

TITLE: Conserved Molecular Pathways Underlying Biting in Two Divergent Mosquito Genera

RUNNING TITLE: The molecular basis of mosquito biting

AUTHORS: Alden Siperstein^{1*}, Sarah Marzec^{2*}, Megan L. Fritz³, Christina M. Holzapfel⁴, William E. Bradshaw⁴, Peter A. Armbruster², Megan E. Meuti¹

CONTACT INFORMATION:

¹ Department of Entomology, The Ohio State University, Coffey Road, Kottman Hall, Columbus, Ohio 43210

²Department of Biology, Georgetown University, 37th and O sts. NW, Washington, DC 20057

³ Department of Entomology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742

⁴ Laboratory of Evolutionary Genetics, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403

* These authors contributed equally to this work

ABSTRACT: Mosquitoes transmit a wide variety of devastating pathogens when they bite vertebrate hosts and feed on their blood. However, three entire mosquito genera and many individual species in other genera have evolved a non-biting life history in which blood is not required to produce eggs. Our long-term goal is to develop novel interventions that reduce or eliminate the biting behavior in vector mosquitoes. A previous study used biting and non-biting populations of a non-vector mosquito, *Wyeomyia smithii*, as a model to uncover the transcriptional basis of the evolutionary transition from a biting to a non-biting life history. Herein, we ask whether the molecular pathways that were differentially expressed due to differences in biting behavior in *W. smithii* are also differentially expressed between subspecies of *Culex pipiens* that are obligate biting (*Culex pipiens pipiens*) and facultatively non-biting (*Culex pipiens molestus*). Results from RNAseq of adult heads show dramatic upregulation of transcripts in the ribosomal protein pathway in biting *Culex pipiens*, recapitulating the results in *Wyeomyia smithii*, and implicating the ancient and highly conserved ribosome as the intersection to understanding the evolutionary and physiological basis of blood feeding in mosquitoes. Biting *Culex* also strongly upregulate energy production pathways, including oxidative phosphorylation and the citric acid (TCA) cycle relative to non-biters, a distinction that was not observed in *W. smithii*. Amino acid metabolism pathways were enriched for differentially expressed genes in biting vs. non-biting *Culex*. Relative to biters, non-biting *Culex* upregulated sugar metabolism and transcripts contributing to reproductive allocation (vitellogenin and cathepsins). These results provide a foundation for developing strategies to determine the natural evolutionary transition between a biting and non-biting life history in vector mosquitoes.

KEYWORDS: mosquito-borne disease, blood feeding, vector control, *Culex pipiens*, *Wyeomyia smithii*, life-history evolution.

INTRODUCTION

Mosquitoes transmit a wide variety of vector-borne diseases, including malaria, dengue, and filariasis (Roberts, 2002). Furthermore, the rapid emergence and global spread of additional mosquito-borne viruses such as chikungunya and Zika are of increasing public health concern (Bradshaw et al. 2018, Fauci et al. 2016, Kilpatrick and Randolph 2012). Effective vaccines and drug treatments are not available for the majority of mosquito-borne pathogens. Consequently, efforts to reduce disease transmission have traditionally focused on reducing mosquito abundance, usually by reducing larval habitats (a.k.a. source reduction) or applying insecticides. However, the effectiveness of these traditional approaches is limited by the proliferation of man-made habitats (e.g., discarded tires and cisterns) and the evolution of insecticide resistance. Hence, novel approaches to control the transmission of mosquito-borne pathogens are desperately needed (McGraw and O’Neil 2013).

Over the last two decades, a variety of new and promising strategies have been developed to either reduce mosquito abundance or inhibit pathogen transmission (McGraw and O’Neil 2013, Crawford et al. 2020, Wang et al. 2021). However, all of these emerging approaches assume that a bite will occur. We are pursuing an alternative strategy to identify existing genetic variation in natural populations that enables a proportion of females to produce eggs without imbibing blood. Our long-term goal is to develop genetic or chemical interventions that turn blood-feeding mosquitoes into non-biters based on the simple but powerful logic that if no bite occurs, transmission of blood-borne pathogens is not possible (Armbruster, 2018).

The evolutionary transition from a biting to a non-biting life-history has occurred multiple times in mosquitoes. In fact, three complete genera of mosquitoes never bite (*Malaya*, *Topomyia*, *Toxorhynchites*), and several non-biting species occur in genera comprised mostly of species that do bite (Downes 1958, Foster 1995, Miyagi et al. 2012, Rattanakul et al. 2007, Wahid et al. 2007, Zhou et al. 2014). Furthermore, many species are able to produce a single clutch of eggs without biting, but then require a blood meal for all subsequent egg clutches (Rioux et al. 1975, Spielman 1971; O’Meara 1985). The selective pressures driving the repeated, independent evolution of a non-biting life history in mosquitoes are likely related to the costs of blood feeding, which are not widely appreciated. These costs include allocating energetic resources to locating vertebrate hosts, preparing to digest a blood meal (Bradshaw et al. 2018), surviving on a host (Edman & Scott, 1987), mitigating the thermal stress of imbibing a hot blood

meal (Benoit et al. 2011), as well as detoxifying heme and iron as the blood is digested (Graca-Souza et al. 2006). Bearing these myriad costs in mind, it is perhaps not surprising that northern, obligate non-biting populations of the pitcher-plant mosquito, *Wyeomyia smithii*, achieve higher lifetime reproductive success than southern populations of ancestrally biting mosquitoes, regardless of the presence or absence of a host (Bradshaw 1980, 1986; Borowczak 2017).

Bradshaw et al. (2018) used the pitcher-plant mosquito, *Wyeomyia smithii*, as a model system to determine the molecular physiology underlying the evolutionary transition from a biting to a non-biting life history by artificially selecting a genetically variable population of *W. smithii* from Florida to generate two populations: avid biters and disinterested biters. The transcriptional response in the presence of a vertebrate host of these artificially selected populations were then compared to a naturally evolved, obligate non-biting population from Maine. When all three populations were provided with the opportunity to blood-feed, 1,459 genes (<6% of the *W. smithii* genome) exhibited parallel differential gene expression between both the artificially selected avid biters and the unselected, disinterested biters from within the same Florida population and between avid biters from Florida and naturally evolved obligate non-biters from Maine (Bradshaw et al. 2018). Results based on KEGG pathway analyses found that relative to non-biting females, biting females of *W. smithii* transcriptionally up-regulate several physiological processes with clear functional significance to blood feeding before blood is actually ingested. These artificially selected and naturally evolved populations of *W. smithii* that differed in biting behavior demonstrated an extraordinarily high level of genetic parallelism. We now seek to answer the question: Do the genes and pathways that distinguish blood-feeding from obligate non-biting populations of *W. smithii* (Bradshaw et al. 2018) predict pathways and genes that distinguish blood-feeding from facultatively non-biting individuals in two subspecies of the vector mosquito *Culex pipiens*?

