

# Extremizers for Adjoint Restriction to Pairs of Translated Paraboloids

James Tautges

November 8, 2021

## Abstract

Consider the adjoint restriction inequality associated with the hypersurface  $\{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \tau = |\xi|^2\} \cup \{(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \tau - \tau_0 = |\xi - \xi_0|^2\}$  for any  $(\tau_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$ . We prove that extremizers do not exist for this inequality and fully characterize extremizing sequences in terms of extremizers for paraboloid adjoint restriction inequality.

## 1 Introduction

Fix  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and define

$$\mathcal{E}f(t, x) = \int e^{i(t, x)(|\xi|^2, \xi)} f(\xi) d\xi. \quad (1)$$

It is conjectured that

$$\sup_{f \in L^p} \frac{\|\mathcal{E}f\|_q}{\|f\|_p} = A_p < \infty \quad (2)$$

for  $q > p$  and  $q = \frac{d+2}{d}p'$ . We will call  $p$  and  $q$  for which (2) holds “valid.”

There are many recent results about the class of functions  $f$  for which  $\|\mathcal{E}f\|_q = A_p \|f\|_p$  and the related question of sequences  $\{f_n\}$  such that  $\lim \|\mathcal{E}f_n\|_q / \|f_n\|_p = A_p$ . We will call  $f$  an extremizer for  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\{f_n\}$  an extremizing sequence. Extremizers were shown to exist in the case  $p = 2$  in all dimensions by Shao ([8]) and this was extended to all valid  $p, q$  in the interior of the set of all valid  $p, q$  by Stovall ([9]). Those results also prove that extremizing sequences are precompact modulo the symmetries of  $\mathcal{E}$ , which will be an important tool in this paper.

As for the value of  $A_p$  and the extremizers themselves. Foschi proved that Gaussians are the unique extremizers for  $\mathcal{E}$  for  $p = 2$  and  $d \in \{1, 2\}$ , conjecturing that this was the case for all dimensions ([3]). Christ and Quilodran ([1]) showed that this conjecture is essentially sharp by proving that Gaussians are only critical points for the functional  $f \mapsto \|\mathcal{E}f\|_q / \|f\|_p$  if  $p = 2$ . There are similar results known for restriction to the sphere (e.g. [2], [4], [6]). See Foschi and e Silva’s survey ([5]) for a more comprehensive collection of known results.

This paper will deal with the related operator

$$\mathcal{E}f(t, x) + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g(t, x) := \int e^{i(t, x) \cdot (|\xi|^2, \xi)} f(\xi) d\xi + \int e^{i(t, x) \cdot (|\xi - \xi_0|^2 + \tau_0, \xi)} g(\xi) d\xi$$

## Symmetries and Definitions

We define subgroups  $\mathbf{S} \subset \text{Iso}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $\mathbf{T} \subset \text{Iso}(L^q(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}))$ , which are related by  $\mathcal{E} \circ \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{T} \circ \mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{T}$  be the subgroups generated by the following isometries, which are distinguished by the fact that they generate non-compact subgroups in the larger groups:

	$Sf(\xi)$	$T\mathcal{E}f(t, x)$
Scaling	$\lambda^{d/p} f(\lambda\xi)$	$\lambda^{-(d+2)/q} \mathcal{E}f(\lambda^{-2}t, \lambda^{-1}x)$
Frequency Translation	$f(\xi - \xi')$	$e^{i(t \xi' ^2 + x \cdot \xi')} \mathcal{E}f(t, x + 2t\xi')$
Spacetime Translation	$e^{i(t_0, x_0) \cdot ( \xi ^2, \xi)} f(\xi)$	$\mathcal{E}f(t + t_0, x + x_0)$ .

