The Impact of Fasciation on Maize Inflorescence Architecture

Da Eun $Kim^{1\dagger} \cdot Jin$ -hee $Jeong^{2\dagger} \cdot Yu$ Mi $Kang^{1\dagger} \cdot Young$ -Hoon $Park^{1,4} \cdot Yong$ -Jae $Lee^{1,4} \cdot Jum$ -soon $Kang^{1,4} \cdot Young$ -Whan $Choi^{1,4} \cdot Beung$ -Gu $Son^1 \cdot Sun$ Tae $Kim^{3,4} \cdot Dave$ Jackson^{5*} \cdot Byoung II $Je^{1,4*}$

¹ Department of Horticultural Bioscience, Pusan National University, Miryang 50463, Republic of Korea

² Division of Applied Life Science (BK21 Program), Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Research Center (PMBBRC), Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Republic Korea

³ Department of Plant Bioscience, Pusan National University, Miryang 627-706, Republic of Korea

⁴ Life and Industry Convergence Research Institute, Pusan National University, Miryang 50463, Republic of Korea

⁵ Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, USA.

^{*} For correspondence: Byoung Il Je (bije@pusan.ac.kr); Dave Jackson (jacksond@cshl.edu)

[†]Da Eun Kim, Jin-Hee Jeong and Yi Mi Kang contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

How functional genetics research can be applied to improving crop yields is a timely challenge.

One of the most direct methods is to produce larger inflorescences with higher productivity, which should

be accompanied by a balance between stem cell proliferation and lateral organ initiation in meristems.

Unbalanced proliferation of stem cells causes the fasciated inflorescences, which reflect the abnormal

proliferation of meristems, derived from the Latin word 'fascis', meaning 'bundle'. Maize, a model system

for grain crops, has shown tremendous yield improvements through the mysterious transformation of the

female inflorescence during domestication. In this review, we focus on maize inflorescence architecture

and highlight the patterns of fasciation, including recent progress.

Keywords: Maize, Inflorescence architecture, Fasciation, Crop yields

2

Introduction

Maize is one of the most widely cultivated crops in the world, and one of the most important cereal crops along with wheat and rice. Based on the statistics of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), the total production of maize, 1 billion tons, surpasses that of wheat and rice (Yang et al. 2017). This highest productivity of maize among cereal crops has been achieved through prolonged historical human efforts, called domestication, and advances in modern agricultural technology. Maize was domesticated starting ~10,000 years ago in Mexico (Harlan 1992; Wang et al. 1999), and has shown remarkable changes in plant architecture from its ancestor, teosinte (Zea mays ssp. Parviglumis) (Doebley 2004; Benz 2001; Doebley and Stec 1993). Teosinte produces many branches and tillers; however domesticated modern maize generally produces few tillers or branches, and large ears, the female inflorescences. The transformation of the ear is especially mysterious, compared to other crops (Doebley 2004). How could this incredible domestication occur in maize? The first possibility is that the maize genome has a variety of active transposons (Mc 1950; Schnable et al. 2009) that promote spontaneous and frequent mutations, leading to transformations. The second possibility is that maize is a typical monoecious plant, indicating that it crosspollinates. During domestication, many useful traits may have appeared and accumulated (Fu et al. 2002; Brunner et al. 2005). The natural cross-pollination could facilitate easier domestication from teosinte (Yang et al. 2017; van Heerwaarden et al. 2011; Piperno et al. 2009). As a result, two rows of kernels on teosinte ears have been domesticated to produce eight to 20 rows on the ears in maize (Doebley 2004). This remarkable increase in the number of kernels per ear is an outstanding feature of domesticated maize.

Many maize mutants defective in shoot apical meristem (SAM) have been selected to investigate and enhance this feature. Interestingly, mutants with dramatically enlarged inflorescence meristems (IMs) showed only a slight increase in the size of vegetative SAMs (Taguchi-Shiobara et al. 2001; Bommert et al. 2013a; Je et al. 2016; Je et al. 2018), suggesting that the maize genome evolved to promote development of IMs rather than vegetative SAMs during domestication. This intense selection for larger inflorescences has made maize one of the best model crops for studying functional genetics in IM development and offers a great opportunity to identify useful genes for application in yield improvement. A number of mutants with defects in IM, such as those with fasciated ears, have been studied in maize. However, strong fasciated ear mutants do not improve productivity, due to a stunted ear, even though they increase kernel row number (KRN) (Bommert et al. 2013b; Je et al. 2016). To overcome this, maize targeting-induced local lesions in genomes (TILLING) lines with targeted EMS (ethyl methane sulfonate) mutagenesis (Bommert et al. 2013b; Till et al. 2004; Je et al. 2016) were used to isolate weak alleles with moderate IM phenotypes. Compared with strong fasciation mutants, mildly fasciated ear mutants show more potential to improve yield (Bommert et al. 2013b; Je et al. 2016). The TILLING or targeted EMS mutagenesis requires a lot of

time and effort. Recent CRISPR/Cas gene editing techniques make the identification of weak alleles much easier and faster (Shelake et al. 2019; Jinek et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2021b). As the CRISPR/Cas system continues to evolve, it could enable more delicate control over the IM by targeting single bases for editing (Komor et al. 2016; Gaudelli et al. 2017; Shelake et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2021b).

A deep understanding of the genetics underlying development of IMs is crucial for the synergistic application of recent genomic and technological advances in improving crop yields. In this review, we will discuss the maize inflorescence architecture and highlight the various patterns of fasciation to answer this question.

Sex determination in maize inflorescences.

Maize separates male and female flowers into different inflorescences on the same plant (Fig. 1 and 2), termed monoecious. In the vegetative stage, maize SAMs continuously initiate lateral organs including leaves and axillary buds. After the transition to flowering, the SAM terminates with the production of the male inflorescence, or tassel (Bennetzen and Hake 2009). Interestingly, if the main stalk of maize is broken or removed during the seedling stage, a tiller will replace the broken main stalk. However, the tiller often grows without developing axillary ears, and terminates with the production of a feminized inflorescence, instead of a tassel. The tassel produces several branch meristems (BMs) (Fig. 1A)




Fig. 1 Masculinization of the tassel, the male inflorescence in maize. A-I Scanning electron microscopy images (SEMs) of maize tassel development. A Immature tassel produces BMs and regular phyllotaxy of SPMs in the axils of suppressed bracts (SB). B SPMs divide into two SMs. C,D SMs form two glume (GL) primordia and give rise to two FMs, the upper (UFM) and lower (LFM). E,F The UFM forms floral organ primordia. G-I Removal of the GL reveals the LFM that forms floral organ primordia. J A mature male spikelet has two florets. K Schematic representation of reproductive meristem transition in tassel (left) and masculinization of spikelet (right). L, lemma; P, palea; ST, stamen; PI, pistil. Scale bars: 100 μm.

(Tanaka et al. 2013), whereas axillary buds gives rise to ears, which lack BMs (Fig. 1B). However, three classical mutants, ramosa1 (ra1), ra2 and ra3 encoding a zinc-finger transcription factor, a LOB domain transcription factor, and a trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase, respectively, produce many branches in ears

(Vollbrecht et al. 2005; Bortiri et al. 2006; Satoh-Nagasawa et al. 2006), suggesting that branching in ears is under transcriptional control and sugar signaling. Maize inflorescences progress through several stages to produce the final florets (Fig. 1). After production of BMs, the male IM and BMs produces spikelet pair meristems (SPMs) in a uniform phyllotaxy (Fig. 1A). SPMs give rise to a pair of spikelet meristems (SMs) (Fig. 1B). SMs subsequently divide into two floral meristems (FMs), upper (UFM) and lower FM (LFM) (Fig. 1G-I). As the final outcome, each SPM in the tassel produces four florets (Fig. 1K) (Gallavotti et al. 2008). In the beginning, the tassel FMs develop all floral organ primordia, such as perianth organs, pistils, and stamens (Fig. 1E-H), but the female pistil degenerates during maturation (Fig. 1I). This degeneration is under control of the male sex determinants, masculinizing genes (Fig. 1K), such as tasselseed (ts) named after the mutant phenotype (Dellaporta and Calderon-Urrea 1994). TSI and TS2 encode a LIPOXYGENASE and an ALCOHOL DEHYDROGENASE respectively. These mutants are rescued by exogenous jasmonic acid (JA) application, suggesting that JA is involved in masculinization functions of TS1 and TS2 (DeLong et al. 1993; Acosta et al. 2009). The dominant Ts5 mutant overexpresses Zea mays (Zm) CYP94B1, and develops a tasselseed phenotype through affecting JA catabolism (Wang et al. 2020; Lunde et al. 2019). In contrast, TS4 encodes a microRNA that controls sex determination by targeting TS6/indeterminate spikelet1 (IDSI), which encodes an APETALA2-like transcription factor (Chuck et al. 1998; Chuck et al. 2007b). The maize brassinosteroid (BR) biosynthetic mutant, nana plant1 (na1) encodes a DET2 homolog, and also has a tasselseed phenotype (Hartwig et al. 2011). Taken together, male sex determinants are involved in the actions of miRNAs and BR and JA hormones (Fig. 1K).

