective on Polyelectrolyte Solutions. *Macromolecules*.2017;50(24):9528-9560. doi:10.1021/acs.macromol.7b01929
- 108. Förster S, Schmidt M. Polyelectrolytes in solution. In: *Physical Properties of Polymers*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 1995:51-133. doi:10.1007/3-540-58704-7\_2
- 109. Katchalsky A. Problems in the physical chemistry of polyelectrolytes. *J Polym Sci.* 1954;12(1):159-184. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/pol.1954.120120114
- 110. Batys P, Luukkonen S, Sammalkorpi M. Ability of the Poisson–Boltzmann Equation to Capture Molecular

  Dynamics Predicted Ion Distribution Around Polyelectrolytes. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2017;19(36):24583
  24593. doi:10.1039/C7CP02547E
- 111. Tian W, Ghasemi M, Larson RG. Extracting Free Energies of Counterion Binding to Polyelectrolytes by Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *J Chem Phys.* 2021;155:114902.
- 112. Manning GS. Limiting Laws and Counterion Condensation in Polyelectrolyte Solutions I. Colligative Properties. *J Chem Phys.* 1969;51(3):924-933. doi:10.1063/1.1672157
- 113. Hsu H-P, Lee E. Counterion condensation of a polyelectrolyte. *Electrochem commun*. 2012;15(1):59-62. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2011.11.024
- 114. Luo S, Jiang X, Zou L, et al. Resolving the Difference in Electric Potential within a Charged Macromolecule.

  \*Macromolecules. 2013;46(8):3132-3136. doi:10.1021/ma302276b
- 115. Rumyantsev AM, Jackson NE, Yu B, et al. Controlling Complex Coacervation via Random Polyelectrolyte Sequences. *ACS Macro Lett.* 2019;8(10):1296-1302. doi:10.1021/acsmacrolett.9b00494
- 116. Gallegos A, Ong GMC, Wu J. Thermodynamic non-ideality in charge regulation of weak polyelectrolytes. *Soft Matter*. 2021;17(40):9221-9234. doi:10.1039/D1SM00848J
- 117. Ghasemi M, Larson RG. Role of Electrostatic Interactions in Charge Regulation of Weakly Dissociating Polyacids. *Prog Polym Sci.* 2021;112:101322. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2020.101322

- 118. Zheng B, Avni Y, Andelman D, Podgornik R. Phase Separation of Polyelectrolytes: The Effect of Charge Regulation. J Phys Chem B. 2021;125(28):7863-7870. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.1c01986
- 119. Knoerdel AR, Blocher McTigue WC, Sing CE. Transfer Matrix Model of pH Effects in Polymeric Complex Coacervation. *J Phys Chem B*. 2021;125(31):8965-8980. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.1c03065
- 120. Patel A, Lee HO, Jawerth L, et al. A Liquid-to-Solid Phase Transition of the ALS Protein FUS Accelerated by Disease Mutation. *Cell.* 2015;162(5):1066-1077. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.047
- 121. Lund M, Jönsson B. Charge regulation in biomolecular solution. *Q Rev Biophys*. 2013;46(3):265-281. doi:DOI: 10.1017/S003358351300005X
- 122. Setny P, Baron R, Michael Kekenes-Huskey P, McCammon JA, Dzubiella J. Solvent fluctuations in hydrophobic cavity–ligand binding kinetics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2013;110(4):1197 LP 1202. doi:10.1073/pnas.1221231110
- 123. Xu W, Yuan X, Xiang Z, Mimnaugh E, Marcu M, Neckers L. Surface charge and hydrophobicity determine ErbB2 binding to the Hsp90 chaperone complex. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2005;12(2):120-126. doi:10.1038/nsmb885
- 124. Onufriev A V, Alexov E. Protonation and pK changes in protein–ligand binding. *Q Rev Biophys*. 2013;46(2):181-209. doi:DOI: 10.1017/S0033583513000024
- 125. Biok NA, Passow AD, Wang C, Bingman CA, Abbott NL, Gellman SH. Retention of Coiled-Coil Dimer Formation in the Absence of Ion Pairing at Positions Flanking the Hydrophobic Core. *Biochemistry*. 2019;58(48):4821-4826. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.9b00668
- 126. Pace CN, Grimsley GR, Scholtz JM. Protein ionizable groups: pK values and their contribution to protein stability and solubility. *J Biol Chem.* 2009;284(20):13285-13289. doi:10.1074/jbc.R800080200
- 127. Fossat MJ, Pappu R V. q-Canonical Monte Carlo Sampling for Modeling the Linkage between Charge Regulation and Conformational Equilibria of Peptides. *J Phys Chem B*. 2019;123(32):6952-6967. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.9b05206

