

Assessing the Reliability of Species Distribution Models in the Face of Climate and Ecosystem Regime Shifts: Small Pelagic Fishes in the **California Current System**

- Rebecca G. Asch^{1,2*}, Joanna Sobolewska¹, Keo Chan¹ 1
- 2 ¹Program in Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA
- 3 ² Department of Biology, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, USA
- 4 * Correspondence:
- Rebecca G. Asch 5
- aschr16@ecu.edu 6
- Number of words: 11,999 7
- 8 Figures: 8
- 9 Tables: 4
- 10 Running title: Assessing Species Distribution Model Reliability
- 11 **Keywords:** Species distribution models, small pelagic fish, forage fish, climate change projections,
- 12 non-stationarity, California Current
- 13 **Abstract**
- 14 Species distribution models (SDMs) are a commonly used tool, which when combined with earth
- system models (ESMs), can project changes in organismal occurrence, abundance, and phenology 15
- under climate change. An often untested assumption of SDMs is that relationships between 16
- organisms and the environment are stationary. To evaluate this assumption, we examined whether 17
- 18 patterns of distribution among larvae of four small pelagic fishes (Pacific sardine Sardinops sagax,
- 19 northern anchovy Engraulis mordax, jack mackerel Trachurus symmetricus, chub mackerel Scomber
- *japonicus*) in the California Current remained steady across time periods defined by climate regimes. 20
- 21 changes in secondary productivity, and breakpoints in time series of spawning stock biomass (SSB).
- 22 Generalized additive models (GAMs) were constructed separately for each period using temperature,
- salinity, dissolved oxygen (DO), and mesozooplankton volume as predictors of larval occurrence. 23
- 24 We assessed non-stationarity based on changes in six metrics: 1) variables included in SDMs; 2)
- 25 whether a variable exhibited a linear or non-linear form; 3) rank order of deviance explained by
- variables; 4) response curve shape; 5) degree of responsiveness of fishes to a variable; 6) range of 26
- 27 environmental variables associated with maximum larval occurrence. Across all species and time
- 28 periods, non-stationarity was ubiquitous, affecting at least one of the six indicators. Rank order of
- environmental variables, response curve shape, and oceanic conditions associated with peak larval 29
- 30 occurrence were the indicators most subject to change. Non-stationarity was most common among
- 31 regimes defined by changes in fish SSB. The relationships between larvae and DO were somewhat
- 32 more likely to change across periods, whereas the relationships between fishes and temperature were
- 33 more stable. Respectively, S. sagax, T. symmetricus, S. japonicus, and E. mordax exhibited non-

- stationarity across 89%, 67%, 50%, and 50% of indicators. For all species except E. mordax, inter-
- model variability had a larger impact on projected habitat suitability for larval fishes than differences
- between two climate change scenarios (SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5), implying that subtle differences in
- 37 model formulation could have amplified future effects. These results suggest that the widespread
- 38 non-stationarity in how fishes utilize their environment could hamper our ability to reliably project
- 39 how species will respond to climatic change.

Contributions to the Field

- 41 Species distribution models are a primary tool to project where and when organisms will occur as
- 42 climate changes. These models contain a fundamental assumption that conditions that provide
- optimal habitat for marine organisms today will provide optimal habitat in the future. When
- relationships between fishes and environmental conditions change, this is referred to as a non-
- stationary relationship. Detecting non-stationary relationships can be difficult because this often
- 46 requires tracking how fishes respond to conditions over several decades. Most time series of marine
- organisms are not long enough to do this. We used 65 years of data on four fish species to
- 48 investigate whether their relationships with temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and zooplankton
- 49 were stable. We found widespread non-stationarity across three types of ocean ecosystem changes
- and six evaluation metrics. Fishes had more consistent relationships with temperature than other
- variables and less consistent relationships with dissolved oxygen. The importance of non-stationarity
- was amplified when making projections about future climate change impacts. Knowledge about non-
- 53 stationarity is important because species distribution models are increasingly used in marine resource
- 54 management to determine how to adapt management to climate change. Non-stationary fish-
- environment relationships could affect the accuracy of such projections used in fisheries
- 56 management.

1 Introduction

- Marine fishes in many ecosystems have shifted their distribution poleward and deeper as climate
- change has warmed the oceans (Murawski, 1993; Perry et al., 2005; Nye et al., 2009; Hsieh et al.,
- 2008, 2009; Pinsky et al., 2013; Poloczanska et al., 2013; Walsh et al., 2015). Many of these changes
- are occurring at a rate faster than in terrestrial habitats (Poloczanska et al., 2013; Sunday et al., 2012;
- Blowes et al., 2019; Pinsky et al., 2019). Climate velocity, a measure of the rate of temperature
- change across spatial gradients, has proven to be an accurate predictor of the magnitude and direction
- of shifts in species distributions in many ecosystems (Chen et al., 2011; Pinsky et al., 2013), although
- other aspects of a species' ecological niche also influence distribution changes (McHenry et al.,
- 66 2019). Throughout the 21st century, climate models project that changes in species distribution will
- continue unabated or further accelerate (Cheung et al., 2009, 2016b; Morley et al. 2018). Shifts in
- 68 fish distribution have implications for trophic interactions (Selden et al., 2018), global biodiversity
- 69 patterns (Cheung et al., 2009), and food security (Golden et al., 2016; Free et al., 2019).
- Many projections of changes in fish distribution, biomass, and phenology under climate change are
- based on statistical models referred to as species distribution models (SDMs), ecological niche
- models, or bioclimate envelope models. These models link spatial and temporal variations in
- organismal occurrence with environmental variables (Elith and Leathwick, 2009). Based on these
- empirical relationships, changes in environmental conditions derived from climate models are used to
- 75 project future shifts in species occurrence or abundance. Due to the growing importance of climate
- change, there has been a rise in studies using SDMs and aligned models over the last 20 years (Fig.
- 77 1).
- A key assumption of SDMs is that the relationship between organisms and environmental conditions
- 79 is stationary and not subject to changes due to variations in organismal abundance, climate, or
- 80 ecosystem state. Since statistically derived relationship between a species and the environment form
- 81 the basis for SDM projections, non-stationarity in this relationship could result in inaccurate
- 82 projections of climate change impacts. Assumptions about stationarity in relationships between
- fishes and climatic variables have rarely been investigated (Litzow et al., 2019), but it is imperative
- 84 to do so to assess the uncertainty associated with projections about how marine conservation
- 85 initiatives will fare under climate change. Among planktonic organisms, such as dinoflagellates,
- diatoms, and copepods, SDMs developed using data from one decade failed to accurately project in
- species distribution during other decades (Brun et al., 2016). This reflects the patchy distribution of
- plankters, boom-bust cycles in abundance, and the potential for advection of plankton by currents
- 89 outside their preferred habitat. Since projections made for copepods had greater model skill than
- 90 those for primary producers, SDMs may have improved predictability for higher trophic level
- organisms, such as fishes. Nonetheless, recent work suggests that non-stationarity might be a
- 92 common, albeit understudied, feature among SDMs that project changes in fish distribution (Litzow
- 93 et al., 2018, 2019, 2020; Puerta et al., 2019; Roberts et al., 2019; Muhling et al., 2020).
- At least seven ecological, climatic, and statistical mechanisms can lead to non-stationary fish-climate
- 95 relationships. First, non-stationarity could arise if key variables influencing a species' ecological
- 96 niche are excluded from an SDM. For example, many SDMs neglect to account for interspecific
- 97 relationships, such as predator-prey dynamics (Fernandes et al., 2013). Second, over-
- parameterization of models can lead to the appearance of non-stationarity if this results in a
- 99 relationship between an environmental variable and fish distribution that is solely due to a statistical
- artifact. Third, non-stationarity can result from density-dependent occurrence patterns where a fish is
- found in its optimal habitat at low density but, as its abundance increases, spreads to additional

102 habitats to reduce interspecific competition (MacCall, 1990). Such dynamics are especially common 103 among small pelagic fishes (SPF)¹ (Barange et al., 2009). Fourth, overfishing can truncate fish age 104 structure, which can increase sensitivity to climatic variables since younger and smaller fishes often exhibit heightened sensitivity (Anderson et al., 2008). Fifth, at times, fish distribution has been 105 related to basin-scale climate indices, such as the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), North Pacific 106 107 Gyre Oscillation, and North Atlantic Oscillation. Many of these indices represent statistical 108 compilations of several climatic variables. If the relationship between these indices and local climate 109 variables changes over time (Joyce et al., 2002; Litzow et al., 2018, 2020), this can lead to nonstationarity between species distribution and climate indices (Litzow et al., 2018, 2019, 2020; Puerta 110 111 et al., 2019). Also, some species have been shown to react differently to environmental conditions, such as temperature, depending on the phase of climate oscillations likely due to the influence of 112 113 these oscillations on larval advection or interspecific interactions (Roberts et al., 2019). Lastly, non-114 stationarity across climate oscillations could occur because some climate indices, such as the PDO, are detrended. Sixth, the distribution of some species may be constrained by non-climatic factors, 115 116 such as depth, reliance on biogenic habitats, or lack of dispersal corridors (Reglero et al., 2012; Asch et al., 2019). When such constraints exist, organisms may be retained in their historical habitats, 117 even though the climate of those habitats has shifted. This can result in a non-stationary relationship 118 119 between species and climate. Lastly, phenotypic plasticity, acclimation to new conditions, or rapid 120 adaptation could lead to changes in how species distribution is related to climate (Donelson et al., 121 2012: Anderson et al., 2013).

- Despite numerous reasons why non-stationarity may occur, there have been relatively few
- assessments of non-stationarity in SDMs for marine fishes due to a paucity of spatially resolved,
- long-term datasets that can be used to test historical changes in how fish react to the environment.
- One such dataset that is well suited to examine non-stationary, fish-climate relationships is California
- 126 Cooperative Ocean Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI). This program has surveyed ichthyoplankton
- along six transects in its core region off southern California since 1951. This region has been subject
- to several climate regime shifts that affected living marine resources (McGowan et al., 2003; Di
- Lorenzo et al., 2008; Peabody et al., 2018; Litzow et al., 2020), making it a useful testbed for
- evaluating whether fishes react differently to environmental variables during each phase of a regime.
- Also, some of the fastest rates of species distribution change in U.S. waters are projected to occur in
- this area (Morley et al., 2018), making it an important region for studying non-stationarity.
- Our analysis of non-stationarity focuses on SPF since these species account for approximately one-
- third of global fish catch (Smith et al., 2011). Also, pelagic fishes are often more sensitive to climate-
- induced range shifts than demersal fishes (Murawski et al., 1993; Cheung et al., 2009; Walsh et al.,
- 2015). SPF connect lower trophic organisms in upwelling systems with higher trophic level
- predators, such as piscivorous fishes, squid, seabirds, and marine mammals (Cury et al., 2011;
- Pikitch et al., 2014; Kaplan et al., 2017). Furthermore, their potential sensitivity to non-stationary
- dynamics is likely since SPF exhibit boom-bust cycles of abundance over multi-decadal periodicities
- 140 (Schwartzlose et al., 1999; Chavez et al., 2003; McClatchie et al., 2017).
- 141 More specifically, we focus on four species managed under the Coastal Pelagic Species Fisheries
- Management Plan: northern anchovy (*Engraulis mordax*), Pacific sardine (*Sardinops sagax*), chub
- mackerel (Scomber japonicus), and jack mackerel (Trachurus symmetricus) (PFMC, 2019). Previous

¹ SPF refer to small-bodied fishes that live in the epipelagic zone (0-200 m), typically exhibit schooling behavior, and consume a planktivorous diet. The largest fisheries for SPF target species in the order Clupeiformes, which includes sardines, anchovies, herrings, menhadens, and shads.

- research has shown that these species are sensitive to fluctuations in oceanic conditions connected to
- climate variability and change (Lluch-Belda et al., 1991; Checkley et al., 2000; Reiss et al., 2008;
- Rykaczewski and Checkley, 2008; Weber and McClatchie, 2010, 2012; Zwolinski et al., 2011; Asch
- and Checkley, 2013; Koslow et al., 2013; Howard et al., 2020).
- Non-stationary relationships between SPF and environmental conditions were observed in the
- 149 California Current System (CCS) in 2014-2017 when a marine heat wave (MHW) resulted in sea
- surface temperature (SST) anomalies exceeding three standard deviations above normal conditions
- 151 (Di Lorenzo and Mantua, 2016). Historically the probability of adult S. sardinops occurrence
- declines when temperature exceeds 18°C, but during this event the probability of encountering S.
- 153 sardinops peaked in some areas warmer than >19°C (Muhling et al., 2020). While this study did not
- detect similar incidents of non-stationarity when examining data from 1980 through present, it was
- unclear whether the rapid environmental change during the MHW was the main cause for non-
- stationarity or if similar non-stationary events might be observed if a longer time series were
- examined (Muhling et al., 2020). We addressed the latter question by determining if non-stationarity
- is prevalent in SDMs developed for larval E. mordax, S. sardinops, S. japonicus, and T. symmetricus
- between 1951-2015. This time series emphasizes the period prior to the MHW. We first determined
- if there were change points in time series of climate indices, oceanic variables, and fish spawning
- stock biomass (SSB). These change points are proxies for regime shifts. For each period associated
- with a different regime, we constructed a SDM for each species. Six metrics for identifying non-
- stationarity were inspected to determine if the relationships between fishes and oceanic conditions
- 164 changed across regimes. Lastly, we examined whether SDMs developed under different regimes
- produce equivalent projections of future changes in fish habitat suitability under low and high
- 166 greenhouse gas emissions.

167 2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Data Sources

2.1.1 Larval Fish Data

- 170 CalCOFI has sampled E. mordax, S. sagax, S. japonicus, and T. symmetricus larvae since 1951, with
- the highest concentration of samples from a core region of southern California that extends offshore
- 172 from San Diego (33.0°N) to north of Point Conception (35.1°N). CalCOFI data are publicly
- available from the NOAA ERDDAP server.² Data on oblique ring and bongo net tows from January
- 174 1951 through April 2015 were downloaded for CalCOFI lines 76-93.3. Study sites farther offshore
- than CalCOFI Station 120 were filtered from this dataset because these stations were sampled less
- 176 consistently. These criteria resulted in selection of 18,899 net tows. Sample collection occurred
- monthly during the 1950s, near monthly during the 1960s, 1970s, and early 1980s, albeit with
- substantial gaps during the 1970s, and quarterly since 1985. The methods for collecting and
- processing bongo and ring net samples were described in Kramer et al. (1972) and changes to
- sampling methodology were documented in Ohman and Smith (1995) and Thompson et al. (2017).

2.1.2 Oceanic Data

182 183

181

168

169

Four environmental variables were selected for inclusion in SDMs because they were measured since 185 1951 concurrently at stations where CalCOFI ichthyoplankton samples were collected and because

² https://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/erddap/index.html

these variables were previously shown to influence target species (Checkley et al., 2000; Lynn, 2003; Rykaczewski and Checkley, 2008; Weber and McClatchie, 2010, 2012; Zwolinksi et al., 2011; Asch and Checkley, 2013; Weber et al., 2018; Howard et al., 2020). These variables included potential temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen (DO), and mesozooplankton displacement volume (abbreviated as ZDV for zooplankton displacement volume). Both salinity and DO can be interpreted as indicators of water masses with distinct characteristics (e.g., Pacific subarctic water has low temperature and salinity, but high DO, whereas North Pacific Central water has high temperature and salinity, with low DO; McClatchie, 2013). Low DO can also act as a stressor affecting the physiology, distribution, and abundance of SPF (Howard et al., 2020). Upwelling of hypoxic and anoxic waters on the inner shelf has been observed in the northern CCS (Chan et al., 2008). In the southern CCS where upwelling is less vigorous, hypoxic waters do not frequently encroach into depths where SPF larvae reside (Dussin et al., 2019), so we interpret variations in DO primarily as an indicator of water mass properties. Temperature, salinity, and DO from Niskin bottles were averaged over the upper 50 m. This depth was selected because SPF eggs are most concentrated across this range (Curtis et al., 2007). Environmental data were downloaded from ERDDAP between January 1951 and February 2015 and extending between 29.7-35.3° N and 117.2-125.8° W. This area corresponded to transects selected for fish larvae. Within these constraints, 18,925 environmental observations were identified for analysis.