Like the tassel IM, the ear IM initiates SPMs (Fig 2A), which give rise to a pair of SMs and the SMs subsequently produce two FMs (Fig 2B). The two FMs initiate floral organ primordia (Fig 2D-I). However, only the pistil of the upper (primary) floret matures, and all floral organs in the lower (secondary) floret abort (Fig 2I-K). As a final outcome, each SPM in the ear produces two fertile florets (Fig 2K). During floral development, the upper peripheral cells of the carpel primordia are recruited to form the gynoecial ridge that extends into a very long stigma, the pollen-attracting silk (Fig 2F-J). Degeneration of male organs in the ear is under control of female sex determinants, or feminizing genes (Fig 2K). These genes were identified as *dwarf* (*d*) mutants, *d1*, *d2*, *d3*, *d5*, *D8*, and *anther ear1* (*an1*), which develop perfect flowers without stamen abortion in the upper ear florets (Dellaporta and Calderon-Urrea 1994). These mutations encode genes involved in gibberellin (GA) biosynthesis or signaling (Andersen et al. 2005; Dellaporta and Calderon-Urrea 1994; Bensen et al. 1995). *SILKLESSI* (*SK1*), which encodes a miRNA targeting *TS2*, acts

as a pistil protector (Malcomber and Kellogg 2006; Parkinson et al. 2007). These findings suggest that female sex determinants function in actions of miRNA and GA.

Maize domestication, focusing on inflorescence architecture

Domestication of crops involves numerous changes in plant morphology and is achieved through selection of mutations and accumulation of beneficial traits. The monoecy of maize could facilitate the accumulation of many agricultural traits by easy natural outcrossing (Dellaporta and Calderon-Urrea 1994). The various active transposons in the maize genome




Fig. 2 Feminization of ear, the female inflorescence in maize. **A-I** SEMs of maize ear development. **A** Immature ear shows regular phyllotaxy of SPMs in the axils of suppressed bracts (SB). **B** SPMs divide into two SMs. **C,D** SMs form two glume (GL) primordia and gives rise to two FMs, the upper (UFM) and lower (LFM). **E-H** The UFM forms floral organ primordia and the peripheral cells of the carpel form a gynoecial ridge (GR), which becomes a long stigma called the silk. **I** Removal of the GL reveals the LFM, which also forms floral organ primordia but aborts early in development. **J** A clump of silks grows from the tip of the ear. **K** Schematic representation of reproductive meristem transition in ear (left) and feminization of spikelet (right). L, lemma; P, palea; ST, stamen; PI, pistil; O, ovule. Scale bars: 100 μm.

also promote spontaneous mutations that affect gene expression or function (Mc 1950; Schnable et al. 2009). In fact, ~85% of the maize genome is made up of transposon elements (TEs) (Schnable et al. 2009). For example, one of the most important traits of domestication arose through the activity of a TE. A hopscotch retrotransposon inserted approximately 58 kb upstream of the teosinte branched1 (tb1) gene (Studer et al. 2011; Clark et al. 2006), results in overexpression of this gene in domesticated maize. TB1 encodes a TB1-CYCLOIDEA-PROLIFERATING CELL FACTOR (TCP) transcription factor that acts as a repressor of axillary bud growth and enables the formation of female inflorescences (Doebley et al. 1997). TB, also controls many other domestication genes (Dong et al. 2019), for example it positively regulates GRASSY TILLERS1 (GT1), which encodes a homeodomain-leucine zipper (HD-ZIP) transcription factor that represses tillering and ear prolificacy (Wills et al. 2013; Whipple et al. 2011). These domestication traits increase the apical dominance and concentrate the resources in the main stem of the plant, contributing to increase in size of the inflorescences (Doebley et al. 1997; Wills et al. 2013). TB1 also directly targets teosinte glume architecture1 (tga1) and tassels replace upper ears1 (tru1) by binding to the promoters of

these genes (Studer et al. 2017; Dong et al. 2017). Single amino acid change in tgal, which encodes a SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN (SBP) transcription factor, exposes the kernel by softening and reduction of the glumes (Wang et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2015). tru1 encodes a BTB/POZ ankyrin repeat protein, and the mutants are highly branched with long axillary branches tipped by tassels instead of ears (Dong et al. 2017; Dong et al. 2019), suggesting that TRUI also functions as a sex determinant downstream of TB1. These effects of tb1 on phenotype vary with genetic background (Doebley et al. 1995). enhancer of tb1.2 (etb1.2) maps to a YABBY transcription factor ZmYAB2.1, also called Zmshattering 1-1 (Zmsh1-1), which is expressed in a narrow band of cells subtending the spikelet pair, the future abscission zone (Yang et al. 2016; Lin et al. 2012). tb1 acts as a repressor of ZmYAB2.1, reducing seed shattering, also called known as non-disarticulation (Stitzer and Ross-Ibarra 2018). The MADS box transcription factor Zea agamous-likel (zagl1) is also involved in seed shattering (Weber et al. 2008), suggesting that this trait is associated with various loci. Although domestication traits related to axillary branching/tiller and growth of glumes have been identified, some IM architecture traits, such as maturation of paired spikelets and inflorescence shift in phyllotaxy from alternating pattern in teosinte with a tworanked ear to whorled pattern in maize with more than four ranked ear, remain unclear (Stitzer and Ross-Ibarra 2018). Teosinte develops only single mature spikelets, whereas maize has paired spikelets, and this variation is associated with variants on chromosomes 1 and 3 (Doebley and Stec 1991, 1993). Zea floricaula/leafy2 (zfl2) is a candidate locus for inflorescence phyllotaxy differences between maize and teosinte, and shows associations with the ear rank trait in maize-teosinte hybrid populations. An additional QTL, zfl1, may alter the effect of zfl2 (Bomblies and Doebley 2006; Briggs et al. 2007), however, zfl1;zfl2 double mutants have a normal whorled pattern of axillary meristems initiation (Bomblies and Doebley 2006; Bomblies et al. 2003), suggesting that zfl2 itself was not selected, but a linked gene acting through zfl2 was selected during domestication (Bomblies and Doebley 2006). Although the genetic basis of the shift in inflorescence phyllotaxy from alternating to a whorled pattern remains unclear, many enlarged IM mutants are associated with an increase in ear rank (Taguchi-Shiobara et al. 2001; Bommert et al. 2013b; Bommert et al. 2005; Je et al. 2016).

Maize produces inflorescences with dome-shaped apical meristems.

