

Temperature optimum for marsh resilience and carbon accumulation revealed in a whole ecosystem warming experiment

Alexander J. Smith^{*1}, Genevieve L. Noyce², J. Patrick Megonigal², Glenn R. Guntenspergen³, Matthew L. Kirwan¹

^{*}Corresponding Author, ORCID iD: 0000-0002-9966-8726

¹ Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary, Gloucester Point, 23062, Virginia, USA

² Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, 647 Contees Wharf Road, Edgewater, 21037, Maryland, USA

³ U.S. Geological Survey, Eastern Ecological Science Center, 12100 Beech Forest Road, Laurel, MD 20708-4035

Abstract: Coastal marshes are globally important, carbon dense ecosystems simultaneously maintained and threatened by sea-level rise. Warming temperatures may increase wetland plant productivity and organic matter accumulation, but temperature-modulated feedbacks between productivity and decomposition make it difficult to assess how wetlands and their thick, organic rich soils will respond to climate warming. Here, we actively increased aboveground plant-surface and below-ground soil temperatures in two marsh plant communities, and found that a moderate amount of warming (1.7°C above ambient temperatures) consistently maximized root growth, marsh elevation gain, and below-ground carbon accumulation. Marsh elevation loss observed at higher temperatures was associated with increased carbon mineralization and increased microtopographic heterogeneity, a potential early warning signal of marsh drowning. Maximized elevation and below-ground carbon accumulation for moderate warming scenarios uniquely suggest linkages between metabolic theory of individuals and landscape-scale

24 ecosystem resilience and function, but our work indicates nonpermanent benefits as global
25 temperatures continue to rise.

26 **Keywords:** Whole-ecosystem warming, ecosystem resilience, vertical accretion, marsh
27 elevation, carbon accumulation

28

Introduction

Marshes are highly valuable ecosystems providing a range of ecosystem services from storm protection to carbon accumulation, but accelerating rates of sea-level rise threaten to drown them and eliminate these services (Mcleod et al., 2011; Shepard et al., 2011; Kirwan and Megonigal, 2013; Jankowski et al., 2017). Warming simultaneously accelerates sea-level rise and alters *in situ* process rates that regulate marsh elevation and vulnerability to drowning, namely production, decomposition, and vertical accretion. Vertical accretion maintains marsh elevation relative to sea level through autochthonous root production and the capture of allochthonous sediments and organic matter (Kirwan and Megonigal, 2013; Morris et al., 2016). As increased damming and management practices decrease suspended sediment available for capture, temperature sensitive biogenic controls to vertical accretion, such as production and decomposition, become increasingly important (Temmerman et al., 2003; Peteet et al., 2018). Above- and belowground production increase with temperature, which is expected to accelerate both vertical accretion and carbon inputs to the soil (Gedan and Bertness, 2009; Charles and Dukes, 2009; Coldren et al., 2016). Therefore, warming could increase vertical accretion rates and contribute to a negative carbon-climate feedback by increasing soil carbon storage (Kirschbaum, 1995; Najjar et al., 2000; Rogers et al., 2019). However, this conceptual framework largely neglects temperature driven increases in decomposition, which can reduce marsh stability and potentially offset benefits from increased productivity (Kirschbaum, 1995; Kirwan and Blum, 2011; Kirwan et al., 2014).

Global climate models project mean global temperature increases of 2.6-5.0 °C by the end of the 21st century (IPCC, 2021). Some studies have attempted to replicate these temperatures experimentally in salt marshes using aboveground heating lamps or passive heating

systems (Gedan and Bertness, 2009; Charles and Dukes, 2009; Coldren et al., 2016, Zhong et al., 2019). While these designs have successfully simulated increased temperatures at the marsh surface, these methods fail to increase temperature at deep soil depths (~1 meter) that are expected to warm under future climate conditions (Phillips, 2020). Given the large, deep stores of organic material that have accumulated in marshes over time, these passive, surficial warming designs neglect to warm a significant portion of soil organic material that will experience warming in the future. Additionally, studies reliant on passive warming typically feature a single, low magnitude temperature treatment and therefore cannot account for non-linear warming responses that may occur as the climate continues to warm. Therefore, experimental warming of just surface sediments and vegetation fails to accurately simulate whole-scale ecosystem warming, and its cascading impacts on marsh resilience and function.

To address uncertain marsh response and resilience to future temperatures, the Salt Marsh Accretion Response to Warming Experiment (SMARTX) actively warmed entire marsh ecosystems, from plant canopy to a soil depth of approximately 1.5 m, using heating lamps at the surface and subterranean cables to achieve four discrete temperature treatments (ambient, 1.7, 3.4, and 5.1 °C above ambient temperatures) in two brackish marsh plant communities that are dominated by either C₃ or C₄ plant species (SI Fig. 1) (Noyce et al., 2019). The C₃ site is dominated by the C₃ sedge *Schoenoplectus americanus* (93% of aboveground biomass) and is relatively wet due to lower elevation and more frequent tidal flooding while the C₄ site is dominated by *Spartina patens* and *Distichlis spicata* (76% of aboveground biomass) and is relatively elevated and dry (Jordan and Correll, 1991). We measured marsh surface elevation in response to whole-ecosystem warming at annual and seasonal time scales and found that while marsh resilience is optimized under moderate degrees of warming, further warming led to

decreased rates of carbon accumulation, early signs of marsh collapse, and increased vulnerability to sea-level rise.