We can write any symmetry  $S \in \mathbf{S}$  as

$$Sf(\xi) = \lambda^{d/p} e^{i(t_0, x_0) \cdot (|\lambda\xi - \xi'|^2, \lambda\xi - \xi')} f(\lambda\xi - \xi'), \quad (3)$$

and the corresponding  $T \in \mathbf{T}$  as

$$TF(t, x) = \lambda^{-(d+2)/q} e^{i(\lambda^{-2}t|\xi'|^2 + \lambda^{-1}x \cdot \xi')} F(\lambda^{-2}t + t_0, \lambda^{-1}x + x_0 + 2\lambda^{-2}t\xi'), \quad (4)$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}f(t, x) = \int e^{i(t, x) \cdot (|\xi - \xi_0|^2 + \tau_0, \xi)} f(\xi) d\xi$$

be the extension operator associated with the surface  $P_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} = \{(\tau, \xi) : \tau = |\xi - \xi_0|^2 + \tau_0\}$ . Then, although  $\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} \circ \mathbf{S} \neq \mathbf{T} \circ \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}$ , the generators of  $\mathbf{T}$  pass through  $\mathcal{E}_{(\cdot, \cdot)}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-(d+2)/q} \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g(\lambda^{-2}t, \lambda^{-1}x) &= \mathcal{E}_{(\lambda^{-2}\tau_0, \lambda^{-1}\xi_0)}(\lambda^{d/p}g(\lambda\xi))(t, x) \\ e^{i(t|\xi'|^2 + x \cdot \xi')} \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g(t, x + 2t\xi') &= \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0 + 2\xi_0 \cdot \xi', \xi_0)}(g(\xi - \xi'))(t, x) \\ \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g(t + t_0, x + x_0) &= \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}(e^{i(t_0, x_0) \cdot (|\xi - \xi_0|^2 + \tau_0, \xi)} g(\xi))(t, x). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Hence, for every  $T \in \mathbf{T}$  written in the form of (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g(t, x) \\ = \mathcal{E}_{(\lambda^{-2}(\tau_0 + 2\xi_0 \cdot \xi'), \lambda^{-1}\xi_0)}[\lambda^{d/p} e^{i(t_0, x_0) \cdot (|\lambda\xi - \xi' - \xi_0|^2 + \tau_0, \lambda\xi - \xi')} g(\lambda\xi - \xi')](t, x). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

## Main Results

From now on we will assume  $p, q$  are exponents such that  $A_p < \infty$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume  $|\tau_0| + |\xi_0| \neq 0$ .

1.

$$\sup_{f,g \in L^p} \frac{\|\mathcal{E}f + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g\|_q}{(\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p)^{1/p}} = 2^{1/p'} A_p$$

2. For all  $f, g \in L^p$ ,

$$\frac{\|\mathcal{E}f + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g\|_q}{(\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p)^{1/p}} < 2^{1/p'} A_p.$$

In other words,  $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}$  has no extremizers.

3. If the sequence  $(f_n, g_n) \subset L^p \times L^p$  extremizes  $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}$ , then there exists a subsequence in  $n$  along which

$$f_n(\xi) = S_n f(\xi) + r_n(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad g_n(\xi) = S_n f(\xi) + w_n(\xi)$$

such that  $f$  extremizes  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\{S_n\} \subset \mathbf{S}$  written in the form of (3) satisfy

- (a)  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (b)  $\lambda_n^{-2} \xi_0 \cdot \xi'_n \rightarrow 0$ , and
- (c)  $\lambda_n t_n \xi_0 \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\text{and } \|r_n\|_p + \|w_n\|_p \rightarrow 0.$$

The proof is straightforward, essentially consisting of an exercise in distribution theory and geometric considerations related to the separation of the two surfaces.

We prove Theorem 1.1 part 1 by considering a sequence of dilates of an extremizer for  $\mathcal{E}$ . Next, we use uniform convexity to prove that for any extremizing sequence  $\{(f_n, g_n)\}$ ,  $\|\mathcal{E}f_n - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q \rightarrow 0$ . Using the separation and transversality of the paraboloids, we also prove that  $f_n \rightharpoonup 0$  and  $g_n \rightharpoonup 0$  and deduce Theorem 1.1 part 2. Finally, we use the results in [9] to find symmetries  $\{S_n\}$  such that  $S_n f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$ . Theorem 1.1 part 3 follows from a direct computation.

