

Theorem A for marked 2-categories

Fernando Abellán García, Walker H. Stern

Abstract

In this work, we prove a generalization of Quillen’s Theorem A to 2-categories equipped with a special set of morphisms which we think of as weak equivalences, providing sufficient conditions for a 2-functor to induce an equivalence on $(\infty, 1)$ -localizations. When restricted to 1-categories with all morphisms marked, our theorem retrieves the classical Theorem A of Quillen. We additionally state and provide evidence for a new conjecture: the *cofinality conjecture*, which describes the relation between a conjectural theory of marked $(\infty, 2)$ -colimits and our generalization of Theorem A.

Contents

Introduction	2
Towards a generalization of Theorem A	2
Applications	3
Relation to cofinality	4
1 Preliminaries	6
1.1 2-categories and the 2-nerve	6
1.2 Lax slices and functoriality	7
1.3 ∞ -Localizations & conventions for simplicial sets	9
2 Another model for the relative 2-nerve	10
3 Fibrations and sections	15
3.1 Constructing the section	16
3.2 The section as a localization map	17
4 The main theorem	21
5 Corollaries and applications	24
6 The cofinality conjecture	26
6.1 Marked colimits	27
6.2 A criterion for cofinality	31
6.3 The cofinality conjecture	34

Introduction

Towards a generalization of Theorem A

Quillen's Theorems A and B are bedrock results in higher category theory, establishing conditions under which a functor of categories $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ defines a homotopy equivalence or fiber sequence of spaces, respectively. These theorems have been key to the modern understanding of algebraic topology — not only in the original K-theoretic context of Quillen (cf. [14]) but also in contexts ranging from algebraic topology to higher category theory. Philosophically speaking, since every homotopy type can be represented as the nerve of a category, Theorem A can be regarded as a fundamental tool for attacking homotopy-theoretic questions with explicit combinatorial presentations.

The criterion of Quillen's Theorem A — that the slice categories of F be contractible — has additional significance in the study of homotopy (i.e. $(\infty, 1)$ -) colimits. A functor satisfies the criterion if and only if it is *homotopy cofinal*, that is, restricting diagrams along it preserves their homotopy colimits. Particularly in the study of ∞ -categorical Kan extensions, this makes the criterion of Theorem A an invaluable tool for explicit computation.

In both of these areas, however, there are settings of interest in which Theorem A does not capture all of the salient features. Most notably, one often wants to associate an ∞ -category to a 1-category, rather than simply a classifying space. In contexts where the aim is to retain more ∞ -categorical structure, a generalization of Theorem A is thus highly desirable.

With the benefit of the modern toolbox, one can make an observation that reframes the criterion of Theorem A: a non-empty Kan complex K is contractible if and only if every object of K is initial (when K is viewed as an ∞ -groupoid). One can then rephrase Quillen's Theorem A as:

Theorem 0.0.1 (Quillen's Theorem A). *Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor between 1-categories. For $d \in \mathcal{D}$, denote by $N(\mathcal{C}_{d/})^\simeq$ the ∞ -groupoid completion of the overcategory. Suppose that, for every $d \in \mathcal{D}$,*

- *There exists $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $d \rightarrow F(c)$*
- *Every morphism $d \rightarrow F(b)$ represents an initial object of $N(\mathcal{C}_{d/})^\simeq$.*

Then $|F|: |N(\mathcal{C})| \rightarrow |N(\mathcal{D})|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

With this reframing, it becomes possible to generalize Theorem A to a much broader context. The ∞ -groupoidification of $N(\mathcal{C})$ is nothing more or less than the ∞ -categorical localization of $N(\mathcal{C})$ at all morphisms, so by generalizing from the set of all morphisms to any wide subcategory of weak equivalences, we can attempt to provide criteria under which a functor of 1-categories with weak equivalences induces an equivalence on ∞ -categorical localizations. It will turn out that the eventual form of our generalization will closely mirror the criteria above.

Quillen's Theorem A relates several levels of categorification and strictness. It is a statement about *strict 1-categories* which then implies a conclusion about $(\infty, 0)$ -*groupoids*. Analogously, we aim to establish a statement about *strict 2-categories* which implies a conclusion about $(\infty, 1)$ -*categories*. To this end, we equip 2-categories with distinguished collections of 1-morphisms, yielding a notion we call *marked 2-categories*. Taking the above reformulation of Theorem A as a model, this paper proposes and proves the following theorem

Theorem 0.0.2 (Theorem A[†]). *Let $F: \mathbb{C}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^\dagger$ be a functor of 2-categories with weak equivalences. Suppose that, for every object $d \in \mathbb{D}$,*

1. *There exists an object $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and a marked morphism $d \dashrightarrow F(c)$*

2. Every marked morphism $d \rightarrowtail F(c)$ is initial in the ∞ -categorical localization $L_{\mathcal{W}}(N_2(\mathbb{C}_{d/})^\dagger)$.

Then the induced functor $F_{\mathcal{W}}: L_{\mathcal{W}}(N_2(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}(N_2(\mathbb{D}^\dagger))$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

We will actually prove [Theorem 0.0.2](#) as a corollary of another, seemingly more general result, [Theorem 4.0.1](#). It will turn out that these two theorems are, in fact, equivalent. In homotopy-theoretic situations, [Theorem 0.0.2](#) will tend to be more computationally tractable, and has clearer connections to established ideas in the literature. However, [Theorem 4.0.1](#) has a compelling connection to notions of cofinality in 2-categories, leading us to the *cofinality conjecture*, which will be discussed both at the end of the introduction, and in the final section of this paper.

The proof that our conditions are sufficient is quite straightforward, and offers an abstract way to construct a weak inverse to $F_{\mathcal{W}}$. The only price of this directness is that the proof relies on established $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical technology — in particular the relative 2-nerve construction and locally Cartesian fibrations of simplicial sets.

Applications

Since [Theorem 0.0.2](#) involves generalizations of the classical Theorem A in two ways — introducing both 2-categories and a choice of marked morphisms to the picture — it is unsurprising that there are a number of special cases which themselves constitute interesting generalizations of Quillen's Theorem A. The first of these forgets one of the generalizations — that to strict 2-categories — and focuses only on the marked morphisms. In this context, [Theorem 0.0.2](#) immediately reduces to:

Theorem 0.0.3. *Let $F: \mathcal{C}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\dagger$ be a functor between marked categories such that, for all $d \in \mathcal{D}^\dagger$,*

- *there exists $c \in \mathcal{C}^\dagger$ and a marked morphism $d \rightarrowtail F(c)$*
- *every marked morphism $d \rightarrowtail F(c)$ represents an initial object in the localization $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C}_{d/})$.*

Then F induces an equivalence on ∞ -categorical localizations $F_{\mathcal{W}}: L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{D})$.

Quillen's Theorem A then amounts to the special case in which all morphisms in \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are marked. The localization $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C})$ can then be identified with the Kan-Quillen fibrant replacement, and so the conclusion of the theorem reduces to an equivalence of spaces.

There are also a number of results from the more recent literature that can be retrieved as special cases of [Theorem 0.0.2](#). In particular, if we instead remember the generalization to 2-categories, but neglect the generalization to marked morphisms (by considering every morphism to be marked), we obtain the following theorem of Bullejos and Cegarra from [5] :

Theorem 0.0.4 (Bullejos and Cegarra). *Let $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a 2-functor. Suppose that, for every $d \in \mathbb{D}$, there is a homotopy equivalence $|N_2(\mathbb{C}_{d/})| \simeq *$. Then $|F|: |N_2(\mathbb{C})| \rightarrow |N_2(\mathbb{D})|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Finally, there is also a criterion of Walde from [15], which checks when an ∞ -categorical localization of a 1-category yields a 1-category as output. This is a special case of [Theorem 0.0.3](#) where the marking on the target 1-category consists of the equivalences.

We will prove each of these corollaries, as well as some related criteria, in [section 5](#). However, it is quite informative to consider the 1-categorical case [Theorem 0.0.3](#) on its own, as it shares many

of the salient features of the proof of the full 2-categorical case, but without many of the technical combinatorial complexities.

We will not present a separate proof of [Theorem 0.0.3](#) here, as doing so would have the effect of doubling many arguments unnecessarily. The interested reader can easily reconstruct the proof from the proof of [Theorem 0.0.2](#). Such a reconstruction is also rendered simpler by the disappearance of some technical, 2-categorical facets of the proof:

- In the 1-categorical case, one need not take into account any convention for 2-morphisms. In particular, this obviates the need to work with lax overcategories or the Duskin nerve.
- One can work with the relative 1-nerve χ of [\[12\]](#) rather than the relative 2-nerve from [\[1\]](#).
- Since the slices $\mathcal{C}_{d/}$ are 1-categories, we immediately get a Cartesian fibration, instead of having to first pass through passing through $L_{\mathcal{W}}$.
- The explicit section $s_{\mathcal{C}}$ constructed in [section 3](#) can, in the 1-categorical case, be written down immediately. As a result, the technology of Quillen adjunctions developed in [section 2](#) is unnecessary in this case.
- The functor constructed from the section $s_{\mathcal{C}}$ is equal to the localization map of \mathcal{C} on the nose, rendering the combinatorial argument relating the two in [section 3](#) moot in the 1-categorical case.

The technical difficulties which arise in the full 2-categorical proof are mostly due to the relative dearth of genuine $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical technology, as compared to the $(\infty, 1)$ -case. We expect that in the presence of a $(\infty, 2)$ -Grothendieck construction relating functors into $\text{Cat}_{(\infty, 2)}$ and marked-scaled Cartesian fibrations the arguments presented here would simplify greatly. It is worth noting that we expect the construction $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{\mathbb{D}}$ presented here to be the relative nerve construction corresponding to such a ‘genuine’ $(\infty, 2)$ -Grothendieck construction.

Relation to cofinality

In its original form ([\[14\]](#)) Theorem A is concerned with the homotopy-theoretic properties of functors between ordinary 1-categories. It would be only after the blossoming of homotopy coherent mathematics (i.e. model-category theory and ∞ -category theory) that the same statement could be more generally interpreted in terms of preservation of ∞ -colimits. In this more modern framework one can recover that original result of Quillen by noting that the ∞ -colimit of the constant point-valued functor

$$\underline{*}: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \text{Top}$$

is the geometric realization of \mathcal{C} . One would naturally expect that [Theorem 0.0.2](#) follows the same pattern with a suitably categorified notion of colimits.

This paper can be considered as a first step in a longer program dedicated to a categorification of the cofinality criterion of Quillen’s Theorem A. In [section 6](#) we explore the notion of *marked colimits* in the setting of strict 2-categories, obtaining a decategorified cofinality criterion [Theorem 6.2.2](#). This later result coupled with [Theorem 6.1.10](#) will then yield a strict version of the main result of this paper.

A feature of great interest in both [Theorem 0.0.2](#) and [Theorem 6.2.2](#) is that neither is merely a vertical categorification of Quillen’s Theorem A. To pass one rung higher on the ladder of categorification, it is necessary to add structure in two directions: (1) categorical structure in the form of

non-invertible 2-morphisms and (2) homotopical structure in the form of a chosen set of marked morphisms. The latter has a profound impact on the definition of marked colimits appearing in this paper. The notion of marked colimit is, as the name implies, highly sensitive to the marking on the diagram 2-category — so much so, in fact, that even operations on the marking which do not change ∞ -categorical localization (e.g. taking saturations) do not preserve marked colimits.

This facet of the developing theory is not surprising, given that even in the $(\infty, 1)$ -context, a functor defining an equivalence of spaces is a far weaker condition than the same functor being cofinal. Every inclusion of an object into a category with a terminal object induces an equivalence of spaces, but such an inclusion is only cofinal when it selects a terminal object in the target category. As we develop the twinned notions of marked colimit and marked cofinality, we take care to comment on this sensitivity to marking, and to connect marked cofinality with the appropriate choice of hypotheses in the generalization of [Theorem A](#).

We conclude this work with a discussion of conjectures and open questions pointing towards a genuine theory of $(\infty, 2)$ -marked colimits. In particular, we propose the *cofinality conjecture*, which posits a relation between a theory of $(\infty, 2)$ -marked colimits and the hypotheses of [Theorem 4.0.1](#). The results of this paper, in addition to their independent utility in computing $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical localizations of 1- and 2-categories, provide compelling evidence that a form of the cofinality conjecture should hold once the necessary technology has been developed.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Prof. Tobias Dyckerhoff for his guidance and support throughout this process. F.A.G. acknowledges the support of the VolkswagenStiftung through the Lichtenberg Professorship Programme.

1 Preliminaries

In this section, we will go over the notations, definitions, and background necessary for our constructions and proofs. We focus for the most part on 2-categorical background, directing readers in need of higher-categorical and model-categorical preliminaries to [12], [6], [11], and [1].

