



Analysis of *Myxococcus xanthus* Vegetative Biofilms With Microtiter Plates

Keane J. Dye and Zhaomin Yang*

Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, United States

The bacterium *Myxococcus xanthus* forms both developmental and vegetative types of biofilms. While the former has been studied on both agar plates and submerged surfaces, the latter has been investigated predominantly on agar surfaces as swarming colonies. Here we describe the development of a microplate-based assay for the submerged biofilms of *M. xanthus* under vegetative conditions. We examined the impacts of inoculation, aeration, and temperature to optimize the conditions for the assay. Aeration was observed to be critical for the effective development of submerged biofilms by *M. xanthus*, an obligate aerobic bacterium. In addition, temperature plays an important role in the development of *M. xanthus* submerged biofilms. It is well established that the formation of submerged biofilms by many bacteria requires both exopolysaccharide (EPS) and the type IV pilus (T4P). EPS constitutes part of the biofilm matrix that maintains and organizes bacterial biofilms while the T4P facilitates surface attachment as adhesins. For validation, we used our biofilm assay to examine a multitude of *M. xanthus* strains with various EPS and T4P phenotypes. The results indicate that the levels of EPS, but not of piliation, positively correlate with submerged biofilm formation in *M. xanthus*.

Keywords: *Myxococcus xanthus*, vegetative biofilms, exopolysaccharide (EPS), type IV pilus (T4P), microplate assay

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Dipshikha Chakravorty,
Indian Institute of Science (IISc), India

Reviewed by:

Dan Wall,
University of Wyoming, United States
Christine Kaimer,
Ruhr University Bochum, Germany

*Correspondence:

Zhaomin Yang
zmyang@vt.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Microbial Physiology and Metabolism,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 11 March 2022

Accepted: 11 April 2022

Published: 29 April 2022

Citation:

Dye KJ and Yang Z (2022)
Analysis of *Myxococcus xanthus*
Vegetative Biofilms With Microtiter
Plates. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:894562.
doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.894562

INTRODUCTION

Biofilms are surface-associated multicellular microbial communities that are prevalent in the natural environment (Flemming et al., 2016; Flemming and Wuerztz, 2019). There are three types of bacterial biofilms that have been investigated (Mikkelsen et al., 2007; Armitano et al., 2014; Kovacs and Dragos, 2019; Sanchez-Vizuetz et al., 2022). These include the colony-type biofilms (CBFs) that form on agar surfaces, the pellicle-type biofilms (PBFs) that form at liquid-air interfaces and the submerged-type biofilms (SBFs) that form on solid surfaces under aqueous submersion. Most widely studied among them is the SBF, thanks in no small part to the availability of a microplate-based assay for such biofilms (Christensen et al., 1985). This streamlined assay has facilitated the mechanistic study of many facets of SBF development, including cell attachment and regulation (Genevaux et al., 1996; O'Toole and Kolter, 1998; Lei et al., 2018). In this assay, biofilms are first allowed to develop on the submerged surface of a microwell. Afterward, the total biomass of the SBFs are stained with crystal violet (CV) and quantified by CV absorbance (A_{cv}) measured by microplate readers. Such CV-retention assays can be performed in a high throughput format,

greatly facilitating the analysis and studies of SBF formation of many bacterial species. These studies revealed that there are four distinct stages in the formation of bacterial SBFs (Costerton et al., 1995). Initially, planktonic cells in an aqueous environment, whether passively adrift or actively motile, recognize and begin to attach to a submerged surface using adhesins including the bacterial Type IV pilus (T4P) (Landini et al., 2010; Li et al., 2012; Ellison et al., 2017). Attached cells may propagate to form microcolonies on the surface with the simultaneous production of exopolysaccharide (EPS) and other biofilm matrix materials (Maunders and Welch, 2017). At this stage, bacterial species capable of active motility may cease their locomotion and transition to a state of sessility (Landini et al., 2010). As cell density and EPS production increase, microcolonies grow and eventually develop into mature SBFs wherein cells are afforded increased protection against desiccation, predation, and antimicrobial compounds (Flemming et al., 2016; Flemming and Wuertz, 2019). Lastly, cells within biofilms may disperse or escape and re-enter the planktonic state for dissemination (Guilhen et al., 2017). Given the advantages of life within a biofilm against the elements, it is no surprise that 80% or more of all bacterial cells on Earth are estimated to reside within biofilms (Flemming and Wuertz, 2019).

Myxococcus xanthus is a surface-motile and developmental bacterium that has evolved to live and move on damp or wet surfaces of soil particles (Zusman et al., 2007; Konovalova et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012). Its gliding motility allows the bacterium to move or translocate on moist solid surfaces (Mauriello et al., 2010). Under nutrient rich conditions, *M. xanthus* cells on agar surfaces form vegetative biofilms that grow and spread as moving carpets with a killer instinct (Mauriello et al., 2010; Munoz-Dorado et al., 2016). This is because *M. xanthus* is a predatory bacterium that consumes other bacteria by swarming over them as social groups (Berleman and Kirby, 2009; Thiery and Kaimer, 2020; Sydney et al., 2021). When nutrients or prey become limiting, *M. xanthus* initiates a well-orchestrated developmental program, leading to the formation of multicellular fruiting bodies wherein cells differentiate into non-motile and metabolically dormant myxospores (Bretl and Kirby, 2016; Munoz-Dorado et al., 2016; Popp and Mascher, 2019). Integral to this program are inter- and intra-cellular signal transduction systems that allow the coordinated movement of *M. xanthus* to form mound-like aggregates, each containing hundreds of thousands of cells (Shimkets, 1986; Velicer and Vos, 2009; Bretl and Kirby, 2016; Mercier and Mignot, 2016; Kroos, 2017). These aggregates eventually mature into fruiting bodies with differentiated myxospores. The sporulation process is accomplished through regulated gene expression accompanied by cellular morphogenesis such that rod-shaped vegetative cells become spherical myxospores within fruiting bodies (O'Connor and Zusman, 1991a,b; Cao et al., 2015; Kroos, 2017).

The process of *M. xanthus* fruiting body formation, considered an elaborate form of bacterial biofilm development (O'Toole et al., 2000; van Gestel et al., 2015), has been observed and analyzed extensively for over a century (Thaxter, 1892, 1897; Bretl and Kirby, 2016; Munoz-Dorado et al., 2016; Kroos, 2017). These developmental biofilms have been studied both on agar

