# Investigation of the <sup>7</sup>Li(p,n) neutron fields at high energies

Benjamin Brückner<sup>1,\*</sup>, Philipp Erbacher<sup>1</sup>, Kathrin Göbel<sup>1</sup>, Tanja Heftrich<sup>1</sup>, Kafa Khasawneh<sup>1</sup>, Deniz Kurtulgil<sup>1</sup>, Christoph Langer<sup>3</sup>, Ralf Nolte<sup>2</sup>, Markus Reich<sup>1</sup>, René Reifarth<sup>1</sup>, Benedikt Thomas<sup>1</sup>, Mario Weigand<sup>1</sup>, Michael Wiescher<sup>4</sup>, and Meiko Volknandt<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** The neutron activation method is well-suited to investigate neutron-capture cross sections relevant for the main s-process component. Neutrons can be produced via the  $^{7}$ Li(p,n) reaction with proton energies of 1912 keV at e.g. Van de Graaff accelerators, which results in a quasi-Maxwellian spectrum of neutrons corresponding to a temperature of  $k_{\rm B}T=25$  keV. However, the weak s-process takes place in massive stars at temperatures between 25 and 90 keV. Simulations using the PINO code [2] suggest that a Maxwellian spectrum for higher energies, e.g.  $k_{\rm B}T=90$  keV, can be approximated by a linear combination of different neutron spectra. To validate the PINO code at proton energies  $E_{\rm p}\neq 1912$  keV, neutron time-of-flight measurements were carried out at the PTB Ion Accelerator Facility (PIAF) at the Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt in Braunschweig, Germany.

## 1 Introduction

The s-process is divided into the main and weak component. Differences lie in the neutron densities, neutron-to-seed ratios and the temperatures [1]. The main s-process component, which contributes mostly to nuclei with mass numbers above  $A \approx 90$ , takes place at  $k_BT = 25 \, \text{keV}$  in thermal pulsing low mass asymptotic giant branch (TP-AGB) stars. In contrast, the weak s-process takes place in massive stars with more than 8 solar masses at temperatures between  $k_BT = 25 \, \text{keV}$  and  $k_BT = 90 \, \text{keV}$ . Nuclei in the mass number range between 60 and 90 are mostly created in this environment. Since the neutron fluence in the weak s-process is too low to achieve reaction flow equilibrium, a particular neutron-capture cross section not only influences the abundance of the respective isotope, but affects the abundances of all heavier isotopes as well [3].

### 1.1 Activation technique

The activation technique has been proven to be a well-suited tool to investigate neutroncapture cross sections relevant for the main s-process component: A quasi-stellar neutron

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Max-von-Laue-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Bundesallee 100, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>FH Aachen, Heinrich-Mußmann-Straße 1, 52428 Jülich, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>University of Notre Dame, 46556 Indiana, USA

<sup>\*</sup>e-mail: brueckner@iap.uni-frankfurt.de

spectrum corresponding to a temperature of  $k_BT = 25 \,\text{keV}$  can be obtained by bombarding a several  $\mu$ m thick metallic lithium target with protons at an energy of 1912 keV. The neutrons are emitted in a forward cone of 120° opening angle, which has to be covered by the sample [5]. The neutron capture cross section is determined as an integral value over the neutron energy distribution. A quasi-stellar neutron spectrum similar to a  $k_BT = 90 \,\text{keV}$  Maxwellian neutron energy spectrum cannot be reproduced by only one proton beam energy. However, simulations using PINO [2] suggest a linear combination of neutron distributions to approximate a quasi-stellar distribution with  $k_BT = 90 \,\text{keV}$  or other temperatures using the  $^7\text{Li}(p,n)^7\text{Be}$  reaction. The results of the simulations are shown in Fig. 1. Hence, samples have to be activated with neutron distributions generated with various proton energies. The resulting spectrum-averaged cross sections (SACS) for each activation energy can be linearly combined to calculate the Maxwellian-averaged cross section (MACS).




