



One Antarctic slug to confuse them all: the underestimated diversity of *Doris kerguelensis*

Paige J. Maroni^{A,B,*} , Bill J. Baker^C, Amy L. Moran^D, H. Arthur Woods^E, Conxita Avila^F, Glenn J. Johnstone^G, Jonathan S. Stark^G, Kevin M. Kocot^H, Susanne Lockhart^I, Thomas Saucède^J, Greg W. Rouse^K  and Nerida G. Wilson^{A,B,K,L} 

For full list of author affiliations and declarations see end of paper

***Correspondence to:**

Paige J. Maroni
The University of Western Australia,
School of Biological Sciences (M092),
35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009,
Australia
Email: paige.maroni@research.uwa.edu.au

Handling Editor:

Ana Riesgo

ABSTRACT

The Antarctic marine environment, although rich in life, is predicted to experience rapid and significant effects from climate change. Despite a revolution in the approaches used to document biodiversity, less than one percent of Antarctic marine invertebrates are represented by DNA barcodes and we are at risk of losing biodiversity before discovery. The ease of sequencing mitochondrial DNA barcodes has promoted this relatively ‘universal’ species identification system across most metazoan phyla and barcode datasets are currently readily used for exploring questions of species-level taxonomy. Here we present the most well-sampled phylogeny of the direct-developing, Southern Ocean nudibranch mollusc, *Doris kerguelensis* to date. This study sampled over 1000 new *Doris kerguelensis* specimens spanning the Southern Ocean and sequenced the mitochondrial *COI* gene. Results of a maximum likelihood phylogeny and multiple subsequent species delimitation analyses identified 27 new species in this complex (now 59 in total). Using rarefaction techniques, we infer more species are yet to be discovered. Some species were only collected from southern South America or the sub-Antarctic islands, while at least four species were found spanning the Polar Front. This is contrary to dispersal predictions for species without a larval stage such as *Doris kerguelensis*. Our work demonstrates the value of increasing geographic scope in sampling and highlights what could be lost given the current global biodiversity crisis.

Keywords: allopatry, Antarctica, Antarctic marine biodiversity, cryptic species, cytochrome oxidase I, direct development, mtDNA, nudibranch mollusc, phylogeny, refugia, species delimitation.

Introduction

Received: 22 October 2021

Accepted: 24 March 2022

Published: 1 June 2022

Cite this:

Maroni PJ et al. (2022)
Invertebrate Systematics
36(5), 419–435. doi:[10.1071/IS21073](https://doi.org/10.1071/IS21073)

© 2022 The Author(s) (or their employer(s)). Published by CSIRO Publishing.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License ([CC BY-NC-ND](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/))

OPEN ACCESS

Biodiversity and natural ecosystems provide humankind with significant economic benefits (e.g. Wallace 1997; McClintock and Baker 2001; Pertierra et al. 2021) by way of indirect essential services (e.g. maintaining water cycles – McNeely et al. 1990; CO₂ emission mitigation – Domke et al. 2020). Despite all known benefits, the rapid destruction of the world’s most diverse ecosystems has led most experts to conclude that the earth’s biological diversity is in danger (Singh 2002). Although the total number of extant species is currently estimated to be between 5.3 million and 1 trillion, only 1.85 million species have been formally described (Mora et al. 2011; Looyen and Lennon 2016). We also know that our oceans house a large array of marine species (146 969 accepted species; Ocean Biodiversity Information System, see <https://obis.org/>), with another 1.4–1.6 million species hypothesised to exist, and are awaiting discovery and description (Bouchet 2006). Concurrent with the evolution of human societies (c. 11 000 years ago) in the form of advanced infrastructure, farming and transport, there has been continual biodiversity loss, both of species, and wider ecosystem integrity and functionality (e.g. Rogers-Bennett and Catton 2019; Prates and Perez 2021). This loss is underestimated due to taxonomic uncertainty and data gaps. Throughout geological time, at least five

putative mass extinctions have occurred (Barnosky *et al.* 2011). Unlike past natural events, however, that spanned millions of years, the present anthropogenically driven mass extinction is likely to occur over only hundreds of years (as few as 200 years) (see: Ceballos *et al.* 2015). This loss of biodiversity has significant effects on ecosystem function that has implications for human populations and these may still be vastly underestimated.

Despite being the most isolated continent on Earth, Antarctica has not escaped the negative effects of human activity (Vaughan *et al.* 2003; Aronson *et al.* 2011; Chown *et al.* 2015; Stephens 2018). Such impacts include pollution, overfishing, increased melting of ice and the introduction of invasive or alien species (Tin *et al.* 2009; Aronson *et al.* 2011; Stark *et al.* 2019; Avila *et al.* 2020; De Castro-Fernández *et al.* 2021). Globally, the Antarctic region is known to act as a significant carbon sink and surrounding ecosystems are experiencing temperature increases due to rising global atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations (Ito *et al.* 2010). Parts of the Antarctic Peninsula, including the west Antarctic Peninsula for example, are particularly vulnerable to these human-induced ecological disasters, as these are already experiencing the greatest increases in mean annual atmospheric temperatures on Earth (Chapman and Walsh 2007; Clarke *et al.* 2007; Ingels *et al.* 2012; Torre *et al.* 2017). Failure to address these global threats will not only result in the degradation of Antarctic marine ecosystems but will potentially lead to enormous losses of global biodiversity.

Technical advances in molecular phylogenetics over the past several decades have resulted in the development of many more sensitive rapid tools for detecting new species (Féral 2002; Goetze 2003; Baird *et al.* 2011). The ease of sequencing mitochondrial DNA barcodes has promoted a relatively ‘universal’ species identification system across most metazoan phyla and now barcode datasets are more readily used for exploring questions of species-level taxonomy (Hebert *et al.* 2003; Puillandre *et al.* 2012; Taberlet *et al.* 2012; Eberle *et al.* 2020). In current phylogenetic studies the types of molecular information extracted for rapid assessments of animal diversity have evolved from interpreting single-locus (e.g. Hebert *et al.* 2003) to whole-genome datasets (e.g. Jensen *et al.* 2021). This expansion of available sequences, facilitated by the automation of sequencing and decrease in sequencing costs, has accelerated phylogenetic studies and whole-genome-based research (e.g. Johnson *et al.* 2008; Layton *et al.* 2018; Cai *et al.* 2019). This is particularly significant when barcoding cryptic and pseudocryptic species (organisms that are first discerned by non-morphological methods, e.g. Brasier *et al.* 2016; Matsuda and Gosliner 2018; Tyagi *et al.* 2019). When divergent interspecific traits are not morphologically obvious, traditional taxonomic methods fail to detect speciation events and biodiversity will remain under-reported (Knowlton 1993; Baird *et al.* 2011).

The Antarctic continental shelf is one marine realm that has recently revealed apparent high levels of cryptic species

(e.g. Linse *et al.* 2007; Wilson *et al.* 2009; Baird *et al.* 2011; Brasier *et al.* 2016), specifically in organisms with poor dispersal abilities (Griffiths 2010; De Broyer and Danis 2011; Grant *et al.* 2011; Neusser *et al.* 2011; Brandt *et al.* 2012). The growth and decay of ice sheets during Antarctica’s history has been one of the most significant disturbances acting at an ecosystem level across the shelf and underpins one of the fundamental frameworks for understanding Antarctic diversity, the ‘Antarctic Biodiversity Pump’ hypothesis (Clarke and Crame 1989, 2010; Gutt and Starmans 2002; Thatje *et al.* 2005). This hypothesis proposes that overall, increased speciation rates are the result of Milankovitch-cycle driven glacial oscillations that drive cryptic and allopatric speciation by gene flow inhibition and speciation events (Clarke and Crame 1989; Crame 1997; Griffiths 2010). A substantial amount of Antarctic species diversity is hypothesised to be the result of species flocks that have been identified as monophyletic taxa displaying high levels of endemic species that are ecologically diverse and abundant in relation to the surrounding habitat (Ribbink 1984; Eastman and McCune 2000; Lecointre *et al.* 2013; Chenail *et al.* 2018). During these glacial oscillations and ensuing periodic habitat disruptions, ice-free refugia are thought to have sustained reduced populations of once widely distributed species (e.g. Smith *et al.* 2010; Lau *et al.* 2020), allowing many to diverge during this time.

One Southern Ocean marine invertebrate that is emerging as a case study in cryptic speciation is *Doris kerguelensis* (Bergh, 1884). Recent studies on this nominal species have highlighted either new genetic lineages (Wilson *et al.* 2009, 2013) or new chemical compounds (Iken *et al.* 2002; Maschek *et al.* 2012; Avila 2020). *Doris kerguelensis* is a direct-developing sea slug with limited dispersal potential and long generation times (Hain and Arnaud 1992; Moles *et al.* 2017a). Belonging to the Dorididae, this nudibranch is a simultaneous hermaphrodite that feeds exclusively on sponges and synthesises secondary metabolites *de novo* (Maschek *et al.* 2012). Wägele (1990) reviewed and ultimately synonymised ten Southern Ocean dorid nudibranch species within the single, morphologically variable species *Austrodoris kerguelensis* (Bergh, 1884). That work also designated another two species as *nomina dubia* due to misplaced holotypes and inadequate descriptions. The genus *Austrodoris* Odhner, 1926 was subsequently revised and synonymised along with five other cryptobranch dorid nudibranch genera into *Doris* Linnaeus, 1758 (Valdes 2001). Wilson *et al.* (2009) examined the mitochondrial protein-coding gene Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) and revealed 29 putative lineages within this nominal species. These lineages were subsequently corroborated with nuclear and metabolomic trait data to infer biological species (Wilson *et al.* 2013); therefore, this sets a sound basis for using COI clades as a proxy for species in this study. Interestingly, three new species were recovered by resampling in the same geographic regions (Wilson *et al.* 2013), hinting at further undetected diversity.




Fig. 1. Map of Antarctica showing the sites of all *Doris kerguelensis* samples sequenced for the mitochondrial DNA gene *Cytochrome Oxidase I* (COI). The Antarctic Polar Front is denoted by the solid white line with two adjustments proposed by Park *et al.* (2014) and Sokolov and Rintoul (2009) (hashed white lines depicting the APF moving south of Kerguelen Island). Colours indicate geographic sampling regions. Base map generated through Quantarctica (ver. 3.2, see <https://www.npolar.no/quantarctica/>; Matsuoka *et al.* 2021).

In this study, we explored the identity of over 1000 new *Doris kerguelensis* specimens using mitochondrial DNA sequence data, with the aim of (i) creating an expanded phylogenetic hypothesis for the group, (ii) testing for additional cryptic species within this species complex and (iii) exploring the distributional patterns of these species.