To answer this question, we conducted similar experiments to those with *W. smithii* (Bradshaw et al. 2018), utilizing *Culex pipiens* L., a primary vector of West Nile virus and filarial worms (Hamer et al. 2008; Lewandowski et al. 1980; Rajagopalan et al. 1977). The *Culex pipiens* L. complex includes two interfertile subspecies, *Cx. p. pipiens* (hereafter, Pipiens) and *Cx. p. molestus* (hereafter, Molestus). The Pipiens and Molestus subspecies differ in a suite of ecophysiological traits, including above- vs. below-ground habitat utilization, mating behavior, host preference, and the ability to reproduce without biting (Spielman 1971, O'Meara 1985;

Strickman and Fonseca 2012, Noreuil and Fritz 2021, Haba and McBride 2022). Thus, similar to the comparison of *W. smithii* populations described above (Bradshaw et al. 2018), the challenge of our experimental design is that even when tissue samples are collected in the context of a behavioral biting assay, transcriptional differences between the *Pipiens* and *Molestus* subspecies could be due to differences unrelated to biting behavior. We address this challenge by specifically identifying transcriptional differences between biting *Pipiens* and non-biting *Molestus* that were also associated with differences in biting behavior between populations of *W. smithii*. The simplest interpretation of these shared differences between biting and non-biting mosquitoes from two genera separated by ~200 Mya of evolutionary divergence (Reidenbach et al. 2009) is that the differences represent a conserved molecular physiological response to differences in biting behavior. Additionally, we focus on transcriptional differences between biting *Pipiens* and non-biting *Molestus* that have a clear functional relevance to metabolism and reproductive physiology when producing eggs with or without ingesting blood.

Herein, we compare transcriptional differences in heads of biting *Pipiens* and non-biting *Molestus*, utilizing previously characterized populations of these two subspecies with established differences in biting behavior and the capacity to reproduce without biting (Noreuil and Fritz 2021). We identify KEGG pathways enriched for differentially expressed genes (DEGs) to elucidate the molecular physiology underlying the divergence between a biting vs. non-biting life history. We also determine transcriptional similarities and distinctions between biters vs. non-biters of *Cx. pipiens* with biters vs. non-biters of *W. smithii* predicted *a priori* from direct selection on biting in *W. smithii*. Our results present novel insights that provide a foundation for our long-term goal of developing pharmacological or genetic strategies to reduce global transmission of pathogens by disease vectors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect colony maintenance

Colonies of *Molestus* and *Pipiens* utilized in this study correspond to BG1 (*Molestus*) and AG2 (*Pipiens*), respectively of Noreuil & Fritz (2021). The *Molestus* population was established from the drainage sump in the Calumet Water Reclamation Plant in Chicago, Illinois (USA) in January of 2009 (Mutebi & Savage 2009). The *Pipiens* population was collected from above ground field sites in Evanston, Illinois (USA) in August of 2016, and the 51st and 52nd lab

generation were used in these experiments. Consistent with Noreuil & Fritz (2021), our preliminary experiments confirmed that >90% of *Molestus* females produced eggs without a blood meal within the first ~100 hours of eclosion. Hence most females from this population were disinterested in biting on the third day of adult life when tissue collections occurred (see below). Females from the *Pipiens* population required a bloodmeal to complete every gonotrophic cycle.

All mosquito life-stages were reared in an environmental chamber at 26°C with ~70% relative humidity and a photoperiod consisting of 16 hours of light and 8 hours of dark (L:D 16:8). One-hundred and fifty first instar larvae of *Pipiens* or *Molestus* were placed in pans with 600 mL of reverse osmosis (RO) water. Larvae were initially fed a slurry of beef liver powder (3 mL of 2.53% weight/volume solution) and subsequently fed 650 mg of ground Tetramin fish food over a period of 6 days. For both strains, one hundred pupae were placed into circular plastic containers (4.61 cm in diameter) containing ~200 ml of RO water, which previous experiments showed resulted in a high rate of emergence and low pupal mortality. The pupae in these containers were then placed inside 12" x 12" x 12" mesh cages (BioQuip) provisioned with 10% sucrose solution, organic raisins, and honey-soaked sponges, which served as food sources for male and female mosquitoes. To ensure that the adults in each cage were the same age, cups containing pupae were moved every 24 hrs to new cages each day at Circadian Time (CT) 8.5 (i.e., 8.5 hrs after lights had turned on).

Head tissue collections

Non-biting females of *Molestus* and biting females of *Pipiens* were collected using methods that were as close as possible to a previous study of transcriptional changes associated with differences in biting behavior in the pitcher-plant mosquito, *W. smithii* (Bradshaw et al. 2018). All females were collected three days post-adult emergence during an approximately one-hour period between CT12 and CT15, corresponding to between 4 to 1 hour(s) respectively before the lights turned off. This narrow collection window was used to minimize any differences in gene expression that might arise due to the timing of collections. All food sources were removed on Day 2 (CT12; 24 hours before collections) to encourage females to bite. Both the *Molestus* and *Pipiens* were reared in the same incubator at the same time, and whenever possible, samples of both strains were collected on the same day.

Non-biting females of *Molestus* were collected by discarding any females that attempted to bite the human blood source during the one-hour trial period (0-5 females/cage; <1.7% of total females attempted to bite). After the one-hour period, 35 of the remaining, non-biting *Molestus* were removed from the cage, snap frozen in ethanol on dry ice, and decapitated. These 35 collected heads were pooled into a single biological replicate sample for RNAseq analysis. An additional sample containing 10 heads was collected for qRT-PCR analyses. One biological replicate sample for RNAseq and one biological replicate sample for qRT-PCR were collected from a single cage containing 3 day-old female mosquitoes each day over a four-day period (n = 3 replicate samples for RNAseq; n = 5 replicate samples for qRT-PCR; see Table S1).

Biting female *Pipiens* were collected by aspirating any females that landed on the human blood source, probed their mouthparts into the source and inserted their proboscis until the labium was bent and the fascicle was exposed. Importantly, these biting females were collected *before* they had the opportunity to imbibe any blood. Females were snap frozen in EtOH on dry ice and decapitated. Two biological replicate samples containing 35 heads and one biological replicate sample containing 31 heads of 3 day-old biting *Pipiens* were collected over 3 days for RNAseq experiments (See Table S1). Any additional females who bit were also collected for subsequent qRT-PCR analyses (9-15 heads/sample; n = 5 samples; Table S1).

RNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing

All samples for RNAseq analysis were homogenized in 500 ul of TRIzol (Invitrogen) and shipped to the University of Oregon Genomics and Cell Characterization Core Facility (GC3F) where RNA extraction, cDNA library preparation, and sequencing were performed. Briefly, head tissue of each sample was disrupted by beating with Silica grinding beads in a Spex Genogrinder 2100 (2 x 1500 RPM for 2 minutes). RNA was extracted using a Zymo Direct-Zol kit (Zymo Research) and mRNA was isolated using Oligo dT beads according to manufacturer's instructions. Integrity assessment was performed on an RNA chip (Bioanalyzer 2100) and all samples that were sequenced had a RNA Quality Number between 6.7 – 10.0. Six RNA samples (three biting, three non-biting) were utilized for paired-end, barcoded, and stranded library construction with the Universal Plus mRNA-Seq protocol (Tecan Genomics). All six libraries were combined in equimolar ratios and 150 bp reads were sequenced on single lane of an

Illumina HiSeq 4000 instrument. The raw reads are available in NCBI's sequence read archive (SRA) under accession number [dataset] PRJNA787258.

Bioinformatics Analyses

Details of the bioinformatics workflow can be found on the GitHub repository here: [dataset] https://github.com/srmarzec/Culex_Biting_RNAseq/blob/main/MasterNotes.md. Briefly, reads were cleaned with Trimmomatic (version 0.39) using default settings with the exception of a flag for HEADCROP:15 to remove the first 15 bases from each read. Reads were then mapped using a two-pass method in STAR (version 2.7.1a) to the most recent available *Culex quinquefasciatus* JHB strain reference genome sequence (GCF_015732765.1). Read counts were obtained from the resulting bam files with HTSeq (version 0.13.5). We included genes that had at least 10 reads across all six samples. To determine if biological replicate samples within treatments (biting, non-biting) exhibited similar overall transcriptional profiles, read counts were transformed to a log₂ scale using rlog and then a principle components analysis (PCA) was performed using plotPCA in DESeq2 (version 1.30.1). Next, differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 on normalized read counts in an R environment (version 4.0.2). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified as genes with a Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate adjusted *p-value* less than 0.05 and a log₂ fold change >|1|.

