

Morphologic signatures of autogenic waterfalls: A case study in the San Gabriel

Mountains, California

Erika L. Groh* and Joel S. Scheingross

University of Nevada Reno, Department of Geological Sciences and Engineering, Reno, NV,
89557, USA

*egroh@nevada.unr.edu

ABSTRACT

Waterfalls can form due to external perturbation of river base level, lithologic heterogeneity, and internal feedbacks (i.e., autogenic dynamics). While waterfalls formed by lithologic heterogeneity and external perturbation are well documented, we lack criteria to identify autogenic waterfalls, thereby limiting our ability to assess the influence of autogenic waterfalls on landscape evolution. We propose that autogenic waterfalls evolve from bedrock bedforms known as cyclic steps, and therefore form as a series of steps with spacing and height set primarily by channel slope. We identify 360 waterfalls split between a transient and steady state portion of the San Gabriel Mountains, CA. Our results show that while waterfalls have different spatial distributions in the transient and steady state landscape, in both landscapes waterfalls tend to form at slopes $>3\%$, coinciding with the onset of Froude supercritical flow and, in both landscapes, the waterfall height to spacing ratio increases with slope; consistent with cyclic step theory and flume experiments. We suggest that in unglaciated mountain ranges with relatively uniform rock strength, individual waterfalls are predominately autogenic in origin, while the spatial distribution of waterfalls may be set by external perturbations.

INTRODUCTION

Channel reaches locally steepened at km-scale, termed knickzones, are often composed of waterfalls (e.g., Crosby and Whipple, 2006). Waterfalls can set the pace of landscape evolution, in cases eroding slowly relative to rock uplift rates and creating large escarpments, while in other cases, eroding fast relative to rock uplift rates and driving headward knickzone retreat (DiBiase et al., 2015). Predicting the influence of waterfalls on landscape evolution and interpreting how climatic and tectonic history is encoded in river profiles requires a mechanistic understanding of waterfall formation and the ability of waterfalls to modulate erosion and river long-profile form (e.g., Whittaker, 2012; Scheingross et al., 2020).

Knickzone formation is often associated with rock uplift pulses, base-level change, glaciation, and/or lithologic heterogeneity (e.g., Whittaker, 2012; Mackey et al., 2014; Valla et al., 2010; Goudie, 2020). However, except in rare cases (Yanites et al., 2010; Malatesta and Lamb, 2018), it is unlikely that each individual waterfall within a knickzone can be attributed to a specific external perturbation or lithologic control (e.g., not all waterfalls form at lithologic contacts). Therefore, there must be another process forming waterfalls. An alternative hypothesis is that waterfalls self-form (i.e., form autogenically) due to feedbacks between erosion, sediment transport, and flow hydraulics (Scheingross et al., 2019; Hayakawa and Oguchi, 2014). Bedrock channels subject to Froude supercritical flow can develop a series of repeating, low-amplitude bedrock steps separated by hydraulic jumps, termed cyclic steps (e.g., Izumi et al., 2017; Baynes et al., 2018; Grimaud et al., 2016; Yokokawa et al., 2013), which can grow in height to form waterfalls (Scheingross et al., 2019). In this sense, autogenic waterfalls are analogous to alluvial bedforms; in both cases morphodynamic feedbacks drive the emergence of waterfalls and bedforms at the reach scale; however, external forcing may drive relief generation, water discharge, and sediment flux.

Despite experimental evidence for cyclic step and autogenic waterfall formation (e.g., Brooks, 2001; Baynes et al, 2018; Scheingross et al., 2019; Yokokawa et al., 2016), field studies of bedrock cyclic steps are rare (Duckson and Duckson, 1995; Wohl and Grodek, 1994), which limits our ability to assess the ubiquity of autogenic waterfalls in nature. We address this knowledge gap by asking: 1) Do autogenic waterfalls form in nature? 2) Do autogenic waterfalls have a unique morphologic signature? And 3) Does autogenic waterfall morphology differ between steady state and transient landscapes? To answer these questions, we define criteria for identifying autogenic waterfalls and compare these criteria to waterfalls in the San Gabriel Mountains (SGM), CA.

CRITERIA FOR AUTOGENIC WATERFALL IDENTIFICATION

We propose that, as bedrock bedforms, autogenic waterfalls have a unique morphology and spatial distribution relative to externally- or lithologically-forced waterfalls. Following cyclic step theory (Izumi et al., 2017), we expect the spacing between autogenic waterfalls and waterfall height to be set by the ratio of fluvial sediment supply to sediment transport capacity (Q_s/Q_{sc}), which modulates fluvial bedrock erosion rates (Sklar and Dietrich, 2001), and flow Froude number (Fr). For constant Q_s/Q_{sc} , cyclic step theory predicts that step spacing increases and step height decreases with increasing channel slope (Izumi et al., 2017).

The cyclic step instability requires Froude (Fr) supercritical flow ($Fr > 1$). Because Fr scales with channel slope, we suggest $Fr > 1$ flow is common above a critical slope, which allows autogenic waterfalls to form. Field data show that $Fr > 1$ flow occurs for $0.03 < S < 0.07$ (Palucis and Lamb, 2017). Following this logic, we expect autogenic waterfalls to be absent at $S < 0.03$, begin forming at $0.03 < S < 0.07$. However, at $S > 0.07$ waterfall formation lowers reach-averaged velocity and creates transcritical flow, consistent with field observations of $Fr < 1$ flow at $S > 0.07$ (Palucis

and Lamb, 2017). For a concave river profile, this mechanism predicts an increasing occurrence of waterfalls with distance upstream. Analyzing channel slope, waterfall spacing, and height allow us to identify populations of waterfalls as likely autogenic, but cannot be applied to individual waterfalls.

We expect externally- and lithologically-forced waterfalls to have spatial distributions set by the type of forcing. For example, at a contact between weak and hard rock, waterfall location and height are set primarily by spatial variations in rock strength, with channel slope playing a secondary role (Berlin and Anderson, 2009). Similarly, base-level perturbations can cause waterfalls to align with a fault trace, or, for perturbations at a river outlet, upstream propagation can cause waterfalls to cluster at similar elevations within a drainage basin (e.g., Crosby and Whipple, 2006; Wobus et al., 2006). In these cases, waterfall spacing should be more strongly set by the timing between base-level perturbations or the speed at which a waterfall propagates upstream, rather than show a systematic variation with channel slope as we propose for autogenic waterfalls. If a population of waterfalls is lithologically- or externally-forced, then we expect to see no trends in waterfall morphology with channel slope.

STUDY AREA AND METHODS

San Gabriel Mountains

The San Gabriel Mountains (SGM) (Fig. 1) host crystalline rock with similar rock strength due to extensive tectonic fracturing (DiBiase et al., 2010), the range has never been glaciated, and precipitation varies by ~ 0.3 m/yr across our study area (PRISM). The Central Sierra Madre Block of the SGM (hereafter, the Front Range (Fig. 1)), has been argued to be in topographic steady state as evidenced by compatible fluvial incision rates and fission track

thermochronology which show an equivalence between erosion and uplift rates since the Pliocene (Lave and Burbank, 2004). In contrast, the Big Tujunga watershed is responding to two uplift pulses along a section of the Sierra Madre Fault Zone (SMFZ) (DiBiase et al., 2015). The different tectonic histories between these adjacent areas are presumably due to spatial variation in uplift history along the SMFZ, and allow us to explore autogenic waterfall formation in a steady-state (Front Range) and transient (Big Tujunga) landscape.

Waterfall Metric Measurements

We identified waterfalls via extracting river long profiles using TopoToolbox (Schwanghart and Scherler, 2014) from 1 m² Digital Elevation Models (Heimsath et al., 2019) which encompass most of the Front Range and the Big Tujunga watershed above and below the previously-identified tectonic knickzone (Wobus et al., 2006; DiBiase et al., 2015). We manually removed check dams (Supplemental Material, Fig. S1, Table S1) and, automated waterfall identification by finding channel reaches with sustained local river slope $>30^\circ$ over a vertical distance of >1.5 m and occurred at drainage areas >1 km² (Supplemental Material), corresponding to the local colluvial-to-fluvial channel transition (Neely and DiBiase, 2020).

Following cyclic step theory (Parker and Izumi, 2000), we define an autogenic waterfall unit extending from the hydraulic jump at the waterfall base to the hydraulic jump at the base of the next downstream waterfall (Fig. 2A). We define the waterfall unit geometry using the distance from the channel head (X) and elevation (Z), we use the subscripts L and B to define the waterfall lip and base, and subscripts 1 and 2 to define the upstream and downstream waterfalls, respectively. These coordinates define the waterfall unit spacing, L_U , the waterfall height, H_{wf} , the waterfall unit slope, S_U , and the slope of the channel reach between waterfalls, S_R :

$$L_U = X_{B1} - X_{B2} \quad (1)$$

$$H_{wf} = Z_{L1} - Z_{B1} \quad (2)$$

$$S_U = Z_{B1} - Z_{B2} / (X_{B1} - X_{B2}) \quad (3)$$

$$S_R = Z_{B1} - Z_{L2} / (X_{B1} - X_{L2}) \quad (4)$$

We compared the above metrics from the SGM dataset with previous cyclic step lab experiments in loose and cohesive sediment, ice, and artificial bedrock (Brooks, 2001; Ashida and Sawai, 1977; Koyama and Ikeda, 1998; Scheingross et al., 2019; Taki and Parker, 2005; Yokokawa et al., 2016) (Table S2), a previous bedrock cyclic step field survey (Wohl and Grodek, 1994), and a cyclic step survey on granitic hillslopes in the Ruby Mountains, NV (Supplemental Material, Fig. S2, Table S3). We used the Pearson Correlation Coefficient, ρ , to test for statistical correlation between waterfall metrics, where $\rho=1$ and $\rho=-1$ for positive and negative correlations, respectively. We examined waterfall frequency by calculating the fraction of total channel relief due to waterfalls as a function of channel slope (averaged over a 0.5 km to allow a reach-averaged slope without domination from a single waterfall).

To assess external control on waterfall formation, we mapped waterfall spatial distribution and qualitatively examined correlation between waterfalls and faults using Fig. 1(U.S. Geological Survey). We also examined the along-channel waterfall distribution by calculating the fraction of total upstream distance X_L/L_R where X_L is the non-dimensional distance of waterfalls and L_R is the channel length from outlet to channel head.

RESULTS

Waterfall Morphology

We identified 360 waterfalls ranging in height from 1.5 m to 35 m and spacing from 4 m to 7 km (Fig. 2, Table S4). Increasing channel slope correlates with a decrease in waterfall spacing (Fig. 2B) and an increase in waterfall height above $S \sim 0.4$ (Fig. 2C). Driven primarily by changes in waterfall spacing, the waterfall height to unit length ratio (H_{wf}/L_U) increases with both the waterfall unit slope (S_U) and the river slope (S_R) (Fig. 2D, E). Despite autocorrelation when comparing H_{wf}/L_U with S_U , the position of the data relative to the 1:1 line shows that waterfall height accounts for a larger fraction of relief of the waterfall unit as slope increases (Fig. 2D). This is further supported by a plateau in waterfall spacing while waterfall height increases for $S > 0.4$ (Fig. 2B, C). Comparing H_{wf}/L_U to the river slope between waterfalls, S_R , (Fig. 2E) removes some of the autocorrelation present in Fig. 2D, resulting in increased scatter, but still shows a statistically significant correlation between waterfall morphology and slope above 0.07, consistent with predictions for supercritical flow and cyclic step theory (Izumi et al., 2017). These results show little sensitivity to the threshold values of drainage area, height, and slope we used to define waterfalls (Supplemental Material, Figs. S3-5).

We observe no statistically significant difference in the correlations between waterfall metrics and slope in the Big Tujunga versus Front Range (Fig. 2). There is no statistically significant difference in the distribution of waterfall spacing between the Front Range and Big Tujunga watershed; however, waterfalls in the Big Tujunga tend to be slightly taller than in the Front Range (Supplemental Material, Fig. S6), perhaps due to increased relief from tectonic forcing. The SGM waterfall unit morphology is, to first-order, consistent with previous cyclic step experiments and field surveys, which show a decrease in waterfall spacing with slope and no clear relationship between channel slope and step height for $S < \sim 0.4$ (Fig. 2B, C).