Methods

Specimen collection and preservation

In this study, 1275 individuals of *Doris kerguelensis* were included from 146 sites from depths between the intertidal and 798 m (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table S1). Our specimens were collected during various Antarctic field expeditions

using a Blake trawl, Smith-McIntyre grab, Agassiz trawl, wire dredge, epibenthic sled or hand collected by SCUBA diving. Samples were collected from various locations in the Southern Ocean between 2006 and 2018 (Fig. 1). Nineteen geographical regions were defined *a priori* (Table 1). Owing to differing depths, distances between regions, coastal currents and ocean circulation patterns (Smith *et al.* 1999) we separated the Antarctic Peninsula region into four regions: (i) Palmer Archipelago, (ii) Bransfield Strait, (iii) South Shetland Islands and (iv) Elephant Island. Tissue subsamples were taken from specimens preserved in 96–100% ethanol or frozen. Sequenced specimens were housed in the Western Australian Museum (WAM), Scripps Institution of Oceanography, Benthic Invertebrates Collection (SIO-BIC), Yale Peabody Museum (YPM), California Academy of

Table 1. Table of *COI* diversity of *Doris kerguelensis* individuals and species by region.

Region	Region code	Number of sites	Number of species	Number of individuals
Bransfield Strait	BS	31	21	169
South Orkney Islands	SOI	27	19	117
Burdwood Bank	BB	17	13	100
Palmer Archipelago	PAL	15	13	290
South Shetland Islands	SSI	5	13	95
Elephant Island	EI	11	11	281
South Georgia	SG	11	11	43
Discovery Bank	DB	3	9	11
Weddell Sea	WS	4	6	7
Shag Rocks	SR	6	5	47
Davis Station	DS	4	5	18
South Sandwich Islands	SS	2	4	40
Herdman Bank	HB	2	3	5
Ross Sea	RS	3	2	29
Falkland Islands	FI	1	1	1
Bouvet Island	BI	1	1	1
Mount Siple	SIP	1	1	1
Kerguelen Plateau	KP	2	1	18
Casey Station	CS	1	1	2

Ordered by total number of species per region. $N = 1275$.

Sciences (CAS), National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution (USNM), University of Barcelona and the Baker Laboratory, University of South Florida. Data for all specimens sequenced in this study are available in Supplementary Table S1 including GenBank accession numbers for outgroup taxa.

In addition to the ingroup specimens, a range of outgroup sequences were selected from GenBank (Wollscheid-Lengeling *et al.* 2001; Shields 2009; Pola and Gosliner 2010; Jung *et al.* 2014; Palomar *et al.* 2014; Hulett *et al.* 2015; Mahguib and Valdés 2015; Goodheart *et al.* 2018) (see Supplementary Table S1) along with other available sequences for *Doris kerguelensis* (Wilson *et al.* 2009, 2013). This was done as a robust sister group for Dorididae, across the Nudibranchia phylogeny is not yet certain (e.g. Wollscheid-Lengeling *et al.* 2001; Shields 2009; Mahguib and Valdés 2015; Korshunova *et al.* 2020); however, the tree was ultimately rooted with *Prodoris clavigera*.

DNA extraction, amplification and DNA sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted from 1077 ethanol-fixed or frozen samples using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions (excluding the

optional repeat of a final elution step). For analysis, an additional 198 previously published sequences were also incorporated (see Wilson *et al.* 2009, 2013). The same primers were used for PCR and sequencing (LCO1490/HCO2198, Folmer *et al.* 1994; or modified degenerate versions of the former, jgLCO1490/jgHCO2198, Geller *et al.* 2013). These primers amplified a fragment of the cytochrome oxidase I gene (*COI*). Each PCR reaction included: 16.8 μ L of molecular grade (deionised) water, 5.0 μ L 5 \times MyTaq PCR buffer (1 \times = 1 mM of dNTPs, 3 mM of MgCl₂) (Bioline), 0.8 μ L of forward primer, 0.8 μ L of reverse primer, 0.2 μ L of Platinum Taq polymerase (Invitrogen), with 1.5 μ L of DNA template added. The thermocycling protocol for *COI* using primers LCO1490/HCO2198 followed the procedure: 5 min. at 95°C, 7 cycles 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 45°C, 1 min. at 72°C followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 50°C, 1 min. at 72°C with a final extension time of 10 min. with a temperature of 72°C. The thermocycling protocol using primers jgLCO1490/jgHCO2198 was as follows: 3 min. at 95°C, 8 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 50°C, 45 s at 72°C followed by 32 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 48°C and 45 s at 72°C with a final extension time of 5 min at 72°C. Amplicons were screened on E-gels (Invitrogen) and the positive reactions, determined through Bio-Rad Image Laboratory software, were outsourced to the Australian Genome Research

Facility (Perth) for enzyme purification and Sanger sequencing using an Applied Biosystems 3730 capillary sequencer. All sequences were assembled and edited in Geneious Prime 2020.1 (Kearse *et al.* 2012). In cases where amplicon bands were deemed weak or if amplicons failed to sequence, experiments were run to improve sequencing success either by increasing DNA or DNA dilutions (1:10, 1:20, 1:50 and 1:100).

Phylogenetic reconstruction and primary species hypotheses (PSH)

COI sequences from *Doris kerguelensis* and outgroups were aligned with the MAFFT plugin for Geneious (ver. 1.4.0, see <https://www.geneious.com/plugins/mafft-plugin/>; Katoh and Standley 2013) using default settings. The sequences were used to create a single gene, maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny using IQ-TREE (ver. 1.6.12, see <http://www.iqtree.org/>; Nguyen *et al.* 2015; Trifinopoulos *et al.* 2016), herein referred to as the Primary Species Hypotheses (PSH) (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. S1) that will be further tested with delimitation methods. The -m TEST (Kalyaanamoorthy *et al.* 2017) option in IQ-TREE identified TN + F + I + G4 as the best-fit model chosen according to Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). Nodal support was assessed with 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates (Hoang *et al.* 2018). This phylogenetic tree was used to make semi-arbitrary decisions about inferred species clades, much in the same way that taxonomists intuitively assign names based on morphological variation (Dayrat 2005). Some of the ‘arbitrary’ species-level clades had already been corroborated with nuclear and trait data (see Wilson *et al.* 2013).

In previous publications assessing diversity in *D. kerguelensis*, species-level clades were numbered (Wilson *et al.* 2009, 2013) from 1 to 32. These original numbers have been incorporated into this new work and we have continued numbering new clades from 33 onwards, with one exception. The original clade 12, originally consisting of a single specimen (USNM1120712) has now been synonymised within clade 11. The newly named clade 12 in this study comprises a previously unknown clade.

Pairwise distances (uncorrected *p*-distances) or Tamura and Nei (1993) (TN93) corrected genetic distances were both calculated from the mtDNA sequences using the ‘dist.dna’ function from the R.cran (ver. 4.2.0, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, see <https://cran.r-project.org/>) package Ape (ver. 5.6, see <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ape/index.html>; Paradis and Schliep 2019) with either ‘raw’ or ‘TN93’ selected as the evolutionary model (Supplementary Fig. S2). The gamma shape α and base frequencies were also parameters specified for the distance calculations. Intra- and interspecific (PSH clades) *p*-distances and TN93 corrected genetic distances were also calculated in MEGA X (ver. 10.2.6, see <https://www.megasoftware.net/>; Kumar *et al.* 2018) (Supplementary Table S2).

Species delimitation

The process of delimitation was carried out in a series of steps. We tested our PSH through a range of species delimitation methods that included the Multi-rate Poisson Tree Process analysis ((m)PTP, Kapli *et al.* 2017), statistical parsimony networks (TCS Java program, ver. 1.21, see <https://bio.tools/tcs>; Clement *et al.* 2000; Hart and Sunday 2007), the Automatic Barcode Gap Definition (ABGD, Puillandre *et al.* 2012) and Assemble Species by Automatic Partitioning (ASAP, Puillandre *et al.* 2021). All delimitation analyses were run without outgroups included in the input files.

Multi-rate PTP is designed to better accommodate sampling and population specific characteristics of a broad range of datasets as this incorporates different levels of intra-specific genetic diversity (based on specific branching events or species-specific sampling) (Kapli *et al.* 2017). This analysis has been shown to outperform PTP (Zhang *et al.* 2013) in yielding more accurate delimitations with respect to taxonomy (i.e. identifies more taxonomically accepted species).

TCS (Clement *et al.* 2000) bins sequences into haplotypes and calculates the frequencies of the haplotypes in the sample (Clement *et al.* 2000). Relationships among haplotype networks were explored using this method using ‘parsimnet’, a function in the R.cran package Haplotypes (ver. 1.1.2, C. Aktas, see <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/haplotypes/index.html>) that finds the most parsimonious networks and is an implementation of the TCS methods proposed by Templeton *et al.* (1992). TCS (Clement *et al.* 2000) has been shown to be useful for species delimitation as the parsimony connection limit (95%) successfully delimits the same number of subnetworks as taxa (see Hart and Sunday 2007).

ABGD and ASAP are both ascending hierarchical clustering programs that merge sequences into groups defined as partitions. The partitions are defined by probability and barcode gap width however, unlike ABGD that is solely based on pairwise distances (Puillandre *et al.* 2012), there is no need for an *a priori* defined *P* (when *P* is the prior maximum divergence of intraspecific diversity) within ASAP’s input functions. ASAP produces a scoring system that ranks the partitions based on a combination of both probability and gap width (‘asap-score’) (Puillandre *et al.* 2021). In ABGD and ASAP analyses, there are two available substitution models, Jukes and Cantor (1969) (JC69) and Kimura (1980) (K80). However, the evolutionary model selected by IQ-TREE (a variant of Tamura and Nei 1993) is not an available model option when partitioning sequences in these programs, so Tamura and Nei (1993) corrected genetic distances were imported into these delimitation analyses for comparison. We used ABGD alongside ASAP because although ASAP has been developed to replace ABGD, comparisons between the two programs will allow for direct comparison to results from previously published literature. The default parameters employed within ABGD were $P_{\min} = 0.001$, $P_{\max} = 0.10$, 10 steps, $X = 1.5$, Nb bins of 20. Only the initial partitions were examined




Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny of the unique *COI* haplotypes dataset, including 320 *Doris* sequences and 21 outgroups (not shown) using a TN + F + I + G4 best-fit model. Nodes with ultrafast bootstrap support values of 95 or higher have been denoted by a circular node shape. Triangles represent collapsed clades. Boxes represent the Primary Species Hypothesis (PSH) followed by the partition results for species delimitation analyses (ABGD P2, ABGD P6, ASAP 1st [all input files except ASAP K80 uncorrected], ASAP 1st K80 uncorrected, TCS and mPTP). Grey boxes indicate species with samples collected from both sides of the Antarctic Polar Front (southern South America and Antarctica).

from the ABGD algorithm outputs (following Puillandre *et al.* 2021). In ASAP, the default parameters were also used.

