## Journal of Materials Chemistry C



Check for updates

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. C, 2022, 10, 12080

Received 10th June 2022, Accepted 28th July 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2tc02425j

rsc.li/materials-c

## 1. Introduction

Since the recent developments in 2004, the research field of graphene has developed rapidly and prompted an unprecedented surge of activity and demonstration of new physical phenomena with novel applications, <sup>1,2</sup> such as nanoelectronics, energy storage and conversion, medicine, catalysis, sensors, *etc.* Graphene possesses many excellent properties such as the surprisingly large room-temperature electron mobility, high strength and flexibility, and record high thermal conductivity.<sup>3–6</sup> However, attempts to utilize graphene for practical applications are faced with some limitations, especially the poor on–off current ratio ( $I_{ON}/I_{OFF} < 100$ ) in

View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

# Anomalous thermal transport behavior in graphene-like carbon nitride $(C_3N)$ <sup>+</sup>

Guangzhao Qin, <sup>(Dab</sup> Jianzhou Lin, <sup>(Da</sup> Huimin Wang, <sup>(Dc</sup> Jianjun Hu, <sup>(Dab</sup> Zhenzhen Qin <sup>(D\*e</sup> and Ming Hu<sup>(D\*b</sup>)</sup>

The success of graphene created a new era in materials science, especially for two-dimensional (2D) materials. 2D single-crystal carbon nitride (C<sub>3</sub>N) is the first and only crystalline, hole-free, single-layer carbon nitride and its controlled large-scale synthesis has recently attracted tremendous interest in thermal transport. Here, we performed a comparative study of thermal transport between monolayer C<sub>3</sub>N and the parent graphene, and focused on the effect of temperature and strain on the thermal conductivity ( $\kappa$ ) of C<sub>3</sub>N, by solving the phonon Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) based on first-principles calculations. The  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N shows an anomalous temperature dependence, and the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N at high temperatures is larger than the expected value following the common trend of  $\kappa \sim 1/T$ . Moreover, the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N is found to be increased by applying a bilateral tensile strain, despite its similar planar honeycomb structure to graphene. The underlying mechanism is revealed by providing direct evidence for the interaction between lone-pair N-s electrons and bonding electrons from C atoms in C<sub>3</sub>N based on the analysis of orbital-projected electronic structures and electron localization function (ELF). Our research not only conduct a comprehensive study on the thermal transport in graphene-like C<sub>3</sub>N, but also reveal the physical origin of its anomalous properties, which would have significant implications on the future studies of nanoscale thermal transport.

graphene-based devices due to the gapless nature of graphene.<sup>7</sup> Thus, the substitutions of carbon (C) atoms in graphene with heteroatoms were stimulated for the extension of the graphene family to other 2D layered crystalline materials.8 Among these, monolayer hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) with a wide band gap ( $\sim 5.0-6.0$  eV) offers an alternative solution beyond the gapless graphene, which establishes the key role of 2D nitrides in advancing the development of next generation nanoelectronics.9 To benefit from carbon-based nanomaterials at the same time, partially substituting C atoms in graphene with N is a plausible approach to the formation of graphene-like 2D carbon nitrides. In the past years, different N/C ratios have been realized.<sup>10-12</sup> For example, 2D crystalline layered C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and C2N-h2D are semiconductors with direct bandgaps of 2.76 and 1.96 eV, respectively, with potential applications in nanoelectronics, photo-catalysis, solar power generation, etc. However, a large number of holes exist in the crystalline structures due to the large N/C ratios. Recently, Yang et al.<sup>13</sup> reported the controllable large-scale (up to hundreds of micrometers) synthesis of a 2D single crystalline carbon nitride  $(C_3N)$  sheet, which is the first and the only crystalline, hole-free, single-layer carbon nitride, showing a graphene-like morphology [Fig. 1(a)].

Monolayer  $C_3N$  possesses a graphene-like planar honeycomb structure with a homogeneous distribution of C and N atoms, both of which show the  $D_{6h}$ -symmetry.<sup>14</sup> Despite various

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Advanced Design and Manufacturing for Vehicle Body, College of Mechanical and Vehicle Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha, 410082, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, 29208, USA. E-mail: hu@sc.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Hunan Key Laboratory for Micro-Nano Energy Materials & Device and School of Physics and Optoelectronics, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, 411105, Hunan, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, 29208, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> School of Physics and Microelectronics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450001, China. E-mail: qzz@zzu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d2tc02425j

C ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY



Fig. 1 (a) The top (left) and side (right) view of the geometry structure of monolayer  $C_3N$ , Colored cyan and black shadow lines indicate the hexagonal structures formed by atoms C and N, respectively. Comparison of (b) phonon dispersions, partial density of states (pDOS), (c) the normalized cumulative  $\kappa$  with respect to frequency between  $C_3N$  and graphene at 300 and 800 K, and (d) Grüneisen parameters between  $C_3N$  and graphene.  $C_3N$  possesses softened FA phonon branch and the corresponding strong phonon anharmonicity.

2D carbon-based materials,  $C_3N$  is the only one possessing indirect bandgap, which is 0.39 eV as verified both experimentally and theoretically and can be tuned to cover the entire visible range.<sup>13</sup> This new material has ultra-high stiffness,<sup>15</sup> excellent electronic, magnetic<sup>13,16</sup> and gas adsorption properties,<sup>17,18</sup> etc. These excellent properties facilitate its practical applications in various fields such as field-effect transistors,<sup>19</sup> lithium-ion batteries,<sup>20</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> collection and separation,<sup>21</sup> and thermoelectric<sup>22</sup> and energy storage materials.<sup>23</sup> Considering that almost all the novel applications of  $C_3N$  in nanoelectronics are inevitably involved with heat dissipation, the thermal transport properties are of great interest for developing high performance  $C_3N$ -based devices in terms of efficient thermal management.