plates as well as on submerged surfaces (Kuner and Kaiser, 1982; Shimkets, 1986; Bretl and Kirby, 2016; Keane and Berleman, 2016). In contrast, the vegetative biofilms of *M. xanthus* have been investigated almost exclusively as swarming colonies or CBFs with a heavy focus on motility and taxis (Zusman et al., 2007; Islam and Mignot, 2015; Munoz-Dorado et al., 2016; Wadhwa and Berg, 2021). These studies have uncovered that *M. xanthus* possesses two genetically distinct forms of locomotion known as the social (S) and the adventurous (A) gliding motility (Hodgkin and Kaiser, 1979). It is known that S motility is powered by the recurrent cycles of T4P extension and retraction like twitching motility in other bacteria (Kaiser, 1979; Lu et al., 2005; Zusman et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2014; Wadhwa and Berg, 2021). The mechanism for this form of motility is sometimes referred to as the “grappling hook” mechanism (Merz and Forest, 2002). In the current model, the distal end of an extended T4P attaches to a solid anchor, the ensuing retraction of the pilus then pulls the cell forward (Zusman et al., 2007; Mauriello et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2014; Wadhwa and Berg, 2021). In *M. xanthus*, the EPS deposited on substratum or associated with other cells is the preferred anchor for T4P attachment, explaining the social nature of T4P-mediated motility in *M. xanthus* (Li et al., 2003; Nudleman and Kaiser, 2004; Yang et al., 2014; Zhou and Nan, 2017). That is, EPS produced by other cells enhance or facilitate the movement of their kin cells in physical proximity. In contrast, the A motility system enables individual and isolated cells to translocate without the requirement of a neighboring cell (Hodgkin and Kaiser, 1979; Islam and Mignot, 2015; Nan and Zusman, 2016). The proposed mechanism for A motility involves a supramolecular motility machinery extending from the cytoplasm to the exterior (Nan et al., 2014; Jakobczak et al., 2015; Faure et al., 2016). On the cytoplasmic side, this machinery is connected to and travels on a prokaryotic cytoskeleton (Fu et al., 2018). On the outside, it can be anchored to a gliding surface at stationary focal adhesion sites (FASs) for force generation to move cells forward (Islam and Mignot, 2015; Faure et al., 2016; Nan and Zusman, 2016; Wadhwa and Berg, 2021). There is no doubt that the studies of swarming CBFs have led to significant insights into the motility mechanisms of *M. xanthus*. On the other hand, the nearly exclusive focus on motility in these studies has left the SBFs of vegetative *M. xanthus* to be an understudied area of research.

Formation of bacterial SBFs can be conveniently analyzed by a microtiter plate-based assay that has been applied to numerous bacterial species (Christensen et al., 1985; O'Toole and Kolter, 1998; Merritt et al., 2005; Kwasny and Opperman, 2010; Coffey and Anderson, 2014). In such assays, cell cultures are first inoculated into wells of a microtiter plate. SBFs are then allowed to develop on the submerged surfaces of the microwells under static conditions. Biofilms are subsequently quantified by CV staining after the removal of unattached cells that are not part of the SBF. Previously, a microplate-based protocol was used to study SBF formation of yellow and tan variants of *M. xanthus* (Dahl et al., 2011). In this protocol, henceforth referred to as the Dahl protocol, *M. xanthus* cells suspended in growth media at a high cell density were used to inoculate a microtiter plate which was then incubated overnight to seed the wells under

static conditions. After this overnight incubation, the microwells were washed and replenished with fresh media to allow SBF development for 24 h before the CV-based quantification. Overnight seeding is generally not included in biofilm assays for other bacteria (Christensen et al., 1985; O'Toole and Kolter, 1998; Merritt et al., 2005; Kwasny and Opperman, 2010; Coffey and Anderson, 2014). Among other considerations, we wondered if the more conventional assay without an extra seeding step could be applied to analyze *M. xanthus* biofilm formation under vegetative conditions.

Here we report the development and adaptation of a 96-well microplate-based assay for the studies of vegetative SBFs of *M. xanthus*. We show that *M. xanthus* biofilms can be analyzed without the overnight seeding in the Dahl protocol (Dahl et al., 2011). During the optimization of the protocol, we uncovered that aeration is critical for the formation of SBFs by *M. xanthus*, which is an obligate aerobe. That is, SBF formation by *M. xanthus* is greatly enhanced by rotary shaking over static conditions. We applied our assay to selected strains with altered T4P and EPS phenotypes as a means of validation. Our results demonstrate that the formation of vegetative SBFs tightly correlates with the level of EPS but not of T4P in *M. xanthus*. The availability of this assay may facilitate the mechanistic studies of SBF formation in *M. xanthus*, a surfaced-adapted and obligate aerobe that is uniquely motile on and adherent to solid surfaces in its natural environment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, Growth Conditions, and Chemicals

The *M. xanthus* strains used in this study are listed in **Table 1**. Unless otherwise specified, all *M. xanthus* strains were grown and maintained on Casitone-yeast extract (CYE) agar plates or in CYE liquid media (Campos et al., 1978) at 32°C on a rotary shaker at 300 rotations per minute (RPM). A stock solution of 1% (wt/vol) CV (ACROS Chemicals) was prepared in 20% (vol/vol) ethanol (Decon Laboratories). Glacial acetic acid (Fisher) was used to make a 30% (vol/vol) acetic acid solution. The MOPS buffer contains 10 mM morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (pH 7.6) and 2 mM MgSO₄.

Biofilm Assays

The clear tissue culture (TC)-treated flat-bottom 96-well microplates (Falcon) were used for the development of *M. xanthus* SBFs per the Dahl protocol (Dahl et al., 2011) or according to the procedures as described later in the manuscript. For the Dahl protocol, *M. xanthus* cells in the logarithmic growth phase were harvested and resuspended in CYE media to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.8. 100 µl aliquots of the cell suspension in quadruplicate were added to the wells of a microplate. After incubation at 28°C for 12 h under static conditions for overnight seeding, the media was removed and the wells were washed with the MOPS buffer. For biofilm development, 100 µl of fresh CYE was added to each well and

TABLE 1 | *Myxococcus xanthus* strains used in this study.

| Strains | Genotype | Source/references |
|---------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| DK1622 | WT | Kaiser, 1979 |
| DK10416 | $\Delta pilB$ | Wu et al., 1997 |
| DK10409 | $\Delta pilT$ | Wu et al., 1997 |
| YZ603 | $\Delta difE$ | Black and Yang, 2004 |
| YZ604 | $\Delta difG$ | Black and Yang, 2004 |
| YZ613 | $\Delta difD$ | Black and Yang, 2004 |
| YZ641 | $\Delta difD \Delta difG$ | Black et al., 2006 |
| YZ646 | $\Delta difD \Delta difG \Delta pilA$ | Black et al., 2006 |
| YZ690 | $\Delta pilA$ | Black et al., 2017 |

the microplate was incubated under static conditions for 24 h at 32°C. To develop our protocol, *M. xanthus* cells in logarithmic growth were harvested and resuspended in CYE media to various OD₆₀₀ as indicated in the text. Aliquots of 75, 100, or 125 µl of the cell suspensions were dispensed into the microwells in quadruplicate. Biofilms were allowed to develop at 32 or 27°C for 24 h under static conditions or on a rotary shaker at 230 RPM.

The SBFs developed above were quantified by the widely adopted CV-based method (Christensen et al., 1985; Kwasny and Opperman, 2010; Xi and Wu, 2010; Dahl et al., 2011; Redder and Linder, 2012; Naher et al., 2014; Bordeleau et al., 2018). Briefly, after the production of SBFs in microwells, the media and unattached cells were gently removed by a multichannel pipette. The wells were washed with equal volumes of MOPS buffer to the culture volume. Staining was conducted with 150 µl of 1% CV solution for 20 min before washing thrice with 175 µl of H₂O. After air drying, 200 µl of 30% acetic acid was added to each well and incubated for 20 min. 125 µl of the acetic acid solution was then transferred to the microwells of a clear polystyrene 96-well microplate (ExtraGene). The CV absorbance (A_{cv}) was measured at 600 nm using an Infinite F200 PRO plate reader and the A_{cv} values were used as the quantifier of SBF amounts per well. For some experiments, the biofilm amounts (A_{cv} values) were normalized to either the total area of the submerged surfaces or the final OD₆₀₀ of the samples. The submerged surface area for a given sample was calculated from the culture volume in a microwell based on the specifications of the microtiter plate by the manufacturer. The submerged surface areas for the 75 µl, the 100 µl, and the 125 µl samples were determined to be 0.79, 0.95, and 1.10 cm², respectively. To normalize SBF amount to cell density in a microwell, the OD₆₀₀ of the cell culture after SBF development was measured 16 times by the Multiple Reads function of the plate reader. The average of these measurements was given as the final OD₆₀₀. In some instances, Grubbs' test identified one of the quadruple samples as an outlier which was expunged from the dataset. Statistical differences were determined using the Student's *t*-test.