When assessing ABGD and ASAP partitions, we examined a range of partitioning definitions. This was done to

encapsulate all inter- and intraspecific variability and accommodate for over-splitting or coalescing of recently radiated taxa, rather than only selecting a single partition to represent species boundaries. ABGD initially divides the




Fig. 3. Frequency plot depicting the number of samples present within species of *Doris kerguelensis*. For example, 14 species are represented only by singletons.

data into groups based on a range of prior intraspecific divergences and a statistically inferred barcode gap, and subsequently recursively applies the same procedure to the initial groups (Puillandre *et al.* 2012). For this reason, the initial partitions generally represent species or operational taxonomic units (OTUs) whereas the recursive partitions generally reflect population level diversity. Here we only examined the initial partition outputs and only considered ABGD partition 2 ($P = 0.001$; to highlight the intraspecific genetic variability) and partition 6 ($P = 0.01$), as defining species based on less than 1% sequence divergence is not appropriate. Partition 1 was omitted from reports as the primary partition assumes that a single gap can be defined for the entire dataset however the gap distances are highly likely to differ between groups within a dataset (Puillandre *et al.* 2012). We considered these two partitions from both substitution model outputs (JC69 and K80) (Supplementary Fig. S3). ASAP-scores are the average of the P -value and relative barcode gap width of the partitions. In terms of the ASAP partition outputs, three input files were examined. The original, uncorrected alignment file was entered into the webserver (<https://bioinfo.mnhn.fr/abi/public/asap/asapweb.html#>) and both substitution models were applied to the file (= uncorrected p -distances). The Tamura and Nei (1993) corrected MEGA X CSV genetic distance file was imported and tested against both JC69 and K80. A MEGA X CSV TN93 corrected distance file with the gamma shape α (0.692) and base frequencies ($A = 0.245$, $C = 0.174$, $G = 0.189$ and $t = 0.391$) specified, was also tested with both substitution models. ASAP 1st and ASAP 2nd partitions were considered due to the low (and thus better supported) ASAP scores of between 5.00 and 8.00 for all input files and substitution models (see Supplementary Fig. S4).

Species diversity estimates

Considering the large percentage of species clades consisting of single specimens (Fig. 3), we assessed whether further diversity remained hidden due to undersampling, by generating species rarefaction–extrapolation (R–E) curves and the associated 95% confidence intervals, using iNEXT (ver. 2.0.2, see <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/iNEXT/index.html>; Hsieh *et al.* 2016). Through iNEXT (interpolation and extrapolation), an R.cran package (Hsieh *et al.* 2016), our diversity estimates used abundance-based data (Gotelli and Colwell 2011) that tally the abundance of each species (SSH results were utilised as input) across pre-determined geographic regions (Table 1). A sample-size R–E curve was produced to determine whether the rate of discovery of new species slowed down or reached saturation with an increase in sample size. To avoid discarding data, a sample-size R–E curve that rarefies to smaller sample sizes or extrapolates to larger sample sizes (i.e. plots with respect to sample size) was utilised (Colwell *et al.* 2012; Hsieh *et al.* 2016). We conducted both whole dataset and region-based R–E curves that are represented by the means of repeated resampling (1000 bootstrap replicates). Examining the slope of these curves allowed us to assess the impact of undersampling on estimating diversity.

Results

Species delimitation

A total of 1275 COI sequences (433 haplotypes) was generated and the final alignment constituted 658 base pairs (bp) in length. The primary species hypotheses (PSH) generated

with a maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny recovered 59 species-level clades within the monophyletic *Doris kerguelensis* species complex, 27 more than previously known. The ML phylogeny generated through IQ-TREE showed low bootstrap support at interior nodes but mostly high support for terminal clusters (ultrafast bootstrap support $>95\text{--}100$), herein referred to as species. The only two species-level clades that were not supported by high bootstrap values were species 15 and 59 (bootstrap support values 69 and 58 respectively) (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. S1). The proportion of invariable sites was 0.475, the gamma shape α distribution parameter was 0.692 and the base frequencies were $A = 0.245$, $C = 0.174$, $G = 0.189$, $t = 0.391$ (unequal base frequencies). Rates for the six substitution types estimated from the dataset were $AC = 1.0000$, $AG = 10.6386$, $AT = 1.0000$, $CG = 1.0000$, $CT = 7.1609$ and $GT = 1.0000$ (unequal transition rates, equal transversion rates and unequal purine and pyrimidine rates).

To assess the robustness of the PSH, results were compared to those from four delimitation methods (mPTP, TCS, ABGD and ASAP) (Fig. 2, 4, Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Fig. S1–S4). The Multi-rate Poisson Tree Process (mPTP) yielded 62 taxonomic units and TCS recovered 56 groups. Species delimitation based on genetic distance using ABGD analysis detected between 49 and 52 groups respectively (initial partitions 6 ($P = 0.01$) and 2 ($P = 0.001$)) using JC69 and K80 models (Supplementary Fig. S3). All ABGD results were identical between both

substitution models (JC69 and K80, $TS/TV = 2.0$) (see Supplementary Fig. S3). The ASAP species hypothesis partition, ranked by best ASAP score (ASAP 1st) delimited 59 (JC69) and 61 (K80) species, and ASAP 2nd delimited 57 (JC69) and 63 (K80) species. When the TN93 corrected genetic distance files were incorporated into analyses and a model subsequently applied, 59 species were delimited (for both JC69 or K80) in ASAP 1st, and 48 or 60 (JC69 and K80 respectively) in ASAP 2nd. When the additional gamma shape α and base frequency parameters were defined, ASAP 1st and 2nd (JC69) delimited 59 and 60 species respectively, and ASAP 1st and 2nd (K80) delimited 59 and 48 species (see all ASAP results: Supplementary Fig. S4).

The species delimitation results for mPTP, TCS, ABGD (initial partitions 2 and 6) and ASAP (ASAP 1st and 2nd (all input file structures)); are plotted against the ML phylogeny (Fig. 2, 4, Supplementary Fig. S1). Overall, for the Secondary Species Hypotheses (SSH), we chose to accept 59 species (Fig. 4), as this number was recovered by the best scoring ASAP partition in five of six conditions. This number of partitions was always recovered by ASAP 1st when the input alignment was adjusted with corrected distances, and for gamma shape and base frequencies.

Intraspecific colour variation (and to a lesser extent, dorsal tubercle exaggeration) was assessed to determine whether colour could be utilised as a taxonomically distinguishing characteristic. Live specimen photos (Supplementary Fig. S5)




Fig. 4. Comparison of species delimitation results for the Secondary Species Hypotheses (SSH). Results are ordered as shown in Fig. 2 (phylogenetic tree). ASAP 1st (lowest score, stippled for five of the six ASAP 1st results) was chosen as the SSH for this dataset. The Primary Species Hypotheses (PSH) also represented 59 species.

showed substantial colour variation within an example species (24) and was therefore deemed an uninformative trait for taxonomic purposes.




Fig. 5. Estimation of *Doris kerguelensis* species diversity based on *COI* abundance data. Rarefaction curve for observed samples and the 95% upper and lower confidence intervals based on the abundance-based rarefaction curve.

Sampling effort and geographic distributions

Most clades contained between 1 and 40 samples (mean = 21) and overall, 14 species were represented by single specimens (Fig. 3). The slope of the R-E curve approached saturation (an asymptotic shape) at around 68 species (Fig. 5), indicating that our sampling coverage was relatively high (accurately sampled enough of the landscape to capture species diversity) and we have therefore approached a realistic estimate for the number of species in this complex. The R-E curves reflecting sampling effort for specific locations such as the Palmer Archipelago (PAL), Elephant Island (EL) (Fig. 6a), Shag Rocks (SR) and Herdman Bank (HB) (Fig. 6b) reached an asymptote. However, the opposite result was detected for regions such as Discovery Bank (DB), the Weddell Sea (WS), Davis Station (DS), Casey Station and others.

One of the most well-sampled species, species 29 ($n = 280$), has a circum-Antarctic distribution (collected from over 11 000 km) and contains samples collected from Prydz Bay, the Ross Sea and the Antarctic Peninsula. An additional six species have very large distributions (defined here as >2000 km) and data show that at least four species distributions span the Polar Front (clades 14, 24, 26 and 42) (grey highlighted boxes within the PSH, Fig. 3).




Fig. 6. Rarefaction curves were constructed through a single analysis that was subsequently split for visual ease. (a) Estimation of *Doris kerguelensis* species diversity based on *COI* abundance data separated by geographic sampling region (Burdwood Bank, Bransfield Strait, Elephant Island, Palmer Archipelago, South Orkney Island and South Shetland Island) for regions that include 95 individual specimens or more. Rarefaction curve for observed samples and the 95% upper and lower confidence intervals are based on an abundance-based rarefaction curve. (b) Estimation of *Doris kerguelensis* species diversity based on *COI* abundance data separated by geographic sampling region (Bouvet Island, Casey Station, Discovery Bank, Davis Station, Falkland Island, Herdman Bank, Kerguelen Plateau, Ross Sea, South Georgia, Mount Siple, Shag Rocks, South Sandwich Islands and Weddell Sea) for regions that include less than 95 individual specimens. Rarefaction curves for observed samples within locations and the 95% upper and lower confidence intervals based on the abundance-based rarefaction curve.

Thirteen clades, including the aforementioned four species (1, 2, 12, 28, 35, 44, 47, 48 and 50) have been collected above the Polar Front (Falkland Islands, Burdwood Bank, Bouvet Island and Kerguelen Plateau) and three of these species have an Antarctic continental shelf sister-species (1 with 10 + 55, 2 with 29 and 44 with 30, Supplementary Table S1). The deepest depth at which a specimen was collected was 798 m.

Many species occurred in sympatry. Twenty-one species were collected from the Bransfield Strait, nineteen on the South Orkney microcontinent and thirteen from the Burdwood Bank, Palmer Archipelago and South Shetland Islands (Table 1). Overall, species richness remains a direct reflection of sampling intensity within these results, e.g. seven 'well sampled' regions (each with over 40 samples) had more than 10 species within that corresponding location (Table 1).

Discussion

Doris kerguelensis was long thought to consist of a single, widespread species (since Wägele 1990). With the application of molecular data, multiple species delimitation algorithms and increased sampling, the species complex is currently recognised to comprise at least 59 geographically overlapping species. Here, we show: (i) 27 previously undiscovered species, (ii) further evidence that more species remain to be discovered within previously sampled regions of the Southern Ocean and (iii) new insights into the distributions of these animals.

Even more new species delimited

The primary species hypotheses (PSH) outlined 59 species within the *Doris kerguelensis* complex, of which 27 were new species-level clades. Subsequent species delimitation analyses showed highly congruent results (48 – 63 total range), with the best supported ASAP scores converging on 59 species. Our results corroborated the *D. kerguelensis* clades that were previously detected through mitochondrial, nuclear and metabolomic datasets (Wilson et al. 2009, 2013), with one exception (the original clade 12, Wilson et al. 2009).

As expected, species delimitation methods based on different underlying assumptions rendered varying species hypotheses. Overall, ASAP 2nd (K80) showed the highest evidence for over-splitting (63 species), relative to the clades recognised in the PSH and all other partition analyses. We suspected that ASAP 2nd (K80) may have been over-splitting clades as mPTP also suggested there were 62 species and mPTP performed poorly when the number of species was small or consisted of a small sample size (Puillandre et al. 2021). Also, many of these new *D. kerguelensis* species comprised one or only a few individuals and this may explain the similar result of 62 species delimited through this method. Our TCS analyses recovered 56 species and this

was ultimately identical to the PSH with the single exception that clades 15 + 31 + 46 + 60 were merged into one. The ABGD initial partitions delimited between 49 and 52 species (JC69 and K80). This outcome was generally expected as the initial partitions of ABGD results will delimit a more conservative number of taxa, while recursive have been suggested to represent population level diversity rather than interspecific diversity (Puillandre et al. 2012). Overall, ASAP's 2nd partitions delimited between 48 and 63 species. Finally, ASAP 1st partition results (from all input file structures, except K80) all converged on 59 species and this was accepted as the most well-supported delimitation estimation.