## Acknowledgements

This project was suggested and overseen by Betsy Stovall and supported in part by NSF DMS-1653264. The author would like to thank her for many helpful conversations and invaluable guidance in the writing of this paper.

## 2 Operator Norm

First, we prove boundedness.

**Proposition 2.1.**

$$\|\mathcal{E}f + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g\|_q \leq 2^{1/p'} A_p \left( \|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* Since  $\|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g(t, x)\|_q = \|e^{i(t, x) \cdot (\tau_0, \xi_0)} \mathcal{E}[g(\cdot + \xi_0)](t, x)\|_q \leq A_p \|g\|_p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{E}f + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g\|_q &= \|\mathcal{E}f + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g\|_q \leq \|\mathcal{E}f\|_q + \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g\|_q \\ &\leq A_p (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p) \leq 2^{1/p'} A_p (\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

□

Next, we construct an extremizing sequence for  $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} : L^p \times L^p \rightarrow L^q$  to show that  $2^{1/p'} A_p$  is the sharp constant.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $f \in L^p$  be such that  $\|\mathcal{E}f\|_q = A_p \|f\|_p$  and let  $f_\lambda(\xi) := \lambda^{d/p} f(\lambda\xi)$ . Then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\mathcal{E}f_\lambda + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}f_\lambda\|_q}{2^{1/p} \|f\|_p} = 2^{1/p'} A_p.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^p$  be such that  $\|f\|_p = 1$  and  $\|\mathcal{E}f\|_q = A_p$ . By the scaling properties of  $\mathcal{E}$  we know that  $\|f_\lambda\|_p = \|f\|_p$  and  $\|\mathcal{E}f_\lambda\|_q = \|\mathcal{E}f\|_q$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . The identity

$$\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}f_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{-(d+2)/q} \mathcal{E}_{(\lambda^2\tau_0, \lambda\xi)}f(\lambda^{-2}t, \lambda^{-1}x).$$

applies to the translated paraboloid, so  $\|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}f_\lambda + \mathcal{E}f_\lambda\|_q = \|\mathcal{E}_{(\lambda^2\tau_0, \lambda\xi_0)}f + \mathcal{E}f\|_q$ . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{E}_{(\lambda^2\tau_0, \lambda\xi_0)}f(t, x) = e^{it\lambda^2(|\xi_0|^2 + \tau_0)} \mathcal{E}f(t, x - 2\lambda t\xi_0).$$

By approximating  $\mathcal{E}f$  in  $C_{cpc}^\infty$  and applying dominated convergence, it is clear that  $\|\mathcal{E}_{(\lambda^2\tau_0, \lambda\xi_0)}f - \mathcal{E}f\|_q \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $f$  is an extremizer, this implies that  $\|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}f + \mathcal{E}f\|_q \rightarrow 2A_p$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.1 part 1.* This follows from Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.1. □

### 3 Non-Existence of Extremizers

Now we use the operator norm to understand extremizing sequences. We begin by proving that extremizing sequences of functions must converge weakly to zero.

**Proposition 3.1.** Assume that  $|\tau_0| + |\xi_0| > 0$ . Then for every bounded extremizing sequence  $\{(f_n, g_n)\}$  for  $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}$ ,

1.  $\{f_n\}$  extremizes  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\{g_n\}$  extremizes  $\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}$ ;
2.  $\|f_n\|_p - \|g_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
3.  $\|\mathcal{E}f_n - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; and
4.  $f_n, g_n \rightharpoonup 0$  weakly.