Efficient regulation of heat transfer plays a key role in the high-performance thermal management of nanotechnologies. Heat conduction in semiconductors is mainly carried out by phonon transport.<sup>1,24,25</sup> Therefore, the fundamental understanding of phonon transport is of great significance for the effective control of heat flow, and it is a thermophysical problem that has great practical significance related to energy technology, such as electrical cooling, thermoelectric technology, phase change storage,<sup>1,26</sup> thermal devices (diodes, transistors,

and logic gates),<sup>27</sup> etc. In the past few decades, lots of studies have focused on the effective regulation of heat transport by nanostructuring.<sup>28-30</sup> In addition, non-Fourier phenomena have been studied for thermal conductivity, such as phonon weak coupling<sup>31,32</sup> and graded thermal conductivity.<sup>33</sup> Besides, pores are introduced into the physicochemical treatment (hydrogenation, oxidation, etc.).<sup>34</sup> In addition, effective thermal modulation can also be achieved via external electric/magnetic fields<sup>35</sup> and strain engineering.<sup>36–38</sup> Due to the robust reliability and strong flexibility, strain engineering has become one of the most promising and effective ways to achieve continuously adjustable heat transport. Moreover, the actual case of many systems and devices typically contains residual strain after fabrication.<sup>39</sup> Therefore, the study of strain engineering for the regulation of thermal conductivity has a very important practical significance. However, previous studies have mainly focused on how to adjust thermal conductivity through mechanical strain, and there is still a lot of unclear understanding of the essential origin of its regulatory effects.<sup>36,38,40–43</sup> This in-depth understanding benefits more effective and accurate thermal conductivity regulation, which would play a far-reaching guiding role. Thus, the modulation of the thermal transport properties of monolayer C<sub>3</sub>N by

mechanical strain could be practically meaningful, and the origin of the underlying mechanism would deepen our understanding of phonon transport in 2D materials and have a great impact on future research in materials design with targeted thermal transport properties.

In this paper, by solving the phonon Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) based on first-principles calculations, we perform a comparative study of phonon transport between monolayer C<sub>3</sub>N and graphene. Besides the anomalous temperature dependence of  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N, it is very intriguing to find that the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N is more than one order of magnitude lower than that of graphene, considering the similar structures and the only difference of substituting 1/4 C with N atoms in C<sub>3</sub>N compared to graphene. By deeply analyzing the orbital projected electronic structure, we establish a microscopic picture of the lonepair electrons driving strong phonon anharmonicity. We show that nonlinear restoring forces arise from the interactions between lone-pair electrons around N atoms and bonding electrons from adjacent atoms (C), leading to strong phonon anharmonicity and low  $\kappa$ . Furthermore, the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N is unexpectedly enlarged by applying bilateral tensile strain despite the planar honeycomb structure of C<sub>3</sub>N (similar to graphene, with no buckling or puckering), which is in sharp contrast to the strain induced  $\kappa$  reduction in graphene. The opposite response of  $\kappa$  to mechanical strain between C<sub>3</sub>N and graphene further supports the established microscopic picture of the lone-pair electrons driving strong phonon anharmonicity.

## 2. Methods

All the first-principles calculations are performed in the framework of density functional theory (DFT) using the projector augmented wave (PAW) method<sup>44</sup> as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP).45 The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) of generalized gradient approximation (GGA) revised for solids (PBEsol)<sup>46</sup> is chosen as the exchangecorrelation functional.47 The kinetic energy cutoff of wave functions is set as 1000 eV and a Monkhorst-Pack<sup>48</sup> k-mesh of  $31 \times 31 \times 1$  is used to sample the Brillouin Zone (BZ) with an energy convergence threshold of  $10^{-6}$  eV, where the k is the electronic wavevector spanned by the reciprocal lattice vectors. A large enough vacuum spacing of 20 Å is used along the out-ofplane direction based on the convergence test. The applied biaxial strain is defined as  $(l - l_0)/l_0$ , where l is the lattice constant under strain and  $l_0$  corresponds to the original value with no strain applied. All geometries are fully optimized based on the primitive cell containing 8 atoms [6 C and 2 N as shown in Fig. 1(a)] to obtain the stable configuration with globally minimized energy, until the maximal Hellmann-Feynman force is smaller than  $10^{-8}$  eV Å<sup>-1</sup>. For the consistent calculations of interatomic force constants (IFCs) using the finite displacement difference method, the 3  $\times$  3  $\times$  1 supercell containing 72 atoms is constructed and the Monkhorst-Pack k-mesh of  $2 \times 2 \times 1$  is used to sample the BZ, which can accurately describe the system based on the convergence test.49,50

The space group symmetry properties are used to reduce the computational cost and the numerical noise of the forces.<sup>51</sup> The translational and rotational invariance of IFCs are enforced using the Lagrange multiplier method.<sup>52,53</sup> The Born effective charges ( $Z^*$ ) and dielectric constants ( $\varepsilon$ ) are obtained based on the density functional perturbation theory (DFPT), which are added to the dynamical matrix as a correction to take long-range electrostatic interaction into account. Based on kinetic theory,  $\kappa$  can be expressed as<sup>54</sup>

$$\kappa_{\alpha} = \sum_{\vec{q}p} C_{\rm V}(\vec{q}, p) v_{\alpha}(\vec{q}, p)^2 \tau(\vec{q}, p), \tag{1}$$

where  $C_{\rm V}$  is the volumetric specific heat capacity of phonon following the Bose–Einstein statistics,  $\vec{v}_{\alpha}(\vec{q}, p)$  is the  $\alpha$  (= x, y, z) component of group velocity of phonon mode with wave vector  $\vec{q}$  and polarization p, and  $\tau$  is the relaxation time (phonon lifetime). The  $\kappa$  is obtained by iteratively solving the phonon BTE with the ShengBTE package, which is equivalent to the solution of relaxation time approximation (RTA) if the iteration stops at the first step.<sup>53,55</sup> The convergence test of  $\kappa$  with respect to the cutoff distance and Q-grid is fully conducted,<sup>56</sup> based on which the cutoff distance is chosen as 7.36 Å (13th nearest neighbors) for the 3rd IFC calculations and the Q-grid is chosen as 50 × 50 × 1 for the  $\kappa$  calculations. The  $\kappa$  of graphene is calculated to be 3094.98 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> by using the iterative method. The good agreement with previous results confirms the reliability of our calculations.<sup>1</sup>