Because the most recent *Cx. quinquefasciatus* genome sequence (GCF_015732765.1) does not have available KEGG pathway annotations, locus tags (CpipJ_CPIJ IDs) were retrieved from the previous *Cx. quinquefasciatus* genome sequence (GCA_000209185.1) using the NCBI efetch utility (Entrez Direct E-utility). Locus tags corresponding to GCF_015732765.1 gene IDs were used to identify KEGG pathways that were enriched for DEGs. All *Cx. quinquefasciatus* KEGG pathways and genes within those pathways were downloaded from KEGG using KEGGREST (version 1.30.1) in R. A custom script was used to identify the number of DEGs relative to the total number of genes in each pathway. Significant enrichment was tested using a Wilcoxon Rank Sum test. Only KEGG pathways that had and 5 or more DEGs and a *p-value* less than 0.05 were considered to be enriched for DEGs.

Finally, common DEGs between biting vs. non-biting mosquitoes were identified for *Cx. pipiens* and *W. smithii*. To do so, for each differentially expressed gene between biting *Pipiens* and non-biting *Molestus* that was present in the significantly enriched KEGG pathways, putative

orthologues between *W. smithii* and *Cx. pipiens* were identified based on matching CpipJ_CPIJ IDs (locus tags). The *Cx. pipiens* CpipJ_CPIJ IDs were obtained as described above for KEGG pathway analysis. For *W. smithii* transcripts, the *orthologous Cx. pipiens* transcript (CpipJ_CPIJ ID) was obtained as previously described in Bradshaw et al. (2018). As some *W. smithii* transcripts were assigned matching CpipJ_CPIJ IDs and thus are duplicated, there are some duplicate occurrences of *Cx. pipiens* transcripts as orthologs from our work.

Confirming differential gene expression using qPCR

Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR) of independent tissue samples was used to confirm the differential gene expression results from RNAseq analysis. Heads of 3 day-old, non-biting Molestus and 3 day-old, biting Pipiens (n = 9-15 heads/biological replicate; 5 biological replicates/subspecies; Table S1) were collected as described above and RNA was isolated from the samples using TRIzol according to the manufacturer's protocol, using 1/2 of the reaction volumes. The amount and quality of the RNA were measured using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer. cDNAs for each sample were synthesized using 0.1 mg of total RNA and the Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primers for six genes that were upregulated in non-biting Molestus and five genes that were upregulated in biting Pipiens were designed using Primer3 (Untergasser et al. 2012; Table S2). Prior to qPCR analyses, melt and standard curves were run to ensure that each primer set met MIQE specificity and efficiency guidelines (Bustin et al. 2009; Table S2). qRT-PCR was performed in a 96-well plate using an CFX Connect qPCR detection system (Bio-Rad). All reactions were performed in triplicate in a total volume of 10 μ L containing 5 μ L iTaq Universal SYBR green PCR Master Mix (Bio-Rad), 400 nmol of each primer, and 1 μ L sample cDNA.

The qPCR data were analyzed by first averaging the relative cycle threshold (CT) of three technical replicates. The resulting CT value for each gene of interest within each biological replicate was normalized to the geometric average of the CT values of three reference genes (*Rp49*, *RpL19*, and *28S*) by subtracting the average CT of reference genes from the CT value for the gene of interest ($2^{-\Delta CT}$ method). A Student's T-test was then used to compare the average relative expression of a gene of interest between the five biological replicates in biting Pipiens and non-biting Molestus samples ($\alpha = 0.05$).

RESULTS

RNA sequencing of three biological replicate samples of non-biting *Molestus* head tissue and three biological replicate samples of biting *Pipiens* head tissue produced a total of 369,193,543 raw read pairs (range = 66,888,763 to 53,998,743 read pairs per sample). Of these, between 86.3% and 78.5% of read pairs per replicate sample remained after filtering with Trimmomatic. The STAR two-pass alignment produced alignment rates between 72.5% and 62.0% per sample. Finally, HTseq identified between 19,932,197 and 31,239,605 reads pairs per sample that aligned to gene models (Table S3). 13,601 gene models had at least ten mapped read pairs across the six samples, corresponding to 90% of the 15,094 annotated protein coding genes in the *Culex quinquefasciatus* reference genome sequence (GCF_015732765.1). Principal components analysis showed that transcriptional profiles of biting vs. non-biting samples were clustered within treatments and strongly separated on the first principal components axis, which explained 75% of the variance in gene expression (Figure S1). Biting samples were also strongly clustered on the second principal components axis (15% of variance), while one non-biting sample was distinct from the other two replicate samples (Figure S1). Overall, a total of 1,444 genes were significantly differentially expressed ($p < 0.05$, $\log_2FC > 1$) between non-biting *Molestus* and biting *Pipiens* samples (Fig. 1, Table S4). A list of the top 15 upregulated and downregulated genes in *Pipiens* relative to *Molestus* is presented in Table 1.

KEGG pathway enrichment analysis resulted in 17 pathways significantly enriched for DEGs (Table 2). Below, we focus on the specific results for KEGG pathways and related individual genes of particular biological interest. We begin by discussing pathways upregulated in *Pipiens* relative to *Molestus*. For example, pathways related to transcription, translation and energy metabolism were upregulated in biting relative to non-biting mosquitoes. Concerning translation, the KEGG pathway for “Ribosome” contained 123 annotated *Cx. quinquefasciatus* genes, of which 85 were differentially expressed; all of these 85 DEGs were up-regulated in *Pipiens* relative to *Molestus*. Additionally, the ribosome pathway contained 18 inferred orthologs between *Cx. pipiens* and *W. smithii*, all of which were up-regulated in avid- vs. reluctant-biting *W. smithii*. Furthermore, the transcript for SUMO, which encodes a protein involved in post-translational modification, was also upregulated in both biting *Pipiens* and biting *W. smithii* relative to their non-biting counterparts (Table 1, Table S4). Relevant to transcription, the “RNA polymerase” KEGG pathway contained 27 annotated *Cx. pipiens* genes. Seven genes were DEG,

of which six were significantly upregulated in biting relative to non-biting mosquitoes. The two KEGG pathways related to energy metabolism that were significantly enriched for DEGs were “Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)” and “Oxidative phosphorylation.” In the oxidative phosphorylation pathway, the 80 annotated genes included 50 DEGs, all of which were upregulated in biting *Pipiens*. The citrate cycle included 27 annotated genes, of which nine were DEG and seven were upregulated in biting *Pipiens*.

Two KEGG pathways involved in amino acid degradation were upregulated in biting *Pipiens*. The “Valine, Leucine, and Isoleucine degradation” pathway included 35 annotated *Culex* genes, of which eight were DEG with seven upregulated in biters. The “Lysine degradation” KEGG pathway included 28 annotated *Culex* genes, of which eight were DEG, with six upregulated in biters.

Compared to the results above describing KEGG pathways upregulated in biting *Pipiens* relative to non-biting *Molestus*, fewer KEGG pathways were enriched for upregulated DEGs in non-biting mosquitoes. The “Fructose and mannose metabolism” KEGG pathway contained 28 annotated *Culex* genes of which six were DEG. Five of these six DEGs were upregulated in non-biting *Molestus* relative to biting *Pipiens*. The “Tyrosine metabolism” KEGG pathway was also upregulated in non-biters relative to biters, with 20 annotated genes, of which 8 were DEG and 6 were upregulated in non-biters.