Results and Discussion

Moderate warming optimizes marsh resilience and carbon accumulation

We measured marsh surface elevation response across warming gradients in C₃ and C₄ plant communities over a 4-year period (June 2016 to February 2020). Marsh surface elevation was regressed against time for each individual surface elevation table (SET) pin (Lynch et al., 2015), resulting in derived rates of elevation gain and loss, but more generally marsh surface elevation increased through time in the C₃ community and decreased through time in the C₄ community (Fig. 1a, 1b). Despite these contrasting elevation trends, both communities responded similarly to warming treatments, where elevation gain was optimized at 1.7 °C above current ambient conditions (Fig. 1c). This optimal temperature treatment increased elevation gain by approximately 2.1 mm y⁻¹ and 2.5 mm y⁻¹ in the C₃ and C₄ communities, respectively (SI Table 1), but elevation gain was still less than the 3.8 mm yr⁻¹ mean sea-level rise trend for the past 50 years in this region (NOAA Sea Level Trends, 2021). Warming beyond the temperature optimum reduced this positive effect and resulted in a 0.8 mm y⁻¹ and 0.9 mm y⁻¹ loss of elevation at the +5.1 °C treatment (Fig. 1c), a rate equivalent to or less than ambient rates of elevation gain. Root production was also optimized at +1.7 °C in our experiment driven by optimum allocation of growth to belowground biomass in response to nitrogen limitation; above 1.7 °C increased nitrogen mineralization reduces plant nitrogen demand and root productivity (Noyce et al., 2019). Therefore, belowground organic matter production drives the long-term elevation response to warming, with a consistent temperature optimum for root productivity and elevation change in both plant communities (Fig. 1c).

Carbon accumulation rates were also maximized at moderate warming treatments. Carbon accumulation rates were calculated as the product of elevation change in each experimental plot (ranging from 2.5 to -0.9 mm yr⁻¹) and the average carbon density of all C₃ (104.8 kg C m⁻³) and all C₄ plots (238.8 kg C m⁻³). These estimates assume that elevation change is driven predominately by organic matter accumulation at our sites, as evidenced by high soil organic matter content (~90%), limited allochthonous input of mineral sediment (Morris et al., 2016; Rietl et al., 2021), and accretion rates that are tightly controlled by root zone processes (Langley et al., 2009; Rietl et al., 2021). The C₃ community carbon accumulation rate was maximized at +1.7 °C (262 g C m⁻² y⁻¹) and minimized at the control and +5.1 °C treatments (25 and -71 g C m⁻² y⁻¹ respectively). The C₄ carbon accumulation rate was also maximized at +1.7 °C (24 g C m⁻² y⁻¹), but with a net loss of carbon storage in the control and +5.1 °C treatments (-249 and -296 g C m⁻² y⁻¹ respectively) (SI Fig. 2). Our finding that the more flood-tolerant C₃ community responses to warming are more positive than less-flood tolerant C₄ responses offer empirical support to numerical modeling that suggests the positive impacts of temperature on marsh carbon accumulation are maximized at high sea-level rise rates (Couto et al., 2014), but also highlights that warming-induced gains in one part of the system (C₃) may be offset by losses elsewhere (C₄).

Warming responses have traditionally been interpreted in the context of an optimal temperature for metabolism, where rates of productivity increase up to a point of typical summer temperatures and then decline with further warming (Long et al., 1975; Giurgevich and Dunn, 1979; Seneca and Blum, 1984; O'Sullivan et al., 2017). The photosynthetic optimum of *Spartina*, a common C₄ salt marsh genus, is approximately 2.2 to 7.2 °C above the mean high temperature during summer days at our study site (~28°C) (Giurgevich and Dunn, 1979; Kirwan

et al., 2009). This, and decreased net primary production observed during elevated regional summer temperatures (Noyce et al., 2019), indicates that moderate amounts of warming in the region are likely elevating temperatures closer to or above this metabolic optimum. Although some studies have indicated that marsh grasses can acclimate leaf respiration and photosynthetic capacity to increasing temperatures (Wang et al., 2020; Sturchio et al., 2021), the warmest treatment likely exceeds the metabolic temperature optimum during warm summer days leading to a reduction in marsh elevation, analogous to the hump-shaped relationships between temperature and productivity proposed for *S. alterniflora* more globally (Rogers et al., 2006; Więski and Pennings, 2014; Liu et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2020). Our observations of consistent treatment optima, where root production, elevation change, and carbon accumulation rates in two disparate plant communities were maximized at +1.7 °C, suggest that the qualitative patterns are applicable beyond our particular study area, but that the exact temperature optimum may vary around +1.7 °C (Fig. 1c). Moreover, because autochthonous, plant-mediated mechanisms drive these consistent optima, this suggests that metabolic temperature optima for individual plants or communities can cascade up to whole-marsh elevation change dynamics, thereby uniquely linking metabolic theory to ecosystem resilience and function.

Interactions between productivity and decomposition drive seasonal elevation trends

From March 2019 to February 2020, we measured marsh elevation every two months to quantify the potential influence of temperature over seasonal timescales (Fig. 2a). Surprisingly, we found seasonal variations in relative marsh elevation that ranged from 8.75 to 13.25 mm, approximately 10 times greater than the long-term accretion rates observed in this study (Fig. 2a, 2b). Seasonal variation was maximized in the +1.7 °C treatment in both the C₃ and C₄ community, closely following patterns in annual elevation gain and root productivity (Fig. 2b).

Marsh elevations were consistently maximized in the early spring (March to May) prior to increased sedge and grass productivity and were minimized in the fall (August to December).