Vegetative SAMs in maize form axillary organs in an alternate pattern, whereas after transition to flowering, IMs form multiple axillary organs in a whorled pattern (Giulini et al. 2004; Jackson and Hake 1999; Yang et al. 2015; Gallavotti et al. 2008). During the vegetative to reproductive transition, the diameter of the dome-shaped SAM increases approximately 1.5 ~ 2 fold in the B73 inbred line (Fig. 3A-C) (Bommert et al. 2013a; Bommert et al. 2013b; Je et al. 2016; Leiboff et al. 2016; Leiboff et al. 2015). However, this increased size does not affect the general morphology of the IM, which remains as a dome-shaped apex

(Fig. 3C-F). Increase in SAM diameter results in a squared increase in surface area, and a cubic increase in volume. the IM has suggesting that sufficient stem cells and space for the increased number of axillary rows compared organ vegetative SAM. The whorled pattern of axillary organs in the IM can be thought of as an increased number of axes in an alternate pattern, as each axillary alternates with its organ neighboring ones (Fig. 3E, F).




Fig. 3 Wild type inflorescences with dome shaped apices. A,B Cleared images of vegetative SAM and tassel IM from wild type. C-F SEMs of IMs from wild type. C,D Top view of a tassel IM and side view of ear IM shows the dome shaped apex. E,F Top views of ear IMs show the whorled pattern of axillary organ initiation. The suppressed bracts are shaded in yellow, and alternate with adjacent ones. Red arrows indicate suppressed bracts (SBs). G,H Side and cross-section images of immature ears show single-tipped apex and whorled pattern of spikelets. I Diagrammatic illustration of a teosinte ear shows an alternate pattern of kernel rows. Scale bars: 100 μm in (A-F); 1cm in (G,H).

Depending on nutritional status or genetic background, the dome-shaped apex of the IM enlarges slightly to produce more axillary organ rows (Fig. 3E-H) (Bommert et al. 2013b). The meristem size in teosinte is smaller compared to domesticated maize varieties (Leiboff et al. 2016) and continues to initiate axillary organs in an alternate pattern even after conversion to the IM (Fig. 3I).

The regulation of IM size in Maize

Regulation by signaling transduction

The size of the IM is determined by the proliferative activity of the SAM (Kitagawa and Jackson 2019; Liu et al. 2021b). The SAM resides at the shoot apex and consists of specialized microdomains, the organizing center (OC), stem cell niches of central zone (CZ), peripheral zone (PZ), differentiation zone, and rib zone (RZ) (Heidstra and Sabatini 2014; Morrison and Spradling 2008). The OC is surrounded by and communicates closely with other domains to maintain SAM homeostasis (Wu et al. 2018b). The well-known communication system in the SAM is the CLAVATA-WUSCHEL (CLV-WUS) negative feedback circuit (Brand et al. 2000; Schoof et al. 2000; Stahl and Simon 2010). CLV signaling pathway is initiated in the CZ by secretion of the CLV3 peptide signal, which is recognized by leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase (LRR-RLK) CLV1 (Clark et al. 1997; Fletcher et al. 1999; Jeong et al. 1999). CLV signaling restricts WUS expression to the OC (Brand et al. 2000; Schoof et al. 2000). In turn, the homeodomain transcription factor WUS activates stem cell fate non-cell-autonomously to directly promote CLV3 expression (Daum et

al. 2014; Yadav et al. 2011). This CLV-WUS negative feedback signaling was first identified in Arabidopsis, but is also widely conserved in grasses (Somssich et al. 2016). In maize, THICK TASSEL DWARF1 (TD1) and FASCIATED EAR2 (FEA2) encode receptor-like proteins orthologous to CLV1 and CLV2 respectively, and regulate the size of the tassel and ear IM (Bommert et al. 2005; Taguchi-Shiobara et al. 2001). FEA2 is broadly expressed in the SAM, similar to CLV2 in Arabidopsis (Jeong et al. 1999; Taguchi-Shiobara et al. 2001), suggesting that the function of CLV2/FEA2 is conserved in maize. However, unlike CLV1 (Clark et al. 1997), TDI is expressed in the PZ of vegetative SAMs and in the outermost layers of the IMs (Bommert et al. 2005), suggesting that TD1 functions have diversified in maize. Two WUS orthologs in maize, ZmWUS1 and ZmWUS2 were identified by phylogenetic analysis (Nardmann and Werr 2006). ZmWUS1 expression is very weak in the vegetative SAM (Nardmann and Werr 2006), but is detected clearly in the OC of the late vegetative SAM (Je et al. 2016), suggesting that the function of ZmWUSI is conserved in maize. Maize CLV3/EMBRYO-SURROUNDING REGION7 (ZmCLE7), a CLV3 ortholog identified by phylogenetic analysis in maize, is expressed in the L1 layer in IMs and in the CZ of SMs (Chen et al. 2021), and functions as a negative regulator of the meristem (Je et al. 2016). A CRISPR-Cas9 mutant (Zmcle7^{CR}) and weak promoter alleles Zmcle7^{CR-pro} have enlarged IMs (Rodriguez-Leal et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2021a). ZmCLE7 peptide treatment inhibits SAM growth (Je et al. 2016), and triarabinosylated ZmCLE7 peptide is more potent (Je et al. 2018; Lee et al. 2020). ZmCLE1E5 is a related CLE gene that is upregulated in Zmcle7 mutants, and also expressed in the tips of IMs and in the CZ of SMs (Liu et al. 2021a). Zmcle1e5 mutants enlarge the size of IMs but do not form fasciated ears, though they enhance the fasciation of Zmcle7 (Liu et al. 2021a). The signaling pathways between CLV and WUS are not clear in maize, but downstream interacting components of FEA2/CLV2 have been identified. COMPACT PLANT2 (CT2), encoding a heterotrimeric G protein alpha subunit (Bommert et al. 2013a) overlaps in expression with ZmCLE7 in the L1 layer of the IM. Consistent with this, CT2 interacts with FEA2 and suppresses SAM growth through ZmCLE7 signaling (Bommert et al. 2013a; Je et al. 2018). Maize also encodes three non-canonical G alpha subunits, extra-large GTP binding proteins (ZmXLG1, ZmXLG3a, and ZmXLG3b), which function redundantly with CT2 in controlling SAM development (Wu et al. 2018a). Interestingly, all Zmxlg triple mutant is seedling lethal due to over-activation of the immune system (Wu et al. 2018a). Maize G PROTEIN BETA SUBUNIT GENE1 ($ZmG\beta 1$) also functions downstream of FEA2 (Wu et al. 2020). The $Zmg\beta 1^{CR}$ knockout mutant also causes seedling lethality, whereas a weak allele $Zmg\beta I^{D277N}$ has enlarged IMs and fasciated ears (Wu et al. 2020). ZmCORYNE (CRN) encodes a transmembrane pseudokinase, and also interacts with FEA2, as in Arabidopsis, and inhibits SAM growth through maize FON2-LIKE CLE PROTEIN1 (ZmFCP1) signaling (Muller et al. 2008; Je et al. 2018). However, CT2 and ZmCRN do not interact with each other, even though FEA2 interacts individually with CT2 or ZmCRN (Je et al. 2018), suggesting that the specificity of FEA2 for different signals is achieved by specific downstream effectors. Infact, FEA2/CLV2

appears to transduce several CLEs signals (Fiers et al. 2005; Meng and Feldman 2010; Hazak et al. 2017) and interacts with various LRR-RLPs in multiple roles (Somssich et al. 2016), suggesting that CLV2/FEA2 is a hub of CLE signaling pathways. However, CLV2/FEA2 does not directly interact with CLE peptides (Somssich et al. 2016; Shinohara and Matsubayashi 2015), indicating that they require unknown coreceptors to perceive CLE peptide signals. The expression of *FEA2* is not restricted to the SAM (Taguchi-Shiobara et al. 2001), suggesting that CLV2/FEA2 play multiple roles. CLV2 also appears to be involved in biotic interactions (Replogle et al. 2011; Hanemian et al. 2016) as well as autoimmune signaling (Wu et al. 2020; Wu et al. 2018a).