ZDV was obtained from the same bongo and ring nets as larval fishes. We used displacement volumes where gelatinous organisms with biovolumes >5 cm³ were removed (Kramer et al., 1972). Bias corrections from Ohman and Smith (1995) were applied to account for a change in tow depth (switch from 140 m to 210 m) and net type (switch from a 550-μm silk mesh net to a 505-μm nylon mesh net) in 1969 and a second change in net type (switch from a 1.0-m diameter ring net to a 0.71-m diameter bongo net) in 1977. ZDV measurements were ln(x+1) transformed prior to analysis. As a result, measurements of ZDV are presented with units of the log of the zooplankton volume measured in cm³ divided by the standardized volume of seawater filtered during a plankton net tow (1,000 m³). 18,746 observations of ZDV were available for analysis.

Oceanic and biological data were matched based on the year, month, transect, and station number. If multiple sets of environmental variables were matched to a single tow, data were averaged. After matching, a final sample size of 14,767 was obtained.

During initial SDM development, we considered including month and station number (a proxy for distance from shore) as independent variables. While these factors improved model fit, we decided to exclude them because they would constrain future shifts in species distribution and phenology. Since our research goal was to assess model performance over a multidecadal period as a proxy to better understand how such models would perform when detecting future shifts in species distribution and seasonal occurrence, including independent variables that constrain such shifts would be counter to achieving this objective. Similarly, latitude and longitude were not included in SDMs as independent variables since they would also constrain future shifts in species distribution. Also, since many environmental variables in this ecosystem exhibit onshore-offshore gradients (McClatchie, 2013), multicollinearity between station number and environmental variables could also influence our ability to detect non-stationary relationships. Previous studies have shown that stock size can influence the amount of suitable habitat occupied by our target species (Weber and McClatchie, 2010, 2012; Muhling et al., 2020). However, since earth system models (ESMs) cannot directly project future stock size, this is not a covariate that could be easily included in a model of future changes in species distribution or phenology. Since our goal is to provide a framework for

assessing performance of such models, we did not include stock size as a covariate here.

2.2 Classification of Change Points in Ocean Ecosystems

236237

- The term regime shift describes low-frequency and high-amplitude changes in biological and physical conditions. However, there are disagreements about key characteristics of regime shifts.
- 240 Different authors use this term to describe stochastic processes characterized by red noise; non-linear,
- alternative stable states; changes at multiple levels of ecological organization (e.g., species,
- assemblage, community, ecosystem); and processes related to both external perturbations and internal
- reorganization of ecological communities (Collie et al., 2004; Overland et al., 2008). Due to this
- 244 multiplicity of definitions, we used three approaches to determine if relationships between fish and
- 245 the environment were stable across different regimes. Since most of our regime shifts were defined
- based on changes in time series, we use the terms regime shift and change point synonymously.

247

248

263

2.2.1 Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO)

- The PDO is the first principal component of detrended winter SST in the North Pacific (Hare et al.,
- 250 1999). During the latter half of the 20th century, this index exhibited decadal variability characterized
- by predominantly negative values during 1947-1976 and positive values during 1977-1998. Negative
- 252 (positive) PDO values correspond to cool (warm) conditions in the southern CCS. The 1976/1977
- shift in PDO sign coincided with large changes in the abundance of marine organisms across several
- 254 trophic levels (Chavez et al., 2003; McGowan et al., 2003). In the CalCOFI region, this shift was
- associated with a 1.0°C increase in temperature over the upper 50 m of the water column and a ZDV
- decline of 68.4 cm³/1,000 m³ (Fig. S1). Statistically significant, albeit smaller, changes in mean
- salinity and DO coincided with this regime shift (Fig. S1). Since 1998, the PDO has displayed
- oscillations at an interannual rather than decadal scale (Peterson, 2009). Furthermore, the PDO has
- 259 recently exhibited a decreased correlation with North Pacific climatic and ecological indicators
- 260 (Puerta et al., 2019; Litzow et al., 2020). Consequently, we assessed whether non-stationary
- 261 relationships between fish and environmental variables were evident across the 1976/1977 shift but
- 262 did not consider years after 1998.

2.2.2 Change Points in Oceanic Variables

- Beyond the PDO, we took an empirical approach to identify change points associated with regime
- shifts in times series of environmental variables and SSB. First, we estimated change points
- separately for temperature, salinity, DO, and ZDV. To accomplish this, we performed a principal
- 267 component analysis (PCA) on each variable to identify its dominant mode of temporal variability.
- 268 Since PCA cannot be performed on datasets with missing observations, we binned data into seven
- 269 groups that represented an onshore-offshore gradient. Our seven bins were based on the following
- 270 CalCOFI stations: ≤40 (closest to shore), 40-50, 50-60, 60-70, 80-90, 90-100, and ≥100 (farthest
- offshore). Stations in each bin were annually averaged. In cases when no observations were
- available in a bin for a year, linear interpolation across the onshore-offshore gradient was used to fill
- this gap. The years 1951, 1984, and 1982 were removed due to persistent gaps in coverage. Such
- gaps were more widespread for DO than other variables, which necessitated removal of additional
- 275 years (1953-1955, 1957, 1960, 1967, 1975, 1980-1981). PCA was performed after these data
- processing steps.
- 277 Change point analysis was applied to the first principal component of each environmental variable
- using the Bayesian change point detection algorithm developed by Ruggieri (2013). Change point

- analysis was performed in MATLAB (version R2017a). The Ruggieri (2013) algorithm detected
- 280 changes in time series mean, variance, or slope. We used uninformative priors. Algorithm
- parameters were set such that a maximum of three change points could be detected over a time series
- and change points needed to be separated by ≥ 10 years. Other parameters were set following
- guidance from Ruggieri (2013) ($k_0=0.01$, $v_0=2$, and $\sigma_0^2=$ observed variance). 500 iterations of this
- algorithm were run for each time series to generate posterior probability distributions. Subsequent
- analyses examining non-stationarity across regimes were based on the number of change points with
- 286 the highest posterior probability and years with the highest probability of a change point. In a
- sensitivity test, parameters related to maximum number of change points and minimum regime
- duration were varied between 2-4 and 8-12 years, respectively. This was found to affect the years of
- some change points by ± 3 years or less.

2.2.3 Change Points in SSB

290

302

303

- 291 Change point analysis was applied to assess whether habitat use among SPF varied as a function of
- stock size. For this analysis, we used stock assessment data from Thayer et al. (2017) for 1951-2015
- 293 for E. mordax and Crone and Hill (2015) for 1983-2014 for S. japonicus. For S. sagax, we combined
- data from three stock assessments to obtain information for 1951-1963 (Jacobson and MacCall,
- 295 1995), 1981-2008 (Hill et al., 2008), and 2009-2015 (Hill et al., 2018). No stock assessment was
- available for *T. symmetricus*, so this species was excluded from this analysis. SSB was log
- transformed prior to analysis since histograms indicated SSB had a log-normal distribution. Change
- 298 point detection parameters were the same as listed above, except the minimum duration for a regime
- 299 was set to five years for S. sagax and S. japonicus since shorter SSB time series were available. For
- 300 S. sagax, results were not sensitive to the choice of the minimum regime duration or to the use of
- only the more recent stock assessments by Hill et al. (2008, 2018).

2.3 Species Distribution Modeling (SDM)

We used generalized additive models (GAMs) to assess non-stationarity across change points. While a variety of SDMs exists, GAMs were selected because this technique has been widely used in

fisheries science (e.g., Bell et al., 2015; Morley et al., 2018; McHenry et al., 2019). GAMs were run

separately for each species and period associated with a change point to determine if there were

differences in model characteristics across regimes. Since our goal was to examine environmental

influences on species distribution, presence/absence of larvae was used as the response variable.

310 Independent variables included temperature, salinity, DO, and log-transformed ZDV. Any bongo

and ring net tows that did not have a full suite of environmental variables associated with them were

312 removed from analysis. GAMs were formulated using the binomial family and logit link. GAMs

were parameterized to have a maximum of four knots to prevent overfitting (Weber and McClatchie,

2010; Lindegren and Eero, 2013; Tommasi et al., 2015). This step was important because an

overparameterized model is more likely to be non-stationary when that model is applied to a different

period. The decision to limit the number of knots was a conservative choice aimed at decreasing the

317 likelihood of detecting non-stationarity. For each species and regime, 16 GAMs with different

318 combinations of environmental variables were run. The Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) was

319 minimized to select which of these models was the most parsimonious and determine the number of

knots to include in that model. If the AIC for several models differed by ≤ 2 , we used a multi-model

321 approach including results from several models (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). Akaike weights (w_i)

for the selected models were examined to assess the degree of confidence in the selection process.

- GAMs can be fit using either the gam or mgcv package in R (version 4.1.1). The latter uses a
- Bayesian approach for variance estimation, which results in smaller confidence intervals than those
- from the gam package (Wood, 2006). Since smaller confidence intervals may increase the likelihood
- of detecting differences across regimes, we used the gam package since it would provide more
- 328 conservative results regarding non-stationarity. Nonetheless, a comparison of the gam and mcgv
- packages for *E. mordax* produced similar models. Tests for multicollinearity between independent
- variables, spatial autocorrelation, and inspection of GAM residuals for outliers are described in the
- 331 Supplementary Material 1.1, Table S1, and Fig. S2.

2.4 Indicators for Detecting Non-Stationarity

332333

- We used six metrics to assess non-stationarity across regimes. These metrics evaluated whether there
- were changes in: 1) variables included in SDMs; 2) linearity of partial environmental variable
- responses in SDMs; 3) relative importance of environmental variables; 4) response curve shape; 5)
- degree of responsiveness of fishes to a variable, and; 6) the range of conditions associated with
- 338 maximum larval occurrence. Changes in any metrics between regimes was interpreted as an
- indicator of non-stationarity. In cases where multiple models were selected for a regime, differences
- needed to be observed amongst the full suite of candidate models for periods to be classified as non-
- 341 stationary.
- Each non-stationarity metric has pros and cons but when viewed together they provide a
- 343 complementary and comprehensive picture of the occurrence of non-stationary environmental
- relationships. For example, some metrics are quantitative and can be evaluated for statistical
- significance, whereas other metrics are qualitative (e.g., response curve shape). Some metrics
- principally detect large changes in model formulation, such as the lack of significance of a previously
- important variable, whereas others identify subtler changes, such as a shift in the relative ranking of
- variables affecting fishes. By considering multiple metrics, one can avoid the pitfalls associated with
- any one metric. For example, changes in maximal larval occurrence or degree of responsiveness are
- more likely to be affected by extrema. Shifts in rank importance of environmental variables could be
- due to a small change among two variables with similar effect sizes (Planque et al., 2007). When
- using a combination of metrics, biases affecting a single metric can be avoided, producing more
- reliable results. Details on how each metric was calculated are provided below.

354 **2.4.1** Inclusion of Variables in SDMs

355

- 356 Model selection was based on AIC minimization.
- 357 **2.4.2** Linearity

358

- 359 Selected model(s) could include an environmental variable with either one, two, or three equivalent
- degrees of freedom (edf) in its partial response function. An edf of 1 was indicative of a linear
- model, whereas increasing edfs indicated greater non-linearity (Hastie, 1991). Changes in edf
- between regimes were used to assess changes in linearity.

2.4.3 Relative Importance of Variables

- To assess the relative importance of environmental variables, we compared the change in deviance
- 366 (ΔD) in GAM outputs between a full model and models when one variable was removed. ΔD was
- compared across variables to assess the rank importance of variables. Changes in ranking between

- regimes were interpreted as a qualitative indicator of non-stationarity. This is a qualitative indicator
- because at times changes in rank can reflect small differences in ΔD among nearly equally ranked
- 370 variables.

2.4.4 Response Curve Shape

371372

- Response curve shape refers to the graphical relationship between an environmental variable and the
- probability of fish occurrence. The y-axis of response curves was presented on a logit scale.
- Response curve shape was assessed in a semi-quantitative manner in two stages. First, we
- 376 qualitatively inspected shifts in shape. This step went beyond looking at changes in linearity,
- maximum value of the response curve, and response curve amplitude. Secondly, we inspected the
- 378 95% confidence intervals of response curves to evaluate overlap between different periods. If the
- confidence intervals had a substantial amount of overlap, periods were classified as similar to each
- other regardless of qualitative differences in response curve shape. In contrast, if confidence
- intervals did not overlap in entirety and response curve shape also differed, this was interpreted as an
- indication of non-stationarity.

2.4.5 Degree of Responsiveness

384 385

383

- The degree of responsiveness of a fish to an environmental variable was estimated based on the
- amplitude of the SDM response curve. A larger amplitude suggested that a fish was more responsive
- 387 to a variable. To assess whether this metric differed between periods, we ran a bootstrap analysis in
- 388 which observations were selected randomly with replacement 1,000 times for each species and
- regime (Efron and Tibshirani, 1998). The number of observations randomly selected during each
- bootstrap iteration was the same as the sample size for each SDM (Table S2). No spatio-temporal
- weighting was used when resampling data during bootstrap analysis. GAMs were recalculated for
- each dataset and response curves were plotted. We performed this analysis only for the most
- parsimonious model(s) selected with the AIC. Bootstrap permutations were used to develop 95%
- 394 confidence intervals for response curve amplitude. In cases where multiple models were selected
- based on AIC scores, bootstraps were run separately for each model and confidence intervals were
- constructed jointly across models by weighting each model based on w_i . A lack of overlap between
- 397 confidence intervals across regimes was an indication of non-stationarity.

2.4.6 Range of Environmental Variables Associated with Maximum Larval Occurrence

398 399

- The sixth non-stationarity metric was the range of an environmental variable that maximized the
- 401 probability of fish occurrence. A bootstrap was used to determine environmental conditions
- 402 associated with maximum larval occurrence across 1,000 SDM realizations. For each bootstrap
- iteration, we identified the maximum value of the response curve and the corresponding value of the
- 404 environmental variable at this maximum. These values were sorted from smallest to largest and we
- identified the lower 2.5th and upper 97.5th percentiles of this empirical distribution. These 95%
- 406 confidence intervals were used to assess whether the range of conditions associated with maximum
- 407 larval habitat suitability differed between regimes. Weighted means of confidence intervals were
- used in cases where multiple models were selected for a regime.