1.1 2-categories and the 2-nerve

Notation. By a *2-category*, we will always mean a strict 2-category. By a *2-functor*, we will mean a strict 2-functor unless specified otherwise. For a 2-category \mathbb{C} , we will denote the 1-morphism dual by $\mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, -)}$, the 2-morphism dual by $\mathbb{C}^{(-, \text{op})}$, and the dual which reverses both 1- and 2-morphisms by $\mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})}$. We will denote the (1-)category of 2-categories and (strict) 2-functors by 2Cat .

Definition 1.1.1. Let \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{D} be 2-categories. A *normal lax 2-functor* (which we will sometimes refer to as simply a *lax functor*) $F: \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ consists of the data:

- A map $F: \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathbb{D})$ on objects.
- For each pair of objects $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, a functor

$$F_{b,c}: \mathbb{C}(b, c) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(F(b), F(c)).$$

- For each triple of objects $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}(b, c) \times \mathbb{C}(a, b) & \xrightarrow{\quad \circ \quad} & \mathbb{C}(a, c) \\ F \downarrow & \swarrow \sigma & \downarrow F \\ \mathbb{D}(F(b), F(c)) \times \mathbb{D}(F(a), F(b)) & \xrightarrow{\quad \circ \quad} & \mathbb{D}(F(a), F(c)) \end{array}$$

called the *compositor* of F .

subject to the conditions

1. $F_{c,c}(\text{id}_c) = \text{id}_{F(c)}$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$.
2. $\sigma_{f,\text{id}} = \text{id}_{F(f)}$ and $\sigma_{\text{id},f} = \text{id}_{F(f)}$.
3. the compositors satisfy the hexagon identity.

We denote by LCat the (1-)category of 2-categories with normal lax functors as morphisms.

Definition 1.1.2. Composing the cosimplicial object

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^\bullet: \Delta &\longrightarrow \text{Cat} \\ [n] &\longmapsto [n] \end{aligned}$$

with the inclusion $\text{Cat} \longrightarrow \text{LCat}$ yields a cosimplicial object in LCat , which we will also denote by Δ^\bullet in a slight abuse of notation. Using this cosimplicial object, we obtain a functor

$$N_2: \text{LCat} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta$$

with $N_2(\mathbb{C})_n = \text{LCat}([n], \mathbb{C})$. We call this functor the *(Duskin) 2-nerve*.

Definition 1.1.3. Let I be a linearly ordered finite set. We define a 2-category \mathbb{O}^I as follows

- the objects of \mathbb{O}^I are the elements of I ,
- the category $\mathbb{O}^I(i, j)$ of morphisms between objects $i, j \in I$ is defined as the poset of finite sets $S \subseteq I$ such that $\min(S) = i$ and $\max(S) = j$ ordered by inclusion,
- the composition functors are given, for $i, j, l \in I$, by

$$\mathbb{O}^I(i, j) \times \mathbb{O}^I(j, l) \rightarrow \mathbb{O}^I(i, l), \quad (S, T) \mapsto S \cup T.$$

When $I = [n]$, we denote \mathbb{O}^I by \mathbb{O}^n . Note that the \mathbb{O}^n form a cosimplicial object in 2Cat , which we denote by \mathbb{O}^\bullet .

Construction 1.1.4. We abuse notation and also denote by \mathbb{O}^\bullet the cosimplicial object

$$\Delta \xrightarrow{\mathbb{O}^\bullet} 2\text{Cat} \longrightarrow \text{LCat}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$, we can define a lax functor

$$\xi_n: [n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{O}^n$$

defined as the identity on objects. On 1-morphisms we set $\xi_n(i \leq j) = \{i, j\}$. Since the Hom-categories in \mathbb{O}^n are posets, this completely determines the compositors.

We now summarize some useful properties of the Duskin 2-Nerve:

Proposition 1.1.5. *Let \mathbb{C} be a 2-category.*

1. *The functor $N_2: \text{LCat} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta$ is fully faithful.*
2. *The lax functors $\xi_n: [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{O}^n$ form a natural transformation of cosimplicial objects.*
3. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ the lax functor $\xi_n: [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{O}^n$ induces a bijection*

$$2\text{Cat}(\mathbb{O}^n, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{LCat}([n], \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. See [4] or [13] for the first statement. The second can be easily checked by hand, and the third is, e.g., [13, 2.3.6.7]. \square

Remark 1.1.6. The proposition above implies, in particular, that there are two ways of viewing a simplex $\sigma: \Delta^n \longrightarrow N_2(\mathbb{C})$. We can either view it as a strict functor $\mathbb{O}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ or a normal lax functor $[n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Note that, passing to the 2-nerve of the latter gives precisely the original inclusion $\Delta^n \longrightarrow N_2(\mathbb{C})$. We will make extensive use of both conventions in our constructions.

Remark 1.1.7. For any 2-category \mathbb{C} , one can equip $N_2(\mathbb{C})$ with the additional structure of a scaling (see, e.g. [1] for details). The resulting functor $2\text{Cat} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^{\text{sc}}$ will be denoted by N^{sc} , and referred to as the *scaled nerve*.

1.2 Lax slices and functoriality

Definition 1.2.1. Let \mathbb{C} be a 2-category, and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a 2-category $\mathbb{C}_{\text{c}\downarrow}$, the *lax slice category*, as follows. The objects of $\mathbb{C}_{\text{c}\downarrow}$ are morphisms $f: c \longrightarrow d$ in \mathbb{C} . A morphism in $\mathbb{C}_{\text{c}\downarrow}$ from $f: c \longrightarrow d$ to $g: c \longrightarrow b$ consists of a morphism $u: d \longrightarrow c$ in \mathbb{C} together with a 2-morphism

$\beta: g \implies u \circ f$. A 2-morphism in $\mathbb{C}_{c\downarrow}$ from (u, β) to (v, α) consists of a 2-morphism $\nu: u \implies v$ such that the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & u \circ f & \\ g \swarrow \beta & \parallel & \downarrow \\ g \searrow \alpha & \parallel & v \circ f \end{array}$$

commutes.

We define the *oplax slice category* $\mathbb{C}_{c\uparrow}$ to be the 2-category $((\mathbb{C}^{(-, \text{op})})_{c\downarrow})^{(-, \text{op})}$. This amounts to simply reversing the convention for the direction of the 2-morphisms filling the triangles in the morphisms.

Remark 1.2.2. We here warn the reader that conventions related to the 2-morphism dual of a 2-category need to be carefully calibrated when dealing with the 2-nerve. The reason for this is that, since the 2-nerve encodes 2-morphisms as 2-simplices $h \implies f \circ g$, it enforces a choice of convention. It is not easy to define something like the 2-morphism dual of a scaled simplicial set (in contrast to the 1-categorical case, where the 1-morphism dual merely corresponds to the opposite simplicial set).

The convention forced by the 2-nerve is why, in the above discussion, we defined the compositors of lax functors to point in the direction we did. This convention is also the reason that our higher-categorical proofs will usually involve the slice categories $\mathbb{C}_{c\downarrow}$, rather than $\mathbb{C}_{c\uparrow}$.

Proposition 1.2.3. *We denote by LCat_* the (strictly) pointed version of LCat . The assignment*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LCat}_* &\longrightarrow \text{LCat} \\ (\mathbb{C}, c) &\longmapsto \mathbb{C}_{c\downarrow} \end{aligned}$$

defines a functor.

Proof. We start with a functor $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ which maps c to $F(c)$, and define a lax functor

$$F_{\downarrow}: \mathbb{C}_{c\downarrow} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{F(c)\downarrow}$$

as follows.

- On objects, we send $f: c \longrightarrow c_1$ to $F(f): F(c) \longrightarrow F(c_1)$.
- On 1-morphisms we define

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} c & & \\ f_1 \swarrow \mu & \parallel & \searrow f_2 \\ c_1 & \xrightarrow{h} & c_2 \end{array} & \mapsto & \begin{array}{ccc} F(c) & & \\ F(f_1) \swarrow \sigma(h, f_1) \circ F(\mu) & \parallel & \searrow F(f_2) \\ F(c_1) & \xrightarrow{h} & c_2 \end{array} \end{array}$$

where $\sigma(h, f_1)$ is the compositor of F .

- on 2-morphisms, we simply send $\alpha \mapsto F(\alpha)$.

We then note that the well-definedness of $N_2(F): N_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow N_2(\mathbb{D})$ on 3-simplices (see [4]) implies that the compositor for F defines 2-morphism in $\mathbb{D}_{F(c)\downarrow}$

$$\sigma(g_2, g_1): F_{\downarrow}(g_2 \circ g_1) \implies F_{\downarrow}(g_2) \circ F_{\downarrow}(g_1)$$

(where we abuse notation by denoting a morphism in $\mathbb{C}_{c\downarrow}$ by its projection to \mathbb{C}). Since identities on 2-morphisms in $\mathbb{D}_{F(c)\downarrow}$ can be checked in \mathbb{D} , it is immediate that this defines a normal lax functor.

The composability and unitality of the assignment $F \mapsto F_{\downarrow}$ can then be checked immediately from the definitions. \square

Remark 1.2.4. There are forgetful strict 2-functors $\mathbb{C}_{c\downarrow} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined in the obvious way. When pieced together, these form a natural transformation to the forgetful functor $\text{LCat}_* \rightarrow \text{LCat}$.

1.3 ∞ -Localizations & conventions for simplicial sets

Definition 1.3.1. A *marked 2-category* is a pair $\mathbb{C}^\dagger = (\mathbb{C}, W_{\mathbb{C}})$ consisting of a 2-category \mathbb{C} together with a set $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ of morphisms in \mathbb{C} containing all identities. A *functor of marked 2-categories (marked 2-functor)* $F: \mathbb{C}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^\dagger$ is a 2-functor $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that $F(W_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset W_{\mathbb{D}}$. We will denote the category of marked 2-categories with marked functors by 2Cat^\dagger .

Definition 1.3.2. A *category with weak equivalences* is a pair $\mathcal{C}^\dagger = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}})$ consisting of a 1-category \mathcal{C} and a wide subcategory $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}$. A *homotopical functor* $F: \mathcal{C}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\dagger$ is a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which sends $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}}$ into $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{D}}$.

A *2-category with weak equivalences* \mathbb{D}^\dagger consists of a 2-category \mathbb{D} together with the structure of a category with weak equivalences on the underlying 1-category of \mathbb{D} . A *homotopical 2-functor* (or *functor of marked 2-categories*) $F: \mathbb{C}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^\dagger$ is a strict 2-functor $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that the induced functor on underlying 1-categories is a marked functor. We will denote the category of 2-categories with weak equivalences and homotopical 2-functors by 2Cat^{we} .

Remark 1.3.3. There is an obvious inclusion $2\text{Cat}^{\text{we}} \rightarrow 2\text{Cat}^\dagger$. This inclusion has a left adjoint $Q: 2\text{Cat}^\dagger \rightarrow 2\text{Cat}^{\text{we}}$, which we refer to as the *widening functor*. For a marked 2-category $\mathbb{C}^\dagger = (\mathbb{C}, W_{\mathbb{C}})$, the widening $Q(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)$ has the same underlying 2-category. The subcategory of weak equivalences of $Q(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)$ is the closure of $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ under composition.

Definition 1.3.4. A marked 2-category $(\mathbb{C}, W_{\mathbb{C}})$ is said to be *saturated* if:

- The pair $(\mathbb{C}, W_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a 2-category with weak equivalences.
- The category $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains all of the equivalences of \mathbb{C} .
- Given $f \in W_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $g \in \mathbb{C}$ together with an invertible 2-morphism $f \xrightarrow{\sim} g$, then $g \in W$.

Definition 1.3.5. Let $F: \mathbb{C}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^\dagger$ be a functor of marked 2-categories. For every $d \in \mathbb{D}^\dagger$ we define a marked 2-category $\mathbb{C}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger$, whose underlying 2-category is the lax slice category (Definition 1.2.1), by declaring an edge to be marked if and only if the associated 2-morphism is invertible and the associated 1-morphism is marked in \mathbb{C}^\dagger .

Remark 1.3.6. Note that the 2-nerve $N_2: 2\text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta$ extends to a functor

$$N_2^\dagger: 2\text{Cat}^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^+$$

into marked simplicial sets.

Definition 1.3.7. Let $(X, W) \in \text{Set}_\Delta^+$ be a marked simplicial set. A *(∞ -categorical) localization of X by W* is an ∞ -category $L_W(X)$ together with a map $\gamma_X: X \rightarrow L_W(X)$ of marked simplicial

sets such that, for every ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , the induced map

$$\gamma_X^*: \text{Fun}((X, W), \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Fun}(L_{\mathcal{W}}(X), \mathcal{C})$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

Remark 1.3.8. It is easy to see that fibrant replacement in the model structure on marked simplicial sets gives a localization map. We can therefore assume that $L_{\mathcal{W}}: \text{Set}_{\Delta}^+ \longrightarrow \text{Set}_{\Delta}^+$ is a functor, and that there is a canonical natural transformation $\text{id}_{\text{Set}_{\Delta}^+} \Longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}$ giving the localization morphism γ_X .

Notation. Let $\mathbb{C}^{\dagger} \in 2\text{Cat}^{\dagger}$. We will denote by $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^{\dagger})$ the ∞ -category $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\text{N}_2^{\dagger}(\mathbb{D}^{\dagger}))$.