### 3. Results and discussion

Up to now, monolayer C<sub>3</sub>N has been the only crystalline, holefree, single-layer carbon nitride,<sup>13</sup> which shows graphene-like morphology [Fig. 1(a)]. C<sub>3</sub>N possesses a planar honeycomb structure, different from the buckled silicene and the puckered phosphorene, with the primitive cell containing 8 atoms (6 C and 2 N), in which both C and N atoms show a  $D_{6h}$ -symmetry. The optimized lattice constant of C<sub>3</sub>N is 4.86 Å. The C-C and C-N bond lengths in C<sub>3</sub>N are calculated to be slightly different (1.40326 and 1.40288 Å, respectively) and show obvious isotropy. Based on the optimized structure, we calculate the phonon dispersions and partial density of states (pDOS) of C<sub>3</sub>N [Fig. 1(b)]. No imaginary frequency is observed, indicating the thermodynamical stability of monolayer C<sub>3</sub>N. The results of graphene are also plotted for comparison, which are calculated with the supercell of  $2 \times 2 \times 1$  (*i.e.* 8 atoms, the same as C<sub>3</sub>N) used as the unit cell. We also plot the results of graphene with primitive cells (2 atoms) to give a hint. The flexural acoustic (FA) phonon branches (z-direction vibration) of both  $C_3N$  and graphene show the quadratic behavior, which is the typical feature of 2D materials<sup>3</sup> and is also observed in silicene and phosphorene.<sup>57-59</sup> The phonon dispersions of C<sub>3</sub>N and graphene are highly consistent, especially for the longitudinal and transverse acoustic phonon branches. However, the FA phonon branch of C<sub>3</sub>N is significantly softened (lower frequency and smaller group velocity) compared to graphene, which suggests possibly strong phonon anharmonicity.

#### Paper

View Article Online

Usually, the strength of the phonon anharmonicity can be quantified by the Grüneisen parameter, which characterizes the relationship between the phonon frequency and crystal volume change. Thus, we further calculate the Grüneisen parameters of C<sub>3</sub>N and graphene [Fig. 1(d)] to quantitatively assess the phonon anharmonicity. The magnitude of the Grüneisen parameters of C<sub>3</sub>N is very large compared to that of graphene, especially for the FA phonon branch, confirming stronger phonon anharmonicity in C<sub>3</sub>N. Considering the similar structures and the only difference of substituting 1/4 C with N atoms in C<sub>3</sub>N compared to graphene, it is very intriguing to find a significantly softened FA phonon branch and strong phonon anharmonicity in C<sub>3</sub>N. We will show later the electronic origin of the orbital driven strong phonon anharmonicity and the anomalous large magnitude of Grüneisen parameter in C<sub>3</sub>N. As it is known that strong phonon anharmonicity can give rise to low  $\kappa$  in ordered crystal structures, we would further study the thermal transport properties of C<sub>3</sub>N.

Fig. 2(a) shows the temperature dependent  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N obtained by iteratively solving the phonon BTE together with the results from the RTA method, in comparison with that of graphene. The room temperature  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N is 103.02 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>, which is more than one order of magnitude lower than that of graphene (3094.98 W  $m^{-1} K^{-1}$ ). So far, there have been several theoretical studies on the thermal conductivity of monolayer C<sub>3</sub>N. Table 1 summarizes the results of recent studies on C<sub>3</sub>N, including the classical non-equilibrium molecular dynamics (MD) simulation and RTA method. Note that Mortazavi<sup>15</sup> reported a rather high  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N (815 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) based on the classical non-equilibrium MD simulations, where the optimized Tersoff and original Tersoff potentials are used to describe the C-C and C-N interatomic interactions, respectively. Considering that classical MD simulation suffers from the accuracy of the empirical potential used,<sup>57</sup> the discrepancy of the  $\kappa$  between ours and Mortazavi *et al.*'s could be attributed to

the different computational methods employed (first-principles vs. empirical potential). For example, the C-C and C-N bond lengths in C<sub>3</sub>N are calculated to be 1.44 and 1.43 Å in classical MD simulations, respectively,<sup>15</sup> which also differ quite largely from the results from first-principles calculations (1.40326 and 1.40288 Å, respectively). Besides, the differences between our calculated  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N and other first-principles calculation results in the literature can be understood from the aspects of the differences in kinetic energy cutoff, nearest neighbor, thickness, etc., which could affect the final thermal conductivity. For instance, for the kinetic energy cutoff of wave functions, the difference lies in the 1000 eV used in this study and the 500 eV used by Gao et al.<sup>60</sup> Besides, we have chosen a fully convergent cutoff distance of 13th nearest neighbors, while some previous studies considered smaller nearest neighbors of 5th and 8th to calculate the thermal conductivity.<sup>60-62</sup> Overall, it can be concluded that the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N is one order of magnitude lower than that of graphene.

As shown in Fig. 2(a), the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N shows an anomalous temperature dependence, which deviates largely from the wellknown  $\kappa \sim 1/T$  relationship, being quite different from common cases of crystalline materials.<sup>36,58,59,69-77</sup> In fact, the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N has no considerable change over a large temperature range (200–800 K) due to the anomalous temperature dependence. Consequently, the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N at high temperature is larger than the expected value following the common  $\kappa \sim 1/T$  trend, which would largely benefit its applications in nano- and opto-electronics in terms of efficient heat dissipation.

We further investigated the effect of bilateral tensile strain on the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N, in comparison with graphene. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the  $\kappa$  of graphene decreases with tensile strain, which agrees very well with previous reports.<sup>5,78,79</sup> In contrast, the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N is tremendously enhanced. In previous reports on silicene, phosphene, *etc.*, the enhancement of  $\kappa$  by strain engineering was considered to be specific to 2D materials with non-planar



Fig. 2 (a) Comparison of the temperature dependent  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N and graphene calculated using iterative and RTA methods. The contributions from FA phonon branch and the  $\kappa \sim 1/T$  relationship are also plotted for comparison. (b) The unusual strain enhanced  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N, in sharp contrast to the decreasing  $\kappa$  of graphene.

Ref.	Method	Lattice constant (Å)	С-С (Å)	C-N (Å)	Thickness (Å)	$\kappa (W m^{-1} K^{-1})$
This work	DFT/BTE-iterative	4.86	1.40326	1.40288	3.4	103.02
Taheri <i>et al.</i> 63	DFT/BTE-iterative	4.86	1.402	1.402	3.2	348
Kumar <i>et al.</i> <sup>62</sup>	DFT/BTE-iterative	4.863	1.404	1.403	3.4	128
Wang <i>et al.</i> <sup>61</sup>	DFT/BTE-iterative	4.86	1.403	1.404	3.2	380
Gao <i>et al.</i> <sup>60</sup>	DFT/BTE-iterative		1.404	1.403	3.2	380
Peng et al. <sup>64</sup>	DFT/BTE-iterative	4.860		1.40	3.4	482
Mortazavi <sup>65</sup>	MD simulation		1.44	1.43	3.2	$815\pm20$
Hong et al. <sup>66</sup>	MD simulation				3.3	820
An <i>et al.</i> <sup>67</sup>	MD simulation		1.438	1.437		535.4
Song et al. <sup>68</sup>	MD simulation				3.2	799.87

structures, and this phenomenon was attributed to the flattening of the buckled<sup>36,43,80</sup> and puckered<sup>58,59,81–83</sup> structures. As a planar 2D material without the buckled structure, it is very unusual for the anomalous positive response of  $\kappa$  to tensile strains in C<sub>3</sub>N. In fact, strain engineering to increase the  $\kappa$  of planar 2D materials is not unique, and  $lin^{84}$  *et al.* reported the enhancement of thermal conductivity of BC<sub>2</sub>N by applying a small tensile strain. Therefore, it is very unusual for the anomalous positive response of  $\kappa$  to tensile strains in C<sub>3</sub>N, since it has a planar honeycomb structure that is similar to graphene but different from silicene and phosphorene.