Given that canonical CLV-WUS signaling pathway mostly involves communication between CZ and OC domains within the SAM, it does not explain the balance between stem-cell proliferation and ongoing cellular differentiation in the PZ for lateral organ formation (Nardmann et al. 2016; Strable and Scanlon 2016). Feedback signals from organ primordia to the stem cell niche have been proposed to compensate for the defects of communication between stem cell niche and the differentiated descendants (Goldshmidt et al. 2008). FASCIATED EAR3 (FEA3) in maize encodes an LRR receptor-like protein and negatively regulates SAM growth (Je et al. 2016), like CLV signaling. However, FEA3 is expressed in the OC and RZ. fea3 mutants do not respond to ZmCLE7 peptide, but do respond to ZmFCP1, which is expressed in the PZ and leaf primordia. Interestingly, FEA3-ZmFCP1 signaling restricts ZmWUS1 expression to the OC by excluding it from the RZ (Je et al. 2016), suggesting that FEA3-ZmFCP1 signaling is involved in communication between differentiated descendants of stem cells and the stem cell niche.

Transcriptional regulation

Recently, dominant *Barren inflorescence3* (*Bif3*) mutants were found to harbor a tandem duplicated copy of *ZmWUS1*, producing a ring-like pattern of *ZmWUS1* overexpression in the IM due to a novel chimeric promoter, and enlarged SAM and IM (Chen et al. 2021). However, the *Bif3* ring-like pattern of *ZmWUS1* expression in IM disappeared in the *fea3* mutant background (Chen et al. 2021), indicating this pattern is related to inhibition by FEA3 in the RZ. Interestingly, the enlarged IMs of *Bif3* mutants do not produce typical fasciated ears, but rather small, ball-like ears with few SMs (Chen et al. 2021), suggesting that *ZmWUS1* overexpression inhibits the differentiation of axillary organs. Like *ZmWUS1*, the homeodomain transcription factor KNOTTED1 (KN1) acts non-cell-autonomously to activate meristematic fate (Jackson et al. 1994; Lucas et al. 1995; Kim et al. 2005; Song et al. 2020), as *kn1* loss-of-function mutants exhibit smaller SAMs (Kerstetter et al. 1997; Vollbrecht et al. 2000). *YABBY* transcription factors *DROOPING LEAF1* (*DRL1*) and *DRL2*, which are exclusively expressed in leaf primordia, also appear to

promote stem cell fate, as the drl1;drl2 double mutants have smaller SAMs (Strable et al. 2017), suggesting that DRL1 and DRL2 are also involved in communication between SAMs and the differentiated descendants of stem cells. However, DRL1 and DRL2 seem to be involved in positive feedback signaling. Consistent with this speculation, duplicate copies of two transcription factor genes, MADS-box gene Zmm8 and YABBY gene DRL2, at the Fascicled earl (Fas1) locus, are ectopically overexpressed in the CZ of the IM (Du et al. 2021), leading to an enlarged IM. In addition to these positive stem cell regulators, the bZIP transcription factor FEA4 is a negative stem cell regulator and a PERIANTHIA ortholog in maize (Pautler et al. 2015). FEA4 is expressed in the PZ of the vegetative SAM and throughout the entire IM, and fea4 mutants exhibit enlarged vegetative SAMs and fasciated IMs. FEA4 interacts with the redox protein MALE STERILE CONVERTED ANTHER1 (MSCA1)/ ABPHYL2 (Yang et al., 2015), suggesting that it promotes lateral organ differentiation in the PZ of the SAM. SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING (SBP)-box transcription factor genes unbranched2 (ub2) and ub3 are expressed in the meristem PZ to control inflorescence development (Du et al. 2020; Chuck et al. 2014). These transcription factors are targeted by miRNA156 (Chuck et al. 2010; Wu and Poethig 2006). Two tandem microRNA156 (miR156) are overexpressed in the IM and the lateral organs in Cg1 mutants, which produce fasciated tassels (Chuck et al. 2007a). miRNA biogenesis requires the RNA endonuclease DICER-LIKE1 (Kurihara and Watanabe

2004). In maize, FUZZY TASSEL (FZT) encodes a DICER-LIKE1 homolog and have inflorescence mutants defects including IM fasciation and severely reduced plant height and shorter, narrower leaves, due to reduced level of miRNAs associated with meristem determinacy, phase change and leaf polarity (Thompson et al. 2014). Similar phenotypes are found in mutants of the transcriptional coactivator grf-interacting factor l (gifl), which is expressed in leaf primordia, PZ, and RZ of the SAM and IM, but not in the CZ (Zhang et al. 2018; Kim and Kende 2004). Most of the mutants in transcriptional regulation genes also have strong defects in tassel development.




Fig. 4 Strong mutants exhibit specific patterns of fasciation. **A** Wild type ear IM shows a dome-shaped apex. **B** *ct2* shows the typical ridge-like line pattern of IM. **C** IM bifurcation often occurs in the line pattern of ear. Red arrow indicates IM bifurcation. **D** Ring pattern of IM is often observed in *fea2* mutants. **E** The ring pattern of the IM often gives rise to the radial bifurcation of the IM. **F** Synergistically enhanced fasciation of *fea2:fea3* double mutant exhibits a highly enlarged ring pattern of IM with many small ears. Scale bars: 200 μm.

Conclusions and Perspectives

Fasciation patterns are very important for genetic analysis as well as improving crop yields (Fig. 4A-F). However, depending on genetic background or environment, these patterns can be modified. For example, cooler and lower light conditions alleviate fasciation phenotype, as do some genetic backgrounds with smaller IMs, such as Mo17 (Bommert et al. 2013b). Fasciation phenotypes can also be synergistically enhanced in some genetic backgrounds (Yu et al. 2008), providing an opportunity to identify further genetic components. Some weaker mutants have mild flattened IMs without fasciation, whereas stronger mutants exhibit specific patterns of fasciation, such as line or ring fasciation or even IM bifurcation (Fig. 4B-F). This common phenomenon and recent gene-editing techniques open the door to a potential that any mutant with fasciation can help improve crop yields.

However, we are faced with some interesting questions to address.

Why can't strong alleles be used to improve yield?

Most strong fasciation mutants with extreme KRN increases have stunted ears with poor yield. To address this issue, weak alleles have been used (Bommert et al. 2013b; Je et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2021a). Why strong fasciation mutations reduce ear length has not been clearly studied. It is speculated that the limited amount of nutrients provided by the source organs may be key signal controlling ear development. In contrast, strong fasciation in tomatoes can increase the size of fruit with increased locule numbers (Rodriguez-Leal et al. 2017), suggesting that strong fasciation can be very useful for other species.

Can IM regulators promote source organ development?

For yield improvement, sink organ improvement alone is meaningless without joint improvement of photosynthetic source capacity. A weak allele of *fea3* increased overall yield, suggesting that *FEA3-FCP1* signaling impacts sink-source relationships (Je et al. 2016; Kitagawa and Jackson 2019). *ZmFCP1* overexpression shows has a negative effect on seedling growth, suggesting this gene controls vegetative development and SAM size regulation. *ct2* mutants also have defects in leaf development (Bommert et al. 2013a). However, the functions of ZmFCP1 and ZmCLE7 in vegetative growth have not been carefully dissected. In addition, the transcriptional IM regulators, *Cg1*, *fzt*, *gif1*, and *fea4* also have vegetative growth defects, suggesting that the growth balance between sink and source organ development may involve IM regulators. Therefore, a better understanding of the function of IM regulators in sink-source balance in plant development may lead to higher yield improvements.

Which players regulate IM bifurcation?

Bifurcation and branching of IMs (Fig. 4C,E) are important traits for improving plant yields. Patterns of fasciation vary depending on the pathway. Generally, *Zmcle7*-related mutants show a ridge or ring fasciation (Fig. 4B,D), whereas *Zmfcp1*-related mutants often have ear bifurcation (Fig. 4C,F). This trend appears to be conserved in *Arabidopsis*, as *clv1*, *clv2*, and *clv3* show little IM bifurcation (Clark et al. 1997; Kayes and Clark 1998; Clark et al. 1995, 1993; Jeong et al. 1999; Fletcher et al. 1999), whereas *Atfea3* mutants develop reiterative IM bifurcation (Je et al. 2016). A model of *Fas1* action suggests that misexpression of *Zmm8* and *drl2* in the CZ of the IM suppresses its meristematic activity and promotes meristematic activity in the PZ, resulting in repeatedly bifurcated inflorescences. Consistently, IM bifurcation appears in mutants of *fea3*, *fea4*, *Zmcrn*, *ub2*; *ub3*, *gif1*, and *td1*, and all these genes are expressed in the PZ or the RZ of vegetative SAMs, but not in from the CZ, suggesting that these genes also promote meristematic activity of the PZ. However, further studies are needed to understand the detailed mechanisms of IM bifurcation.