Proposition 1.3.9. *Let $(X, W) \in \text{Set}_{\Delta}^+$ be a marked simplicial set. The localization map*

$$\gamma_X: X \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}(X)$$

is both cofinal and coinital.

Proof. This is [6, Prop. 7.1.10]. □

Remark 1.3.10. When we write *cofinal*, we follow the convention of [12], in that cofinal functors are those $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that precomposition with f preserves ∞ -colimits. We will call the dual notion (regarding preservation of ∞ -limits) a *coinital functor*.

2 Another model for the relative 2-nerve

In this section we develop the necessary technology for the proof of [Theorem 0.0.2](#). Recall that in [1] we constructed a Quillen equivalence

$$\phi_{\mathbb{C}}: (\text{Set}_{\Delta}^+)_{/\text{N}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})} \rightleftarrows \text{Fun}_{\text{Set}_{\Delta}^+}(\mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})}, \text{Set}_{\Delta}^+) : \chi_{\mathbb{C}}$$

for every 2-category \mathbb{C} , where the left-hand side is equipped with the *scaled cartesian* model structure and the right-hand side is equipped with the *projective* model structure on Set_{Δ}^+ -enriched functors. The main goal of this section is to define a variant of χ inducing a Quillen equivalence

$$\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbb{C}}: (\text{Set}_{\Delta}^+)_{/\text{N}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})} \rightleftarrows \text{Fun}_{\text{Set}_{\Delta}^+}(\mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})}, \text{Set}_{\Delta}^+) : \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}$$

The functor $\tilde{\chi}$ will play a crucial role in the proof of [Theorem 0.0.2](#) by allowing us to handle 2-categorical information in a more efficient way. In addition we will show that both constructions are related by means of a canonical comparison map $\chi \Longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}$. The main theorem of this section identifies a key property of this comparison:

Theorem 2.0.1. *Let \mathbb{C} be a 2-category. Then, for every Set_{Δ}^+ -enriched functor*

$$F: \mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$$

the comparison map $\eta_{\mathbb{C}}: \chi_{\mathbb{C}}(F) \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ is a weak equivalence of scaled cartesian fibrations over $\text{N}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$.

We will review the basic definitions involved in the construction of the relative 2-nerve.

Definition 2.0.2. We define the homotopy category functor

$$\text{ho}: 2\text{Cat} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}$$

which sends a 2-category \mathbb{C} to the 1-category $\text{ho}(\mathbb{C})$ having the same objects as \mathbb{C} and with Hom-sets given by $\text{ho}(\mathbb{C})(c, c') = \pi_0 \mathbb{C}(c, c')$.

Definition 2.0.3. Let I be a linearly ordered finite set such that $i = \min(I)$. We denote by D^I the homotopy category of $\mathbb{O}_{i\downarrow}^I$.

The objects of $\mathbb{O}_{i\downarrow}^I$ can be identified with subsets $S \subseteq I$ such that $\min(S) = i$. Recall that the mapping category $\mathbb{O}_{i\downarrow}^I(S, T)$ is a poset whose objects $\mathcal{U}: S \longrightarrow T$ are given by subsets $\mathcal{U} \subseteq I$ such that

$$\min(\mathcal{U}) = \max(S), \quad \max(\mathcal{U}) = \max(T), \quad T \subseteq S \cup \mathcal{U},$$

ordered by inclusion. Since $\mathcal{U} \subseteq [\max(S), \max(T)]$ it follows that $\mathbb{O}_{i\downarrow}^I(S, T)$ is contractible. In particular we obtain that the homotopy category D^I is a poset. We have also proved the following lemma.

Lemma 2.0.4. *The canonical map $\text{N}_2(\mathbb{O}_{i\downarrow}^I) \longrightarrow \text{N}(D^I)$ is a weak equivalence in the Joyal model structure.*

Remark 2.0.5. Recall from [1] that given an inclusion of finite linearly ordered sets $J \subseteq I$ we obtain the following fully faithful pullback functors

$$\rho_{J,I}: \mathbb{O}^I(\min(I), \min(J))^{\text{op}} \times D^J \longrightarrow D^I, \quad (L, S) \longmapsto L \cup S$$

We observe that we can produce a lift of the previous functor to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{O}^I(\min(I), \min(J))^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{O}_{j\downarrow}^J & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{J,I}} & \mathbb{O}_{i\downarrow}^I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{O}^I(\min(I), \min(J))^{\text{op}} \times D^J & \longrightarrow & D^I \end{array}$$

One immediately checks that the functor $\tilde{\rho}_{J,I}$ is injective on objects, 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms.

We can now give the definition of the relative 2-nerve and its new variant.

Definition 2.0.6. Let \mathbb{C} be a 2-category and let

$$F: \mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+}$$

be a Set_{Δ}^{+} -enriched functor. We define a marked simplicial set $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$, called the *relative 2-nerve of F* , as follows. An n -simplex of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ consists of

1. an n -simplex $\sigma: \Delta^n \rightarrow \text{N}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$,
2. for every nonempty subset $I \subset [n]$, a map of marked simplicial sets

$$\theta_I: \text{N}(D^I)^{\flat} \rightarrow F(\sigma(\min(I))),$$

such that, for every $J \subset I \subset [n]$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(\mathbb{O}^I(\min(I), \min(J))^{\text{op}})^{\flat} \times N(D^J)^{\flat} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{J,I}} & N(D^I)^{\flat} \\ \downarrow N(\sigma) \times \theta_J & & \downarrow \theta_I \\ N(\mathbb{C}(\sigma(\min(I)), \sigma(\min(J)))^{\text{op}})^{\flat} \times F(\sigma(\min(J))) & \xrightarrow{F(-)} & F(\sigma(\min(I))) \end{array}$$

commutes. The marked edges of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ are defined as follows: An edge e of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ consists of a morphism $f : x \rightarrow y$ in \mathbb{C} , together with vertices $A_x \in F(x)$, $A_y \in F(y)$, and an edge $\tilde{e} : A_x \rightarrow F(f)(A_y)$ in $F(x)$. We declare e to be marked if \tilde{e} is marked. Finally, we consider $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ as a simplicial set over $N^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$ by means of the forgetful functor.

Our strategy is to mirror [Definition 2.0.6](#), employing as our building blocks the 2-categories $\mathbb{O}_{i \setminus}^I$. We take [Remark 2.0.5](#) as a guiding principle to lift the compatibility conditions to this new version.

Definition 2.0.7. Let \mathbb{C} be a 2-category and let

$$F : \mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+}$$

be a Set_{Δ}^{+} -enriched functor. We define a marked simplicial set $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ as follows. An n -simplex of $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ consists of

1. an n -simplex $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow N^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$,
2. for every nonempty subset $I \subset [n]$, a map of marked simplicial sets

$$\theta_I : N_2(\mathbb{O}_{i \setminus}^I)^{\flat} \rightarrow F(\sigma(\min(I))),$$

such that, for every $J \subset I \subset [n]$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(\mathbb{O}^I(\min(I), \min(J))^{\text{op}})^{\flat} \times N_2(\mathbb{O}_{j \setminus}^J)^{\flat} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{J,I}} & N_2(\mathbb{O}_{i \setminus}^I)^{\flat} \\ \downarrow N(\sigma) \times \theta_J & & \downarrow \theta_I \\ N(\mathbb{C}(\sigma(\min(I)), \sigma(\min(J)))^{\text{op}})^{\flat} \times F(\sigma(\min(J))) & \xrightarrow{F(-)} & F(\sigma(\min(I))) \end{array}$$

commutes. The marked edges are defined in a way totally analogous to those of $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$. Finally, we consider $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ as a simplicial set over $N^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$ by means of the forgetful functor.

Remark 2.0.8. Observe that the canonical maps $\mathbb{O}_{i \setminus}^I \longrightarrow D^I$ induce a natural transformation of functors $\tilde{\eta} : \mathbb{X} \Longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}$. We denote the adjoint of $\tilde{\eta}$ by $\tilde{\varepsilon} : \tilde{\mathbb{X}} \Longrightarrow \mathbb{X}$.

Remark 2.0.9. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a 2-functor. Then, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}_{\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+}}(\mathbb{D}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})}, \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}_{\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+}}(\mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})}, \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+}) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{\mathbb{D}} & & \downarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{\mathbb{C}} \\ (\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+})_{/N^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{D})} & \longrightarrow & (\text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+})_{/N^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})} \end{array}$$

which commutes up to natural isomorphism, where the top horizontal morphism is given by restriction and the bottom horizontal morphism is given by pullback.

In order to prepare ourselves for the proof of [Theorem 2.0.1](#) we show that $\tilde{\chi}$ preserves trivial fibrations, paralleling the strategy followed in [\[1\]](#).

Let \mathbb{C} be 2-category and consider a pointwise trivial fibration of Set_Δ^+ -enriched functors $F \longrightarrow G$. Note that the canonical map $\tilde{\eta}_\mathbb{C}: \mathbb{X}_\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_\mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism on 0-simplices, 1-simplices and marked edges. Therefore, we obtain solutions to lifting problems of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\partial\Delta^n)^\flat & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_\mathbb{C}(F) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\ (\Delta^n)^\flat & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_\mathbb{C}(G) \end{array} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial(\Delta^1)^\sharp & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_\mathbb{C}(F) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\ (\Delta^1)^\sharp & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_\mathbb{C}(G) \end{array} \quad (2)$$

for $n \leq 1$. We are left to show the case $(\partial\Delta^n)^\flat \longrightarrow (\Delta^n)^\flat$ for $n \geq 2$ so we can systematically ignore the markings.

Let $n \geq 0$. We note that [Remark 2.0.5](#) implies that for any inclusion of finite linearly ordered sets $I \subseteq [n]$ we obtain a cofibration of simplicial sets

$$N(\mathbb{O}^n(0, \min(I))^{\text{op}}) \times N_2(\mathbb{O}_{i\swarrow}^I) \longrightarrow N_2(\mathbb{O}_{0\swarrow}^n)$$

and denote its image by $\mathfrak{A}(I)$. We also denote by $\mathfrak{O}_{i\swarrow}^I$ the nerve of $\mathbb{O}_{i\swarrow}^I$. Let us define the following simplicial set

$$\mathfrak{S}^n = \bigcup_{\partial\Delta^I \subset \partial\Delta^n} \mathfrak{A}(I) \subset \mathfrak{O}_{0\swarrow}^n.$$

A totally analogous argument to that in [\[1, Proposition 3.1.1\]](#) shows that lifting problems of the form (1) are in bijection with lifting problems of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{S}^n & \longrightarrow & F(\sigma(0)) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{O}_{0\swarrow}^n & \longrightarrow & G(\sigma(0)) \end{array} \quad (3)$$

which can be solved since left column map is a cofibration. We have now proved [Proposition 2.0.10](#) below.

Proposition 2.0.10. *The functor $\tilde{\chi}_\mathbb{C}: \text{Fun}_{\text{Set}_\Delta^+}(\mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})}, \text{Set}_\Delta^+) \longrightarrow (\text{Set}_\Delta^+)_/{N^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})}$ preserves trivial fibrations.*

Definition 2.0.11. Let $n \geq 0$, we define Set_Δ^+ -enriched functor

$$\mathfrak{O}^n: (\mathbb{O}^n)^{(\text{op}, \text{op})} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^+, i \longmapsto (\mathfrak{O}^{[i, n]})_{i\swarrow}^\flat.$$

We also have marked variant of the previous definition

$$(\mathfrak{O}^1)^\sharp: (\mathbb{O}^1)^{(\text{op}, \text{op})} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^+, i \longmapsto (\mathfrak{O}^{[i, 1]})_{i\swarrow}^\sharp.$$

Finally, we define another marked simplicially enriched functor

$$\mathfrak{D}^n: (\mathbb{O}^n)^{(\text{op}, \text{op})} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^+, i \longmapsto (\mathcal{D}^{[i, n]})^\flat$$

where \mathcal{D}^I denotes the nerve of the poset D^I .

Remark 2.0.12. Let us note that the abovementioned functors come equipped with a canonical natural transformations

$$\theta_n: \mathfrak{D}^n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}^n, \quad \theta_1^\sharp: (\mathfrak{D}^1)^\sharp \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{D}^1)^\sharp$$

which are a levelwise weak equivalence of marked simplicial sets as observed in [Lemma 2.0.4](#).

Lemma 2.0.13. Consider $(\Delta^n)^\flat \longrightarrow \Delta^n$ as an object of $(\text{Set}_\Delta^+)_{/\text{N}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{O}^n)}$. Then the following holds

1. $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbb{O}^n}((\Delta^n)^\flat) \cong \mathfrak{D}^n, \Phi_{\mathbb{O}^n}((\Delta^n)^\flat) \cong \mathfrak{D}^n$.
2. The canonical map $\theta_n: \mathfrak{D}^n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}^n$ (resp. θ_1^\sharp) can be identified with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{O}^n}((\Delta^n)^\flat)$ (resp. $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{O}^1}((\Delta^1)^\sharp)$) under the isomorphisms above.