In the above discussion, the unusual properties of  $C_3N$  in terms of thermal transport can be seen, and next, in Fig. 3 and 4, we detail the mechanism underlying it. To gain insight into the mechanisms underlying the significantly lower  $\kappa$  of  $C_3N$  than graphene, we perform detailed mode level phonon analysis. Due to the highly consistent phonon dispersions of  $C_3N$  and graphene [Fig. 1(b)], their phonon group velocities differ



Fig. 3 Comparison of mode level (a) phonon group velocity, (b) scattering rate, (c) scattering phase space (absorption and emission process), and (d) Grüneisen parameter of  $C_3N$  between different typical strains, while comparing  $C_3N$  and graphene.



**Fig. 4** Scattering channels of FA phonon modes along the  $\Gamma$ -M direction for (a) graphene and (b)  $C_3N$ . The s,  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$ , and  $p_z$  orbital projected electronic density of states (*p*DOS) of (c–e) graphene and  $C_3N$  with the contributions from atoms (d) C and (e) N decoupled. (f) Energy deviation from the harmonic profile of  $C_3N$  with different typical strains applied. Inset: The displacement direction of N atoms in the primitive cell. Note that the in-plane  $p_x$  and  $p_y$  orbitals overlap with each other. (g and h) Side view of the ELF of (g) graphene and (h)  $C_3N$ . The atomic representations are in the top and the ELF is taken along the orange dotted line.

from each other a little, except the FA phonon branch. The phonon group velocity of FA for  $C_3N$  is much lower compared to other phonon branches and those in graphene [inset of Fig. 3(a)], which is due to the significant softness of FA. The relatively small phonon group velocity of FA in  $C_3N$  is partially responsible for its relatively smaller contribution to  $\kappa$  in  $C_3N$  (25.4%) than graphene (81.2%). Considering the similar phonon group velocity and the larger specific heat capacity of  $C_3N$  (18.94 × 10<sup>5</sup> J m<sup>-3</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) than graphene (16.19 × 10<sup>5</sup> J m<sup>-3</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>), the significantly lower  $\kappa$  of  $C_3N$  than graphene must stem from the smaller phonon life-time (larger scattering rate) based on eqn (1), which is evidently shown in [inset of Fig. 3(d)].

It is well-known that the scattering rate is governed by two factors: the scattering phase space and the scattering strength. As shown in the inset of Fig. 3(c), the scattering phase space of  $C_3N$  and graphene is consistent with each other for both the absorption and emission processes. Furthermore, we study the mode level Grüneisen parameter that quantifies the phonon

anharmonicity and the scattering strength. The inset of Fig. 3(b) reveals that  $C_3N$  has much stronger phonon anharmonicity than graphene. The strong phonon anharmonicity in  $C_3N$  is consistent with the softened FA phonon branch [Fig. 1(b)] as analyzed above, confirming that the significantly lower  $\kappa$  of  $C_3N$  than graphene originates from the large scattering rate [inset of Fig. 3(d)].

As for the relatively smaller contribution from FA to  $\kappa$  for C<sub>3</sub>N (25.4%) than graphene (81.2%), there are more underlying mechanisms despite the relatively small phonon group velocity of FA in C<sub>3</sub>N caused by the significant softness of FA. The phonon scattering channels quantifying the specific scattering process among different phonon branches can provide fundamental insight into the phonon scattering process, which are ruled by the conservation of energy and momentum.<sup>36,38,49,50,70</sup> The scattering rates for the emission process are multiplied by 1/2 to avoid counting twice for the same process. As shown in Fig. 4(a), the scattering channels of the FA phonon branch for

graphene is FA + FA  $\rightarrow$  TA/LA, which is governed by the socalled symmetry-based selection rule of phonon-phonon scattering. Due to the inversion symmetry of the planar structure of graphene, only the scattering channels with participation of even numbers of FA are allowed,<sup>85</sup> leading to a limited scattering rate of FA and its dominating role in phonon transport. However, it is totally different in C<sub>3</sub>N where there exist also narrow scattering channels involving odd number of FA such as FA + O  $\rightarrow$  O and FA + TA/LA  $\rightarrow$  O/TA/LA in addition to the primary scattering channels of FA + FA  $\rightarrow$  TA/LA [Fig. 4(b)]. Although C<sub>3</sub>N has a planar honeycomb structure similar to graphene, the planar honeycomb structure of C<sub>3</sub>N is not as perfectly smooth as graphene due to the difference in diameter, electronegativity, and mass of C and N atoms. The differences in diameter, electronegativity, and mass change in the bond lengths and force constants, such as the subtle differences in bond lengths C-C (1.40326 Å) and C-N (1.40288 Å), reduce the symmetry of C<sub>3</sub>N. Similar results have been also observed in previous studies. For instance, An<sup>67</sup> et al. calculated the thermal conductivity of doped graphene  $C_3C^{14}$  ( $C^{14}$  has the same coordination as the N atom in  $C_3N$ ) to be 811.5 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>, demonstrating the effect of the difference in atomic masses in C<sub>3</sub>N on the thermal conductivity. The scattering channels quantify the specific scattering processes between the different phonon branches, and the additional scattering channels involving odd FA reveal that the inversion symmetry in C<sub>3</sub>N is slightly broken due to the difference in C and N diameters, masses, and electronegativities. Besides, the same situation is also found in monolayer GaN.49,50 The extra scattering channels for FA [Fig. 4(a)] in C<sub>3</sub>N together with the relatively small phonon group velocity inset of Fig. 3(a) lead to the lower contribution to  $\kappa$  from FA (25.4%) compared with that in graphene (81.2%).