Waterfall Spatial Distribution

The fraction of channel relief due to waterfalls increases with increasing slope in both the Front Range and Big Tujunga, with waterfalls first appearing at $\sim 0.03 < S < 0.07$ (Fig. 3). Despite consistent waterfall morphology in the Front Range and Big Tujunga (Fig. 2), waterfall frequency increases approaching the channel head in the Front Range, while in the Big Tujunga, waterfall frequency peaks at two locations which correlate with tectonic knickzones (DiBiase et al., 2015) (Fig. 4A). In both cases, the spatial distribution of waterfalls follow trends in channel slope (Fig. 4B,C). The mapped distribution of waterfalls in both the Front Range and Big Tujunga regions shows no qualitative correlation with the location of faults; waterfalls exist both upstream and downstream of faults, and waterfalls occur in watersheds that contain no major fault (Fig. 1). The only correlation between waterfalls and faulting occurs in the Clear Creek watershed where the SGFZ runs parallel to the channel (Fig. 1).

DISCUSSION

Autogenic Waterfalls as Bedrock Bedforms

Variability in substrate and sediment supply between the SGM, previous field surveys, and cyclic step experiments can lead to different erosional efficiencies that modulate cyclic step morphology (e.g., Yokokawa et al., 2013; Izumi et al., 2017). Despite these differences, we interpret the scaling between waterfall morphology and channel slope, as well as the consistent correlations between waterfall height, waterfall spacing, and channel slope between the SGM, previous field surveys and flume experiments (Fig. 2) suggests that these steps formed via the same process. Further support for an autogenic origin of SGM waterfalls comes from agreement between the correlations in Fig. 2 and cyclic step theory predictions, as well as the onset of waterfall occurrence at $\sim 0.03 < S < 0.07$ (Figs. 2E and 3). This matches predictions for the onset of supercritical flow (Palucis and Lamb, 2017) and is consistent with autogenic waterfall formation

driven by $Fr > 1$ flow (Scheingross et al., 2019). The consistency of waterfall occurrence with the criteria for autogenic origin and lack of consistency with the criteria for an externally-forced origin make waterfall self-formation the simplest explanation for waterfall occurrence in the SGM. These results suggest an answer to our first two questions: autogenic waterfalls exist in nature and have a morphologic signature set by slope.

The Signature of External Perturbation

While waterfall formation is often associated with tectonic perturbations, the agreement in waterfall morphology between the steady state Front Range and the transient Big Tujunga (Fig. 2) suggests that autogenic waterfall formation is largely insensitive to the mechanism of relief generation (e.g., sustained, steady uplift vs. transient base level perturbation). Instead, the data suggest that the signature of base-level perturbation is encoded in waterfall position along the river long profile (Fig. 4) and perhaps in waterfall height (Fig. S6). This is supported by the increase in waterfall frequency with distance upstream in the Front Range, versus the waterfall clustering near tectonically-forced knickzones in Big Tujunga (Fig. 4). In both cases, waterfall occurrence follows channel slope, indicating that any increase in channel slope may promote autogenic waterfall development. These results suggest an answer to our third question: waterfall morphology does not differ between steady state and transient landscapes.

IMPLICATIONS FOR LANDSCAPE EVOLUTION

Waterfall formation, via the creation of vertical waterfall jets and plunge pools, can change erosion processes and rates relative to waterfall-free reaches (Scheingross and Lamb, 2017). This local erosion rate change may create larger-scale changes in river long profiles and thereby modify channel response to external perturbation (Scheingross et al., 2020). Our results

suggest this modulation of external perturbations is likely to be most pronounced if external processes cause channel slopes to increase from less than 3% to greater than ~7%, thereby triggering waterfall development. Systematic identification of populations of autogenic waterfalls, as presented here, represents a new tool to analyze landscapes, and elucidate the role of autogenic dynamics in landscape evolution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank E. Wohl for Nahal Yael data, N. Izumi, M. Yokokawa, and G. Parker for discussion, and I. Larsen, L. Malatesta, and an anonymous reviewer. We acknowledge National Science Foundation funding to J.S.S. (EAR-1946342).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1: Studied watersheds and waterfalls in the San Gabriel Mountains, CA.

Fig. 2: (A) Waterfall metrics schematic. (B) Waterfall unit slope, S_U , versus waterfall length, L_U , and (C) vs. waterfall height H_{wf} . (D) $\underline{H}_{wf}/L_U$ versus the waterfall unit slope, S_U and (E) vs. river slope, S_R . A lack of data prevents plotting experiment data and additional field surveys in panel (E).

Fig. 3: Binned median and interquartile range of the fraction of total channel relief due to waterfalls (running average over 500 m) versus average channel slope (best fit linear regression averaged over 500 m) for the Front Range (A) and Big Tujunga (B).

Fig. 4: (A) Fraction of waterfalls, (B) channel slope including waterfall drops (averaged over 500 m), and (C) channel slope between waterfalls, S_R , vs. fraction of total upstream distance for the Front Range and Big Tujunga.

¹GSA Data Repository item [201Xxxx](https://doi.org/10.3133/201Xxxx), [supplementary text with methods, 6 figures, 4 tables, and MATLAB code], is available online at www.geosociety.org/pubs/ft20XX.htm, or on request from editing@geosociety.org. Download the waterfall extraction code at https://github.com/jscheingross/Waterfall_Extraction.


REFERENCES CITED







Ashida, K., and Sawai, K., 1977, A study on the stream formation process on a bare slope (3) – three-dimensional channel form: Disaster Prevention Research Institute Annuals: Kyoto University, Japan, v. 20.



- 232 Baynes, E.R.C., Lague, D., and Kermarrec, J.-J., 2018, Supercritical river terraces generated by
233 hydraulic and geomorphic interactions: *Geology*, v. 46, p. 499–502, doi:10.1130/G40071.1.
- 234 Berlin, M.M., and Anderson, R.S., 2009, Steepened channels upstream of knickpoints: Controls
235 on relict landscape response: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, v. 114,
236 doi:10.1029/2008JF001148.
- 237 Brooks, P.C., 2001, Experimental study of erosional cyclic steps [Master's Thesis]: University of
238 Minnesota, 73 p.
- 239 Crosby, B.T., and Whipple, K.X., 2006, Knickpoint initiation and distribution within fluvial
240 networks: 236 waterfalls in the Waipaoa River, North Island, New Zealand:
241 *Geomorphology*, v. 82, p. 16–38, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.08.023.
- 242 DiBiase, R.A., Whipple, K.X., Heimsath, A.M., and Ouimet, W.B., 2010, Landscape form and
243 millennial erosion rates in the San Gabriel Mountains, CA: *Earth and Planetary Science*
244 *Letters*, v. 289, p. 134–144, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2009.10.036.
- 245 DiBiase, R.A., Whipple, K.X., Lamb, M.P., and Heimsath, A.M., 2015, The role of waterfalls
246 and knickzones in controlling the style and pace of landscape adjustment in the western San
247 Gabriel Mountains, California: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 127, p. 539–559,
248 doi:10.1130/B31113.1.
- 249 Duckson, D.W., and Duckson, L.J., 1995, MORPHOLOGY OF BEDROCK STEP POOL
250 SYSTEMS: *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, v. 31, p. 43–51,
251 doi:10.1111/j.1752-1688.1995.tb03362.x.
- 252 Goudie, A.S., 2020, Waterfalls: Forms, Distribution, Processes and Rates of Recession:
253 *Quaestiones Geographicae*, v. 39, p. 59–77, doi:10.2478/quageo-2020-0005.
- 254 Grimaud, J.-L., Paola, C., and Voller, V., 2016, Experimental migration of knickpoints:
255 influence of style of base-level fall and bed lithology: *Earth Surface Dynamics*, v. 4, p. 11–
256 23, doi:10.5194/esurf-4-11-2016.
- 257 Hayakawa, Y.S., and Oguchi, T., 2014, Spatial correspondence of knickzones and stream
258 confluences along bedrock rivers in Japan: implications for hydraulic formation of
259 knickzones: *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography*, v. 96, p. 9–19,
260 doi:10.1111/geoa.12024.
- 261 Heimsath, A., Hudnut, K., Lamb, M., Whipple, K., 2019, Mapping of San Gabriel Mountains,
262 CA 2009 Fire: National Center for Airborne Laser Mapping (NCALM), Distributed by
263 OpenTopography: doi:10.5069/G94M92N4 (accessed August 2021).
- 264 Izumi, N., Yokokawa, M., and Parker, G., 2017, Incisional cyclic steps of permanent form in
265 mixed bedrock-alluvial rivers: INCISIONAL CYCLIC STEPS: *Journal of Geophysical*
266 *Research: Earth Surface*, v. 122, p. 130–152, doi:10.1002/2016JF003847.
- 267 Koyama, T., and Ikeda, H., 1998, Effect of riverbed gradient on bedrock channel configuration:
268 A flume experiment: *Process Environmental Research Center: Tsukuba University, Japan.*,
269 no. 23. p. 25–34.







- 270 Lavé, J., and Burbank, D., 2004, Denudation processes and rates in the Transverse Ranges,
 271 southern California: Erosional response of a transitional landscape to external and
 272 anthropogenic forcing: DENUDATION IN THE TRANSVERSE RANGES: *Journal of*
 273 *Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, v. 109, doi:10.1029/2003JF000023.
- 274 Mackey, B.H., Scheingross, J.S., Lamb, M.P., and Farley, K.A., 2014, Knickpoint formation,
 275 rapid propagation, and landscape response following coastal cliff retreat at the last
 276 interglacial sea-level highstand: Kaua‘i, Hawai‘i: *GSA Bulletin*, v. 126, p. 925–942,
 277 doi:10.1130/B30930.1.
- 278
 279 Malatesta, L.C., and Lamb, M.P., 2018, Formation of waterfalls by intermittent burial of active
 280 faults: *GSA Bulletin*, v. 130, p. 522–536, doi:10.1130/B31743.1.
- 281 Neely, A.B., and DiBiase, R.A., 2020, Drainage Area, Bedrock Fracture Spacing, and
 282 Weathering Controls on Landscape-Scale Patterns in Surface Sediment Grain Size: *Journal*
 283 *of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, v. 125, p. e2020JF005560,
 284 doi:10.1029/2020JF005560.
- 285 Palucis, M.C., and Lamb, M.P., 2017, What controls channel form in steep mountain streams?
 286 *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 44, p. 7245–7255, doi:10.1002/2017GL074198.
- 287 Parker, G., and Izumi, N., 2000, Purely erosional cyclic and solitary steps created by flow over a
 288 cohesive bed: *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, v. 419, p. 203–238,
 289 doi:10.1017/S0022112000001403.
- 290 PRISM Climate Group, Oregon State University: <http://prism.oregonstate.edu> (accessed August
 291 2021).
- 292 Scheingross, J.S., and Lamb, M.P., 2017, A Mechanistic Model of Waterfall Plunge Pool
 293 Erosion into Bedrock: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, v. 122, p. 2079–
 294 2104, doi:10.1002/2017JF004195.
- 295 Scheingross, J.S., Lamb, M.P., and Fuller, B.M., 2019, Self-formed bedrock waterfalls: *Nature*,
 296 v. 567, p. 229–233, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0991-z.
- 297 Scheingross, J.S., Limaye, A.B., McCoy, S.W., and Whittaker, A.C., 2020, The shaping of
 298 erosional landscapes by internal dynamics: *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, v. 1, p.
 299 661–676, doi:10.1038/s43017-020-0096-0.
- 300 Schwanghart, W., and Scherler, D., 2014, Short Communication: TopoToolbox 2 – MATLAB-
 301 based software for topographic analysis and modeling in Earth surface sciences: *Earth*
 302 *Surface Dynamics*, v. 2, p. 1–7, doi:10.5194/esurf-2-1-2014.
- 303 Sklar, L.S., and Dietrich, W.E., 2001, Sediment and rock strength controls on river incision into
 304 bedrock: *Geology*, v. 29, p. 1087–1090, doi:10.1130/00917613.
- 305 Taki, K., and Parker, G., 2005, Transportational cyclic steps created by flow over an erodible
 306 bed. Part 1. Experiments: *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, v. 43, p. 488–501,
 307 doi:10.1080/00221680509500147.
- 308 U.S. Geological Survey, Quaternary fault and fold database for the United States:
 309 www.usgs.gov/natural-hazards/earthquake-hazards/faults. (accessed August 2021).