2.5. Future Projections

- 411 An ESM was used to make future projections of habitat suitability. ESM projections focused
- specifically on quantifying uncertainty associated with ecological and climatic change points and
- determining their importance compared to other sources of projection uncertainty. ESM output was
- obtained from the World Climate Research Programme's Coupled Model Intercomparison Project –
- Phase 6 (CMIP6). CMIP6 output is publicly available from Lawrence Livermore National
- 416 Laboratory.³ Our criteria for model selection from the CMIP6 ensemble were that ensemble
- 417 members needed to contain output on all environmental variables used in SDMs for a historical
- simulation (1980-1999) and two future simulations (2080-2099). The historical period was selected
- 419 to be 100 years earlier than the period used for future simulations. The two future climate change
- scenarios considered were Shared Socioeconomic Pathway (SSP) 5-8.5 and 1-2.6, which
- 421 corresponded, respectively, to a high-end greenhouse gas emissions scenario and a climate change
- 422 mitigation scenario consistent with the Paris Agreement (O'Neill et al., 2016). When data were
- downloaded from the CMIP6 archive (18 December 2019), only one ESM had full data available for
- all four variables, all three simulations, and both 20-year periods. This model, known as CNRM-
- 425 CERFACS-ESM2.1 (abbreviated name: CNRM-ESM2), was developed by the French National
- 426 Centre for Meteorological Research and couples the CNRM-CM6-1 atmosphere-ocean general
- 427 circulation model with the PISCESv2-gas ocean biogeochemistry model (Séférian et al., 2019). The
- 428 ESM has an approximately 100-km latitudinal/longitudinal resolution and 75 depths. PISCESv2-gas
- 429 tracks 26 biogeochemical state variables and four plankton functional groups (diatoms,
- anophytoplankton, microzooplankton, and mesozooplankton).
- 431 Monthly CNRM-ESM2 data on environmental variables were extracted from the core CalCOFI
- region (29.8-35.2°N and 117.3-125.9°W). This included 63 model grid cells, resulting in a similar
- number of grid cells to the number of CalCOFI stations. CNRM-ESM2 included 19 depth layers
- over the upper 50 m of the water column. Shape-preserving piecewise cubic interpolation was used
- 435 to calculate the temperature, salinity, and DO exactly at 50 m by interpolating between the 18th and
- 436 19th model depth layers. We computed the mean of each variable over the upper 50 m, weighting this
- average by the width of each depth layer. Units of DO and mesozooplankton concentration differed
- between CNRM-ESM2 and CalCOFI. Unit conversions were applied to allow CNRM-ESM2 output
- 439 to be used as independent variables in GAMs developed for SPF species (Supplementary Material
- 440 1.2).
- Many ESMs overestimate coastal temperatures and underestimate primary production in Eastern
- Boundary Upwelling Systems (Stock et al., 2011; van Oostende et al., 2018). To compensate for
- this, we performed a bias correction on variables from CNRM-ESM2 using the delta method (Hare et
- al., 2012). Biases were estimated using the monthly mean climatology from CalCOFI observations
- for 1980-1999. Next separate GAMs were run for each species and regime using CNRM-ESM2 data
- as independent variables. Projections were made for 1980-1999 and 2080-2099 with the SSP5-8.5
- and SS1-2.6 scenarios. Mean habitat suitability for SPF species was computed for each grid cell and
- 448 month, with 95% confidence intervals based on variations between years during each period. In this
- context, habitat suitability is equivalent to the modeled probability of larval occurrence and has a
- range between 0 (larval absence) and 1 (larval presence). Spatio-temporally integrated habitat
- suitability (IHS) for a given year was also calculated by summing suitability scores across CRNM-
- 452 ESM2 grid cells during spring (i.e., the peak season for occurrence of most SPF species,
- Supplementary Material 1.2). IHS is unitless and its value is dependent on the number of grid cells
- and months in the integration. In cases where multiple models were selected, IHS was calculated

³ https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/projects/cmip6/

-

- based on the weighted means of models. A two-way crossed ANOVA assessed whether SSP
- scenario and GAM model period had a significant effect on IHS. The mean coefficient of variation
- 457 (CV) was calculated for the historical and SSP5-8.5 scenarios to assess if variations in IHS were
- projected to increase under unmitigated climate change. Mean CVs were calculated as a function of
- species, regime shift type, environmental variables, and indicators of non-stationarity. For
- environmental variables and non-stationarity indicators, CV calculations only included GAMs where
- 461 there was some indication of non-stationarity for a particular variable or metric. Instances of non-
- stationarity associated with the rank importance of variables were not included in CV calculations
- since it was not possible to attribute changes to a single environmental variable.
- 464 3 Results
- 465 3.1 Change Point Detection
- 466 3.1.1 Oceanic Variables
- Across all oceanic variables, the first principal component (PC1) of their time series accounted for
- 468 63.3-91.2% of variance, whereas the second principal component (PC2) accounted for a reduced
- percentage of variance (4.9-17.2%; Table 1). PC1 captured region-wide variations in temperature,
- salinity, DO, and ZDV at an interannual scale. PC2 was characterized by onshore-offshore
- differences where nearshore and offshore stations exhibited PCA loadings in different directions.
- This pattern was consistent across PC2 for all variables.
- Each oceanic variable's principal component time series exhibited distinct temporal patterns (Fig. 2).
- 474 PC1 for temperature was primarily negative at the start of the time series, exhibited mainly positive
- values during the warm phase of the PDO between 1977-1998, displayed anomalies centered around
- zero during much of the 2000s and early 2010s, and rose sharply at the end of the time series in
- 477 2014-2015 coincident with MHW onset (Fig. 2A; Di Lorenzo and Mantua, 2016). In contrast, PC1
- of salinity was less closely correlated with the PDO, as has also been shown by Di Lorenzo et al.
- 479 (2008). Instead, this PC exhibited greater variability at the interannual rather than decadal scale (Fig.
- 480 2B). PC1 for DO was characterized by heightened variability at the start of the time series, with
- greater stability in more recent years (Fig. 2C). Similar to the results for temperature, the PDO
- seemed to have a substantial influence on the zooplankton PC1 (Pearson correlation coefficient r=-
- 483 0.49, p=0.0001, d.f.=54). Zooplankton PC1 was characterized primarily by positive anomalies up
- until the mid-to-late 1970s and experienced a period dominated by negative anomalies after the PDO
- 485 entered its warm phase (Fig. 2D).
- The change point detection algorithm did not identify any regime shifts in the PC1 time series of
- temperatures, salinity, or DO. There was a 93.6% probability of zero change points detected in the
- temperature time series, 99.3% probability of zero salinity change points, and 63.7% probability of
- zero DO change points. In contrast, the posterior probability distribution indicated a 75.8%
- 490 probability of two change points in the zooplankton PC1 time series, with 24.2% chance of one
- change point. The highest probabilities of change points were detected in 1968 and 1983. Prior to
- 492 1968, the PC1 time series for ZDV consistently exhibited positive anomalies (Fig. 2D). During
- 493 1969-1983, ZDV was characterized by highly variable and declining abundance, while after 1983 this
- 494 time series was fairly stable with anomalies close to zero.
- 495 **3.1.2 SSB**

- With a posterior probability of 78.1%, one change point was detected in the time series of *E. mordax*
- 497 SSB (Fig. 3A). This change occurred in 1963, separating a period of low, but recovering SSB from a
- 498 period when this species was fairly abundant. A decline in E. mordax biomass was observed at the
- end of this time, but there was only a 21.9% posterior probability that this decline was associated
- with a second change point.
- For S. sagax, there was a 99.9% probability that its time series contained two change points, which
- were detected in 1963 and 1997 (Fig. 3B). The 1963 change was associated with a decline in S.
- 503 sagax biomass and its subsequent recovery. The precise date of this change is uncertain because of a
- discontinuity in the *S. sagax* time series due to a lack of stock assessments between 1964-1980.
- However, the fact that *E. mordax* also exhibited a change point during 1963 bolsters confidence in
- 506 this result for *S. sagax* and suggests asynchronous dynamics between species. The second change
- 507 point for S. sagax detected in 1997 was associated with stable, high fish biomass, with some declines
- near the time series end.
- Log-transformed S. japonicus SSB was in decline throughout most of the period when biomass
- estimates were available (Fig. 3C). With a posterior probability of 92.5%, no change points were
- 511 detected for *S. japonicus*.

512 **3.2** Non-stationarity Detection Using GAMs

- Assessment of non-stationarity in models of all four species for each of the three types of regime
- shifts is described in the Supplementary Material 2.1-2.3 and Figs. S3-11. Here we provide an in-
- depth, illustrative summary for one species as a case study and then compare general trends across all
- species and regime shift types.

517 3.2.1 Case Study – Changes Points in S. sagax SSB

- For each SSB regime, a single model was selected for *S. sagax* where the selected GAM had an
- Akaike weight >0.8 (Table S3). This indicated a >80% likelihood that the selected model was the
- most parsimonious choice of the candidate models.
- 521 Evidence of non-stationarity in how S. sagax relates to oceanic variables was found across all
- indicators. For the first indicator (inclusion of different variables in the selected GAM), non-
- stationarity was indicated by the fact that the model formulation changed across regimes. During the
- first two SSB regimes (1951-1963 and 1964-1997), temperature, salinity, and ZDV were included in
- 525 the selected model, but DO was excluded (Table S2). In contrast, during the regime from 1998-2015,
- 526 ZDV was excluded from the model.
- The second indicator of non-stationarity was related to changes in whether fishes had linear or non-
- 528 linear relationships with oceanic variables. In most models, the best-fit GAM included non-linear
- 529 terms, with an edf of 3 (Table S2). Evidence of non-stationarity was observed since salinity initially
- had a linear relationship with larvae occurrence, which later became non-linear (Table S2, Fig. 4).
- Non-stationarity changes in the ranked importance of oceanic variables were also observed. Ranking
- of salinity declined over time, while DO ranking increased (Fig. 5J). Temperature and ZDV
- exhibited variability in their ranking, but without long-term trends.
- Changes in response curve shape was the fourth indicator of non-stationarity. Temperature response
- curves had a negative, parabolic shape during the 1951-1963 and 1964-1997 regimes. During 1998-

- 536 2015, the temperature response curve had a flatter shape, and a higher probability of encountering S.
- 537 sagax larvae at low temperatures was observed (Fig. 4). The flattened response curve shape during
- 538 the third regime may indicate a reduced influence of temperature on sardine distribution, which is
- also consistent with changes in the relative ranking of temperature during this regime (Fig. 5J). S.
- sagax were most frequently encountered at higher salinities throughout all periods, but the salinity
- response curve shape changed across periods. During 1951-1963, this species had a positive, linear
- relationship with salinity; during 1964-1997, this relationship had a negative, parabolic form; from
- 543 1998-2015, S. sagax distribution was less responsive to variations in salinity as indicated by a
- flattened response curve (Fig. 4). Less change in response curve shape was observed for ZDV since
- it exhibited a negative, parabolic response curve during both periods when included in GAMs (Fig.
- 546 4). Changes in curve shape could not be assessed for DO, since this variable was only included in
- 547 the selected model during the third SSB regime.
- 548 Changes in the amplitude (or range) of the response curve was the fifth indicator of non-stationarity.
- A decrease in response curve amplitude is suggestive of a reduced influence of a variable on larval
- fishes. For temperature, response curve range was significantly larger during 1964-1997 than 1998-
- 551 2015 (Fig. 6I). The period when *S. sagax* was most sensitive to temperature based on this indicator
- coincided with low biomass of this species (Fig. 3B). No significant changes were seen in response
- 553 curve range for salinity and ZDV. Changes could not be assessed for DO since it was only included
- in the selected model during a single regime.
- Significant changes in the sixth indicator of non-stationarity (shifts in the peak of the response curve)
- were observed for several oceanic variables. For temperature, S. sagax was most commonly found in
- areas with significantly cooler temperatures during 1998-2015 compared to prior periods (Fig. 71).
- The maximum likelihood of detecting larvae occurred at significantly lower salinities in 1964-1997
- than 1951-1963 (Fig. 7J). Sardine larvae were found in areas with significantly less zooplankton
- during 1964-1997 than 1951-1963 (Fig. 7L). Since the former period was characterized by reduced
- ZDV (Fig. S1), this might reflect a change in the availability of zooplankton rather than an active
- shift in habitat selection.

563 3.2.2 Comparisons Across Species and Regime Shift Types

- Every combination of species and regime type exhibited at least one indication of non-stationarity,
- 565 implying that non-stationarity is ubiquitous across SPF in the CCS. A summary of patterns observed
- across non-stationarity indicators, oceanic variables, species, and regime types is included below.
- A change in oceanic variables included in GAMs was observed across 60% of the combinations of
- species and regime shifts (Table 2). Nearly half of the selected of the selected models contained all
- four environmental variables, but in several cases the most parsimonious model(s) excluded DO or
- 570 ZDV (Table S2). In a smaller number of cases, a simplified model containing 1-2 environmental
- variables was selected.
- 572 Changes in the linearity of the relationship between fishes and environmental variables also occurred
- across 60% of the combinations of species and regime shifts (Tables 2 and S2). Salinity and DO
- were the most common variables to exhibit changes in linearity.
- 575 Changes in the ranked importance of oceanic variables were very common, with evidence of non-
- stationarity occurring across all species (Fig. 5). Temperature and salinity were frequently ranked as
- having the greatest or second greatest influence on fish larvae, with lower rankings more common

- among DO and ZDV. Among S. sagax and T. symmetricus, the relative ranking of DO increased
- 579 during recent periods.
- Changes in response curve shape were observed across 80% of species and regime combinations
- 581 (Table 2). The only cases where pronounced changes in response curve shape were not detected was
- among shifts between PDO phases for *E. mordax* and *S. japonicus* (Figs. S3 and S5). Of the four
- oceanic variables, temperature was the least likely to have a change in response curve shape, usually
- displaying a negative, parabolic shape (Figs. 4, S3-S11). Like temperature, ZDV often exhibited a
- negative, parabolic response curve shape, especially at the start or mid-point of time series. In many
- cases (e.g., Figs. S6-S8 and S11), ZDV response curves displayed a flatter shape during later periods,
- 587 indicating a reduced influence of this variable. The response curves for salinity and DO usually
- displayed wide confidence intervals at extrema, indicating reduced certainty in how fishes respond to
- these variables under conditions deviating from the mean. Lastly, compared to other species, S.
- 590 sagax displayed a greater propensity for changes in response curve shape (Figs. 4, S4, and S8).
- The amplitude of response curves, which is an indicator of sensitivity to oceanic variables, displayed
- 592 non-stationarity across four of the ten combinations of species and regime shifts (Table 2). Only one
- significant change in this indicator was observed across PDO and SSB regimes, whereas deviations
- from stationarity were more common among zooplankton regimes (Fig. 6). Deviations from
- stationarity for this indicator were most common among *S. sagax*.
- 596 Shifts in peak habitat use tied for the second most incidences of non-stationarity. This indicator
- refers to changes in the range of environmental variables associated with maximum larval
- occurrence. For 80% of species and regime shift combinations, at least one oceanic variable
- exhibited non-stationarity for this indicator (Table 2). Multiple species exhibited changes in the
- temperature and salinity at which their response curve peaked (Fig. 7), but no overarching pattern of
- change between periods was identified amongst these variables. In contrast, whenever there was a
- significant change in peak DO use, fishes tended to occur in areas with higher DO in more recent
- years (Fig. 7G and K). In four out of five cases where there was a significant change in peak use of
- ZDV, fishes occurred in areas with less ZDV during more recent years (Fig. 7D, H, and L). This
- may be related to long-term declines in ZDV in this ecosystem (Roemmich and McGowan, 1995;
- 606 Lavaniegos and Ohman, 2007). Compared to other species, S. sagax was most likely to display
- significant changes in this indicator.
- When integrating across all indicators, S. sagax was the species whose relationship with oceanic
- of variables displayed the most signs of non-stationarity (Table 2). S. japonicus and E. mordax
- displayed the fewest indications of non-stationarity, even though some non-stationarity was detected
- 611 for them across >50% of the indicators and regime shift types. Non-stationarity was most common
- among salinity and DO, whereas the relationship between fish presence/absence, temperature, and
- 2DV exhibited slightly more stability. Among different regimes, non-stationarity was observed most
- frequently for SSB regimes when integrated across indicators (Table 2).