Are other CLE peptides involved in IM regulation?

Among 49 *CLE* genes in maize, only *ZmCLE7*, *ZmFCP1*, and *ZmCLE1e5* have been characterized. *Zmcle7* and *Zmfcp1* mutants develop fasciated ears, and *Zmcle1e5* enhances the fasciation phenotype of *Zmcle7* (Liu et al. 2021a). However, the others remain uncharacterized, even though many are expressed in shoot tissues (Goad et al. 2017). In addition, other peptides classes may be involved in IM regulation.

How to explain the mysterious ear transformation during domestication?

Many domestication-associated genes in maize have been identified and described with respect to improved traits such as lack of shattering, reduction of tillering and lateral branching, and reduction of glumes and cupules (Dong et al. 2019; Stitzer and Ross-Ibarra 2018). However, a clear explanation of the mysterious transformation of the ear inflorescence phyllotaxy is not yet available. It is believed that domestication of ear rank occurred slowly over > 5,000 years before the present (Benz 2001). Interestingly, the prolificacy domestication trait, related to the number of ears on a shank, is controlled by IM size regulators, including G protein alpha subunit ct2 and gif1 that suppress axillary ear formation (Urano et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2018). These observations suggest that fasciation mutants are involved deeply in maize domestication.

Although the genes involved in domestication and inflorescence architecture appear to be conserved in diverse plant species (Dong et al. 2019; Kitagawa and Jackson 2019; Liu et al. 2021b), the ear architecture of maize is unique in grain crops. The further understanding of maize inflorescence architecture could help improve yields in maize and other crops.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (NRF-2018R1D1A1B07047711, NRF-2021R1A4A2001968 and NRF-2021R1A2C1095401). Jum-soon Kang was supported by supported by Korea Institute of Planning and Evaluation for Technology in Food, Agriculture and Forestry (IPET) and Korea Smart Farm R&D Foundation (KosFarm) through Smart Farm Innovation Technology Development Program, funded by Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (MAFRA) and Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT), Rural Development Administration (RDA) (421037031SB010 and 421037031HD030). Dave Jackson was supported by NIFA award 2019-05613 and NSF award IOS-2129189.

Author contributions DEK, JHJ, YMK, DJ and BIJ designed and wrote the manuscript. YHP, YJL, JSK, YWC, BGS and STK provided scientific discussion. YHP, YJL, JSK, YWC, STK, DJ and BIJ contributed to the conception. All the authors agreed on the contents of the paper and critically evaluated the manuscript.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Consent for publication All authors have provided consent for publication.

References

Acosta IF, Laparra H, Romero SP, Schmelz E, Hamberg M, Mottinger JP, Moreno MA, Dellaporta SL (2009) tasselseed1 Is a Lipoxygenase Affecting Jasmonic Acid Signaling in Sex Determination of Maize. Science 323 (5911):262-265.

Andersen JR, Schrag T, Melchinger AE, Zein I, Lubberstedt T (2005) Validation of Dwarf8 polymorphisms associated with flowering time in elite European inbred lines of maize (Zea mays L.). Theor Appl Genet 111 (2):206-217.

Bennetzen JL, Hake S (2009) Handbook of maize: its biology. Springer, New York

Bensen RJ, Johal GS, Crane VC, Tossberg JT, Schnable PS, Meeley RB, Briggs SP (1995) Cloning and Characterization of the Maize An1 Gene. Plant Cell 7 (1):75-84.

- Benz BF (2001) Archaeological evidence of teosinte domestication from Guila Naquitz, Oaxaca. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98 (4):2104-2106.
- Bomblies K, Doebley JF (2006) Pleiotropic effects of the duplicate maize FLORICAULA/LEAFY genes zfl1 and zfl2 on traits under selection during maize domestication. Genetics 172 (1):519-531.
- Bomblies K, Wang RL, Ambrose BA, Schmidt RJ, Meeley RB, Doebley J (2003) Duplicate FLORICAULA/LEAFY homologs zfl1 and zfl2 control inflorescence architecture and flower patterning in maize. Development 130 (11):2385-2395.
- Bommert P, Je BI, Goldshmidt A, Jackson D (2013a) The maize Galpha gene COMPACT PLANT2 functions in CLAVATA signalling to control shoot meristem size. Nature 502 (7472):555-558.
- Bommert P, Lunde C, Nardmann J, Vollbrecht E, Running M, Jackson D, Hake S, Werr W (2005) thick tassel dwarf1 encodes a putative maize ortholog of the Arabidopsis CLAVATA1 leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase. Development 132 (6):1235-1245.
- Bommert P, Nagasawa NS, Jackson D (2013b) Quantitative variation in maize kernel row number is controlled by the FASCIATED EAR2 locus. Nat Genet 45 (3):334-337.
- Bortiri E, Chuck G, Vollbrecht E, Rocheford T, Martienssen R, Hake S (2006) ramosa2 encodes a LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY domain protein that determines the fate of stem cells in branch meristems of maize. Plant Cell 18 (3):574-585.
- Brand U, Fletcher JC, Hobe M, Meyerowitz EM, Simon R (2000) Dependence of stem cell fate in Arabidopsis on a feedback loop regulated by CLV3 activity. Science 289 (5479):617-619.
- Briggs WH, McMullen MD, Gaut BS, Doebley J (2007) Linkage mapping of domestication loci in a large maize teosinte backcross resource. Genetics 177 (3):1915-1928.
- Brunner S, Fengler K, Morgante M, Tingey S, Rafalski A (2005) Evolution of DNA sequence nonhomologies among maize inbreds. The Plant cell 17 (2):343-360.
- Chen ZL, Li W, Gaines C, Buck A, Galli M, Gallavotti A (2021) Structural variation at the maize WUSCHEL1 locus alters stem cell organization in inflorescences. Nat Commun 12 (1).
- Chuck G, Cigan AM, Saeteurn K, Hake S (2007a) The heterochronic maize mutant Corngrass1 results from overexpression of a tandem microRNA. Nat Genet 39 (4):544-549.
- Chuck G, Meeley R, Irish E, Sakai H, Hake S (2007b) The maize tasselseed4 microRNA controls sex determination and meristem cell fate by targeting Tasselseed6/indeterminate spikelet1. Nature Genetics 39 (12):1517-1521.
- Chuck G, Meeley RB, Hake S (1998) The control of maize spikelet meristem fate by the APETALA2-like gene indeterminate spikelet1. Gene Dev 12 (8):1145-1154.
- Chuck G, Whipple C, Jackson D, Hake S (2010) The maize SBP-box transcription factor encoded by tasselsheath4 regulates bract development and the establishment of meristem boundaries. Development 137 (8):1243-1250.
- Chuck GS, Brown PJ, Meeley R, Hake S (2014) Maize SBP-box transcription factors unbranched2 and unbranched3 affect yield traits by regulating the rate of lateral primordia initiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111 (52):18775-18780.
- Clark RM, Wagler TN, Quijada P, Doebley J (2006) A distant upstream enhancer at the maize domestication gene tb1 has pleiotropic effects on plant and inflorescent architecture. Nature Genetics 38 (5):594-597.
- Clark SE, Running MP, Meyerowitz EM (1993) CLAVATA1, a regulator of meristem and flower development in Arabidopsis. Development 119 (2):397-418.
- Clark SE, Running MP, Meyerowitz EM (1995) Clavata3 Is a Specific Regulator of Shoot and Floral Meristem Development Affecting the Same Processes as Clavata1. Development 121 (7):2057-2067.
- Clark SE, Williams RW, Meyerowitz EM (1997) The CLAVATA1 gene encodes a putative receptor kinase that controls shoot and floral meristem size in Arabidopsis. Cell 89 (4):575-585.
- Daum G, Medzihradszky A, Suzaki T, Lohmann JU (2014) A mechanistic framework for noncell autonomous stem cell induction in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111 (40):14619-14624.
- Dellaporta SL, Calderon-Urrea A (1994) The sex determination process in maize. Science 266 (5190):1501-1505.
- DeLong A, Calderon-Urrea A, Dellaporta SL (1993) Sex determination gene TASSELSEED2 of maize encodes a short-chain alcohol dehydrogenase required for stage-specific floral organ abortion. Cell 74 (4):757-768.
- Doebley J (2004) The genetics of maize evolution. Annu Rev Genet 38:37-59.
- Doebley J, Stec A (1991) Genetic analysis of the morphological differences between maize and teosinte. Genetics 129 (1):285-295.
- Doebley J, Stec A (1993) Inheritance of the Morphological Differences between Maize and Teosinte Comparison of Results for 2 F2 Populations. Genetics 134 (2):559-570.
- Doebley J, Stec A, Gustus C (1995) teosinte branched1 and the origin of maize: evidence for epistasis and the evolution of dominance. Genetics 141 (1):333-346.