*Proof.* Since the constant in (7) is sharp, extremizing sequences must approach equality for every line of the computation

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{E}f_n + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q &\leq \|\mathcal{E}f_n\|_q + \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q \\ &\leq A_p(\|f_n\|_p + \|g_n\|_p) \leq 2^{1/p'} A_p(\|f_n\|_p^p + \|g_n\|_p^p)^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{(f_n, g_n)\} \subset \ell^p(L^p \times L^p)$  such that  $(\|f_n\|_p^p + \|g_n\|_p^p)^{1/p} = 1$  for all  $n$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{E}f_n + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q = 2^{1/p'} A_p.$$

By the computation in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we must have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathcal{E}f_n + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q}{\|\mathcal{E}f_n\|_q + \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q} = 1; \quad (9)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathcal{E}f_n\|_q + \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q}{A_p(\|f_n\|_p + \|g_n\|_p)} = 1; \quad (10)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_p(\|f_n\|_p + \|g_n\|_p)}{2^{1/p'} A_p(\|f_n\|_p^p + \|g_n\|_p^p)^{1/p}} = 1. \quad (11)$$

By (11) and the sharp Hölder inequality,  $\|f_n\|_p, \|g_n\|_p \rightarrow 2^{-1/p}$  proving claim 2. Combining this with (10) implies claim 1 and, in particular,  $\|\mathcal{E}f_n\|_q, \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q \rightarrow 2^{-1/p} A_p$ . In light of (9), we see that  $\|\mathcal{E}f_n + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q - \|\mathcal{E}f_n\|_q - \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n\|_q \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $L^q$  is uniformly convex ([7, Theorem 2.5]), this proves claim 3.

Turning to claim 4, let  $\psi = \widehat{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}$  and let  $d\sigma(\tau, \xi)$  be the measure on the paraboloid centered at the origin given by the pullback of Lebesgue measure via the projection map  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ . By definition,  $\mathcal{E}f = \widehat{f d\sigma}$  and since  $L^q \subset \mathcal{S}'$ , we may compute

$$\langle \mathcal{E}f_n, \phi \rangle = \langle f_n d\sigma, \widehat{\phi} \rangle = \int f_n(\xi) \psi(|\xi|^2, \xi) d\xi.$$

In the same way, let  $d\sigma'(\tau, \xi)$  be the measure on the translated paraboloid so that

$$\langle \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n, \phi \rangle = \langle g_n d\sigma', \widehat{\phi} \rangle = \int g_n(\xi) \psi(|\xi - \xi_0|^2 + \tau_0, \xi) d\xi.$$

Let  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . As long as  $(|\eta|^2, \eta) \in P$  isn't on the intersection of the two paraboloids, there exists  $r > 0$  sufficiently small that  $B((|\eta|^2, \eta), r)$  is disjoint from  $P_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}$ . Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any smooth function supported on  $B((|\eta|^2, \eta), r)$ . Since  $\psi(|\xi - \xi_0|^2 + \tau_0, \xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\langle \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}g_n, \phi \rangle = 0$  for all  $n$  so we can apply claim 3 to see that

$$\int f_n(\xi) \psi(|\xi|^2, \xi) d\xi \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\psi(|\cdot|^2, \cdot)$  ranges over all smooth functions supported on  $B(\eta, r)$ ,  $f_n \rightharpoonup 0$  weakly on a neighborhood of almost every point. Hence  $f_n \rightharpoonup 0$  weakly on all of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and the statement for  $g_n$  follows similarly. This proves claim 4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1 part 2.* An extremizing pair  $(f, g)$  is a constant extremizing sequence. Therefore non-zero extremizers do not exist.  $\square$

## 4 Characterization of Extremizing Sequences

Let  $\{(f_n, g_n)\} \subset \ell^p(L^p \times L^p)$  be an extremizing sequence for  $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)}$  such that  $\|f_n\|_p^p + \|g_n\|_p^p = 1$  for all  $n$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $\{f_n\}$  is an extremizing sequence for  $\mathcal{E}$  so by [9, Theorem 1.1] there exist  $\{S_n\} \subset \mathbf{S}$  such that  $S_n f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$  along some subsequence where  $f$  is an extremizer for  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\{T_n\} \subset \mathbf{T}_+$  be such that  $T_n \circ \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} \circ S_n$  for all  $n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{1/p'} A_p &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{E} f_n + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g_n\|_q \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n \mathcal{E} f_n + T_n \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g_n\|_q = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{E} f + T_n \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g_n\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\mathcal{E} f\|_q = A_p \|f\|_p$  and  $T_n$  are  $L^q$  symmetries, uniform convexity implies that  $T_n \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E} f$  in  $L^q$ . From here we can deduce the behavior of  $S_n g_n$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\|f\|_p = 1$  and  $\{(\tau_n, \xi_n)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ . If  $\lim \tau_n = \tau_0$  and  $\lim \xi_n = \xi_0$ , then

