LETTERS

Edited by Jennifer Sills

Retraction

We would like to retract the Report "Asymmetric remote C-H borylation of aliphatic amides and esters with a modular iridium catalyst" (1) after discovering that the reported enantioselective gamma-selective C-H borylation of N,Ndibenzylhexamide (1a) is not reproducible and that many of the nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were manipulated. For example, the signal patterns of the ¹H NMR spectra of boronate (R)-2b (4-boryl-N-tert-butylhexamide) and (R)-2g(tert-butyl 4-boryl-hexanoate) (S129, S144) are identical, the baselines of the ¹³C NMR spectra of (R)-**2b** and (R)-**2g** are identical (S130, S145), duplicative signals are found in the ¹H NMR spectrum of boronate (R)-2f (ethyl 4-boryl-hexanoate) (two triplets in 0.7 to 0.9 ppm and two multiplets in 2.2 to 4.1 ppm) (S141), and the baseline of (R)-2f (S142) is also identical to those of (R)-2b and (R)-2g. These issues undermine our confidence in the integrity of the study as a whole. We regret any confusion and apologize to the scientific community. All authors have agreed to retract this Report, and an institutional investigation of misconduct is underway.

Ronald L. Reyes^{1,2}, Miyu Sato², Tomohiro Iwai², Kimichi Suzuki^{1,2}, Satoshi Maeda^{1,2}, Masaya Sawamura^{1,2*}

¹Institute for Chemical Reaction Design and Discovery (WPI-ICReDD), Hokkaido University, Sapporo 001-0021, Japan. ²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan. *Corresponding author. Email: sawamura@sci.hokudai.ac.jp

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. R. L. Reyes et al., Science 369, 970 (2020).

10.1126/science.abq4856

Evolutionary risks of osprey translocations

The osprey *Pandion haliaetus* is a mostly migratory, cosmopolitan raptor (1) that was historically extirpated or highly depleted in southern Europe. Because the species is charismatic, it has garnered public attention that has stimulated broad conservation action, fundraising, and political commitment. Osprey translocation programs have been a primary conservation strategy in Europe since



An osprey chick from an endangered population sits in a nest on the rocky cliffs of Corsica.

1996 (1). However, because evidence suggests that translocation programs that move individuals without accounting for local adaptations between populations have negative short- and long-term effects (2-5), this approach should no longer be used to meet conservation goals.

Translocations over the past several decades have contributed to increases in southern and western osprey populations (1). However, in most cases source populations were from distant geographical areas of central and northern Europe (6), from which native Mediterranean osprey populations differ in both genetics (6) and migratory behavior (6-8). Whereas native Mediterranean populations are residents or short-distance migrants within the Mediterranean basin (7), breeding birds from reintroduced populations in Spain and Portugal maintained a long-distance migratory pattern, similar to birds from the donor populations (8).

Reintroductions that are not consistent with the evolutionary history of a species, and that will likely alter the species' future evolution, could prove detrimental to conservation (2-5). Evidence suggests

that mortality of translocated animals, mixing with neighboring local populations (2), and changes in migratory and dispersal behavior (2, 5, 8) are likely to take place in the short term. Long-term effects could include genetic homogenization that annihilates part of the genetic diversity of the species at broader spatial scales (2-4) and decreases in the species' ability to adapt to climate change.

In June, the local government of Generalitat Valenciana plans to release near Valencia, Spain, large numbers of ospreys originating in central Europe (9). Although the project was conceived as a way to improve conservation, it instead focuses on the short-term social and political benefits associated with the return of a totemic species. By prioritizing short-term goals, the plan disregards the demonstrated risks of such translocations and the recommendations of international conservation agencies (10-12).

We warn the scientific community and the Spanish authorities against the planned actions and recommend appropriate international assessments to ensure that all future reintroductions adhere to

science-based recommendations. Genetic and behavioral processes that occur on evolutionary time scales are at least as important as those that are readily visible at the time scales of most conservation actions (10-12).