A previous study in *W. smithii* (Bradshaw et al. 2018) identified 1,459 transcripts that were consistently differentially expressed in two comparisons between biting and non-biting mosquitoes of this species. As noted above, all of these *W. smithii* transcripts were assigned putative *Culex* orthologues (CpipJ_CPIJ IDs) as described in Bradshaw et al. (2018). In the current study, we identified 1,444 transcripts that were differentially expressed between biting *Pipiens* and non-biting *Molestus*, of which 1,089 could be assigned CpipJ_CPIJ IDs using the efetch utility in Entrez Direct E-utility (see above). Of these 1,459 *W. smithii* transcripts and 1,089 *Cx. pipiens* transcripts that were differentially expressed between biters and non-biters, 172 transcripts in *W. smithii* are homologous to 156 transcripts in *Cx. pipiens*. Of the transcripts that were unique to *Cx. pipiens*, 84 were upregulated in biting *Pipiens* and avid-biting *W. smithii* relative to their non-biting and reluctant-biting counterparts. In contrast, only 11 transcripts were upregulated in non-biting *Molestus* and reluctant-biting *W. smithii* relative to biters (Table S5).

qRT-PCR results support the results of the RNAseq analysis by finding that DEGs identified by RNAseq are differentially expressed in independent samples of biting and non-biting *Cx. pipiens*. Specifically, qRT-PCR results demonstrate that *angiopoietin-related protein 6* (LOC6052987), *probable cytochrome P450 6a14* (LOC6037495), and *ficolin-3* (LOC6046433) were upregulated in *Pipiens* head-tissue samples, similar to the RNAseq results (Table S6). The remaining 3 genes that RNAseq analyses indicate were upregulated in biting *Pipiens* relative to non-biting *Molestus* (*hexamerin 1.1*, *larval cuticle protein A3A* and *cuticle protein 38*) were not found to be differentially expressed in our qRT-PCR analyses (Table S6). However, *vitellogenin-A1* (LOC6043252), *fumarylacetoacetase* (LOC6052229), *esteraseB1* (LOC6030831), *cathepsinB* (LOC6049222) and *L-galactose dehydrogenase* (LOC6037771) were over-expressed in *Molestus* when measured with both RNAseq (Table 1) and qRT-PCR (Fig. S2), representing all five *Molestus*-upregulated genes that we selected for qRT-PCR analysis. Moreover, the differences in fold change were similar in our qRT-PCR analyses and in our RNAseq results (Table S6).

DISCUSSION:

The evolutionary transition from a biting to non-biting life-history has occurred multiple times in mosquitoes, including three entire genera of mosquitoes that never bite (*Malaya*, *Topomyia*, *Toxorhynchites*), and several non-biting species that occur in genera comprised mostly of species that do bite (Downes 1958, Foster 1995, Miyagi et al. 2012, Rattananarithikul et al. 2007, Wahid et al. 2007, Zhou et al. 2014, Rioux et al. 1975, Spielman 1971). Additionally, several mosquito species make a transition from a biting to a non-biting life history when they enter adult, reproductive diapause in response to short days (reviewed in Denlinger and Armbruster 2014, 2016). Differences in gene expression between non-diapausing (biting) and diapausing (non-biting) *Cx. pipiens pipiens* have previously been measured using suppression subtractive hybridization (Robich and Denlinger 2005; Robich et al. 2007). Our long-term goal is to identify common molecular and physiological differences between biting and non-biting mosquitoes so that we may develop novel strategies to prevent biting in vector species. A previous study used *W. smithii* as a model system to determine the molecular underpinnings of the evolutionary transition from a biting to a non-biting life history between populations within a single species (Bradshaw et al. 2018). Herein, we extend the range of evolutionary divergence from that study to determine whether molecular pathways involved in the evolutionary

divergence of a blood-feeding vs. non-blood-feeding life history in *W. smithii* are also differentially regulated between two previously characterized subspecies of *Culex pipiens* that are obligate blood feeding (Pipiens) and facultatively non-biting (Molestus) (Noreuil and Fritz 2021). This comparison establishes the unprecedented opportunity to identify conserved transcriptional responses related to biting vs. non-biting across a broad evolutionary timescale between different genera of mosquitoes estimated to have diverged in nature ~200 Mya (Reidenbach et al. 2009).

We first briefly review the transcriptional changes associated with the transition from an ancestral blood-feeding life history to an evolutionarily derived non-blood-feeding life history in *W. smithii*. We then discuss our current experimental results on transcriptional differences in head tissues between populations of biting Pipiens and non-biting Molestus. We focus on pathways and gene clusters relevant to unifying concepts such as anticipatory costs and metabolic flexibility, in preference to discussing distinctions on a gene-by-gene basis. Throughout, we highlight similarities and distinctions between biting and non-biting *Culex* and *Wyeomyia*. Finally, we discuss directions for future research.

Transcriptional differences between biting vs. non-biting *Wyeomyia smithii*.

As described above (see Introduction), the previous study of Bradshaw et al. (2018) utilized a comparison of *both* naturally evolved *and* artificially selected populations of *W. smithii* to identify transcriptional differences that contribute to the evolution of a non-biting life history. Bradshaw et al. (2018) concluded that the evolution of a non-biting life history resulted in reduced anticipatory costs of biting and increased opportunistic metabolic flexibility. The reduced anticipatory costs include reduced pre-biting investment in proteasomal, spliceosomal, ribosomal, and odorant receptor proteins. The opportunistic metabolic flexibility includes increased expression of enzymes that produce metabolic intermediates in the pyruvate metabolic pathway (Acetyl-CoA) and the purine metabolic pathway (Inosine monophosphate), providing non-biters with the opportunity to exploit diverse downstream metabolic pathways in response to varied environmental conditions. As we show below, differential gene expression between obligate biting Pipiens and non-biting Molestus both overlap with and differ from *W. smithii*.

Anticipatory upregulation of translational machinery in biting Pipiens

Biting *Pipiens* exhibit dramatic upregulation of the translational machinery, starting with three of the six genes encoding components of RNA polymerase I, which specifically transcribes ribosomal RNAs and two components of RNA polymerase III, which, in turn, transcribes transfer RNAs (Khatter et al. 2017). Furthermore, the KEGG pathway associated with ribosomes is highly enriched for DEGs, with 69% of genes encoding ribosomal proteins upregulated in biting female mosquitoes (Table 2, Fig. 2A). Specific genes upregulated in *Pipiens* relative to *Molestus* include two eukaryotic translation initiation factors (*eIF5A*, LOC6032328 and *eIF6*, LOC6045183) and the post-translational protein modifier SUMO (*small, ubiquitin-related modifier 3*; LOC604408) (Table S4). The upregulation of SUMO is particularly interesting as this protein can post-translationally modify hundreds of different proteins (Hay, 2005; Hannoun et al. 2010) and is involved in a diverse range of pathways (Mauri et al. 2008), including suppressing arboviruses (Stokes et al. 2020).

Upregulation of transcripts encoding ribosomal proteins and SUMO in biting *Pipiens* closely parallels the transcriptional response of blood-feeding *Wyeomyia*, with remarkable overlap in the two genera in both the large and small ribosomal subunits (Fig. S3). These results represent a clear affirmative answer to our original question: Are homologous genes associated with blood-feeding in the same functional pathway similarly differentially expressed between selected lines within a population of *W. smithii*, between populations of *W. smithii*, and between genera of mosquitoes (*Wyeomyia* vs. *Culex*)? Because this upregulation of the translational machinery and SUMO occurs before blood is actually imbibed, and because translation is energetically costly (Lynch and Miranov, 2015; Kafri et al. 2016), we conclude that this response represents an anticipatory cost of blood feeding in blood-feeding *Pipiens* as well as *W. smithii* (Bradshaw et al. 2018).

