

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Functional Analysis





# Hypercontractivity of the semigroup of the fractional Laplacian on the n-sphere



Rupert L. Frank<sup>a,b</sup>, Paata Ivanisvili<sup>c,\*</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Mathematics 253-37, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- <sup>b</sup> Mathematisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilans Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 München, Germany
- $^{c}$  Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 January 2021 Accepted 14 June 2021 Available online 18 June 2021 Communicated by L. Gross

MSC: 39B62 42B35 47A30

Keywords: Hypercontractivity Poisson semigroup n-Sphere

## ABSTRACT

For  $1 we show that the Poisson semigroup <math>e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}$  on the n-sphere is hypercontractive from  $L^p$  to  $L^q$  in dimensions  $n \le 3$  if and only if  $e^{-t\sqrt{n}} \le \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{q-1}}$ . We also show that the equivalence fails in large dimensions.

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: rlfrank@caltech.edu (R.L. Frank), pivanis@ncsu.edu (P. Ivanisvili).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

# 1. Introduction

# 1.1. Poisson semigroup on the sphere

Let

$$\mathbb{S}^n = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : ||x|| = 1 \}$$

be the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , where  $||x|| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \ldots + x_{n+1}^2}$  for  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the Laplace–Beltrami operator on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . We will be working with spherical polynomials  $f: \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., finite sums

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{d>0} H_d(\xi),$$

where  $H_d$  satisfies

$$\Delta H_d = -d(d+n-1)H_d.$$

The heat semigroup  $e^{t\Delta}$  is defined by  $e^{t\Delta}f = \sum_{d\geq 0} e^{-d(d+n-1)t}H_d$ . The hypercontractivity result for the heat semigroup on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  states that for any  $1\leq p\leq q<\infty$ , any integer  $n\geq 1$ , and any  $t\geq 0$  we have

$$||e^{t\Delta}f||_q \le ||f||_p$$
 for all  $f$  if and only if  $e^{-tn} \le \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{q-1}}$ , (1)

where  $||f||_p^p = ||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^n, d\sigma_n)}^p = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |f|^p d\sigma_n$ , and  $d\sigma_n$  is the normalized surface area measure of  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . The case n=1 was solved independently in [9] and [10], and the general case  $n \geq 2$  was settled in [7]. We remark that the condition  $e^{-tn} \leq \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{q-1}}$  in (1) is different from the classical hypercontractivity condition  $e^{-t} \leq \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{q-1}}$  in Gauss space due to Nelson [8], and on the hypercube due to Bonami [2]. The appearance of the extra factor n in (1) can be explained from the fact that the spectral gap (the smallest nonzero eigenvalue) of  $-\Delta$  equals n.

In [7] the authors ask what the corresponding hypercontractivity estimates are for the Poisson semigroup on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . As pointed out in [7], there are two natural Poisson semigroups on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  one can consider: 1)  $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f$ , and 2)  $P_rf = \sum r^d H_d$ ,  $r \in [0, 1]$ . Notice that when n = 1 both of these semigroups coincide (with  $r = e^{-t}$ ). It was conjectured by E. Stein that

$$||P_r f||_q \le ||f||_p$$
 if and only if  $r \le \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{q-1}}$ 

holds on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Besides the case n = 1 mentioned above, the case n = 2 was confirmed in [4], and the general case  $n \geq 2$  in [1].

The question of hypercontractivity for the semigroup  $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}$  on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  for  $n\geq 2$ , however, has remained open. Since the spectral gap of  $\sqrt{-\Delta}$  equals  $\sqrt{n}$ , it is easy to see that a necessary condition for the estimate  $\|e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p$  is  $e^{-t\sqrt{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{q-1}}$ ; see Section 2.1. One might conjecture that this necessary condition is also sufficient. Surprisingly, it turns out the answer is positive in small dimensions and negative in large dimensions.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let 1 Then

(i) 
$$\|e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f\|_q \le \|f\|_p$$
 for all  $f$  implies (ii)  $e^{-t\sqrt{n}} \le \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{q-1}}$ . (2)

Moreover, (ii) implies (i) in dimensions  $n \leq 3$ . Finally, for any  $q > \max\{2, p\}$ , there exists  $n_0 = n_0(p, q) \geq 4$  such that (ii) does not imply (i) in dimensions n with  $n \geq n_0$ .

It remains an open problem to find a necessary and sufficient condition on t > 0 in dimensions  $n \ge 4$  for which the semigroup  $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}$  is hypercontractive from  $L^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$  to  $L^q(\mathbb{S}^n)$ .