Proof. Immediate from unraveling the definitions. \square

Theorem 2.0.14. Let \mathbb{C} be a 2-category. Then, the functor $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}$ extends to a Quillen equivalence

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathbb{C}}: (\text{Set}_\Delta^+)_{/\text{N}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})} \rightleftarrows \text{Fun}_{\text{Set}_\Delta^+}(\mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})}, \text{Set}_\Delta^+): \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Proof. We will show that for any object $X \longrightarrow \text{N}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$ the map $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ is a levelwise weak equivalence. Since $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}$ preserves trivial fibrations by [Proposition 2.0.10](#) this will in turn imply that $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}$ preserves fibrations as well. In addition, we will have constructed an equivalence of left derived functors $\tilde{\mathbb{L}\Phi}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightleftarrows \mathbb{L}\Phi_{\mathbb{C}}$ yielding the result.

It is not hard to show that the natural transformation $\tilde{\eta}$ is compatible with base change. Therefore, invoking [Lemma 2.0.16](#) below we reduce the problem to checking that $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{O}^n}((\Delta^n)^\flat)$ is a levelwise weak equivalence for $n \geq 0$ as well as $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\mathbb{O}^1}((\Delta^1)^\sharp)$. This follows immediately from [Lemma 2.0.13](#). \square

The main result of the section now follows as a corollary of the previous theorem.

Corollary 2.0.15. Let \mathbb{C} be a 2-category. Then, for every Set_Δ^+ -enriched functor

$$F: \mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$$

the comparison map $\eta_{\mathbb{C}}: \chi_{\mathbb{C}}(F) \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$ is a weak equivalence of scaled cartesian fibrations over $\text{N}^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. It follows from [Theorem 2.0.14](#) that $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}$ preserves fibrants objects. Let $F: \mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ be a marked simplicially enriched functor. It will suffice by [\[11, Lemma 3.2.25\]](#) to show that the map,

$$\chi_{\mathbb{C}}(F) \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(F)$$

is an equivalence upon passage to fibers. This allows us to reduce to the case where $\mathbb{C} = *$ is the terminal category. For the rest of the proof \mathcal{B} will denote the image of F at the unique object of $*$.

Recall that when $\mathbb{C} = C$ is a 1-category we constructed in [\[1\]](#) a comparison map $\chi_C \rightleftarrows \chi_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the relative nerve. Passing to adjoints we obtain the following natural transformations,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_C \rightleftarrows \Phi_C \rightleftarrows \phi_C$$

which are levelwise weak equivalences. Specializing to the case of $C = *$ and passing again to right adjoints we obtain the following morphisms

$$\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}_*(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(\mathcal{B}).$$

We proved in [1, Theorem 4.1.1] that the first map is a weak equivalence. To check that the composite map is a weak equivalence we can pass to adjoints. Therefore, the result follows from 2-out-of-3. \square

Lemma 2.0.16. *Let $\overline{K} = (K, K^t)$ be a scaled simplicial set. Suppose we are given two left adjoint functors,*

$$L_1, L_2 : (\text{Set}_\Delta^+)_\overline{K} \rightarrow C,$$

where C is a left proper combinatorial model category and L_2 is a left Quillen functor. Suppose further that L_1 preserves cofibrations. Given a natural transformation $\eta : L_1 \Rightarrow L_2$ which is a weak equivalence on objects of the form

$$(\Delta^n)^\flat \rightarrow K \quad n \geq 0,$$

and

$$(\Delta^n)^\sharp \rightarrow K, \quad n = 1.$$

Then η is a levelwise weak equivalence.

Proof. This is a special case of [1, Lemma 4.3.3]. \square

3 Fibrations and sections

The proof of the main theorem of this paper will depend heavily on the properties of fibrations of simplicial sets. In the 1-categorical case, these would be Cartesian fibrations, but in full 2-categorical generality, our Grothendieck construction produces *scaled Cartesian fibrations*.

Definition 3.0.1. Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of simplicial sets. We call p a *locally Cartesian fibration* if p is an inner fibration and, for every edge $\sigma : \Delta^1 \rightarrow S$, the pullback $p \times_Y \sigma : X \times_Y \Delta^1 \rightarrow \Delta^1$ is a Cartesian fibration.

We call an edge $f : \Delta^1 \rightarrow X$ *locally Cartesian* if it is a Cartesian edge of $p \times_Y (p \circ f)$.

Remark 3.0.2. Dualizing the discussion in Example 3.2.9 of [11], we note that every scaled Cartesian fibration is in particular locally Cartesian. Also note that given a locally Cartesian fibration $p : X \rightarrow Y$, a morphism $f : a \rightarrow b$ in Y , and an object $\tilde{y} \in X_y$, there is a locally Cartesian morphism $\tilde{f} : \tilde{x} \rightarrow \tilde{y}$ lifting f .

We can now formulate and prove the property of locally Cartesian fibrations which will form the backbone of our proof of the main theorem.

Proposition 3.0.3. *Let $p : X \rightarrow S$ be a locally Cartesian fibration of simplicial sets. Assume that for each vertex $s \in S$, the ∞ -category X_s has an initial object. Denote by $X' \subset X$ the full simplicial subset of X spanned by those x which are initial objects in $X_{p(x)}$. Then*

$$p|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow S$$

is a trivial Kan fibration of simplicial sets. Moreover, a section q of $p : X \rightarrow S$ is initial in the

∞ -category $\text{Map}_S(S, X)$ if and only if q factors through X' .

Before we continue with the proof, note that this is identical to [12, Prop. 2.4.4.9] in every way except that we only require $p : X \rightarrow Y$ to be locally Cartesian.

Proof. The proof is effectively the same as that of [12, Prop. 2.4.4.9]. We comment on the points which differ. Tracing back through a sequence of lemmata¹ we find that the only point where the fact that p is a Cartesian fibration is used is to find a Cartesian lift of a morphism ending at a given object, and then apply Lemma 2.4.4.2. However, Lemma 2.4.4.2 only requires a *locally* Cartesian lift, and so the proof runs through. \square

3.1 Constructing the section

We are now in the setting that we need, we can begin to perform the key constructions needed in our proof. For the rest of this section, we fix a marked 2-category \mathbb{C}^\dagger .

Consider the Set_Δ^+ -enriched functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger} : \mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, \text{op})} &\longrightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta^+ \\ c &\longmapsto N_2(\mathbb{C}_{c^\dagger}^\dagger) \end{aligned}$$

and denote its image under $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $p : \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger}) \longrightarrow N^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$. We will define a canonical section to the map p .

Let $\sigma : \mathbb{O}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ represent a simplex in $N^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$. Given $I \subseteq [n]$ denote as usual $i = \min(I)$ and consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{O}_{i^\dagger}^I)^\flat & \xrightarrow{\tau_\sigma^I} & \mathbb{C}_{\sigma(i)^\dagger}^\dagger \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{O}^I)^\flat & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{O}^n)^\flat \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{C}^\dagger \end{array}$$

The properties of the previous construction can be summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1.1. *The assignment $(\sigma : \mathbb{O}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}) \mapsto (\sigma, \{\tau_\sigma^I\}_{I \subseteq [n]})$ defines a map of simplicial sets*

$$s_{\mathbb{C}} : N^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger})$$

such that $p \circ s_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{id}$. The map $s_{\mathbb{C}}$ sends an object $c \in \mathbb{C}$ to the pair (c, id_c) .

Proof. This is a special case of [Proposition 3.2.8](#) below. \square

We then compose the ∞ -categorical localization functor $L_{\mathcal{W}}$ with the functor $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger}$ to get a projectively fibrant functor $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger} = L_{\mathcal{W}} \circ \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger}$. Denote by $\hat{p} : \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger} \longrightarrow N^{\text{sc}}(\mathbb{C})$ its image under $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and observe that \hat{p} is a scaled cartesian fibration. Moreover, we obtain a natural transformation

$$\theta : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger}$$

of Set_Δ^+ -enriched functors. We define our desired section to be $\hat{s}_{\mathbb{C}} = \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(\theta) \circ s_{\mathbb{C}}$.

¹The dependency graph is 2.4.4.2 → 2.4.4.7 → 2.4.4.8 → 2.4.4.9

Corollary 3.1.2. *There is a map of simplicial sets $\hat{s}_{\mathbb{C}} : N^{sc}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbb{C}})$ such that $\hat{p} \circ \hat{s}_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{id}$. The section sends an object $c \in \mathbb{C}$ to the pair (c, id_c) .*

3.2 The section as a localization map

We now want to associate a composite of the section $\hat{s}_{\mathbb{C}} : N^{sc}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbb{C}})$ with the localization map $N^{sc}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L_W(N^{sc}(\mathbb{C}))$. Observe that we have the following commutative diagram of marked simplicial sets over $N^{sc}(\mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & N_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbb{C}}) & \longrightarrow & N_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(L_W(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) \end{array}$$

where we are implicitly using the fact that for every constant Set_Δ^+ -enriched functor $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}(\underline{X}) \cong \mathbb{C} \times \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(X)$. Our goal is to show that the composite map

$$N_2(\mathbb{C}^\dagger) \xrightarrow{\hat{s}_{\mathbb{C}}} \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathbb{C}}} \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(L_W(\mathbb{C}^\dagger))$$

is a weak equivalence of marked simplicial sets. Let $\mathbb{C} = *$, in [Corollary 2.0.15](#) we saw that the map of marked simplicial sets $N_2(\mathbb{C}^\dagger) \longrightarrow L_W(N_2(\mathbb{C}^\dagger))$ induces a commutative diagram in Set_Δ^+

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_2(\mathbb{C}^\dagger) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ L_W(\mathbb{C}^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(L_W(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) \end{array}$$

where the bottom row is a weak equivalence. To show that $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} \circ s_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a weak equivalence it will suffice to show that the following diagram commutes up to natural equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbb{C}) \\ & \nearrow s_{\mathbb{C}} & \downarrow \\ N_2(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C})) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ L_W(N_2(\mathbb{C})) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(L_W(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) \end{array} \quad \rho_{\mathbb{C}}$$

The rest of this section is consequently devoted to produce a natural equivalence exhibiting commutativity of the upper triangle in the diagram above.

Construction 3.2.1. A simplex $i : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^1$ is specified by a sequence

$$0^0 0^1 \cdots 0^n 1^i \cdots 1^n$$

or simply by the number $0 \leq i \leq n+1$. Given such a simplex, we define a 2-category $P^i \mathbb{O}^n$, the i^{th} partial collapse of \mathbb{O}^n as follows.

- The objects of $P^i \mathbb{O}^n$ are the objects of \mathbb{O}^n

- The hom-categories of $P^i \mathbb{O}^n$ are given by

$$P^i \mathbb{O}^n(\ell, j) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \ell > j \\ \mathbb{O}^n(\ell, j) & \ell \leq j < i \\ * & \ell \leq i \leq j \end{cases}$$

The composition functors are those of \mathbb{O}^n where applicable, and the unique functor to $*$ everywhere else.

There is a canonical (strict) projection 2-functor $p_{i,n} : \mathbb{O}^n \longrightarrow P^i \mathbb{O}^n$, and a canonical normal lax functor

$$\ell_{i,n} : P^i \mathbb{O}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{O}^n$$

which acts as the identity on objects, the identity on \mathbb{O}^n hom-categories, and, for $j \geq i$, sends

$$(* : \ell \rightarrow j) \longmapsto (\{\ell, j\} : \ell \rightarrow j).$$

Note that this functor fits into a (strictly) commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{O}^{[0,i)} & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ P^i \mathbb{O}^n & \xrightarrow{\ell_{i,n}} & \mathbb{O}^n \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \Delta^{[i,n]} & \xrightarrow{\text{lax}} & \mathbb{O}^{[i,n]} \end{array}$$

Remark 3.2.2. Note that in the two extremal cases, we have $P^0 \mathbb{O}^n = \Delta^n$ and $P^{n+1} \mathbb{O}^n = \mathbb{O}^n$. We additionally have $p_{n+1,n} = \ell_{n+1,n} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{O}^n}$.