- 128. Boubeta FM, Soler-Illia GJAA, Tagliazucchi M. Electrostatically Driven Protein Adsorption: Charge Patches versus Charge Regulation. *Langmuir*. 2018;34(51):15727-15738. doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.8b03411
- 129. Lindman S, Bauer MC, Lund M, et al. pK<sub>a</sub> Values for the Unfolded State under Native Conditions Explain the pH-Dependent Stability of PGB1. *Biophys J*. 2010;99(10):3365-3373. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2010.08.078
- 130. Ball P. Water as an Active Constituent in Cell Biology. *Chem Rev.* 2008;108(1):74-108. doi:10.1021/cr068037a
- 131. Sidorova NY, Rau DC. Differences in water release for the binding of EcoRI to specific and nonspecific DNA sequences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 1996;93(22):12272-12277. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.22.12272
- 132. Yeon H, Wang C, Gellman SH, Abbott NL. Influence of immobilized cations on the thermodynamic signature of hydrophobic interactions at chemically heterogeneous surfaces. *Mol Syst Des Eng.* 2020;5(4):835-846. doi:10.1039/D0ME00016G
- Huang K, Gast S, Ma CD, Abbott NL, Szlufarska I. Comparison between Free and Immobilized Ion Effects on Hydrophobic Interactions: A Molecular Dynamics Study. *J Phys Chem B*. 2015;119(41):13152-13159. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.5b05220
- 134. Lytle TK, Sing CE. Tuning Chain Interaction Entropy in Complex Coacervation using Polymer Stiffness,
  Architecture, and Salt Valency. *Mol Syst Des Eng.* 2018;3(1):183-196. doi:10.1039/C7ME00108H
- 135. Record MT, Anderson CF, Lohman TM. Thermodynamic analysis of ion effects on the binding and conformational equilibria of proteins and nucleic acids: the roles of ion association or release, screening, and ion effects on water activity. *Q Rev Biophys*. 1978;11(2):103-178. doi:DOI: 10.1017/S003358350000202X
- 136. Sukhorukov GB, Donath E, Lichtenfeld H, et al. Layer-By-Layer Self Assembly of Polyelectrolytes on Colloidal Particles. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem Eng Asp.* 1998;137(1):253-266.

  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757(98)00213-1
- 137. Chiappisi L, Hoffmann I, Gradzielski M. Complexes of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes and surfactants –

- recent developments in the field of biologically derived polyelectrolytes. *Soft Matter*. 2013;9(15):3896-3909. doi:10.1039/C3SM27698H
- de Vries RJ, Cohen Stuart MA. Theory and simulations of macroion complexation. *Phys Phys Chem Foods*. 2006;11(5):295-301.
- 139. Mead BP, Mastorakos P, Suk JS, Klibanov AL, Hanes J, Price RJ. Targeted Gene Transfer to the Brain via the Delivery of Brain-Penetrating DNA Nanoparticles with Focused Ultrasound. *J Control Release*. 2016;223:109-117. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2015.12.034
- Dautzenberg H, Hartmann J, Grunewald S, Brand F. Stoichiometry and Structure of Polyelectrolyte Complex Particles in Diluted Solutions. *Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft für Phys Chemie*. 1996;100(6):1024-1032. doi:10.1002/bbpc.19961000654

# List of Figures

**Figure 1.** a) Salt partitioning, and b) doping constant of various sodium salts from refs 96 and 2, with salt anions, from left to right, in order of increasing hydration number. Figure 1 is adapted or reprinted with permission from refs 2 and 96.