- 310 Valla, P.G., Beek, P.A. van der, and Lague, D., 2010, Fluvial incision into bedrock: Insights
 311 from morphometric analysis and numerical modeling of gorges incising glacial hanging
 312 valleys (Western Alps, France): *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, v. 115,
 313 doi:10.1029/2008JF001079.
- 314 Whittaker, A.C., 2012, How do landscapes record tectonics and climate? *Lithosphere*, v. 4, p.
 315 160–164, doi:10.1130/RF.L003.1.
- 316 Wohl, E.E., and Grodek, T., 1994, Channel bed-steps along Nahal Yael, Negev desert, Israel:
 317 *Geomorphology*, v. 9, p. 117–126, doi:10.1016/0169-555X(94)90070-1.
- 318
- 319 Wobus, C.W., Crosby, B.T., and Whipple, K.X., 2006, Hanging valleys in fluvial systems:
 320 Controls on occurrence and implications for landscape evolution: *Journal of Geophysical*
 321 *Research*, v. 111, p. F02017, doi:10.1029/2005JF000406.
- 322 Yanites, B.J., Tucker, G.E., Mueller, K.J., and Chen, Y.-G., 2010, How rivers react to large
 323 earthquakes: Evidence from central Taiwan: *Geology*, v. 38, p. 639–642,
 324 doi:10.1130/G30883.1.
- 325 Yokokawa, M., Kotera, A., and Kyogoku, A., 2013, Cyclic steps by bedrock incision: *Advances*
 326 *in River Sediment Research*, v. 76, p. 629-633, doi:10.14863/geosocabst.2013.0_177.
- 327
- 328 Yokokawa, M., Izumi, N., Naito, K., Parker, G., Yamada, T., and Greve, R., 2016, Cyclic steps
 329 on ice: *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, v. 121, p. 1023–1048,
 330 doi:10.1002/2015JF003736.









Morphologic signatures of autogenic waterfalls: A case study in the San Gabriel Mountains, California

Erika L. Groh* and Joel S. Scheingross

University of Nevada Reno, Department of Geological Sciences and Engineering, Reno, NV, 89557, USA

*egroh@nevada.unr.edu

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Removal of Check Dams and Roads

The San Gabriel Mountains (SGM) contain check dams and other human-built structures that can be falsely identified as waterfalls using our automated method. We used slopeshade maps created from Lidar DEMs, as well as Google Earth imagery to identify check dams. Check dams had straight crests perpendicular to the channel (Fig. S1a) in contrast to waterfalls which often had arcuate planform shapes (Fig. S1b). Excluding the Santa Anita watershed, our automated method identified 56 straight-crested drops as waterfalls, and we were able to visually confirm the presence of concrete, human made structures for 53 of these cases using Google Earth (Fig. S1c). We removed both the 53 Google Earth confirmed concrete structures from our analyses, as well as the 3 structures we were not able to visually confirm in Google Earth, as the morphology of structures in both of these groups was similar in the Lidar DEMs (Table S1). In the main stem and tributaries to Santa Anita Canyon, there were greater than 40 structures that had a morphologic appearance consistent with a check dam; however, image resolution, image angle, and shading from trees prevented us from confirming these as concrete structures in Google Earth imagery. We removed all tributaries with suspected check dams in Santa Anita Canyon from our analysis, thereby limiting our database to cases where we had high confidence in separating waterfalls and check dams.

Cyclic Step Survey in the Ruby Mountains, NV

To explore cyclic step and autogenic waterfall formation at an intermediate scale between laboratory experiments and river channels, we surveyed cyclic steps eroded into granitic hillslopes near Harrison Pass in the Ruby Mountains, NV (Fig. S2, Table S3). These decimeter-scale steps formed in succession on hillslopes of varying slope and aspect, and had no water flow at the time of the survey. Most of the pool-like structures were partially filled with sand-sized sediment while the step-like structures had exposed bedrock. We used a tape measure to measure step height and pool length as a proxy for the spacing between steps (Fig. S2). To measure the

slope of each group of steps, we laid an inclinometer across the length of steps or, if the slope changed within the group, across sections with the same slope and averaged those values.

Influence of Threshold Values on Waterfall Metrics

We identify waterfalls using three threshold variables: a threshold drainage area set to 1 km² to identify the channel head, a threshold waterfall slope set to 30°, and a threshold step height set to 1.5 m. We evaluated the influence of these thresholds on our results by repeating our analyses and individually varying each of the three threshold values. We examined the impact of using a threshold drainage area of 2 km² and 0.5 km² (Fig. S3), a threshold step height of 1 m and 2 m (Fig. S4), and threshold step slope of 25° and 40° (Fig. S5). In all cases, changes to the threshold values do not result in large changes to our results, and do not change our primary interpretations (Figs. S3-5).

Statistical Comparison of Front Range and Big Tujunga Waterfall Morphology

We performed a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) statistical test comparing the distribution of waterfall spacing, waterfall height, and ratio of waterfall height to spacing for the Front Range and Big Tujunga (Fig. S6). The K-S test compares two datasets to test whether the datasets are from the same continuous distribution. The K-S test revealed that there was no statistically significant difference in the distribution of waterfall spacing between the Front Range and Big Tujunga watershed; however, waterfalls in the Big Tujunga tended to be slightly taller than in the Front Range (Fig. S6).




Figure S1: Slopeshade showing check dam on Arroyo Secco (A) and waterfall on Ybarra Canyon (B) in 1m² resolution Lidar. (C and D) Google Earth imagery showing visual confirmation of check dam and waterfall from panels (A) and (B), respectively. Channel-perpendicular width of check dam in panel (C) is 64 m for scale. Channel-perpendicular width of waterfall in panel (D) is 15 m for scale.




Figure S2: (A-D) Example photos showing cyclic steps formed via overland flow on granitic hillslopes in the Ruby Mountains, NV. Insert in panel (A) shows the location of the Ruby Mountains in Eastern Nevada. (E) Annotated photo defining how step height and spacing were measured for the Ruby Mountains cyclic step survey (See Table S3).




Figure S3: Plot of waterfall metrics versus slope varying threshold drainage area from (A) 2 km² to (B) 0.5 km² while holding threshold step height and slope constant at 1.5 m and 30°, respectively.




Figure S4: Plot of waterfall metrics versus slope varying threshold step height from (A) 1 m to (B) 2 m while holding threshold drainage area and slope constant at 1 km² and 30°, respectively.




Figure S5: Plot of waterfall metrics versus slope varying threshold slope from (A) 25° to (B) 40° while holding threshold step height and drainage area constant at 1.5 m and 1 km², respectively.






Figure S6: Distribution of waterfall morphology observed in the Front Range and Big Tujunga. (A) Waterfall unit length. (B) Waterfall height. (C) Waterfall height / Waterfall unit length. Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) statistical test results reported where $H = 1$ indicates the distributions are statistically distinct and $H = 0$ indicates the data are statistically indistinguishable at the $P < 0.05$ significance level.

TABLE S1. REMOVED CHECK DAMS AND ROADS

Watershed Name	Dam ID	UTM East	UTM North
Arroyo Secco	AS D1	391182.5	3789153.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D2	397158.5	3792298.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D3	397213.5	3792194.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D4	397199.5	3792182.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D5	397125.5	3791788.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D6	396806.5	3791938.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D7	396783.5	3791908.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D8	396631.5	3791837.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D9	396488.5	3791907.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D10	396282.5	3792010.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D11	396055.5	3792030.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D12	395849.5	3792132.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D13	395486.5	3792171.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D14	395286.5	3792264.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D15	394892.5	3792317.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D16	397547.5	3791581.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D17	397419.5	3791611.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D18	397226.5	3791664.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D19	396959.5	3791764.5
Arroyo Secco	AS D20	397091.5	3791463.5
Clear Creek	CL D1	392990.5	3792900.5
Dunsmore Canyon	D D1	385410.5	3791200.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D1	394009.5	3787600.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D2	393967.5	3787463.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D3	393875.5	3787185.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D4	393765.5	3787230.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D5	393476.5	3787153.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D6	393349.5	3787197.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D7	393236.5	3787107.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D8	393128.5	3786967.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D9	392974.5	3786967.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D10	392862.5	3786775.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D11	392791.5	3786674.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D12	392688.5	3786537.5
El Prieto Canyon	EL D13	392530.5	3786379.5
Fern Canyon	FE D1	392816.5	3788072.5
Fern Canyon	FE D2	392693.5	3787993.5
Fern Canyon	FE D3	392118.5	3786723.5
Fern Canyon	FE D4	392107.5	3786726.5
Fern Canyon	FE D5	392088.5	3786625.5
Fern Canyon	FE D6	391955.5	3786514.5
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA D1	403896.5	3782177.5
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA D2	403827.5	3781859.5
Millard Creek	M D1	392930.5	3786100.5

Pasadena Canyon	PA D1	400560.5	3782668.5
Pasadena Canyon	PA D2	400558.5	3782656.5
Pasadena Canyon	PA D3	400570.5	3782589.5
Pasadena Canyon	PA D4	400568.5	3782373.5
Sutton Canyon	SU D1	387323.5	3789680.5
Sutton Canyon	SU D2	387293.5	3789513.5
Sutton Canyon	SU D3	387211.5	3789321.5
Sutton Canyon	SU D4	387192.5	3789292.5
Sutton Canyon	SU D5	387142.5	3790518.5
Sutton Canyon	SU D6	387160.5	3790435.5
Sutton Canyon	SU D7	387229.5	3790131.5
Sutton Canyon	SU D8	387323.5	3790011.5

TABLE S2. CYCLIC STEP EXPERIMENTAL DATA

Slope*	L_U^{**} (m)	$H_{wf}^{\#}$ (m)	H_{wf} / L_U
<u>Brooks (2001)</u>			
0.16	0.52	0.04	0.08
0.20	0.52	0.05	0.09
0.24	0.26	0.04	0.14
0.29	0.19	0.04	0.21
0.15	0.39	0.03	0.07
0.15	0.40	0.06	0.14
0.16	0.27	0.04	0.13
0.20	0.31	0.03	0.11
0.20	0.27	0.05	0.17
0.25	0.27	0.04	0.14
0.25	0.27	0.05	0.18
0.25	0.32	0.05	0.14
0.29	0.41	0.06	0.14
0.36	0.31	0.07	0.23
0.15	0.28	0.03	0.11
0.20	0.29	0.04	0.13
0.25	0.30	0.06	0.19
0.25	0.37	0.06	0.17
0.30	0.34	0.08	0.23
0.30	0.36	0.07	0.20
<u>Ashida and Sawai (1977)</u>			
0.23	0.09	0.01	0.10
0.23	0.06	0.01	0.15
0.23	0.06	0.01	0.21
0.44	0.04	0.00	0.09
0.44	0.04	0.01	0.18
0.44	0.05	0.01	0.20
0.71	0.04	0.01	0.14
0.71	0.03	0.01	0.22
0.55	0.06	0.02	0.34
0.56	0.15	0.06	0.40
0.20	0.14	0.01	0.07
<u>Koyama and Ikeda (1998)</u>			
0.05	0.83	0.00	0.01
0.11	0.23	0.01	0.03
0.18	0.17	0.01	0.07
0.27	0.14	0.03	0.17
0.36	0.26	0.04	0.16
0.47	0.16	0.03	0.20
0.58	0.23	0.07	0.29
0.70	0.12	0.03	0.26
0.84	0.16	0.04	0.24

1.00	0.13	0.02	0.19
1.19	0.12	0.06	0.47
1.43	0.14	0.03	0.22
0.11	0.17	0.00	0.02
0.36	0.11	0.01	0.05
0.84	0.13	0.01	0.10
0.11	0.16	0.01	0.05
0.36	0.14	0.02	0.11
0.84	0.16	0.02	0.09
0.11	0.16	0.01	0.03
0.36	0.15	0.02	0.11
0.84	0.14	0.02	0.13

Scheingross et al. (2019)

0.20	0.37	0.15	0.40
------	------	------	------

Taki and Parker (2005)

0.04	1.30	0.03	0.02
0.04	0.75	0.04	0.05
0.03	1.35	0.04	0.03
0.04	1.07	0.04	0.04
0.05	0.99	0.04	0.04
0.06	0.64	0.03	0.04
0.05	0.63	0.03	0.05
0.05	0.57	0.05	0.09
0.06	0.74	0.03	0.04
0.05	0.95	0.02	0.03
0.06	0.65	0.03	0.05
0.05	0.79	0.03	0.04
0.04	1.02	0.02	0.02
0.09	1.24	0.02	0.02
0.09	1.20	0.03	0.03

Yokokawa et al. (2016)

0.09	0.45	0.03	0.07
0.09	0.58	0.02	0.03
0.09	0.37	0.03	0.08
0.36	0.45	0.04	0.09
0.09	1.25	0.02	0.02
0.09	0.47	0.04	0.08
0.36	0.34	0.06	0.18

Note: Each line is a separate experimental run with averaged values for that experiment.