3.3 Future Projections

- 616 CNRM-ESM2 was used to produce end of the 21st century projections of suitable habitat for larval
- fishes and assess whether these projections differed significantly depending on which ecological or
- 618 climatic regime was used to parameterize projection models. For *E. mordax*, *S. sagax*, and *S.*
- 619 *japonicus*, habitat suitability declined during future projections, with a steeper loss in suitable habitat
- under SSP5-8.5 (Fig. 8). For this scenario, decreases in mean IHS varied between 40.5-90.8%

- relative to the historical baseline. Under SSP1-2.6, declines in suitable habitat never exceeded 53.1%
- for any species or regime. In contrast to other species, T. symmetricus habitat suitability was
- projected to increase under SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5 during spring (Fig. 8D and H).
- Two-way ANOVAs indicated that GAM model choice had a significant effect on habitat suitability
- 625 in most cases (Table 3). The two exceptions to this occurred among *E. mordax* during regimes
- defined by PDO and SSB changes. For most species and regime shift types, F statistics from
- ANOVAs were larger for the GAM effect than the SSP effect, implying that the period used to
- parameterize the GAM had a larger impact on habitat suitability than SSP scenario. Furthermore,
- most species exhibited significant interactions between SSPs and GAMs from different regimes. One
- 630 common pattern among interaction terms was that GAMs parameterized during periods with greater
- habitat suitability tended to undergo larger changes under future climate scenarios.
- Changes in the mean CV between the historical and SSP5-8.5 scenarios were assessed to determine if
- variability in suitable habitat may increase under climate change. Increased variability was observed
- 634 for all species, except *T. symmetricus*, under SSP5-8.5 (Table 4A). Variance in IHS was greater
- under regimes defined by changes in ZDV than other types of regimes (Table 4B). Regimes
- characterized by non-stationarity in salinity and ZDV exhibited greater variability than regimes with
- non-stationarity in temperature and DO (Table 4C). However, many regimes exhibited concurrent
- 638 non-stationarity across multiple environmental variables, making it challenging to partition these
- effects among variables. The largest increases in variability under climate change were observed
- when there was non-stationarity associated with shifts in which variables were included in GAMs
- and changes in response curve amplitude (Table 4D).

642 4 Discussion

657

- Non-stationary relationships between organismal distribution and climate can result in inaccurate
- projections of how species respond to climate change, but this subject has not been widely
- 645 investigated across ecosystems (Litzow et al., 2019). We found that indications of non-stationarity
- were nearly ubiquitous among SPF species when models were constructed for three types of regime
- shifts. Non-stationarity most frequently resulted in changes in response curve shape, shifts in the
- peak range of conditions where larvae occurred, and changes in the relative importance of oceanic
- variables. Non-stationarity was most frequently associated with changes in ecological conditions,
- such as shifts in fish SSB or ZDV, rather than changes in the PDO. Relationships between fishes and
- temperature were more stable than other environmental variables. This might partially reflect greater
- uncertainty in relationships between fish distribution, salinity, and DO, which is indicated by the
- large confidence intervals associated with these variables' response curves. For several combinations
- of regimes and species, DO had a greater influence on distribution in recent years (Figs. 5 and 7).
- Often the effects of non-stationarity on larval habitat suitability were larger than changes projected
- under high and low greenhouse gas emissions.

4.1 Non-stationary Fish-Environment Relationships

- Among fishes, non-stationarity can affect how environmental factors influence species distribution,
- recruitment, and fisheries productivity. Here we integrate our discussion across these types of non-
- stationarity. While non-stationarity has not been frequently considered in the scientific literature,
- when it has been investigated, results are similar to ours in that changes in organismal-environmental
- relationships are widespread. In studies comparing whether fish and invertebrate density, biomass,
- recruitment, and catch can be best modeled with stationary or non-stationary models, there is a

- 664 pattern where the best fit model is usually non-stationary (Ciannelli et al., 2007; Lindegren and Eero,
- 2013; Beggs et al., 2014; Litzow et al., 2018; van der Sleen et al., 2018; Puerta et al., 2019). Similar 665
- 666 results have been seen among non-marine taxa. For example, among British butterflies, changes in
- 667 distribution in response to warming were not consistent across periods (Mair et al., 2012).
- 668 Among SPF, non-stationarity has been observed in multiple ecosystems and may be related to the
- 669 boom-bust cycles of abundance common to this functional group. In the Northwest Atlantic, Atlantic
- 670 menhaden (Brevoortia tyrannus) occurrence has a non-stationary relationship with temperature
- 671 modulated by the North Atlantic Oscillation (Roberts et al., 2019). Changes in sardine (S. sagax) and
- anchovy (E. encrasicolus) spawning habitat preferences in the southern Benguela could be partially, 672
- 673 but not fully, explained by warming, suggesting non-stationarity relationships occur among these
- 674 stocks (Mhlongo et al., 2015). Among Japanese anchovy (E. japonicus), temperature where fish
- occurred as eggs and larvae differed between 1978-1991 and 1992-2004, which is suggestive of non-675
- 676 stationarity (Takasuka et al., 2008).
- 677 It is unclear whether SPF are more likely to exhibit non-stationary dynamics than other fishes. SPF
- are adapted to environments with a high degree of climate variability (Checkley et al., 2017), which 678
- 679 could be indicative of resilience to fluctuating conditions. Conversely, SPF are more subject to
- 680 population collapse than other fishes (Pinksy and Byler, 2015), suggesting highly non-linear and
- 681 unstable dynamics. Fernandes et al. (2020) showed that SDMs have a reduced capacity to predict the
- 682 normalized biomass of pelagic species compared to benthic species. However, the mechanism
- 683 behind this observation is unclear and could be due to either greater non-stationarity among pelagic
- fishes or differences in sampling efficacy. 684

4.1.1 Non-stationarity in the California Current System (CCS)

- Within the CCS, evidence has previously suggested that non-stationarity may be common among S. 686
- sagax, but much less research has investigated dynamics of other SPF. One early publication 687
- 688 indicating that S. sagax has a variable relationship with environmental conditions is Lynn (2003) who
- 689 found that SST delimits the northern extent of S. sagax spawning habitat, but that the specific limit
- 690 differs between years. Several studies have documented that the relationship between temperature
- 691 and S. sagax recruits per spawner is sensitive to time period and source of temperature data (Jacobson
- and MacCall, 1995; McClatchie et al., 2010; Lindegren and Checkley, 2012; Zwolinski and Demer, 692
- 693 2019). Muhling et al. (2020) found indications of non-stationarity for S. sagax during the 2014-2017
- 694 MHW when fish occurred at temperatures warmer than projected by SDMs. Our results expand upon
- 695 Muhling et al. (2020) by identifying changes in the sensitivity of S. sagax to environmental variables
- 696 during earlier periods, indicating that non-stationarity during the MHW was not solely due to the
- 697 inability of S. sagax to avoid unfavorable habitats during rapid change. Our results confirm that non-
- 698 stationarity among S. sagax can occur in absence of novel environmental conditions, such as those
- 699 associated with an MHW. Instead, non-stationarity likely emerges due to interplay between multiple
- 700 factors (e.g., variations in population size, prey availability, interactions between oceanic conditions,
- 701 shifts in where and when fish spawn).
- 702 Our results help explain some contradictions between earlier publications on SPF spawning habitat.
- There is generally a consensus that the northern stock of S. sagax spawns at 12-16° C, with several 703
- publications indicating peak spawning at temperatures around 13-14° C (Checkley et al., 2000; Lynn, 704
- 2003; Reiss et al., 2008; Zwolinski et al., 2011; Asch and Checkley, 2013). Our results are consistent 705
- 706 with this consensus, although there are variations between periods in how quickly optimal spawning
- 707 habitat declines at temperatures moving away from this peak. E. mordax generally spawn at 12-18°

- 708 C, but the exact range of temperatures occupied by this species varies between studies, which may
- 709 reflect variations in the rate at which response curves decline moving away from peak temperatures
- 710 (Fiedler, 1983; Lluch-Belda et al., 1991; Checkley et al, 2000; Weber and McClatchie, 2010; Reiss et
- al., 2008; Asch and Checkley, 2013). Checkley et al. (2000) and Asch and Checkley (2013) found 711
- 712 that S. sagax eggs were most frequently observed at intermediate salinities of 33.0-33.4 psu, whereas
- 713 Weber and McClatchie (2010) identified a monotonically decreasing relationship between S. sagax
- 714 larvae and salinity. This contradiction likely reflects the fact that each study considered a different
- period since the shape of salinity response curves is sensitive to the years used to parameterize 715
- SDMs. In contrast, all previous research including ours indicate that *E. mordax* spawn at higher 716
- 717 salinities in the southern CCS (Checkley et al., 2000; Weber and McClatchie, 2010; Asch and
- 718 Checkley, 2013). However, given that this species resides in the Columbia River plume in the
- 719 northern CCS (Kaltenberg et al., 2010), phenotypic plasticity or local adaptation might influence E.
- mordax larval occurrence with regard to salinity. Different studies have identified positive and 720
- 721 negative relationships between S. sagax and zooplankton concentration (Checkley et al., 2000; Lynn,
- 722 2003; Agostini et al., 2007). While this might reflect differences in the life stage of S. sagax studied,
- 723 variations in zooplankton species composition, or spurious correlations, non-stationary relationships
- 724 provide an alternative explanation.
- 725 Less research has been conducted on the relationship between SPF and DO in the southern CCS.
- 726 Koslow et al. (2013) suggested that there was a positive relationship between DO and S. sagax
- 727 larvae, which is consistent with our results across the majority, but not all, regimes. Howard et al.
- 728 (2020) indicated that the distribution of E. mordax is sensitive to DO, especially at high temperatures,
- 729 which is comparable to our results from recent years, although other patterns are seen early in the
- 730 CalCOFI time series. These two papers mainly focused mid-water column depths because projected
- 731 declines in DO concentration under climate change are maximized across this range (Dussin et al.,
- 732 2019). Our research focused on environmental conditions in the upper 50 m of the water column
- 733 coincident with the peak vertical distribution of SPF eggs and larvae. Since hypoxic conditions at
- 734 these depths only occur during extreme upwelling, the reaction of SPF larvae to DO in our study is
- 735 more representative of the influence of DO as an indicator of water mass characteristics rather than as
- 736 a physiological stressor.
- Less research has been conducted on environmental influences on the species distribution of S. 737
- 738 japonicus and T. symmetricus in the southern CCS. Our results are consistent with prior studies of
- 739 the influence of temperature and salinity on their spawning distribution (Weber and McClatchie,
- 740 2012; Asch and Checkley, 2013). However, this is less so for ZDV. For S. japonicus, Weber and
- 741 McClathie (2012) found that larvae were most likely to be present at intermediate ZDVs of ~5-7 log
- 742 cm³ 1,000 m⁻³. While we observed a similar relationship between ZDV and S. japonicus larvae
- 743 during 1951-1968 (Fig. S9), this pattern was not apparent in other periods. Asch and Checkley
- 744 (2013) identified the highest probability of *T. symmetricus* eggs at low ZDV. The current study
- identified a similar pattern during 1984-2015, which coincides with years examined by Asch and 745
- 746 Checkley (2013). However, differing relationships between *T. symmetricus* distribution and ZDV
- 747 were observed during earlier periods.
- 748 T. symmetricus was the only species to experience a projected increase in IHS under SSP1-2.6 and
- 749 SSP5-8.5. We hypothesize that this increase in suitable habitat is related to a shift in spawning
- 750 phenology of *T. symmetricus* under climate change. Future projections were made for March-May
- 751 since an empirical formula for converting between mesozooplankton carbon biomass from CNRM-
- ESM2 to ZDV was only available for this season (Supplementary Material 1.2). While these months 752
- 753 coincided with the seasonal peak in larval concentration for E. mordax, S. sagax, and S. japonicus,

- maximum concentrations of *T. symmetricus* are observed in June (Moser et al., 2001). Asch (2015)
- 755 identified *T. symmetricus* as belonging to a group of fishes whose phenology has become earlier in
- recent decades in response to warming. The projected future increase in habitat suitability for T.
- 757 symmetricus during March-May likely represents a continuation of this shift towards earlier
- 758 spawning phenology.
- 759 Since fish-environmental relationships change over time, this emphasizes the importance of
- accurately detecting timing of regime shifts. Our study analyzed change points associated with the
- 761 1976/1977 PDO phase change, 1968/1969 and 1983/1984 shifts in ZDV, changes in *S. sagax* and *E.*
- mordax SSB in 1963/1964, and a second shift in S. sagax SSB in 1997/1998. No change points were
- detected in time series of temperature, salinity, and DO, which may reflect that biological time series
- often have more non-linear dynamics than physicochemical variables (Hsieh et al., 2005). The
- change points detected were well supported by other studies of the southern CCS. The 1976/1977
- PDO transition was associated with reduced survival young-of-year E. mordax (Nishikawa et al.,
- 767 2019). The presence of a mid-1960s regime shift was consistent with an analysis of 35 species of
- 768 CCS ichthyoplankton (Peabody et al., 2018). Other ichthyoplankton studies have identified faunal
- shifts during 1983/1984 and the late 1990s (Miller and McGowan, 2013; Peabody et al., 2018;
- Thompson et al., 2019a), which approximately coincide with our change points in ZDV and S. sagax
- SSB, respectively. Unlike previous studies, we did not detect a 1989/1990 regime shift (Miller and
- McGowan, 2013; Koslow et al., 2015; Peabody et al., 2018). This might reflect that this change
- point seems to be principally associated with shifts among a few highly abundant taxa in the southern
- 774 CCS (Peabody et al., 2018). Our Bayesian change point algorithm indicated that there was some
- uncertainty in the exact year of transitions (Figs. 2-3). This uncertainty may reflect gaps in CalCOFI
- time series coverage, discontinuities in stock assessments, the decision to log-transform SSB prior to
- change point detection, and uncertainty related to parameter choice during change point detection
- (Overland et al., 2008; Peabody et al., 2018). For instance, the choice of minimum regime length
- affects detection of recent ecological shifts, such as the crash and subsequent recovery of E. mordax
- 780 (Thayer et al., 2017; Thompson et al., 2019b).