The linear range of the F200 PRO under our experimental conditions was determined by the measuring A_{cv} of serial dilutions of a CV solution. In total, 15 concentrations from 0 to 100 ppm were analyzed in quadruplicates in a 96-well microplate (**Supplementary Figure 1**). This analysis showed the linearity of A_{cv} vs. CV concentration extends up to 60 ppm of CV and A_{cv}

values above 3.0. The coefficient of determination or R^2 values are 0.9977 and 0.9999 for the CV concentration range of 0–60 ppm and of 0–30 ppm, respectively. When CV concentrations were higher than 60 ppm and the A_{cv} values went above 3.5, the linear relationship no longer holds (data not shown).


RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Direct Inoculation for *Myxococcus xanthus* Submerged Biofilm Development

We first examined if the conventional microplate-based biofilm assay (O'Toole and Kolter, 1998) without the overnight seeding step (Dahl et al., 2011) could be applied to *M. xanthus* SBFs under vegetative growth. For this, we compared the results from two sets of experiments. The first set was conducted according to the Dahl protocol such that a microwell was inoculated with 100 μ l of *M. xanthus* cells at OD₆₀₀ of 0.8 and incubated overnight. The microwell was then washed and replenished with fresh media to allow SBF development for 24 h at 32°C. For the second set, each well of the microtiter plate was inoculated with 100 μ l of a cell suspension at OD₆₀₀ of 0.1 and SBFs were allowed to develop directly for 24 h at 32°C. Three *M. xanthus* strains were used for the initial experiments: the wild type (WT) DK1622, the EPS[−] strain YZ603 ($\Delta difE$) and the T4P[−] strain YZ690 ($\Delta pilA$). It should be noted that the T4P[−] strain is also deficient in EPS production because T4P is required for wild-type levels of EPS production in *M. xanthus* (Black et al., 2006). As shown in **Figure 1**, these two sets of experiments yielded similar trends of SBF formation for these strains. In both protocols, the WT produced significantly more biofilms than the EPS[−] and T4P[−] strains as reflected by CV absorbance (A_{cv}). These trends were expected because both EPS and T4P have been demonstrated to be critical for biofilm formation in multiple bacteria (Bahar et al., 2009; Colvin et al., 2011; Maunders and Welch, 2017; Fiebig, 2019). Moreover, the amounts of SBFs as quantified by CV retention were comparable for the two protocols for all three strains. The A_{cv} values for the WT were 0.34 ± 0.03 and 0.31 ± 0.04 in these two protocols, respectively. Those for the $\Delta difE$ strains were 0.08 ± 0.01 and 0.07 ± 0.01 , and the $\Delta pilA$ strain, 0.13 ± 0.01 and 0.15 ± 0.01 , respectively. These observations indicate that a protocol without the seeding step performed comparably with the Dahl protocol. Overnight seeding was therefore eliminated from experimental procedures for the remainder of this study.


Static Conditions May Limit Oxygen Availability to Impact *Myxococcus xanthus* Submerged-Type Biofilm Formation

We next examined the effects of culture volume and cell density on SBF formation in the microplate-based assay under static conditions as above (**Figure 1**). *M. xanthus* cells from an overnight culture were harvested and resuspended in fresh CYE at OD₆₀₀ from 0.1 to 0.8. Aliquots of 75, 100, or 125 μ l of these cell



suspensions were placed into the microwells for SBF development for 24 h at 32°C under static conditions, followed with analysis by CV retention. The results as shown in **Figure 2A** indicated a general trend of increasing SBF amounts with increasing cell density up to a certain point or threshold, beyond which this trend is lost. For the 75 μ l samples, the OD₆₀₀ threshold was 0.5 as the amounts of biofilm dropped precipitously at OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 or higher (**Figure 2A**). For the samples with 100 μ l and 125 μ l culture, the reduction in biofilms occurred at OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 or higher (**Figure 2A**). Upon further examination, the decrease in SBFs at high cell density was found to coincide with the appearance of biofilms at the liquid-air interface under these experimental conditions (**Supplementary Figure 2**). These PBFs were removed with the culture media during the washing step before analysis by CV retention. Significant numbers of cells developed into PBFs rather than SBFs under these conditions. This explains the drastic decrease in SBF amount at higher cell densities (**Figure 2A**).

The formation of PBFs at the liquid-air interface has been observed and investigated for many bacteria including *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis* (Yamamoto et al., 2011; Holscher et al., 2015; Kovacs and Dragos, 2019; Arnaouteli et al., 2021; Golub and Overton, 2021). PBFs are commonly observed on the surface of a liquid culture under static conditions in response to oxygen depletion in the liquid media (Armitano et al., 2014; Holscher et al., 2015; Kovacs and Dragos, 2019). Evidence suggests that cells may float to form aggregates at the liquid-air interface where the concentration of oxygen is the highest under these conditions (Yamamoto et al., 2011; Armitano et al., 2013, 2014; Holscher et al., 2015). These cells and their aggregates may further develop into mature PBFs by increasing the production of EPS and other biofilm matrix materials (Armitano et al., 2014; Holscher et al., 2015). As an obligate aerobe, it is perhaps not surprising that *M. xanthus* forms PBFs under static condition



at high cell density. The consumption of dissolved oxygen in the media is expected to result in oxygen limitation and thus the formation of PBFs at the liquid-air interface where oxygen is more readily available. The formation of visible PBFs can therefore explain the observed reduction in *M. xanthus* SBFs at high cell densities (Figure 2A).

Indeed, the trend of SBF quantity with varying volumes of culture appeared consistent with an oxygen effect when normalized to the submerged surface areas for a sample. SBF amount (A_{cv}) per cm^2 of submerged surface area showed a seemingly decreasing trend with increasing culture volume (Figure 2B). At the same starting cell density, the higher the culture volume, the lower the A_{cv}/cm^2 value. For example, at the starting OD₆₀₀ of 0.1, the A_{cv}/cm^2 values are 0.33 ± 0.01 , 0.31 ± 0.00 , and 0.27 ± 0.02 for wells with the 75, 100, and 125 µl of samples, respectively. At OD₆₀₀ of 0.4, the values for these wells are 0.64 ± 0.06 , 0.60 ± 0.02 , and 0.52 ± 0.02 , respectively. It can be assumed that when the depth of liquid in a microwell increases with increasing culture volumes, cells at or near the bottom of the well experience more severe oxygen limitations under static conditions. This explains the formation of PBFs at high cell density (Figures 2A,B and **Supplementary Figure 2**) and suggests that oxygen availability greatly influences the formation of SBFs by *M. xanthus*.