Despite some colour variations between specimens collected (ranging from white, yellow, orange, pink, including white with pink colouration around the mouth; N. G. Wilson, pers. obs. and Supplementary Fig. S5), most were morphologically similar. This means that gill or body colour, or tubercle structure could not be used to visually differentiate species. Additionally, when preserved, the colour of specimens disappears and the animals become a uniform off-white colour (first noted in Wägele 1990). Specimens also ranged considerably in length, from ~1 to 20 cm. Morphological studies mention that external traits such as the size and density of the dorsal papillae should only be tentatively relied on for species determination, because these change in appearance when preserved (Wägele 1990; Cattaneo-Vietti 1991). Wägele (1990) highlighted considerable morphological variation in the nervous system, the digestive system, and the colour and shape of the radula. None of these variations were consistent within those species concepts, therefore all animals examined were considered to be one species. Morphology was, therefore, not further considered in species delimitation efforts throughout this study. A phylogenetic framework does offer an opportunity to re-examine morpho-anatomical systems for additional characters, to correlate traits with molecular clades. However, given the relatively uninformative radular and reproductive systems, finding enough informative characters that could act as synapomorphies to resolve more than 59 species is unlikely (Fišer et al. 2018). Also, although synonyms proposed by Wägele (1990) may represent genetically distinguishable units, these are mostly preserved in ways that are not conducive to connecting specimens to these numbered species clades. Possibilities may however, still lie in sequencing holotypes and fixing those names to clades.

Still more species to be discovered

To date, expansion in either sampling or geographic scope (Wilson et al. 2009, 2013) has increased the number of species known in this complex. Here, we assessed the impact of potential undersampling by extrapolating known species records and found that the true number of cryptic species within this complex may be even greater, as the R-E curves illustrate undersampling of genetic diversity and helped

identify geographic regions that require more investigation. The R-E curve results did not reach an asymptotic shape, but ~90% of *D. kerguelensis* species expected in the sampled areas appeared to be recovered for this study. At a smaller scale, the Palmer Archipelago R-E curve reaches an asymptote at ~12 species and 250 sampling units. Other well-sampled locations that are approaching an asymptote include the Bransfield Strait and Elephant Island. Even locations such as Shag Rocks, Herdman Bank and the South Sandwich Islands, that consist of 50 specimens or less, still approach asymptotic levels, and likely reflect lower diversity in these areas, perhaps due to size or oceanic isolation (separated from other shallow subsea land masses by deep sea or abyssal plains).

This is interesting compared to regions that are well sampled (<100 specimens collected) but that do not approach an asymptotic shape within the R-E curves, such as Burdwood Bank, the South Orkney Islands or the South Shetland Islands. This likely indicates that high levels of *D. kerguelensis* species occur in these three regions. Owing to the location (and ancient supercontinent link), the Burdwood Bank plays an important role in diverting circumpolar ocean flow (Fraysse *et al.* 2018), and is associated with the uplift of nutrient rich bottom water that in turn supports an abundant production of phytoplankton and subsequently rich levels of biodiversity (Schejter *et al.* 2020). The South Orkney Islands are bordered by two current regimes (the ACC and Weddell Sea Gyre) and have been recorded to host approximately one-fifth (Barnes *et al.* 2009; Brasier *et al.* 2018) of all benthic marine species recorded for the entire Southern Ocean (as estimated by Clarke and Johnston 2003). The South Shetland Islands are considered a transitional ecosystem from which new species are still regularly recorded despite being documented as one of the most well-sampled regions across the Antarctic (Barnes *et al.* 2008). Given the indications that further *Doris* species diversity is to be found in these areas, these are likely to also be very rich in general benthic marine fauna and should be considered for future biodiversity work (e.g. Barnes *et al.* 2009). For *D. kerguelensis*, areas that should be of interest for future exploration (due to the low sample numbers but high levels of species diversity detected) include Herdman Bank, the Falkland Islands, Bouvet Island, Kerguelen Plateau, Prydz Bay, and the areas surrounding Davis and Casey stations. Totally unexplored locations for this species also include the south-west of South America where the Humboldt Current comes into contact with this coast upon breaking from the northern ACC.

Large distributions for a directly developing slug

Some of the cryptic species in the *D. kerguelensis* complex documented here spanned thousands of kilometres. The Southern Ocean, while connected by major currents, still contains numerous potential dispersal barriers for benthic invertebrates, even for those that do have planktonic larvae.

Barriers can include temperature and salinity changes, plankton availability, potentially uninhabitable deep sea areas, and the strength and direction of currents and gyres. These large-scale distributions therefore raise many questions regarding the mechanisms of dispersal in *D. kerguelensis*.

In aquatic ecosystems, connectivity can be related to dispersal ability between populations (Jablonski 1991; Palumbi 1994; Hellberg *et al.* 2002; Shanks *et al.* 2003; Cowen and Sponaugle 2009; González-Wevar *et al.* 2021). Broadcast spawners and species with pelagic larval development should exhibit higher levels of connectivity and less genetic structure when compared to benthic or direct developing organisms (Ronce 2007; Gillespie *et al.* 2012). However, there are examples that contradict this prediction (e.g. Marko 2004; Weersing and Toonen 2009; Mercier *et al.* 2013; Segovia *et al.* 2017). There are also many benthic Southern Ocean species with large distributions and benthic development (typically showing small-scale and geographically structured distributions) that have been subject to phylogeographic studies. These include gastropods (Nikula *et al.* 2011a; Cumming *et al.* 2014; González-Wevar *et al.* 2021), chitons (Nikula *et al.* 2011b) and crustaceans (Nikula *et al.* 2010).

Dayton *et al.* (1970) proposed several dispersal mechanisms for benthic organisms including (i) adults rafting on detached benthic organisms, (ii) rafting on mobile organisms, either as egg masses or adults, or (iii) anchor ice removing organisms from the benthos and depositing these elsewhere. Alternatively, egg masses could be laid on sessile organisms that could be subsequently dislodged (see Wilson *et al.* 2009). All of these aforementioned examples are based on dispersal by forms of rafting and rafting appears to be unlikely to be the major method of dispersal for adult individuals in the *D. kerguelensis* complex due to weak adhesive ability of the foot (N. G. Wilson, pers. obs.). *Doris kerguelensis* species are direct developers (embryonic period recorded up to 21 months; Hain 1989; Moles *et al.* 2017a) that feed on sedentary organisms such as demosponges and hexactinellid sponges (reviewed by McDonald and Nybakken 1997; Iken *et al.* 2002). Although there is a small possibility that some species in the *D. kerguelensis* complex have planktonic larvae, there is very little evidence in support of this. If rafting is the method of dispersal, this most likely involves egg masses or juveniles.

Current geological evidence shows that small, transient, ice-free areas with reduced levels of primary production did persist, even through glacial maxima across the Southern Ocean continental shelves (including during the Last Glacial Maxima, Poulin *et al.* 2002; Thatje *et al.* 2005; Convey *et al.* 2009; Pearse *et al.* 2009). Direct development appears to have experienced strong positive selection within these refugia due to low food conditions, long developmental times and speciation driven by allopatry (Poulin *et al.* 2002; Pearse *et al.* 2009; Lau *et al.* 2020). As ice-free areas on the continental shelf could have enabled *in situ* survival of benthic fauna, populations may have experienced demographic bottlenecks within

these refugia instead of complete eradication (Allcock and Strugnell 2012). If secondary contact of populations occurred before reproductive isolation and post glacial expansion, species would demonstrate widespread distributions (Allcock and Strugnell 2012).

In addition to the extensive geographic distributions, these nudibranchs have been widely reported from depths of up to 1550 m (Iken et al. 2002). The morphologically identified sample from the deepest depth was collected from Halley Bay, in 1998 from 1549 m (Avila et al. 1999). This sample is stored at the University of Barcelona and was fixed in formalin (C. Avila, pers. obs.) and was therefore not used in this study. Here we provide the deepest barcoded record of *D. kerguelensis* (798 m). Wägele (1987) similarly reports specimens from 788 m from the Weddell Sea. Although one *D. kerguelensis* specimen was reported from New Caledonia from a depth of 680 m (Valdes 2001), given the large geographic gap between this single specimen and all other collected records of this species complex, this specimen likely represents a different taxon. The general absence of *D. kerguelensis* from the deep sea areas surrounding Antarctica is worth noting; throughout the ANDEEP expeditions (I, II and III: Brandt et al. 2004, 2007), no *D. kerguelensis* were collected from abyssal areas. The ANDEEP project set out to understand the abyssal diversity and faunal exchange between South America and Antarctica (Brandt et al. 2004). This question remains unanswered for this group of organisms and how these benthic organisms disperse across the abyssal plains of the Southern Ocean is currently unknown.

Evidence for dispersal across the Polar Front

Despite all records of *D. kerguelensis* being restricted to the continental shelf (see above), some species within this complex have clearly dispersed long distances, including across the APF. These trans-APF species appear in our *COI* tree in four separate places and nine species were restricted to the Southern American continental shelf. The Antarctic Polar Front represents a strong geographic and oceanographic divide that across time, has split evolutionary lineages between the Antarctic and northern oceans (Page and Linse 2002; Lee et al. 2004; Hunter and Halanych 2008; Thornhill et al. 2008; Wilson et al. 2009, 2013; Krabbe et al. 2010). Very few benthic marine taxa have been recorded to span this region except a sea star (Moore et al. 2018), a brittle star (Galaska et al. 2017), sea spiders (e.g. Linse et al. 2006; Munilla and Membrives 2009; Dietz et al. 2019), an isopod species (Leese et al. 2010) and a tritoniid nudibranch (Moles et al. 2021). Most of these species have a dispersive larval stage except for the isopod that has had long-distance dispersal linked to rafting. Additionally, the only directly developing nudibranch example listed is *Tritonia vorax*, a sub-Antarctic species that has been recorded from the Southern South American continental shelf and South Georgia in the Scotia Arc (Moles et al. 2021). All of these

individuals or populations, unless already in deep water, also overcame the temperature gradient at the Antarctic Polar Front (APF) (3–4°C) that differentiates the SO from more northerly oceans (see Park et al. 2014). The APF is one of the world's strongest open ocean barriers that is known to isolate the Southern Ocean from warmer waters at lower latitudes and was formed after the opening of a deep-water passage (the Drake Passage) between South America and Antarctica c. 35 Ma (Livermore et al. 2004; Pfuhl and McCave 2005; Barker et al. 2007). The Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) and major Antarctic gyres (in the Weddell and Ross Seas), however, can act as large-scale dispersal vectors that either jet eastward around Antarctica and meet the southernmost region of Southern America (ACC) or rotate clockwise (gyres) due to the interaction between the APF and ACC. In principle, these currents may have driven the dispersal of *D. kerguelensis* (Barker and Thomas 2004), however this mechanism remains unclear.