#### 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

## 2.1. The necessity part (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)

We recall this standard argument for the sake of completeness. Let  $f(\xi) = 1 + \varepsilon H_1(\xi)$  where  $H_1$  is any (real) spherical harmonic of degree 1, i.e.,  $\Delta H_1 = -nH_1$ . Then  $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f(\xi) = 1 + \varepsilon e^{-t\sqrt{n}}H_1(\xi)$ . As  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |1 + \varepsilon e^{-t\sqrt{n}} H_1(\xi)|^q d\sigma_n$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \left( 1 + q\varepsilon e^{-t\sqrt{n}} H_1(\xi) + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \varepsilon^2 e^{-2t\sqrt{n}} H_1^2(\xi) + O(\varepsilon^3) \right) d\sigma_n$$

$$= 1 + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \varepsilon^2 e^{-2t\sqrt{n}} ||H_1||_2^2 + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

Thus,

$$||e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f||_q = 1 + \frac{q-1}{2}\varepsilon^2 e^{-2t\sqrt{n}}||H_1||_2^2 + O(\varepsilon^3).$$
 (3)

Similarly, we have

$$||f||_p = 1 + \frac{p-1}{2}\varepsilon^2 ||H_1||_2^2 + O(\varepsilon^2).$$
 (4)

Substituting (3) and (4) into the inequality  $\|e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p$ , and taking  $\varepsilon \to 0$  we obtain the necessary condition  $e^{-2t\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{p-1}{q-1}$  which coincides with (ii) in (2).

2.2. The sufficiency part (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) in dimensions n = 1, 2, 3

Our goal is to show that if  $1 and if <math>t \ge 0$  is such that  $e^{-t2\sqrt{n}} \le \frac{p-1}{q-1}$ , then

$$||e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f||_q \le ||f||_p$$
 in dimensions  $n = 1, 2, 3.$  (5)

The case n=1 was confirmed in [10]. In what follows we assume  $n \in \{2,3\}$ . First we need the fact that the heat semigroup  $e^{t\Delta}$  has a nonnegative kernel. Indeed, for each t>0 there exists  $K_t: [-1,1] \to [0,\infty)$  such that

$$e^{t\Delta}f(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} K_t(\xi \cdot \eta) f(\eta) d\sigma_n(\eta),$$

where  $\xi \cdot \eta = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_j \eta_j$  for  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n+1})$  and  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n+1})$ , see, for example, Proposition 4.1 in [7]. Next, we recall the subordination formula

$$e^{-x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y - x^2/(4y)} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} \quad \text{valid for all } x \ge 0.$$
 (6)

By the functional calculus, we deduce that the Poisson semigroup  $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}$  has a positive kernel with total mass 1. The latter fact together with the convexity of the map  $x \mapsto |x|^p$  for  $p \ge 1$  implies that  $||e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}||_p \le ||f||_p$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Thus, it suffices to verify (5) for those  $t \ge 0$  for which  $e^{-2t\sqrt{n}} = \frac{p-1}{q-1}$ .

Next we claim that it suffices to verify (5) only for the powers p,q such that  $2 \le p \le q$ . Indeed, assume (5) holds for  $2 \le p \le q$ . By duality and the symmetry of the semigroup  $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}$  we obtain  $\|e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f\|_{p'} \le \|f\|_{q'}$  where  $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}, \ q' = \frac{q}{q-1}, \ 1 < q' \le p' \le 2$ . Notice that  $\frac{p-1}{q-1} = \frac{q'-1}{p'-1}$ , thus we extend (5) to all p,q such that 1 . It remains to extend (5) for those powers <math>p,q when  $p \le 2 \le q$ . To do so, let  $p \le 2 \le q$ , and let  $t \ge 0$  be such  $e^{-2t\sqrt{n}} = \frac{p-1}{q-1}$ . Choose  $t_1, t_2 \ge 0$  so that  $t = t_1 + t_2$  and  $e^{-2t_1\sqrt{n}} = p-1$  and  $e^{-2t_2\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1}{q-1}$ . Then we have

$$||e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f||_q = ||e^{-t_2\sqrt{-\Delta}}(e^{-t_1\sqrt{-\Delta}}f)||_q \le ||e^{-t_1\sqrt{-\Delta}}f||_2 \le ||f||_p.$$

In what follows we assume  $2 \le p \le q$ . We will use a standard argument to deduce the validity of the hypercontractivity estimate from a log Sobolev inequality. Nonnegativity of the kernel for the Poisson semigroup combined with the triangle inequality implies  $|e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f| \le e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}|f|$  for any f. Thus by continuity and standard density arguments we can assume that  $f \ge 0$ , f is not identically zero, and f is smooth in (5).