Differential energy production and reproductive allocation in biters vs. non-biters.

The energetically demanding investment in translation by biting *Pipiens* coincides with a strong upregulation of energy production pathways. Both the oxidative phosphorylation and citric acid (TCA) KEGG pathways are strongly enriched for DEGs that are upregulated in *Pipiens* relative to *Molestus* (Table 2). Upregulation of the citric acid (TCA) cycle in *Pipiens* relative to *Molestus* includes not only many TCA enzymes, but involvement of the by- or end-products of at least six other KEGG pathways enriched for DEGs that are primarily upregulated in *Pipiens* vs. *Molestus*

(Fig. 3, Table 2). These inputs include contributions from pathways involved in glycolysis and gluconeogenesis, pyruvate metabolism, metabolism of five amino acid metabolic pathways, and oxidative phosphorylation, including proteins involved in the terminal electron transfer of all five complexes (Fig. S4). Additionally, biting *Pipiens* strongly upregulate *hexamerin 1.1* (LOC6041441), a key storage protein (Table S4). This finding is consistent with a previous study comparing gene expression in whole bodies of *Pipiens* and *Molestus* (Kang et al. 2021). Thus, biting *Pipiens* exhibit an anticipatory and coordinated response in which they initiate translation and upregulate enzymes involved in energy production pathways *before* the blood meal is actually consumed.

Non-biting *Molestus* invest in sugar metabolism and reproductive allocation pathways that represent a commitment to ovary maturation without blood. First, the fructose and mannose metabolism KEGG pathway is enriched for DEGs, with five of six transcripts upregulated in *Molestus* relative to *Pipiens* (Table 2). Adult sugar metabolism supports the synthesis of glycogen and triacylglycerols; the latter are the primary constituent of lipid droplets in the ooplasm (Clements, 1992, p. 363). Additionally, upregulation four genes in non-biting *Molestus* imply that these females have initiated the deposition of yolk protein into their eggs or vitellogenesis; these genes include two isoforms of *vitellogenin-A1* [LOC6043252; LOC6043250] as well as two *Cathepsin B* transcripts (LOC6031544, LOC6031556) and two *Cathepsin B-like protein* transcripts (LOC119766533, LOC119770564; Table 1). While *Cathepsin*-family proteases can have diverse physiological functions (Mort and Buttle, 1997), *Cathepsin B* proteins are known to be involved in degrading vitellogenin during embryogenesis in *Ae. aegypti* (Cho et al. 1999). Additionally, Moura et al. (2015) demonstrate that two *cathepsin B* transcripts are highly expressed in vitellogenic females of *Cx. quinquefasciatus*, and their associated proteins are subsequently active within the ovaries of females. Moreover, Kang et al. (2021) found that *Cathepsin C* (CIPJ000566) is also upregulated in whole bodies of non-biting *Molestus* relative to biting *Pipiens*. Taken together, these results illustrate that non-biting *Molestus* constitutively produce the transcripts necessary to provision their embryos with energy. In non-biting *W. smithii*, *cathepsin B* mRNAs were also upregulated in reluctant-biting relative to biting females (Bradshaw et al. 2018), highlighting *cathepsin B* as a critical gene involved in the evolution of a nonbiting life history across mosquito genera.

Metabolism of excess amino acids from acquired or stored resources

Two different amino acid metabolism pathways offset contrasting excesses of specific amino acids in biting Pipiens and non-biting Molestus. These differences reflect a metabolic response to acquired resources in adult Pipiens (i.e., blood) vs. stored larval resources in Molestus (i.e., hexamerin storage proteins). Interestingly, neither of these pathways was detected in the comparison of biting vs. non-biting *W. smithii*.

In biting Pipiens, the principal environmental source of protein is hemoglobin acquired in vertebrate blood. In particular, Valine and Leucine comprise over 20% of the amino acids in hemoglobin and Lysine another 7.6% (UniProtKB, 2021). Up-regulating the Valine-Leucine-Isoleucine and Lysine metabolic pathway not only results in end products that can enter the TCA cycle (Fig. 3), but also serves to deplete an upstream excess of Valine, Leucine and Lysine that released when hemoglobin is catabolized.

In non-biting Molestus, reproduction must be fueled from proteins accumulated and stored as larvae. The main storage proteins in the fat body and hemolymph of larvae are hexamerins (Beintema et al. 1994; Burmester 1999), which in addition to their role as a source of amino acids during metamorphosis, have been implicated as a source of amino acids that support vitellogenesis in non-blood feeding mosquitoes (Zakharkin et al. 2001, Wheeler and Buck 1996). Hexamerins are particularly rich in Tyrosine and Phenylalanine (Burmester 1999; Korochkina, et al. 1997; Crampton et al. 1999, Table 1; UniProtKB 2021), the latter being catalyzed to the former by *phenylalanine-4-hydroxylase* (Fig. 4) (Li & Christensen 1993). This enzyme is not a DEG; nonetheless, depletion of Tyrosine should enhance the Phenylalanine to Tyrosine reaction through mass action. Upregulation of Tyrosine metabolism in Molestus (Table 2, Fig. 4) is then consistent with metabolism of stored hexamerins and compensatory degradation of excess of Tyrosine and Phenylalanine (Fig. 4).

In addition to the benefit of metabolizing excess Tyrosine and Phenylalanine, Tyrosine metabolism in Molestus also generates fumarate that enters directly into the TCA cycle and generates dopamine that acts as a substrate for eumelanin synthesis. Generation of both products is consistent with ongoing embryogenesis: *Fumarylacetoacetase* (Fig. 4) enhances late-stage embryogenesis and successful hatching in *Rhodnius prolixus* (Sterkel & Oliveira 2017). A transcriptional commitment to melanization in non-biting Molestus is also indicated by up-

regulation of *serine protease Hs* (Table S4), which is responsible for eventual hardening of egg chorions (Li, 1994, Dudzic et al. 2019). Additionally, *chitin synthase (ch-2)* and *endochitinase* (Table S4) are upregulated in *Molestus* and are important for recycling old to new chitin (Hamid et al. 2013; Muthukrishnan et al. 2019). In sum, Tyrosine metabolism in non-biting *Molestus* females supports energy production, embryonic viability, and the eventual development and hardening of egg chorions, as well as serving to maintain amino acid balance generated by metabolizing stored larval protein (Fig 4).

Conclusions and Future Directions

Anticipatory upregulation of transcripts in the ribosomal protein pathway in biting mosquitoes relative to their non-biting counterparts exhibits remarkably strong overlap in both the mosquito genera *Wyeomyia* and *Culex*. All 18 upregulated ribosomal proteins in biting *W. smithii* overlapped with the upregulated ribosomal proteins in biting *Cx. pipiens* (Fig. 2), making the ancient and highly conserved ribosome the intersection to understanding the evolutionary and physiological basis of the anticipation of blood-feeding in mosquitoes. In blood-feeding *Pipiens*, this anticipatory commitment to the energetically costly process of translation coincides with increased energy production by oxidative phosphorylation, the TCA cycle, and multiple diverse pathways feeding into the TCA cycle. In contrast, sugar metabolism is upregulated in non-biting *Molestus*, as well as constitutively provisioning the maturing ovaries with energy in the absence of a blood meal by upregulating *vitellogenin* and *cathepsin B* transcripts. Finally, contrasting patterns of amino acid metabolism in biting *Pipiens* and non-biting *Molestus* are both mechanisms that maintain amino acid homeostasis in response to the utilization of acquired resources (blood for *Pipiens*) or stored resources (hexamerins for *Molestus*).