Future directions

Antarctica has long been associated with the diversification and speciation of many benthic marine taxa (Briggs 2003; Rogers 2007; Strugnell et al. 2008), including heterobranch gastropods (Wägele et al. 2008; Martynov and Schrödl 2009; Moles et al. 2017b). In support of this, we found that there is a multitude of undescribed species within the Antarctic species complex *D. kerguelensis* (Bergh, 1884) (59 detected and more than 68 estimated). Although the monophyly of the complex is well supported, the interspecific relationships remain unresolved. Genetic barcoding of the complex, that is characterised by intra- and interspecific morphological similarities, appears effective in delimiting species and highlights how molecular phylogenetics can uncover cryptic diversity. To gain phylogenetic resolution within the complex, we now need large quantities of sequence data from across the genome. Future work could incorporate transcriptomes, exon capture or ultra-conserved elements (UCEs). Several recent studies have shown the power of these methods for marine invertebrate taxa (e.g. Horowitz et al. 2020; Layton et al. 2020; Richards et al. 2020). Better knowledge of this species complex will help us to understand the evolutionary dynamics of benthic taxa in Antarctica and provide a phylogenetic scaffold for tracing the evolution of secondary metabolites (chemical defence compounds) through time and across species.

Also, very few Antarctic marine studies have sampled widely enough to assess whether benthic Antarctic invertebrates are truly circumpolar (but see Allcock et al. 2011; Arango et al. 2011; Hemery et al. 2012; Moore et al. 2018; Moles et al. 2021). Most studies are restricted by the logistical challenges that occur when sampling such a vast and remote ecosystem. Future research should have a strategic focus on exploring distributions and understudied regions, specifically

the Kerguelen Plateau and East Antarctica as these are likely to contain additional undiscovered diversity. Understanding the extent of diversity is especially important because marine systems worldwide are being affected by climate change, pollution, biological invasions and a host of other anthropogenic disturbances. If no immediate action is taken to address the increasing loss to biodiversity, Antarctic marine systems, along with other unique marine environments spanning the globe, will be at risk of degradation and homogenisation.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available [online](#).

References

Allcock AL, Strugnell JM (2012) Southern Ocean diversity: new paradigms from molecular ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **27**, 520–528. doi:[10.1016/j.tree.2012.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.05.009)

Allcock AL, Barratt I, Eléaume M, Linse K, Norman MD, Smith PJ, Steinke D, Stevens DW, Strugnell JM (2011) Cryptic speciation and the circum-polarity debate: a case study on endemic Southern Ocean octopuses using the COI barcode of life. *Deep-sea Research – II. Topical Studies in Oceanography* **58**, 242–249. doi:[10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.05.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.05.016)

Arango CP, Soler-Membrives A, Miller KJ (2011) Genetic differentiation in the circum-Antarctic sea spider *Nymphon australe* (Pycnogonida; Nymphonidae). *Deep-sea Research – II. Topical Studies in Oceanography* **58**, 212–219. doi:[10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.05.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.05.019)

Arsonon RB, Thatje S, McClintock JB, Hughes KA (2011) Anthropogenic impacts on marine ecosystems in Antarctica. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1223**, 82–107. doi:[10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05926.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05926.x)

Avila C (2020) Terpenoids in marine heterobranch molluscs. *Marine Drugs* **18**, 162. doi:[10.3390/md18030162](https://doi.org/10.3390/md18030162)

Avila C, Iken K, Beyer K (1999) Chemical ecology of opisthobranchs and related species. *Ber Polarforsch* **301**, 184–189.

Avila C, Angulo-Preckler C, Martín-Martín RP, Figuerola B, Griffiths HJ, Waller CL (2020) Invasive marine species discovered on non-native kelp rafts in the warmest Antarctic island. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 1639. doi:[10.1038/s41598-020-58561-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58561-y)

Baird HP, Miller KJ, Stark JS (2011) Evidence of hidden biodiversity, ongoing speciation and diverse patterns of genetic structure in giant Antarctic amphipods. *Molecular Ecology* **20**, 3439–3454. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05173.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05173.x)

Barker PF, Thomas E (2004) Origin, signature and palaeoclimatic influence of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Earth-Science Reviews* **66**, 143–162. doi:[10.1016/j.earscirev.2003.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2003.10.003)

Barker PF, Filippelli GM, Florido F, Martin EE, Scher HD (2007) Onset and role of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Deep-sea Research – II. Topical Studies in Oceanography* **54**, 2388–2398. doi:[10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.07.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.07.028)

Barnes DKA, Linse K, Enderlein P, Smale D, Fraser KPP, Brown M (2008) Marine richness and gradients at Deception Island, Antarctica. *Antarctic Science* **20**, 271–280. doi:[10.1017/S0954102008001090](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102008001090)

Barnes DKA, Kaiser S, Griffiths HJ, Linse K (2009) Marine, intertidal, freshwater and terrestrial biodiversity of an isolated polar archipelago. *Journal of Biogeography* **36**, 756–769. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02030.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02030.x)

Barnosky AD, Matzke N, Tomaia S, Wogan GOU, Swartz B, Quental TB, Marshall C, McGuire JL, Lindsey EL, Maguire KC, Mersey B, Ferrer EA (2011) Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? *Nature* **471**, 51–57. doi:[10.1038/nature09678](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09678)

Bouchet P (2006) The magnitude of marine biodiversity. In 'The Exploration of Marine Biodiversity: Scientific and Technological Challenges'. (Ed. CM Duarte) pp. 31–64. (Fundación BBVA)

Brandt A, De Broyer C, Gooday AJ, Hilbig B, Thomson MRA (2004) Introduction to ANDEEP (Antarctic benthic deep-sea biodiversity: colonization history and recent community patterns) – a tribute to Howard L. Sanders. *Deep-sea Research – II. Topical Studies in Oceanography* **51**, 1457–1465. doi:[10.1016/j.dsr2.2004.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2004.08.006)

Brandt A, Ebbe B, Gooday AJ (2007) Introduction to ANDEEP, summary and outlook. *Deep-sea Research – II. Topical Studies in Oceanography* **54**, 1645–1651. doi:[10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.07.001)

Brandt A, Błażejewicz-Paszkowycz M, Bamber R, Mühlhardt-Siegel U, Malyutina M, Kaiser S, De Broyer C, Havermans C (2012) Are there widespread peracarid species in the deep sea (Crustacea: Malacostraca)? *Polish Polar Research* **33**, 139–162. doi:[10.2478/v10183-012-0012-5](https://doi.org/10.2478/v10183-012-0012-5)

Brasier MJ, Wiklund H, Neal L, Jeffreys R, Linse K, Ruhl H, Glover AG (2016) DNA barcoding uncovers cryptic diversity in 50% of deep-sea Antarctic polychaetes. *Royal Society Open Science* **3**, 160432. doi:[10.1098/rsos.160432](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160432)

Brasier MJ, Grant SM, Trathan PN, Allcock L, Ashford O, Blagbrough H, Brandt A, Danis B, Downey R, Eléaume MP, Enderlein P, Ghiglione C, Hogg O, Linse K, Mackenzie M, Moreau C, Robinson LF, Rodriguez E, Spiridonov V, Tate A, Taylor M, Waller C, Wiklund H, Griffiths HJ (2018) Benthic biodiversity in the South Orkney Islands Southern Shelf Marine Protected Area. *Biodiversity* **19**, 5–19. doi:[10.1080/14888386.2018.1468821](https://doi.org/10.1080/14888386.2018.1468821)

Briggs JC (2003) Marine centres of origin as evolutionary engines. *Journal of Biogeography* **30**, 1–18. doi:[10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.00810.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.00810.x)

Cai H, Li Q, Fang X, Li J, Curtis NE, Altenburger A, Shibata T, Feng M, Maeda T, Schwartz JA, Shigenobu S, Lundholm N, Nishiyama T, Yang H, Hasebe M, Li S, Pierce SK, Wang J (2019) A draft genome assembly of the solar-powered sea slug *Elysia chlorotica*. *Scientific Data* **6**, 190022. doi:[10.1038/sdata.2019.22](https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2019.22)

Cattaneo-Vietti R (1991) Nudibranch molluscs from the Ross Sea, Antarctica. *The Journal of Molluscan Studies* **57**, 223–228. doi:[10.1093/mollus/57.Supplement_Part_4.223](https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/57.Supplement_Part_4.223)

Ceballos G, Ehrlich PR, Barnosky AD, García A, Pringle RM, Palmer TM (2015) Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: entering the sixth mass extinction. *Science Advances* **1**, e1400253. doi:[10.1126/sciadv.1400253](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400253)

Chapman WL, Walsh JE (2007) A synthesis of Antarctic temperatures. *Journal of Climate* **20**(16), 4096–4117. doi:[10.1175/JCLI4236.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI4236.1)

Chenuil A, Saucède T, Hemery LG, Eléaume M, Féral J-P, Améziane N, David B, Lecointre G, Havermans C (2018) Understanding processes at the origin of species flocks with a focus on the marine Antarctic fauna. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **93**, 481–504. doi:[10.1111/brv.12354](https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12354)

Chown SL, Clarke A, Fraser CI, Cary SC, Moon KL, McGeoch MA (2015) The changing form of Antarctic biodiversity. *Nature* **522**, 431–438. doi:[10.1038/nature14505](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14505)

Clarke A, Crame JA (1989) The origin of the Southern Ocean marine fauna. *Geological Society of London, Special Publications* **47**, 253–268. doi:[10.1144/GSL.SP.1989.047.01.19](https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1989.047.01.19)

Clarke A, Crame JA (2010) Evolutionary dynamics at high latitudes: speciation and extinction in polar marine faunas. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London – B. Biological Sciences* **365**, 3655–3666. doi:[10.1098/rstb.2010.0270](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0270)

Clarke A, Johnston NM (2003) Antarctic marine benthic diversity. *Oceanography and Marine Biology – an Annual Review* **41**, 47–114.

Clarke A, Murphy EJ, Meredith MP, King JC, Peck LS, Barnes DKA, Smith RC (2007) Climate change and the marine ecosystem of the western Antarctic Peninsula. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London – B. Biological Sciences* **362**, 149–166. doi:[10.1098/rstb.2006.1958](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.1958)

Clement M, Posada D, Crandall KA (2000) TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. *Molecular Ecology* **9**, 1657–1659. doi:[10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01020.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01020.x)

Colwell RK, Chao A, Gotelli NJ, Lin S-Y, Mao CX, Chazdon RL, Longino JT (2012) Models and estimators linking individual-based and sample-based rarefaction, extrapolation and comparison of assemblages. *Journal of Plant Ecology* **5**, 3–21. doi:[10.1093/jpe/rtr044](https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtr044)

Convey P, Stevens MI, Hodgson DA, Smellie JL, Hillenbrand C-D, Barnes DKA, Clarke A, Pugh PJA, Linse K, Cary SC (2009) Exploring biological constraints on the glacial history of Antarctica. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **28**, 3035–3048. doi:[10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.08.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.08.015)

Cowen RK, Sponaugle S (2009) Larval dispersal and marine population connectivity. *Annual Review of Marine Science* **1**, 443–466. doi:[10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163757](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163757)

Crame JA (1997) An evolutionary framework for the polar regions. *Journal of Biogeography* **24**, 1–9. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.1997.tb00045.x

Cumming RA, Nikula R, Spencer HG, Waters JM (2014) Transoceanic genetic similarities of kelp-associated sea slug populations: long-distance dispersal via rafting? *Journal of Biogeography* **41**, 2357–2370. doi:10.1111/jbi.12376

Dayrat B (2005) Towards integrative taxonomy. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society. Linnean Society of London* **85**, 407–415. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2005.00503.x

Dayton P, Robilliard GA, Paine RT (1970) Benthic faunal zonation as a result of anchor ice at McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. *Antarctic Ecology* **1**, 244–258.