Rotary Shaking Significantly Increases *Myxococcus xanthus* Submerged-Type Biofilms

The impact of oxygen availability on the formation of SBFs by *M. xanthus* was investigated next. Here we conducted experiments wherein cultures in the microtiter plates were aerated on a rotary shaker. For these experiments, samples were prepared as in Figure 2, except that the microtiter plates

were incubated with rotary shaking. Under these conditions, the amount of SBFs per well increased steadily with increasing cell density (Figure 3A and **Supplementary Figure 3**). This is in stark contrast to those under static conditions where the amount of SBFs showed drastic decreases when the starting OD₆₀₀ was equal to or greater than 0.6 (Figure 2A). Under this aerating condition, the amount of SBF continued a positive trend with increasing cell density up to OD₆₀₀ of 0.8, the highest in our experiments (Figure 3A and **Supplementary Figure 3**). In addition, no PBF was observed for any of the samples, suggesting that *M. xanthus* PBF formation is sensitive to oxygen levels in the culture (Figures 2, 3).

Recall that the amounts of SBFs normalized to submerged surface areas decreased with increasing culture volume under static conditions (Figure 2B). This trend no longer holds when cultures are aerated on a rotary shaker. For wells with the same starting cell density, the amounts of SBF/ cm^2 showed no decrease as culture volume increased (Figure 3B). For example, at the starting OD₆₀₀ of 0.1, the 75, 100, and 125 µl samples had A_{cv}/cm^2 values of 0.33, 0.41, and 0.45, respectively. At OD₆₀₀ of 0.3, these samples gave values of 0.77, 0.77, and 0.91, respectively. In some cases, there are statistically significant increases at higher volumes (100 and 125 µl) over the 75 µl cultures. At OD₆₀₀ of 0.2 and 0.6, for instance, the 100 and 125 µl samples produced significantly more SBFs than the 75 µl cultures (Figure 3B). The amounts of SBFs for the two higher volumes are generally not statistically different after normalization to submerged area. Although the relationship between SBF formation and culture volume under aerating conditions has yet to be fully investigated, it is clear that the inverse relationship seen under static conditions (Figure 2B) disappears when cultures are aerated through rotary shaking (Figure 3B).

Most importantly, there are significant increases in *M. xanthus* SBF when cultures are aerated in comparison with the static



condition (Table 2). It is not surprising that when their counterparts formed PBFs under static conditions (shaded wells in Table 2), the corresponding samples formed significantly greater amounts of SBFs under shaking conditions. The remaining samples may be divided into two categories by culture volume. The first category includes those with 75 μl of cultures; for these samples, there does not appear to be significant differences between shaking vs. static conditions. For those with higher culture volumes (100 and 125 μl), there are generally significant increases in SBF/cm² under shaking conditions (Table 2). For the 100 μl cultures, when the starting OD_{600} increased from 0.1 up to 0.4, the increases under shaking conditions ranged from 85 to 97%. For the 125 μl cultures, the increases ranged from 67% to over 160%. These results indicate that aeration through rotary shaking significantly enhanced *M. xanthus* SBF formation and it was adopted for *M. xanthus* SBF development for the remainder of the study.

Optimizing Conditions for Analyzing Vegetative Submerged-Type Biofilms of *Myxococcus xanthus*

Myxococcus xanthus grows optimally at 32°C in aerated liquid culture (Janssen et al., 1977). Yet, we have observed that this bacterium produced higher levels of EPS on agar surfaces at 27°C or at room temperature (Black et al., 2017; Dye et al., 2021; unpublished data). Since EPS is a major component of the bacterial biofilm matrix, we compared the amount of SBF developed at 27 and 32°C. Here, two identical sets of experiments were initiated as in Figure 3, except one was incubated at 27°C while the other at 32°C. As shown in Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure 4A, differences in SBFs per microwell at these two temperatures are generally not statistically significant. However, because *M. xanthus* grows slower at 27°C than 32°C, the samples at 27°C were anticipated to have less growth and


fewer cells. It therefore remained a possibility that a higher percentage of cells might be in SBFs at 27°C than 32°C relative to the planktonic population. We measured the optical density of the culture after biofilm development as described in section “Materials and Methods.” As expected, the OD_{600} of the culture was significantly higher at 32°C than at 27°C (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure 4B). When SBF was normalized to the OD_{600} of the culture, it is clear that the proportion of cells in SBFs is significantly higher at 27°C than at 32°C (Figure 4C and Supplementary Figure 4C). For example, for the wells with starting OD_{600} of 0.4, the biofilm amounts by this measure are between 40 to 60% more at 27°C than at 32°C for all samples at the three volumes examined (Figure 4C). These observations indicate that *M. xanthus* cells form SBFs more readily at 27°C. Based on these analyses and previous observations, 27°C was selected as the temperature for the development of *M. xanthus* vegetative SBFs in our assay moving forward.

To finalize the remaining parameters for our microplate-based assay, we chose to use 100 μl of culture per microwell with the starting OD_{600} of 0.4. The 100 μl volume was chosen for three reasons. First, this is the most common volume in similar assays for other bacteria (Merritt et al., 2005; Kwasny and Opperman, 2010). Second, this is the volume used by Dahl et al for the analysis of *M. xanthus* SBFs previously (Dahl et al., 2011). Lastly, the difference in culture volumes per well generally did not translate into significant differences in SBF amounts under aerating condition when normalized to submerged surface area (Table 2). For the starting cell density, we took into consideration the linear range of the instrumentation (Supplementary Figure 1), aiming for an A_{cv} of ~ 1.0 for DK1622 (WT) (Supplementary Figure 4). We anticipate that mutations may either enhance or diminish biofilm formation. An A_{cv} reading of ~ 1.0 for the wild-type would leave room for analysis of mutants with either an increase or a decrease in biofilms formation. With 100 μl sample volume at 27°C, the starting

TABLE 2 | Comparison of SBFs under static and shaking conditions.

| | OD ₆₀₀ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
|-------------|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 75 μ l | Static | 0.33 \pm 0.01 | 0.45 \pm 0.02 | 0.48 \pm 0.03 | 0.64 \pm 0.06 | 0.70 \pm 0.03 | 0.37 \pm 0.08 |
| | Shaking | 0.33 \pm 0.01 | 0.44 \pm 0.02 | 0.77 \pm 0.16 ^a | 0.83 \pm 0.18 | 1.08 \pm 0.22 ^a | 1.14 \pm 0.03 |
| 100 μ l | Static | 0.31 \pm 0.00 | 0.41 \pm 0.01 | 0.42 \pm 0.00 | 0.60 \pm 0.02 | 0.28 \pm 0.03 | 0.30 \pm 0.03 |
| | Shaking | 0.41 \pm 0.07 | 0.76 \pm 0.08 ^b | 0.77 \pm 0.20 ^a | 1.18 \pm 0.21 ^a | 1.44 \pm 0.06 | 1.81 \pm 0.03 |
| 125 μ l | Static | 0.27 \pm 0.02 | 0.38 \pm 0.04 | 0.40 \pm 0.01 | 0.52 \pm 0.02 | 0.24 \pm 0.02 | 0.22 \pm 0.01 |
| | Shaking | 0.45 \pm 0.04 ^a | 0.88 \pm 0.05 ^b | 0.90 \pm 0.13 ^a | 1.37 \pm 0.12 ^y | 1.70 \pm 0.06 | 1.90 \pm 0.04 |

The datasets shown here are from **Figures 2A, 3A**. The unit for SBF shown in the table is A_{cv}/cm^2 . The first row indicates the OD₆₀₀ of the starting culture. Shaded cells indicate PBF formation under static condition. Statistical significance between static and shaking samples are denoted with markings in the shaking cell. Statistical comparisons were made by Student's *t*-test. ^a*P* < 0.05, ^b*P* < 0.005, ^y*P* < 0.0005.