In sum, blood-feeding in both *W. smithii* and *Cx. pipiens* was associated with anticipation of acquired resources and ribosomal protein synthesis was strikingly upregulated in blood-feeders of both species. Non-biting in *W. smithii* was associated with an opportunistic life history, characterized by sensory input and by metabolic pathways ending at “gateway” branch points; non-biting in *Cx. pipiens* was associated with alternative pathways including metabolizing sugar and stored larval resources to support ongoing ovarian maturation. Uncovering a conserved, highly overlapping transcriptional response in biters of both species,

and more diverse, non-overlapping transcriptional responses in non-biters, reflects biting as the ancestral character state in the Culicidae and the independent evolution of non-biting in *W. smithii* and *Cx. pipiens molestus* (Mans 2011; Grimaldi & Engel, 2005). An immediate next step will be to confirm the broad generality of anticipatory upregulation of the ribosome pathway by performing similar experiments in additional vector mosquito species. The commonality of this highly conserved response may provide a novel opportunity to interrupt or inhibit pathways necessary for the transmission of blood-borne disease due to biting. Because the biting rate has a large impact on disease transmission as estimated by vectorial capacity, even relatively modest decreases in the biting rates of vector species are expected to have a large impact on reducing disease transmission (Black and Moore, 2005). An additional goal will be to perform mechanistic studies to determine whether enhanced sugar metabolism and constitutively provisioning maturing ovaries with both lipids and yolk proteins in non-biting mosquitoes is necessary for reproduction without a blood meal. Ultimately, understanding the costs of biting and the molecular pathways underlying the evolution of a non-biting life history will provide a foundation to develop pharmacological or genetic strategies to recapitulate this evolutionary transition, which has already occurred multiple times in nature.

DATA ARCHIVING STATEMENT:

Data for this manuscript are available at *to be completed after manuscript is accepted for publication*. The raw reads are available in NCBI's sequence read archive (SRA) under accession number PRJNA787258.

REFERENCES:

- Armbruster, P.A. (2018). Molecular pathways to nonbiting mosquitoes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.*, 115, 836-838.
- Beintema, J.J., Stam, W.T., Hazes, B., & Smidt, M.P. (1994). Evolution of arthropod hemocyanins and insect storage proteins (hexamerins). *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 11, 493-503.

529 Benoit, J.B., Lopez-Martinez, G., Patrick, K.R., Phillips Z.P., Krause, T.B., & Denlinger, D.L.
530 (2011). Drinking a hot blood meal elicits a protective heat shock response in mosquitoes.
531 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.* 108, 8026-8029.

532 Black, W.C., IV & Moore, C.G. (2005). Population biology as a tool to study vector-borne
533 diseases. In: W.C. Marquardt (Eds.), *Biology of Disease Vectors* (pp.187-206). New York:
534 Elsevier.

535 Borowczak, R. J. (2017). The evolutionary consequences of the transition to non-blood-feeding
536 in the pitcher-plant mosquito *Wyeomyia smithii*. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Oregon.
537 [https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/bitstream/handle/1794/22715/Borowczak_oregon_0171](https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/bitstream/handle/1794/22715/Borowczak_oregon_0171_A_11917.pdf?sequence=1)
538 [A_11917.pdf?sequence=1](https://scholarsbank.uoregon.edu/xmlui/bitstream/handle/1794/22715/Borowczak_oregon_0171_A_11917.pdf?sequence=1)

539 Bradshaw, W.E. (1980). Blood-feeding and capacity for increase in the pitcher-plant mosquito,
540 *Wyeomyia smithii*. *Environmental Entomology*, 9, 86-89.

541 Bradshaw, W.E. (1986). Variable iteroparity as a life-history tactic in the pitcher-plant mosquito,
542 *Wyeomyia smithii*. *Evolution*, 40, 471-478.

543 Bradshaw, W.E., Denlinger, D.L., Burkhart, J., Colbourne, J., Borowczak R., Lopez, J.,
544 Denlinger, D.L., Reynolds, J.A., Pfrender, M.E., & Holzapfel, C.M. (2018). Evolutionary
545 transition from blood-feeding to obligate non-biting in a mosquito. *Proceedings of the National*
546 *Academy of Sciences U.S.A.*, 115, 1009-1014.

547 Burmester, T. (1999). Evolution and function of the insect hexamerins. *European Journal of*
548 *Entomology*, 96, 213-226.

549 Bustin, S.A., Benes, V., Garson, J.A., Hellemans, J., Huggett, J., Kubista, M., Mueller, R.,
550 Nolan, T., Pfaffl, M.W., Shipley, G.L., & Vandesompele, J. (2009). The MIQE Guidelines:
551 Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Real-Time PCR Experiments. *Clinical*
552 *Chemistry*, 55, 611-622.

553 Cho, W.L., Tsao, S.M., Hays, A.R., Walter, R., Chen, J.S., Snigirevskaya, E.S. & Raikhel, A.S.
554 (1999). Mosquito cathepsin B-like protease involved in embryonic degradation of vitellin is
555 produced as a latent extraovarian precursor. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 274, 13311-13321.

556 Clements, A.N. (1992). *The Biology of Mosquitoes*. Vol. 1. Development, Nutrition, and Reproduction.
557 London, UK: Chapman and Hall.

558

559 Crampton, J.M., James, A.A., Gordadze, A.V., Korochkina, S.E., Zakharkin, S.O., Norton, A.L., &
560 Beneš, H. (1999). Molecular cloning and expression of two hexamerin cDNAs from the mosquito, *Aedes*
561 *aegypti*. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 8, 55-66.

562

563 Crawford, J.E., Clarke, D.W., Criswell, V., Desnoyer, M., Cornel, D., et al. (2020).
564 Efficient production of male Wolbachia-infected *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes enables large-scale
565 suppression of wild populations. *Nature Biotechnology*, 38, 482-492.

566

567 Denlinger, D.L., & Armbruster, P.A. (2014). Mosquito diapause. *Annual Review of*
568 *Entomology*, 59, 73-93.

569 Denlinger, D.L., & Armbruster, P.A. (2016). Molecular physiology of mosquito diapause.
570 In *Advances in Insect Physiology* (Vol. 51, pp 329-361). Academic Press.

571 Downes, J.A. (1958). The feeding habits of biting flies and their significance in classification.
572 *Annual Review of Entomology*, 3, 249-66.

573 Dudzic, J.P., Hanson, M.A., Iatsenko, I., Kondo, S., & Lemaitre, B. (2019). More than black or
574 white: melanization and toll share regulatory serine proteases in *Drosophila*. *Cell reports*, 27,
575 1050-1061.

576 Edman, J.D., & Scott, T.W. (1987). Host defensive behavior and the feeding success of mosquitoes.
577 *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, 8, 617–622.
578

579 Fauci, A.S., & Morens, D.M. (2016). Zika virus in the Americas - Yet another arbovirus threat.
580 *New England Journal of Medicine*, 374, 601-604.

581 Foster, W.A. (1995). Mosquito sugar feeding and reproductive energetics. *Annual Review of*
582 *Entomology*, 40, 443-474.

583 Graca-Souza, A.V., Maya-Monteiro, C., Paiva-Silva, G.O., Braz, G.R.C., Paes, M.C., Sorgine,
584 M.H.F., Oliveira, M.F., & Oliveira, P.L. (2006). Adaptations against heme toxicity in blood-
585 feeding arthropods. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 36, 322-335.