While multiple factors are known to influence seasonal marsh elevation change, we attribute it largely to seasonal changes in organic matter accumulation, expressed as the temperature-modulated balance between decomposition and production. Previous work links seasonal elevation change to declines in water level associated with evapotranspiration and drought during warm periods (Friedrichs and Perry, 2001; Cahoon et al., 2011; Bashan et al., 2013), but we found that water level fluctuations were only loosely correlated with fluctuations in seasonal elevation patterns ($p=0.10$) and could not explain variation between treatments ($p=0.54$). Previous work in mineral-rich systems suggests that seasonal elevation change can be driven by changes in plant growth and its effect on sediment trapping (Palinkas and Engelhardt, 2019; Noyce and Megonigal, 2021), but at our site, seasonal elevation change is inversely correlated with productivity (Fig. 2a). Instead, seasonal elevation patterns correspond to organic matter decomposition inferred from methane emissions measured at our study site. In particular, methane emissions correlate closely with temperature (Darby and Turner, 2008) and inversely with marsh elevation ($p=0.01$), signifying increased organic matter preservation in the winter and increased decomposition in the summer. Warming is known to increase both organic matter productivity (Connor and Chmura, 2000; Crosby et al., 2016) and decomposition (Najjar et al., 2000; Kirwan et al., 2014; Mueller et al., 2016; Hanson et al., 2020) separately, but the observed summer elevation loss uniquely indicates that the balance between these processes is largely negative, with higher rates of decomposition dominating productivity and reducing organic matter storage. Though counterintuitive, the loss of elevation during the most productive portions of the growing season could be explained by soil priming effects, where root growth delivers

oxygen and organic carbon to anaerobic soils and enhances decomposition (Dakos et al., 2012; Mueller et al., 2020; Rietl et al., 2021). The amplitude of seasonal marsh elevation variability (Fig. 2b) mirrors interannual trends in marsh elevation under manipulated warming treatments (Fig. 1c). Therefore, at both the seasonal and annual timescales, marsh resilience and carbon storage are decreased at high temperatures (Fig. 1c, Fig. 2a).

Increasing heterogeneity in microtopography: evidence for decreasing resilience

Ecosystems often respond to stressors with increased spatial variability, such as increased autocorrelation and variance, which can signal an approaching critical threshold and imminent state change (Kéfi et al., 2007; Veraart et al., 2012; Kéfi et al., 2014; Van Belzen et al., 2017), but few spatial indicators have been tested as early indicators of state change in coastal wetlands (Moffett et al., 2015; Martinez, 2021). Over the duration of this three year study, we observed an increase in the number of elevation measurements that were greater than 20 mm different than adjacent pin measurements in the C₃ community as the marsh degraded. These observations inspired us to examine the effect of temperature and plant community on spatial variability using three metrics of microtopography heterogeneity (tortuosity, random roughness, and number of holes, with holes defined as differences in elevation between adjacent SET pin measurements greater than 20 mm) that may predict proximity to state change. Microtopographic heterogeneity decreased through time in the C₄ community, and increased through time in the C₃ community (Fig. 3). This pattern could be expected given that the high elevation C₄ marsh is higher in the tidal frame than the C₃ marsh and is therefore farther from an extinction threshold (Rietl et al., 2021). Additionally, we found that heterogeneity increased sharply in the warmest treatments in the C₃ community, as evidenced by a tripling in the number of holes formed in the +5.1 °C treatment (Fig. 3a) and a sharp increase in tortuosity and random roughness in the +5.1 °C

treatment (Fig. 3b), suggesting a threshold response to warming at high temperatures. Previous work examines heterogeneity at landscape scales, including the development and/or recovery of unvegetated ponds in salt marshes (Temmerman et al., 2003; Ganju et al., 2017). However, our finding that microtopographic heterogeneity is increasing faster in a drowning, low elevation marsh suggests that early signs of ecosystem transition are visible at far smaller spatial scales that precede landscape transitions. Integrating vertical and lateral metrics of marsh vulnerability is critical to understanding the fate of marshes (Van Belzen et al., 2017; Ganju et al., 2017), and we find that the warmest temperature treatments amplify both vertical (i.e. elevation change) and spatial (i.e. microtopographic heterogeneity) metrics of marsh vulnerability.

Implications for coastal marsh survival

Coastal carbon pools are simultaneously threatened and maintained by sea-level rise (Najjar et al., 2000; Rogers et al., 2019), and the limits of soil organic matter accumulation help determine the resilience of the microtidal, sediment-deficient marshes most vulnerable to sea-level rise (Mudd et al., 2010; Kirwan et al., 2016; Kearney and Turner, 2016). Temperature warming is well known to increase rates of both soil organic matter production (Kirwan et al., 2009; Gedan and Bertness, 2010) and decomposition (Kirschbaum, 1995; Kirwan and Blum, 2011; Kirwan et al., 2014) which are opposing processes that affect elevation gain in opposite directions. However, the balance between these processes, and their impact on marsh resilience, has been difficult to isolate and quantify (Fig. 4). Previous experiments that rely solely on passive warming generally find positive increases in productivity and elevation change (Gedan and Bertness, 2009; Charles and Dukes, 2009; Kirwan et al., 2009; Baldwin et al., 2014; Coldren et al., 2016, Coldren et al., 2019), but the warming these experiments achieve is relatively modest aboveground and negligible below the soil surface, limiting the ability to influence

213 decomposition. In contrast, our whole-ecosystem soil warming experiment reveals a prominent
214 link between productivity and decomposition expressed over seasonal timescales (i.e. Fig. 2) that
215 leads to a consistent temperature optimum of +1.7 °C for marsh resilience and carbon
216 accumulation in two disparate plant communities. Our observation of a distinct temperature
217 optimum helps rectify observations of elevation loss in response to warming at low latitudes
218 (Coldren et al., 2019) with the more general positive responses observed in mid and high-latitude
219 warming experiments (Gedan and Bertness, 2009; Charles and Dukes, 2009; Baldwin et al.,
220 2014), thereby indicating a latitudinal increase in resilience (Fig. 4). However, our observations
221 also suggest that positive responses will likely diminish through time with further warming, as
222 marshes approach and surpass their temperature optima (Fig. 1; Fig. 4). Temperature increases of
223 2 °C have been identified as tipping points for mass coral bleaching and mortality, increased
224 mortality and reproductive failure for intertidal barnacles and mussels, and the expansion of
225 tropical mangroves into temperate wetlands (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Helmuth et al., 2010;
226 Cavanaugh et al., 2019). Here, we quantify a distinct and consistent temperature optimum (+1.7
227 °C) for U.S. mid-Atlantic marsh resilience and soil carbon accumulation. Our work uniquely
228 connects metabolic theory to ecosystem resilience to identify a potential temperature optimum
229 for coastal wetland resilience and therefore contributes to the growing body of evidence that
230 continued warming will negatively impact many coastal and marine ecosystems.