$$\lim \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} f - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} f\|_q = 0.$$

Conversely, if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{g_n\} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are such that  $\|f\|_p = \|g_n\|_p = 1$  for all  $n$  and

$$\lim \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} f - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} g_n\|_q = 0,$$

then  $\lim \tau_n = \tau_0$  and  $\lim \xi_n = \xi_0$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\lim \tau_n = \tau_0$  and  $\lim \xi_n = \xi_0$ . First, we rearrange the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} f - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} f\|_q \\ &= \left\| \int \left[ e^{i(t, x) \cdot (|\xi - \xi_0|^2 + \tau_0, \xi)} - e^{i(t, x) \cdot (|\xi - \xi_n|^2 + \tau_n, \xi)} \right] f(\xi) d\xi \right\|_q \\ &= \left\| \int \left[ e^{it(-2\xi \cdot \xi_0 + |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_0)} - e^{it(-2\xi \cdot \xi_n + |\xi_n|^2 + \tau_n)} \right] e^{i(t, x) \cdot (|\xi|^2, \xi)} f(\xi) d\xi \right\|_q \\ &= \left\| \int e^{it(-2\xi \cdot \xi_0 + |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_0)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[ 1 - e^{it(-2\xi \cdot (\xi_n - \xi_0) + |\xi_n|^2 - |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_n - \tau_0)} \right] e^{i(t, x) \cdot (|\xi|^2, \xi)} f(\xi) d\xi \right\|_q \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Take  $g \in C_{cpt}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\|f - g\|_p = O(\varepsilon)$ . Let  $R > 0$  be large enough that  $\text{supp } g \subset B(0, R)$  and

$$\left| \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} - \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} g\|_{L^q(B(0, R))} \right| = O(\varepsilon).$$

Then by Minkowski's integral inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} f - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} \\
&= \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} + O(\varepsilon) \\
&= \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} g\|_{L^q(B(0, R))} + O(\varepsilon) \\
&= \left\| \int e^{it(-2\xi \cdot \xi_0 + |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_0)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left[ 1 - e^{it(-2\xi \cdot (\xi_n - \xi_0) + |\xi_n|^2 - |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_n - \tau_0)} \right] e^{i(t, x) \cdot (|\xi|^2, \xi)} g(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^q(B(0, R))} + O(\varepsilon) \\
&\leq \|g\|_1 \sup_{|\xi| < R} \|1 - e^{it(-2\xi \cdot (\xi_n - \xi_0) + |\xi_n|^2 - |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_n - \tau_0)}\|_{L^q(B(0, R))} + O(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since  $\frac{d}{d\theta}(1 - e^{i\theta}) = -i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|\xi| < R} \|1 - e^{it(-2\xi \cdot (\xi_n - \xi_0) + |\xi_n|^2 - |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_n - \tau_0)}\|_{L^q(|(t, x)| < R)} \\
&\lesssim \lim R^{\frac{d+1}{q}} \sup_{|\xi| < R, |(t, x)| < R} |1 - e^{it(-2\xi \cdot (\xi_n - \xi_0) + |\xi_n|^2 - |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_n - \tau_0)}| \\
&\leq \lim R^{\frac{d+1}{q}} \sup |t(-2\xi \cdot (\xi_n - \xi_0) + |\xi_n|^2 - |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_n - \tau_0)| \\
&\leq \lim R^{\frac{d+1}{q}} R(2R|\xi_n - \xi_0| + ||\xi_n|^2 - |\xi_0|^2| + |\tau_n - \tau_0|) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} f - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} = O(\varepsilon)$  and, taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} f - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})} = 0.$$

Conversely, assume  $\lim \tau_n \neq \tau_0$  or  $\lim \xi_n \neq \xi_0$ .