Flavio Monti^{1,2}*, Claudine Montgelard³, Alexandre Robert⁴, Andrea Sforzi², Rafel Triay⁵, François Sarrazin⁴, Olivier Duriez³

¹Department of Physical Sciences, University of Siena, Earth and Environment, 53100 Siena, Italy. 2Maremma Natural History Museum, 58100 Grosseto, Italy. 3Center for Evolutionary and Functional Ecology, University of Montpellier, and Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, Institut pour la Recherche et le Developpement, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Montpellier, France, 4Centre d'Ecologie et des Sciences de la Conservation, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 75005 Paris, France. 5 Institut Menorquí d'Estudis, E-07702 Maó, Illes Balears, Spain, *Corresponding author. Email: flaviomonti00@gmail.com

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. A. F. Poole, Ospreys: The Revival of a Global Raptor (Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD,
- 2. C. B. Viverette, "Influence of historic landscapes and contemporary species management on Chesapeake Bay bald eagles and osprey," thesis, Virginia Commonwealth University, USA (2016).
- 3. M. Wheeler, "The genetics of conservation translocations: A comparison of North American golden eagles (Aquila chrysaetos canadensis) and bald eagles (Haliaeetus leucocephalus)," thesis, Duquesne University, USA (2014).
- 4. F. Jacobsen, M. Nesje, L. Bachmann, J. T. Lifjeld, Conserv. Genet. 9, 581 (2008).
- 5. R. J. Burnside, C. Buchan, D. Salliss, N. J. Collar, P. M. Dolman, R. Soc. Open Sci. 7, 200250 (2020).
- F. Monti et al., Conserv. Genet. 19, 839 (2018).
- F. Monti et al., J. Avian Biol. 49, e01839 (2018).
- B. Martín et al., Eur. J. Wildl. Res. 65, 72 (2019).
- Informe Técnico, "Reintroducción del Águila Pescadora en la Comunitat Valenciana: Fase experimental 2019-2020" (Servei de Vida Silvestre, Direcció General de Medi Natural i d'Avaluació Ambiental, 2020) [in Spanish].
- International Union for Conservation of Nature Species Survival Commission, "Guidelines for reintroductions and other conservation translocations"
- 11. F. Sarrazin, J. Lecomte, Science 351, 922 (2016).
- 12. P. J. Seddon, D. P. Armstrong, R. F. Maloney, Conserv. Biol. 21, 303 (2007).

10.1126/science.abo5970

How to weaken Russian oil and gas strength

Oil and gas exports represent Russia's key geopolitical strength, as well as its major source of hard currency revenues (1-3). At current prices, these are estimated at around US\$1 billion per day (4), representing an important lifeline for an economy under heavy financial sanctions in response to Russian President Vladimir Putin's invasion of Ukraine. The European Union (EU) buys 75% of Russian gas exports and 50% of Russian oil exports (5). An EU embargo on Russia would substantially undermine Putin's geopolitical and economic positions. However, given a full embargo's potential impacts on the EU, securing the approval of all EU countries is difficult. To limit Russia's oil and gas revenues while keeping up the flows, the EU should instead introduce a tariff on Russian oil and gas imports. The tariff can be adapted to the economic and political dynamics of the conflicts.

A tariff's effect on domestic prices depends on the relative elasticities of supply and demand—i.e., on whether sellers and buyers have relatively better alternatives. The more inelastic the supply (e.g., because Russian exports cannot be diverted) and the more elastic the demand (e.g., because the EU can replace Russian supplies), the more of the tariff will be paid by the supplier (6). Russian oil and gas exports to Europe are inelastic in the medium term because infrastructural bottlenecks prevent a substantial redirection to Asia. The EU therefore has a real chance to ensure that tariff revenues are mostly paid by Russia.

To improve its position, the EU needs to increase its demand elasticity. This can be done by incentivizing a reduction of oil and gas demand in Europe and by increasing the use of all available alternative energy resources. By implementing a bold energy strategy, Europe can credibly threaten to cut Russia's oil and gas revenue while minimizing the domestic economic consequences of a tariff.