Materials and Methods

Site Description and Experimental Design

The Salt Marsh Accretion Response to Temperature Experiment (SMARTX) was conducted in the Kirkpatrick Marsh, part of the Smithsonian's Global Change Research Wetland (GCRew) (Noyce et al., 2019). Kirkpatrick Marsh is a 22-ha brackish high marsh located in the United States on a microtidal subestuary of the Chesapeake Bay (38°53' N, 76°33' W). The site is characterized by C₄ plant communities, dominated by *Spartina patens* and *Distichlis spicata*, and C₃ plant communities, dominated by the C₃ sedge *Schoenoplectus americanus*. The marsh platform is 40-60 cm above daily mean low water level and is inundated during approximately 28% of high tides. The average elevation of C₄ communities at this site range on average between 0.234 and 0.255 m while the average elevation of the C₃ marsh dominated by *Schoenoplectus americanus* is 0.214 m (Jordan and Correll, 1991). Soils at the site are organic-rich (>80%) to a depth of approximately 5 m (Jordan and Correll, 1991; Rietl et al., 2021). Soil bulk densities range from 0.079 to 0.180 g cm³ in the upper 60 cm of soil indicating that the soil profile reflects historic uniform organic matter deposition. While there are abiotic and biotic differences between C₃ and C₄ plant communities (e.g., elevation, inundation frequency, organic matter content, vegetation composition, and shoot density), experimental transects were established in portions of the marsh with distinct C₃ and C₄ communities so that variance within sites is relatively low. The high organic matter content of the marsh soils allows us to assume that changes in marsh elevation are analogous to changes in the soil carbon stock due to a lack of mineral sedimentation and allochthonous carbon influx (Morris et al., 2016). The Kirkpatrick Marsh is within a regional hotspot of late 20th century sea-level rise, driven by geologic conditions along the mid-Atlantic seaboard of the United States (Sallenger et al., 2012), and the

long-term mean sea-level rise trend for the past 50 years in this region is 3.8 mm yr⁻¹ (NOAA Sea Level Trends, 2021)

Six replicate transects within the Kirkpatrick Marsh, three in each of the dominant plant communities, were established in 2016. A heating gradient consisting of an ambient temperature plot and heated plots raised to +1.7, +3.4, and +5.1 °C above ambient temperatures was established along each transect. Transects were designed to have similar plant community compositions along the entire transect. All plots are 2 by 2 meters with a 0.2 m buffer between plots to mitigate an edge effect. Heating was achieved aboveground using infrared heaters while vertical resistance cables heated soils down to 1.5 m, a depth not reached using passive warming techniques. Temperatures were maintained using an integrated microprocessor based feedback control to create a fixed temperature differential from the ambient temperature for each plot. Ambient temperature plots have dummy equipment to emulate site disturbance without manipulating temperature. Temperature variation is assessed via thermocouples embedded in acrylic plates in the plant canopy and in the surface soils. Heating began in June of 2016 and is applied year-round.

Elevation Trends

Soil surface elevations were tracked using surface elevation tables (SETs). SETs were installed in each plot to measure soil surface elevation. Elevation benchmarks were installed outside of the experimental plot in June 2016 by driving a series of stainless-steel rods through the entire soil profile to “refusal” (approximately 12.5 meters, but ranging from 6 to 13.5 meters) and then permanently anchored (Lynch et al., 2015). SET benchmark vertical stability over time was assessed by periodically surveying them relative to each other with a Trimble S5 Total Station and no significant differences in elevation were found. Elevation measurements were

collected from approximately 60 “pins” that are 4.5 mm apart and measure the distance from a parallel bar attached to the anchored benchmark to the ground surface, and recorded to the nearest millimeter. This resulted in high-precision measurements of soil surface elevations relative to the base of the benchmark. Measurements were taken every June, August, and January since warming began on June 1, 2016. In addition to this, measurements were taken more frequently (every two months from March 2019 to February 2020) to examine inter-annual variability in marsh elevation.

To determine long term trends, marsh surface elevation was regressed against time for each individual SET pin resulting in approximately 60 estimates of linear elevation trends for each plot. Pin linear regressions across replicate plots (~180 linear regressions per treatment) were then averaged together to estimate the average long-term change in elevation at the treatment level. Treatments were compared using paired t-tests. To examine the effect of an installation effect (the loss of elevation driven by compaction and disturbance during the installation of a SET), we similarly analyzed elevation trends omitting the August 2016 measurement and found general trends to be unaffected and statistically insignificant differences in long-term rates of elevation change. In addition to long term and annual elevation trends, we used SET data to calculate two metrics of microheterogeneity (random roughness ($\sqrt{\frac{\sum(x_i - x_\mu)^2}{n-1}}$) and tortuosity ($(\sum \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2 + (z_2 - z_1)^2})/l$)), in an effort to quantify marsh surface breakup (Moser et al., 2007; Karstens et al., 2016). As an additional metric of microheterogeneity, we quantified the number of holes, defined as locations with an elevation difference between that exceeded 20 mm. Spatial dependence between pins was calculated using a gamma autocorrelation metric as well as the number of pins away from one pin where pin height becomes independent (similar to van Belzen et al. (2017); SI Table 2). Low levels of

autocorrelation within plots indicate a lack of dependence between pins within plots, especially beyond pins more than 27 mm from one another, and negligible dependence between plots.