4.2 Mechanisms Responsible for Non-stationary Dynamics

- Currently there is limited capacity for predicting the occurrence of non-linear ecosystem regime
- shifts. A meta-analysis of 4,600 global change impacts concluded that such shifts were rarely
- detectable in advance (Hildebrand et al., 2020). While many regime shifts are characterized by
- increased time series variance (Lenton, 2011), this signal can be obscured by small variations in
- organismal responses (Hildebrand et al., 2020). Similarly, Field et al. (2009) concluded that
- fluctuations in SPF abundance in paleo-ecological time series were characterized by red noise that
- was not predictable. When combined with novel environmental conditions and changes in how fish
- react to oceanic variables across regimes, these factors challenge the ability of empirically derived
- models to make accurate future projections needed for management. However, models that
- 791 incorporate physiological principles and mechanistic ecological understanding may fare better.
- While our study did not directly investigate mechanisms responsibility for non-stationarity, some
- insights can be attained and may help generate hypotheses for future research. Given the greater
- amount of literature on S. sagax and E. mordax, more hypotheses exist to explain non-stationary
- dynamics among these species. Previous studies suggested that the relationships between these
- fishes and SST may be a proxy for other environmental factors (e.g., prey availability) that more
- directly influence population dynamics (Fiedler, 1983; Jacobson and MacCall, 1995). This could
- lead to non-stationarity if relationships between SST and the direct influences on a species become

- decoupled. However, this seems unlikely to explain the non-stationarity observed here because the
- relationship between temperature and larval habitat exhibited greater stationarity than other variables.
- Previous studies have indicated that DO in the CCS is correlated with variations in nutrient and
- 802 chlorophyll concentration, water mass characteristics, and geostrophic flow (Weber and McClatchie,
- 803 2010; Koslow et al., 2013). Since the relationship between DO and larval presence/absence was
- subject to greater non-stationarity, changes in the strength of these correlations could be possibly
- responsible for this non-stationarity.
- 806 Changes in modes of climate variability and trophodynamic relationships have also been
- 807 hypothesized to be mechanisms responsible for non-stationarity in SDMs (Litzow et al., 2019). We
- 808 observed slightly more non-stationarity across zooplankton regime changes than PDO shifts,
- suggesting support for trophodynamic changes as an underlying cause of non-stationarity. Related to
- this point, it must be noted that an environmental variable needs to exceed an organism's tolerance
- range to affect its distribution. Under modes of climate variability that are favorable to an organism,
- this tolerance range might not be exceeded. However, values outside of their tolerance may be
- 813 experienced by fishes during the opposite phase of climate variability or as the climate continues to
- change. This mechanism could lead to the appearance of non-stationarity when using SDMs
- parameterized with data from different periods.
- Additional mechanisms for explaining non-stationarity are related to migration and dispersal. Since
- larvae are subject to advection, they do not have complete control over habitats occupied, which
- could increase the likelihood of non-stationarity (Brun et al., 2016). Conversely, movement by adults
- 819 can help fishes track favored environmental conditions whereas less migratory species may be unable
- to follow such conditions (Reglero et al., 2012). This would imply that less migratory species may
- be subject to greater non-stationarity. However, migratory species may be equipped to face a greater
- variety of conditions encountered along migration pathways, implying that their distribution may be
- less tightly coupled with oceanic conditions. S. sagax displays greater seasonal migratory behavior
- 824 than E. mordax (Zwolinski et al., 2011) and exhibited a greater incidence of non-stationarity. This
- suggests the latter idea (i.e., migratory behavior is associated with fewer environmental distribution
- constraints) has more support based on our data. Our results are also consistent with Planque et al.
- 827 (2007), Weber and McClatchie (2010), and Muhling et al. (2020) who found that E. mordax
- distribution could be better fit by SDMs than S. sagax. S. sagax tends to exhibit greater variability in
- distribution than E. mordax at interannual-to-decadal scales, expanding its distribution offshore and
- 830 northward when abundant (MacCall, 1990). This expansion, hypothesized to be driven by density-
- dependent habitat use, may be responsible for greater non-stationarity among *S. sagax*.
- Beyond migratory behavior, there are at least two other hypotheses that could explain the high degree
- of non-stationarity among S. sagax. This species is known to undergo demographic changes as its
- abundance fluctuates. S. sagax reaches maturity at age 1 under low biomass and matures at age 2 at
- high biomass (Hill et al., 2008). Such demographic changes can increase the sensitivity of species to
- environmental variability (Anderson et al., 2008), which could generate non-stationarity. Another
- potential explanation could be related to intermixing between the U.S. and southern Baja California
- stocks of S. sagax, which use distinct thermal habitats (Lynn, 2003; Dorval et al., 2011).
- Nonetheless, the thermal history of habitat occupancy recorded in *S. sagax* otoliths from the southern
- 840 CCS suggests intermixing of stocks is somewhat rare (Dorval et al., 2011).

4.3 Non-Stationarity Among Oceanic Variables

- 842 Climate change projections for marine organisms may be improved by focusing on oceanic variables
- less likely to exhibit non-stationarity. Of the variables considered, temperature most frequently
- exhibited stable relationships with larvae distribution (Table 2). This reflects that temperature has a
- direct influence on biological processes as diverse as gene expression, enzyme kinetics, metabolism,
- consumption, and growth in poikilotherms (Hare et al., 2012). Most marine fishes do not change
- their mean temperature of occurrence over time (Nye et al., 2009) and track climate velocity by
- shifting their distribution and depth to reflect changing temperatures (Pinsky et al., 2013). Rates of
- evolution of thermal niches are projected to be much slower than rates of future environmental
- change, leading to niche conservatism (Jezkova and Wiens, 2016). Consequently, SDMs driven by
- thermal preferences may be more reliable for making future projections than those with substantial
- influences from other variables. Nonetheless, multivariate SDMs generally are better at predicting
- historical distribution than univariate models (McHenry et al., 2019).
- 854 Salinity and ZDV exhibited an intermediate-to-high amount of non-stationarity. Species were often
- less responsive to these variables during recent regimes as indicated by exclusion of these variables
- from models, flattened response curves, or decreases in their ranking (e.g., Figs. 4-5). For ZDV, in
- some cases, fishes were less likely to display a unimodal response curve in recent years. Some non-
- stationarity observed among these variables may be related to the fact that their response curves had
- wider confident intervals near the minima and maxima of observed conditions. Due to wide
- confidence intervals, it was not always possible to determine whether changes in response curves
- between regimes represented changes in larval occurrence or solely a lack of capacity to precisely
- guantify responses to infrequently observed states. Brun et al. (2016) obtained similar results where
- SDMs displayed decreased skill near the edges of a species range where conditions were more
- 864 extreme. It is important to understand how species react to such extremes since they are projected to
- occur more frequent under climate change (Frölicher et al., 2018). Laboratory experiments may be
- useful since they allow for replication of extremes observed infrequently in nature.
- DO often exhibited a greater influence on SPF during recent regimes (Figs. 5-6, S2). Under climate
- change, DO in the CCS is projected to decline due to reduced solubility of oxygen in warmer water,
- increased stratification, changes in deep-water circulation causing reduced ventilation, and changes in
- upwelling strength (Rykaczewski and Dunne, 2010; Dussin et al., 2019). These changes have been
- documented to influence the historical abundance of mesopelagic fishes in the southern CCS
- 872 (Koslow et al., 2011) and are projected to affect the future persistence of E. mordax in the region
- 873 (Howard et al., 2020). Our findings are consistent with these patterns.

4.4 Projection Uncertainty

- For climate change impacts to be considered in fisheries management, uncertainty in future
- projections must be quantified. This is because managers will need to contemplate both best- and
- worst-case scenarios in the planning process (Cheung et al., 2016a). In ecological models,
- uncertainty can result from incomplete observational records, different approaches to conceptual and
- numerical model formulation, parameter estimation, model selection, choice of spatiotemporal scale,
- and adaptability of living systems (Planque et al., 2011). Future research should consider non-
- stationarity in fish-environmental relationships as another source of model uncertainty. Here we
- showed that the period used to parameterize SDMs can have a substantial impact on future
- projections due to non-stationarity, with the magnitude of this effect sometimes exceeding the effect
- of different climate scenarios. One understudied area with respect to climate change uncertainty is
- whether there might be interactions between different sources of uncertainty. We found that an

interaction exists between uncertainty due to non-stationarity and SSP scenario, with an increasing effect of non-stationarity at higher emissions.

888 As with most SDMs, there are a number of qualifications that may affect our results. To take 889 advantage of the multi-decadal CalCOFI time series, our analysis focused on the southern CCS. 890 which does not encompass the full range of target species. Nonetheless, given the pronounced 891 onshore-offshore gradients sampled by CalCOFI, this dataset covers several oceanic water masses exhibiting different conditions (McClatchie, 2013). Also, previous research has used CalCOFI to 892 893 understand how environmental change affects fish distribution despite the dataset's limited spatial 894 extent (Hsieh et al., 2008; Hsieh et al., 2009; Howard et al., 2020; Muhling et al., 2020). A second 895 qualification is that some of the changes in how fishes respond to the environment could be related to 896 interactions between multiple variables influencing fish distribution. Similarly, changes in response 897 curve shape may reflect the fact that partial responses from GAMs depend on the partial response of 898 a species to other variables. For example, the extent to which DO is a stressor depends on 899 temperature (Howard et al., 2020). GAMs often do not account for such interactions, but other 900 SDMs do. We evaluated non-stationarity across periods with change points in S. sagax SSB using a 901 second model that accounts for such interactions (the non-parametric probabilistic ecological niche 902 model; Beaugrand et al., 2011; R.G. Asch unpublished data). Since non-stationarity was also 903 common when using this alternative SDM, the high incidence of non-stationarity in the GAMs 904 cannot be explained solely by multivariate interactions. Our models purposely did not include SSB 905 as an independent variable because it is unlikely that future SSB would be precisely known when 906 projecting climate change impacts. However, SSB can influence S. sagax and S. japonicus larval 907 distribution (Weber and McClatchie, 2010, 2012). Models may display fewer incidences of non-908 stationarity due to density dependence if different SSB scenarios are included in long-range 909 projections. Another critique of SDMs is that they do not typically allow for acclimation or 910 adaptation to changing conditions. However, it is also unclear how important these processes are for 911 fishes since thermal niches evolve slowly (Jezkova and Wiens, 2016). Also, fishes may migrate 912 towards preferred conditions prior to acclimation (Habary et al., 2016).

4.5 Recommendations for Improving SDM Projections for Marine Fishes

- Moving forward, it is important to determine if the high incidence of non-stationarity detected here is
- 915 widespread or mainly a characteristic among SPF larvae in upwelling systems. For populations
- 916 likely subject to non-stationary environmental relationships, we recommend validating SDMs with
- 917 independent datasets whenever possible. Cross-validation with a subset of the original dataset can
- 918 result in potential overestimation of model skill due to temporal and spatial autocorrelation or
- overfitting (Araújo et al., 2005; Planque et al., 2011). Some measures of model skill, such as the true
- 920 skill statistic, perform similarly regardless of the time lag between datasets used for model
- development and testing (Brun et al., 2016). Wider use of the true skill statistic could help
- 922 realistically assess model skill when an independent dataset is unavailable for validation. Since
- 923 variables exhibiting indications of non-stationarity were more likely to have SDM response curves
- with wide confidence intervals, we recommend that response curve confidence intervals be more
- 925 frequently reported. Nonetheless, some climate-envelope models may underestimate confidence
- 926 intervals associated with the centroid of species distribution (Thorson et al., 2018).
- Another suggestion for guarding against non-stationarity and improving confidence in SDM
- 928 projections is to compare model-derived environmental niches against those from physiological
- experiments (Asch and Erisman, 2018; Muhling et al., 2020). Alternatively, physiologically based
- 930 thermal tolerances can be used to parameterize SDMs (Hare et al., 2012). However, it is not unusual

- 931 to see discrepancies between laboratory-derived and field-based estimates of thermal niche due to
- 932 differences between fundamental and realized niches (Henderson et al., 2019). Related to this, fishes
- 933 may not fully occupy suitable habitat within their realized niche during low abundance (Planque et
- 934 al., 2007), which can lead to non-stationary relationships. Using thresholds GAMs where a threshold
- 935 is prescribed based on fish biomass is a common way to mitigate against such dynamics (Lindegren
- 936 and Eero, 2013; Beggs et al., 2014; van der Sleen et al., 2018).
- 937 Obtaining reliable projections of fish species distribution, phenology, and population dynamics is
- 938 important, because it allows fisheries managers to better engage in adaptive management. Networks
- 939 of marine protected areas and the timing of seasonal fishing closures may need adjustment as fishes
- 940 undergo range shifts or phenological changes (McLeod et al., 2009; Peer and Miller, 2014).
- 941 Fisheries independent surveys can be made more efficient when relationships between fish
- 942 distribution and the environment are used to adaptively adjust sampling (Zwolinski et al., 2011).
- 943 Most stock assessments assume population processes affecting fisheries are stationary, which can
- 944 create retrospective bias in estimates of population parameters if there has been a change in fishery
- 945 productivity (Szuwalksi and Hollowed, 2016). Stock assessments may be improved by incorporating
- 946 environmentally variable recruitment, growth, mortality, or catchability into assessments (Adams et
- 947 al., 2015; Pershing et al., 2015; Tommasi et al., 2017). If the productivity of stocks changes as a
- 948 function of climate, it may be necessary to adjust acceptable biological catch to meet management
- 949 objectives (Vert-pre et al., 2013). Alternative approaches to dealing with non-stationarity when
- 950 setting management targets include adopting targets that harvest a constant fraction of the stock and
- 951 only considering the most recent regime when parameterizing stock assessments (Vert-pre et al.,
- 952 2013; Szuwalski and Hollowed, 2016). Management strategy evaluation also relies on robust
- 953 assessments of climate change impacts on fishes when assessing which strategies produce resilient
- 954 fisheries (Szuwalski and Hollowed, 2016). Non-stationary relationships that create greater
- 955 uncertainty in future projections may reduce the reliability of these management strategies for
- 956 adapting to change. However, this challenge only further underscores the importance of adaptive
- 957 management to account for the non-stationary reactions of fishes.
- 958 In conclusion, we determined that non-stationary relationships between larval occurrence and
- 959 environmental variables were nearly ubiquitous in the CCS, occurring across multiple types of
- 960 indicators, regime shifts, oceanic variables, and species. This has implications for the robustness of
- 961 future projections of species distribution changes since most projections rely on statistical models
- 962 that assume stationary relationships. Differences between alternative projections became amplified
- 963 under climate change, suggesting this source of uncertainty may become increasingly important in
- 964 the future. Nonetheless, the relationship between temperature and larval occurrence was more stable
- 965 than other variables, likely due to effects of temperature on fish physiology. Non-stationarity was
- 966 especially pronounced when examining regime shifts defined by biological changes, such as shifts in
- 967 SSB and ZDV. This suggests that density dependence and prev availability may play key roles
- 968 modulating how fishes react to oceanic conditions.

5 **Conflicts of Interest**

969

972

- 970 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
- 971 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

6 **Author Contributions**

- 973 RGA designed the research. RGA, JS, and KC performed the research and analyzed the data. RGA
- wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the manuscript revision, read, and
- approved the submitted version.

976 7 Funding Statement and Acknowledgments

- 977 R.G.A. was supported by Nippon Foundation-Nereus Program, Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Research
- 978 Fellowship Program, and NSF OCE award number 2049624. J.S. and K.C. received support from
- 979 the High Meadows Environmental Institute. We thank the CalCOFI program and CMIP6 for making
- available observational data and earth system model output.