**Figure 2.** a) Binodal phase diagrams for the complexation between b) polyanion and polycation with different periodicity ( $\tau$ ) of charged monomers, where  $\tau$  gives the periodicity length (in polycation monomers) of the charged and neutral monomer blocks. c) shows representative dense coacervate and dilute phases. All three panels are adapted from ref 7.

**Figure 3.** a) Experimental and b) theoretical binodal diagrams of coacervation between pairs of PEs of two different hydrophobicities. The chain lengths of both polyanions and polycations are kept fixed at 250. In a), the less hydrophobic polycation and polyanion both contain an acryloyl backbone, while the more hydrophobic ones have

methacryloyl backbones. The charge is controlled by the side group, which is identical in the acryloyl and methacryloyl polyelectrolytes. Figures are reprinted from ref 3.

**Figure 4.** a) Theoretical binodal diagrams for various strengths  $\Delta G_{\rm C-}^0$  of salt anion binding to polycations including absence of ion-binding (yellow line with open symbols). b) variation of the PEC volume fraction, defined as the PEC volume over the entire solution volume as a function of total salt concentration,  $C_{\rm S}$ . c) and d) show, both theoretically and experimentally, the variation of the salt and water content in the PEC, respectively defined as  $r = [{\rm salt}]^{\rm PEC}/[{\rm PE}]^{\rm PEC}$  and  $r^{\rm W} = [{\rm water}]^{\rm PEC}/[{\rm PE}]^{\rm PEC}$  for PDADMA/PSS in KBr (Reprinted or adapted with permission from ref 101.)

**Figure 5.** Theoretical (lines) and experimental (symbols) binodal diagrams for complexation of PEs with various chain lengths and monomer polarities tuned by peroxide reaction at equivalence levels given in the legends. Fitted  $\chi_{PW}$  parameter at equivalence levels of 0.0, 0.1, 0.5, and 2.0 are respectively, 0.556, 0.531, 0.472, and 0.445. The degree of polymerization of the polycation ion equals that of the polyanion in each case and are set at a) 180, b) 100, and c) 50. Figures are reprinted from ref 106.

**Figure 6.** Cylindrical radial distribution functions  $\rho(r)$  of ions around polyelectrolyte chains, stretched across the simulation box. Results for a) a polyglutamic acid chain (PGA), with sodium, potassium, or cesium counterions, and b) a polyacrylic acid chain of various charge fractions and sodium ions. PGA and PAA are 20 monomer long chains, and the number next to "PAA" in the legend in b denotes the number of charged monomers in the PAA chain. Figures are reproduced from ref 110.

Figure 7. Potassium-charged monomer radial distribution function (RDF) for aqueous solutions containing potassium ions and a 30-monomer PAA chain with varying numbers of charged monomers on the chain. The number next to "PAA" in the legends in a denotes the number of charged monomers in PAA chain. Note that, in this figure the terminal two monomers at each end of the chain are kept neutral and these monomers are not included in definitions of  $\alpha_{A+}$  and  $\gamma$ . Within the theory, the contributions to the effective binding free energy  $\Delta G_{A+}^{\text{eff,0}} = \Delta G_{A+}^0 + \mu_{A+}^{\text{corr}} - 1$  beyond  $\Delta G_{A+}^0 - 1 = -4 k_B T$  are due to the electrostatic correlations, given by  $\mu_{A+}^{\text{corr}}$ . In b,  $\Delta G_{A+}^0 - 1 = -4 k_B T$  is obtained from MD simulations of weakly-charged PAA chains and is used an input into the theory (lines are theoretical predictions). Figures are reprinted from ref 111.

Figure 8. a) Predicted degree of deprotonation as a function of pH for polyacids with charge interactions modeled in

four ways: 1) using the Henderson-Hasselbalch expression (red symbols, which is valid for monoacids), 2) using the Debye-Hückel theory with no charge connectivity (dashed line), 3) using the RPA theory with a rodlike chain (black solid line), or 4) using the RPA with a Gaussian chain (blue solid line). b) Corresponding effective ionization constants as functions of degree of deprotonation. Figures are adapted from ref 117.

**Cover Caption.** Polyelectrolyte coacervates are viscous liquids or gels formed by long-range electrostatic interactions, and by local interactions created for example by opposite charges, hydrophobicity, or hydrogen bonds (Graphic generated from <u>Biorender.com</u>).