OD₆₀₀ of 0.4 yielded the nearest A_{cv} reading to 1.0 (**Figure 4A** and **Supplementary Figure 4A**). The following is a summary of the experimental parameters for our finalized assay for vegetative SBF of *M. xanthus*. 100 μ l of a cell suspension in CYE at OD₆₀₀ of 0.4 is inoculated into a microwell of a 96-well microplate in quadruplicates. The plate is incubated at 27°C for 24 h with rotary shaking for SBF development. The amounts of SBFs are then analyzed by CV retention using a plate reader (**Supplementary Figure 1**) as in similar assays for other bacteria (Christensen et al., 1985; Merritt et al., 2005; Xi and Wu, 2010).

Exopolysaccharide, Not Type IV Pilus, Correlates With *Myxococcus xanthus* Vegetative Submerged-Type Biofilm Formation

It is known that bacterial T4P and EPS play critical roles in SBF development as adhesins and biofilm matrix materials. In *M. xanthus*, the levels of T4P and EPS are known to be intertwined in a mutual relationship. On one hand, piliation levels have been demonstrated to positively modulate EPS levels. *pilA* and *pilB* mutants, which are un-piliated, produces very low levels of EPS in both liquid culture and on agar plates (Black et al., 2006, 2009, 2017 Yang et al., 2010). *pilT* mutants, which are hyperpiliated because they assemble non-retractable pili (Wu

et al., 1997), produces higher amounts of EPS than the wild-type in liquid culture (Black et al., 2006). On the other hand, studies suggest that EPS levels in turn can influence piliation levels. Experimental evidence supports a model wherein the retraction of T4P is triggered by interactions with EPS in *M. xanthus* (Li et al., 2003; Nudleman and Kaiser, 2004; Zhou and Nan, 2017). In other words, *M. xanthus* EPS is the preferred anchor and trigger for T4P retractions. This explains the hyperpiliated phenotypes of certain EPS[−] mutants (Bellenger et al., 2002; Li et al., 2003; Black and Yang, 2004) because the pilus does not retract without EPS as an anchor and trigger (Li et al., 2003). In addition, it is known that EPS levels in *M. xanthus* are regulated in part by the Dif chemotaxis-like pathway (Black and Yang, 2004; Black et al., 2006, 2017; Yang et al., 2014). DifE, which resembles the CheA kinase in bacterial chemotaxis pathways, is a positive regulator of EPS. The deletion of *difE* leads to the lack of detectable EPS, absence of S motility and increased piliation levels (Bellenger et al., 2002; Li et al., 2003; Black et al., 2006). There are also negative regulators of EPS in the Dif pathway, namely, DifD and DifG, which are homologs to the chemotaxis proteins CheY and CheC, respectively (Black and Yang, 2004; Black et al., 2006). Deletions of *difD* or *difG* lead to EPS overproduction and their mutations have additive effects such that a *difD difG* double mutant produces more EPS than their respective single mutants (Black and Yang, 2004; Black et al., 2006). It is known that the

Dif pathway functions downstream of T4P in EPS regulation, in part because a $\Delta difD \Delta difG$ double mutation suppressed the EPS defect resulting from a $pilA$ deletion (Black et al., 2006, 2017).

We analyzed the amounts of SBFs of a few *M. xanthus* mutants with altered levels of EPS and T4P using our assay. The strains here included three that were used in earlier experiments, namely the $\Delta difE$ (YZ603), the $\Delta pilA$ (YZ690), and the WT (DK1622) strains (Figure 1). We selected six additional mutants with varying levels of EPS and T4P as established in multiple studies under different experimental conditions previously (Wu et al., 1997; Wall et al., 1998; Black et al., 2006, 2017; Wang et al., 2011; Perez-Burgos et al., 2020). These include an un-piliated $\Delta pilB$ mutant (DK10416) and a hyperpiliated $\Delta pilT$ mutant (DK10409). We also included a $\Delta difG$ (YZ604), a $\Delta difD$ (YZ613), and a $\Delta difD \Delta difG$ double (YZ641) mutants. Finally, we included the $\Delta difD \Delta difG \Delta pilA$ triple mutant YZ646. This strain is un-piliated but produces similar amounts of EPS as the WT (Black et al., 2006). All of these strains were allowed to form SBFs as specified in the preceding section at 27°C, and the amounts of their SBFs were analyzed by CV retention (Figure 5).

The analysis of these results shows that *M. xanthus* SBF formation has no correlation with piliation levels under our experimental conditions. For example, both the $\Delta pilT$ and the $\Delta difE$ mutants are hyperpiliated. Yet, the SBF of the former gave a A_{cv} of 1.51, more than 10-fold higher than 0.11 for the $\Delta difE$ mutant (Figure 5). Similarly, the $\Delta pilA$, the $\Delta pilB$ and the $\Delta difD \Delta difG \Delta pilA$ mutants are all un-piliated due to the deletion of either $pilA$ or $pilB$. Yet the A_{cv} value for the triple mutant (1.21) is significantly higher than those for the $\Delta pilA$ (0.24) and the $\Delta pilB$ (0.18) mutants (Figure 5). Although the WT strain is piliated and the $\Delta difD \Delta difG \Delta pilA$ triple mutant is not, they produced comparable levels of SBFs in this assay. These observations clearly

demonstrate that, under our experimental conditions, *M. xanthus* SBF formation has no direct correlation with piliation levels.

However, there is a clear correlation between EPS levels and SBF amounts by the different strains we examined (Figure 5). It is well established that $\Delta difE$, $\Delta pilA$, and $\Delta pilB$ mutants produce undetectable or significantly lower levels of EPS in comparison with the wild-type strains (Black et al., 2006, 2017; Yang et al., 2010). Both $\Delta pilA$ and $\Delta pilB$ mutants produced more EPS than $\Delta difE$ with the $\Delta pilA$ mutant producing slightly more EPS than a $\Delta pilB$ mutant (Black et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2010). It has also been demonstrated that a $\Delta difD \Delta difG$ double deletion is able to suppress and restore EPS production to a $\Delta pilA$ mutant to about the wild-type level (Black et al., 2006). For mutants that overproduce EPS, the ascending order is $\Delta pilT$, $\Delta difG$, $\Delta difD$ and finally the $\Delta difD \Delta difG$ double mutant (Black and Yang, 2004). To recap, previous studies indicate that the order of strains used here going from low to high EPS levels is YZ603 ($\Delta difE$)→DK10416 ($\Delta pilB$)→YZ690 ($\Delta pilA$)→DK1622(WT)/YZ645($\Delta difD \Delta difG \Delta pilA$)→DK10409 ($\Delta pilT$)→YZ604 ($\Delta difG$)→YZ613 ($\Delta difD$)→YZ641 ($\Delta difD \Delta difG$). As shown in Figure 5, the amounts of SBFs formed by these strains followed exactly the same order as their EPS levels. These results collectively demonstrate that the level of SBF formation in *M. xanthus* under our experimental conditions tightly correlate with the amount of EPS produced by *M. xanthus* under vegetative growth. We suggest that our newly developed SBF protocol here may be utilized to conveniently and reliably quantify the relative EPS levels in *M. xanthus* under vegetative conditions in a high throughput format. Most importantly, this assay will allow further studies of *M. xanthus* SBFs to probe the mechanisms of SBF formation by an obligate aerobe adapted to living and translocating on solid surfaces in its natural environment.