The  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N at high temperature is larger than the expected value following the common  $\kappa \sim 1/T$  trend, and the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N has no considerable change over a large temperature range (200-800 K). To understand the underlying mechanism of the anomalous temperature dependence of  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N, we compare the frequency accumulated  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N and graphene in Fig. 1(c). For graphene, the main contribution to  $\kappa$  is from low-frequency acoustic phonon modes at both low and high temperatures. However, the situation in C<sub>3</sub>N is quite different from that in graphene. High-frequency optical phonon modes contribute largely to the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N, especially when the temperature becomes high. For instance, the contribution of the main low-frequency acoustic branch FA is consistent with the trend of  $\sim 1/T$ . In contrast, the contribution of high-frequency phonons to the thermal conductivity of C<sub>3</sub>N increases with the increasing temperature and at high temperatures the optical phonon branches dominate phonon transport. For the highfrequency phonon mode, the variation is dominated by the heat capacity due to its rapid increase with the thermal activation and the  $\kappa$  contribution increases quickly with temperature increasing, making the temperature dependence of  $\kappa$  deviate largely from the well-known  $\kappa \sim 1/T$  relationship. Hence, the relatively large contribution of high-frequency phonon modes

in C<sub>3</sub>N [Fig. 1(c)] is the direct reason for the anomalous temperature dependence of  $\kappa$ .

In addition to C<sub>3</sub>N, the anomalous temperature dependence of  $\kappa$  was also found in monolayer gallium nitride (GaN)<sup>49</sup> and zinc oxide (ZnO),<sup>86</sup> where the high-frequency phonon modes also contribute largely to the  $\kappa$ . It was analyzed that<sup>49,86</sup> in monolayer GaN and ZnO, the large contribution from highfrequency phonon modes is due to the enhanced phonon group velocity and the relatively large phonon lifetime, which are further traced back to the strongly polarized bond due to the electronegativity and the huge phonon bandgap in the phonon dispersion due to the difference in the atomic mass. Here, although the electronegativity and the difference in atomic mass are not large for C<sub>3</sub>N, the group velocity of LO phonon branch is still enhanced [inset of Fig. 3(a)] due to the LO-TO splitting caused by the polarization in C-N bonds [inset of Fig. 4(e)]. Besides, the lifetime of high-frequency phonon modes in C<sub>3</sub>N is relatively large due to the weakened phonon-phonon scattering [inset of Fig. 3(d)] (the scattering rate is comparable to graphene while that of low-frequency phonon modes is much larger) caused by the phonon bunching and flattening [Fig. 1(b)]. Thus, the high-frequency phonon modes contribute largely to the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N [Fig. 1(c)], which results in the anomalous temperature dependence of  $\kappa$ . It is worth pointing out that a large difference in the atomic mass and consequently a huge bandgap in the phonon dispersion as analyzed in a previous study49 are not necessary for the anomalous temperature dependence of  $\kappa$ , while phonon bunching and flattening can also have a similar effect.

As a planar 2D material without a buckled structure, it is very unusual for the anomalous positive response of  $\kappa$  to tensile strains in C<sub>3</sub>N. To investigate the mechanism of enhancing the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N with tensile strain, we further perform analysis on fundamental analysis of orbital projected electronic structures and show that the stereochemically active lone-pair electrons due to the special orbital hybridization drives the remarkable phonon anharmonicity in C<sub>3</sub>N, as shown in Fig. S1 (ESI<sup>†</sup>) and Fig. 4(c–e). With a tensile mechanical strain applied, the interaction strength would be weakened due to the increased distance, which is inferred to reduce the phonon anharmonicity and thus enlarge the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N.

We study the contribution to  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N from different phonon branches with strain applied. As shown in the inset of Fig. 2(b), the absolute contribution to  $\kappa$  from FA phonon branch and all the others remain consistent with the variation trend of the total  $\kappa$ , and the relative contribution remains almost the same. Detailed mode level analysis based on Fig. 3(a–d) reveals that the phonon group velocity and scattering phase space almost remain unchanged with strain applied, except the little decrease of group velocity. Note that due to phonon bunching of high-frequency phonon modes caused by the softened phonon dispersions, the scattering phase space of absorption process for high-frequency phonon modes decreases [Fig. 3(c)]. However, the scattering phase space of the dominating emission process for high-frequency phonon modes remains unchanged. Thus, the largely strain enhanced  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N is primarily due to the overall weakened phonon-phonon scattering [Fig. 3(d)], which is governed by the strain weakened phonon anharmonicity as quantified by the Grüneisen parameters [Fig. 3(b)].

The  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N at high temperatures is larger than the expected value following the common  $\kappa \sim 1/T$  trend, and the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N has no considerable change over a large temperature range (200-800 K). To understand the underlying mechanism of the anomalous temperature dependence of  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N, we compare the frequency accumulated  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N and graphene in Fig. 1(c). For graphene, the main contribution to  $\kappa$  is from lowfrequency acoustic phonon modes at both low and high temperatures. However, the situation in C<sub>3</sub>N is quite different from that in graphene. High-frequency optical phonon modes contribute largely to the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N, especially when the temperature becomes high. For instance, the contribution of the main lowfrequency acoustic branch FA is consistent with the trend of  $\sim 1/T$ . In contrast, the contribution of high-frequency phonons to the thermal conductivity of C<sub>3</sub>N increases with increasing temperatures and at high temperatures the optical phonon branches dominate the phonon transport. For the highfrequency phonon mode, the variation is dominated by the heat capacity due to its rapid increase with the thermal activation and the  $\kappa$  contribution increases quickly with the temperature increasing, making the temperature dependence of  $\kappa$ deviate largely from the well-known  $\kappa \sim 1/T$  relationship. Hence, the relatively large contribution of high-frequency phonon modes in  $C_3N$  [Fig. 1(c)] is the direct reason for the anomalous temperature dependence of  $\kappa$ .