Ricardo Hausmann¹, Agata Łoskot-Strachota², Axel Ockenfels3, Ulrich Schetter1, Simone Tagliapietra^{4,5}, Guntram Wolff^{4,6}*, Georg Zachmann⁴

¹Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA. 20SW Centre for Eastern Studies, Warsaw, Poland. 3University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany. ⁴Bruegel, 1210 Saint-Josse-ten-Noode, Belgium. 5Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Milan, Italy. ⁶Free University of Brussels (Université Libre de Bruxelles), Brussels, Belgium. *Corresponding author. Email: guntram.wolff@bruegel.org

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. Ministry of Finance of Russia, Federal Budget (2022); https://minfin.gov.ru/common/upload/ library/2022/03/main/fedbud_month_eng.xlsx.
- Observatory of Economic Complexity, "Russia" (2020); https://oec.world/en/profile/country/rus
- Trading Economics, "Russia exports" (2022); https://tradingeconomics.com/russia/exports.
- 4. International Energy Agency, "Frequently asked questions on energy security" (2022); www.iea.org/articles/ frequently-asked-questions-on-energy-security.
- 5. US Energy Information Administration, "Europe is a key destination for Russia's energy exports" (2022); www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=51618.
- 6. P. Mieszkowski, J. Econ. Lit. 7, 1103 (1969).

10.1126/science.abq4436

A global plastic treaty must cap production

In March, the UN Environment Assembly adopted a resolution to combat plastic pollution with a global and legally binding plastics treaty by 2024 (1). In his News In Depth story "United Nations to tackle global plastics pollution" (25 February, p. 801), E. Stokstad discusses many of the ambitious provisions that were included, such as a consideration of the whole plastic life cycle and binding targets. However, it is unclear whether the treaty will include a cap on production or cover plastic chemicals. Despite interventions by the industry (2) and objections from the United States and other delegations, reducing plastics at the source by curbing production is critical.

The current mass of plastic production is at about 450 million tons annually and set to double by 2045 (3). The immense quantity and diversity of both plastics and plastic chemicals, the total weight of which exceeds the overall mass of all land and marine animals (4), already poses enormous challenges. Ensuring the safety of every available plastic and chemical is impossible, as their rates of appearance in the environment exceed governments' capacities to assess associated risks and control problems (5). Plastic pollutants have altered vital Earth system processes to an extent that exceeds the threshold under which humanity can survive in the future (i.e., the planetary boundary) (5). Because legacy plastics in the environment break down into micro- and nanoparticles (6), this form of pollution is irretrievable and irreversible (6). In addition to the risks for human and environmental health, the whole life cycle of plastic accounts for 4.5% of our current greenhouse gas emissions (7) and could consume 10 to 13% of our remaining CO budget by 2050 (8). The growing production and inevitable emissions of plastics will exacerbate these problems (6).

Failing to address production will lead to more dependence on flawed and insufficient strategies. Some waste management technologies, such as forms of thermal and chemical recycling, cause socioeconomic and environmental harm (9). Much of the plastic waste is currently exported from the North to the Global South, which poses a substantial threat to marginalized and vulnerable communities and their environments (10). Even when applying all political and technological solutions available today, including substitution, improved recycling, waste management, and circularity, annual plastic emissions to the environment can only be cut by 79% over 20 years; after 2040, 17.3

Where Science Gets Social.

AAAS.ORG/COMMUNITY







AAAS' Member Community is a one-stop destination for scientists and STEM enthusiasts alike. It's "Where Science Gets Social": a community where facts matter, ideas are big and there's always a reason to come hang out, share, discuss and explore.



AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

million tons of plastic waste will still be released to terrestrial and aquatic environments every year (*11*). To fully prevent plastic pollution, the path forward must include a phaseout of virgin plastic production by 2040 (*12*).