- Doebley J, Stec A, Hubbard L (1997) The evolution of apical dominance in maize. Nature 386 (6624):485-488.
- Dong ZB, Alexander M, Chuck G (2019) Understanding Grass Domestication through Maize Mutants. Trends Genet 35 (2):118-128.
- Dong ZB, Li W, Unger-Wallace E, Yang JL, Vollbrecht E, Chuck G (2017) Ideal crop plant architecture is mediated by tassels replace upper ears1, a BTB/POZ ankyrin repeat gene directly targeted by TEOSINTE BRANCHED1. P Natl Acad Sci USA 114 (41):E8656-E8664.
- Du Y, Liu L, Peng Y, Li M, Li Y, Liu D, Li X, Zhang Z (2020) UNBRANCHED3 Expression and Inflorescence Development is Mediated by UNBRANCHED2 and the Distal Enhancer, KRN4, in Maize. Plos Genet 16 (4):e1008764.
- Du Y, Lunde C, Li Y, Jackson D, Hake S, Zhang Z (2021) Gene duplication at the Fascicled earl locus controls the fate of inflorescence meristem cells in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118 (7).
- Fiers M, Golemiec E, Xu J, van der Geest L, Heidstra R, Stiekema W, Liu CM (2005) The 14-amino acid CLV3, CLE19, and CLE40 peptides trigger consumption of the root meristem in Arabidopsis through a CLAVATA2-dependent pathway. Plant Cell 17 (9):2542-2553.
- Fletcher JC, Brand U, Running MP, Simon R, Meyerowitz EM (1999) Signaling of cell fate decisions by CLAVATA3 in Arabidopsis shoot meristems. Science 283 (5409):1911-1914.
- Fu HH, Zheng ZW, Dooner HK (2002) Recombination rates between adjacent genic and retrotransposon regions in maize vary by 2 orders of magnitude. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 99 (2):1082-1087.
- Gallavotti A, Yang Y, Schmidt RJ, Jackson D (2008) The Relationship between auxin transport and maize branching. Plant Physiol 147 (4):1913-1923.
- Gaudelli NM, Komor AC, Rees HA, Packer MS, Badran AH, Bryson DI, Liu DR (2017) Programmable base editing of A.T to G.C in genomic DNA without DNA cleavage. Nature 551 (7681):464-+.
- Giulini A, Wang J, Jackson D (2004) Control of phyllotaxy by the cytokinin-inducible response regulator homologue ABPHYL1. Nature 430 (7003):1031-1034.
- Goad DM, Zhu C, Kellogg EA (2017) Comprehensive identification and clustering of CLV3/ESR-related (CLE) genes in plants finds groups with potentially shared function. New Phytol 216 (2):605-616.
- Goldshmidt A, Alvarez JP, Bowman JL, Eshed Y (2008) Signals derived from YABBY gene activities in organ primordia regulate growth and partitioning of Arabidopsis shoot apical meristems. Plant Cell 20 (5):1217-1230
- Hanemian M, Barlet X, Sorin C, Yadeta KA, Keller H, Favery B, Simon R, Thomma BP, Hartmann C, Crespi M, Marco Y, Tremousaygue D, Deslandes L (2016) Arabidopsis CLAVATA1 and CLAVATA2 receptors contribute to Ralstonia solanacearum pathogenicity through a miR169-dependent pathway. New Phytol 211 (2):502-515.
- Harlan JR (1992) Crops & man. 2nd edn. American Society of Agronomy : Crop Science Society of America, Madison, Wis., USA
- Hartwig T, Chuck GS, Fujioka S, Klempien A, Weizbauer R, Potluri DPV, Choe S, Johal GS, Schulz B (2011) Brassinosteroid control of sex determination in maize. P Natl Acad Sci USA 108 (49):19814-19819.
- Hazak O, Brandt B, Cattaneo P, Santiago J, Rodriguez-Villalon A, Hothorn M, Hardtke CS (2017) Perception of rootactive CLE peptides requires CORYNE function in the phloem vasculature. Embo Rep.
- Heidstra R, Sabatini S (2014) Plant and animal stem cells: similar yet different. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 15 (5):301-312.
- Jackson D, Hake S (1999) Control of phyllotaxy in maize by the abphyl1 gene. Development 126 (2):315-323.
- Jackson D, Veit B, Hake S (1994) Expression of Maize Knotted1 Related Homeobox Genes in the Shoot Apical Meristem Predicts Patterns of Morphogenesis in the Vegetative Shoot. Development 120 (2):405-413.
- Je BI, Gruel J, Lee YK, Bommert P, Arevalo ED, Eveland AL, Wu Q, Goldshmidt A, Meeley R, Bartlett M, Komatsu M, Sakai H, Jonsson H, Jackson D (2016) Signaling from maize organ primordia via FASCIATED EAR3 regulates stem cell proliferation and yield traits. Nat Genet 48 (7):785-791.
- Je BI, Xu F, Wu Q, Liu L, Meeley R, Gallagher JP, Corcilius L, Payne RJ, Bartlett ME, Jackson D (2018) The CLAVATA receptor FASCIATED EAR2 responds to distinct CLE peptides by signaling through two downstream effectors. Elife 7.
- Jeong S, Trotochaud AE, Clark SE (1999) The Arabidopsis CLAVATA2 gene encodes a receptor-like protein required for the stability of the CLAVATA1 receptor-like kinase. Plant Cell 11 (10):1925-1934.
- Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E (2012) A Programmable Dual-RNA-Guided DNA Endonuclease in Adaptive Bacterial Immunity. Science 337 (6096):816-821.