It was proposed by Petrov and Shtrum that the overlapping wave functions of lone-pair electrons with valence electrons from adjacent atoms induce nonlinear electrostatic forces upon thermal agitation, leading to increased phonon anharmonicity in the lattice and thus reducing the  $\kappa$ .<sup>87–93</sup> In graphene, the C-s/  $p_x/p_y$  orbitals hybridize and contribute to the C–C  $\sigma$  bonds, while the C-p<sub>z</sub> orbital comes into being the  $\pi$  bonds and the electronic Dirac cone,<sup>1</sup> which are evidently shown in Fig. 4(c). The intrinsic electronic bandgap of C<sub>3</sub>N is calculated to be 0.39 eV, which agrees perfectly with previous studies and experimental measurements.<sup>13,14</sup> As shown in Fig. 4(d), the orbital hybridization of C atoms in C3N is similar to that in graphene that the hybridized  $C-s/p_x/p_y$  orbitals contribute to the  $\sigma$  bonds and the C-p<sub>z</sub> orbital contributes to the weakened  $\pi$ bonds despite the intrinsic electronic bandgap. The situation for the orbitals is different for the N atom where the s orbital is largely ( $\sim 20 \text{ eV}$ ) confined below the valence band, forming an isolated band [Fig. 4(e)]. As a result, the  $\sigma$  bonds linking C and N atoms are jointly contributed by the valence configuration of  $C-s/p_x/p_y$  and  $N-p_x/p_y/p_z$ , where the s<sup>2</sup> electrons in the  $N-s^2p^3$  do not participate in the bonding. Note that the doping of  $s^2$ electrons from N atoms leads to the up-shift of Fermi level in  $C_3N$  compared to graphene [Fig. 4(d)], which opens the intrinsic bandgap above the maintained Dirac cone.<sup>94</sup> Here, based on the above analysis and shown in Fig. 4(d, e, and h), it is clearly shown that the non-bonding lone-pair electrons arise around N atoms in C<sub>3</sub>N due to the special orbital hybridization. The N-s electrons interact with the covalently bonded electrons of adjacent atoms (C) due to the orbital distribution in the same energy range [Fig. 4(d and e)] and wave function overlap [Fig. 4(h)].

The additional non-linear electrostatic force among atoms is induced by the interactions when they thermally vibrate around the equilibrium positions. Consequently, a more asymmetric potential energy well would be induced, which reveals the strong phonon anharmonicity in C<sub>3</sub>N [Fig. 1(d)] and significantly reduces the  $\kappa$  [Fig. 2(a)]. Thus, based on the fundamental orbital hybridization analysis of the electronic structures, direct evidence is provided in Fig. 4(d, e, and h) for the interactions between lone-pair electrons around N atoms and bonding electrons from adjacent C atoms. Moreover, the microscopic picture is established to explain how the phonon anharmonicity arises from the view of electronic structure and leads to low  $\kappa$ . Furthermore, it should be noted that there exists slight difference in the electronegativity of C and N atoms, with a difference of 0.49. Polarization of the C-N bonds is generated by the different electronegativities as evidently revealed by the ELF, in contrast to the nonpolarized C-C bonds [Fig. 4(g and h)]. Consequently, the bonding electrons for the C-N bonds are relatively closer to the N atom, which contributes positively to the stronger interaction with the non-bonding N-s electrons and thus leads to a stronger phonon anharmonicity.

The underlying mechanism for the anomalous strain enhanced  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N can be well understood based on the microscopic picture of the lone-pair electrons driving phonon anharmonicity as established in this work. With tensile strain applied, the separation distance between atoms becomes larger. Thus, the interactions between the lone-pair electrons around N atoms and the bonding electrons of adjacent atoms (C) are weakened. Consequently, the phonon anharmonicity is attenuated, reducing phonon-phonon scattering.95 Based on the microscopic picture, the strain enhanced  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N is well understood. In fact, the opposite response of  $\kappa$  to stretching between C<sub>3</sub>N and graphene further supports the established microscopic picture of the lone-pair electrons driving strong phonon anharmonicity. Furthermore, it is anticipated that other systems possessing lone-pair electrons should also have a low  $\kappa$  and their  $\kappa$  can be generally enhanced by weakening the interaction strength between the lone-pair electrons and the bonding electrons of adjacent atoms, such as by increasing the bonding length with tensile strain. The possible systems possessing lone-pair electrons might be group V compounds, just to name a few, h-BC<sub>2</sub>N, h-BN, h-AlN, h-GaN, and h-BAs.

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, we have performed a comparative study of phonon thermal transport between monolayer  $C_3N$  and graphene. The  $\kappa$  of  $C_3N$  shows an anomalous temperature dependence, which is totally different from that for common crystalline materials and deviates largely from the well-known  $\kappa \sim 1/T$  relationship. Consequently, the  $\kappa$  of  $C_3N$  at high temperatures is larger than the expected value that follows the general trend of  $\kappa \sim 1/T$ , which would be much beneficial for applications in nano-and opto-electronics in terms of efficient heat dissipation. Moreover, it is very intriguing to find that the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N is substantially lower than that of graphene, considering the similar structures and the only difference of substituting 1/4 C with N atoms in C<sub>3</sub>N compared to graphene. The large scattering rate is responsible for the significantly low  $\kappa$  of  $C_3N$ , which is due to the strong phonon anharmonicity. By deeply analyzing the orbital projected electronic structure, we establish a microscopic picture of the lone-pair electrons driving strong phonon anharmonicity. Direct evidence is provided for the interactions between lone-pair electrons (N-s) and bonding electrons from adjacent atoms (C), which induce nonlinear electrostatic force among atoms when they thermally vibrate around the equilibrium positions, leading to the strong phonon anharmonicity and significantly low  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N. Furthermore, the  $\kappa$  of C<sub>3</sub>N is unexpectedly enlarged by applying bilateral tensile strain despite the planar honeycomb structure of C<sub>3</sub>N (similar to graphene, with no buckling or puckering), which is in sharp contrast to the strain induced  $\kappa$ reduction in graphene. The anomalous positive response of  $\kappa$  to tensile strain is attributed to the attenuated interaction between the lone-pair s electrons around N atoms and the bonding electrons of neighboring C atoms, which reduces phonon anharmonicity. The opposite response of  $\kappa$  to mechanical strain between C<sub>3</sub>N and graphene further supports the established microscopic picture of the lone-pair electrons driving strong phonon anharmonicity. We propose that other systems possessing lone-pair electrons would also have low  $\kappa$  and the, can be generally enhanced by weakening the interaction strength between the lone-pair electrons and the bonding electrons of adjacent atoms, such as by increasing the bonding length with tensile mechanical strain. The microscopic picture for the lone-pair electrons driving phonon anharmonicity established from the fundamental level of electronic structure deepens our understanding of phonon transport in 2D materials and would also have a great impact on future research in micro-/ nano-scale thermal transport such as materials design with targeted thermal transport properties.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 52006057 and 51906097), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. 531119200237, and 541109010001), and the State Key Laboratory of Advanced Design and Manufacturing for Vehicle Body at Hunan University (Grant No. 52175011). This work is also supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (Project number: HU 2269/2-1). Z. Q. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11904324), the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province of China (Grant No. 222300420551), and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2018M642774). M. H. is supported by the NSF (award number 2030128). The numerical calculations in this paper have been done on the supercomputing system of the E. T. Cluster and the National Supercomputing Center in Changsha and are also supported by RWTH Aachen University under projects of jara0168 and rwth0223.