The equality  $e^{-2t\sqrt{n}} = \frac{p-1}{q-1}$  implies  $q = 1 + e^{2t\sqrt{n}}(p-1)$ . Fix  $p \ge 2$  and consider the map

$$\varphi(t) = ||e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f||_{q(t)} > 0, \quad t \ge 0,$$

where  $q(t) = 1 + e^{2t\sqrt{n}}(p-1)$ . If we show  $\varphi'(t) \leq 0$ , then we obtain  $\varphi(t) \leq \varphi(0) = ||f||_p$ , and this proves the sufficiency part. Let  $\psi(t) = \ln \varphi(t)$ . We have

$$\frac{q^2}{q'}\psi'(t) = -\ln\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f)^q d\sigma_n\right) + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f)^q \left(\ln(e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f)^q + \frac{q^2}{q'} \frac{\partial_t e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f}{e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f}\right) d\sigma_n}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f)^q d\sigma_n}.$$

Clearly  $\psi' \leq 0$  if and only if

Let  $g = e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f \ge 0$ . Then we can rewrite the previous inequality as

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g^q \ln g^q d\sigma_n - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g^q d\sigma_n \ln \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g^q d\sigma_n \right) \le \frac{q^2}{2(q-1)\sqrt{n}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g^{q-1} \sqrt{-\Delta} g d\sigma_n, \tag{7}$$

where we used the fact that  $q' = 2(q-1)\sqrt{n}$ . Since  $e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}$  is contractive in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  with a nonnegative, symmetric kernel, it follows that the validity of the estimate (7) for q=2 implies (7) for all  $q \in [2,\infty)$ ; see, e.g., Theorem 4.1 in [3].

Let  $g = \sum_{k\geq 0} H_d$  be the decomposition of g into its spherical harmonics. Then the estimate (7) for q=2 takes the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g^2 \ln g^2 d\sigma_n - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g^2 d\sigma_n \ln \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g^2 d\sigma_n \right) \le \sum_{k \ge 0} 2\sqrt{\frac{k(k+n-1)}{n}} \|H_k\|_2^2.$$

It follows from Beckner's conformal log Sobolev inequality [1] (which is a consequence of Lieb's sharp Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality [6]) that for any smooth nonnegative  $g = \sum_{k>0} H_k$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g^2 \ln g^2 d\sigma_n - \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g^2 d\sigma_n \ln \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} g^2 d\sigma_n \right) \le \sum_{k \ge 0} \Delta_n(k) \|H_k\|_2^2$$

with  $\Delta_n(k) = 2n \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2m+n}$ . Thus, the estimate (5) is a consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $n \in \{2,3\}$ . Then for all integers  $k \ge 1$  one has

$$n \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2m+n} \le \sqrt{\frac{k(k+n-1)}{n}}.$$

**Proof.** We first check the inequality for  $k \leq 3$  by direct computation. Indeed, the case k = 1 is an equality. The case k = 2 can be checked as follows,

$$1 + \frac{n}{2+n} = \frac{2+2n}{2+n} \le \sqrt{\frac{2+2n}{n}},$$

which is true because  $n(2+2n) \le (2+n)^2$  holds for n=2,3. The case k=3 can be checked similarly:

$$\frac{2+2n}{2+n} + \frac{n}{4+n} \le \sqrt{\frac{6+3n}{n}}$$

holds for n = 2, 3 (notice that this inequality fails for n = 4).

Next, we assume  $k \geq 4$ . We have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{m + \frac{n}{2}} = \frac{2}{n} + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{m + \frac{n}{2}} \le \frac{2}{n} + \int_{0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{x + \frac{n}{2}} dx = \frac{2}{n} + \ln\left(\frac{k + \frac{n}{2} - 1}{\frac{n}{2}}\right).$$

Thus it suffices to show

$$\frac{2}{n} + \ln\left(\frac{k + \frac{n}{2} - 1}{\frac{n}{2}}\right) - \frac{2}{n}\sqrt{\frac{k(k+n-1)}{n}} \le 0.$$

Notice that the left hand side, call it h(k), is decreasing in k. Indeed, we have

$$h'(k) = \frac{1}{\frac{n}{2} + k - 1} - \frac{2k + n - 1}{n\sqrt{kn(k + n - 1)}} \le \frac{1}{\frac{n}{2} + k - 1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{kn}}$$
$$\le \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}(k - 1)}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{kn}} \le 0.$$

On the other hand, we have for n = 2, 3,

$$h(4) = \frac{2}{n} + \ln\left(\frac{6+n}{n}\right) - \frac{2}{n}\sqrt{\frac{12+4n}{n}} \le 0.$$

Indeed, if n = 2,  $h(4) = 1 + 2 \ln 2 - \sqrt{10} < 0$ , and if n = 3,  $h(4) = \frac{2 + 3 \ln 3 - 4\sqrt{2}}{3} < 0$ .