Proposition 3.2.3. *The assignment $(i : \Delta^n \longrightarrow \Delta^1) \longmapsto P^i \mathbb{O}^n$ described in [Construction 3.2.1](#) extends to a functor*

$$P \mathbb{O}^\bullet : \Delta_{/[1]} \longrightarrow \text{LCat}.$$

Proof. Let $i, j \in \Delta_{/[1]}$ and consider a morphism $i \xrightarrow{f} j$. Let $[n]$ (resp. $[m]$) denote the source of the morphism i (resp. j). Observe that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{O}^n & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{O}^m \\ \downarrow p_{i,n} & & \downarrow p_{j,m} \\ P^i \mathbb{O}^n & \xrightarrow{\text{dotted}} & P^j \mathbb{O}^m \end{array}$$

where the upper row map is the usual action on morphisms of the cosimplicial object \mathbb{O}^\bullet . We note that the dotted arrow exists and it is unique due to fact $p_{j,m} \circ f$ is compatible with the collapses defining $P^i \mathbb{O}^n$. Therefore we just set $P \mathbb{O}^\bullet(f) = \overline{f}$. We omit the rest of the details. \square

Lemma 3.2.4. *Let \mathbb{O}^\bullet denote the cosimplicial object of (REF) and define*

$$\mathbb{O}_{/[1]}^\bullet : \Delta_{/[1]} \longrightarrow \Delta \xrightarrow{\mathbb{O}^\bullet} \text{LCat}.$$

Then, the various maps $p_{i,n}$ (resp. $\ell_{i,n}$) assemble into natural transformations

$$\mathbb{O}_{/[1]}^\bullet \xrightarrow{p} P\mathbb{O}^\bullet \xrightarrow{l} \mathbb{O}_{/[1]}^\bullet$$

Proof. Left as an exercise to the reader. \square

Definition 3.2.5. Let $i: [n] \longrightarrow [1]$ be a monotone map and consider the 2-category $P^i \mathbb{O}^n$. Given $I \subset [n]$ we define a 2-category $(P^i \mathbb{O}^n)^I$ as follows

- The objects of $(P^i \mathbb{O}^n)^I$ are the objects of \mathbb{O}^I
- The hom-categories of $(P^i \mathbb{O}^n)^I$ are given by

$$(P^i \mathbb{O}^n)^I(\ell, j) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \ell > j \\ \mathbb{O}^I(\ell, j) & \ell \leq j < i \\ * & \ell \leq i \leq j \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.2.6. We observe that as a consequence of 3.2.4 we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{O}^I & \longrightarrow & (P^i \mathbb{O}^n)^I & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{O}^I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{O}^n & \longrightarrow & P^i \mathbb{O}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{O}^n. \end{array}$$

Construction 3.2.7. Let \mathbb{C} be a 2-category. We define a map of simplicial sets

$$H: N_2([1] \times \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C}))$$

as follows. For a simplex in $N_2([1] \times \mathbb{C})$ presented by a strict functor $\mathbb{O}^n \rightarrow [1] \times \mathbb{C}$, we consider the component maps $i: \mathbb{O}^n \rightarrow [1]$ and $\sigma: \mathbb{O}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We then send the simplex (i, σ) to the data $\{\tau^I: \mathbb{O}_{\min(I)\swarrow}^I \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\}_{I \subset [n]}$ defined to be (the 2-nerve of) any of the composites in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{O}_{\min(I)\swarrow}^I & \longrightarrow & (P^i \mathbb{O}^n)_{\min(I)\swarrow}^I & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{O}_{\min(I)\swarrow}^I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{O}^I & \longrightarrow & (P^i \mathbb{O}^n)^I & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{O}^I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{O}^n & \longrightarrow & P^i \mathbb{O}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{O}^n \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

going from $\mathbb{O}_{\min(I)\swarrow}^I$ in the upper left to \mathbb{C} .

Proposition 3.2.8. *The map H defined in Construction 3.2.7 is a well-defined map of simplicial sets.*

Proof. We first check that the data given do, indeed, form a simplex. in $\tilde{\chi}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C}))$. This amounts

to taking $\emptyset \neq J \subset I \subset [n]$ and showing that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{O}^I(i, j)^{\text{op}} \times \mathbb{O}_{\min(J) \setminus I}^J & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{O}_{\min(I) \setminus I}^I \\ \tau^J \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau^I \\ * \times \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

commutes. We can check this on 0-, 1-, and 2-morphisms. On 0-morphisms, it is easy to see that both composites map a pair (S, U) to $\sigma(\max(U)) := \sigma(\max(S \cup U))$. Likewise, on 1-morphisms, a pair $(T \subset S, W \cup U \supset V)$ is sent in both cases to $\sigma(\ell_{i,n}(p_{i,n}(W)))$. Finally, on 2-morphisms, we note that both composites will factor through $\text{P}^i \mathbb{O}^n$. However, the hom-categories in $\text{P}^i \mathbb{O}^n$ are either posets or points. Therefore, the values on 1-morphisms completely determine those on 2-morphisms.

The functoriality in $[n]$ follows immediately from [Lemma 3.2.4](#). \square

Remark 3.2.9. If $\sigma : \mathbb{O}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is constant on an object and $i : \mathbb{O}^1 \rightarrow [1]$ is the unique non-degenerate simplex, all of the data of the corresponding 1-simplex of $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C}))$ will factor through a constant map $* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. That is $H(i, \sigma)$ will be degenerate. Consequently, H defines a natural equivalence.

Note that H is thus a map of simplicial sets $\Delta^1 \times N_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C}))$. On $0 \times N_2(\mathbb{C})$, it sends a simplex $\sigma : \mathbb{O}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to the composite data

$$\{\tau^I : \mathbb{O}_{\min(I) \setminus I}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{O}^n \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{C}\}$$

i.e. $H(0, -)$ is the composite

$$N_2(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{s_{\mathbb{C}}} \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C} \setminus I}) \longrightarrow N_2(C) \times \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C}))$$

Moreover, $H(1, -)$ sends a simplex $\sigma : \mathbb{O}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to the composite data

$$\{N_2(\mathbb{O}_{\min(I) \setminus I}^I) \longrightarrow N_2(\mathbb{O}^n) \longrightarrow \Delta^n \longrightarrow N_2(\mathbb{C})\}_{I \subset [n]}$$

i.e. $H(1, -)$ is the composite

$$N_2(\mathbb{C}) \xlongequal{\quad} \chi_*(N_2(\mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C}))$$

and thus fits into a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_2(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(N_2(\mathbb{C})) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ L_W(\mathbb{C}^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_*(L_W(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) \end{array}$$

what we have thus shown is:

Corollary 3.2.10. *Let \mathbb{C} be a 2-category. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger}) & & \\
 & \nearrow s_{\mathbb{C}} & \downarrow & & \\
 N_2(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(N_2(\mathbb{C})) & & \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \curvearrowleft \rho_{\mathbb{C}} \\
 L_W(\mathbb{C}^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{\chi}_*(L_W(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes up to natural equivalence.

4 The main theorem

The goal of this section will be to prove the main theorem of this paper:

Theorem 4.0.1 (Theorem A[†]). *Let $F: \mathbb{C}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^\dagger$ be a functor of marked 2-categories. Suppose that,*

1. *For every object $d \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists a morphism $g_d: d \longrightarrow F(c)$ which is initial in both $L_W(\mathbb{C}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger)$ and $L_W(\mathbb{D}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger)$.*
2. *Every marked morphism $d \longrightarrow F(c)$ is initial in $L_W(\mathbb{C}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger)$.*
3. *For any marked morphism $f: b \longrightarrow d$ in \mathbb{D} , the induced functors $f^*: L_W(\mathbb{C}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger) \longrightarrow L_W(\mathbb{C}_{b\downarrow}^\dagger)$ preserve initial objects.*

Then the induced functor $F_W: L_W(N_2(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) \longrightarrow L_W(N_2(\mathbb{D}^\dagger))$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

Remark 4.0.2. Assumptions 2 and 3 may seem a bit asymmetrical compared with assumption 1, in that they do not involve $L_W(\mathbb{D}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger)$. However, as the next lemma shows, marked morphisms are *always* initial in $L_W(\mathbb{D}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger)$. Since the identity is always initial in $L_W(\mathbb{D}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger)$, this immediately shows that precomposition with marked morphisms preserves one initial object, and thus preserves all initial objects.

Lemma 4.0.3. *Let \mathbb{D}^\dagger be a marked 2-category. Then, for every object $d \in \mathbb{D}$, every marked morphism $d \longrightarrow b$ is an initial object in $L_W(N_2(\mathbb{D}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger))$.*

Proof. Consider the identity morphism $d \xrightarrow{\text{id}_d} d$ and another morphism $f: d \longrightarrow b$. Note that the category $\mathbb{D}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger$ (id_d, f) has an initial object given by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 d & & \\
 \parallel & \searrow f & \\
 d & \xrightarrow{f} & b
 \end{array}$$

where the 2-morphism filling the diagram is the identity on f . Consequently, id_d is an initial object in the Joyal fibrant replacement of $N_2(\mathbb{D}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger)$. Since localization is cofinal, the image of id_d is still an initial object in $L_W(N_2(\mathbb{D}_{d\downarrow}^\dagger))$. We then need only note that, given a marked morphism

$g : d \longrightarrow b$ the (strictly commuting) diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d & & \\ \parallel & \searrow^g & \\ d & \xrightarrow{g} & b \end{array}$$

shows that g is equivalent to id_d in $L_{\mathcal{W}}(N_2(\mathbb{D}_{d\downarrow}))$, and thus is itself initial. \square

Notation. Before beginning the proof, we fix some notation for functors which will appear throughout. We consider the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow} : \mathbb{D} &\longrightarrow \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+} \\ d &\longmapsto N_2(\mathbb{C}_{d\downarrow}) \end{aligned}$$

the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}\downarrow} : \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+} \\ c &\longmapsto N_2(\mathbb{C}_{c\downarrow}) \end{aligned}$$

and the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow} : \mathbb{D} &\longrightarrow \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+} \\ d &\longmapsto N_2(\mathbb{D}_{d\downarrow}). \end{aligned}$$

We will denote by, e.g. $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow}$ the composite $L_{\mathcal{W}} \circ \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow}$ with the fibrant replacement functor (which we also refer to as the localization) on Set_{Δ}^{+} . We will further denote a constant functor $\mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_{\Delta}^{+}$ with value X by \underline{X} .

Construction 4.0.4. We note that since $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow}$ is projectively fibrant, $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow}) \longrightarrow N_2(\mathbb{D})$ is a scaled Cartesian fibration. In particular, it is locally Cartesian. By assumption 1, every fiber of $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow}) \longrightarrow N_2(\mathbb{D})$ is non-empty, and has an initial element. We can therefore apply Proposition 3.0.3 to find a section

$$s_F : N_2(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow})$$

which is initial in $\text{Map}_{N_2(\mathbb{D})}(N_2(\mathbb{D}), \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow}))$. Note that given a marked morphism g in \mathbb{D} , assumption 3 shows that $s_F(g)$ will be given by a morphism between two initial objects in a fiber, and thus will be an equivalence in $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow})$. In particular s_F will descend to a functor on $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^{\dagger})$.

Proof (of Theorem 4.0.1). Let us briefly outline the proof. The natural transformation $\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow} \Longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^{\dagger})$ induces a map

$$\gamma : \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^{\dagger})) = \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^{\dagger})) \times N_2(\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^{\dagger})).$$

We will define $\hat{G} := \gamma \circ s_F$, and show that \hat{G} defines an inverse to $F_{\mathcal{W}}$. What we mean by this is that, under the identifications induced by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N_2(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^{\dagger}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^{\dagger})) \\ F \downarrow & & F_{\mathcal{W}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(F_{\mathcal{W}}) \\ N_2(\mathbb{D}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^{\dagger}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^{\dagger})) \end{array}$$

The functor \hat{G} provides a lift $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^\dagger) \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}_*(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^\dagger))$ so that the resulting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N_2(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{\chi}_*(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) \\ F \downarrow & & \hat{G} \nearrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\chi}_*(F_{\mathcal{W}}) \\ N_2(\mathbb{D}) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^\dagger) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \tilde{\chi}_*(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^\dagger)) \end{array}$$

commutes up to natural equivalence. Since \hat{G} descends to a functor $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^\dagger) \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_*(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^\dagger))$, this immediately implies that $F_{\mathcal{W}}$ is an equivalence. We then note that the bottom horizontal morphism and the top horizontal morphism are equivalent to $\rho_{\mathbb{D}} \circ s_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} \circ s_{\mathbb{C}}$ respectively, by [Corollary 3.2.10](#). We have therefore reduced the problem to showing (1) that $\tilde{\chi}_*(F_{\mathcal{W}}) \circ \hat{G} \simeq \rho_{\mathbb{D}} \circ s_{\mathbb{D}}$ and (2) that $\hat{G} \circ F \simeq \rho_{\mathbb{C}} \circ s_{\mathbb{C}}$. We now embark upon the proof of these facts.