586 Grimaldi, D., & Engel, M.S. (2005). Evolution of the Insects. *Evolution of the Insects*, p.772.

587 Haba, Y., & McBride, L. (2022). Origin and status of *Culex pipiens* ecotypes. *Current Biology*,
588 32, R237-R246.

589 Hannoun, Z., Greenhough, S., Jaffray, E., Hay, R.T., & Hay, D.C. (2010). Post-translational
590 modification by SUMO. *Toxicology*, 278, 288-293.

591 Hamer, G.L., Kitron, U.D., Brawn, J.D., Loss, S.R., Ruiz, M.O., Goldberg, T.L., & Walker, E.D.
592 (2008). *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae): a bridge vector of West Nile virus to
593 humans. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 45, 125-128.

594 Hamid, R., Khan, M.A., Ahmad, M., Ahmad, M.M., Abdin, M.Z., Musarrat, J. & Javed, S.
595 (2013). Chitinases: an update. *Journal of Pharmacy & Bioallied Sciences*, 5, 21-29.

596 Hay, R.T. (2005). SUMO: A history of modification. *Molecular Cell*, 18, 1-12.

597 Kafri, M., Metzl-Raz, E., Jona, G., & Barkai, N. (2016). The cost of protein production. *Cell*
598 *Reports*, 14, 22-31.

599 Kang, D.S., Kim, S., Cotten, M.A., & Sim, C. (2021). Transcript assembly and quantification by
600 RNA-Seq reveals significant differences in gene expression and genetic variants in mosquitoes
601 of the *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae) complex. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 58, 139-145.

602 Khatter, H., Vorlaender, M.K., & Mueller, C.W. (2017). RNA polymerase I and III: similar yet
603 unique. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 47, 88-94.

604 Kilpatrick, A.M., & Randolph, S.E. (2012). Drivers, dynamics, and control of emerging vector-
605 borne zoonotic diseases. *Lancet*, 380, 1946-1955.

606 Korochkina, S.E., Gordadze, A.V., York, J.L., & Beneš, H. (1997). Mosquito hexamerins:
607 characterization during larval development. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 6, 11-21.

608 Lewandowski Jr, H.B., Hooper, G.R., & Newson, H.D. (1980). Determination of some important
609 natural potential vectors of dog heart-worm in central Michigan. *Mosquito News*, 40, 73-79.

610 Li, J. (1994). Egg chorion tanning in *Aedes aegypti* mosquito. *Comparative Biochemistry and*
611 *Physiology Part A: Physiology*, 109, 835-843.

612 Li, J., & Christensen, B.M. (1993). Involvement of l-tyrosine and phenol oxidase in the tanning
613 of *Aedes aegypti* eggs. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 23, 739-748.

614 Lynch, M. & Marinov, G.K. (2015). The bioenergetic costs of a gene. *Proceedings of the*
615 *National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.*, 112, 15690-15695.

616 Mans, B.J. (2011). Evolution of vertebrate hemostatic and inflammatory control mechanisms in
617 blood-feeding arthropods. *Journal of Innate Immunity*, 3, 41-51.

618 Mauri, F., McNamee, L.M., Lunardi, A., Chiacchiera, F., Del Sal, G., Brodsky, M.H., &
619 Collavin, L. (2008). Modification of *Drosophila* p53 by SUMO modulates its transactivation and
620 pro-apoptotic functions. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 283, 20848-20856.

621 McGraw, E.A., & O'Neill, S.L. (2013). Beyond insecticides: new thinking on an ancient
622 problem. *Nature Reviews in Microbiology*, 11, 181-93.

623 Miyagi, I., Toma, T., Okazawa, T., Wong, S.F., Leh, M.U., & Yong, H.S. (2012). Three new
624 phytotelmata mosquitoes of the genus *Topomyia* (Diptera: Culicidae) from Katibas, Lanjak-
625 Entimau, Sarawak, Malaysia. *Journal of Science and Technologies in the Tropics*, 8, 97-117.

626 Mort, J.S. & Buttle, D.J. (1997). Cathepsin b. *The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell*
627 *Biology*, 29, 715-720.

628 Moura, A.S., Cardoso, A.F., Costa-da-Silva, A.L., Winter, C.E., & Bijovsky, A.T. (2015). Two
629 cathepsins B are responsible for the yolk protein hydrolysis in *Culex quinquefasciatus*. *PloS one*,
630 e0118736.

631 Muthukrishnan, S., Merzendorfer, H., Arakane, Y., & Yang, Q. (2019). Chitin organizing and
632 modifying enzymes and proteins involved in remodeling of the insect cuticle. *Targeting Chitin-*
633 *containing Organisms*, 142, 83-114.

634 Mutebi, J.P., & Savage, H.M. (2009). Discovery of *Culex pipiens pipiens* form *molestus* in
635 Chicago. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*, 25, 500-503.

636 Noreuil A., & Fritz, M.L. (2021). Differential gene expression in the heads of

637 behaviorally divergent *Culex pipiens* mosquitoes. *Insects*, 12, 271.

638

639 O'Meara, G.F. (1985). Interactions in mosquitoes: Kicking the blood-feeding

640 habit. *The Florida Entomologist*, 68, 122-133.

641

642 Rajagopalan, P.K., Kazmi, S.J., & Mani, T.R. (1977). Some aspects of transmission of

643 *Wuchereria bancrofti* and ecology of the vector *Culex pipiens fatigans* in Pondicherry. *Indian*

644 *Journal of Medical Research*, 66, 200-215.

645

646 Rattanakul, R., Harbach, R.E., Harrison, B.A., Panthusiri, P., Coleman, R.E. (2007).

647 Illustrated keys to the mosquitoes of Thailand V. Genera *Orthopodomyia*, *Kimia*, *Malaya*,

648 *Topomyia*, *Tripteroides*, and *Toxorhynchites*. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and*

649 *Public Health*, 38, 1-65.

650

651 Reidenbach, K.R., Cook, S., Bertone, M.A., Harbach, R.E., Wiegmann, B.M., & Besansky,

652 N.J. (2009). Phylogenetic analysis and temporal diversification of mosquitoes

653 (Diptera: Culicidae) based on nuclear genes and morphology. *BMC Evolutionary*

654 *Biology*, 9, 1-14.

655

656 Rioux, J.A., Broset, H., Pech-Périeres, J., Guivard, E., & Belmonte, A. (1975).

657 L'autogenèse chez les diptères culicides: Tableau synoptique des espèces

658 autogènes. *Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparée*, 50, 134-140.

659

660 Roberts, L. (2002). Mosquitoes and disease. *Science*, 298, 82-83.

661

662 Robich, R.M., & Denlinger, D.L. (2005). Diapause in the mosquito *Culex pipiens* evokes a metabolic

663 switch from blood feeding to sugar gluttony. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

664 *U.S.A.*, 102, 15912-15917.

665

666 Robich, R.M., Rinehart, J.P., Kichen, L.J., & Denlinger, D.L. (2007). Diapause-specific gene

667 expression in the northern house mosquito, *Culex pipiens* L., identified by suppressive subtractive

668 hybridization. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 51, 235-245.

669

670 Spielman, A. (1971). Bionomics of autogenous mosquitoes. *Annual Review of*

671 *Entomology*, 16, 231-248.

672

673 Sterkel, M., & Oliveira, P.L. (2017). Developmental roles of tyrosine metabolism enzymes in the blood-

674 sucking insect *Rhodnius prolixus*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284,

675 20162607.

676

677 Stokes, S., Almiere, F., Tatham, M.H., McFarlane, S., Mertens, P., Pondeville, E., & Boutell, C.

678 (2020). The SUMOylation pathway suppresses arbovirus replication in *Aedes aegypti* cells. *PLoS*

Pathogens, 16, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009134>.

679

680 Strickman, D., & Fonseca, D.M. (2012). Autogeny in *Culex pipiens* complex mosquitoes from the San

Francisco Bay Area. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 87, 719-726.