Consider the signed vertical ( $\tau$ ) distance between the two paraboloids,  $h(\xi) := |\xi - \xi_0|^2 - |\xi - \xi_n|^2 + \tau_0 - \tau_n$ . We rearrange to find  $h(\xi) = 2\xi \cdot (\xi_n - \xi_0) + |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_0 - |\xi_n|^2 - \tau_n$ . Let  $A_n = \{\xi : h(\xi) = 0\}$ . We claim that for any fixed  $d$ -ball  $R > 0$ ,

$$\limsup_n \inf_{\{\xi : |\xi| < R, \text{dist}(\xi, A_n) > s\}} |h(\xi)| =: c_{s, R} > 0. \quad (12)$$

Indeed,  $c_{s, R} \geq \limsup_n 2s|\xi_n - \xi_0|$  by differentiating  $h$ . If this quantity is zero, then  $\lim \xi_n = \xi_0$  and therefore  $\lim \tau_n \neq \tau_0$  in this case. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists an  $N > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\{\xi : |\xi| < R\}} ||\xi - \xi_0|^2 - |\xi - \xi_n|^2| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n > N$ , so

$$c_{s, R} \geq \limsup_n \inf_{\{\xi : |\xi| < R\}} |h(\xi)| \geq \limsup_n |\tau_n - \tau_0| - \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\limsup_n |\tau_n - \tau_0| > 0$  in the case we're considering, we can take  $\varepsilon$  small enough to show that  $c_{s,R} > 0$ , proving (12).

We would like to construct a function  $\Psi \in C_{cpct}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  such that after passing to a subsequence in  $n$ ,

1.  $|\langle f d\sigma, \Psi \rangle| > \frac{1}{2}$ , and
2.  $\lim_n \sup_{\xi \in B(0,R)} |\Psi(\xi, |\xi - \xi_n|^2 + \tau_n)| = 0$ .

Let  $\eta \in C_{cpct}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be a non-negative bump function with  $\text{supp } \eta \subset B(0,1)$  and  $\eta|_{B(0,1/2)} \equiv 1$ . Also let  $\Phi \in C_{cpct}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that  $\|\Phi\|_{p'} = 1$  and  $|\langle f, \Phi \rangle| > \frac{3}{4}$ , and take  $R > 0$  so that  $\text{supp } \Phi \subset B(0, R)$ . Note that, since the zero set of  $h$  is a  $(d-1)$ -hyperplane,

$$|\{\xi : \text{dist}(\xi, A_n) < s\} \cap B(0, R)| \leq c_{d-1} R^{d-1} s$$

where  $c_{d-1}$  is a dimensional constant. Therefore, there exists an  $s_0 > 0$  such that

$$\|\Phi - \left[1 - \eta\left(\frac{\text{dist}(\xi, A_n)}{2s_0}\right)\right] \Phi\|_{p'} < \frac{1}{4}$$

and hence

$$|\langle f, \left[1 - \eta\left(\frac{\text{dist}(\xi, A_n)}{2s_0}\right)\right] \Phi \rangle| > \frac{1}{2}$$

for all  $n$ . Now let

$$\Psi_n(\tau, \xi) := \eta\left(3 \frac{\tau - \tau_0 - |\xi - \xi_0|^2}{c_{s_0, R}}\right) \left[1 - \eta\left(\frac{\text{dist}(\xi, A_n)}{2s_0}\right)\right] \Phi(\xi).$$

By (12),  $\Psi_n(|\xi - \xi_n|^2 + \tau_n, \xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in B(0, R)$ . In addition,  $|\langle f d\sigma, \Psi_n \rangle| > \frac{1}{2}$  by construction.