1. Note that there is a commutative diagram of natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{D}\vee} & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\vee} & \longrightarrow & \underline{L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow F_{\mathcal{W}} \\ \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{D}\vee} & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathbb{D}\vee} & \longrightarrow & \underline{L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^\dagger)} \end{array}$$

Which induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{D}\vee}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\vee}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \tilde{\chi}_*(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow c & & \downarrow \tilde{\chi}_*(F_{\mathcal{W}}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbb{D}\vee}) & \xrightarrow{a} & \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mathbb{D}\vee}) & \xrightarrow{b} & \tilde{\chi}_*(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^\dagger)) \\ & & \searrow \rho_{\mathbb{D}} & & \nearrow \end{array}$$

Applying [Proposition 3.0.3](#) and [Lemma 4.0.3](#), we immediately see that $a \circ s_{\mathbb{D}}$ is initial in $\text{Map}_{N_2(\mathbb{D})}(N_2(\mathbb{D}), \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\vee}))$. Together, [Proposition 3.0.3](#) and [condition 1](#) show that $c \circ s_F$ is another such initial section. Consequently $a \circ s_{\mathbb{D}} \simeq c \circ s_F$, so

$$\tilde{\chi}_*(F_{\mathcal{W}}) \circ \hat{G} = \tilde{\chi}_*(F_{\mathcal{W}}) \circ \gamma \circ s_F = b \circ c \circ s_F \simeq b \circ a \circ s_{\mathbb{D}} = \rho_{\mathbb{D}} \circ s_{\mathbb{D}}.$$

2. Consider the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\chi}(F^*\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{D}\vee}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\chi}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{D}\vee}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_2(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{F} & N_2(\mathbb{D}) \end{array}$$

and denote by $\alpha_F: N_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\chi}(F^*\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{D}\vee})$ the pullback of the section s_F .

With the aid of the natural transformation $F^* \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow} \Rightarrow N_2(\mathbb{C})$ we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 N_2(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_F} & \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(F^* \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow}) \\
 F \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 N_2(\mathbb{D}) & \xrightarrow{s_F} & \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{D}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \tilde{\chi}_*(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^\dagger))
 \end{array}$$

It will therefore suffice to show that the top composite is equivalent to $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} \circ s_{\mathbb{C}}$. We therefore consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{C}\downarrow}) & \xrightarrow{a} & \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{C}\downarrow}) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow c \\
 & & \tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(F^* \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow}) \xrightarrow{b} \tilde{\chi}_*(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}^\dagger))
 \end{array}$$

and note that the top composite is $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}$. By analogous reasoning to that above (now using assumption 2 as well), both $c \circ a \circ s_{\mathbb{C}}$ and α_F are initial objects in the ∞ -category of sections of $\tilde{\chi}_{\mathbb{C}}(F^* \widehat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{D}\downarrow})$. Consequently, we find that the composite of b with α_F is equivalent to the composite of the top path with $s_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e. $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} \circ s_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore, we find that $\hat{G} \circ F = \gamma \circ s_F \circ F \simeq \rho_{\mathbb{C}} \circ s_{\mathbb{C}}$. \square

5 Corollaries and applications

The primary purpose of this section is twofold. First, we derive a more computationally tractable condition from [Theorem 4.0.1](#), and second, we provide brief résumé of existing results which form special cases of [Theorem 4.0.1](#).

We begin with a corollary using an apparently stronger criterion, which we discussed in the introduction as [Theorem 0.0.2](#):

Corollary 5.0.1. *Let $F: \mathbb{C}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^\dagger$ be a functor of 2-categories with weak equivalences. Suppose that, for every object $d \in \mathbb{D}$,*

1. *There exists an object $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and a marked morphism $d \rightarrowtail F(c)$*
2. *Every marked morphism $d \rightarrowtail F(c)$ is initial in the localization $L_{\mathcal{W}}(N_2(\mathbb{C}_{d\downarrow})^\dagger)$.*

Then the induced functor $F_{\mathcal{W}}: L_{\mathcal{W}}(N_2(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)) \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}(N_2(\mathbb{D}^\dagger))$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

Proof. Since, by assumption, marked morphisms are initial and marked-ness is stable under composition (since we are dealing with 2-categories with weak equivalences), conditions 1, 2, and 3 of [Theorem 4.0.1](#) are all immediate. The corollary then follows. \square

Remark 5.0.2. We say that this criterion is ‘apparently stronger’ because in fact [Corollary 5.0.1](#) is equivalent to [Theorem 4.0.1](#). To see the reverse implication, let $F: \mathbb{C}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^\dagger$ be a functor of marked 2-categories satisfying the criteria of [Theorem 4.0.1](#).

Since g_d becomes an equivalence in $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{D}^\dagger)$, we can add $\{g_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{D}}$ to the set of marked morphisms of \mathbb{D} without changing the localization. Since precomposition with $g_d: d \rightarrowtail F(c)$ sends the initial object $\text{id}_{F(c)}$ to g_d , we also note that precomposition with g_d preserves initial objects in the localized slices. More generally, we can close under composition without changing the localizations, so we set

$\mathbb{C}^s := Q(\mathbb{C}^\dagger)$ and $\mathbb{D}^s := Q(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{D} \cup \{g_d\}_{d \in \mathbb{D}})$. We will show that \mathbb{C}^s and \mathbb{D}^s satisfy the hypotheses of [Corollary 5.0.1](#).

1. Note that $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{d\backslash}^\dagger) \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{d\backslash}^s)$ is a localization map, so every initial object in the former is also initial in the latter. In particular, for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the object $\text{id}_{F(c)}$ is initial in $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{F(c)\backslash}^s)$.
2. If we let $f : d \longrightarrow F(c)$ be a marked morphism in \mathbb{D}^s , it is immediate from the construction that $f^* : L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{d\backslash}^\dagger) \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{b\backslash}^\dagger)$ preserves initial objects. A brief consideration of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{d\backslash}^\dagger) & \longrightarrow & L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{d\backslash}^s) \\ f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{b\backslash}^\dagger) & \longrightarrow & L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{b\backslash}^s) \end{array}$$

then shows that $f^* : L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{d\backslash}^s) \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{b\backslash}^s)$ preserves initial objects.

The hypotheses of [Corollary 5.0.1](#) immediately follow. For every $d \in \mathbb{D}$, there is a marked morphism $g_d : d \longrightarrow F(c)$ which shows the first hypothesis is satisfied. Moreover, for any marked morphism $f : d \longrightarrow F(c)$ in \mathbb{D}^s , the fact that f^* preserves initial objects, and $\text{id}_{F(c)}$ is initial shows that $f = \text{id}_{F(c)} \circ f$ is initial in $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{C}_{d\backslash}^s)$, which shows the second hypothesis to be satisfied.

Having derived this corollary, we turn to the ways in which [Corollary 5.0.1](#) generalizes other results in the literature. We begin with a proposition of Bullejos and Cegarra (from [\[5\]](#)), the criterion of which is closest in spirit to ours.

Proposition 5.0.3 (Bullejos and Cegarra). *Let $F : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a 2-functor. Suppose that, for every $d \in \mathbb{D}$, there is a homotopy equivalence $|N_2(\mathbb{C}_{d\backslash})| \simeq *$. Then $|F| : |N_2(\mathbb{C})| \longrightarrow |N_2(\mathbb{D})|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. We view \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{D} as having every 1-morphism marked, so that the localizations $L_{\mathcal{W}}(N_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and $L_{\mathcal{W}}(N_2(\mathbb{D}))$ coincide with geometric realizations $|N_2(\mathbb{C})|$ and $|N_2(\mathbb{D})|$, respectively. Since the slice 2-categories $\mathbb{C}_{d\backslash}$ are non-empty and contractible it is immediate that both of the criteria of [Corollary 5.0.1](#) are fulfilled. The proposition follows. \square

Remark 5.0.4. The proof of [Proposition 5.0.3](#) presented in [\[5\]](#) uses more classical techniques — like the yoga of bisimplicial sets — to obtain the result. In this sense, their proof is not dissimilar to Quillen’s original proof of Theorem A. Much as Theorem A has been proved and reproved using new layers of technology (see, e.g. [\[12\]](#)), [Proposition 5.0.3](#) can be approached either from classical homotopy-theoretic or from ∞ -categorical viewpoints.

We now turn to an ∞ -categorical proposition of Walde (from [\[15\]](#)), which at first blush resembles [Theorem 4.0.1](#) rather less. It will turn out that this is again a special case of [Corollary 5.0.1](#), and provides an example of a special case in which the criteria are easier to check 1-categorically. We first develop a bit of notation, following [\[15\]](#)

Definition 5.0.5. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor of 1-categories. For each $d \in \mathcal{C}$, we define the *weak fiber* $\mathcal{C}_d \subset \mathcal{C}_{d\backslash}$ to be the full subcategory on the isomorphisms $d \xrightarrow{\sim} f(c)$. We similarly define $\mathcal{C}^d \subset \mathcal{C}_{d\backslash}$ to be the full subcategory on the isomorphisms.

Proposition 5.0.6. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor of 1-categories. Suppose that for each $d \in \mathcal{D}$*

1. There is a contractible subcategory $\mathcal{B}_d \subset \mathcal{C}_d$
2. The inclusion $i_d: \mathcal{B}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{d/}$ is coinitial.

Let $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the wide subcategory of morphisms f such that $F(f)$ is an isomorphism. Then

$$F_{\mathcal{W}}: L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

Proof. We consider \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} as categories with weak equivalences, where the marking on \mathcal{C} is given by \mathcal{W} , and the marking on \mathcal{D} consists of the isomorphisms. By the first hypothesis, there is at least one isomorphism $d \xrightarrow{\simeq} F(c)$ for each $d \in \mathcal{C}$, so the first condition in [Corollary 5.0.1](#) is satisfied.

Since \mathcal{B}_d is contractible and i_d is coinitial, the induced functor on localizations $i_d: L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{B}_d) \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C}_{d/})$ is coinitial. It thus follows that the elements of \mathcal{B}_d are initial in $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C}_{d/})$. Moreover, since i_d is coinitial, given an isomorphism $f: d \xrightarrow{\simeq} F(c)$, the slice category $(\mathcal{B}_d)_{/f}$ is non-empty, i.e. we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & d & \\ g \swarrow & & \searrow f \\ F(b) & \xrightarrow{F(h)} & F(c) \end{array}$$

where $g \in \mathcal{B}_d$. By 2-out-of-3, this means that $F(h)$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{D} , and thus $h \in \mathcal{W}$. Therefore, g and f are equivalent in $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C}_{d/})$, and thus f is initial.

The criteria of [Corollary 5.0.1](#) are thus satisfied, and the conclusion follows immediately. \square

Corollary 5.0.7 (Walde). *Let $F: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor of 1-categories. Suppose that for each $d \in \mathcal{D}$*

1. There is a subcategory $\mathcal{B}_d \subset \mathcal{C}^d$ and an initial object $f_d \in \mathcal{B}_d$.
2. The inclusion $i_d: \mathcal{B}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{d/}$ is cofinal.

Let $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the wide subcategory of morphisms f such that $F(f)$ is an isomorphism. Then

$$F_{\mathcal{W}}: L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.

Proof. This follows immediately from [Proposition 5.0.6](#) applied to $F^{\text{op}}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$. \square

Remark 5.0.8. The criteria of [Proposition 5.0.6](#) and those Walde are of particular interest despite being less general for several reasons. Firstly, they provide conditions under which a 1-category can be viewed as an ∞ -categorical localization of another category. Walde uses the proposition to give a model for invertible cyclic ∞ -operads in terms of ∞ -functors out of a 1-category. Secondly, these conditions lend themselves more easily to direct computation.

6 The cofinality conjecture

In this section we develop a basic theory of marked colimits of 2-categories, providing a natural framework to interpret [Theorem 4.0.1](#) as a cofinality statement. The larger context of Quillen's Theorem A is that two important properties coincide (though this is no coincidence): the criterion

that a functor F must satisfy in Quillen's Theorem A is *equivalent* to precomposition with F preserving $(\infty, 1)$ -colimits. Moreover, the truncation of the criterion is equivalent to precomposition with F preserving strict 1-categorical colimits.

Here, we provide an analogue of the latter statement one rung up the ladder of categorification. We show that an appropriately decategorified version of the criterion for Theorem A † is equivalent to precomposition with F preserving marked colimits of 2-categories.

If we view the framework discussed in this section as a reflection of a possible theory of marked $(\infty, 2)$ -colimits which truncates to the 2-categorical theory, this statement may be taken as evidence that the dual nature of Quillen's criterion generalizes to the 2-categorical case. The precise formulation of this is the *cofinality conjecture* developed at the end of the section.

6.1 Marked colimits

We begin with the key definitions and properties necessary to work with marked 2-colimits.

Definition 6.1.1. Let \mathbb{C}^\dagger be a marked 2-category. We define a 2-functor

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger}^\dagger : \mathbb{C}^{(\text{op}, -)} &\longrightarrow \text{Cat} \\ c &\longmapsto \text{ho} \left(\mathbb{C}_{c^\dagger} \right)^\dagger [W^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6.1.2. Let \mathbb{C}^\dagger be a marked 2-category. Given a 2-category \mathbb{A} and a 2-functor $F : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} N_F^\dagger : \mathbb{A} &\longrightarrow \text{Cat} \\ a &\longmapsto \text{Nat} \left(\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger}^\dagger, \mathbb{A}(F(-), a) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6.1.3. We call a natural transformation $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathbb{C}^\dagger}^\dagger \Longrightarrow \mathbb{A}(F(-), a)$ a *marked cocone* for F . It is easy to check that such natural transformation is uniquely determined by the following data:

- An object $a \in \mathbb{A}$.
- For every object $c \in \mathbb{C}^\dagger$ a 1-morphism $\alpha_c : F(c) \longrightarrow d$.
- For every morphism $c \xrightarrow{u} c'$ in \mathbb{C}^\dagger a 2-morphism $\alpha_u : \alpha_c \Longrightarrow \alpha_{c'} \circ F(u)$.