- UniProt Consortium. (2021). UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49, D480.
- Untergasser, A., Cutcutache, I., Koressaar, T., Ye, J., Faircloth, B.C., Remm, M., & Rozen, S.G. (2012). Primer3--new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40, e115.
- Wahid, I., Sunahara, T., & Mogi, M. (2007). The hypopharynx of male and female mosquitoes. *Open Entomology Journal*, 1, 1-16.
- Wang, G.H., Gamez, S., Raban, R.R., Marshall, J.M., Alphey, L., Li, M., Rasgon, J.L., & Akbari, O.S. (2021). Combating mosquito-borne diseases using genetic control technologies. *Nature Communications*, 12, 1-12.
- Wheeler, D.E., & Buck, N.A. (1996). A role for storage proteins in autogenous reproduction in *Aedes atropalpus*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 42, 961-966.
- Zakharkin, S.O., Headley, V.V., Kumar, N.K., Buck, N.A., Wheeler, D.E., & Beneš, H. (2001). Female-specific expression of a hexamerin gene in larvae of an autogenous mosquito. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 268, 5713-5722.
- Zhou, X., Rinker, D.C., Pitts, R.J., Rokas, A., & Zwiebel, L.J. (2014). Divergent and conserved elements comprise the chemoreceptive repertoire of the nonblood-feeding mosquito *Toxorhynchites amboinensis*. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 6, 2883-2896.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY AND BENEFIT-SHARING

- Marzec, S. 2021. Culex Biting RNAseq Pipeline; GitHub.
https://github.com/srmarzec/Culex_Biting_RNAseq/blob/main/MasterNotes.md
- Siperstein, A.S., Marzec, S., Fritz, M.L., Holzapfel, C., Bradshaw, W.E., Armbruster, P.A., and Meuti, M.E. 2022. NCBI's sequence read archive (SRA) Accession Number PRJNA787258.

TABLES:

Table 1: Top 30 differentially expressed genes by log₂ fold change (FC) for *Pipiens* relative to *Molestus*. *p*-values are adjusted for multiple comparisons using a Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate correction.

Gene ID	Gene Name	Log ₂ FC	p-value
LOC6047742	general odorant-binding protein 72	15.702	2.02e-25
LOC6043552	microfibril-associated glycoprotein 4	13.704	8.7e-20
LOC119767898	CLIP domain-containing serine protease 14D-like	13.293	6.41e-19
LOC119769981	cuticle protein 16.5-like	13.138	3.13e-18
LOC6045845	phenoloxidase-activating factor 2	12.849	3.08e-17
LOC6033001	cuticle protein	12.341	4.84e-15
LOC119767852	cuticle protein 16.5-like	10.095	2.54e-14
LOC6032993	larval cuticle protein A3A	11.779	2.57e-13
LOC6046699	cuticle protein 8	11.250	5.67e-12
LOC119769968	cuticle protein 12.5-like	10.837	2.23e-09
LOC119769830	cuticle protein 21-like	10.174	9.06e-09
LOC119770586	cuticle protein 16.5-like	10.103	1.51e-08
LOC6049801	flexible cuticle protein 12	9.991	1.64e-08
LOC119769857	cuticle protein 38-like	9.961	3.78e-08
LOC6049115	CD209 antigen	9.922	1.08e-07
LOC6039374	phenoloxidase 2	-14.367	3.18e-21
LOC119766533	cathepsin B-like	-9.533	1.89e-18
LOC119770564	cathepsin B-like	-11.986	1.77e-17
LOC6043264	probable cytochrome P450 9f2	-12.353	2.75e-16
LOC6043252	vitellogenin-A1	-9.653	1.42e-11
LOC6030831	esterase B1	-10.795	2.91e-11
LOC6040913	dynein light chain 1, axonemal	-8.990	1.21e-10
LOC6051506	probable cytochrome P450 6a13	-10.326	9.73e-10
LOC6043250	vitellogenin-A1	-9.889	2.96e-09
LOC6031554	cathepsin B	-9.713	3.45e-08
LOC6031556	cathepsin B	-8.997	4.34e-07
LOC6044517	polyserase-2	-9.163	1.25e-06
LOC6031746	trans-1,2-dihydrobenzene-1,2-diol dehydrogenase	-9.068	1.98e-06
LOC6038440	EF-hand calcium-binding domain-containing protein 1	-8.996	2e-06
LOC6035285	lamin Dm0	-9.127	2.52e-05

Table 2: KEGG pathways that were significantly enriched (Total DEGs > 5 and p -value < 0.05) for differentially expressed genes of biting *Pipiens* or non-biting *Molestus* mosquitoes.

Pathway Code	Pathway Name	Annotated Culex Genes in Pathway	Total DEGs	Up-Regulated Biting DEGs	Up-Regulated Nonbiting DEGs	p-value
cqu03010	Ribosome	123	85	85	0	$2.22e - 35$
cqu00190	Oxidative phosphorylation	80	50	50	0	$5.94e - 18$
cqu00520	Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism	44	15	3	12	0.002
cqu03013	RNA transport	118	28	11	17	0.007
cqu00380	Tryptophan metabolism	21	9	7	2	0.010
cqu04215	Apoptosis - multiple species	21	7	4	3	0.011
cqu04214	Apoptosis - fly	49	12	5	7	0.019
cqu00981	Insect hormone biosynthesis	30	8	4	4	0.020
cqu00310	Lysine degradation	28	8	6	2	0.020
cqu00010	Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	35	8	5	3	0.025
cqu00350	Tyrosine metabolism	20	8	2	6	0.026
cqu00620	Pyruvate metabolism	31	11	8	3	0.030
cqu00513	Various types of N-glycan biosynthesis	30	10	4	6	0.030
cqu00051	Fructose and mannose metabolism	28	6	1	5	0.031
cqu00280	Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation	35	8	7	1	0.033
cqu00020	Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)	27	9	7	2	0.047
cqu03020	RNA polymerase	26	7	6	1	0.048

FIGURE LEGENDS:

Figure 1. Differential gene expression of 13, 601 genes in *Pipiens* and *Molestus*. Each point represents differential expression for a single gene. Gray points indicate no significant differences, green points show \log_2 fold change values that are not statistically significant, blue points show statistical significance but low \log_2 fold change values, and red points indicate genes that show both statistical significance and an absolute fold change value greater than 2.

Figure 2. Differentially expressed genes in each significantly enriched KEGG pathway. A) Proportion of total annotated *Culex* genes that are differentially expressed genes (upregulated) in either biting *Pipiens* (red) or nonbiting *Molestus* (blue). B) Number of significantly differentially expressed orthologs in each KEGG pathway for either biting (*Pipiens* and *W. Smithii* – avid biting; red) or nonbiting (*Molestus* and *W. Smithii* – disinterested; blue). Numerical labels on the x-axis of each panel correspond to KEGG pathway labels in Table 2.

Figure 3. Citric Acid (TCA) cycle. Dashed outlines, significantly enriched KEGG pathways in *Cx. pipiens*: red, upregulated in *Pipiens*; blue, upregulated in *Molestus*; arrows indicate tracks from/to/within pathways. Pathway numeric labels correspond to those in Table 2 and Figure 2. Red dots and circle, upregulated in *Pipiens*; blue dot, upregulated in *Molestus*.

Figure 4. Tyrosine metabolism in *Cx. pipiens*. Relevant enzymes are indicated by arrows: Red, upregulated in *Pipiens*; Blue, upregulated in *Molestus*; Black solid, non-DEG steps; Black dashed, inferred. Specific enzymes are indicated by numbers or letters associated with arrows.