FIGURE 5 | SBF formation by *M. xanthus* T4P and EPS mutants. 100 μ l of a cell suspension with OD_{600} at 0.4 was placed into a microwell. SBF was developed at 27°C with rotary shaking. Shown are the average A_{cv} values with standard deviations from three independent experiments. Strains used were YZ603 ($\Delta difE$), DK10416 ($\Delta pilB$), YZ690 ($\Delta pilA$), DK1622 (WT), YZ645 ($\Delta difD \Delta difG \Delta pilA$ or ΔDGA), DK10409 ($\Delta pilT$), YZ604 ($\Delta difG$), YZ613 ($\Delta difD$), and YZ641 ($\Delta difD \Delta difG$ or ΔDG). Statistical difference from the WT is indicated by * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, or *** $P < 0.0001$. Shown in the insert are the data for YZ603, DK10416, and YZ690 at an enlarged scale.

CONCLUSION

Here we report a microplate-based assay to analyze SBFs of *M. xanthus* under vegetative growth. This new assay has three major modifications compared with the Dahl protocol (Dahl et al., 2011). First, we demonstrated that overnight seeding in the Dahl protocol is not essential and it is therefore omitted from the new protocol for simplicity and convenience. Second, the temperature of 27°C is chosen for SBF formation because the relative cell population in SBF is significantly higher at 27°C than at 32°C; this is consistent with the observation of enhanced EPS production at 27°C or at room temperature with agar plate-based assays (Black et al., 2017; Dye et al., 2021). In retrospect, this could be the reason that 28°C was used in the Dahl protocol for overnight seeding (Dahl et al., 2011). Lastly, we introduced aeration by rotary shaking for the development of SBFs by *M. xanthus*, which is an obligate aerobe. We used our newly established protocol to examine vegetative SBF formation of various *M. xanthus* T4P and EPS mutants. The results demonstrated that the level of SBF tightly correlates with that of EPS but not of T4P, showing strains with higher EPS levels forming more SBF. Beside its use in SBF research, this assay can be utilized additionally as a convenient alternative for analyzing relative EPS levels for *M. xanthus* in a high throughput format.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article and **Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KD and ZY designed the research, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. KD performed the experiments. Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Armitano, J., Mejean, V., and Jourlin-Castelli, C. (2013). Aerotaxis governs floating biofilm formation in *Shewanella oneidensis*. *Environ. Microbiol.* 15, 3108–3118. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12158
- Armitano, J., Mejean, V., and Jourlin-Castelli, C. (2014). Gram-negative bacteria can also form pellicles. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 6, 534–544. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12171
- Arnaouteli, S., Bamford, N. C., Stanley-Wall, N. R., and Kovacs, A. T. (2021). *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm formation and social interactions. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 19, 600–614. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00540-9
- Bahar, O., Goffer, T., and Burdman, S. (2009). Type IV Pili are required for virulence, twitching motility, and biofilm formation of *Acidovorax avenae* subsp. *Citrulli*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 22, 909–920. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-22-8-0909
- Bellenger, K., Ma, X., Shi, W., and Yang, Z. (2002). A CheW homologue is required for *Myxococcus xanthus* fruiting body development, social gliding motility, and fibril biogenesis. *J. Bacteriol.* 184, 5654–5660. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.20.5654-5660.2002

FUNDING

This work in the ZY lab is partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant MCB-1919455 to ZY. KD is a recipient of a GSDA Fellowship, the Liberati Scholarship, and the Lewis Edward Goyette Fellowship.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Jay Ramos and Wilson Farthing for their suggestions during the preparation of the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.894562/full#supplementary-material>

Supplementary Figure 1 | Linear range of A_{cv} with CV concentrations. A_{cv} of CV solutions at indicated concentrations in parts per million (ppm) was measured by an Infinite F200 PRO plate reader. Shown are the averages with standard deviation from three independent experiments, each conducted in quadruplicates. A trendline is shown with an R^2 value of 0.9977 for CV concentrations up to 60 ppm. The inset shows the dataset with CV concentrations up to 30 ppm with an R^2 value of 0.9999 for the trend line.

Supplementary Figure 2 | Representative images of PBF formation at the liquid-air interface. 125 μ l of DK1622 (WT) cell suspension at indicated OD₆₀₀ was placed in the microwells of a 96-well microplate in triplicates per column. The plate was incubated under static conditions at 32°C. All microwells in the top row were slightly disturbed by pipette tips to be more wrinkly to aid the visualization of PBFs.

Supplementary Figure 3 | SBF formation with aeration. Shown here is the full dataset for **Figure 3A**.

Supplementary Figure 4 | SBF formation at 27 and 32°C. The full dataset for **Figure 4**. Culture volumes are indicated on the top for all panels with the starting OD₆₀₀ shown on the X-axis for all graphs. **(A)** SBF (A_{cv}) at 27 or 32°C. **(B)** Final optical density (OD₆₀₀) of the culture after SBF development. **(C)** Ratio of SBF amount (A_{cv}) to final OD₆₀₀ with the values for 32°C normalized to 1. The asterisk (*) indicate significant differences between the two temperatures (P -value < 0.05).

- Berleman, J. E., and Kirby, J. R. (2009). Deciphering the hunting strategy of a bacterial wolfpack. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 33, 942–957. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2009.00185.x
- Black, W. P., and Yang, Z. (2004). *Myxococcus xanthus* chemotaxis homologs DifD and DifG negatively regulate fibril polysaccharide production. *J. Bacteriol.* 186, 1001–1008. doi: 10.1128/JB.186.4.1001-1008.2004
- Black, W. P., Wang, L., Jing, X., Saldana, R. C., Li, F., Scharf, B. E., et al. (2017). The type IV pilus assembly ATPase PilB functions as a signaling protein to regulate exopolysaccharide production in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Sci. Rep.* 7:7263. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-07594-x
- Black, W. P., Xu, Q., and Yang, Z. (2006). Type IV pili function upstream of the Dif chemotaxis pathway in *Myxococcus xanthus* EPS regulation. *Mol. Microbiol.* 61, 447–456. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05230.x
- Black, W. P., Xu, Q., Cadieux, C. L., Suh, S. J., Shi, W., and Yang, Z. (2009). Isolation and characterization of a suppressor mutation that restores *Myxococcus xanthus* exopolysaccharide production. *Microbiology (Reading)* 155(Pt 11), 3599–3610. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.031070-0
- Bordeleau, E., Mazinani, S. A., Nguyen, D., Betancourt, F., and Yan, H. (2018). Abrasive treatment of microtiter plates improves the reproducibility of bacterial biofilm assays. *RSC Adv.* 8, 32434–32439. doi: 10.1039/c8ra06352d