Annual above- and belowground productivity was measured as described in Noyce et al. (2019). Aboveground productivity was determined by tracking the height and width of 653 stems from Apr to Nov 2019 and converting to biomass using allometric equations. For belowground productivity, root ingrowth cores were installed in November 2018 and removed a year later, after which the dry weight of fine roots in the core was determined. Methane emissions were measured as described in Noyce and Megonigal (2021) using static chambers and a Los Gatos Research Ultraportable Greenhouse Gas Analyzer. Water level was derived from one water level sensor (AquaTROLL 200) located in each plant community, which was then corrected to water level above marsh surface (m) using three averaged RTK elevation measurements from each plot. To examine the effect of productivity, decomposition, and water level on seasonal elevation trends, an ANOVA and multiple paired t-tests were applied.

Acknowledgements

S. Kent, T. O'Meara, R. Roy, K. Sendall, and P. Thornton provided feedback throughout this project. T. Messerschmidt, S. Kent, E. Herbert and D. Walters conducted field measurements and sample collections. Funding for this project was provided by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Environmental System Science program under Award Numbers DE-SC0014413, DE-SC0019110, and DE-SC0021112. JPM and GLN acknowledge support from the Smithsonian Institution. GRG acknowledges support from the USGS Ecosystem Land Change Science Program. MLK acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation (#1654374, 1832221, and 2012670). Any use of trade,

firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the US Government. This is contribution no. XXXX of the Virginia Institute of Marine Science.

Contributions

JPM, GLN, GRG, and MLK conceived of and designed this study. AJS analyzed data, created figures, and wrote the manuscript. GLN and GRG provided data supplementing the manuscript. All coauthors contributed to the interpretation of the results and the editing of the manuscript.

Data Availability

Elevation data that support the findings of this study is available on Smithsonian figshare:
<https://doi.org/10.25573/serc.19213938.v1>

References:

1. Baldwin, A. H., Jensen, K., & Schönfeldt, M. (2014). Warming increases plant biomass and reduces diversity across continents, latitudes, and species migration scenarios in experimental wetland communities. *Global change biology*, 20(3), 835-850.
2. Bashan, Y., Moreno, M., Salazar, B. G., & Alvarez, L. (2013). Restoration and recovery of hurricane-damaged mangroves using the knickpoint retreat effect and tides as dredging tools. *Journal of environmental management*, 116, 196-203.
3. Cahoon, D. R., Perez, B. C., Segura, B. D., & Lynch, J. C. (2011). Elevation trends and shrink–swell response of wetland soils to flooding and drying. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 91(4), 463-474.
4. Cavanaugh, K. C., Dangremond, E. M., Doughty, C. L., Williams, A. P., Parker, J. D., Hayes, M. A., ... & Feller, I. C. (2019). Climate-driven regime shifts in a mangrove–salt marsh ecotone over the past 250 years. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(43), 21602-21608.
5. Charles, H., and J. S. Dukes. 2009. Effects of warming and altered precipitation on plant and nutrient dynamics of a New England salt marsh. *Ecological Applications* 19:1758–1773.
6. Coldren, G. A., Barreto, C. R., Wykoff, D. D., Morrissey, E. M., Langley, J. A., Feller, I. C., & Chapman, S. K. (2016). Chronic warming stimulates growth of marsh grasses more than mangroves in a coastal wetland ecotone. *Ecology*, 97(11), 3167-3175.
7. Coldren, G. A., Langley, J. A., Feller, I. C., & Chapman, S. K. (2019). Warming accelerates mangrove expansion and surface elevation gain in a subtropical wetland. *Journal of Ecology*, 107(1), 79-90.

- 355 8. Connor, R., & Chmura, G. L. (2000). Dynamics of above-and belowground organic matter in
356 a high latitude macrotidal saltmarsh. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 204, 101-110.
- 357 9. Couto, T., Martins, I., Duarte, B., Caçador, I., & Marques, J. C. (2014). Modelling the effects
358 of global temperature increase on the growth of salt marsh plants. *Applied Ecology and*
359 *Environmental Research*, 12(3), 753-764.
- 360 10. Crosby, S. C., Sax, D. F., Palmer, M. E., Booth, H. S., Deegan, L. A., Bertness, M. D., &
361 Leslie, H. M. (2016). Salt marsh persistence is threatened by predicted sea-level
362 rise. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 181, 93-99.
- 363 11. Dakos, V., Van Nes, E. H., d'Odorico, P., & Scheffer, M. (2012). Robustness of variance and
364 autocorrelation as indicators of critical slowing down. *Ecology*, 93(2), 264-271.
- 365 12. Darby, F. A., & Turner, R. E. (2008). Below-and aboveground *Spartina alterniflora*
366 production in a Louisiana salt marsh. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 31(1), 223-231.
- 367 13. Flury, S., & Gessner, M. O. (2011). Experimentally simulated global warming and nitrogen
368 enrichment effects on microbial litter decomposers in a marsh. *Applied and Environmental*
369 *Microbiology*, 77(3), 803-809.
- 370 14. Friedrichs, C. T., & Perry, J. E. (2001). Tidal salt marsh morphodynamics: a
371 synthesis. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 7-37.
- 372 15. Ganju, N. K., Defne, Z., Kirwan, M. L., Fagherazzi, S., D'Alpaos, A., & Carniello, L.
373 (2017). Spatially integrative metrics reveal hidden vulnerability of microtidal salt
374 marshes. *Nature communications*, 8(1), 1-7.
- 375 16. Gedan, K. B., & Bertness, M. D. (2009). Experimental warming causes rapid loss of plant
376 diversity in New England salt marshes. *Ecology Letters*, 12(8), 842-848.