981	References	Cited
<i>7</i> 01	IXCICI CIICCS	Cittu

- Adams, C. F., T. J. Miller, J. P. Manderson, D. E. Richardson, and B. E. (2015). Butterfish 2014
- Stock Assessment. Woods Hole, MA: National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries
- 984 Science Center.
- Agostini, V. N., A. Bakun, A., and R.C. Francis, R. C. (2007). Larval stage controls on Pacific
- sardine recruitment variability: high zooplankton abundance linked to poor reproductive success.
- 987 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 345, 237-244. doi:10.3354/meps06992
- Anderson, C. N. K., Hsieh, C. H. Sandin, S. A., Hewitt, R., Hollowed, A., Beddington, J., et al.
- 989 (2008). Why fishing magnifies fluctuations in fish abundance. Nature 452, 835-839.
- 990 doi:10.1038/nature06851
- Anderson, J.J., Gurarie, E., Bracis, C., Burke, B. J. and Laidre, K. L. (2013). Modeling climate
- change impacts on phenology and population dynamics of migratory marine species. Ecol.
- 993 Model. 264, 83-97. doi:10.106/j.ecolmodel.2013.03.009
- 994 Araújo, M. B., Pearson, R. G., Thuiller, W., and Erhard, M. (2005). Validation of species-climate
- impact models under climate change. Glob. Change Biol. 11, 1504-1513. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 996 2486.2005.01000.x
- 997 Asch, R. G., and Checkley Jr., D. M. (2013). Dynamic height: A key variable for identifying the
- spawning habitat of small pelagic fishes. Deep-Sea Res. I 71, 79-91.
- 999 doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2012.08.006
- 1000 Asch, R. G. (2015). Climate change and decadal shifts in the phenology of larval fishes in the
- California Current Ecosystem. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 112(30), E4065-E4074.
- doi:10.1073.pnas.1421946112
- 1003 Asch, R. G., and Erisman, B. (2018). Spawning aggregations act as a bottleneck influencing climate
- 1004 change impacts on a critically endangered reef fish. Divers. Distrib. 24(12), 1712-1728.
- 1005 doi:10.1111/ddi.12809
- 1006 Asch, R. G., Stock, C. A., and Sarmiento, J. L. (2019). Climate change impacts on mismatches
- between phytoplankton blooms and fish spawning phenology. Glob. Change Biol. 25, 2544-2559.
- 1008 doi:10.1111/gcb.14650
- Barange, M., Coetzee J., Takasuka, A., Hill, K., Gutierrez, M., Oozeki, Y., et al. (2009). Habitat
- expansion and contraction in anchovy and sardine populations. Prog. Oceanogr. 83, 251-260.
- doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2009.07.027
- Beaugrand, G., Lenoir, S., Ibañez, F., Manté, C. (2011). A new model to assess the probability of
- occurrence of a species, based on presence-only data. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 424, 175-190.
- 1014 doi:10.3354/meps08939
- Beggs, S.E., Cardinale, M., Gowen, R. J., and Bartolino, V. (2014). Linking cod (*Gadus morhua*) and
- climate: investigating variability in Irish Sea cod recruitment. Fish. Oceanogr. 23, 54-64.
- 1017 doi:10.1111/fog.12043

- Bell, R. J., Richardson, D. E., Hare, J. A., Lynch, P. D., and Fratantoni. (2015). Disentangling the
- effects of climate, abundance, and size on the distribution of marine fish: an example based on
- four stocks from the Northeast US shelf. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 72, 1311-1322.
- 1021 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu217
- Blowes, S. A., Supp, S. R., Antão, L. H., Bates, A., Bruelheide, H., Chase, et al. (2019). The
- geography of biodiversity change in marine and terrestrial assemblages. Science 366, 339-345.
- 1024 doi:10.1126/science.aaw1620
- Brun, P., Kiørboe T., Licandro, P., and Payne, M. R. (2016). The predictive skill of species
- distribution models for plankton in a changing climate. Global Change Biology 22, 3170-3181.
- 1027 doi:10.1111/gcb.13274
- Burnham, K. P. and Anderson, D. R. (2002). Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical
- Information-Theoretic Approach. New York, NY: Springer.
- 1030 Chan, F., Barth, J. A., Kirincich, A, Weeks, H., Peterson, W. T., and Menge, B. A. (2008) Emergence
- of anoxia in the California Current large marine ecosystem. Science 319, 920.
- 1032 Chavez, F. P., Ryan, J. Lluch-Cota, S. E., and Ñiquen C., M. (2003). From anchovies to sardines and
- back: multidecadal change in the Pacific Ocean. Science 299, 217-221.
- 1034 doi:10.1126/science.1075880
- 1035 Checkley Jr., D. M., Dotson, R. C., and Griffin, D. A. (2000). Continuous, underway sampling of
- eggs of Pacific sardine (Sardinops sagax) and northern anchovy (Engraulis mordax) in spring
- 1037 1996 and 1997 off southern and central California. Deep-Sea Res. II 47, 1139-1155.
- 1038 doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(99)00139-3
- 1039 Checkley, D.M., Asch, R. G., and Rykaczewski, R. R. (2017). Climate, anchovy, and sardine. Ann.
- 1040 Rev. Mar. Sci. 9, 469-493. doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-122414-033819
- 1041 Chen, L. C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemüller, Roy, D. B., and Thomas, C. D. (2011). Rapid range shifts of
- species associated with high levels of climate warming. Science 333, 1024-1026.
- 1043 doi:10.1126/science.1206432
- 1044 Cheung, W. W. L., Lam, V. W. Y., Sarmiento, J. L., Kearney, K., Watson, R., and Pauly D. (2009).
- Projecting global marine biodiversity impacts under climate change scenarios. Fish Fish. 10, 235-
- 1046 251. doi:10.1111/j.1467-2979.2008.00315.x
- 1047 Cheung, W. W. L., Frölicher, T. L., Asch, R. G., Jones, M. G., Pinsky, M. L., Reygondeau, G., et al.
- 1048 (2016a). Building confidence in projections of the responses of living marine resources to climate
- 1049 change. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 73, 1283-1296. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv250
- 1050 Cheung, W. W. L., Reygondeau, G., and Frölicher, F. L. (2016b). Large benefits to marine fisheries
- of meeting the 1.5°C global warming target. Science 354, 1591-1594.
- 1052 doi:10.1126/science.aag2331
- 1053 Ciannelli, L., Bailey, K. M., Chan, K. S., and Stenseth, N. C. (2007). Phenological and geographical
- patterns of walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) spawning in the western Gulf of Alaska.
- 1055 Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 64, 713-722. doi:10.1139/f07-049

- 1056 Collie, J. S., K. Richardson, and Steele, J. H. (2004). Regime shifts: can ecological theory illuminate
- the mechanisms? Prog. Oceanogr. 60, 281-302. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2004.02.013
- 1058 Crone, P. R., and Hill, K. T. (2015). Pacific Mackerel (Scomber japonicus) Stock Assessment for
- USA Management in the 2015-2016 Fishing Year. Portland, OR: Pacific Fishery Management
- 1060 Council.
- 1061 Curtis, K. A., Checkley Jr. D. M., and Pepin, P. (2007) Predicting the vertical profiles of anchovy
- (Engraulis mordax) and sardine (Sardinops sagax) eggs in the California Current System. Fish.
- 1063 Oceanogr. 16, 68-84. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2419.2006.00414.x
- 1064 Cury, P. M., Boyd, I. L., Bonhommeau, S., Anker-Nilssen, T., Crawford, R. J., Furness, R. W., et al.
- 1065 (2011). Global seabird response to forage fish depletion—one-third for the birds. Science 334,
- 1066 1703-1706. doi:10.1126/science.1212928
- Di Lorenzo, E. and Mantua, N. (2016). Multi-year persistence of the 2014-2015 North Pacific marine
- heatwave. Nat. Clim. Change 6, 1042-1048. doi:10.1038/nclimate3082
- Di Lorenzo, E., Schneider, N., Cobb, K. M., Franks, P. J. S., Chhak, K., Miller, A. J., et al. (2008).
- North Pacific Gyre Oscillation links ocean climate and ecosystem change. Geophys. Res. Lett.
- 1071 35:L08607. doi:10.1029/2007GL032838
- Donelson, J. M., Munday, P. M., McCormick, M. I., and Pitcher, C. R. (2012). Rapid
- transgenerational acclimation of a tropical reef fish to climate change. Nat. Clim. Change 2, 30-
- 1074 32. doi:10.1038/nclimate1323
- Dorval, E., Piner, K., Robertson, L., Reiss, C. S., Javor, B., and Vetter, R. (2011). Temperature
- record in the oxygen stable isotopes of Pacific sardine otoliths: Experimental vs. wild stocks from
- the Southern California Bight. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 397, 136-143.
- doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2010.11.024
- Dussin, R., Curchitser, E. N., Stock, C. A., and van Oostende, N. (2019). Biogeochemical drivers of
- 1080 changing hypoxia in the California Current Ecosystem. Deep-Sea Res. II 169-170, 105490.
- 1081 doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2019.05.013
- 1082 Efron, B. and Tibshirani, R. J. (1998). An Introduction to the Bootstrap. Boca Raton, F: Chapman &
- Hall/CRC Press.
- Elith, J. and Leathwick, J. R. (2009). Species distribution models: Ecological explanation and
- prediction across space and time. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 40, 677-697.
- doi:10.1146/annrev.ecolsys.110308.120159
- Fernandes, J. A., Cheung, W. W. L., Jennings, S., Butenschön, M., de Mora L., Frölicher, T. L., et al.
- 1088 (2013). Modelling the effects of climate change on the distribution and production of marine
- fishes: accounting for trophic interactions in a dynamic bioclimate envelope model. Glob. Change
- 1090 Biol. 19, 2596-2607. doi:10.1111/gcb.12231
- 1091 Fernandes, J.A., Rutterford, L., Simpson, S. D., Buttenschon, M., Frölicher, T. L., Yool, A., et al.
- 1092 (2020). Can we predict changes in fish abundance in response to decadal-scale climate scenarios?
- 1093 Glob. Change Biol. 26, 3891-3905. doi:10.1111/gcb.15081

- Fiedler, P. C. (1983). Satellite remote sensing of the habitat of spawning anchovy in the Southern California Bight. Cal. Coop. Ocean Fish. Invest. Rep. 24, 202-209.
- Field, D. B., Baumgartner, T. R., Ferreira, V., Gutierrez, D., Lozano-Montes, H., Salvatteci, R., et al.
- 1097 (2009). "Variability in small pelagic fishes from scales in marine sediments and other historical
- records," in Climate Change and Small Pelagic Fish, eds. D. M. Checkley, C. Roy, J. Alheit, and
- 1099 Y. Oozeki (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press), 45-63.
- 1100 Free, C. M., Thorson, J. T., Pinsky, M. L., Oken, K. L., Wiedenmann, J., and Jensen, O. P. (2019).
- Impacts of historical warming on marine fisheries production. Science 363, 979-983.
- doi:10.1126/science.aau1758
- 1103 Frölicher, T. L., Fischer, E. M., and Gruber, N. (2018). Marine heatwaves under global warming.
- Nature 560, 360-366. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0383-9
- Golden, C. D., Allison, E. H., Cheung, W. W. L., Dey, M. M., Halpern, B. S., McCauley, et al.
- 1106 (2016). Fall in fish catch threatens human health. Nature 534, 317-320.
- Habary, A., Johansen, J., Nay, T. J., Steffensen, J. f., and Rummer, J. L. (2016). Adapt, move or die –
- how will tropical coral reef fishes cope with ocean warming? Glob. Change Biol. 23, 566-577.
- doi:10.1111/gcb.13488
- Hare, J. A., Wuenschel, M. J., and Kimball, M. E. (2012). Projecting range limits with coupled
- thermal tolerance climate change models: an example based on gray snapper (*Lutjanus griseus*)
- along the U.S. East Coast. PLoS ONE 7(12):e52294. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052294
- Hare, S. R., Mantua, N. J., and Francis, R. C. (1999). Inverse production regimes: Alaska and West
- 1114 Coast Pacific salmon. Fisheries 24, 6-14. doi:10.1577/1548-8446(1999)024<0006:IPR>2.0.CO:2
- Hastie, T. J. (1991). "Generalized additive models," in Statistical Models in S, eds. J. M. Chambers
- and T. J. Hastie (Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC), 249-308.
- Henderson, M. E. (2019) Direct and indirect effects of temperature on marine fish distributions along
- the Northeast United States Continental Shelf. Dissertation. Stony Brook (NY): Stony Brook
- University
- Hildebrand, H., Donohue, I., Harpole, W.S., Hodapp, D., Kucera, M., Lewandowska, A. M., et al.
- 1121 (2020). Thresholds for ecological responses to global change do not emerge from empirical data.
- 1122 Nat. Ecol. Evol. 4, 1502-1509. doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1256-9
- 1123 Hill, K. T., Dorval, E., Lo, N. C. H., Macewicz, B. J., Show, C., and Felix-Uraga, R. (2008).
- Assessment of the Pacific Sardine Resource in 2008 for U.S. Management in 2009. La Jolla, CA:
- NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center.
- Hill, K. T., Crone, P. R., and Zwolinski, J. P. (2018). Assessment of the Pacific Sardine Resource in
- 1127 2018 for U.S. Management in 2018-2019. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-600.
- La Jolla, CA: US Department of Commerce.

- Howard, E. M., Penn, J. L., Frenzel, H., Seibel, B. A., Bianchi, D., Renault, L., et al. (2020).
- Climate-driven aerobic habitat loss in the California Current System. Sci. Adv. 6, eaay3188. doi:
- 1131 10.1126/sciadv.aay3188
- Hsieh, C. H., Glaser, S. M., Lucas, A. J., and Sugihara, G. (2005). Distinguishing random
- environmental fluctuations from ecological catastrophes for the North Pacific Ocean. Nature 435,
- 336-340. doi:10.1038/nature03553
- Hsieh, C. H., Reiss, C. S., Hewitt, R. P., and Sugihara, G. (2008). Spatial analysis shows that fishing
- enhances the climatic sensitivity of marine fishes. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 65, 947-961.
- 1137 doi:10.1139/f08-017
- Hsieh, C. H., Kim, H. J., Watson, W., Di Lorenzo, E., and Sugihara, G. (2009). Climate-driven
- changes in abundance and distribution of larvae of oceanic fishes in the southern California
- region. Glob. Change Biol. 15, 2137-2152. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01875.x
- Jacobson, L. D., and MacCall, A. D. (1995). Stock-recruitment models for Pacific sardine (Sardinops
- sagax). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 52, 566-577. doi:10.1139/f95-057
- Jezkova, T., and Wiens, J. J. (2016). Rates of change in climatic niches in plant and animal
- populations are much slower than projected climate change. Proc. R. Soc. B 282, 20162104.
- doi:10.1098.rspb.2016.2104
- Joyce, T. (2002). One hundred plus years of wintertime climate variability in the Eastern United
- States. J. Clim. 15, 1076-1086. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<1076:OHPYOW>2.0.CO;2
- Kaltenberg, A. M., Emmett, R. L., and Benoit-Bird, K. J. (2010). Timing of forage fish seasonal
- appearance in the Columbia River plume and link to ocean conditions. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 419,
- 1150 171-184. doi:10.3354/meps08848
- Kaplan, I. C., Koehn, L. E., Hodgson, E. E., Marshall, K. N., and Essington, T. E. (2017). Modeling
- food web effects of low sardine and anchovy abundance in the California Current. Ecological
- Modelling 359, 1-24. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.05.007
- Koslow, J.A., Goericke, R., Lara-Lopez, A., and Watson, W. (2011). Impact of declining
- intermediate-water oxygen on deepwater fishes in the California Current. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.
- 436, 207-218. doi:10.3354/meps09270
- Koslow, J.A., Goericke, R., and W. Watson, W. (2013). Fish assemblages in the Southern California
- 1158 Current: relationships with climate, 1951-2008. Fish. Oceanogr. 22, 207-219.
- 1159 doi:10.1111/fog.12018
- Koslow, J. A., Miller, E. F., and McGowan, J.A. (2015). Dramatic declines in coastal and oceanic
- fish communities off California. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 538, 221-227. doi:10.3354/meps.11444
- Kramer, D., Kalin, M. J., Stevens, E. G., Thrailkill, J. R., and Zweifel J. R. (1972). Collecting and
- Processing Data on Fish Eggs and Larvae in the California Current Region. NOAA Technical
- 1164 Report NMFS CIRC-370. Seattle: National Marine Fisheries Service.