## References

- 1 A. A. Balandin and D. L. Nika, *Mater. Today*, 2012, 15, 266–275.
- 2 A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, Nat. Mater., 2007, 6, 183-191.
- 3 A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov and A. K. Geim, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 2009, **81**, 109–162.
- 4 G. Zhang and Y.-W. Zhang, Mech. Mater., 2015, 91, 382–398.
- 5 F. Ma, H. B. Zheng, Y. J. Sun, D. Yang, K. W. Xu and P. K. Chu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, **101**, 111904.
- 6 G. Barbarino, C. Melis and L. Colombo, *Phys. Rev. B:* Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2015, **91**, 035416.
- 7 K. Kim, J.-Y. Choi, T. Kim, S.-H. Cho and H.-J. Chung, *Nature*, 2011, 479, 338–344.
- 8 A. C. Ferrari, F. Bonaccorso, V. Fal'Ko, K. S. Novoselov, S. Roche, P. Bøggild, S. Borini, F. H. Koppens, V. Palermo and N. Pugno, *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 4598–4810.
- 9 J. Wu, B. Wang, Y. Wei, R. Yang and M. Dresselhaus, *Mater. Res. Lett.*, 2013, 1, 200–206.
- 10 J. Liu, Y. Liu, N. Liu, Y. Han, X. Zhang, H. Huang, Y. Lifshitz, S.-T. Lee, J. Zhong and Z. Kang, *Science*, 2015, 347, 970–974.
- 11 X. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanabe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, 8, 76–80.
- 12 J. Mahmood, E. K. Lee, M. Jung, D. Shin, I.-Y. Jeon, S.-M. Jung, H.-J. Choi, J.-M. Seo, S.-Y. Bae and S.-D. Sohn, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, 6, 1–7.
- 13 S. Yang, W. Li, C. Ye, G. Wang, H. Tian, C. Zhu, P. He, G. Ding, X. Xie and Y. Liu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, 29, 1605625.
- 14 J. Mahmood, E. K. Lee, M. Jung, D. Shin, H.-J. Choi, J.-M. Seo, S.-M. Jung, D. Kim, F. Li and M. S. Lah, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2016, **113**, 7414–7419.
- 15 B. Mortazavi, Carbon, 2017, 118, 25-34.
- 16 Z. Wu, H. Zhang, J. Lin, J. Zhao and X. Cheng, *Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **528**, 110471.
- 17 Z. Zhao, Y. Yong, S. Hu, C. Li and Y. Kuang, *AIP Adv.*, 2019, 9, 125308.
- 18 A. Bafekry, M. Ghergherehchi, S. F. Shayesteh and F. M. Peeters, *Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **526**, 110442.
- 19 T. Zhang, H. Zeng, D. Ding and R. S. Chen, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, 2019, **66**, 1087–1091.
- 20 W. Nong, Y. Li and C. Wang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2020, 510, 145324.
- 21 X. Li, T. Guo, L. Zhu, C. Ling, Q. Xue and W. Xing, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **338**, 92–98.
- 22 W. Y. Jiao, R. Hu, S. Han, Y. F. Luo, H. Yuan, M. K. Li and H. Liu, *Nanotechnology*, 2022, **33**, 045401.
- 23 Z. Gao, Y. Wang, Y. Meng, B. Xie, Z. Ni and S. Xia, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2021, **782**, 139015.

- 24 A. A. Balandin, Nat. Mater., 2011, 10, 569-581.
- 25 X. Gu and R. Yang, Annu. Rev. Heat Transfer, 2016, 19, 1-65.
- 26 D. G. Cahill, P. V. Braun, G. Chen, D. R. Clarke, S. Fan, K. E. Goodson, P. Keblinski, W. P. King, G. D. Mahan and A. Majumdar, *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, 2014, 1, 011305.
- 27 B. Li, L. Wang and G. Casati, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, **93**, 184301.
- 28 M. Hu, K. P. Giapis, J. V. Goicochea, X. Zhang and D. Poulikakos, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 618–623.
- 29 C. Chiritescu, D. G. Cahill, N. Nguyen, D. Johnson, A. Bodapati, P. Keblinski and P. Zschack, *Science*, 2007, 315, 351–353.
- 30 W.-L. Ong, E. S. O'Brien, P. S. Dougherty, D. W. Paley, C. F. Higgs III, A. J. McGaughey, J. A. Malen and X. Roy, *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, 16, 83–88.
- 31 P. Dongkai, Z. Zhi-Cheng and Y. Nuo, *Acta Phys. Sin.*, 2022, 71, 086302–086305.
- 32 C. Deng, Y. Huang, M. An and N. Yang, *Mater. Today Phys.*, 2021, **16**, 100305.
- 33 C. Zhang, D. Ma, M. Shang, X. Wan, J.-T. Lü, Z. Guo, B. Li and N. Yang, *Mater. Today Phys.*, 2022, 22, 100605.
- 34 L. Yang, N. Yang and B. Li, Nano Lett., 2014, 14, 1734-1738.
- 35 G. Qin, Z. Qin, S.-Y. Yue, Q.-B. Yan and M. Hu, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 7227–7234.
- 36 H. Xie, T. Ouyang, É. Germaneau, G. Qin, M. Hu and H. Bao, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2016, **93**, 075404.
- 37 X. Li, K. Maute, M. L. Dunn and R. Yang, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2010, **81**, 245318.
- 38 H. Liu, G. Qin, Y. Lin and M. Hu, Nano Lett., 2016, 16, 3831-3842.
- 39 R. Liang, J. Wang and J. Xu, *Tsinghua Sci. Technol.*, 2009, 14, 62–67.
- 40 S. Bhowmick and V. B. Shenoy, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **125**, 164513.
- 41 K. D. Parrish, A. Jain, J. M. Larkin, W. A. Saidi and A. J. McGaughey, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2014, **90**, 235201.
- 42 Y. Han, G. Qin, C. Jungemann and M. Hu, *Nanotechnology*, 2016, 27, 265706.
- 43 M. Hu, X. Zhang and D. Poulikakos, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2013, **87**, 195417.
- 44 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1999, **59**, 1758.
- 45 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 11169.
- 46 J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin, X. Zhou and K. Burke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **100**, 136406.
- 47 G. Qin, Z. Qin, H. Wang and M. Hu, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2018, **151**, 153–159.
- 48 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 1976, **13**, 5188.
- 49 G. Qin, Z. Qin, H. Wang and M. Hu, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2017, **95**, 195416.
- 50 Z. Qin, G. Qin, X. Zuo, Z. Xiong and M. Hu, *Nanoscale*, 2017, 9, 4295–4309.