- 377 17. Gedan, K. B., & Bertness, M. D. (2010). How will warming affect the salt marsh foundation
378 species *Spartina patens* and its ecological role?. *Oecologia*, 164(2), 479-487.
- 379 18. Giurgevich, J. R., & Dunn, E. L. (1979). Seasonal patterns of CO₂ and water vapor
380 exchange of the tall and short height forms of *Spartina alterniflora* Loisel in a Georgia salt
381 marsh. *Oecologia*, 43(2), 139-156.
- 382 19. Gray, A. J., & Mogg, R. J. (2001). Climate impacts on pioneer saltmarsh plants. *Climate*
383 *Research*, 18(1-2), 105-112.
- 384 20. Hanson, P. J., Griffiths, N. A., Iversen, C. M., Norby, R. J., Sebestyen, S. D., Phillips, J. R.,
385 ... & Ricciuto, D. M. (2020). Rapid net carbon loss from a whole-ecosystem warmed
386 Peatland. *AGU Advances*, 1(3), e2020AV000163.
- 387 21. Helmuth, B., Broitman, B. R., Yamane, L., Gilman, S. E., Mach, K., Mislan, K. A. S., &
388 Denny, M. W. (2010). Organismal climatology: analyzing environmental variability at scales
389 relevant to physiological stress. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 213(6), 995-1003.
- 390 22. Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P. J., Hooten, A. J., Steneck, R. S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E.,
391 ... & Hatziolos, M. E. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean
392 acidification. *science*, 318(5857), 1737-1742.
- 393 23. IPCC, 2021: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
394 Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
395 [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y.
396 Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K.
397 Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University
398 Press. In Press.

- 399 24. Jankowski, K. L., Törnqvist, T. E., & Fernandes, A. M. (2017). Vulnerability of Louisiana's
400 coastal wetlands to present-day rates of relative sea-level rise. *Nature Communications*, 8(1),
401 1-7.
- 402 25. Jordan, T. E., & Correll, D. L. (1991). Continuous automated sampling of tidal exchanges of
403 nutrients by brackish marshes. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 32(6), 527-545.
- 404 26. Karstens, S., Jurasinski, G., Glatzel, S., & Buczko, U. (2016). Dynamics of surface elevation
405 and microtopography in different zones of a coastal *Phragmites* wetland. *Ecological*
406 *Engineering*, 94, 152-163.
- 407 27. Kearney, M. S., & Turner, R. E. (2016). Microtidal marshes: Can these widespread and
408 fragile marshes survive increasing climate-sea level variability and human action?. *Journal*
409 *of Coastal Research*, 32(3), 686-699.
- 410 28. Kéfi, S., Guttal, V., Brock, W. A., Carpenter, S. R., Ellison, A. M., Livina, V. N., ... &
411 Dakos, V. (2014). Early warning signals of ecological transitions: methods for spatial
412 patterns. *PloS one*, 9(3), e92097.
- 413 29. Kéfi, S., Rietkerk, M., Alados, C. L., Pueyo, Y., Papanastasis, V. P., ElAich, A., & De
414 Ruiters, P. C. (2007). Spatial vegetation patterns and imminent desertification in
415 Mediterranean arid ecosystems. *Nature*, 449(7159), 213-217.
- 416 30. Kirschbaum, M. U. (1995). The temperature dependence of soil organic matter
417 decomposition, and the effect of global warming on soil organic C storage. *Soil Biology and*
418 *biochemistry*, 27(6), 753-760.
- 419 31. Kirwan, M. L., & Blum, L. K. (2011). Enhanced decomposition offsets enhanced
420 productivity and soil carbon accumulation in coastal wetlands responding to climate change.
421 *Biogeosciences*, 8(4), 987-993.

- 422 32. Kirwan, M. L., & Megonigal, J. P. (2013). Tidal wetland stability in the face of human
423 impacts and sea-level rise. *Nature*, 504(7478), 53-60.
- 424 33. Kirwan, M. L., & Mudd, S. M. (2012). Response of salt-marsh carbon accumulation to
425 climate change. *Nature*, 489(7417), 550-553.
- 426 34. Kirwan, M. L., Guntenspergen, G. R., & Langley, J. A. (2014). Temperature sensitivity of
427 organic-matter decay in tidal marshes. *Biogeosciences*, 11(17), 4801-4808.
- 428 35. Kirwan, M. L., Guntenspergen, G. R., & Morris, J. T. (2009). Latitudinal trends in *Spartina*
429 alterniflora productivity and the response of coastal marshes to global change. *Global*
430 *Change Biology*, 15(8), 1982-1989.
- 431 36. Kirwan, M. L., Temmerman, S., Skeechn, E. E., Guntenspergen, G. R., & Fagherazzi, S.
432 (2016). Overestimation of marsh vulnerability to sea level rise. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(3),
433 253-260.
- 434 37. Langley, J. A., McKee, K. L., Cahoon, D. R., Cherry, J. A., & Megonigal, J. P. (2009).
435 Elevated CO₂ stimulates marsh elevation gain, counterbalancing sea-level rise. *Proceedings*
436 *of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(15), 6182-6186.
- 437 38. Lewis, D. B., Brown, J. A., & Jimenez, K. L. (2014). Effects of flooding and warming on soil
438 organic matter mineralization in *Avicennia germinans* mangrove forests and *Juncus*
439 *roemerianus* salt marshes. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 139, 11-19.
- 440 39. Liu, W., Chen, X., Strong, D. R., Pennings, S. C., Kirwan, M. L., Chen, X., & Zhang, Y.
441 (2020). Climate and geographic adaptation drive latitudinal clines in biomass of a widespread
442 saltmarsh plant in its native and introduced ranges. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 65(6),
443 1399-1409.