Melanie Bergmann¹*, Bethanie Carney Almroth², Susanne M. Brander³, Tridibesh Dey⁴, Dannielle S. Green⁵, Sedat Gundogdu⁶, Anja Krieger⁷, Martin Wagner⁸, Tony R. Walker⁹

¹Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, D-27570 Bremerhaven, Germany. 2Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. 3Department of Fisheries, Wildlife, and Conservation Sciences, Coastal Oregon Marine Experiment Station, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA. ⁴Department of Sociology, Philosophy, and Anthropology, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4PY, UK. ⁵Applied Ecology Research Group, School of Life Sciences, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge CB1 1PT, UK. ⁶Faculty of Fisheries, Cukurova University, 01330 Adana, Turkey. 7Berlin, Germany. 8Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway. 9School for Resource and Environmental Studies, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS B3H 4R2, Canada. *Corresponding author. melanie.bergmann@awi.de

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- United Nations Environment Assembly of the United Nations Environment Programme, "End plastic pollution: Towards an international legally binding instrument" (2022); https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstram/handle/20.500.11822/38522/k2200647__unep-ea-5-l-23-rev-1_ advance.pdf?sequence=l&isAllowed=y.
 J. Geddie, V. Volcovici, J. Brock, M. Dickerson, "U.N. pact
- J. Geddie, V. Volcovici, J. Brock, M. Dickerson, "U.N. pact may restrict plastic production: Big Oil aims to stop it" (Reuters, 2022).
- 3. R. Geyer, in Mare Plasticum—The Plastic Sea: Combatting Plastic Pollution Through Science and Art, M. Streit-Bianchi, M. Cimadevila, W. Trettnak, Eds. (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020), pp. 31–47.
- E. Elhacham, L. Ben-Uri, J. Grozovski, Y. M. Bar-On, R. Milo, Nature 588, 442 (2020).
- . L. Persson et al., Environ. Sci. Technol. **56**, 1510 (2022).
- M. MacLeod, H. P. H. Arp, M. B. Tekman, A. Jahnke, Science 373, 61 (2021).
 L. Cabernard, S. Pfister, C. Oberschelp, S. Hellweg, Nat.
- L. Cabernard, S. Pfister, C. Oberschelp, S. Hellweg, Nat Sustain. 5, 139 (2022).
 L. A. Hamilton, S. Feit, "Plastic & Climate: The hidden
- L. A. Hamilton, S. Feit, "Plastic & Climate: The hidder costs of a plastic planet" (Center for International Environmental Law, Washington, DC, 2019).
- 9. F. Demaria, S. Schindler, Antipode 48, 293 (2016).
- C. Wang, L. Zhao, M. K. Lim, W. Q. Chen, J. W. Sutherland, Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 153, 104591 (2020).
- 11. W.W.Y.Lau et al., Science 369, 1455 (2020).
- 12. N. Simon et al., Science 373, 43 (2021).

COMPETING INTERESTS

 $S.M.B.\ has\ served\ as\ a\ cochair\ and\ microplastics\ expert\ on\ an\ advisory\ panel\ for\ the\ California\ Ocean\ Science\ Trust.$

10.1126/science.abq0082

ERRATA

Erratum for the Research Article "Copper induces cell death by targeting lipoylated TCA cycle proteins," by P. Tsvetkov et al., Science 376, eabq4855 (2022). Published online 22 April 2022; 10.1126/science.abq4855

Erratum for the Report "A ubiquitous tire rubber-derived chemical induces acute mortality in coho salmon," by Z. Tian et al., Science 375, eabo5785 (2022). Published online 18 February 2022; 10.1126/science.abo5785



A global plastic treaty must cap production

Melanie BergmannBethanie Carney AlmrothSusanne M. BranderTridibesh DeyDannielle S. GreenSedat GundogduAnja KriegerMartin WagnerTony R. Walker

Science, 376 (6592), • DOI: 10.1126/science.abq0082

View the article online

https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.abq0082 **Permissions**

https://www.science.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of service