- 51 A. Togo, F. Oba and I. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2008, **78**, 134106.
- 52 K. Esfarjani and H. T. Stokes, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2008, 77, 144112.
- 53 W. Li, L. Lindsay, D. A. Broido, D. A. Stewart and N. Mingo, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2012, **86**, 174307.
- 54 D. A. Broido, A. Ward and N. Mingo, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2005, **72**, 014308.
- 55 W. Li, J. Carrete, N. A. Katcho and N. Mingo, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2014, **185**, 1747–1758.
- 56 G. Qin and M. Hu, npj Comput. Mater., 2018, 4, 1-6.
- 57 X. Zhang, H. Xie, M. Hu, H. Bao, S. Yue, G. Qin and G. Su, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2014, **89**, 054310.
- 58 G. Qin, Q.-B. Yan, Z. Qin, S.-Y. Yue, M. Hu and G. Su, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, 17, 4854–4858.
- 59 G. Qin, X. Zhang, S.-Y. Yue, Z. Qin, H. Wang, Y. Han and M. Hu, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2016, 94, 165445.
- 60 Y. Gao, H. Wang, M. Sun, Y. Ding, L. Zhang and Q. Li, *Phys. E*, 2018, **99**, 194–201.
- 61 H. Wang, Q. Li, H. Pan, Y. Gao and M. Sun, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2019, **126**, 234302.
- 62 S. Kumar, S. Sharma, V. Babar and U. Schwingenschlogl, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 20407–20411.
- 63 A. Taheri, C. Da Silva and C. H. Amon, J. Appl. Phys., 2020, 127, 184304.
- 64 B. Peng, B. Mortazavi, H. Zhang, H. Shao, K. Xu, J. Li, G. Ni, T. Rabczuk and H. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. Appl.*, 2018, **10**, 034046.
- 65 B. Mortazavi, Carbon, 2017, 118, 25-34.
- 66 Y. Hong, J. Zhang and X. C. Zeng, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 4301–4310.
- 67 M. An, L. Li, S. Hu, Z. Ding, X. Yu, B. Demir, N. Yang, W. Ma and X. Zhang, *Carbon*, 2020, **162**, 202–208.
- 68 J. Song, Z. Xu, X. He, Y. Bai, L. Miao, C. Cai and R. Wang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, 21, 12977–12985.
- 69 J.-Y. Yang, G. Qin and M. Hu, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2016, 109, 242103.
- 70 G. Qin, Z. Qin, W.-Z. Fang, L.-C. Zhang, S.-Y. Yue, Q.-B. Yan,
  M. Hu and G. Su, *Nanoscale*, 2016, 8, 11306–11319.
- 71 L. Lindsay and D. A. Broido, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2011, **84**, 155421.
- 72 A. Jain and A. J. McGaughey, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2015, **110**, 115–120.
- 73 L. Lindsay, D. A. Broido and T. L. Reinecke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2012, **109**, 095901.
- 74 C. W. Li, J. Hong, A. F. May, D. Bansal, S. Chi, T. Hong,
  G. Ehlers and O. Delaire, *Nat. Phys.*, 2015, 11, 1063–1069.
- 75 J. Carrete, N. Mingo and S. Curtarolo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, 105, 101907.
- 76 L.-C. Zhang, G. Qin, W.-Z. Fang, H.-J. Cui, Q.-R. Zheng, Q.-B. Yan and G. Su, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, 6, 1–9.
- 77 L. Lindsay, D. A. Broido and T. L. Reinecke, *Phys. Rev. B:* Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2013, **87**, 165201.
- 78 N. Bonini, J. Garg and N. Marzari, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, 12, 2673–2678.
- 79 L. Lindsay, W. Li, J. Carrete, N. Mingo, D. A. Broido and T. L. Reinecke, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2014, 89, 155426.

- 80 Q.-X. Pei, Y.-W. Zhang, Z.-D. Sha and V. B. Shenoy, J. Appl. Phys., 2013, 114, 033526.
- 81 Y.-Y. Zhang, Q.-X. Pei, J.-W. Jiang, N. Wei and Y.-W. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 483–491.
- 82 Z.-Y. Ong, Y. Cai, G. Zhang and Y.-W. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 25272–25277.
- 83 G. Qin and M. Hu, Small, 2018, 14, 1702465.
- 84 C. Lin, X. Zhang and Z. Rao, *Nano Energy*, 2017, 38, 249–256.
- 85 L. Lindsay, D. A. Broido and N. Mingo, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2010, **82**, 115427.
- 86 H. Wang, G. Qin, G. Li, Q. Wang and M. Hu, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2017, 19, 12882-12889.
- 87 A. V. Petrov and E. L. Shtrum, Sov. Phys.-Solid State, 1962, 4, 1061–1065.

- 88 D. T. Morelli, V. Jovovic and J. P. Heremans, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2008, **101**, 035901.
- 89 E. J. Skoug and D. T. Morelli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2011, 107, 235901.
- 90 M. D. Nielsen, V. Ozolins and J. P. Heremans, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, 6, 570.
- 91 J. P. Heremans, Nat. Phys., 2015, 11, 990-991.
- 92 M. K. Jana, K. Pal, U. V. Waghmare and K. Biswas, Angew. Chem., 2016, 128, 7923–7927.
- 93 Y. Xiao, C. Chang, Y. Pei, D. Wu, K. Peng, X. Zhou, S. Gong, J. He, Y. Zhang and Z. Zeng, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2016, **94**, 125203.
- 94 X. Zhou, W. Feng, S. Guan, B. Fu, W. Su and Y. Yao, J. Mater. Res., 2017, 32, 2993–3001.
- 95 G. Qin, Z. Qin, H. Wang and M. Hu, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **50**, 425–430.