*Slope represents the slope at which the flume was tilted.

** L_U is the waterfall unit length which was measured from hydraulic jump to hydraulic jump then averaged for each experimental run.

[#] H_{wf} is the waterfall height averaged for each experimental run.

TABLE S3. FIELD SURVEY FROM RUBY MOUNTAINS, NV CYCLIC STEP METRICS

Survey #	Section #*	Average slope of section**	Step #	Pool length [#]	Step height
		(degrees)		(cm)	(cm)
1	1	27.1	1	22	10
1	1	27.1	2	13.5	15
1	1	27.1	3	20.5	11.5
1	1	27.1	4	20	13.5
1	1	27.1	5	19.5	19
1	1	27.1	6	14.5	10
1	1	27.1	7	15	7
1	1	27.1	8	11.5	10.5
1	1	27.1	9	14.5	10
1	2	15.7	1	16.5	12
1	2	15.7	2	18.5	7
1	2	15.7	3	25.5	10.5
1	2	15.7	4	34	7
1	3	6.2	1	35	5
1	3	6.2	2	39	5.5
1	3	6.2	3	50	4
1	3	6.2	4	64	2
2	1	9.4	1	57.5	15.5
2	1	9.4	2	70	17
2	1	9.4	3	64	6.5
2	1	9.4	4	61	12.5
3	1	36.0	1	25.5	24
3	1	36.0	2	28	12
3	1	36.0	3	12	22
3	1	36.0	4	15	12
3	1	36.0	5	11	17
3	1	36.0	6	8	13
3	1	36.0	7	17	27
3	1	36.0	8	20	23
3	1	36.0	9	21	24
4	1	19.4	1	27	14
4	1	19.4	2	46	15
4	1	19.4	3	19.5	12
4	2	24.0	1	50	42
4	2	24.0	2	15	16.5
4	2	24.0	3	13	17
4	3	46.5	1	21	22.5
4	3	46.5	2	20	26
4	3	46.5	3	28	80
5	1	15.4	1	38	19
5	1	15.4	2	39	16.5
5	1	15.4	3	27	8

5	1	15.4	4	50	11
5	1	15.4	5	17.5	14
5	2	30.0	1	26	17
5	2	30.0	2	22	26
5	2	30.0	3	31	34
5	2	30.0	4	16.5	26.5
5	2	30.0	5	15	10
5	3	33.0	1	37	34
5	3	33.0	2	48	13
5	3	33.0	3	20	36
6	1	27.2	1	22	12
6	1	27.2	2	26	26.5
6	1	27.2	3	51	22
6	1	27.2	4	42	21
6	1	27.2	5	24	16
7	1	33.5	1	14.5	9.5
7	1	33.5	2	8	12.5
7	1	33.5	3	8.5	13
8	1	19.2	1	18	7
8	1	19.2	2	21	5
8	1	19.2	3	14.5	8
8	1	19.2	4	11.5	8
8	1	19.2	5	15	8.5
8	1	19.2	6	30.5	5
8	1	19.2	7	16	6.5
8	1	19.2	8	21	9
8	1	19.2	9	22	10
8	2	15.5	1	27	8.5
8	2	15.5	2	24.5	4
8	2	15.5	3	8.5	9
8	2	15.5	4	12	7
8	2	15.5	5	38	24
8	2	15.5	6	40	14.5
8	2	15.5	7	35	15
8	2	15.5	8	29	70
9	1	16.8	1	24	8.5
9	1	16.8	2	15	7
9	1	16.8	3	7.5	3.5
9	1	16.8	4	8	6.5
9	1	16.8	5	14	5
9	1	16.8	6	13.5	6
9	1	16.8	7	14	5
9	2	10.0	1	15	5
9	2	10.0	2	20	5
9	2	10.0	3	20	2.5
9	2	10.0	4	19.5	6.5
9	3	26.2	1	14	18

9	3	26.2	2	40	35
9	3	26.2	3	41	33
9	3	26.2	4	16.5	8.5
9	4	23.5	1	16	13
9	4	23.5	2	30	4.5
9	4	23.5	3	14	14
9	4	23.5	4	23	18
9	4	23.5	5	32	9
9	5	7.4	1	52	8
9	5	7.4	2	33	17
9	5	7.4	3	38	4.5
9	5	7.4	4	22	5
9	5	7.4	5	70	10.5
9	6	20.7	1	39	17
9	6	20.7	2	24	15.5
9	6	20.7	3	27	14
9	6	20.7	4	28	10.5
9	6	20.7	5	10	6
9	6	20.7	6	18	11

Note: Location of hillslope for surveys is 40.3030726,-115.5089211

*Surveys were split into sections where the slope changed within a group of steps.

**Slope was measured across the length of steps and across the hillslope beside the steps then averaged.

#Pool length was used as a proxy for the waterfall unit length and was measured from the base of the upstream step to the lip of the downstream step.

TABLE S4. SAN GABRIEL MOUTAINS, CA WATERFALL METRICS

Watershed Name	Waterfall ID	UTM East	UTM North	X _L [*]	X _B ^{**}	Z _L [#]	Z _B ^{##}	Drainage Area	Non- Dimensional Distance ^{###}	S _R ^{*#}	S _U ^{**#}	L _U ⁺	H _{Wf} ⁺⁺
				(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(km ²)				(m)	(m)
Arroyo Secco	AS1	396851.5	3789232.5	20909.1	20906.6	1217.8	1216.2	1.7	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Arroyo Secco	AS2	395543.5	3789651.5	18682.2	18680.2	1005.6	1003.7	4.4	0.8	0.09	0.10	2226.42	1.86
Arroyo Secco	AS3	395517.5	3789637.5	18637.0	18634.6	998.7	997.1	4.4	0.8	0.12	0.14	45.63	1.60
Arroyo Secco	AS4	392983.5	3790219.5	12533.9	12530.9	698.2	695.3	24.3	0.6	0.05	0.05	6103.69	2.84
Arroyo Secco	AS5	391184.5	3789151.5	5587.0	5580.1	526.8	512.7	36.9	0.3	0.02	0.03	6950.76	14.06
Arroyo Secco	AS6	397195.5	3792182.5	23847.0	23835.0	1250.2	1242.2	1.6	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Arroyo Secco	AS7	396800.5	3791932.5	22782.8	22757.4	1175.6	1159.9	1.7	0.9	0.06	0.08	1077.53	15.75
Arroyo Secco	AS8	395719.5	3793147.5	21919.5	21917.5	1182.7	1181.1	1.1	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Arroyo Secco	AS9	395623.5	3793073.5	21728.0	21723.6	1163.3	1156.5	1.6	1.0	0.09	0.13	193.95	6.83
Arroyo Secco	AS10	395630.5	3793056.5	21707.3	21704.4	1155.2	1153.5	1.6	1.0	0.08	0.15	19.14	1.64
Arroyo Secco	AS11	395653.5	3793029.5	21665.2	21662.2	1149.1	1146.7	1.6	1.0	0.11	0.16	42.21	2.35
Arroyo Secco	AS12	395645.5	3793018.5	21650.3	21646.5	1143.4	1140.2	1.6	1.0	0.28	0.42	15.73	3.25
Arroyo Secco	AS13	395557.5	3792935.5	21492.7	21490.3	1126.0	1123.9	1.6	1.0	0.09	0.10	156.20	2.08
Arroyo Secco	AS14	395568.5	3792956.5	21464.9	21462.9	1121.9	1119.1	1.6	1.0	0.08	0.18	27.38	2.82
Arroyo Secco	AS15	395460.5	3792878.5	21251.8	21249.4	1100.4	1098.4	1.6	1.0	0.09	0.10	213.55	1.94
Arroyo Secco	AS16	395466.5	3792856.5	21224.5	21222.1	1096.4	1094.5	1.6	1.0	0.08	0.15	27.31	1.90
Arroyo Secco	AS17	395325.5	3792758.5	20961.7	20959.7	1074.7	1072.8	2.6	0.9	0.08	0.08	262.39	1.85
Arroyo Secco	AS18	395614.5	3791137.5	18118.9	18113.9	1129.2	1121.9	1.1	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Arroyo Secco	AS19	395606.5	3791145.5	18105.2	18097.4	1120.0	1111.6	1.1	1.0	0.22	0.62	16.49	8.37
Arroyo Secco	AS20	395581.5	3791143.5	18073.2	18069.2	1108.6	1105.0	1.2	1.0	0.13	0.23	28.14	3.53
Arroyo Secco	AS21	395563.5	3791134.5	18050.9	18047.5	1102.6	1099.1	1.2	1.0	0.14	0.27	21.73	3.47
Arroyo Secco	AS22	395519.5	3791114.5	17995.5	17992.5	1090.3	1088.2	1.2	1.0	0.17	0.20	55.04	2.10
Arroyo Secco	AS23	395444.5	3791124.5	17908.9	17905.4	1071.5	1069.0	1.3	1.0	0.20	0.22	87.01	2.54
Arroyo Secco	AS24	395427.5	3791121.5	17888.1	17884.1	1067.1	1063.8	1.3	1.0	0.11	0.24	21.31	3.26
Arroyo Secco	AS25	395391.5	3791125.5	17840.1	17836.7	1055.5	1053.4	1.4	1.0	0.19	0.22	47.46	2.18
Arroyo Secco	AS26	395359.5	3791146.5	17795.9	17792.5	1043.0	1040.1	1.4	1.0	0.25	0.30	44.21	2.83
Arroyo Secco	AS27	394508.5	3790926.5	16601.4	16599.4	871.7	869.5	2.2	0.9	0.14	0.14	1193.07	2.16
Arroyo Secco	AS28	394195.5	3790713.5	16026.1	16023.7	812.0	809.7	2.5	0.9	0.10	0.10	575.74	2.24
Arroyo Secco	AS29	394182.5	3790709.5	16008.6	16006.2	807.6	806.1	2.5	0.9	0.14	0.21	17.49	1.56
Arroyo Secco	AS30	393895.5	3790298.5	15386.6	15379.9	822.5	817.8	1.1	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Arroyo Secco	AS31	393885.5	3790305.5	15373.7	15368.9	814.8	811.3	1.1	1.0	0.47	0.58	11.07	3.48
Arroyo Secco	AS32	393887.5	3790315.5	15359.8	15340.5	807.5	781.8	1.1	1.0	0.42	1.04	28.38	25.72
Arroyo Secco	AS33	392176.5	3791536.5	10823.6	10820.6	746.5	743.3	1.0	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Arroyo Secco	AS34	392171.5	3791534.5	10817.7	10814.3	742.1	738.5	1.0	1.0	0.42	0.78	6.24	3.65
Arroyo Secco	AS35	392159.5	3791511.5	10787.8	10782.5	735.1	727.6	1.2	1.0	0.13	0.34	31.80	7.45
Arroyo Secco	AS36	391993.5	3791125.5	10250.7	10247.3	678.3	675.8	1.4	0.9	0.09	0.10	535.22	2.50
Arroyo Secco	AS37	391666.5	3790710.5	9446.2	9436.6	609.2	598.8	3.9	0.9	0.08	0.10	810.75	10.44
Arroyo Secco	AS38	390671.5	3791113.5	10315.5	10312.5	740.8	738.4	1.4	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Arroyo Secco	AS39	390693.5	3791093.5	10226.5	10224.5	727.1	724.9	1.4	1.0	0.13	0.15	87.91	2.16
Arroyo Secco	AS40	390719.5	3791077.5	10172.6	10169.2	721.7	717.4	1.4	1.0	0.06	0.14	55.36	4.33
Arroyo Secco	AS41	390878.5	3790959.5	9847.4	9843.9	690.5	687.6	1.6	1.0	0.08	0.09	325.25	2.86
Arroyo Secco	AS42	391278.5	3790643.5	9057.4	9055.0	636.1	634.4	1.8	0.9	0.07	0.07	788.92	1.69
Arroyo Secco	AS43	391413.5	3790537.5	8815.3	8812.8	617.9	615.9	1.9	0.9	0.07	0.08	242.18	2.05
Arroyo Secco	AS44	391435.5	3790540.5	8783.0	8780.6	611.8	610.1	1.9	0.8	0.14	0.18	32.21	1.67
Arroyo Secco	AS45	391481.5	3790522.5	8715.9	8713.9	606.1	603.5	1.9	0.8	0.06	0.10	66.70	2.61
Arroyo Secco	AS46	391476.5	3790477.5	8662.5	8658.6	599.5	591.1	1.9	0.8	0.08	0.22	55.28	8.43
Arroyo Secco	AS47	391466.5	3790464.5	8636.7	8634.7	588.4	586.8	1.9	0.8	0.12	0.18	23.90	1.61
Arroyo Secco	AS48	391480.5	3790449.5	8612.4	8608.4	584.5	580.6	1.9	0.8	0.11	0.24	26.31	3.89
Arroyo Secco	AS49	391834.5	3790860.5	9781.1	9778.1	675.5	672.9	1.1	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Arroyo Secco	AS50	391834.5	3790853.5	9773.3	9763.4	672.2	650.3	1.1	1.0	0.14	1.54	14.66	21.89
Arroyo Secco	AS51	391832.5	3790831.5	9748.8	9741.4	649.1	639.4	1.1	1.0	0.08	0.50	22.07	9.73
Arroyo Secco	AS52	391831.5	3790797.5	9711.0	9701.6	636.8	629.3	1.1	0.9	0.08	0.26	39.73	7.59
Arroyo Secco	AS53	391853.5	3788973.5	5361.0	5355.2	548.8	543.4	1.3	0.9	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Arroyo Secco	AS54	391702.5	3788938.5	5140.8	5137.4	526.6	522.9	1.5	0.9	0.08	0.09	217.79	3.69
Bailey Canyon	BA1	402243.5	3782679.5	1120.8	1118.4	513.6	511.5	1.0	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Bailey Canyon	BA2	402242.5	3782675.5	1115.6	1106.9	510.4	499.1	1.0	1.0	0.38	1.08	11.49	11.29
Bailey Canyon	BA3	402232.5	3782667.5	1099.7	1095.2	498.5	493.5	1.0	1.0	0.08	0.48	11.66	5.06
Bailey Canyon	BA4	402196.5	3782642.5	1051.5	1047.7	486.7	481.6	1.1	0.9	0.16	0.25	47.53	5.08
Bailey Canyon	BA5	402203.5	3782585.5	978.3	974.9	469.2	465.6	1.1	0.9	0.18	0.22	72.84	3.61
Bailey Canyon	BA6	402208.5	3782561.5	950.6	947.7	462.6	460.7	1.1	0.8	0.12	0.18	27.14	1.96
Bailey Canyon	BA7	402156.5	3782482.5	835.3	831.9	450.9	444.6	1.1	0.7	0.09	0.14	115.81	6.29
Bailey Canyon	BA8	402129.5	3782451.5	790.2	785.4	440.2	431.1	1.1	0.7	0.11	0.29	46.53	9.07
Bryant Canyon	BR1	383047.5	3794910.5	1644.9	1641.1	694.8	692.5	1.3	0.7	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Bryant Canyon	BR2	383038.5	3794914.5	1634.3	1632.3	691.9	689.4	1.3	0.7	0.09	0.35	8.83	2.46
Bryant Canyon	BR3	383008.5	3794909.5	1601.4	1598.0	686.1	682.6	1.3	0.7	0.11	0.20	34.31	3.42
Clear Creek	CL1	392990.5	3792943.5	5171.1	5165.9	1020.6	1015.9	1.3	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Clear Creek	CL2	392722.5	3792876.5	4840.8	4838.4	985.3	983.2	1.4	0.9	0.09	0.10	327.49	2.05
Clear Creek	CL3	392655.5	3792951.5	4696.9	4693.9	970.0	967.7	1.9	0.9	0.09	0.11	144.47	2.35
Clear Creek	CL4	392500.5	3793188.5	4224.3	4220.3	930.6	925.5	2.8	0.8	0.08	0.09	473.62	5.04
Clear Creek	CL5	391482.5	3793448.5	2807.6	2804.2	810.5	806.2	4.9	0.5	0.08	0.08	1416.14	4.21
Clear Creek	CL6	390642.5	3793865.5	1338.0	1335.6	715.2	713.4	7.0	0.2	0.06	0.06	1468.55	1.81
Clear Creek	CL7	390428.5	3793857.5	1061.7	1058.7	692.6	690.2	7.1	0.2	0.08	0.08	276.94	2.35
Coldwater Canyon	COL1	397308.5	3796873.5	265.1	263.1	974.3	972.4	1.9	0.1	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Cooks Canyon Channel	CO1	384482.5	3790895.5	561.1	558.6	753.0	748.8	1.2	0.5	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.