- Lavaniegos, B. E., and Ohman, M. D. (2007). Coherence of long-term variations of zooplankton in
- two sectors of the California Current System. Prog. Oceanogr. 75, 42-69.
- doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2007.07.002
- Lenton, L. M. (2011). Early warning of climate tipping points. Nat. Clim. Change 1, 201-209.
- doi:10.1038/nclimate1143
- Lindegren, M. and Checkley, D. M. (2012). Temperature dependence of Pacific sardine (Sardinops
- 1171 sagax) recruitment in the California Current Ecosystem revisited and revised. Can. J. Fish. Aquat.
- 1172 Sci. 70, 245-252. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2012-0211
- Lindegren, M., and Eero, M. (2013). Threshold-dependent climate effects and high mortality limit
- recruitment and recovery of the Kattegat cod. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 490, 223-232.
- doi:10.3354/meps10437
- Litzow, M. A., Ciannelli, L., Puerta, P., Wettstein, J. J., Rykaczewski, R. R., and Opiekun, M.
- 1177 (2018). Non-stationary climate-salmon relationships in the Gulf of Alaska. Proc. Royal Soc. B
- 1178 285:20181855. doi:10.1098/rspb.2018.1855
- Litzow, M. A., Ciannelli, L., Puerta, P., Wettstein, J. J., Rykaczewski, R. R., and Opiekun, M.
- 1180 (2019). Nonstationary environmental and community relationships in the North Pacific Ocean.
- Ecology 100(8):e02760. doi:10.1002/ecy.2760
- Litzow, M. A., Hunsicker, M. E., Bond, N. A., Burke, B. J., Cunningham, C. J., Gosselin, J. L., et al.
- 1183 (2020). The changing physical and ecological meanings of North Pacific Ocean climate indices.
- Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 117, 7665-7671. doi:10.1073/pnas.1921266117
- Lluch-Belda, D., Lluch-Cota, D. B., Hernandez-Vazquez, S., Salinas-Zavala, C. A., and Schwartlose,
- 1186 R. A. (1991). Sardine and anchovy spawning as related to temperature and upwelling in the
- California Current System, Cal. Coop. Ocean Fish, Invest. Rep. 32, 105-111.
- Lynn, R. (2003). Variability in the spawning habitat of Pacific sardine (Sardinops sagax) off
- southern and central California. Fish. Oceanogr. 12, 554-568.
- 1190 MacCall, A. D. (1990). Dynamic Geography of Marine Fish Populations. Seattle: University of
- 1191 Washington Press.
- Mair, L., Thomas, C. D., Anderson, B. J., Fox, R., Botham, M., and Hill, J. K. (2012). Temporal
- variation in responses of species to four decades of climate warming. Glob. Change Biol. 18,
- 2439-2447. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02730.x
- 1195 McClatchie, S. (2013). Regional Fisheries Oceanography of the California Current System: The
- 1196 CalCOFI Program. New York: Springer.
- McClatchie, S., Goericke, R., Auad, G., and Hill, K. (2010). Re-assessment of the stock recruit and
- temperature-recruit relationships for Pacific sardine (Sardinops sagax). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.
- 1199 67, 1782-1790. doi:10.1139/F10-101

- McClatchie, S., Hendy, I. L., Thompson, A. R., and Watson, W. (2017). Collapse and recovery of
- forage fish populations prior to commercial exploitation. Geophys. Res. Lett. 44, 1877-1885.
- 1202 doi:10.1002/2016GL071751
- McGowan, J. A., Bograd, S. J., Lynn, R. J., and Miller, A. J. (2003). The biological response to the
- 1204 1977 regime shift in the California Current. Deep-Sea Res. II 50, 2567-2582. doi:10.1016/S0967-
- 1205 0645(03)00135-8
- 1206 McHenry, J., Welch, H., Lester, S. E., and Saba., V. (2019). Projecting marine species range shifts
- from only temperature can mask climate vulnerability. Glob. Change Biol. 25, 4208-4221.
- 1208 doi:10.1111/gcb.14828
- McLeod, E., Salm, R., Green, A., and Almany, J. (2009). Designing marine protected area networks
- to address the impacts of climate change. Front. Ecol. Environ. 7, 362-370. doi:10.1890/070211
- 1211 Mhlongo, N., Yemane, D., Hendricks, M., and van der Lingen, C. D. (2015). Have the spawning
- habitat preferences of anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) and sardine (*Sardinops sagax*) in the
- southern Benguela changed in recent years? Fish. Oceanogr. 24, 1-14. doi:10.1111/fog.12061
- Miller, E. F., and McGowan, J. A. (2013). Faunal shift in southern California's coastal fishes: A new
- assemblage and trophic structure takes hold. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 127, 29-36.
- 1216 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.04.014
- Morley, J. W., Selden, R. L., Latour, R. J., Frölicher, T. L., Seagraves, R. J., and Pinsky, M. L.
- 1218 (2018). Projecting shifts in thermal habitat for 686 species on the North American continental
- shelf. PLoS ONE 13(5):e0196127. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0196127
- Moser, H. G., Charter, R. L., Smith, P. E., Ambrose, D. A., Watson, W., Charter, S. R., and
- Sandknop, E. M. (2001). Distributional Atlas of Fish Larvae and Eggs in the Southern California
- Bight Region: 1951-1998. Atlas 34. La Jolla, CA: National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast
- 1223 Fisheries Science Center.
- 1224 Muhling, B. A., Brodie, S., Smith, J. A., Tommasi, D., Gaitan, C. F., Hazen, E. L., et al. (2020).
- Predictability of species distributions deteriorates under novel environmental conditions in the
- California Current System. Front. Mar. Sci. 7:589. doi:10.3389/fmars.2020.00589
- Murawski, S. A. (1993). Climate change and marine fish distributions: forecasting from historical
- analogy. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 122, 647-658. doi:10.1577/1548-
- 1229 8659(1993)122<0647:CCAMFD>2.3.CO;2
- Nishikawa, H., Curchitser, E. N., Fiechter, J., Rose, K. A., and Hedstrom, K. (2019). Using a
- climate-to-fishery model to simulate the influence of the 1976-1977 regime shift on anchovy and
- sardine in the California Current System. Prog. Earth Planet. Sci. 6:9. doi:10.1186/s40645-019-
- 1233 0257-2
- Nye, J. A., Link, J. S., Hare, J. A., and Overholtz, W. J. (2009). Changing spatial distribution of fish
- stocks in relation to climate and population size on the Northeast United States continental shelf.
- 1236 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 393, 111-129. doi:10.3354/meps08220

- Ohman, M. D., and Smith, P. E. (1995). A comparison of zooplankton sampling methods in the
- 1238 CalCOFI time series. Calif. Coop. Ocean. Fish. Invest. Rep. 36,153–158.
- O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D. P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., et al. (2016).
- The scenario model Intercomparison project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6. Geosci. Model Dev. 9,
- 1241 3461-3482. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2106
- Overland, J., Rodionov, S., Minobe, S., and Bond, N. (2008). North Pacific regime shifts:
- Definitions, issues and recent transitions. Prog. Oceanogr. 77, 92-102.
- doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2008.03.016
- 1245 PFMC (Pacific Fishery Management Council). (2019). Coastal Pelagic Species Fishery Management
- Plan. Portland, OR: Pacific Fishery Management Council.
- 1247 https://www.pcouncil.org/documents/2019/06/cps-fmp-as-amended-through-amendment-17.pdf/
- 1248 [Accessed September 26, 2021].
- Peabody, C. E., Thompson, A. R., Sax, D. F., Morse, R. E., and Perretti, C. T. (2018). Decadal
- regime shifts in southern California's ichthyoplankton assemblage. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 607, 71-
- 1251 83. doi:10.3354/meps12787
- Peer, A. C., and Miller, T. J. (2014) Climate change, migration phenology, and fisheries management
- interact with unanticipated consequences. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag. 34, 94-110.
- doi:10.1080/02755947.2013.847877
- Perry, A. L., Low, P. J., Ellis, J. R., and Reynolds, J. D. (2005). Climate change and distribution in
- marine fishes. Science 308, 1912-1915. doi:10.1126/science.1111322
- Pershing, A. J., Alexander, M. A., Hernandez, C. M., Kerr, L. A., Le Bris, A., Mills, K. E., et al.
- 1258 (2015). Slow adaptation in the face of rapid warming leads to collapse of the Gulf of Maine cod
- fishery. Science 350, 809-812. doi:10.1126/science.aac9819
- Peterson, W. (2009). Copepod species richness as an indicator of long-term changes in the coastal
- ecosystem of the northern California Current. Calif. Coop. Ocean Fish. Invest. Rep. 50, 73-81.
- Pikitch, E. K., Rountos, K. J., Essington, T. E., Santora, C., Pauly, D., Watson, R. et al. (2014). The
- global contribution of forage fish to marine fisheries and ecosystems. Fish Fish. 15, 43-64.
- 1264 doi:10.1111/faf.12004
- Pinsky, M. L., and Byler, D. (2015). Fishing, fast growth and climate variability increase the risk of
- 1266 collapse. Proc. R. Soc. B. 282: 20150153. doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.1053
- Pinsky, M. L., B. Worm, B., Fogarty, M. J., Sarmiento, J. L., and S.A. Levin, S. A. (2013). Marine
- taxa track local climate velocities. Science 341, 1239-1242. doi:10.1126/science.1239352.
- Pinsky, M. L., Eikeset, A. M., McCauley, D. J., Payne, J. L., and Sunday, J. M. (2019). Greater
- vulnerability to warming of marine versus terrestrial ectotherms. Nature 569, 108-111.
- 1271 doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1132-4

- Planque, B., Bellier, E., and Lazure, P. (2007). Modelling potential spawning habitat of sardine
- 1273 (Sardina pilchardus) and anchovy (Engraulis encrasicolus) in the Bay of Biscay. Fish. Oceanogr.
- 1274 16, 16-30. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2419.2006.00411.x
- 1275 Planque, B., Bellier, E., and Loots, C. (2011). Uncertainties in projecting spatial distributions of
- marine populations. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 68, 1045-1050. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsr007
- Poloczanska, E. S., Brown, C. J., Sydeman, W. J., Kiessling, W., Schoeman, D. S., Moore, P. J. et al.
- 1278 (2013). Global imprint of climate change on marine life. Nat. Clim. Change 3, 919-925.
- 1279 doi:10.1038/nclimate1958
- Puerta, P., Ciannelli, L., Rykaczewski, R. R., Opiekun, M., and Litzow, M. A. (2019). Do Gulf of
- Alaska fish and crustacean poplations show synchronous non-stationary responses to climate?
- Prog. Oceanogr. 175, 161-170. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2019.04.002
- Reglero, P., Ciannelli, L., Alvarez-Berastegui, D., Balbín, R., López-Jurado, J. L., and Alemany, F.
- 1284 (2012). Geographically and environmentally driven spawning distributions of tuna species in the
- western Mediterranean Sea. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 463, 273-284. doi:10.3354/meps09800
- Reiss, C. S., Checkley, D. M., and Bograd, S. J. (2008). Remotely sensed spawning habitat of Pacific
- sardine (Sardinops sagax) and Northern anchovy (Engraulis mordax) within the California
- 1288 Current. Fish. Oceanogr. 17, 126-136. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2419.2008.00469.x
- Roberts, S. M., Boustany, A. M., Halpin, P. N., and Rykaczewski, R. R. (2019). Cyclical climate
- oscillation alters species statistical relationships with local habitat. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 614,
- 1291 159-171. doi:10.3354/meps12890
- Roemmich, D., and McGowan, J. (1995). Climate warming and the decline of zooplankton in the
- 1293 California Current. Science 267, 1324-1326. doi:10.1126/science.267.5202.1324
- Ruggieri, E. (2013). A Bayesian approach to detecting change points in climatic records. Int. J.
- 1295 Climatol. 33, 520-528. doi:10.1002/joc.3447
- Rykaczewski, R. R., and Checkley Jr., D. M. (2008). Influence of ocean winds on the pelagic
- ecosystem in upwelling regions. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA 105, 1965-1970.
- 1298 doi:10.1073/pnas.071777105
- Rykaczewski, R. R. and J. P. Dunne. (2010) Enhanced nutrient supply to the California Current
- Ecosystem with global warming and increased stratification in an earth system model. Geophys.
- Res. Lett. 37:L21606. doi:10.1029/2010GL045019
- Schwartzlose, R. A., Alheit, J., Bakun, A., Baumgartner, T. R. Cloete, R. Crawford, R. J. M., et al.
- 1303 (1999). Worldwide large-scale fluctuations of sardine and anchovy populations. S. Afr. J. Mar.
- 1304 Sci. 21, 289-347.
- 1305 Séférian, R., Nabat, P., Michou, M., Saint-Martin, D., Voldoire, A., Colin, J., et al. (2019).
- Evaluation of CNRM Earth System Model, CNRM-ESM2-1: Role of earth system processes in
- present-day and future climate. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 11. doi:10.1029/2019MS001791

- Selden, R. L., Batt, R. D., Saba, V. S., and Pinsky, M. L. (2018). Diversity in thermal affinity among
- key piscivores buffers impacts of ocean warming on predator-prey interactions. Glob. Change
- 1310 Biol. 24, 117-131. doi:10.1111/gcb.13838
- 1311 Smith, A. D. M., Brown, C. J., Bulman, C. M., Fulton, E. Z., Johnson, P., Kaplan, I. C., et al. (2011).
- 1312 Impacts of fishing low-trophic level species in marine ecosystems. Science 333, 1147-1150.
- 1313 doi:10.1126/science.1209395
- 1314 Stock, C. A., Alexander, M. A., Bond, N. A., Brander, K. M., Cheung, W. W. L., Curchitser, E. N., et
- al. (2011). On the use of IPCC-class models to assess the impact of climate on Living Marine
- Resources. Prog. Oceanogr. 88, 1-27. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2010.09.001
- Sunday, J. M., Bates, A. E., and Dulvy, N. K. (2012). Thermal tolerance and the global redistribution
- of animals. Nat. Clim. Change 2, 686-690. doi:10.1038/nclimate1539
- 1319 Szuwalski, C. S. and A. B. Hollowed. (2016). Climate change and non-stationary population
- processes in fisheries management. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 73, 1297-1305. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsv229
- Takasuka, A., Oozeki, Y., Kubota, H., and Lluch-Cota, S.E. (2008). Contrasting spawning
- temperature optima: Why are anchovy and sardine regime shifts synchronous across the North
- Pacific? Prog. Oceanogr. 77, 225-232. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2008.03.008
- 1324 Thayer, J. A., MacCall, A. D., Sydeman, W. J., and Davison, D. (2017). California anchovy
- population remains low, 2012-2016. Cal. Coop. Ocean. Fish. Invest. Rep. 58, 69-76.
- Thompson, A. R., McClatchie, S. Weber, E. D., Watson, W., and Lennert-Cody, C. E. (2017).
- 1327 Correcting for bias in CalCOFI ichthyoplankton abundance estimates associated with the 1977
- transition from ring to bongo net sampling. Calif. Coop. Ocean. Fish. Invest. Rep. 58, 113-123.
- Thompson, A. R., Harvey, C. J., Sydeman, W. J., Barceló, C., Bograd, S. J., Brodeur, R. D., et al.
- 1330 (2019a). Indicators of pelagic forage community shifts in the California Current Large Marine
- Ecosystem, 1998-2016. Ecol. Indic. 105, 215-228. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.05.057
- Thompson, A. R., Schroeder, I. D., Bograd, S. J., Hazen, E. L., Jacox, M. G., Leising, A., et al.
- 1333 (2019b). State of the California Current 2018-2019: A novel anchovy regime and a new marine
- heat wave? Cal. Coop. Ocean. Fish. Invest. 60, 1-65.
- Thorson, J. (2018). Forecast skill for predicting distribution shifts: A retrospective experiment for
- marine fishes in the Eastern Bering Sea. Fish Fish. 20, 159-173. doi:10.1111/faf.12330
- Tommasi, D., Nye, J., Stock, C., Hare, J. A., Alexander, M., and Drew, K. (2015). Effect of
- environmental conditions on juvenile recruitment of alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) and
- blueback herring (*Alosa aestivalis*) in fresh water: a coastwide perspective. Can. J. Fish. Aquat.
- 1340 Sci. 72, 1037-1047. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2014-0259
- Tommasi, D., Stock, C. A., Pegion, K., Vecchi, G. A., Methot, R. D., Alexander, M. A., et al. (2017).
- Improved management of small pelagic fisheries through seasonal climate prediction. Ecol. Appl.
- 1343 27, 378-388. doi:10.1002/eap.1458