De Broyer C, Danis B (2011) How many species in the Southern Ocean? Towards a dynamic inventory of the Antarctic marine species. *Deep-sea Research – II. Topical Studies in Oceanography* **58**, 5–17. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.10.007

De Castro-Fernández P, Cardona L, Avila C (2021) Distribution of trace elements in benthic infralittoral organisms from the western Antarctic Peninsula reveals no latitudinal gradient of pollution. *Scientific Reports* **11**, 16266. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-95681-5

Dietz L, Dömel JS, Leese F, Mahon AR, Mayer C (2019) Phylogenomics of the longitarsal Colossendeidae: the evolutionary history of an Antarctic sea spider radiation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **136**, 206–214. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2019.04.017

Domke GM, Oswalt SN, Walters BF, Morin RS (2020) Tree planting has the potential to increase carbon sequestration capacity of forests in the United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **117**, 24649–24651. doi:10.1073/pnas.2010840117

Eastman JT, McCune AR (2000) Fishes on the Antarctic continental shelf: evolution of amarine species flock? *Journal of Fish Biology* **57**, 84–102. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2000.tb02246.x

Eberle J, Ahrens D, Mayer C, Niehuis O, Misof B (2020) A plea for standardized nuclear markers in metazoan DNA taxonomy. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **35**, 336–345. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2019.12.003

Féral J-P (2002) How useful are the genetic markers in attempts to understand and manage marine biodiversity? *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **268**, 121–145. doi:10.1016/S0022-0981(01)00382-3

Fišer C, Robinson CT, Malard F (2018) Cryptic species as a window into the paradigm shift of the species concept. *Molecular Ecology* **27**, 613–635. doi:10.1111/mec.14486

Folmer O, Black M, Wr H, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* **3**, 294–299.

Fraysse C, Calcagno J, Pérez AF (2018) Asteroidea of the southern tip of South America, including Namuncurá Marine Protected Area at Burdwood Bank and Tierra del Fuego Province, Argentina. *Polar Biology* **41**, 2423–2433. doi:10.1007/s00300-018-2377-3

Galaska MP, Sands CJ, Santos SR, Mahon AR, Halanych KM (2017) Crossing the divide: admixture across the Antarctic polar front revealed by the brittle star *Astrotoma agassizii*. *The Biological Bulletin* **232**, 198–211. doi:10.1086/693460

Geller J, Meyer C, Parker M, Hawk H (2013) Redesign of PCR primers for mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I for marine invertebrates and application in all-taxa biotic surveys. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **13**, 851–861. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12138

Gillespie RG, Baldwin BG, Waters JM, Fraser CI, Nikula R, Roderick GK (2012) Long-distance dispersal: a framework for hypothesis testing. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **27**, 47–56. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.08.009

Goetze E (2003) Cryptic speciation on the high seas: global phylogenetics of the copepod family Eucalanidae. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London – B. Biological Sciences* **270**, 2321–2331. doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2505

González-Wevar CA, Segovia NI, Rosenfeld S, Noll D, Maturana CS, Hüne M, Naretto J, Gérard K, Díaz A, Spencer HG, Saucède T, Féral J-P, Morley SA, Brickle P, Wilson NG, Poulin E (2021) Contrasting biogeographical patterns in *Margarella* (Gastropoda: Calliostomatidae: Margarellinae) across the Antarctic Polar Front. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **156**, 107039. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2020.107039

Goodheart JA, Bleidisiel S, Schillo D, Strong EE, Ayres DL, Preisfeld A, Collins AG, Cummings MP, Wägele H (2018) Comparative morphology and evolution of the cnidosac in Cladobranchia (Gastropoda: Heterobranchia: Nudibranchia). *Frontiers in Zoology* **15**, 43. doi:10.1186/s12983-018-0289-2

Gotelli NJ, Colwell RK (2011) Estimating species richness. In 'Biological diversity: frontiers in measurement and assessment'. (Eds AE Magurran, BJ McGill) pp. 39–54. (Oxford University Press)

Grant RA, Griffiths HJ, Steinke D, Wadley V, Linse K (2011) Antarctic DNA barcoding; a drop in the ocean? *Polar Biology* **34**, 775–780. doi:10.1007/s00300-010-0932-7

Griffiths HJ (2010) Antarctic Marine Biodiversity – What Do We Know About the Distribution of Life in the Southern Ocean? *PLoS One* **5**, e11683. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011683

Gutt J, Starmans A (2002) Quantification of iceberg impact and benthic recolonisation patterns in the Weddell Sea (Antarctica). In 'Ecological Studies in the Antarctic Sea Ice Zone: Results of EASIZ Midterm Symposium'. (Eds WE Arntz, A Clarke) pp. 210–214. (Springer) doi:10.1007/978-3-642-59419-9_27

Hain S (1989) Beiträge zur Biologie der beschalten Mollusken (Kl. Gastropoda & Bivalvia) des Weddellmeeres, Antarktis. University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany.

Hain S, Arnaud PM (1992) Notes on the reproduction of high-Antarctic molluscs from the Weddell Sea. In 'Weddell Sea Ecology'. (Ed. G Hempel) pp. 303–312. (Springer) 10.1007/978-3-642-77595-6_35

Hart MW, Sunday J (2007) Things fall apart: biological species form unconnected parsimony networks. *Biology Letters* **3**, 509–512. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2007.0307

Hebert PDN, Cywinski A, Ball SL, deWaard JR (2003) Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London – B. Biological Sciences* **270**, 313–321. doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2218

Hellberg ME, Burton RS, Neigel JE, Palumbi SR (2002) Genetic assessment of connectivity among marine populations. *Bulletin of Marine Science* **70**, 273–290.

Hemery LG, Eléaume M, Roussel V, Améziane N, Gallut C, Steinke D, Cruaud C, Couloux A, Wilson NG (2012) Comprehensive sampling reveals circumpolarity and sympatry in seven mitochondrial lineages of the Southern Ocean crinoid species *Promachocrinus kerguelensis* (Echinodermata). *Molecular Ecology* **21**, 2502–2518. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05512.x

Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS (2018) UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**(2), 518–522. doi:10.1093/molbev/msx281

Horowitz J, Brugler MR, Bridge TCL, Cowman PF (2020) Morphological and molecular description of a new genus and species of black coral (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Hexacorallia: Antipatharia: Antipathidae: Blastopathes) from Papua New Guinea. *Zootaxa* **4821**, 553–569. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4821.3.7

Hsieh TC, Ma KH, Chao A (2016) iNEXT: an R package for rarefaction and extrapolation of species diversity (Hill numbers). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **7**, 1451–1456. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12613

Hulett RE, Mahguib J, Gosliner TM, Valdés Á (2015) Molecular evaluation of the phylogenetic position of the enigmatic species *Trivetrea papalota* (Bertsch) (Mollusca: Nudibranchia). *Invertebrate Systematics* **29**, 215–222. doi:10.1071/IS15002

Hunter RL, Halanych KM (2008) Evaluating connectivity in the brooding brittle star *Astrotoma agassizii* across the Drake Passage in the Southern Ocean. *The Journal of Heredity* **99**, 137–148. doi:10.1093/jhered/esm119

Iken K, Avila C, Fontana A, Gavagnin M (2002) Chemical ecology and origin of defensive compounds in the Antarctic nudibranch *Austrodroris kerguelensis* (Opisthobranchia: Gastropoda). *Marine Biology* **141**, 101–109. doi:10.1007/s00227-002-0816-7

Ingels J, Vanreusel A, Brandt A, Catarino AI, David B, Ridder CD, Dubois P, Gooday AJ, Martin P, Pasotti F, Robert H (2012) Possible effects of global environmental changes on Antarctic benthos: a synthesis across five major taxa. *Ecology and Evolution* **2**, 453–485. doi:10.1002/ece3.96

Ito T, Woloszyn M, Mazloff M (2010) Anthropogenic carbon dioxide transport in the Southern Ocean driven by Ekman flow. *Nature* **463**, 80–83. doi:10.1038/nature08687

Jablonski D (1991) Extinctions: a paleontological perspective. *Science* **253**, 754–757.

Jensen A, Lillie M, Bergström K, Larsson P, Höglund J (2021) Whole genome sequencing reveals high differentiation, low levels of genetic

diversity and short runs of homozygosity among Swedish wels catfish. *Heredity* **127**, 79–91. doi:10.1038/s41437-021-00438-5

Johnson SB, Warén A, Vrijenhoek RC (2008) DNA barcoding of *Lepetodrilus* limpets reveals cryptic species. *Journal of Shellfish Research* **27**, 43–51. doi:10.2983/0730-8000(2008)27[43:DBOLLR]2.0.CO;2

Jukes TH, Cantor CR (1969) Evolution of protein molecules. In 'Mammalian Protein Metabolism'. (Ed. HN Munro) pp. 21–132. (Academic Press: New York, NY, USA)

Jung D, Lee J, Kim C-B (2014) A Report on five new records of nudibranch molluscs from Korea. *Animal Systematics, Evolution and Diversity* **30**, 124–131. doi:10.5635/ASED.2014.30.2.124

Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS (2017) ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods* **14**, 587–589. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4285

Kapli P, Lutteropp S, Zhang J, Koberst K, Pavlidis P, Stamatakis A, Flouri T (2017) Multi-rate Poisson tree processes for single-locus species delimitation under maximum likelihood and Markov chain Monte Carlo. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 1630–1638. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx025

Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**, 772–780. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010

Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A (2012) Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1647–1649. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199

Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **16**, 111–120. doi:10.1007/BF01731581

Knowlton N (1993) Sibling species in the sea. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **24**, 189–216. doi:10.1146/annurev.es.24.110193.001201

Korshunova T, Malmberg K, Prkić J, Petani A, Fletcher K, Lundin K, Martynov A (2020) Fine-scale species delimitation: speciation in process and periodic patterns in nudibranch diversity. *ZooKeys* **917**, 15–50. doi:10.3897/zookeys.917.47444

Krabbe K, Leese F, Mayer C, Tollrian R, Held C (2010) Cryptic mitochondrial lineages in the widespread pycnogonid *Colossendeis megalynx* Hoek, 1881 from Antarctic and Subantarctic waters. *Polar Biology* **33**, 281–292. doi:10.1007/s00300-009-0703-5

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**, 1547–1549. doi:10.1093/molbev/msy096

Lau SCY, Wilson NG, Silva CNS, Strugnell JM (2020) Detecting glacial refugia in the Southern Ocean. *Ecography* **43**, 1639–1656. doi:10.1111/ecog.04951

Layton KKS, Gosliner TM, Wilson NG (2018) Flexible colour patterns obscure identification and mimicry in Indo-Pacific *Chromodoris* nudibranchs (Gastropoda: Chromodorididae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **124**, 27–36. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2018.02.008