- 444 40. Liu, W., Maung-Douglass, K., Strong, D. R., Pennings, S. C., & Zhang, Y. (2016).
445 Geographical variation in vegetative growth and sexual reproduction of the invasive *Spartina*
446 *alterniflora* in China. *Journal of Ecology*, 104(1), 173-181.
- 447 41. Long, S. P., Incoll, L. D., & Woolhouse, H. W. (1975). C 4 photosynthesis in plants from
448 cool temperate regions, with particular reference to *Spartina townsendii*. *Nature*, 257(5527),
449 622-624.
- 450 42. Lynch, J. C., Hensel, P., & Cahoon, D. R. (2015). The surface elevation table and marker
451 horizon technique: A protocol for monitoring wetland elevation dynamics (No.
452 NPS/NCBN/NRR—2015/1078). National Park Service.
- 453 43. Martinez, M. (2021). *State Changes in Coastal Wetlands: Anticipating Transitions and*
454 *Evaluating the Role of Ghost Forests in Regional Greenhouse Gas Emissions* (Doctoral
455 dissertation, North Carolina State University).
- 456 44. Mcleod, E., Chmura, G. L., Bouillon, S., Salm, R., Björk, M., Duarte, C. M., ... & Silliman,
457 B. R. (2011). A blueprint for blue carbon: toward an improved understanding of the role of
458 vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO₂. *Frontiers in Ecology and the*
459 *Environment*, 9(10), 552-560.
- 460 45. Moffett, K. B., Nardin, W., Silvestri, S., Wang, C., & Temmerman, S. (2015). Multiple stable
461 states and catastrophic shifts in coastal wetlands: Progress, challenges, and opportunities in
462 validating theory using remote sensing and other methods. *Remote Sensing*, 7(8), 10184-
463 10226.
- 464 46. Morris, J. T., Barber, D. C., Callaway, J. C., Chambers, R., Hagen, S. C., Hopkinson, C. S.,
465 ... & Wigand, C. (2016). Contributions of organic and inorganic matter to sediment volume
466 and accretion in tidal wetlands at steady state. *Earth's future*, 4(4), 110-121.

47. Moser, K., Ahn, C., & Noe, G. (2007). Characterization of microtopography and its influence on vegetation patterns in created wetlands. *Wetlands*, 27(4), 1081-1097.
48. Mudd, S. M., D'Alpaos, A., & Morris, J. T. (2010). How does vegetation affect sedimentation on tidal marshes? Investigating particle capture and hydrodynamic controls on biologically mediated sedimentation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 115(F3).
49. Mueller, P., Jensen, K., & Megonigal, J. P. (2016). Plants mediate soil organic matter decomposition in response to sea level rise. *Global Change Biology*, 22(1), 404-414.
50. Mueller, P., Mozdzer, T. J., Langley, J. A., Aoki, L. R., Noyce, G. L., & Megonigal, J. P. (2020). Plant species determine tidal wetland methane response to sea level rise. *Nature communications*, 11(1), 1-9.
51. Najjar, R. G., Walker, H. A., Anderson, P. J., Barron, E. J., Bord, R. J., Gibson, J. R., ... & Swanson, R. S. (2000). The potential impacts of climate change on the mid-Atlantic coastal region. *Climate Research*, 14(3), 219-233.
52. NOAA. Sea Level Trends. Available at: <https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/sltrends/sltrends.html>. (Accessed: Nov 2021)
53. Noyce, G. L., & Megonigal, J. P. (2021). Biogeochemical and plant trait mechanisms drive enhanced methane emissions in response to whole-ecosystem warming. *Biogeosciences*, 18(8), 2449-2463.
54. Noyce, G. L., Kirwan, M. L., Rich, R. L., & Megonigal, J. P. (2019). Asynchronous nitrogen supply and demand produce nonlinear plant allocation responses to warming and elevated CO₂. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(43), 21623-21628.

- 489 55. O'Sullivan, O. S., Heskel, M. A., Reich, P. B., Tjoelker, M. G., Weerasinghe, L. K.,
490 Penillard, A., ... & Atkin, O. K. (2017). Thermal limits of leaf metabolism across
491 biomes. *Global Change Biology*, 23(1), 209-223.
- 492 56. Palinkas, C. M., & Engelhardt, K. A. (2019). Influence of inundation and suspended-
493 sediment concentrations on spatiotemporal sedimentation patterns in a tidal freshwater
494 marsh. *Wetlands*, 39(3), 507-520.
- 495 57. Peteet, D. M., Nichols, J., Kenna, T., Chang, C., Browne, J., Reza, M., ... & Stern-Protz, S.
496 (2018). Sediment starvation destroys New York City marshes' resistance to sea level
497 rise. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(41), 10281-10286.
- 498 58. Phillips, C. L. (2020). How Much Will Soil Warm?. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
499 *Biogeosciences*, 125(7), e2020JG005668.
- 500 59. Rietl, A. J., Megonigal, J. P., Herbert, E. R., & Kirwan, M. L. (2021). Vegetation Type and
501 Decomposition Priming Mediate Brackish Marsh Carbon Accumulation Under Interacting
502 Facets of Global Change. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(8), e2020GL092051.
- 503 60. Rogers, K., Kelleway, J. J., Saintilan, N., Megonigal, J. P., Adams, J. B., Holmquist, J. R., ...
504 & Woodroffe, C. D. (2019). Wetland carbon storage controlled by millennial-scale variation
505 in relative sea-level rise. *Nature*, 567(7746), 91-9
- 506 61. Rogers, K., Wilton, K. M., & Saintilan, N. (2006). Vegetation change and surface elevation
507 dynamics in estuarine wetlands of southeast Australia. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf*
508 *Science*, 66(3-4), 559-569.
- 509 62. Sallenger, A. H., Doran, K. S., & Howd, P. A. (2012). Hotspot of accelerated sea-level rise
510 on the Atlantic coast of North America. *Nature Climate Change*, 2(12), 884-888.