2.3. Counterexample to (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) in high dimensions

Let  $\lambda := \frac{n-1}{2}$ , and let  $C_d^{(\lambda)}(x)$  be the Gegenbauer polynomial

$$C_d^{(\lambda)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(d-j+\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)j!(d-2j)!} (2x)^{d-2j}, \tag{8}$$

where  $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$  denotes the largest integer m such that  $m \leq \frac{d}{2}$ , and  $\Gamma(x)$  is the Gamma function. Notice that if we let  $Y_d(\xi) = C_d^{(\lambda)}(\xi \cdot e_1)$ , where  $e_1 = (1,0,\ldots,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , then  $Y_d(\xi)$  is a spherical harmonic of degree d on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . In particular, for  $t \geq 0$  such that  $e^{-2t\sqrt{n}} = \frac{p-1}{q-1}$ , the estimate  $\|e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^n)}$  applied to  $f = Y_d(\xi)$  is equivalent to the estimate

$$\frac{\|Y_d\|_q}{\|Y_d\|_p} \le e^{t\sqrt{d(d+n-1)}} = \left(\frac{q-1}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{d(d+n-1)}{n}}}.$$
 (9)

Next, we need

**Lemma 2.2.** For any  $d \ge 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|Y_d\|_{L^q(\mathbb{S}^n, d\sigma_n)}}{\|Y_d\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^n, d\sigma_n)}} = \frac{\|h_d\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, d\gamma)}}{\|h_d\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\gamma)}},\tag{10}$$

where  $d\gamma(y) = \frac{e^{-y^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}dy$  is the standard Gaussian measure on the real line, and  $h_d(x)$  is the probabilistic Hermite polynomial

$$h_d(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^j d!}{j! (d-2j)!} \frac{x^{d-2j}}{2^j}.$$
 (11)

**Proof.** Indeed, notice that

$$||Y_d||_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} |C_d^{(\lambda)}(\xi \cdot e_1)|^p d\sigma_n(\xi) = \int_{-1}^1 |C_d^{(\lambda)}(t)|^p c_\lambda (1 - t^2)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} dt, \tag{12}$$

where  $c_{\lambda} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})}$ . In particular, after the change of variables  $t = \frac{s}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}$  in (12), and multiplying both sides in (12) by  $(d!/(2\lambda)^{d/2})^p$  we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d!}{(2\lambda)^{d/2}}\right)^p\|Y_d\|_p^p = \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} \left|\frac{d!}{(2\lambda)^{d/2}}C_d^{(\lambda)}\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}\right)\right|^p\frac{c_\lambda}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}\left(1-\frac{s^2}{2\lambda}\right)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbbm{1}_{[-\sqrt{2\lambda},\sqrt{2\lambda}]}(s)ds,$$

where  $\mathbb{1}_{[-\sqrt{2\lambda},\sqrt{2\lambda}]}(s)$  denotes the indicator function of the set  $[-\sqrt{2\lambda},\sqrt{2\lambda}]$ . Notice that by Stirling's formula for any  $j \geq 0$ , and any  $d \geq 0$  we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{d-j}} \frac{\Gamma(d-j+\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = 1.$$
 (13)

Therefore, (11) and (8) together with (13) imply that for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{d!}{(2\lambda)^{d/2}} C_d^{(\lambda)} \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \right) = h_d(s).$$

Invoking Stirling's formula again we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{c_{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \left( 1 - \frac{s^2}{2\lambda} \right)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{\left[ -\sqrt{2\lambda}, \sqrt{2\lambda} \right]}(s) = \frac{e^{-s^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Finally, to apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem it suffices to verify that for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  we have the following pointwise estimates

a) 
$$\frac{c_{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \left( 1 - \frac{s^2}{2\lambda} \right)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{\left[ -\sqrt{2\lambda}, \sqrt{2\lambda} \right]}(s) \le Ce^{-s^2/2}$$

b) 
$$\frac{d!}{(2\lambda)^{d/2}}C_d^{(\lambda)}\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}\right) \le c_1(d)(1+|s|)^{c_2(d)},$$

where  $\lambda_0, C, c_1(d), c_2(d)$  are some positive constants independent of  $\lambda$  and s.