- Kayes JM, Clark SE (1998) CLAVATA2, a regulator of meristem and organ development in Arabidopsis. Development 125 (19):3843-3851.
- Kerstetter RA, Laudencia-Chingcuanco D, Smith LG, Hake S (1997) Loss-of-function mutations in the maize homeobox gene, knotted1, are defective in shoot meristem maintenance. Development 124 (16):3045-3054.
- Kim JH, Kende H (2004) A transcriptional coactivator, AtGIF1, is involved in regulating leaf growth and morphology in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101 (36):13374-13379.
- Kim JY, Rim Y, Wang J, Jackson D (2005) A novel cell-to-cell trafficking assay indicates that the KNOX homeodomain is necessary and sufficient for intercellular protein and mRNA trafficking. Genes Dev 19 (7):788-793.
- Kitagawa M, Jackson D (2019) Control of Meristem Size. Annu Rev Plant Biol 70:269-291.
- Komor AC, Kim YB, Packer MS, Zuris JA, Liu DR (2016) Programmable editing of a target base in genomic DNA without double-stranded DNA cleavage. Nature 533 (7603):420-+.
- Kurihara Y, Watanabe Y (2004) Arabidopsis micro-RNA biogenesis through Dicer-like 1 protein functions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101 (34):12753-12758.
- Lee KH, kwon DH, Song JT, Seo HS (2020) Production mechanisms, structural features and post-translational modifications of plant peptides. Journal of Plant Biology 63:259-265.
- Leiboff S, DeAllie CK, Scanlon MJ (2016) Modeling the Morphometric Evolution of the Maize Shoot Apical Meristem. Front Plant Sci 7:1651.
- Leiboff S, Li X, Hu HC, Todt N, Yang J, Li X, Yu X, Muehlbauer GJ, Timmermans MC, Yu J, Schnable PS, Scanlon MJ (2015) Genetic control of morphometric diversity in the maize shoot apical meristem. Nat Commun 6:8974.
- Lin Z, Li X, Shannon LM, Yeh CT, Wang ML, Bai G, Peng Z, Li J, Trick HN, Clemente TE, Doebley J, Schnable PS, Tuinstra MR, Tesso TT, White F, Yu J (2012) Parallel domestication of the Shattering1 genes in cereals. Nat Genet 44 (6):720-724.
- Liu L, Gallagher J, Arevalo ED, Chen R, Skopelitis T, Wu Q, Bartlett M, Jackson D (2021a) Enhancing grain-yield-related traits by CRISPR-Cas9 promoter editing of maize CLE genes. Nat Plants 7 (3):287-294.
- Liu L, Lindsay PL, Jackson D (2021b) Next Generation Cereal Crop Yield Enhancement: From Knowledge of Inflorescence Development to Practical Engineering by Genome Editing. Int J Mol Sci 22 (10).
- Lucas WJ, Bouche-Pillon S, Jackson DP, Nguyen L, Baker L, Ding B, Hake S (1995) Selective trafficking of KNOTTED1 homeodomain protein and its mRNA through plasmodesmata. Science 270 (5244):1980-1983.
- Lunde C, Kimberlin A, Leiboff S, Koo AJ, Hake S (2019) Tasselseed5 overexpresses a wound-inducible enzyme, ZmCYP94B1, that affects jasmonate catabolism, sex determination, and plant architecture in maize. Commun Biol 2.
- Malcomber ST, Kellogg EA (2006) Evolution of unisexual flowers in grasses (Poaceae) and the putative sex-determination gene, TASSELSEED2 (TS2). New Phytol 170 (4):885-899.
- Mc CB (1950) The origin and behavior of mutable loci in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 36 (6):344-355.
- Meng L, Feldman LJ (2010) CLE14/CLE20 peptides may interact with CLAVATA2/CORYNE receptor-like kinases to irreversibly inhibit cell division in the root meristem of Arabidopsis. Planta 232 (5):1061-1074.
- Morrison SJ, Spradling AC (2008) Stem cells and niches: mechanisms that promote stem cell maintenance throughout life. Cell 132 (4):598-611.
- Muller R, Bleckmann A, Simon R (2008) The receptor kinase CORYNE of Arabidopsis transmits the stem cell-limiting signal CLAVATA3 independently of CLAVATA1. Plant Cell 20 (4):934-946.
- Nardmann J, Chandler JW, Werr W (2016) Stem Cell Fate versus Differentiation: the Missing Link. Trends Plant Sci 21 (9):725-727.
- Nardmann J, Werr W (2006) The shoot stem cell niche in angiosperms: Expression patterns of WUS orthologues in rice and maize imply major modifications in the course of mono- and dicot evolution. Molecular Biology and Evolution 23 (12):2492-2504.
- Parkinson SE, Gross SM, Hollick JB (2007) Maize sex determination and abaxial leaf fates are canalized by a factor that maintains repressed epigenetic states. Dev Biol 308 (2):462-473.
- Pautler M, Eveland AL, LaRue T, Yang F, Weeks R, Lunde C, Je BI, Meeley R, Komatsu M, Vollbrecht E, Sakai H, Jackson D (2015) FASCIATED EAR4 Encodes a bZIP Transcription Factor That Regulates Shoot Meristem Size in Maize. Plant Cell.
- Piperno DR, Ranere AJ, Holst I, Iriarte J, Dickau R (2009) Starch grain and phytolith evidence for early ninth millennium B.P. maize from the Central Balsas River Valley, Mexico. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106 (13):5019-5024.

- Replogle A, Wang J, Bleckmann A, Hussey RS, Baum TJ, Sawa S, Davis EL, Wang X, Simon R, Mitchum MG (2011) Nematode CLE signaling in Arabidopsis requires CLAVATA2 and CORYNE. Plant J 65 (3):430-440.
- Rodriguez-Leal D, Lemmon ZH, Man J, Bartlett ME, Lippman ZB (2017) Engineering Quantitative Trait Variation for Crop Improvement by Genome Editing. Cell 171 (2):470-480 e478.
- Rodriguez-Leal D, Xu C, Kwon CT, Soyars C, Demesa-Arevalo E, Man J, Liu L, Lemmon ZH, Jones DS, Van Eck J, Jackson DP, Bartlett ME, Nimchuk ZL, Lippman ZB (2019) Evolution of buffering in a genetic circuit controlling plant stem cell proliferation. Nature Genetics 51 (5):786-+.
- Satoh-Nagasawa N, Nagasawa N, Malcomber S, Sakai H, Jackson D (2006) A trehalose metabolic enzyme controls inflorescence architecture in maize. Nature 441 (7090):227-230.
- Schnable PS, Ware D, Fulton RS, Stein JC, Wei F, Pasternak S, Liang C, Zhang J, Fulton L, Graves TA, Minx P, Reily AD, Courtney L, Kruchowski SS, Tomlinson C, Strong C, Delehaunty K, Fronick C, Courtney B, Rock SM, Belter E, Du F, Kim K, Abbott RM, Cotton M, Levy A, Marchetto P, Ochoa K, Jackson SM, Gillam B, Chen W, Yan L, Higginbotham J, Cardenas M, Waligorski J, Applebaum E, Phelps L, Falcone J, Kanchi K, Thane T, Scimone A, Thane N, Henke J, Wang T, Ruppert J, Shah N, Rotter K, Hodges J, Ingenthron E, Cordes M, Kohlberg S, Sgro J, Delgado B, Mead K, Chinwalla A, Leonard S, Crouse K, Collura K, Kudrna D, Currie J, He R, Angelova A, Rajasekar S, Mueller T, Lomeli R, Scara G, Ko A, Delaney K, Wissotski M, Lopez G, Campos D, Braidotti M, Ashley E, Golser W, Kim H, Lee S, Lin J, Dujmic Z, Kim W, Talag J, Zuccolo A, Fan C, Sebastian A, Kramer M, Spiegel L, Nascimento L, Zutavern T, Miller B, Ambroise C, Muller S, Spooner W, Narechania A, Ren L, Wei S, Kumari S, Faga B, Levy MJ, McMahan L, Van Buren P, Vaughn MW, Ying K, Yeh CT, Emrich SJ, Jia Y, Kalyanaraman A, Hsia AP, Barbazuk WB, Baucom RS, Brutnell TP, Carpita NC, Chaparro C, Chia JM, Deragon JM, Estill JC, Fu Y, Jeddeloh JA, Han Y, Lee H, Li P, Lisch DR, Liu S, Liu Z, Nagel DH, McCann MC, SanMiguel P, Myers AM, Nettleton D, Nguyen J, Penning BW, Ponnala L, Schneider KL, Schwartz DC, Sharma A, Soderlund C, Springer NM, Sun Q, Wang H, Waterman M, Westerman R, Wolfgruber TK, Yang L, Yu Y, Zhang L, Zhou S, Zhu Q, Bennetzen JL, Dawe RK, Jiang J, Jiang N, Presting GG, Wessler SR, Aluru S, Martienssen RA, Clifton SW, McCombie WR, Wing RA, Wilson RK (2009) The B73 maize genome: complexity, diversity, and dynamics. Science 326 (5956):1112-1115.
- Schoof H, Lenhard M, Haecker A, Mayer KFX, Jurgens G, Laux T (2000) The stem cell population of Arabidopsis shoot meristems is maintained by a regulatory loop between the CLAVATA and WUSCHEL genes. Cell 100 (6):635-644.
- Shelake RM, Pramanik D, Kim JY (2019) Evolution of plant mutagenesis tools: a shifting paradigm from random to targeted genome editing. Plant Biotechnol Rep 13 (5):423-445.
- Shinohara H, Matsubayashi Y (2015) Reevaluation of the CLV3-receptor interaction in the shoot apical meristem: dissection of the CLV3 signaling pathway from a direct ligand-binding point of view. Plant J 82 (2):328-336.
- Somssich M, Je BI, Simon R, Jackson D (2016) CLAVATA-WUSCHEL signaling in the shoot meristem. Development 143 (18):3238-3248.
- Song S, Yun YB, Lee MM (2020) SHOOT MERISTEMLESS is Required for the Proper Internode Patterning and the Sepal Separation in Arabidopsis. Journal of Plant Biology 63:33-42.
- Stahl Y, Simon R (2010) Plant primary meristems: shared functions and regulatory mechanisms. Curr Opin Plant Biol 13 (1):53-58.
- Stitzer MC, Ross-Ibarra J (2018) Maize domestication and gene interaction. New Phytol 220 (2):395-408.
- Strable J, Scanlon MJ (2016) Meristems take their cues from organ primordia. Nature Genetics 48 (7):704-705.
- Strable J, Wallace JG, Unger-Wallace E, Briggs S, Bradbury PJ, Buckler ES, Vollbrecht E (2017) Maize YABBY Genes drooping leaf1 and drooping leaf2 Regulate Plant Architecture. Plant Cell 29 (7):1622-1641.
- Studer A, Zhao Q, Ross-Ibarra J, Doebley J (2011) Identification of a functional transposon insertion in the maize domestication gene tb1. Nat Genet 43 (11):1160-1163.
- Studer AJ, Wang H, Doebley JF (2017) Selection During Maize Domestication Targeted a Gene Network Controlling Plant and Inflorescence Architecture. Genetics 207 (2):755-765.
- Taguchi-Shiobara F, Yuan Z, Hake S, Jackson D (2001) The fasciated ear2 gene encodes a leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein that regulates shoot meristem proliferation in maize. Gene Dev 15 (20):2755-2766.
- Tanaka W, Pautler M, Jackson D, Hirano HY (2013) Grass meristems II: inflorescence architecture, flower development and meristem fate. Plant Cell Physiol 54 (3):313-324.
- Thompson BE, Basham C, Hammond R, Ding Q, Kakrana A, Lee TF, Simon SA, Meeley R, Meyers BC, Hake S (2014) The dicer-like1 homolog fuzzy tassel is required for the regulation of meristem determinacy in the inflorescence and vegetative growth in maize. Plant Cell 26 (12):4702-4717.