Since the space of all  $(d-1)$ -planes intersecting the  $d$ -ball  $\overline{B(0, R + 10s_0)}$  is compact, either  $A_n \cap \overline{B(0, R + 10s_0)} = \emptyset$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , or there exists a hyperplane  $A$  such that for a subsequence,  $A_n \rightarrow A$  in the sense that

$$\lim_n \sup_{\substack{\xi \in A \cap \overline{B(0, R + 10s_0)}, \\ \zeta \in A_n \cap \overline{B(0, R + 10s_0)}}} |\xi - \zeta| = 0.$$

In the first case, let

$$\Psi(\tau, \xi) := \eta\left(3 \frac{\tau - \tau_0 - |\xi - \xi_0|^2}{c_{s_0, R}}\right) \Phi(\xi),$$

and in the second, pass to the subsequence mentioned above and let

$$\Psi(\tau, \xi) := \eta\left(3 \frac{\tau - \tau_0 - |\xi - \xi_0|^2}{c_{s_0, R}}\right) \left[1 - \eta\left(\frac{\text{dist}(\xi, A)}{2s_0}\right)\right] \Phi(\xi).$$



In either case, we see that  $\Psi_n \rightarrow \Psi$  in  $C_{cpct}^\infty$ . Condition 1 holds since  $fd\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  and hence  $\langle fd\sigma, \Psi_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle fd\sigma, \Psi \rangle$ . Condition 2 holds by the triangle inequality and the fact that it's satisfied for each  $\Psi_n$  individually.

By Plancherel and condition 1,  $|\langle \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} f, \widehat{\Psi} \rangle| > \frac{1}{2}$ . On the other hand, by condition 2,  $\lim_n |\langle \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} g_n, \widehat{\Psi} \rangle| = 0$ . Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} f - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_n, \xi_n)} g_n\|_q \geq \frac{1}{2\|\widehat{\Psi}\|_{q'}} \neq 0,$$

which is a contradiction and proves the proposition.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1 part 3.* By Proposition 3.1,

$$\|\mathcal{E}f_n\|_q, \|\mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g_n\|_q \rightarrow A_p \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{E}f_n + \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g_n\|_q \rightarrow 2A_p,$$

so uniform convexity implies that  $\|\mathcal{E}f_n - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g_n\|_q \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $\phi \in C_{cpct}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Set

$$L = \sup_{\xi \in \text{supp } \phi} |\xi - \xi_0|^2 + \tau_0 - |\xi|^2$$

and let  $\eta \in C_{cpct}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $\eta|_{B(0, 2L)} \equiv 1$ . Now let  $\psi(\tau, \xi) = \phi(\xi)\eta(\tau - |\xi|^2)$ .

By construction of  $\eta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle f_n - g_n, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}| &= \lim_n |\langle f_n d\sigma - g_n d\sigma', \psi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})}| \\ &= \lim_n |\langle \mathcal{E}f_n - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g_n, \widehat{\psi} \rangle| \leq \lim_n \|\mathcal{E}f_n - \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g_n\|_q \|\widehat{\psi}\|_{q'} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $f_n - g_n \rightarrow 0$ . Indeed, since  $\|f_n\|_p - \|g_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$  by Proposition 3.1, uniform convexity implies that the convergence is strong,  $\|f_n - g_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $f_n$  is an extremizing sequence, by [9, Theorem 1.1] there exists a subsequence in  $n$ ,  $\{S_n\} \subset \mathbf{S}$ , and an extremizer  $f \in L^p$  such that  $S_n f_n \rightarrow f$  so we have  $\|f - S_n g_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$  along this subsequence as well.