- 511 63. Seneca, E. D., & Blum, U. (1984). Response to photoperiod and temperature by *Spartina*
512 *alterniflora* (Poaceae) from North Carolina and *Spartina foliosa* from California. *American*
513 *journal of botany*, 71(1), 91-99.
- 514 64. Shepard, C. C., Crain, C. M., & Beck, M. W. (2011). The protective role of coastal marshes:
515 a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one*, 6(11), e27374.
- 516 65. Sturchio, M. A., Chieppa, J., Chapman, S. K., Canas, G., & Aspinwall, M. J. (2021).
517 Temperature acclimation of leaf respiration differs between marsh and mangrove vegetation
518 in a coastal wetland ecotone. *Global Change Biology*.
- 519 66. Temmerman, S., Govers, G., Meire, P., & Wartel, S. (2003). Modelling long-term tidal
520 marsh growth under changing tidal conditions and suspended sediment concentrations,
521 Scheldt estuary, Belgium. *Marine Geology*, 193(1-2), 151-169.
- 522 67. Van Belzen, J., Van De Koppel, J., Kirwan, M. L., Van Der Wal, D., Herman, P. M., Dakos,
523 V., ... & Bouma, T. J. (2017). Vegetation recovery in tidal marshes reveals critical slowing
524 down under increased inundation. *Nature Communications*, 8(1), 1-7.
- 525 68. Veraart, A. J., Faassen, E. J., Dakos, V., van Nes, E. H., Lürling, M., & Scheffer, M. (2012).
526 Recovery rates reflect distance to a tipping point in a living system. *Nature*, 481(7381), 357-
527 359.
- 528 69. Wang, H., Atkin, O. K., Keenan, T. F., Smith, N. G., Wright, I. J., Bloomfield, K. J., ... &
529 Prentice, I. C. (2020). Acclimation of leaf respiration consistent with optimal photosynthetic
530 capacity. *Global Change Biology*, 26(4), 2573-2583.
- 531 70. Więski, K., & Pennings, S. (2014). Latitudinal variation in resistance and tolerance to
532 herbivory of a salt marsh shrub. *Ecography*, 37(8), 763-769.

533 71. Zhong, Q., Wang, K., Nie, M., Zhang, G., Zhang, W., Zhu, Y., ... & Gao, Y. (2019).
534 Responses of wetland soil carbon and nutrient pools and microbial activities after 7 years of
535 experimental warming in the Yangtze Estuary. *Ecological Engineering*, 136, 68-78.

536
537

538 Figure Legends

539 Fig. 1. Elevation trends through time in response to warming in the C₃ (a) and C₄ (b) plant
540 communities. Shaded regions correspond to the standard error in elevation associated with each
541 treatment. Gray areas represent the approximate growing season (April to September). For
542 clarity, the +3.4 °C treatment is not shown, but tracks with similar seasonal trends as the
543 displayed treatments (SI Fig. 3). Relative elevation measurements were averaged across plots
544 and replications ($n=3$). (c) Average elevation change rate (mm y^{-1}) of triplicate replications in the
545 C₃ and C₄ community averaged through time under the four temperature treatments. Regression
546 analyses were applied to individual pins and then averaged between plots and treatments
547 ($n\sim 120$). Belowground productivity data is from Noyce et al. (2019), updated with an additional
548 two years of data to encompass 2018-2020.

549
550 Fig. 2. (a) Seasonal patterns in marsh elevation, productivity, and decomposition. Relative
551 elevation (black), primary production (red) and methane emissions (blue) from March 2019 to
552 Feb 2020. Primary production is measured as changes in total green biomass relative to total
553 green biomass during peak productivity. Methane emissions are measured monthly using static
554 chambers and act as a proxy for organic matter decomposition. Relative elevation is the average
555 elevation of the C₃ community only at ambient temperatures. (b) Average amplitude (mm) of the
556 seasonal variability between the highest and lowest average elevations over the year-long
557 intensive sampling period.

558
559 Fig. 3. (a) Changes in heterogeneity across temperature treatments and plant community as
560 represented by the number of holes in a given year. Holes were defined as locations with a
561 difference in adjacent elevation measurements of greater than 20 mm. Adjacent pins were
562 approximately 4.5 mm apart. (b) The average change in random roughness (RR) and tortuosity
563 (T) over the duration of this experiment. Positive values indicate an increase in heterogeneity,
564 which we associate with a decrease in marsh resilience, while negative values indicate a decrease
565 in heterogeneity, where the marsh surface becomes less variable.

566
567 Fig. 4. Meta-analysis and conceptual diagram showing that the effect of warming on ecosystem
568 response will vary with latitude in the United States. Colors of the arrows represent degree of
569 warming where green represents slight increases above ambient temperatures and red represents
570 extreme warming. In low-latitude marshes, ambient temperatures are above metabolic optima, so
571 that warming will lead to decreased marsh resilience. In high-latitude marshes, warming
572 increases marsh resilience. The possible latitudinal tipping point represents a range of potential
573 latitudes along the North American Atlantic seaboard below which any degree of warming is
574 expected to decrease resilience. Squares, triangles, circles, and stars in the figure represent
575 percent changes in belowground productivity, aboveground productivity, elevation change, and
576 decomposition measured in prior warming experiments, where the numbers to the right of the
577 citation indicate the magnitude of warming treatment (°C). Symbols a, b, and c represent data
578 from Charles and Dukes (2009), Gedan and Bertness (2009), and Baldwin et al. (2014). The red
579 and green points near the middle of the figure represent the results of our warming experiment in
580 the C₃ community, where elevation gain is enhanced +1.7 °C and reduced at +5.1 °C,
581 demonstrating a switch from positive to negative effects on marsh resilience.