Layton KKS, Carvajal JI, Wilson NG (2020) Mimicry and mitonuclear discordance in nudibranchs: new insights from exon capture phylogenomics. *Ecology and Evolution* **10**, 11966–11982. doi:10.1002/ece3.6727

Lecointre G, Améziane N, Boisselier M-C, Bonillo C, Busson F, Causse R, Chenuil A, Couloux A, Coutanceau J-P, Cruaud C, d'Acoz d'Udekem C, Ridder CD, Denys G, Dettai A, Duhamel G, Eléaume M, Féral J-P, Gallut C, Havermans C, Held C, Hemery L, Lautréou A-C, Martin P, Ozouf-Costaz C, Pierrat B, Pruvost P, Puillandre N, Samadi S, Saucède T, Schubart C, David B (2013) Is the species flock concept operational? The Antarctic Shelf case. *PLoS One* **8**, e68787. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068787

Lee Y-H, Song M, Lee S, Leon R, Godoy SO, Canete I (2004) Molecular phylogeny and divergence time of the Antarctic sea urchin (*Sterechinus neumayeri*) in relation to the South American sea urchins. *Antarctic Science* **16**, 29–36. doi:10.1017/S0954102004001786

Leese F, Agrawal S, Held C (2010) Long-distance island hopping without dispersal stages: transportation across major zoogeographic barriers in a Southern Ocean isopod. *Naturwissenschaften* **97**, 583–594. doi:10.1007/s00114-010-0674-y

Linse K, Griffiths HJ, Barnes DKA, Clarke A (2006) Biodiversity and biogeography of Antarctic and sub-Antarctic mollusca. *Deep-sea Research – II. Topical Studies in Oceanography* **53**, 985–1008. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.05.003

Linse K, Cope T, Lötz A-N, Sands C (2007) Is the Scotia Sea a centre of Antarctic marine diversification? Some evidence of cryptic speciation in the circum-Antarctic bivalve *Lissarca notorcadensis* (Arcoidea: Philobryidae). *Polar Biology* **30**, 1059–1068. doi:10.1007/s00300-007-0265-3

Livermore R, Eagles G, Morris P, Maldonado A (2004) Shackleton Fracture Zone: No barrier to early circumpolar ocean circulation. *Geology* **32**, 797–800. doi:10.1130/G20537.1

Locey KJ, Lennon JT (2016) Scaling laws predict global microbial diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **113**, 5970–5975. doi:10.1073/pnas.1521291113

Mahgub J, Valdés Á (2015) Molecular investigation of the phylogenetic position of the polar nudibranch *Doridoxa* (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Heterobranchia). *Polar Biology* **38**, 1369–1377. doi:10.1007/s00300-015-1700-5

Marko PB (2004) 'What's larvae got to do with it?' Disparate patterns of post-glacial population structure in two benthic marine gastropods with identical dispersal potential. *Molecular Ecology* **13**, 597–611. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294X.2004.02096.x

Martynov AV, Schrödl M (2009) The new Arctic side-gilled sea slug genus *Boreoberthella* (Gastropoda, Opisthobranchia): pleurobranchoidaean systematics and evolution revisited. *Polar Biology* **32**, 53–70. doi:10.1007/s00300-008-0503-3

Maschek JA, Mevers E, Diyabalanage T, Chen L, Ren Y, McClintock JB, Amsler CD, Wu J, Baker BJ (2012) Palmadorin chemodiversity from the Antarctic nudibranch *Austrodoris kerguelensis* and inhibition of Jak2/STAT5-dependent HEL leukemia cells. *Tetrahedron* **68**, 9095–9104. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2012.08.045

Matsuda SB, Gosliner TM (2018) Molecular phylogeny of *Glossodoris* (Ehrenberg, 1831) nudibranchs and related genera reveals cryptic and pseudocryptic species complexes. *Cladistics* **34**, 41–56. doi:10.1111/cla.12194

Matsuoka K, Skoglund A, Roth G, de Pomereu J, Griffiths H, Headland R, Herried B, Katsumata K, Le Brocq A, Licht K, Morgan F, Neff PD, Ritz C, Scheinert M, Tamura T, Van de Putte A, van den Broeke M, von Deschwanden A, Deschamps-Berger C, Van Liefferinge B, Tronstad S, Melvær Y (2021) Quantarctica, an integrated mapping environment for Antarctica, the Southern Ocean, and sub-Antarctic islands. *Environmental Modelling & Software* **140**, 105015. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2021.105015

McClintock JB, Baker BJ (2001) 'Marine Chemical Ecology.' (CRC Press)

McDonald GR, Nybakken JW (1997) List of the worldwide food habits of nudibranchs. *The Veliger* **40**, 1–426.

McNeely JA, Miller KR, Reid WV, Mittermeier RA, Werner TB (1990) 'Conserving the world's biological diversity.' (IUCN: Gland, Switzerland; WRI, Conservation International, WWF-US, WorldBank: Washington, DC, USA)

Mercier A, Sewell MA, Hamel J-F (2013) Pelagic propagule duration and developmental mode: reassessment of a fading link. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **22**, 517–530. doi:10.1111/geb.12018

Moles J, Wägele H, Cutignano A, Fontana A, Ballesteros M, Avila C (2017a) Giant embryos and hatchlings of Antarctic nudibranchs (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Heterobranchia). *Marine Biology* **164**, 114. doi:10.1007/s00227-017-3143-8

Moles J, Wägele H, Schrödl M, Avila C (2017b) A new Antarctic heterobranch clade is sister to all other Cephalaspidea (Mollusca: Gastropoda). *Zoologica Scripta* **46**, 127–137. doi:10.1111/zsc.12199

Moles J, Berning MI, Hooker Y, Padula V, Wilson NG, Schrödl M (2021) Due south: the evolutionary history of Sub-Antarctic and Antarctic Tritoniidae nudibranchs. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **162**, 107209. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2021.107209

Moore JM, Carvajal JI, Rouse GW, Wilson NG (2018) The Antarctic Circumpolar Current isolates and connects: structured circumpolarity in the sea star *Glabraster antarctica*. *Ecology and Evolution* **8**, 10621–10633. doi:10.1002/ece3.4551

Mora C, Tittensor DP, Adl S, Simpson AGB, Worm B (2011) How many species are there on earth and in the ocean? *PLoS Biology* **9**, e1001127. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001127

Munilla T, Membrives AS (2009) Check-list of the pycnogonids from Antarctic and sub-Antarctic waters: zoogeographic implications. *Antarctic Science* **21**, 99–111. doi:10.1017/S095410200800151X

Neusser TP, Jörger KM, Schrödl M (2011) Cryptic species in tropic sands – interactive 3D anatomy, molecular phylogeny and evolution

of meiofaunal Pseudunelidae (Gastropoda, Acochlidia). *PLoS One* **6**, e23313. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023313

Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**, 268–274. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu300

Nikula R, Fraser CI, Spencer HG, Waters JM (2010) Circumpolar dispersal by rafting in two subantarctic kelp-dwelling crustaceans. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **405**, 221–230. doi:10.3354/meps08523

Nikula R, Spencer HG, Waters JM (2011a) Comparison of population-genetic structuring in congeneric kelp- versus rock-associated snails: a test of a dispersal-by-rafting hypothesis. *Ecology and Evolution* **1**, 169–180. doi:10.1002/ece3.16

Nikula R, Spencer HG, Waters JM (2011b) Evolutionary consequences of microhabitat: population-genetic structuring in kelp- vs. rock-associated chitons. *Molecular Ecology* **20**, 4915–4924. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05332.x

Page TJ, Linse K (2002) More evidence of speciation and dispersal across the Antarctic Polar Front through molecular systematics of Southern Ocean *Limatula* (Bivalvia: Limidae). *Polar Biology* **25**, 818–826. doi:10.1007/s00300-002-0414-7

Palomar G, Pola M, Garcia-Vazquez E (2014) First molecular phylogeny of the subfamily Polycerinae (Mollusca, Nudibranchia, Polyceridae). *Helgoland Marine Research* **68**, 143–153. doi:10.1007/s10152-013-0374-z

Palumbi SR (1994) Genetic divergence, reproductive isolation, and marine speciation. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **25**, 547–572. doi:10.1146/annurev.es.25.110194.002555

Paradis E, Schliep K (2019) ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 526–528. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633

Park Y-H, Durand I, Kestenare E, Rougier G, Zhou M, d'Ovidio F, Cotte C, Lee J-H (2014) Polar Front around the Kerguelen Islands: an up-to-date determination and associated circulation of surface/subsurface waters. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* **119**, 6575–6592. doi:10.1002/2014JC010061

Pearse JS, Mooi R, Lockhart SJ, Brandt A (2009) Brooding and species diversity in the Southern Ocean: selection for brooders or speciation within brooding clades? In 'Smithsonian at the Poles: Contributions to International Polar Year Science'. (Eds I Krupnik, MA Lang, SE Miller) pp. 181–196. (Smithsonian Institution Scholarly Press). doi:10.5479/si.097884601X.0

Pertierra LR, Santos-Martin F, Hughes KA, Avila C, Caceres JO, De Filippo D, Gonzalez S, Grant SM, Lynch H, Marina-Montes C, Quesada A, Tejedo P, Tin T, Benayas J (2021) Ecosystem services in Antarctica: global assessment of the current state, future challenges and managing opportunities. *Ecosystem Services* **49**, 101299. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2021.101299

Pfuhl HA, McCave IN (2005) Evidence for late Oligocene establishment of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **235**, 715–728. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.04.025

Pola M, Gosliner TM (2010) The first molecular phylogeny of cladobranchian opisthobranchs (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Nudibranchia). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **56**, 931–941. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2010.05.003

Poulin E, Palma AT, Féral J-P (2002) Evolutionary versus ecological success in Antarctic benthic invertebrates. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **17**, 218–222. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02493-X

Prates L, Perez SI (2021) Late Pleistocene South American megafaunal extinctions associated with rise of Fishtail points and human population. *Nature Communications* **12**, 2175. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22506-4

Puillandre N, Lambert A, Brouillet S, Achaz G (2012) ABGD, automatic barcode gap discovery for primary species delimitation. *Molecular Ecology* **21**, 1864–1877. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05239.x

Puillandre N, Brouillet S, Achaz G (2021) ASAP: assemble species by automatic partitioning. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **21**, 609–620. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13281

Ribbink AJ (1984) Is the species flock concept tenable? In 'Evolution of Fish Species Flocks'. (Eds AA Echelle, I Kornfield) pp. 21–25. (University of Maine at Orono Press: Orono, ME, USA)

Richards ZT, Carvajal JI, Wallace CC, Wilson NG (2020) Phylogenomics confirms Alveopora is sister to Montipora within the family Acroporidae. *Marine Genomics* **50**, 100703. doi:10.1016/j.margen.2019.100703

Rogers AD (2007) Evolution and biodiversity of Antarctic organisms: a molecular perspective. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London – B. Biological Sciences* **362**, 2191–2214. doi:10.1098/rstb.2006.1948

Rogers-Bennett L, Catton CA (2019) Marine heat wave and multiple stressors tip bull kelp forest to sea urchin barrens. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 15050. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-51114-y

Ronce O (2007) How does it feel to be like a rolling stone? Ten questions about dispersal evolution. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **38**, 231–253. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.38.091206.095611

Schejter L, Genzano G, Gaitán E, Perez CD, Bremec CS (2020) Benthic communities in the Southwest Atlantic Ocean: conservation value of animal forests at the Burdwood Bank slope. *Aquatic Conservation* **30**, 426–439. doi:10.1002/aqc.3265

Segovia NI, Gallardo-Escárate C, Poulin E, Haye PA (2017) Lineage divergence, local adaptation across a biogeographic break, and artificial transport, shape the genetic structure in the ascidian *Pyura chilensis*. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 44559. doi:10.1038/srep44559

Shanks AL, Grantham BA, Carr MH (2003) Propagule dispersal distance and the size and spacing of marine reserves. *Ecological Applications* **13**, 159–169. doi:10.1890/1051-0761(2003)013[0159:PDDATS]2.0.CO;2

Shields C (2009) Nudibranchs of the Ross Sea, Antarctica: phylogeny, diversity, and divergence. MSc Thesis, Clemson University, SC, USA. Available at https://tigerprints.clemson.edu/all_theses/637/

Singh JS (2002) The biodiversity crisis: a multifaceted review. *Current Science* **82**, 638–647.