- van der Sleen, P., Rykaczewski, R. R., Turley, B. D., Sydeman, W. J., García-Reyes, M., Bograd, S.
- J., et al. (2018). Non-stationary responses in anchovy (Engraulis encrasicolus) recruitment to
- coastal upwelling in the Southern Benguela. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 596, 155-164.
- 1347 doi:10.3354/meps12567
- van Oostende, N., Dussin, R., Stock, C. A., Barton, A. D., Curchitser, E., Dunne, J. P., et al. (2018).
- Simulating the ocean's chlorophyll dynamic range from coastal upwelling to oligotrophy. Prog.
- Oceanogr. 168, 232-247. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2018.10.009
- 1351 Vert-pre, K. A., Amoroso, R. O., Jensen, O. P., and Hilborn, R. (2013). Frequency and intensity of
- productivity regime shifts in marine fish stocks. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. 110, 1779-1784.
- 1353 doi:10.1073/pnas.1214879110
- Walsh, H. J., Richardson, D. E., Marancik, K. E., and Hare, J. A. (2015). Long-term changes in the
- distribution of larval and adult fish in the northeast U.S. shelf ecosystem. PLoS ONE
- 1356 10(9):e0137382. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137382
- Weber, E. D., and McClatchie, S. (2010). Predictive models of northern anchovy Engraulis mordax
- and Pacific sardine *Sardinops sagax* spawning habitat in the California Current. Mar. Ecol. Prog.
- 1359 Ser. 406, 251-263. doi:10.3354/meps08544
- Weber, E. D., and McClatchie, S. (2012). Effect of environmental conditions on the distribution of
- Pacific mackerel (*Scomber japonicus*) larvae in the California Current system. Fish. Bull. 110,
- 1362 85-97.
- Weber, E. D., Chao, Y., and Chai, F. (2018). Performance of fish-habitat classifiers based on derived
- predictors from a coupled biophysical model. J. Mar. Sys. 186, 105-114.
- doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2018.06.012
- Wood, S. N. (2006). Generalized additive models. An introduction with R. Boca Raton, FL:
- 1367 Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Zwolinski, J. P. and Demer, D. A. (2019). Re-evaluation of the environmental dependence of Pacific
- sardine recruitment. Fish. Res. 216, 120-125. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2019.03.022
- 2 Zwolinski, J. P., Emmett, R. L., and Demer, D. A. (2011). Predicting habitat to optimize sampling of
- Pacific sardine (Sardinops sagax). ICES J. Mar. Sci. 68, 867-879. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsr038

Table 1. Principal components analysis (PCA) performed on environmental variables binned by onshore-offshore strata. Strata are indicated by station numbers from California Cooperative Ocean Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI). Data on the percent variance explained by each principal component (PC) and loadings of the PC on each stratum are presented below.

	Variance explained (%)	CalCOFI station numbers						
Principal Component (PC)		≤40	40-50	50-60	60-70	70-80	80-90	>90
Temperature PC1	72.6	0.389	0.366	0.383	0.359	0.370	0.382	0.397
Temperature PC2	14.4	-0.230	-0.445	-0.320	-0.005	0.004	0.163	0.788
Salinity PC1	73.8	0.335	0.295	0.315	0.429	0.420	0.443	0.381
Salinity PC2	11.1	-0.506	-0.522	-0.237	-0.005	0.113	0.330	0.542
Oxygen PC1	63.3	0.415	0.261	0.452	0.362	0.386	0.373	0.369
Oxygen PC2	17.2	0.393	0.671	0.188	-0.306	-0.376	-0.333	-0.117
Zooplankton PC1	91.2	0.355	0.377	0.379	0.391	0.404	0.393	0.343
Zooplankton PC2	4.9	-0.576	-0.408	-0.182	0.102	0.181	0.296	0.579

Table 2. Percent incidence of non-stationarity by indicator metric, species, oceanic variable, and change point type for generalized additive models (GAMs). In (a), (b), and (d), non-stationarity is assessed at the model level, whereas in (c) it is assessed across each oceanic variable included in a model.

(A) Percent incidence of non-stationa metric	rity by				
Variables included in model	60%				
Degree of non-linearity	60%				
Rank order of deviance explained	70%				
Response curve shape	80%				
Degree of responsiveness	40%				
Peak environmental range	80%				
(B) Percent incidence of non-stational species	rity by				
Engraulis mordax	50%				
Sardinops sagax	89%				
Scomber japonicus	50%				
Trachurus symmetricus 6					
(C) Percent incidence of non-stationarity by oceanic variable					
Temperature	25%				
Salinity	33%				
Dissolved oxygen	37%				
Zooplankton volume 33					
(D) Percent incidence of non-stationarity by type of change point					
PDO	58%				
Zooplankton volume	67%				
SSB	75%				

Table 3. Two-way crossed analysis of variance (ANOVA) examining interactions between shared socioeconomic pathway (SSP) simulations and projections from generalized additive models (GAMs) trained during different ecological and climatic regimes. The ANOVA response variable is the habitat suitability for larval fish species integrated over each year of the 20-year period examined by each SSP simulation.

Term	Sum of squares	d.f.	Mean squares	F	р				
PDO regime shifts – E. mordax									
GAM	174.0	1	174.0	0.8	0.3823				
SSP	98,191.9	2	49,096.0	217.1	< 0.0001				
GAM*SSP	47.7	2	23.8	0.1	0.9001				
PDO regime	e shifts – <i>S. sa</i> g								
GAM	11,829.7	1	11,829.7	366.9	<0.0001				
SSP	9,735.6	2	4,867.8	151.0	<0.0001				
GAM*SSP	4,363.3	2	2,181.6	67.7	<0.0001				
	e shifts – <i>S. jap</i>								
GAM	1,723.5	1	1,723.5	265.5	<0.0001				
SSP	681.7	2	340.8	52.5	<0.0001				
GAM*SSP	361.7	2	180.9	27.9	<0.0001				
	shifts – <i>T. syn</i>								
GAM	21,853.1	1	21,853.1	393.3	<0.0001				
SSP	6,135.2	2	3,067.6	55.2	<0.0001				
GAM*SSP	723.9	2	361.9	6.5	0.0021				
	ankton volume				2 2 2 2 4				
GAM	5,140.4	2	2,570.2	14.5	<0.0001				
SSP	112,616.6	2	56,308.3	316.6	<0.0001				
GAM*SSP	7,600.6	4	1,900.2	10.7	<0.0001				
	ankton volume				0.0004				
GAM	9,920.9	2	4,960.4	943.7	< 0.0001				
SSP	2,697.0	2	1,348.5	256.5	< 0.0001				
GAM*SSP	2,011.0	4	502.8	95.6	<0.0001				
GAM	ankton volume	regime sni 2	ι πs – <i>S. Japon</i> 4,921.1		<0.0004				
SSP	9,842.1 2,637.9	2	4,921.1 1,318.9	225.0 60.3	<0.0001 <0.0001				
		4							
GAM*SSP	2,943.3 ankton volume	•	735.8	33.6	<0.0001				
GAM	47,321.5	regime sni 2	23,660.7	411.3	<0.0001				
SSP	6,621.6	2	3,310.8	57.6	<0.0001				
GAM*SSP	3,343.8	4	835.9	14.5	<0.0001				
	shifts – E. moi	•	033.9	14.5	\0.0001				
GAM	445.5	1	445.5	2.7	0.1025				
SSP	68,597.3	2	34,298.7	208.6	< 0.0001				
GAM*SSP	1,194.7	2	597.3	3.6	0.0295				
	shifts – <i>S. sag</i>		007.0	0.0	0.0200				
GAM	1,337.2	2	668.6	33.9	<0.0001				
SSP	8,009.1	2	4,004.6	202.9	< 0.0001				
GAM*SSP	670.3	4	167.6	8.5	<0.0001				
<u> </u>	010.0	<u>r</u>	107.0	0.0	-0.0001				

Table 4. Mean coefficient of variation (CV) for GAM model projections of annual integrated habitat suitability (IHS) under the historical and SSP5-8.5 climate scenarios. Mean CVs are presented by (A) species, (B) change point type, (C) environmental variable, and (D) non-stationary metric. In (C) and (D), only models for which there is some evidence of non-stationary are included in the means.

(A) Species						
	E. mordax	S. sagax	S. japonicus	T. symmetricus		
Historical sce	nario					
Mean CV	0.24	0.67	0.96	0.54		
SSP5-8.5						
Mean CV	0.61	0.88	1.04	0.50		

(B) Change point type					
	PDO	Zooplankton displacement	SSB		
Historical scenario					
Mean CV	0.59	0.69	0.29		
SSP5-8.5					
Mean CV	0.71	0.88	0.58		

(C) Environmental variables							
	Temperature	Salinity	Dissolved oxygen	Zooplankton volume			
Historical sc	enario						
Mean CV	0.55	0.68	0.51	0.65			
SSP5-8.5							
Mean CV	0.71	0.83	0.72	0.87			

(D) Non-stat	ionarity metric					
	Metric 1 (variables in GAM)	Metric 2 (linearity)	Metric 3 (rank order)	Metric 4 (response curve shape)	Metric 5 (response curve range)	Metric 6 (peak value)
Historical sce	enario					
Mean CV	0.56	0.66	0.62	0.58	0.58	0.55
SSP5-8.5						
Mean CV	0.79	0.80	0.78	0.75	0.82	0.71

1372 Figure Captions

- 1373 **Figure 1.** Web of Science search examining the cumulative number of records in the scientific
- literature on species distribution models, habitat models, ecological niche models, and bioclimate
- envelope models between 1970-2020. Five Web of Science searches were performed: (1) species
- AND distribution AND model*; (2) habitat AND model*; (3) ecolog* AND niche AND model*; (4)
- environment* AND niche AND model*, and; (5) bioclimate AND envelope AND model*. Results
- from the third and fourth search were combined in this figure.
- Figure 2. Time series of the first principal component of (A) temperature, (B) salinity, (C) dissolved
- oxygen (DO) concentration, and (D) mesozooplankton displacement volume from the southern
- California Current System. Note that there are some gaps in DO measurements during the early
- years of the CalCOFI time series. Horizontal, dashed lines indicate principal component scores of
- zero, while thick, vertical lines represent the timing of break points identified in time series. Gray
- bars show the posterior probability of a change point occurring each year in the time series of each
- oceanic variable. The winter Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) is included as a blue line in (A) and
- its inverse is included as a turquoise line in (D) to illustrate correlations among principal components
- and this regional climate index.
- Figure 3. Time series of the natural log transformed spawning stock biomass (SSB) of (A) E.
- 1389 mordax, (B) S. sagax, and (C) S. japonicus. No SSB data are available for T. symmetricus. Dashed
- line indicates the time period of low S. sagax biomass when no stock assessments were conducted to
- estimate this species' SSB. Gray bars show the posterior probability of a change point in the SSB
- time series occurring each year. Black, vertical lines indicate the timing of break points identified in
- each time series.
- Figure 4. Generalized additive model (GAM) response curves for S. sagax during three different
- spawning stock biomass (SSB) change points: 1951-1963 (A-D; blue), 1964-1997 (E-H; green), and
- 1396 1998-2015 (I-K; red). Dashed lines indicate that 95% confidence intervals for each response curve.
- 1397 Missing subplots (e.g., log zooplankton during 1998-2015) are indicative that a particular oceanic
- variable was not included in the most parsimonious GAM. Rug plots are displayed at the bottom of
- each subplot.
- 1400 **Figure 5.** Rank order comparison between the influence of each oceanic variable on the
- presence/absence of larvae of E. mordax, S. sagax, S. japonicus, and T. symmetricus. Results are
- shown for change points designated based on changes in the sign of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation
- 1403 (PDO; A-D); break points in the mesozooplankton volume time series (E-H; the abbreviation "zoop"
- is used when labeling the title of these subplots), and; break points in the time series of E. mordax
- and S. sagax spawning stock biomass (SSB; I-K). Unless otherwise specified, time periods for each
- type of change point are the same across all species. Only the start year of a particular regime is
- listed here. Oceanic variables are abbreviated as follows: T temperature, S salinity, O_2 –
- 1408 dissolved oxygen concentration, Z mesozooplankton volume. Comparisons between variables are
- based on the change in deviance (ΔD) when one variable is removed relative to the deviance of the
- full model. The scale for ΔD is shown in the lower, right corner of the figure. Note that ΔD is
- influenced by sample size so this metric is comparable across from a single regime, but not across
- multiple regime types due to variations in sample size. The rank order of different environmental
- variables for each period is shown based on circle size and color: green -1^{st} rank, turquoise -2^{nd}
- 1414 rank, blue -3^{rd} rank, purple -4^{th} rank.

- 1415 Figure 6. Changes between periods in the response curve range from generalized additive models
- 1416 (GAMs). Response curve range is defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum
- 1417 value in a GAM response curve and is indicative of how strongly an environmental variable
- 1418 influences larval fish occurrence. Median values and 95% confidence intervals from bootstrap
- 1419 analysis are shown. Results are shown for change points designated based on changes in the sign of
- 1420 the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO; A-D); break points in the mesozooplankton volume time series
- 1421 (E-H), and; break points in the time series of E. mordax and S. sagax spawning stock biomass (SSB;
- 1422 I-L). GAM results for different periods are displayed in groups, with the first period represented by
- 1423 the left most bar in a group (dark blue color) and the last period displayed to the right (light blue
- 1424 color). Intermediate periods are displayed in the middle of each group. Stars indicate that periods
- 1425 are significantly different from each other for a given species and environmental variable based on
- 1426 non-overlapping 95% confidence intervals. White squares indicate that a particular variable was not
- 1427 included in the best fit GAM model(s). Numbers shown in some subplots indicate the maximum
- 1428 response curve range in a few cases where the maximum value exceeds the y-axis limit of a graph.
- 1429 Species names are abbreviated based on the first letter of the genus and the first letters of the species
- 1430 name: Em – Engraulis mordax; Ss – Sardinops sagax; Sj – Scomber japonicus; Ts – Trachurus
- 1431 symmetricus.
- 1432 **Figure 7.** Changes between periods in the peak value of generalized additive model (GAM)
- 1433 response curves. The peak in response curves is indicative of the environmental conditions that
- 1434 maximize the likelihood of occurrence of E. mordax, S. sagax, S. japonicus, and T. symmetricus.
- 1435 Median values and 95% confidence intervals from bootstrap analysis are shown. Results are shown
- 1436 for change points designated based on changes in the sign of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO;
- 1437 A-D); break points in the mesozooplankton volume time series (E-H), and; break points in the time
- 1438 series of E. mordax and S. sagax spawning stock biomass (SSB; I-L). Bar colors, symbols, and
- 1439 species name abbreviations are the same as in Fig. 6.
- 1440 Figure 8. Integrated habitat suitability (IHS) for larval fishes during spring months based on
- 1441 projections from the CNRM Earth System Model (CNRM-ESM-2-1). Annual mean IHS scores (±
- 1442 95% confidence intervals) are shown for a historical simulation for the years 1980-1999 (abbreviated
- 1443 as Hist) and two future simulations (SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5) for the years 2080-2099. Using the
- 1444 climate forcing from each CNRM-ESM-2-1 simulation, habitat suitability is projected based on
- 1445 generalized additive models (GAMs) parameterized with data from different regimes and species.
- 1446 Results are shown for change points designated based on changes in the sign of the Pacific Decadal
- 1447 Oscillation (PDO; A-D); break points in the mesozooplankton volume time series (E-H; abbreviated
- 1448 as Zoop), and; break points in the time series of E. mordax and S. sagax spawning stock biomass
- 1449 (SSB; I-J). GAM results for different periods are displayed in groups, with the first period
- 1450 represented by the left most bar in a group (dark blue color) and the last period displayed to the right
- 1451 (light blue color). Intermediate periods are displayed in the middle of each group. In cases where
- 1452 multiple models were selected for a particular regime, separate bars are shown for each model using
- 1453 the color coding described above.