These data are subject to the conditions:

1. For every marked morphism u in \mathbb{C}^\dagger , the 2-morphism α_u is invertible.
2. Given a pair of 1-morphisms $c \xrightarrow{u} c' \xrightarrow{v} c''$, the composite

$$\alpha_c \xrightarrow{\alpha_u} \alpha_{c'} \circ F(u) \xrightarrow{\alpha_v * F(u)} \alpha_{c''} \circ F(vu)$$

equals α_{vu} and similarly we have that α_{id} is the identity 2-cell.

3. Given a 2-morphism $\beta : u \Longrightarrow w$, the 2-morphism $\alpha_c \xrightarrow{\alpha_u} \alpha_{c'} \circ F(u) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{c'} * \beta} \alpha_{c'} \circ F(w)$ equals α_w .

Remark 6.1.4. It is worth noting that this definition is effectively the same as that of [8]. In the minimally and maximally marked cases it specializes to the notion of pseudocolimit and lax colimit, respectively.

Definition 6.1.5. Let \mathbb{A}^\dagger be a marked 2-category and consider a 2-functor

$$F: \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}$$

We define a marked 2-category $\text{El}(F)^\dagger$, as follows:

- Objects are pairs (a, x) where $a \in \mathbb{A}$ and $x \in F(a)$.
- 1-morphisms from (a, x) to (a', y) are given by pairs (u, φ) where $a \xrightarrow{u} a'$ in \mathbb{A} and $\varphi: F(u)x \longrightarrow y$ in $F(a')$.
- 2-morphisms $(u, \varphi) \Longrightarrow (v, \psi)$ are given by a 2-morphism $u \xrightarrow{\theta} v$ in \mathbb{A} making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(u)x & \xrightarrow{F(\theta)_x} & F(v)x \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & y & \end{array}$$

We equip $\text{El}(F)^\dagger$ with a marking by declaring (u, φ) to be marked if and only if u is marked and φ is an isomorphism.

Remark 6.1.6. This is just a special case of the 2-categorical Grothendieck construction of [3] decorated with a marking. Observe that the edges marked are locally coCartesian and that the map $\text{El}(F)^\dagger \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is a scaled coCartesian fibration (cf. Proposition 6.9 and Lemma 6.10 from [9]).

Definition 6.1.7. We define the marked 2-category, $\mathbb{A}_{F, \uparrow}^\dagger$ of marked cocones for F as $\text{El}(N_F^\dagger)$. Unraveling the definitions we obtain the following description:

- Objects are given by marked cones.
- Given two marked cones, $\{\alpha_c: F(c) \longrightarrow a\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$, $\{\beta_c: F(c) \longrightarrow a'\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$ we define a morphism $\{\alpha_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \{\beta_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$ to be the data of a 1-morphism $\theta: a \longrightarrow a'$ and a family of 2-morphisms,

$$\{\varepsilon_c: \theta \circ \alpha_c \Longrightarrow \beta_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}},$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \theta \circ \alpha_c & \xlongequal{\quad} & \beta_c \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \theta \circ \alpha_{c'} \circ F(u) & \Longrightarrow & \beta_{c'} \circ F(u) \end{array}$$

commutes for every morphism u in \mathbb{C} .

- Given two morphisms $\{\varepsilon_c, \theta\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}, \{\eta_c, \gamma\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$ we define a 2-morphism to be the data of a 2-morphism $\theta \Longrightarrow \gamma$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \theta \circ \alpha_c & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_c} & \beta_c \\ \Downarrow & \nearrow \eta_c & \\ \gamma \circ \alpha_c & & \end{array} .$$

commute

We declare a morphism to be marked if the 2-morphisms ε_c are all invertible.

Definition 6.1.8. Given a marked category \mathbb{C}^\dagger and a 2-functor $F: \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$, we say that $a \in \mathbb{A}$ is the *marked colimit* of F if there exists a natural transformation $\mathbb{A}(a, -) \Longrightarrow N_F^\dagger$ which is a levelwise equivalence of categories. We will denote the marked colimit of F by $\text{colim}^\dagger F$.

Example 6.1.9. Let us equip $[2]$ with a marking by declaring all edges except $1 \rightarrow 2$ to be marked. We will denote this marked category by $[2]^\diamond$. Consider a pair of adjoint functors

$$L : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : R$$

such that $L \circ R = \text{id}_D$ is the counit of the adjunction. Let us define a functor

$$T : [2] \longrightarrow \text{Cat}$$

by mapping $T(0) = \mathcal{D}$, $T(1) = \mathcal{C}$ and $T(2) = \mathcal{D}$. We will denote the morphisms of $[2]$ by its source and target. Then, we define $T(01) = R$ and $T(12) = L$. We will show that $\text{colim}^\diamond T \simeq \mathcal{C}$.

To construct a marked cone for T we set $\alpha_0 = R$, $\alpha_1 = \text{id}_C$ and $\alpha_2 = R$. We set $\alpha_{01} = \text{id}_R$, $\alpha_{12} = \eta$ (the unit of the adjunction) and $T(02) = \text{id}_R$. Note that the triangle identities imply that this is indeed a marked cone. Using the 2-categorical Yoneda lemma (cf. e.g. Chapter 8 of [10]) we obtain a natural transformation

$$\mathcal{R} : \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, -) \Longrightarrow N_T^\diamond.$$

We check that \mathcal{R} is a levelwise equivalence of categories. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Cat}$, and consider the functor

$$\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{X} : \text{Cat}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \text{Nat} \left(\widehat{[2]}_{[2]^\diamond}^\diamond, \text{Cat}(T(-), \mathcal{X}) \right).$$

Unwinding the definitions, it is easy to check that $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{X}$ is fully faithful. To show essential surjectivity let $\{\beta_i\}_{i \in [2]}$ be a marked cone with tip \mathcal{X} . We construct a morphism of marked cones $\{\varepsilon_i : \beta_1 \circ \alpha_i \Longrightarrow \beta_i\}_{i \in [2]}$ as follows: First we note that we have by assumption an invertible 2-morphism

$$\beta_{01} : \beta_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \beta_1 \circ R = \beta_1 \circ \alpha_0$$

So we set $\varepsilon_0 = (\beta_{01})^{-1}$. It is clear that we can set $\varepsilon_1 = \text{id}_{\beta_1}$. Let us consider the 2-morphism

$$\beta_{12} : \beta_1 \Longrightarrow \beta_2 \circ L$$

then whiskering with R we obtain a 2-morphism $\beta_{12} * R : \beta_1 \circ R \Longrightarrow \beta_2$. Since the composite

$$\beta_0 \Longrightarrow \beta_1 \circ R \Longrightarrow \beta_2$$

is invertible, so is $(\beta_{12} * R)$ and we set $\varepsilon_2 = (\beta_{12} * R)$. The only nontrivial verification left to do in order to show that we have defined a morphism of marked cones is to exhibit commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \beta_1 \circ \alpha_1 & \Longrightarrow & \beta_1 \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \beta_1 \circ \alpha_2 \circ L & \Longrightarrow & \beta_2 \circ L \end{array}$$

which follows immediately from the triangle identities. Since the 2-morphisms ε_i are all invertible we conclude that we have defined a marked edge in the category of marked cones. This finishes the proof.

Theorem 6.1.10. *Let \mathbb{C}^\dagger be a marked 2-category and consider a 2-functor*

$$F : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}.$$

Equip $\text{ho}(\text{El}(F)^\dagger)$ with the induced marking and denote its 1-categorical localization by $\text{ho}(\text{El}(F))[W^{-1}]$. Then, we have an equivalence of categories $\text{colim}^\dagger F \cong \text{ho}(\text{El}(F))[W^{-1}]$.

Proof. We define functors $\alpha_c: F(c) \longrightarrow \text{ho}(\text{El}(F))[W^{-1}]$ sending an object x to (c, x) and sending a morphism $x \xrightarrow{\varphi} y$ to the pair (id_c, φ) . Given a 1-morphism $u: c \longrightarrow c'$ in \mathbb{C} we construct a natural transformation $\alpha_u: \alpha_c \Longrightarrow \alpha_{c'} \circ F(u)$ whose component at x is given by

$$(u, \text{id}_{F(u)x}): (c, x) \longrightarrow (c', F(u)x).$$

We now check that the conditions of [Definition 6.1.3](#) are satisfied, thus defining a marked cocone. Note that the components of α_u are marked whenever u is marked morphism in \mathbb{C} . This implies that condition 1 is satisfied. Condition 2 is satisfied immediately by construction. Finally to show that this family of natural transformations is compatible with the 2-morphisms of \mathbb{C} (condition 3), observe that given $u \Longrightarrow v$ in \mathbb{C} the components of the natural transformation

$$\alpha_c \xrightarrow{\alpha_u} \alpha_{c'} \circ F(u) \Longrightarrow \alpha_{c'} \circ F(v)$$

are related to the components of α_v by a 2-morphism in $\text{El}(F)$ and therefore become equal in the homotopy category. It is a straightforward exercise to check that this cone represents the functor N_F^\dagger . \square

Example 6.1.11. We return again to the setting of [Example 6.1.9](#), considering the functor

$$T: [2] \longrightarrow \text{Cat}$$

which picks out the adjoint functors L and R , together with the composite $L \circ R = \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$. Define $\text{El}(T)_{\leq 1} \subset \text{El}(T)$ to be the full subcategory on the objects over 0 or 1 (i.e. the Grothendieck construction of the functor picking out R as a morphism in Cat), and equip it with the induced marking $\text{El}(T)_{\leq 1}^\dagger$.

Since both markings are composition closed, we can apply the dual of [Corollary 5.0.1](#) to the inclusion

$$I: \text{El}(T)_{\leq 1}^\dagger \longrightarrow \text{El}(T)^\dagger.$$

The criteria are trivially satisfied for objects over 0 or 1. In the case of an object $(2, d) \in \text{El}(T)^\dagger$, it is immediate that the morphism $(1, R(d)) \rightarrow (2, d)$ given by id_d is terminal. For a marked morphism $\phi: (0, b) \rightarrow (2, d)$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (0, b) & \xrightarrow{R(\phi)} & (1, R(d)) \\ & \searrow \phi \circ & \swarrow \text{id}_d \\ & (2, d) & \end{array}$$

commutes. It follows that every marked morphism is equivalent to id_d in the slice category, and thus is terminal. Therefore I induces an equivalence on ∞ -localizations.

One can then easily check that the obvious functors

$$\mathcal{C}^\natural \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{El}(T)_{\leq 1}^\dagger \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{C}^\natural$$

satisfy $r \circ \iota = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$, and that there is a marked natural transformation $\text{id}_{\text{El}(T)_{\leq 1}^\dagger} \Longrightarrow \iota \circ r$, so that r and ι induce an equivalence on ∞ -categorical localizations. One can also show this by applying

the undualized version of [Corollary 5.0.1](#) to $\iota: \mathcal{C}^\natural \longrightarrow \text{El}(T)_{\leq 1}^\dagger$.

It is worth noting that it is no accident that this is an equivalence of ∞ -categorical localizations, rather than just a 1-categorical one. In a hypothetical $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical theory of marked colimits, the adjunction data should still give the $(\infty, 2)$ -marked colimit of T . We would thus expect the Grothendieck construction, once ∞ -localized, to compute the $(\infty, 2)$ -marked colimit, to be equivalent to \mathcal{C} .

Remark 6.1.12. Let C^\dagger be a marked 1-category and consider the constant functor

$$\underline{*}: C \longrightarrow \text{Cat}$$

with value the terminal category $*$. Then [Theorem 6.1.10](#) shows that its 1-categorical localization $C^\dagger[W^{-1}]$ is the marked colimit of the functor $\underline{*}$.

6.2 A criterion for cofinality

We now come to the decategorified condition and the discussion of (strict) marked cofinality.

Definition 6.2.1. Let $f: \mathbb{C}^\dagger \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^\dagger$ be a marked 2-functor. We say that f is *marked cofinal* if and only if, for every 2-functor $F: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$, the canonical restriction map

$$N_F^\dagger \Longrightarrow N_{f^*F}^\dagger$$

is a levelwise equivalence of categories.