Cooks Canyon Channel	CO2	384383.5	3790873.5	428.5	425.1	735.1	731.0	1.3	0.4	0.10	0.13	133.54	4.15
Cooks Canyon Channel	CO3	384296.5	3790903.5	293.1	290.1	721.1	717.6	1.3	0.2	0.07	0.10	134.95	3.50
Cooks Canyon Channel	CO4	384136.5	3790814.5	66.9	63.9	703.2	700.4	1.4	0.1	0.06	0.08	226.28	2.80
Eaton Wash	EA1	399508.5	3787976.5	10486.2	10483.8	1116.2	1114.2	1.3	0.9	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Eaton Wash	EA2	399507.5	3787929.5	10430.5	10425.2	1105.7	1100.8	1.6	0.9	0.16	0.23	58.53	4.83
Eaton Wash	EA3	399595.5	3787739.5	10181.7	10179.7	1071.0	1069.3	1.8	0.9	0.12	0.13	245.59	1.72
Eaton Wash	EA4	400050.5	3786843.5	8863.6	8861.6	927.6	925.8	2.5	0.8	0.11	0.11	1318.02	1.75
Eaton Wash	EA5	400101.5	3785894.5	7543.3	7540.9	808.0	805.8	7.4	0.7	0.09	0.09	1320.71	2.16
Eaton Wash	EA6	399632.5	3785291.5	6112.8	6109.4	705.0	702.3	12.5	0.6	0.07	0.07	1431.52	2.72
Eaton Wash	EA7	399543.5	3785314.5	6002.6	5999.8	696.2	694.2	12.6	0.5	0.06	0.07	109.64	1.98
Eaton Wash	EA8	399539.5	3785312.5	5997.8	5995.8	693.7	691.9	12.6	0.5	0.24	0.57	4.00	1.80
Eaton Wash	EA9	399440.5	3785354.5	5829.3	5826.9	680.5	678.0	12.6	0.5	0.07	0.08	168.85	2.53
Eaton Wash	EA10	399406.5	3785421.5	5715.6	5708.8	668.9	661.6	12.7	0.5	0.08	0.14	118.12	7.32
Eaton Wash	EA11	399381.5	3785414.5	5667.3	5664.9	657.4	655.2	12.7	0.5	0.10	0.15	43.87	2.20
Eaton Wash	EA12	399371.5	3785394.5	5640.4	5632.1	653.2	641.2	12.7	0.5	0.08	0.43	32.80	11.96
Eaton Wash	EA13	399346.5	3785377.5	5605.4	5603.4	638.7	637.0	12.7	0.5	0.09	0.15	28.73	1.72
Eaton Wash	EA14	399069.5	3784744.5	4468.2	4465.2	569.8	567.8	14.9	0.4	0.06	0.06	1138.18	2.02
Eaton Wash	EA15	399006.5	3784874.5	4270.4	4268.4	553.9	551.3	14.9	0.4	0.07	0.08	196.79	2.57
Eaton Wash	EA16	398969.5	3784927.5	4192.3	4189.9	546.2	544.0	14.9	0.4	0.07	0.09	78.50	2.21
Eaton Wash	EA17	398747.5	3784823.5	3739.6	3737.6	518.3	516.2	15.1	0.3	0.06	0.06	452.35	2.12
Eaton Wash	EA18	398441.5	3784832.5	3110.9	3108.5	486.2	484.5	15.8	0.3	0.05	0.05	629.07	1.76
Eaton Wash	EA19	398369.5	3784756.5	2940.2	2933.2	473.9	462.3	15.9	0.3	0.06	0.13	175.30	11.57
Eaton Wash	EA20	398425.5	3784496.5	2202.6	2196.2	426.9	414.4	16.2	0.2	0.05	0.07	737.01	12.52
Eaton Wash	EA21	399058.5	3786927.5	9959.8	9956.8	1084.1	1082.1	2.0	0.9	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Eaton Wash	EA22	399442.5	3786558.5	8960.2	8958.2	994.2	992.2	2.5	0.9	0.09	0.09	998.63	1.95
Eaton Wash	EA23	399831.5	3786130.5	7935.2	7931.2	903.3	899.3	2.8	0.8	0.09	0.09	1026.95	3.92
Eaton Wash	EA24	399779.5	3785979.5	7700.2	7695.8	882.2	877.5	2.9	0.7	0.07	0.09	235.42	4.76
Eaton Wash	EA25	399787.5	3785974.5	7689.0	7686.5	876.3	874.5	2.9	0.7	0.18	0.32	9.24	1.75
Eaton Wash	EA26	399861.5	3785909.5	7561.0	7559.0	857.2	854.8	2.9	0.7	0.14	0.15	127.54	2.37
Eaton Wash	EA27	399886.5	3785903.5	7527.0	7524.6	851.4	849.8	2.9	0.7	0.11	0.15	34.38	1.56
Eaton Wash	EA28	399910.5	3785908.5	7497.1	7494.1	847.0	844.1	2.9	0.7	0.10	0.19	30.56	2.92
Eaton Wash	EA29	399951.5	3785898.5	7447.4	7439.8	836.2	822.5	3.0	0.7	0.17	0.40	54.28	13.71
Eaton Wash	EA30	399981.5	3785879.5	7408.4	7403.6	815.8	809.6	3.0	0.7	0.21	0.36	36.21	6.22
Eaton Wash	EA31	399991.5	3785867.5	7391.7	7387.8	808.2	804.4	3.0	0.7	0.11	0.33	15.73	3.80
Eaton Wash	EA32	400001.5	3785851.5	7368.1	7366.1	802.0	800.3	3.0	0.7	0.12	0.19	21.73	1.71
Eaton Wash	EA33	400007.5	3785841.5	7355.6	7353.6	799.1	797.4	3.0	0.7	0.12	0.24	12.49	1.73
Eaton Wash	EA34	400012.5	3785833.5	7344.4	7342.4	797.1	795.2	3.0	0.7	0.03	0.20	11.24	1.89
Eaton Wash	EA35	400050.5	3786209.5	9148.1	9145.1	1009.5	1007.4	1.6	0.9	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Eaton Wash	EA36	400866.5	3786188.5	9116.1	9113.7	1002.7	1000.9	1.6	0.9	0.16	0.21	31.38	1.83
Eaton Wash	EA37	400761.5	3786165.5	8798.5	8795.5	965.0	962.4	1.7	0.9	0.11	0.12	318.19	2.64
Eaton Wash	EA38	400562.5	3786202.5	8462.2	8457.2	929.6	924.4	1.7	0.9	0.10	0.11	338.29	5.17
Eaton Wash	EA39	400534.5	3786264.5	8369.2	8367.2	913.1	911.3	1.9	0.9	0.13	0.15	90.01	1.80
Eaton Wash	EA40	400459.5	3786259.5	8264.4	8262.0	899.8	897.9	1.9	0.9	0.11	0.13	105.23	1.92
Eaton Wash	EA41	400458.5	3786251.5	8253.8	8250.3	896.8	893.1	1.9	0.9	0.13	0.41	11.66	3.65
Eaton Wash	EA42	400370.5	3786145.5	8078.5	8075.5	876.8	875.0	1.9	0.8	0.09	0.10	174.82	1.83
Eaton Wash	EA43	400352.5	3786169.5	8042.1	8037.7	871.2	867.2	1.9	0.8	0.11	0.21	37.80	4.06
Eaton Wash	EA44	400337.5	3786181.5	8019.8	8013.4	863.6	850.9	1.9	0.8	0.20	0.67	24.31	12.76
Eaton Wash	EA45	400282.5	3786170.5	7951.3	7949.3	843.7	841.9	1.9	0.8	0.11	0.14	64.11	1.85
Eaton Wash	EA46	400433.5	3785804.5	7808.4	7799.0	854.4	844.3	1.1	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Eaton Wash	EA47	400430.5	3785814.5	7796.6	7794.2	843.3	841.4	1.1	1.0	0.41	0.60	4.83	1.93
El Prieto Canyon	EL1	394009.5	3787600.5	3742.5	3738.1	692.9	689.8	1.1	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
El Prieto Canyon	EL2	393967.5	3787463.5	3582.3	3578.5	669.6	665.7	1.2	0.9	0.13	0.15	159.61	3.83
Fall Creek	F1	393154.5	3798486.5	2663.6	2659.6	1008.8	1003.0	2.1	0.8	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Fall Creek	F2	393157.5	3798481.5	2656.7	2652.9	1001.7	991.8	2.1	0.8	0.44	1.68	6.66	9.95
Fall Creek	F3	393169.5	3798447.5	2613.0	2605.6	986.1	975.0	2.1	0.8	0.14	0.35	47.28	11.08
Fall Creek	F4	393099.5	3798280.5	2388.3	2385.3	953.6	951.5	2.4	0.7	0.10	0.11	220.31	2.14
Fall Creek	F5	392939.5	3797466.5	1198.7	1196.3	869.0	867.1	3.5	0.3	0.07	0.07	1189.00	1.85
Fall Creek	F6	392921.5	3797386.5	1098.2	1095.3	861.7	860.1	3.5	0.3	0.05	0.07	100.98	1.67
Fall Creek	F7	392935.5	3797372.5	1077.2	1071.8	854.7	850.3	3.5	0.3	0.29	0.42	23.56	4.46
Fall Creek	F8	392948.5	3797349.5	1046.5	1033.2	848.6	831.9	3.5	0.3	0.07	0.48	38.56	16.64
Fall Creek	F9	392953.5	3797333.5	1028.4	1025.0	829.7	827.3	3.5	0.3	0.46	0.55	8.24	2.36
Fall Creek	F10	392959.5	3797327.5	1018.7	1013.9	824.9	818.4	3.5	0.3	0.39	0.81	11.07	6.52
Fall Creek	F11	392881.5	3796773.5	150.8	145.4	775.1	765.4	4.2	0.0	0.05	0.06	868.55	9.68
Fall Creek	F12	392884.5	3796757.5	130.5	122.6	763.4	752.5	4.2	0.0	0.14	0.57	22.73	10.83
Fall Creek	F13	392889.5	3796746.5	114.0	110.0	752.0	745.7	4.2	0.0	0.06	0.54	12.66	6.31
Fall Creek	F14	392894.5	3796727.5	88.7	79.8	744.6	722.9	4.2	0.0	0.05	0.76	30.14	21.71
Falls Canyon	FA1	400724.5	3789761.5	1487.9	1485.5	1214.5	1212.9	1.2	0.9	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Falls Canyon	FA2	400875.5	3790275.5	820.2	817.7	1129.2	1127.7	1.8	0.5	0.13	0.13	667.78	1.51
Falls Canyon	FA3	400905.5	3790286.5	782.5	773.3	1124.1	1116.4	1.8	0.5	0.10	0.25	44.46	7.78
Fern Canyon	FE1	392574.5	3787922.5	2210.6	2206.6	607.0	604.7	1.7	0.8	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Fox Creek	FO1	391430.5	3797424.5	2495.4	2493.4	903.5	901.8	8.8	0.3	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Fox Creek	FO2	391463.5	3797390.5	2438.5	2431.7	896.5	884.2	8.8	0.3	0.10	0.29	61.77	12.28
Fox Creek	FO3	391481.5	3797388.5	2418.0	2416.0	883.6	881.1	8.8	0.3	0.04	0.20	15.66	2.56
Fox Creek	FO4	391495.5	3797398.5	2397.0	2393.5	879.1	872.6	8.8	0.3	0.10	0.38	22.49	6.47
Fox Creek	FO5	391501.5	3797417.5	2374.1	2371.1	871.6	867.9	8.8	0.3	0.05	0.21	22.49	3.71
Fox Creek	FO6	391559.5	3797458.5	2287.3	2275.8	861.7	833.7	8.8	0.3	0.07	0.36	95.23	28.04
Fox Creek	FO7	391579.5	3797472.5	2259.8	2254.5	833.0	826.7	8.8	0.3	0.04	0.33	21.31	6.24
Fox Creek	FO8	391595.5	3797478.5	2239.9	2236.4	825.9	822.9	8.8	0.3	0.06	0.21	18.07	3.02
Fox Creek	FO9	391606.5	3797484.5	2226.4	2221.5	821.3	816.6	8.8	0.3	0.15	0.42	14.90	4.71
Fox Creek	FO10	391611.5	3797487.5	2220.1	2216.7	816.1	812.5	8.8	0.3	0.39	0.85	4.83	3.56