- Bretl, D. J., and Kirby, J. R. (2016). Molecular mechanisms of signaling in *Myxococcus xanthus* development. *J. Mol. Biol.* 428, 3805–3830. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2016.07.008
- Campos, J. M., Geisselsoder, J., and Zusman, D. R. (1978). Isolation of bacteriophage MX4, a generalized transducing phage for *Myxococcus xanthus*. *J. Mol. Biol.* 119, 167–178. doi: 10.1016/0022-2836(78)90431-x
- Cao, P., Dey, A., Vassallo, C. N., and Wall, D. (2015). How myxobacteria cooperate. *J. Mol. Biol.* 427, 3709–3721. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2015.07.022
- Christensen, G. D., Simpson, W. A., Younger, J. J., Baddour, L. M., Barrett, F. F., Melton, D. M., et al. (1985). Adherence of coagulase-negative staphylococci to plastic tissue culture plates: a quantitative model for the adherence of staphylococci to medical devices. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 22, 996–1006. doi: 10.1128/jcm.22.6.996-1006.1985
- Coffey, B. M., and Anderson, G. G. (2014). Biofilm formation in the 96-well microtiter plate. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1149, 631–641. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-0473-0_48
- Colvin, K. M., Gordon, V. D., Murakami, K., Borlee, B. R., Wozniak, D. J., Wong, G. C., et al. (2011). The pel polysaccharide can serve a structural and protective role in the biofilm matrix of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *PLoS Pathog.* 7:e1001264. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001264
- Costerton, J. W., Lewandowski, Z., Caldwell, D. E., Korber, D. R., and Lappin-Scott, H. M. (1995). Microbial biofilms. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 49, 711–745. doi: 10.1146/annurev.mi.49.100195.003431
- Dahl, J. L., Ulrich, C. H., and Kroft, T. L. (2011). Role of phase variation in the resistance of *Myxococcus xanthus* fruiting bodies to *Caenorhabditis elegans* predation. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 5081–5089. doi: 10.1128/JB.05383-11
- Dye, K. J., Vogelaar, N. J., Sobrado, P., and Yang, Z. (2021). High-throughput screen for inhibitors of the type IV pilus assembly ATPase PilB. *mSphere* 6:e00129–21. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00129-21
- Ellison, C. K., Kan, J., Dillard, R. S., Kysela, D. T., Ducret, A., Berne, C., et al. (2017). Obstruction of pilus retraction stimulates bacterial surface sensing. *Science* 358, 535–538. doi: 10.1126/science.aan5706
- Faure, L. M., Fiche, J. B., Espinosa, L., Ducret, A., Anantharaman, V., Luciano, J., et al. (2016). The mechanism of force transmission at bacterial focal adhesion complexes. *Nature* 539, 530–535. doi: 10.1038/nature20121
- Fiebig, A. (2019). Role of *Caulobacter* cell surface structures in colonization of the air-liquid interface. *J. Bacteriol.* 201:e00064-19. doi: 10.1128/JB.00064-19
- Flemming, H. C., and Wuertz, S. (2019). Bacteria and archaea on earth and their abundance in biofilms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 17, 247–260. doi: 10.1038/s41579-019-0158-9
- Flemming, H. C., Wingender, J., Szewzyk, U., Steinberg, P., Rice, S. A., and Kjelleberg, S. (2016). Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial life. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 14, 563–575. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2016.94
- Fu, G., Bandaria, J. N., Le Gall, A. V., Fan, X., Yildiz, A., Mignot, T., et al. (2018). MotAB-like machinery drives the movement of MreB filaments during bacterial gliding motility. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 115, 2484–2489. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1716441115
- Genevaux, P., Muller, S., and Bauda, P. (1996). A rapid screening procedure to identify mini-Tn10 insertion mutants of *Escherichia coli* K-12 with altered adhesion properties. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 142, 27–30. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1996.tb08402.x
- Golub, S. R., and Overton, T. W. (2021). Pellicle formation by *Escherichia coli* K-12: role of adhesins and motility. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* 131, 381–389. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2020.12.002
- Guilhen, C., Forestier, C., and Balestrino, D. (2017). Biofilm dispersal: multiple elaborate strategies for dissemination of bacteria with unique properties. *Mol. Microbiol.* 105, 188–210. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13698
- Hodgkin, J., and Kaiser, D. (1979). Genetics of gliding motility in *Myxococcus xanthus* (Myxobacterales): two gene systems control movement. *Molec. Gen. Genet.* 171, 177–191. doi: 10.1007/bf00270004
- Holscher, T., Bartels, B., Lin, Y. C., Gallegos-Monterrosa, R., Price-Whelan, A., Kolter, R., et al. (2015). Motility, chemotaxis and aerotaxis contribute to competitiveness during bacterial pellicle biofilm development. *J. Mol. Biol.* 427, 3695–3708. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2015.06.014
- Islam, S. T., and Mignot, T. (2015). The mysterious nature of bacterial surface (gliding) motility: a focal adhesion-based mechanism in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Semin. Cell. Dev. Biol.* 46, 143–154. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.10.033
- Jakobczak, B., Keilberg, D., Wuichet, K., and Sogaard-Andersen, L. (2015). Contact- and protein transfer-dependent stimulation of assembly of the gliding motility machinery in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *PLoS Genet.* 11:e1005341. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005341
- Janssen, G. R., Wireman, J. W., and Dworkin, M. (1977). Effect of temperature on the growth of *Myxococcus xanthus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 130, 561–562. doi: 10.1128/jb.130.1.561-562.1977
- Kaiser, D. (1979). Social gliding is correlated with the presence of pili in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 76, 5952–5956. doi: 10.1073/pnas.76.11.5952
- Keane, R., and Berleman, J. (2016). The predatory life cycle of *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Microbiology (Reading)* 162, 1–11. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.000208
- Konovalova, A., Petters, T., and Sogaard-Andersen, L. (2010). Extracellular biology of *Myxococcus xanthus*. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 34, 89–106. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2009.00194.x
- Kovacs, A. T., and Dragos, A. (2019). Evolved biofilm: review on the experimental evolution studies of *Bacillus subtilis* pellicles. *J. Mol. Biol.* 431, 4749–4759. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2019.02.005
- Kroos, L. (2017). Highly signal-responsive gene regulatory network governing *Myxococcus* development. *Trends Genet.* 33, 3–15. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2016.10.006
- Kuner, J. M., and Kaiser, D. (1982). Fruiting body morphogenesis in submerged cultures of *Myxococcus xanthus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 151, 458–461. doi: 10.1128/jb.151.1.458-461.1982
- Kwasny, S. M., and Opperman, T. J. (2010). Static biofilm cultures of Gram-positive pathogens grown in a microtiter format used for anti-biofilm drug discovery. *Curr. Protoc. Pharmacol.* Chapter 13:Unit13A.18. doi: 10.1002/0471141755.ph13a08s50
- Landini, P., Antoniani, D., Burgess, J. G., and Nijland, R. (2010). Molecular mechanisms of compounds affecting bacterial biofilm formation and dispersal. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 86, 813–823. doi: 10.1007/s00253-010-2468-8
- Lei, L., Stipp, R. N., Chen, T., Wu, S. Z., Hu, T., and Duncan, M. J. (2018). Activity of *Streptococcus mutans* VicR is modulated by antisense RNA. *J. Dent. Res.* 97, 1477–1484. doi: 10.1177/0022034518781765
- Li, G., Brown, P. J., Tang, J. X., Xu, J., Quardokus, E. M., Fuqua, C., et al. (2012). Surface contact stimulates the just-in-time deployment of bacterial adhesins. *Mol. Microbiol.* 83, 41–51. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07909.x
- Li, Y., Sun, H., Ma, X., Lu, A., Lux, R., Zusman, D., et al. (2003). Extracellular polysaccharides mediate pilus retraction during social motility of *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 5443–5448. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0836639100
- Lu, A., Cho, K., Black, W. P., Duan, X. Y., Lux, R., Yang, Z., et al. (2005). Exopolysaccharide biosynthesis genes required for social motility in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 55, 206–220. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04369.x
- Maunder, E., and Welch, M. (2017). Matrix exopolysaccharides; the sticky side of biofilm formation. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 364:fnx120. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnx120
- Mauriello, E. M., Mignot, T., Yang, Z., and Zusman, D. R. (2010). Gliding motility revisited: how do the myxobacteria move without flagella? *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 74, 229–249. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00043-09
- Mercier, R., and Mignot, T. (2016). Regulations governing the multicellular lifestyle of *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 34, 104–110. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2016.08.009
- Merritt, J. H., Kadouri, D. E., and O'Toole, G. A. (2005). Growing and analyzing static biofilms. *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* Chapter 1:Unit1B.1. doi: 10.1002/9780471729259.mc01b01s00
- Merz, A. J., and Forest, K. T. (2002). Bacterial surface motility: slime trails, grappling hooks and nozzles. *Curr. Biol.* 12, R297–R303. doi: 10.1016/s0960-9822(02)00806-0
- Mikkelsen, H., Duck, Z., Lilley, K. S., and Welch, M. (2007). Interrelationships between colonies, biofilms, and planktonic cells of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Bacteriol.* 189, 2411–2416. doi: 10.1128/JB.01687-06
- Munoz-Dorado, J., Marcos-Torres, F. J., Garcia-Bravo, E., Moraleda-Munoz, A., and Perez, J. (2016). *Myxobacteria*: moving, killing, feeding, and surviving together. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:781. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00781
- Naher, J., Chowdhury, S. A., Mamun, A. A., Mahmud, N., Shumi, W., and Khan, R. A. (2014). A comparative study on the biofilm formation of *Enterobacter agglomerans* and *Serratia rubideae* in different environmental parameter under