To verify a) it suffices to consider the case  $s \in [-\sqrt{2\lambda}, \sqrt{2\lambda}]$ . Since  $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{c_{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$  it follows that  $\frac{c_{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \le C$  for all  $\lambda \ge \lambda_0$ , where  $\lambda_0$  is a sufficiently large number. Next, the estimate  $(1 - \frac{s^2}{2\lambda})^{\lambda - 1/2} \le C' e^{-s^2/2}$  for  $s \in [-\sqrt{2\lambda}, \sqrt{2\lambda}]$  follows if we show that  $(1 - \frac{1}{2\lambda}) \ln(1 - t) \le C''/\lambda - t$  for all  $t := \frac{s^2}{2\lambda} \in [0, 1]$  where C'' is a universal positive constant. The latter inequality follows from  $\ln(1 - t) \le -t$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

To verify b) it suffices to show that for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 > 0$  and all integers j such that  $d \geq j \geq 0$  one has

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{d-j}} \frac{\Gamma(d-j+\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \le C(d-j),$$

where C(d-j) depends only on d-j. The latter inequality follows from (13) provided that  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  where  $\lambda_0$  is a sufficiently large number.

Thus, it follows from the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d!}{(n-1)^{d/2}} \|Y_d\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^n, d\sigma_n)} = \|h_d\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\gamma)}.$$

The lemma is proved.  $\Box$ 

Now we fix  $q > \max\{p, 2\}$  and, in order to prove the failure of (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) for all sufficiently large n, we argue by contradiction and assume that there is a sequence of dimensions  $\{n_j\}_{j\geq 1}$  going to infinity such that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) in Theorem 1.1 does hold. Then, by combining (9) and (10) we have

$$\frac{\|h_d\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R},d\gamma)}}{\|h_d\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R},d\gamma)}} \le \left(\frac{q-1}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}}.$$
(14)

On the other hand, a consequence of the main result in [5] and the assumption  $q > \max\{p, 2\}$  is that

$$\lim_{d \to \infty} \left( \frac{\|h_d\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}, d\gamma)}}{\|h_d\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}, d\gamma)}} \right)^{1/d} = \left( \frac{q-1}{\max\{p, 2\} - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is in contradiction with (14).

**Remark 2.1.** Let B(x,y) be the Beta function. The estimate (9) for p=2 and q=4 takes the form

$$\int_{-1}^{1} |C_d^{(\frac{n-1}{2})}(t)|^4 (1-t^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} dt \le 9^{\sqrt{\frac{d(d+n-1)}{n}}} \frac{(n-1)^2 B(1/2, n/2)}{d^2 (2d+n-1)^2 B^2 (n-1, d)}, \tag{15}$$

where we used the fact that  $||Y_d||_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}^2 = \frac{n-1}{d(2d+n-1)B(n-1,d)}$ . The numerical computations show that the inequality (15) already fails for d=7 and n=13.

# Acknowledgments

Partial support through US National Science Foundation grants DMS-1363432 and DMS-1954995 (R.L.F.) as well as DMS-2052645, DMS-1856486, and CAREER-DMS-2052865, CAREER-DMS-1945102 (P.I.) is acknowledged.

#### References

- W. Beckner, Sobolev inequalities, the Poisson semigroups, and analysis on the sphere S<sup>n</sup>, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89 (1992) 4816−4819.
- [2] A. Bonami, Étude des coefficients de Fourier des fonctions de  $L^p(G)$ , Ann. Inst. Fourier 20 (1970) 335-420.
- [3] L. Gross, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and contractivity properties of semigroups, in: G. Dell'Antonio, U. Mosco (Eds.), Dirichlet Forms, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1563, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1993.
- [4] S. Janson, On hypercontractivity for multipliers on orthogonal polynomials, Ark. Mat. 21 (1983) 97–110.

- [5] L. Larsson-Cohn,  $L_p$ -norms of Hermite polynomials and an extremal problem on Wiener chaos, Ark. Mat. 40 (2002) 133–144.
- [6] E.H. Lieb, Sharp constants in the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev and related inequalities, Ann. Math. 118 (2) (1983) 349–374.
- [7] C. Mueller, F. Weissler, Hypercontractivity for the heat semigroup for ultraspherical polynomials and on the n-sphere, J. Funct. Anal. 48 (1982) 252–282.
- [8] E. Nelson, The free Markoff field, J. Funct. Anal. 12 (1973) 211–227.
- [9] O. Rothaus, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and the spectrum of Sturm-Liouville operators, J. Funct. Anal. 39 (1980) 42–56.
- [10] F. Weissler, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and hypercontractivity estimates on the circle, J. Funct. Anal. 37 (1980) 218–234.