Fox Creek	FO11	391529.5	3796512.5	534.4	527.7	726.3	709.6	10.5	0.1	0.05	0.06	1688.97	16.78
Fusier Canyon	FU1	389098.5	3796049.5	3469.4	3465.9	925.5	922.6	1.2	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Fusier Canyon	FU2	389096.5	3796043.5	3461.9	3459.9	922.0	920.4	1.2	1.0	0.16	0.37	6.00	1.56
Fusier Canyon	FU3	388801.5	3795524.5	2555.2	2549.9	852.5	849.0	1.5	0.7	0.08	0.08	909.99	3.49
Fusier Canyon	FU4	388793.5	3795533.5	2542.3	2536.0	848.5	843.2	1.5	0.7	0.06	0.42	13.90	5.29
Fusier Canyon	FU5	388753.5	3795515.5	2468.7	2460.4	838.7	831.6	1.6	0.7	0.07	0.15	75.60	7.13
Fusier Canyon	FU6	388750.5	3795507.5	2459.4	2453.4	831.1	821.5	1.6	0.7	0.52	1.44	7.00	9.55
Fusier Canyon	FU7	388748.5	3795399.5	2307.3	2303.5	811.0	807.8	1.6	0.7	0.07	0.09	149.95	3.22
Fusier Canyon	FU8	388819.5	3795323.5	2142.6	2139.6	798.5	795.6	1.6	0.6	0.06	0.07	163.85	2.84
Fusier Canyon	FU9	388854.5	3795241.5	1988.2	1985.8	787.3	784.8	1.7	0.6	0.06	0.07	153.85	2.51
Fusier Canyon	FU10	388608.5	3795129.5	1343.2	1338.4	749.8	743.6	1.7	0.4	0.05	0.06	647.38	6.29
Grizzly Creek	G1	389088.5	3792773.5	1129.9	1125.7	762.8	759.8	1.3	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Grizzly Creek	G2	389009.5	3792895.5	961.7	959.3	744.8	742.5	1.7	0.8	0.09	0.10	166.41	2.30
Grizzly Creek	G3	388994.5	3792909.5	938.6	935.1	739.1	736.7	1.8	0.8	0.16	0.24	24.14	2.44
Grizzly Creek	G4	388964.5	3792938.5	888.1	886.1	733.1	731.4	1.8	0.8	0.08	0.11	49.04	1.69
Grizzly Creek	G5	388948.5	3792948.5	864.8	862.0	728.9	727.2	1.8	0.7	0.12	0.17	24.14	1.64
Grizzly Creek	G6	388936.5	3792970.5	837.8	831.6	723.9	719.6	1.8	0.7	0.14	0.25	30.38	4.35
Grizzly Creek	G7	388886.5	3793002.5	770.0	766.6	706.9	704.2	1.8	0.7	0.21	0.24	65.01	2.68
Grizzly Creek	G8	388666.5	3793194.5	384.7	380.7	661.2	658.5	2.0	0.3	0.11	0.12	385.85	2.75
Grizzly Creek	G9	388653.5	3793200.5	369.2	359.2	654.8	639.2	2.0	0.3	0.32	0.89	21.56	15.58
Grizzly Creek	G10	388650.5	3793239.5	316.9	314.1	633.9	631.7	2.9	0.3	0.13	0.17	45.04	2.17
Grotto Creek	GR1	397047.5	3797035.5	122.6	120.2	952.5	950.4	1.4	0.3	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Grotto Creek	GR2	397055.5	3797057.5	95.0	85.4	946.1	938.1	1.4	0.2	0.17	0.36	34.80	7.98
Haines Canyon	H1	383686.5	3792400.5	1859.9	1857.1	850.5	846.6	2.3	0.6	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Haines Canyon	H2	383518.5	3792372.5	1654.0	1650.6	829.3	825.1	2.3	0.5	0.09	0.10	206.51	4.22
Haines Canyon	H3	383195.5	3792398.5	1245.8	1243.8	782.7	780.2	2.5	0.4	0.10	0.11	406.75	2.41
Haines Canyon	H4	382807.5	3791895.5	474.1	472.7	711.3	709.4	3.5	0.1	0.09	0.09	771.16	1.88
Haines Canyon	H5	382803.5	3791885.5	460.8	459.3	708.0	706.0	3.5	0.1	0.12	0.26	13.31	1.96
Haines Canyon	H6	382722.5	3791824.5	340.9	338.5	699.7	697.6	3.6	0.1	0.05	0.07	120.88	2.11
Haines Canyon	H7	382710.5	3791829.5	324.6	322.6	695.8	693.7	3.6	0.1	0.13	0.25	15.90	2.14
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA1	402857.5	3785087.5	6839.2	6835.4	974.5	972.3	1.1	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA2	403049.5	3784457.5	5913.2	5909.8	851.5	847.8	1.7	0.9	0.13	0.13	925.57	3.70
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA3	403049.5	3784446.5	5898.3	5895.9	846.5	844.6	1.7	0.9	0.11	0.23	13.90	1.93
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA4	403490.5	3784035.5	5118.5	5115.1	766.5	764.3	3.1	0.7	0.10	0.10	780.78	2.16
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA5	403884.5	3783554.5	4225.6	4221.2	680.7	677.1	4.7	0.6	0.09	0.10	893.96	3.65
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA6	403881.5	3783492.5	4149.5	4147.1	670.6	668.4	4.8	0.6	0.09	0.12	74.11	2.19
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA7	403609.5	3782987.5	3126.9	3124.0	577.8	576.0	5.4	0.5	0.09	0.09	1023.02	1.79
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA8	403679.5	3782945.5	3004.3	2996.9	563.7	556.3	5.5	0.4	0.10	0.15	127.15	7.35
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA9	403680.5	3782922.5	2974.7	2970.9	552.5	548.2	5.5	0.4	0.17	0.31	25.97	4.31
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA10	403697.5	3782896.5	2940.3	2938.3	545.7	544.2	5.5	0.4	0.08	0.12	32.63	1.52
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA11	403698.5	3782882.5	2923.6	2920.2	541.8	538.8	5.5	0.4	0.17	0.30	18.07	3.01
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA12	403706.5	3782850.5	2887.5	2881.7	535.3	527.2	5.5	0.4	0.11	0.30	38.56	8.10
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA13	403703.5	3782826.5	2861.4	2857.0	524.0	518.4	5.5	0.4	0.16	0.36	24.66	5.66
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA14	403709.5	3782757.5	2780.2	2777.8	507.5	505.7	5.6	0.4	0.14	0.16	79.18	1.79
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA15	403721.5	3782715.5	2730.0	2724.1	499.6	494.2	5.6	0.4	0.13	0.21	53.70	5.43
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA16	403675.5	3782677.5	2660.7	2656.7	484.7	480.6	5.6	0.4	0.15	0.20	67.43	4.06
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA17	403690.5	3782584.5	2542.7	2539.9	463.6	461.7	5.7	0.4	0.15	0.16	116.81	1.92
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA18	403700.5	3782459.5	2357.4	2354.0	442.1	437.6	5.7	0.3	0.11	0.13	185.89	4.54
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA19	403870.5	3782431.5	1938.2	1936.2	394.1	391.3	5.8	0.3	0.10	0.11	417.79	2.85
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA20	403907.5	3782490.5	1860.7	1854.9	384.4	379.3	5.8	0.3	0.09	0.15	81.33	5.10
Little Santa Anita Wash	LSA21	403896.5	3782176.5	1369.7	1365.7	340.2	336.1	6.4	0.2	0.08	0.09	489.19	4.12
Lukens Canyon	L1	386340.5	3794259.5	750.3	732.5	770.1	746.7	1.1	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Lukens Canyon	L2	386346.5	3794281.5	725.2	718.8	745.2	732.7	1.1	0.9	0.22	1.03	13.66	12.50
Lukens Canyon	L3	386385.5	3794324.5	654.4	649.6	715.9	712.2	1.1	0.8	0.26	0.30	69.18	3.65
Lukens Canyon	L4	386389.5	3794330.5	646.8	644.4	710.6	708.7	1.1	0.8	0.56	0.67	5.24	1.92
Lukens Canyon	L5	386400.5	3794340.5	629.3	622.6	702.8	693.2	1.1	0.8	0.39	0.71	21.73	9.61
Lukens Canyon	L6	386436.5	3794371.5	573.2	568.9	684.6	681.6	1.1	0.7	0.17	0.22	53.70	2.94
Lukens Canyon	L7	386450.5	3794398.5	540.4	530.3	676.5	664.0	1.1	0.7	0.18	0.46	38.63	12.47
Lukens Canyon	L8	386462.5	3794415.5	517.8	513.4	661.2	656.2	1.2	0.7	0.23	0.46	16.90	4.99
Lukens Canyon	L9	386469.5	3794429.5	500.9	496.5	654.8	649.7	1.2	0.6	0.12	0.39	16.90	5.08
Lukens Canyon	L10	386476.5	3794440.5	486.4	480.6	647.3	636.5	1.2	0.6	0.24	0.83	15.90	10.81
Lukens Canyon	L11	386483.5	3794456.5	466.7	461.9	634.0	623.6	1.2	0.6	0.17	0.69	18.73	10.48
Millard Creek	M1	395836.5	3787997.5	6630.7	6628.7	948.5	946.7	2.7	0.8	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Millard Creek	M2	395980.5	3787850.5	6340.2	6337.8	917.5	915.4	2.8	0.8	0.10	0.11	290.88	2.15
Millard Creek	M3	395961.5	3787734.5	6137.6	6134.6	889.2	886.5	2.8	0.8	0.13	0.14	203.17	2.79
Millard Creek	M4	395963.5	3787701.5	6097.7	6094.8	882.6	880.8	3.0	0.8	0.11	0.14	39.80	1.75
Millard Creek	M5	395659.5	3787359.5	5491.3	5488.3	801.7	799.5	3.4	0.7	0.13	0.13	606.51	2.27
Millard Creek	M6	395669.5	3787352.5	5475.2	5473.2	797.6	796.0	3.4	0.7	0.15	0.23	15.07	1.56
Millard Creek	M7	395671.5	3787338.5	5458.8	5455.3	795.6	792.6	3.4	0.7	0.03	0.19	17.90	3.00
Millard Creek	M8	395665.5	3787313.5	5429.0	5425.0	789.9	786.4	3.4	0.7	0.10	0.20	30.31	3.49
Millard Creek	M9	395661.5	3787286.5	5397.9	5394.9	778.9	776.9	3.5	0.7	0.28	0.32	30.14	2.01
Millard Creek	M10	395664.5	3787280.5	5390.1	5387.6	775.9	773.9	3.5	0.7	0.20	0.42	7.24	2.07
Millard Creek	M11	394834.5	3787038.5	3745.1	3742.7	647.5	645.1	5.1	0.5	0.08	0.08	1644.97	2.37
Millard Creek	M12	394831.5	3787036.5	3741.3	3735.0	644.1	627.8	5.1	0.5	0.67	2.26	7.66	16.34
Pasadena Canyon	PA1	401055.5	3783873.5	2803.9	2801.9	683.1	681.0	1.4	0.9	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Pasadena Canyon	PA2	401019.5	3783823.5	2726.7	2721.5	673.7	668.9	1.4	0.9	0.10	0.15	80.40	4.83
Pasadena Canyon	PA3	401011.5	3783814.5	2713.8	2704.8	667.0	654.4	1.4	0.9	0.25	0.87	16.66	12.62
Pasadena Canyon	PA4	401012.5	3783800.5	2699.4	2696.4	654.1	651.8	1.4	0.8	0.04	0.31	8.41	2.36
Pasadena Canyon	PA5	401010.5	3783793.5	2691.6	2686.6	651.1	645.2	1.4	0.8	0.15	0.67	9.83	5.88
Pasadena Canyon	PA6	400946.5	3783723.5	2580.5	2578.5	635.6	633.2	1.5	0.8	0.09	0.11	108.05	2.42