- single culture condition. *Open J. Med. Microbiol.* 04, 70–76. doi: 10.4236/ojmm.2014.41008
- Nan, B., and Zusman, D. R. (2016). Novel mechanisms power bacterial gliding motility. *Mol. Microbiol.* 101, 186–193. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13389
- Nan, B., McBride, M. J., Chen, J., Zusman, D. R., and Oster, G. (2014). Bacteria that glide with helical tracks. *Curr. Biol.* 24, R169–R173. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.12.034
- Nudleman, E., and Kaiser, D. (2004). Pulling together with type IV pili. *J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 7, 52–62. doi: 10.1159/000077869
- O'Connor, K. A., and Zusman, D. R. (1991a). Behavior of peripheral rods and their role in the life cycle of *Myxococcus xanthus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 173, 3342–3355. doi: 10.1128/jb.173.11.3342-3355.1991
- O'Connor, K. A., and Zusman, D. R. (1991b). Development in *Myxococcus xanthus* involves differentiation into two cell types, peripheral rods and spores. *J. Bacteriol.* 173, 3318–3333. doi: 10.1128/jb.173.11.3318-3333.1991
- O'Toole, G. A., and Kolter, R. (1998). Initiation of biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS365 proceeds via multiple, convergent signalling pathways: a genetic analysis. *Mol. Microbiol.* 28, 449–461. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00797.x
- O'Toole, G., Kaplan, H. B., and Kolter, R. (2000). Biofilm formation as microbial development. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 54, 49–79. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.54.1.49
- Perez-Burgos, M., Garcia-Romero, I., Jung, J., Schander, E., Valvano, M. A., and Sogaard-Andersen, L. (2020). Characterization of the exopolysaccharide biosynthesis pathway in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 202, 1–36. doi: 10.1128/JB.00335-20
- Popp, P. F., and Mascher, T. (2019). Coordinated cell death in isogenic bacterial populations: sacrificing some for the benefit of many? *J. Mol. Biol.* 431, 4656–4669. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2019.04.024
- Redder, P., and Linder, P. (2012). DEAD-box RNA helicases in gram-positive RNA decay. *Methods Enzymol.* 511, 369–383. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-396546-2.00017-6
- Sanchez-Vizuet, P., Dergham, Y., Bridier, A., Deschamps, J., Dervyn, E., Hamze, K., et al. (2022). The coordinated population redistribution between *Bacillus subtilis* submerged biofilm and liquid-air pellicle. *Biofilm* 4:100065. doi: 10.1016/j.biofilm.2021.100065
- Shimkets, L. J. (1986). Role of cell cohesion in *Myxococcus xanthus* fruiting body formation. *J. Bacteriol.* 166, 842–848. doi: 10.1128/jb.166.3.842-848.1986
- Sydney, N., Swain, M. T., So, J. M. T., Hoiczky, E., Tucker, N. P., and Whitworth, D. E. (2021). The genetics of prey susceptibility to myxobacterial predation: a Review, including an investigation into *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutations affecting predation by *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Microb. Physiol.* 31, 57–66. doi: 10.1159/000515546
- Thaxter, R. (1892). On the Myxobacteriaceae, a new order of Schizomycetes. *Bot. Gazette* 17, 389–406. doi: 10.1086/326866
- Thaxter, R. (1897). Contributions from the cryptogamic laboratory of Harvard University. XXXIX. Further observations on the myxobacteriaceae. *Bot. Gazette* 23, 395–411. doi: 10.1086/327531
- Thiery, S., and Kaimer, C. (2020). The predation strategy of *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:2. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00002
- van Gestel, J., Vlamakis, H., and Kolter, R. (2015). Division of labor in biofilms: the ecology of cell differentiation. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 3:MB-0002-2014. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.MB-0002-2014
- Velicer, G. J., and Vos, M. (2009). Sociobiology of the myxobacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 63, 599–623. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.091208.073158
- Wadhwa, N., and Berg, H. C. (2021). Bacterial motility: machinery and mechanisms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 20, 161–173. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00626-4
- Wall, D., Wu, S. S., and Kaiser, D. (1998). Contact stimulation of Tgl and type IV pili in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 180, 759–761. doi: 10.1128/JB.180.3.759-761.1998
- Wang, J., Hu, W., Lux, R., He, X., Li, Y., and Shi, W. (2011). Natural transformation of *Myxococcus xanthus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 2122–2132. doi: 10.1128/JB.00041-11
- Wu, S. S., Wu, J., and Kaiser, D. (1997). The *Myxococcus xanthus* pilT locus is required for social gliding motility although pili are still produced. *Mol. Microbiol.* 23, 109–121. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1997.1791550.x
- Xi, C., and Wu, J. (2010). dATP/ATP, a multifunctional nucleotide, stimulates bacterial cell lysis, extracellular DNA release and biofilm development. *PLoS One* 5:e13355. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0013355
- Yamamoto, K., Arai, H., Ishii, M., and Igarashi, Y. (2011). Trade-off between oxygen and iron acquisition in bacterial cells at the air-liquid interface. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 77, 83–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01087.x
- Yang, Z., Li, C., Friedrich, C., and Sogaard-Andersen, L. (2014). “Type IV pili and exopolysaccharide-dependent motility in *Myxococcus xanthus*,” in *Myxobacteria: Genomics, Cellular and Molecular Biology*, eds Z. Yang and P. I. Higgs (London: Caister Academic Press), 183–198.
- Yang, Z., Lux, R., Hu, W., Hu, C., and Shi, W. (2010). PilA localization affects extracellular polysaccharide production and fruiting body formation in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 76, 1500–1513. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07180.x
- Zhang, Y., Ducret, A., Shaeitz, J., and Mignot, T. (2012). From individual cell motility to collective behaviors: insights from a prokaryote, *Myxococcus xanthus*. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 36, 149–164. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00307.x
- Zhou, T., and Nan, B. (2017). Exopolysaccharides promote *Myxococcus xanthus* social motility by inhibiting cellular reversals. *Mol. Microbiol.* 103, 729–743. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13585
- Zusman, D. R., Scott, A. E., Yang, Z., and Kirby, J. R. (2007). Chemosensory pathways, motility and development in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 5, 862–872. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1770

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Dye and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.