- Till BJ, Reynolds SH, Weil C, Springer N, Burtner C, Young K, Bowers E, Codomo CA, Enns LC, Odden AR, Greene EA, Comai L, Henikoff S (2004) Discovery of induced point mutations in maize genes by TILLING. BMC Plant Biol 4:12.
- Urano D, Jackson D, Jones AM (2015) A G protein alpha null mutation confers prolificacy potential in maize. J Exp Bot 66 (15):4511-4515.
- van Heerwaarden J, Doebley J, Briggs WH, Glaubitz JC, Goodman MM, de Jesus Sanchez Gonzalez J, Ross-Ibarra J (2011) Genetic signals of origin, spread, and introgression in a large sample of maize landraces. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108 (3):1088-1092.
- Vollbrecht E, Reiser L, Hake S (2000) Shoot meristem size is dependent on inbred background and presence of the maize homeobox gene, knotted1. Development 127 (14):3161-3172.
- Vollbrecht E, Springer PS, Goh L, Buckler ESt, Martienssen R (2005) Architecture of floral branch systems in maize and related grasses. Nature 436 (7054):1119-1126.
- Wang F, Yuan ZJ, Zhao ZW, Li CX, Zhang X, Liang HF, Liu YW, Xu Q, Liu HT (2020) Tasselseed5 encodes a cytochrome C oxidase that functions in sex determination by affecting jasmonate catabolism in maize. J Integr Plant Biol 62 (2):247-255.
- Wang H, Nussbaum-Wagler T, Li BL, Zhao Q, Vigouroux Y, Faller M, Bomblies K, Lukens L, Doebley JF (2005) The origin of the naked grains of maize. Nature 436 (7051):714-719.
- Wang H, Studer AJ, Zhao Q, Meeley R, Doebley JF (2015) Evidence That the Origin of Naked Kernels During Maize Domestication Was Caused by a Single Amino Acid Substitution in tga1. Genetics 200 (3):965-+.
- Wang RL, Stec A, Hey J, Lukens L, Doebley J (1999) The limits of selection during maize domestication. Nature 398 (6724):236-239.
- Weber AL, Briggs WH, Rucker J, Baltazar BM, de Jesus Sanchez-Gonzalez J, Feng P, Buckler ES, Doebley J (2008) The genetic architecture of complex traits in teosinte (Zea mays ssp. parviglumis): new evidence from association mapping. Genetics 180 (2):1221-1232.
- Whipple CJ, Kebrom TH, Weber AL, Yang F, Hall D, Meeley R, Schmidt R, Doebley J, Brutnell TP, Jackson DP (2011) grassy tillers1 promotes apical dominance in maize and responds to shade signals in the grasses. P Natl Acad Sci USA 108 (33):E506-E512.
- Wills DM, Whipple CJ, Takuno S, Kursel LE, Shannon LM, Ross-Ibarra J, Doebley JF (2013) From Many, One: Genetic Control of Prolificacy during Maize Domestication. Plos Genet 9 (6).
- Wu G, Poethig RS (2006) Temporal regulation of shoot development in Arabidopsis thaliana by miR156 and its target SPL3. Development 133 (18):3539-3547.
- Wu Q, Regan M, Furukawa H, Jackson D (2018a) Role of heterotrimeric Galpha proteins in maize development and enhancement of agronomic traits. Plos Genet 14 (4):e1007374.
- Wu Q, Xu F, Jackson D (2018b) All together now, a magical mystery tour of the maize shoot meristem. Curr Opin Plant Biol 45 (Pt A):26-35.
- Wu Q, Xu F, Liu L, Char SN, Ding Y, Je BI, Schmelz E, Yang B, Jackson D (2020) The maize heterotrimeric G protein beta subunit controls shoot meristem development and immune responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117 (3):1799-1805.
- Yadav RK, Perales M, Gruel J, Girke T, Jonsson H, Reddy GV (2011) WUSCHEL protein movement mediates stem cell homeostasis in the Arabidopsis shoot apex. Genes Dev 25 (19):2025-2030.
- Yang CJ, Kursel LE, Studer AJ, Bartlett ME, Whipple CJ, Doebley JF (2016) A Gene for Genetic Background in Zea mays: Fine-Mapping enhancer of teosinte branched1.2 to a YABBY Class Transcription Factor. Genetics 204 (4):1573-1585.
- Yang F, Bui HT, Pautler M, Llaca V, Johnston R, Lee BH, Kolbe A, Sakai H, Jackson D (2015) A maize glutaredoxin gene, abphyl2, regulates shoot meristem size and phyllotaxy. Plant Cell 27 (1):121-131.
- Yang N, Xu XW, Wang RR, Peng WL, Cai L, Song JM, Li W, Luo X, Niu L, Wang Y, Jin M, Chen L, Luo J, Deng M, Wang L, Pan Q, Liu F, Jackson D, Yang X, Chen LL, Yan J (2017) Contributions of Zea mays subspecies mexicana haplotypes to modern maize. Nat Commun 8 (1):1874.
- Yu J, Holland JB, McMullen MD, Buckler ES (2008) Genetic design and statistical power of nested association mapping in maize. Genetics 178 (1):539-551.
- Zhang D, Sun W, Singh R, Zheng Y, Cao Z, Li M, Lunde C, Hake S, Zhang Z (2018) GRF-interacting factor Regulates Shoot Architecture and Meristem Determinacy in Maize. Plant Cell 30 (2):360-374.