Theorem 6.2.2. Let $f: \mathbb{C}^\dagger \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^\dagger$ be a marked 2-functor. Then, f is cofinal if and only if the following conditions hold

1. For every object $d \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists a morphism $g_d: d \longrightarrow f(c)$ which is initial in both $\text{ho}(\mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ and $\text{ho}(\mathbb{D}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$.
2. Every marked morphism $d \longrightarrow f(c)$ is initial in $\text{ho}(\mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$.
3. For any marked morphism $f: b \longrightarrow d$ in \mathbb{D} , the induced functors

$$f^*: \text{ho}(\mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \longrightarrow \text{ho}(\mathbb{D}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$$

preserve initial objects.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $d \in \mathbb{D}$, and define the functor

$$\begin{aligned} R_d: \mathbb{D} &\longrightarrow \text{Cat} \\ d' &\longmapsto \mathbb{D}(d, d') \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate that $\text{El}(R_d) = \mathbb{D}_{d\uparrow}$ (resp. $\text{El}(f^*R_d) = \mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}$). Invoking [Theorem 6.1.10](#) and the fact that f is cofinal, we obtain an equivalence of categories

$$f_d: \text{ho}(\mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[\mathcal{W}^{-1}] \longrightarrow \text{ho}(\mathbb{D}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[\mathcal{W}^{-1}].$$

Using the fact that f_d is essentially surjective we can find some $g_d: d \longrightarrow f(c)$ in $\text{ho}(\mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ that becomes equivalent to the identity map in $\text{ho}(\mathbb{D}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$. It is clear that g_d is initial in

both categories since equivalences of categories preserve and reflect initial objects. Since marked morphisms are initial in $\text{ho}(\mathbb{D}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[W^{-1}]$ the second condition is immediate from the fact that f_d is an equivalence.

Let $b \xrightarrow{u} d$ be a marked morphism and consider the following commutative diagram induced by precomposition with u

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ho}(\mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[W^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{f_d} & \text{ho}(\mathbb{D}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[W^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{ho}(\mathbb{C}_{b\uparrow}^\dagger)[W^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{f_b} & \text{ho}(\mathbb{D}_{b\uparrow}^\dagger)[W^{-1}] \end{array}$$

Then it is clear that $u \sim u \circ g_d$. This implies that $u \circ g_d$ is initial in both categories, so the third condition holds.

(\Leftarrow) Let $F: \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$ be a 2-functor. We will show that the restriction functor

$$f^*: \mathbb{A}_{F\uparrow}^\dagger \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{f^*F\uparrow}^\dagger$$

is an equivalence of categories after passages to fibers. We fix once and for all a choice of morphisms

$$\{\gamma_d: d \longrightarrow f(c_d)\}_{d \in \mathbb{D}}$$

satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Let $\{\alpha_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$ be a marked cocone for f^*F . For every $d \in \mathbb{D}$ we define a functor

$$\hat{T}_d^\alpha: \mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}(F(d), a)$$

by sending an object $d \longrightarrow f(c)$ to the composite $F(d) \longrightarrow F(f(c)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_c} a$. Given a morphism in $\mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger$ we map to the 2-morphism obtained by pasting the diagram below

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F(f(c)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_c} a \\ & \uparrow \theta & \downarrow \alpha_u \\ F(d) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F(f(c')) \end{array}$$

Let us note that if two 1-morphisms u, v in $\mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger$ are related by a 2-morphism then

$$\hat{T}_d^\alpha(u) = \hat{T}_d^\alpha(v).$$

Moreover, one can immediately check that \hat{T}_d^α maps marked edges to invertible 2-morphisms. Therefore, we obtain a factorization

$$T_d^\alpha: \text{ho}(\mathbb{C}_{d\uparrow}^\dagger)[W^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}(F(d), a).$$

Finally, we observe that for any $w: d \longrightarrow d'$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{ho} \left(\mathbb{C}_{d \nearrow}^\dagger \right) [W^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{T_{d'}^\alpha} & \mathbb{A}(F(d'), a) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{ho} \left(\mathbb{C}_{d \nearrow}^\dagger \right) [W^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{T_d^\alpha} & \mathbb{A}(F(d), a) \end{array}$$

where the vertical morphisms are induced by precomposition by w (resp. $F(w)$).

Our aim is to produce an inverse to the restriction functor

$$f_! : \mathbb{A}_{f^* F \nearrow}^\dagger \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{F \nearrow}^\dagger.$$

We define the action of $f_!$ on a marked cone $\{\alpha_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$ by the formula

$$f_!(\alpha)_d = T_d^\alpha(\gamma_d).$$

Given a morphism $w: d \longrightarrow d'$ we consider the following diagram in $\mathrm{ho} \left(\mathbb{C}_{d \nearrow}^\dagger \right) [W^{-1}]$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d & \xrightarrow{w} & d' \\ \downarrow \gamma_d & & \downarrow \gamma_{d'} \\ f(c_d) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{d,d'}} & f(c_{d'}) \end{array}$$

and observe that the dotted arrow exists and is unique by our hypothesis. It is worth noting that if w were marked, we would obtain a morphism between initial objects and hence an isomorphism. Now we define

$$f_!(\alpha)_w = T_d^\alpha(\gamma_{d,d'}).$$

It is straightforward to check that conditions of [Definition 6.1.3](#) are satisfied. Thus $f_!$ is well defined on objects. Given $\theta: a \longrightarrow a'$ and a morphism of marked cones $\{\varepsilon_c: \theta \circ \alpha_c \Longrightarrow \beta_c\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$ we can produce natural transformation of functors $\eta_d: (\theta * T)_d^\alpha \Longrightarrow T_d^\beta$ for every $d \in \mathbb{D}$. Here $(\theta * T)_d^\alpha$ denotes the functor induced by postcomposition with θ . This shows that we can define $f_!(\theta)_d = \eta_d(\gamma_d)$. After some routine verifications we clearly see that the assignment on morphisms is functorial. The corresponding definition of the action $f_!$ on 2-morphisms is analogous.

It is a straightforward exercise to check that the functors

$$f^* : \mathbb{A}_{F \nearrow}^\dagger \rightleftarrows \mathbb{A}_{f^* F \nearrow}^\dagger : f_!$$

induce equivalences of categories upon passage to fibers. Using [Remark 6.1.6](#) we see that f^* is an equivalence of scaled coCartesian fibrations which in turn implies that the natural transformation $N_F^\dagger \Longrightarrow N_{f^* F}^\dagger$ is a levelwise weak equivalence. \square

Remark 6.2.3. The criteria of [Theorem 6.2.2](#) are quite sensitive to the choice of marking — so much so, in fact, that operations which do not change the ∞ -categorical localization (closing under 2-out-of-3, for example), can substantially alter marked cofinality. [Example 6.1.9](#) provides an excellent demonstration of this characteristic — if we take the marked colimit of $T: [2] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}$ where $[2]$ is equipped with the marking $[2]^\diamond$ from [Example 6.1.9](#), the marked colimit is the category \mathcal{C} . However, if we take the marking $[2]^\sharp$, the marked colimit is the pseudocolimit, and is thus equivalent to

\mathcal{D} . This observation is also borne out by [Theorem 6.2.2](#) as applied to $[2]^\diamond \longrightarrow [2]^\sharp$. The category $\text{ho}([2]_1^\diamond)[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to $[1]$. However, the marked morphism $1 \rightarrow 2$ in $[2]^\sharp$ corresponds to $1 \in [1]$, and thus is not initial, so $[2]^\diamond \longrightarrow [2]^\sharp$ is not marked cofinal.

Remark 6.2.4. In an earlier version of this paper, we deployed another definition of a marked colimit in a 2-category. Under this definition, we asserted that, in analogy to the 1-categorical case, a marked colimit is a 2-initial object in an appropriate 2-category of marked cocones. The recent paper [\[7\]](#), convinced us that, while there is a connection between this definition and the one given in the current version of the paper, the current version is the correct notion of a marked colimit. A representation of the functor N_F^\dagger determines a 2-initial object in the 2-category of marked cocones, however, the existence of such a 2-initial object does *not* imply that the functor in question is representable. Our ongoing work on the cofinality conjecture had also led us to the conclusion that the approach based on representable functors lends itself far better to the ∞ -categorical case.

6.3 The cofinality conjecture

We now turn to our main purpose in discussing marked 2-colimits: the cofinality conjecture. Before this, however, we offer some intermediate conjectures which suggest the broad strokes of a larger theory. In this section we will make use of some concepts which have not yet been rigorously defined, for instance lax and oplax slices of an $(\infty, 2)$ -functor.

Our first conjecture concerns the background notion of $(\infty, 2)$ -colimit:

Conjecture 6.3.1. *There is a theory of marked $(\infty, 2)$ -colimits in any $(\infty, 2)$ -category categorifying the strict 2-categorical theory. Such a theory should take as input a functor $F: X \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where X is a marked simplicial set, and yield a marked $(\infty, 2)$ -colimit cone over F as output.*

Examining the 2-categorical definition more closely, we also expect certain special cases to arise:

Conjecture 6.3.2. *In the case of the ∞ -bicategory \mathfrak{Cat}_∞ :*

- *The marked $(\infty, 2)$ -colimit of a functor $F: X^\diamond \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Cat}_\infty$ coincides with the lax ∞ -colimit of the underlying functor of F .*
- *The marked $(\infty, 2)$ -colimit of a functor $F: X^\sharp \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Cat}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Cat}_\infty$ coincides with the $(\infty, 1)$ -colimit.*

We will now assume that [Conjecture 6.3.1](#) holds. There is good reason to believe this should be true — using techniques similar to those of [\[11, Notation 4.1.5\]](#), one can write down an analogue of the category of marked cocones. The difficulties that then follow are technical: proving fibrancy, identifying functoriality, and relating various dual constructions (the last is somewhat complicated by the fact that the Duskin 2-nerve forces us to fix a convention on the direction of compositors, and does not play well with dualizing 2-morphisms).

Based both on [Theorem A[†]](#) and on the relation of the decategorified criterion to marked 2-colimits, we then propose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 6.3.3 (The cofinality conjecture). *Precomposition with a marked $(\infty, 2)$ -functor*

$$F: \mathcal{C}^\dagger \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^\dagger$$

preserves marked $(\infty, 2)$ -colimits if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied for every object $d \in \mathcal{D}$:

- *There is an object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $d \longrightarrow F(c)$ initial in the $(\infty, 1)$ -localizations of the slices $(\mathcal{C}_{d\uparrow})^\dagger$ and $(\mathcal{D}_{d\uparrow})^\dagger$.*

- Every marked morphism is initial in the $(\infty, 1)$ -localization of the marked $(\infty, 2)$ slice category $(\mathcal{C}_{d\uparrow})^\dagger$.
- For any marked morphism $f : d \longrightarrow b$ the induced functor $f^* : L_{\mathcal{W}}((\mathcal{C}_{b\uparrow})^\dagger) \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}((\mathcal{C}_{d\uparrow})^\dagger)$ preserves initial objects.

Remark 6.3.1. Establishing the appropriate definitions and proving the cofinality conjecture is the subject of ongoing work. In the upcoming paper [2], the first author proves the cofinality conjecture for functors $F : \mathcal{C}^\dagger \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Cat}_\infty$, where \mathcal{C}^\dagger is a marked $(\infty, 1)$ -category.

References

- [1] Abellán García, F., Dyckerhoff, T., and Stern, W. H. “A relative 2-nerve”. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology* (To appear)
- [2] Abellán García, F. “Marked cofinality for ∞ -functors.” *In preparation*
- [3] Buckley, M. “Fibred 2-categories and bicategories” *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 6.14 (jun. 2014), pp. 1034–1074. doi: [10.1016/j.jpaa.2013.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2013.11.002)
- [4] Bullejos, M., Blanco, V., and Faro, E. “A Full and faithful Nerve for 2-categories” *Applied Categorical Structures* 6.05 (jun. 2005), pp. 223—233. doi: [10.1007/s10485-005-2957-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10485-005-2957-6)
- [5] Bullejos, M. and Cegarra, A. M. “On the geometry of 2-categories and their classifying spaces” *K-Theory* 7.03 (jul. 2003), pp. 211–229. doi: [10.1023/B:KTHE.0000006921.50151.00](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:KTHE.0000006921.50151.00)
- [6] Cisinski, D. “Higher Categories and Homotopical Algebra” *Cambridge University Press* 2019
- [7] Clingman, T. and Moser, L. “2-limits and 2-terminal objects are too different.” 2020. arXiv: [2004.01313](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.01313)
- [8] Descotte, M. E., Dubuc, E. J., and Szyld, M. “Sigma limits in 2-categories and flat pseudo-functors” *Adv. Math.* 7.18 (jul. 2018), pp. 266–313. doi: [10.1016/j.aim.2018.05.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2018.05.021)
- [9] Harpaz, Y., Nuiten, J., and Prasma, M. “Quillen cohomology of $(\infty, 2)$ -categories” 2018. arXiv: [1802.08046](https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.08046)
- [10] Johnson, N. and Yau, D. “2-Dimensional Categories.” arXiv: [2002.06055](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.06055)
- [11] Lurie, Jacob. “ $(\infty, 2)$ -categories and the Goodwillie Calculus”. 2009. arXiv: [0905.0462](https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.0462)
- [12] Lurie, Jacob. *Higher Topos Theory*. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [13] Lurie, Jacob. *Kerodon* <https://kerodon.net>
- [14] Quillen, Daniel. “Higher algebraic K-theory: I” *Higher K-Theories* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 341. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. doi: [10.1007/BFb0067053](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0067053)
- [15] Walde, Tashi. “2-Segal spaces as invertible infinity-operads”. 2018 arXiv: [1709.09935](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.09935)