Smith JA, Hillenbrand C-D, Pudsey CJ, Allen CS, Graham AGC (2010) The presence of polynyas in the Weddell Sea during the last glacial period with implications for the reconstruction of sea-ice limits and ice sheet history. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* **296**, 287–298. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2010.05.008

Smith DA, Hofmann EE, Klinck JM, Lascara CM (1999) Hydrography and circulation of the West Antarctic Peninsula Continental Shelf. *Deep Sea Research – I. Oceanographic Research Papers* **46**, 925–949. doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00103-4

Sokolov S, Rintoul SR (2009) Circumpolar structure and distribution of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current fronts: 1. Mean circumpolar paths. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* **114**, C11018. doi:10.1029/2008JC005108

Stark JS, Raymond T, Deppeleir SL, Morrison AK (2019) Chapter 1 - Antarctic Seas. In 'World Seas: An Environmental Evaluation', 2nd edn. (Ed. C Sheppard) pp. 1–44, (Academic Press). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-805068-2.00002-4

Stephens T (2018) The Antarctic Treaty system and the Anthropocene. *The Polar Journal* **8**, 29–43. doi:10.1080/2154896X.2018.1468630

Strugnell JM, Rogers AD, Prodöhl PA, Collins MA, Allcock AL (2008) The thermohaline expressway: the Southern Ocean as a centre of origin for deep-sea octopuses. *Cladistics* **24**, 853–860. doi:10.1111/j.1096-0031.2008.00234.x

Taberlet P, Coissac E, Pompanon F, Brochmann C, Willerslev E (2012) Towards next-generation biodiversity assessment using DNA metabarcoding. *Molecular Ecology* **21**, 2045–2050. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05470.x

Tamura K, Nei M (1993) Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **10**, 512–526. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040023

Templeton AR, Crandall KA, Sing CF (1992) A cladistic analysis of phenotypic associations with haplotypes inferred from restriction endonuclease mapping and DNA sequence data. III. Cladogram estimation. *Genetics* **132**, 619–633. doi:10.1093/genetics/132.2.619

Thatje S, Hillenbrand C-D, Larter R (2005) On the origin of Antarctic marine benthic community structure. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **20**, 534–540. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2005.07.010

Thornhill DJ, Mahon AR, Norenburg JL, Halanych KM (2008) Open-ocean barriers to dispersal: a test case with the Antarctic Polar Front and the ribbon worm *Parborlasia corrugatus* (Nemertea: Lineidae). *Molecular Ecology* **17**, 5104–5117. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03970.x

Tin T, Fleming ZL, Hughes KA, Ainley DG, Convey P, Moreno CA, Pfeiffer S, Scott J, Snape I (2009) Impacts of local human activities

on the Antarctic environment. *Antarctic Science* **21**, 3–33. doi:[10.1017/S0954102009001722](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102009001722)

Torre L, Tabares PCC, Momo F, Meyer JFCA, Sahade R (2017) Climate change effects on Antarctic benthos: a spatially explicit model approach. *Climatic Change* **141**, 733–746. doi:[10.1007/s10584-017-1915-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-017-1915-2)

Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen L-T, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2016) W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**, W232–W235. doi:[10.1093/nar/gkw256](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw256)

Tyagi K, Kumar V, Kundu S, Pakrashi A, Prasad P, Caleb JTD, Chandra K (2019) Identification of Indian spiders through DNA barcoding: cryptic species and species complex. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 14033. doi:[10.1038/s41598-019-50510-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50510-8)

Valdes A (2001) Deep-sea cryptobranch dorid nudibranchs (Mollusca, Opisthobranchia) from the tropical West Pacific, with descriptions of two new genera and eighteen new species. *Malacologia* **43**, 237–311.

Vaughan DG, Marshall GJ, Connolley WM, Parkinson C, Mulvaney R, Hodgson DA, King JC, Pudsey CJ, Turner J (2003) Recent rapid regional climate warming on the Antarctic Peninsula. *Climatic Change* **60**, 243–274. doi:[10.1023/A:1026021217991](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026021217991)

Wägele H (1987) The distribution of some Antarctic nudibranchs (Opisthobranchia). *The Journal of Molluscan Studies* **53**, 179–188. doi:[10.1093/mollus/53.2.179](https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/53.2.179)

Wägele H (1990) Revision of the genus *Austrodoris* Odhner, 1926 (Gastropoda, Opisthobranchia). *The Journal of Molluscan Studies* **56**, 163–180. doi:[10.1093/mollus/56.2.163](https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/56.2.163)

Wägele H, Klussmann-Kolb A, Vonnemann V, Medina M (2008) Heterobranchia I: the Opisthobranchia. In 'Phylogeny and Evolution of the Mollusca'. (Ed. W Ponder) pp. 385–408. (California Scholarship Online). doi:[10.1525/california/9780520250925.003.0014](https://doi.org/10.1525/california/9780520250925.003.0014)

Wallace RW (1997) Drugs from the sea: harvesting the results of aeons of chemical evolution. *Molecular Medicine Today* **3**, 291–295. doi:[10.1016/S1357-4310\(97\)01059-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1357-4310(97)01059-9)

Weersing K, Toonen RJ (2009) Population genetics, larval dispersal, and connectivity in marine systems. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **393**, 1–12. doi:[10.3354/meps08287](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08287)

Wilson NG, Schrödl M, Halanych KM (2009) Ocean barriers and glaciation: evidence for explosive radiation of mitochondrial lineages in the Antarctic sea slug *Doris kerguelensis* (Mollusca, Nudibranchia). *Molecular Ecology* **18**, 965–984. doi:[10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.04071.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.04071.x)

Wilson NG, Maschek JA, Baker BJ (2013) A species flock driven by predation? Secondary metabolites support diversification of slugs in Antarctica. *PLoS One* **8**, e80277. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0080277](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080277)

Wollscheid-Lengeling E, Boore J, Brown W, Wägele H (2001) The phylogeny of Nudibranchia (Opisthobranchia, Gastropoda, Mollusca) reconstructed by three molecular markers. *Organisms, Diversity & Evolution* **1**, 241–256. doi:[10.1078/1439-6092-00022](https://doi.org/10.1078/1439-6092-00022)

Zhang J, Kapli P, Pavlidis P, Stamatakis A (2013) A general species delimitation method with applications to phylogenetic placements. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 2869–2876. doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/btt499](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt499)

Data availability. Supplementary data for this article can be accessed in the Supplementary material. COI sequences are available through GenBank (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>): ON419127-ON419135.

Conflicts of interest. The authors declare that Dr Nerida Wilson and Dr Greg Rouse are both Editors of *Invertebrate Systematics*, but did not at any stage have editor-level access to this manuscript while in peer review, as is the standard practice when handling manuscripts submitted by an editor to this journal. *Invertebrate Systematics* encourages editors to publish in the journal and are kept totally separate from the decision-making processes for manuscripts. The authors have no further conflicts of interest to declare.

Declaration of funding. The work was supported by the Antarctic Circumnavigation Expedition (carried out by the Swiss Polar Institute, supported by the ACE Foundation and Ferring Pharmaceuticals), the French Polar Institute and LTSER ZATA (#1044), the US National Science Foundation (PLR-1341485, ANT-0551969 to A. L. Moran, ANT-0440577 to H. A. Woods, and DEB-1846174 to K. M. Kocot), BLUEBIO (CTM2016-78901/ANT), the Society of Australian Systematic Biologists (SASB), the University of Western Australia Oceans Institute (UWA-OI) Robson and Robertson award, the Malacological Society of Australasia (MSA) and the Antarctic Science Foundation (ASF). This work was also supported by ARC SRIEAS Grant SR200100005 Securing Antarctica's Environmental Future.

Acknowledgements. We thank staff and students at these institutions for helping make samples available: Western Australian Museum (WAM), the Baker Laboratory; University of South Florida (USF), Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO-BIC), the Australian Antarctic Division (AAD), the Alfred Wegener Institute (AWI), the Yale Peabody Museum (YPM), the California Academy of Sciences (CAS) and the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution (USNM) for making samples available. In particular, we appreciate the efforts of Charlotte Seid (SIO-BIC), Sarah Dietrick and Nicole Avalon (USF), Elie Poulin (UCHILE), Javier Naretto (Costa Humboldt) and Christoph Held (AWI) in supporting this work. We are grateful to Mia Hillyer, Alex Hickling and Linette Umbrello (all WAM) for extraction and sequencing assistance, and also to Linette Umbrello for analytical advice and assistance. We thank all expedition participants for help with collecting samples. Finally, we thank Dr Ana Riesgo, the *Invertebrate Systematics* publishing team and the two anonymous reviewers for their insight and advice.

Author affiliations

^AThe University of Western Australia, School of Biological Sciences (M092), 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia.

^BWestern Australian Museum, Research & Collections, 49 Kew Street, Welshpool, WA 6106, Australia.

^CUniversity of South Florida, Department of Chemistry (CHE 205), 4202 East Fowler Street, Tampa, FL 33620, USA.

^DUniversity of Hawai'i at Mānoa, School of Life Sciences (EDM 216), 2538 McCarthy Mall, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA.

^EUniversity of Montana, Division of Biological Sciences (HS 104), 32 Campus Drive, Missoula, MN 59812, USA.

^FUniversity of Barcelona, Department of Evolutionary Biology, Ecology and Environmental Sciences & Biodiversity Research Institute (IRBio), Diagonal Avenida 643, E-08028 Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain.

^GAustralian Antarctic Division, Environmental Protection Program, 203 Channel Highway, Kingston, Tas. 7050, Australia.

^HUniversity of Alabama, Department of Biological Sciences and Alabama Museum of Natural History, 500 Hackberry Lane, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487, USA.

^ICalifornia Academy of Sciences, Department of Invertebrate Zoology and Geology, 55 Music Concourse Drive, San Francisco, CA 94118, USA.

^JUniversité Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Biogéosciences, 6 Gabriel Boulevard, UMR 6282 CNRS, F-21000 Dijon, France.

^KUniversity of California San Diego, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.

^LSecuring Antarctica's Environmental Future, Western Australian Museum, 49 Kew Street, Welshpool, WA 6106, Australia.