Recall that the symmetries  $T_n \mathcal{E}_{(\tau_0, \xi_0)} g_n$  can be expressed in the form of (6) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}_{(\lambda_n^{-2}(\tau_0 + 2\xi_0 \cdot \xi'_n), \lambda_n^{-1} \xi_0)} \lambda_n^{d/p} e^{i(t_n, x_n) \cdot (\lambda_n \xi - \xi'_n - \xi_0)^2 + \tau_0, \lambda_n \xi - \xi'_n} g_n(\lambda_n \xi - \xi'_n)(t, x) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{(\lambda_n^{-2}(\tau_0 + 2\xi_0 \cdot \xi'_n), \lambda_n^{-1} \xi_0)} (e^{it_n(-2\lambda_n \xi \cdot \xi_0 + 2\xi_0 \cdot \xi'_n + |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_0)} S_n g_n)(t, x) \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $(t_n, x_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\xi'_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Proposition 4.1 immediately implies that  $(\lambda_n^{-2}(\tau_0 + 2\xi_0 \cdot \xi'_n), \lambda_n^{-1} \xi_0) \rightarrow 0$ , which also implies  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\lambda_n^{-2} \xi_0 \cdot \xi'_n \rightarrow 0$  since we assumed  $|\tau_0| + |\xi_0| \neq 0$ . Since  $S_n g_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p$ , by the triangle inequality and the forward part of Proposition 4.1,

$$\left\| \mathcal{E}f - \mathcal{E}(e^{it_n(-2\lambda_n \xi \cdot \xi_0 + 2\xi_0 \cdot \xi'_n + |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_0)} f)(t, x) \right\|_q \rightarrow 0$$

as well. Since  $\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  is compact, we may pass to a subsequence along which  $\theta := \lim t_n(2\xi_0 \cdot \xi'_n + |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_0)/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  exists. By rearranging, applying the boundedness of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and invoking dominated convergence,

$$\left\| \mathcal{E} e^{i\theta} f(t, x - 2\lambda_n t_n \xi_0) - \mathcal{E}(e^{it_n(-2\lambda_n \xi \cdot \xi_0 + 2\xi_0 \cdot \xi'_n + |\xi_0|^2 + \tau_0)} f) \right\|_q \rightarrow 0.$$

The two preceding limits imply that

$$\left\| \mathcal{E} e^{i\theta} f(t, x - 2\lambda_n t_n \xi_0) - \mathcal{E} f \right\|_q \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence the sequence  $\{\lambda_n t_n\}$  must be bounded and there exists a subsequence along which  $c := \lim \lambda_n t_n$  exists. Since  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\lim t_n = 0$ . As translation is continuous in  $L^q$ ,

$$\left\| \mathcal{E} f - \mathcal{E} e^{i\theta} f(t, x - 2c\xi_0) \right\|_q = 0.$$

From this it is clear that  $c = 0$  as  $|\mathcal{E} f(t, x)| = |\mathcal{E} f(t, x - 2c\xi_0)|$  would imply that  $\mathcal{E} f \equiv 0$  since  $\mathcal{E} f \in L^q$ . We now also see that  $e^{i\theta} = 1$  by strict convexity.

This proves the claim.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Christ and R. Quilodr  n, *Gaussians rarely extremize adjoint Fourier restriction inequalities for paraboloids*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2013), no. 3, 887–896.
- [2] M. Christ and S. Shao, *Existence of extremals for a Fourier restriction inequality*, Anal. PDE. **2** (2012), 261–312.
- [3] D. Foschi, *Maximizers for the Strichartz inequality*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **9** (2007), no. 4, 739–774.
- [4] ———, *Global maximizers for the sphere adjoint Fourier restriction inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. **268** (2015), no. 3, 690–702.
- [5] D. Foschi and D. Oliveira e Silva, *Some recent progress on sharp Fourier restriction theory*, Analysis Mathematica **43** (2017), no. 2, 241–265.
- [6] R. Frank, E. H. Lieb, and J. Sabin, *Maximizers for the Stein-Tomas inequality*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **26** (2016), no. 4, 1095–1134.
- [7] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [8] S. Shao, *Maximizers for the Strichartz inequalities and the Sobolev-Strichartz inequalities for the Schr  dinger equation*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **3** (2009), 13.
- [9] B. Stovall, *Extremizability of Fourier restriction to the paraboloid*, Preprint, available at [arXiv:1804.03605](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.03605).