Figure 1. Simulated neutron energy distributions for the synthesis of an energy distribution with  $k_BT = 90 \, \text{keV}$ .

# 2 Experiment


We want to verify and improve the accuracy of the PINO simulations for energies other than  $E_{\rm p}=1912\,{\rm keV}$ . Therefore, we investigated the angular energy distribution of the neutrons from the  $^7{\rm Li}({\rm p,n})^7{\rm Be}$  reaction for different proton energies at the PTB Ion Accelerator Facility (PIAF) at the Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt in Braunschweig. The unique experimental setup of the low-scatter facility allows the production of neutron reference fields in open geometry [4]. The neutron energy was determined by the time-of-flight method, which relies on the periodical production of neutrons at a well-defined frequency [3], given by the fast pulsed proton beam which was delivered by a 2 MV Tandem accelerator with a maximum repetition rate of 2.5 MHz. The proton beam had a nominal pulse width of about 1.5 – 2 ns and a frequency of 1.25 MHz. The beam impinged on a metallic lithium target on tantalum backing with a thickness of 5  $\mu$ m, assuming a nominal density of 0.534 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. By measuring the neutron time-of-flight t for a certain length of flight path L the neutron energy  $E_{\rm n}$  can be determined by the classic approximation:

$$E_{\rm n} = \frac{1}{2} m_{\rm n} \left(\frac{L}{t}\right)^2 \tag{1}$$

Since the neutron-energy resolution can be enhanced by an increased flight path, but at the expense of neutron flux at the detector position, a flight path of  $L=0.7\,\mathrm{m}$  was selected as a compromise. Ten different proton energies were measured: 1.887 MeV, 1.897 MeV, 1.907 MeV, 1.912 MeV, 2.1 MeV, 2.2 MeV, 2.3 MeV, 2.5 MeV and 2.8 MeV. Measurements below 1.912 MeV were conducted for the energy calibration of the accelerator and to investigate the neutron production threshold. Neutron spectra were recorded using three  $^6\mathrm{Li}$ -Glass scintillation detectors mounted on movable arms. The neutron flux was monitored with two long counters positioned at  $16^\circ$  and  $98^\circ$  relative to the ion beam.

### 3 Results and Outlook

For each proton beam energy time-of-flight spectra were acquired at angles between  $0^{\circ}$  and  $95^{\circ}$  in  $5^{\circ}$  steps. The measurement durations varied between 15 and 60 min depending on the proton current and neutron yield of the reaction. During the measurements the proton current on target was  $0.7-1.5\,\mu A$ . The time-of-flight spectra were background corrected and the neutron yield was normalized using the recorded number of protons on the lithium target.



**Figure 2.** Neutron-per-Proton distribution over the measured angles. Each detector was simulated individually due to unique specifications and minor differences in target-detector distance.

For proton energies smaller than 2.3 MeV the resulting spectra as shown in Fig. 2 are in very good agreement with the PINO simulation. Above this energy, however, larger deviations are observable. Their origin is probably the uncertain description of the second neutron production channel  $^7\text{Li}(p,n)^7\text{Be}^m$ . As soon as the reason for the deviations becomes clear the PINO code will be adjusted.

### References

- [1] P. A. Seeger, W. A. Fowler and D. D. Clayton, The Astrophysical Journal 11 121 (1965)
- [2] R. Reifarth, M. Heil, F. Käppeler and R. Plag, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A **608** 139 143 (2009)
- [3] R. Reifarth, C. Lederer and F. Käppeler, Journal of Physics G 41 053101 (2014)
- [4] S. Roettger, R. Boettger, F. D. Brooks, A. Buffler, J. P. Meulders, R. Nolte, F. D. Smit and F. Wissmann, AIP Conference Proceedings 1175 375 381 (2009)
- [5] W. Ratynski and F. Käppeler, Physical Review C 37 595 (1988)