Pasadena Canyon	PA7	400799.5	3783519.5	2263.6	2261.6	594.9	593.3	1.7	0.7	0.12	0.13	316.89	1.58
Pasadena Canyon	PA8	400832.5	3783486.5	2211.7	2207.3	588.5	583.1	1.7	0.7	0.10	0.19	54.36	5.35
Pasadena Canyon	PA9	400825.5	3783425.5	2059.9	2057.1	569.3	566.8	1.7	0.6	0.09	0.11	150.20	2.48
Pasadena Canyon	PA10	400826.5	3783421.5	2054.7	2049.4	565.8	561.4	1.8	0.6	0.44	0.71	7.66	4.38
Pasadena Canyon	PA11	400806.5	3783340.5	1953.1	1950.7	553.5	551.2	1.8	0.6	0.08	0.10	98.74	2.27
Pasadena Canyon	PA12	400814.5	3783312.5	1919.0	1914.7	548.1	544.5	1.8	0.6	0.10	0.19	35.97	3.59
Pasadena Canyon	PA13	400876.5	3783292.5	1832.0	1829.0	536.3	533.8	1.8	0.6	0.10	0.12	85.77	2.53
Pasadena Canyon	PA14	400898.5	3783253.5	1775.5	1772.5	528.8	524.9	1.9	0.6	0.09	0.16	56.46	3.96
Pasadena Canyon	PA15	400844.5	3783217.5	1682.0	1679.6	517.5	515.4	2.0	0.5	0.08	0.10	92.91	2.10
Pasadena Canyon	PA16	400759.5	3783193.5	1558.0	1554.6	506.6	501.6	2.0	0.5	0.07	0.11	124.95	5.02
Pasadena Canyon	PA17	400734.5	3783190.5	1525.9	1522.5	499.1	493.8	2.0	0.5	0.09	0.24	32.14	5.23
Pasadena Canyon	PA18	400712.5	3783190.5	1496.7	1494.3	488.4	485.5	2.0	0.5	0.21	0.30	28.21	2.91
Pasadena Canyon	PA19	400646.5	3783095.5	1353.9	1351.5	464.3	462.2	2.0	0.4	0.15	0.16	142.78	2.15
Pasadena Canyon	PA20	400605.5	3783040.5	1264.8	1258.5	448.0	442.1	2.0	0.4	0.16	0.22	92.98	5.85
Pasadena Canyon	PA21	400567.5	3782372.5	462.0	459.6	380.0	378.4	2.2	0.1	0.08	0.08	798.90	1.65
Pipe Canyon	P1	381889.5	3795154.5	471.2	468.8	529.2	527.3	1.4	0.3	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Pipe Canyon	P2	381886.5	3795159.5	464.9	460.5	526.2	521.5	1.4	0.3	0.28	0.70	8.24	4.73
Pipe Canyon	P3	381875.5	3795246.5	258.7	253.5	496.2	491.9	1.4	0.2	0.13	0.14	207.04	4.28
Pipe Canyon	P4	381675.5	3795288.5	6.2	2.4	464.0	460.9	1.5	0.0	0.11	0.12	251.08	3.10
Rubio Canyon	R1	397160.5	3786080.5	1743.8	1741.8	798.6	796.8	1.3	0.7	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Rubio Canyon	R2	397176.5	3786037.5	1691.7	1688.7	792.5	789.5	1.3	0.7	0.09	0.14	53.11	3.07
Rubio Canyon	R3	397332.5	3785955.5	1446.2	1441.8	757.6	753.3	1.4	0.6	0.13	0.15	246.89	4.35
Rubio Canyon	R4	397280.5	3785907.5	1354.7	1352.3	741.2	738.8	2.2	0.6	0.14	0.16	89.50	2.41
Rubio Canyon	R5	397273.5	3785899.5	1342.6	1340.2	736.9	735.3	2.2	0.6	0.20	0.29	12.07	1.58
Rubio Canyon	R6	397230.5	3785830.5	1242.5	1231.3	723.3	699.8	2.3	0.5	0.12	0.33	108.91	23.47
Rubio Canyon	R7	397226.5	3785810.5	1220.0	1214.6	699.5	692.8	2.3	0.5	0.03	0.42	16.66	6.67
Rubio Canyon	R8	397225.5	3785790.5	1195.7	1192.3	689.0	685.2	2.3	0.5	0.20	0.34	22.31	3.78
Rubio Canyon	R9	397179.5	3785776.5	1128.1	1123.3	676.5	671.6	2.3	0.5	0.14	0.20	69.01	4.89
Rubio Canyon	R10	397173.5	3785770.5	1117.9	1113.9	670.4	662.6	2.3	0.5	0.23	0.96	9.41	7.77
Rubio Canyon	R11	397152.5	3785726.5	1063.2	1059.2	653.3	644.9	2.3	0.5	0.18	0.32	54.70	8.34
Rubio Canyon	R12	397151.5	3785716.5	1051.4	1047.4	643.2	638.9	2.3	0.5	0.22	0.51	11.83	4.31
Rush Creek	RU1	403087.5	3789368.5	911.5	905.5	1063.9	1057.6	1.0	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Rush Creek	RU2	403134.5	3789405.5	828.2	810.1	1047.2	1030.2	1.0	0.9	0.13	0.29	95.43	17.06
Santa Anita Wash	SA1	409398.5	3785977.5	4797.6	4794.2	1229.1	1226.4	1.0	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Santa Anita Wash	SA2	409344.5	3785882.5	4658.7	4655.7	1204.0	1201.6	1.3	1.0	0.17	0.18	138.47	2.37
Santa Anita Wash	SA3	409231.5	3785895.5	4519.1	4516.1	1176.3	1173.6	1.3	0.9	0.19	0.20	139.64	2.67
Santa Anita Wash	SA4	409188.5	3785896.5	4469.9	4467.9	1164.3	1162.8	1.6	0.9	0.20	0.22	48.21	1.51
Santa Anita Wash	SA5	409096.5	3785870.5	4357.3	4351.0	1148.7	1141.9	1.6	0.9	0.13	0.18	116.81	6.82
Santa Anita Wash	SA6	409067.5	3785859.5	4323.1	4320.1	1139.5	1137.1	1.6	0.9	0.09	0.16	30.90	2.46
Santa Anita Wash	SA7	408286.5	3785723.5	3167.0	3163.6	993.6	990.6	3.0	0.7	0.12	0.13	1156.51	3.00
Santa Anita Wash	SA8	408062.5	3785921.5	2626.1	2623.1	927.2	924.8	3.3	0.5	0.12	0.12	540.53	2.31
Santa Anita Wash	SA9	407298.5	3786129.5	1565.5	1562.1	821.2	818.2	4.2	0.3	0.10	0.10	1061.03	3.03
Santa Anita Wash	SA10	407217.5	3786171.5	1458.0	1454.2	801.6	798.9	4.2	0.3	0.16	0.18	107.88	2.71
Santa Anita Wash	SA11	407199.5	3786205.5	1416.0	1402.1	795.0	770.5	4.2	0.3	0.10	0.55	52.11	24.53
Santa Anita Wash	SA12	407107.5	3786144.5	1274.4	1271.4	760.2	758.1	6.3	0.3	0.08	0.09	130.71	2.14
Santa Anita Wash	SA13	407046.5	3786088.5	1177.9	1175.5	743.8	742.3	6.4	0.2	0.15	0.16	95.91	1.54
Santa Anita Wash	SA14	407032.5	3786077.5	1156.1	1151.9	738.8	733.0	6.4	0.2	0.18	0.39	23.56	5.78
Santa Anita Wash	SA15	406686.5	3785962.5	630.3	625.5	678.1	674.1	6.8	0.1	0.11	0.11	526.45	3.99
Santa Anita Wash	SA16	406683.5	3785914.5	568.5	564.7	671.4	668.8	6.9	0.1	0.05	0.09	60.77	2.61
Santa Anita Wash	SA17	406610.5	3785812.5	395.1	389.4	644.1	640.2	6.9	0.1	0.15	0.16	175.24	3.88
Santa Anita Wash	SA18	406397.5	3785753.5	91.4	87.4	613.2	610.1	7.0	0.0	0.09	0.10	302.05	3.19
Santa Anita Wash	SA19	407583.5	3786708.5	2352.7	2350.7	976.1	974.3	1.4	0.9	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Santa Anita Wash	SA20	407579.5	3786673.5	2311.3	2308.4	971.8	969.9	1.4	0.8	0.06	0.10	42.21	1.82
Santa Anita Wash	SA21	407503.5	3786605.5	2162.8	2158.6	951.4	948.8	1.8	0.8	0.13	0.14	149.88	2.62
Santa Anita Wash	SA22	407493.5	3786599.5	2150.3	2147.9	947.3	945.3	1.8	0.8	0.18	0.33	10.66	2.07
Santa Anita Wash	SA23	407393.5	3786574.5	1987.6	1979.4	926.3	917.6	1.8	0.7	0.12	0.16	168.51	8.62
Santa Anita Wash	SA24	407292.5	3786505.5	1827.0	1824.0	899.8	895.5	1.9	0.7	0.12	0.14	155.37	4.34
Santa Anita Wash	SA25	407274.5	3786473.5	1783.2	1780.4	888.1	886.3	1.9	0.6	0.18	0.21	43.63	1.77
Santa Anita Wash	SA26	407266.5	3786461.5	1767.3	1763.9	886.3	881.8	1.9	0.6	0.00	0.28	16.49	4.55
Santa Anita Wash	SA27	407263.5	3786456.5	1761.1	1757.3	881.4	874.9	1.9	0.6	0.13	1.03	6.66	6.51
Santa Anita Wash	SA28	407222.5	3786376.5	1644.0	1641.6	855.8	853.3	1.9	0.6	0.17	0.19	115.67	2.47
Santa Anita Wash	SA29	407173.5	3786370.5	1587.2	1579.2	847.3	837.5	1.9	0.6	0.11	0.25	62.38	9.81
Santa Anita Wash	SA30	407158.5	3786318.5	1515.9	1511.1	827.4	823.6	2.0	0.6	0.16	0.20	68.11	3.71
Santa Anita Wash	SA31	407175.5	3786284.5	1471.7	1465.7	814.7	809.4	2.1	0.5	0.23	0.31	45.38	5.32
Santa Anita Wash	SA32	407170.5	3786260.5	1445.0	1441.6	805.2	801.0	2.1	0.5	0.20	0.35	24.07	4.21
Santa Anita Wash	SA33	407166.5	3786233.5	1413.9	1391.2	795.6	770.7	2.1	0.5	0.19	0.60	50.46	24.95
Silver Creek	SL1	388081.5	3792912.5	942.8	940.8	737.2	735.6	1.2	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Silver Creek	SL2	388084.5	3792918.5	935.6	926.7	734.2	725.5	1.2	1.0	0.26	0.72	14.07	8.69
Silver Creek	SL3	388103.5	3792970.5	859.8	857.4	708.5	706.8	1.2	0.9	0.25	0.27	69.36	1.75
Silver Creek	SL4	388114.5	3792976.5	844.9	835.8	704.4	682.8	1.2	0.9	0.19	1.11	21.56	21.69
Silver Creek	SL5	388149.5	3793018.5	784.3	780.9	674.5	670.0	1.2	0.8	0.16	0.23	54.94	4.49
Silver Creek	SL6	388156.5	3793023.5	775.2	761.7	668.5	643.2	1.2	0.8	0.27	1.40	19.14	25.22
Strayns Canyon	ST1	401538.5	3789299.5	1957.6	1954.2	1209.2	1206.4	1.2	0.9	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Strayns Canyon	ST2	401542.5	3789382.5	1867.2	1864.7	1191.2	1189.7	1.2	0.9	0.17	0.19	89.46	1.55
Strayns Canyon	ST3	401761.5	3789793.5	1317.3	1313.9	1104.8	1102.3	1.4	0.6	0.15	0.16	550.81	2.52
Strayns Canyon	ST4	401873.5	3789857.5	1133.8	1131.4	1079.0	1077.3	1.9	0.5	0.13	0.14	182.58	1.73
Strayns Canyon	ST5	402024.5	3789972.5	917.3	915.3	1049.6	1048.0	2.2	0.4	0.13	0.14	216.04	1.59
Strayns Canyon	ST6	402096.5	3790009.5	813.3	811.3	1035.5	1033.9	2.2	0.4	0.12	0.14	104.05	1.61
Sutton Canyon	SU1	388003.5	3790258.5	2374.4	2372.0	876.2	874.1	1.0	0.9	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Sutton Canyon	SU2	387960.5	3790209.5	2301.7	2298.9	863.2	861.4	1.1	0.9	0.15	0.17	73.08	1.80

Sutton Canyon	SU3	387886.5	3790117.5	2160.5	2157.1	843.4	840.2	1.2	0.9	0.13	0.15	141.78	3.22
Sutton Canyon	SU4	387520.5	3789925.5	1517.0	1514.0	764.8	761.8	1.4	0.6	0.12	0.12	643.16	3.04
Sutton Canyon	SU5	387521.5	3789919.5	1510.6	1505.7	760.5	756.8	1.4	0.6	0.38	0.60	8.24	3.64
Sutton Canyon	SU6	387510.5	3789907.5	1492.8	1489.4	753.3	748.5	1.4	0.6	0.27	0.51	16.31	4.80
Sutton Canyon	SU7	387489.5	3789897.5	1467.1	1465.1	745.8	744.1	1.4	0.6	0.12	0.18	24.31	1.65
Vogel Canyon	V1	388403.5	3797178.5	4237.8	4233.4	991.2	984.4	1.4	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Vogel Canyon	V2	388125.5	3796784.5	3405.0	3396.2	908.0	892.6	1.6	0.8	0.09	0.11	837.16	15.36
Vogel Canyon	V3	388100.5	3796791.5	3365.5	3360.7	888.6	881.2	1.6	0.8	0.13	0.32	35.56	7.34
Vogel Canyon	V4	387851.5	3796716.5	3010.4	3003.9	852.8	846.6	2.2	0.7	0.08	0.10	356.71	6.21
Vogel Canyon	V5	387846.5	3796705.5	2997.3	2979.6	845.1	810.3	2.2	0.7	0.22	1.49	24.31	34.78
Vogel Canyon	V6	387850.5	3796613.5	2867.4	2863.6	803.2	800.0	2.2	0.7	0.06	0.09	116.05	3.27
Vogel Canyon	V7	387865.5	3796610.5	2849.5	2845.7	798.7	796.1	2.2	0.7	0.09	0.22	17.90	2.59
Vogel Canyon	V8	387871.5	3796606.5	2841.9	2838.0	795.6	792.4	2.2	0.7	0.12	0.49	7.66	3.26
Vogel Canyon	V9	387761.5	3796502.5	2634.8	2620.7	774.1	745.2	2.3	0.6	0.09	0.22	217.28	28.86
Vogel Canyon	V10	387422.5	3795447.5	844.1	841.2	638.5	636.7	4.3	0.2	0.06	0.06	1779.51	1.81
Vogel Canyon	V11	387448.5	3795364.5	720.6	711.4	630.3	619.0	4.3	0.2	0.05	0.14	129.88	11.29
Ybarra Canyon	Y1	387007.5	3797414.5	3886.8	3881.6	942.3	934.9	1.0	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Ybarra Canyon	Y2	387073.5	3797354.5	3784.6	3779.3	922.7	917.6	1.1	0.9	0.13	0.17	102.23	5.11
Ybarra Canyon	Y3	387082.5	3797324.5	3750.0	3744.6	910.9	903.7	1.1	0.9	0.23	0.40	34.73	7.21
Ybarra Canyon	Y4	387092.5	3797270.5	3687.4	3684.4	896.0	893.7	1.1	0.9	0.13	0.17	60.21	2.37
Ybarra Canyon	Y5	387079.5	3797189.5	3585.8	3581.8	884.0	879.7	1.1	0.9	0.10	0.14	102.60	4.28
Ybarra Canyon	Y6	387050.5	3797181.5	3553.5	3551.1	877.3	875.6	1.1	0.9	0.09	0.13	30.73	1.66
Ybarra Canyon	Y7	387028.5	3797162.5	3522.5	3520.5	869.1	867.2	1.1	0.9	0.23	0.27	30.63	1.89
Ybarra Canyon	Y8	386913.5	3797015.5	3302.9	3297.7	837.3	833.1	1.2	0.8	0.14	0.15	222.76	4.23
Ybarra Canyon	Y9	386755.5	3796801.5	2993.9	2990.5	796.1	792.1	1.8	0.7	0.12	0.13	307.23	4.08
Ybarra Canyon	Y10	386763.5	3796781.5	2969.4	2965.0	789.4	785.1	1.8	0.7	0.13	0.27	25.49	4.27
Ybarra Canyon	Y11	386769.5	3796744.5	2925.7	2922.7	777.9	775.9	1.8	0.7	0.18	0.22	42.31	1.97
Ybarra Canyon	Y12	386694.5	3796683.5	2812.0	2805.8	758.8	750.8	1.8	0.7	0.16	0.22	116.88	8.00
Ybarra Canyon	Y13	386689.5	3796671.5	2797.9	2793.1	749.4	744.7	1.8	0.7	0.17	0.48	12.66	4.71
Ybarra Canyon	Y14	386623.5	3796591.5	2661.8	2657.8	726.7	722.8	3.4	0.7	0.14	0.16	135.30	3.95
Ybarra Canyon	Y15	386594.5	3796607.5	2620.8	2618.0	718.5	716.5	3.4	0.7	0.12	0.16	39.87	2.03
Ybarra Canyon	Y16	386542.5	3796561.5	2524.5	2519.5	705.3	698.5	3.4	0.6	0.12	0.18	98.50	6.73
Ybarra Canyon	Y17	386503.5	3796544.5	2466.2	2463.2	693.8	691.6	3.4	0.6	0.09	0.12	56.28	2.22
Ybarra Canyon	Y18	386281.5	3796440.5	2084.2	2074.8	664.2	651.1	3.7	0.5	0.07	0.10	388.36	13.14
Ybarra Canyon	Y19	387625.5	3797160.5	4236.2	4230.3	916.2	909.5	1.0	1.0	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Ybarra Canyon	Y20	387272.5	3796999.5	3729.4	3724.1	875.9	871.7	1.2	0.9	0.07	0.07	506.22	4.20
Ybarra Canyon	Y21	387049.5	3796891.5	3381.4	3378.9	838.3	836.0	1.5	0.8	0.10	0.10	345.19	2.28
Ybarra Canyon	Y22	387023.5	3796888.5	3351.6	3345.4	832.5	823.5	1.5	0.8	0.13	0.37	33.56	9.01
Ybarra Canyon	Y23	387000.5	3796867.5	3316.4	3312.4	820.5	817.1	1.5	0.8	0.10	0.19	32.97	3.40
Ybarra Canyon	Y24	386948.5	3796817.5	3235.8	3232.4	811.7	808.2	1.5	0.8	0.07	0.11	79.98	3.46
Ybarra Canyon	Y25	386946.5	3796812.5	3230.0	3227.0	806.8	804.2	1.5	0.8	0.57	0.73	5.41	2.60
Ybarra Canyon	Y26	386857.5	3796677.5	3014.8	3012.4	788.4	786.6	1.5	0.7	0.07	0.08	214.59	1.78
Ybarra Canyon	Y27	386856.5	3796649.5	2983.1	2980.3	783.6	781.9	1.5	0.7	0.10	0.15	32.14	1.68
Ybarra Canyon	Y28	386713.5	3796586.5	2791.6	2789.2	764.0	761.8	1.5	0.7	0.09	0.11	191.07	2.19
Ybarra Canyon	Y29	386665.5	3796581.5	2725.28	2707.03	754.7	732.0	1.5	0.6	0.11	0.36	82.18	22.76

Note: N.D. stands for No Data

* X_L is the horizontal distance of the waterfall lip from the outlet.

** X_B is the horizontal distance of the waterfall base from the outlet.

Z_L is the elevation of the waterfall lip.

Z_B is the elevation of the waterfall base.

Non-dimensional distance of waterfalls calculated as the distance from the outlet divided by the total length of stream.

* S_R is the slope of the river excluding relief from waterfalls measured for the reach above each waterfall unit.

*** S_U is the waterfall unit slope measured from the base of the upstream waterfall to the base of the downstream waterfall.

* L_U is the waterfall unit length measured as the horizontal distance from the base of the upstream waterfall to the base of the downstream waterfall.

** H_{wf} is the height of the waterfall step measured from lip to base.