# Dalton Transactions



Check for updates

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2022, **51**, 13858

Received 1st July 2022, Accepted 22nd August 2022 DOI: 10.1039/d2dt02104h

## Introduction

Electrochemiluminescence (ECL), a well-known approach for generation of emission, has attracted extensive interest over the past decade, in which a high-energy electron-transfer reaction of electrogenerated species at the surfaces of electrodes occurs to form emitting excited states.<sup>1-4</sup> ECL has been widely employed in various applications, such as light-emitting devices,<sup>5</sup> sensors for food analysis,<sup>6</sup> bioanalysis,<sup>7</sup> etc. To date, the ECL emitters investigated include organic dyes, inorganic metal complexes, and semiconductor nanomaterials.<sup>1-4</sup> Pioneered by Bard and co-workers' work, tris(bipyridyl)ruthenium(II) complex ( $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ ) and its derivatives have drawn great attention as promising ECL candidates because of their high photoluminescence efficiencies and good photostability.<sup>8,9</sup> With high sensitivity and fast response, the Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>-based ECL probe has been successfully developed for bioanalytical applications.<sup>10</sup> The practical potential of these Ru(II) complexes as ECL probes, however, is limited by

<sup>b</sup>School of Integrated Circuit Science and Engineering, Tianjin University of

Technology, Tianjin 300384, P. R. China. E-mail: yangzhengchun@tju.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: NTOs of the singlet and triplet excited states in water and the time-resolved transient absorption spectra of 1–4 in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d2dt02104h



Bingqing Liu, ம <sup>a</sup> Xin Yang, <sup>b</sup> Mohammed Jabed, ம <sup>a</sup> Svetlana Kilina, <sup>a</sup> Zhengchun Yang\*<sup>b</sup> and Wenfang Sun 🕩 \*<sup>a</sup>

The synthesis, photophysics, and electrochemiluminescence (ECL) of four water-soluble dinuclear Ir(III) and Ru(III) complexes (**1**–**4**) terminally-capped by 4'-phenyl-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (tpy) or 1,3-di(pyrid-2-yl)-4,6-dimethylbenzene (N^C^N) ligands and linked by a 2,7-bis(2,2':6',2''-terpyridyl)fluorene with oligoether chains on C9 are reported. The impact of the tpy or N^C^N ligands and metal centers on the photophysical properties of **1**–**4** was assessed by spectroscopic methods including UV-vis absorption, emission, and transient absorption, and by time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) calculations. These complexes exhibited distinct singlet and triplet excited-state properties of complexes **1**–**3** with better water solubility were investigated in neutral phosphate buffer solutions (PBS) by adding tripropylamine (TPA) as a co-reactant, and the observed ECL intensity followed the descending order of **3** > **1** > **2**. Complex **3** bearing the [Ru(tpy)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> units displayed more pronounced ECL signals, giving its analogues great potential for further ECL study.

their narrow range of emission at 600–650 nm. A variety of Ir( $\mathfrak{m}$ ) complexes, therefore, have been developed as emerging ECL materials owing to their enhanced phosphorescence quantum yields, long-lived triplet excited states, and readily tunable emitting colors spanning from the deep blue to NIR regions.<sup>11–13</sup> Unfortunately, most of the reported ECL Ir( $\mathfrak{m}$ ) and Ru( $\mathfrak{n}$ ) complexes can only dissolve in organic solvents. For practical applications in food analysis or bioanalysis, watersoluble ECL emitters are highly desired but scarce.<sup>14</sup> In addition, the current ECL investigations on Ru( $\mathfrak{n}$ ) and Ir( $\mathfrak{m}$ ) complexes exclusively focus on tris-bidentate complexes, like [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> and [Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>(bpy)]<sup>+</sup> analogues. No ECL studies on bis-terdentate Ir( $\mathfrak{m}$ ) and Ru( $\mathfrak{n}$ ) complexes have been reported, and no reports on the ECL of dinuclear Ir( $\mathfrak{m}$ ) and Ru( $\mathfrak{n}$ ) complexes, either.

In comparison to the tris-bidentate Ir(m)/Ru(n) complexes, the bis-terdentate complexes have higher geometric symmetry, preventing the formation of stereoisomers. Recently, we synthesized and studied various bis-terdentate Ir(m) and Ru(n)complexes.<sup>15–19</sup> Among these complexes, those with higher number of positive charges or containing oligoethoxy substituents showed distinctly improved solubility in aqueous solutions. Especially the dinuclear bisterpyridine complexes holding +6 charges exhibited much better water solubility compared to their mononuclear counterparts. We also revealed that replacing one of the tpy ligands in Ir(m) complexes by cyclometalating ligands altered the emission energies and



View Article Online

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND 58108-6050, USA. E-mail: Wenfang.Sun@ndsu.edu



quantum yields drastically.  $^{15,16,18}$  However, ECL of the bis-ter-dentate  $Ir({\rm III})/Ru({\rm II})$  complexes has never been exploited.

Herein, we designed and synthesized a series of watersoluble dinuclear Ir(m) and Ru(n) complexes (1–4 in Scheme 1) for exploration of their ECL performances. These Ir(m) and Ru(n) complexes were end-capped with either tpy or 1,3-di (pyrid-2-yl)-4,6-dimethylbenzene (N^C^N) ligands for adjusting the number of charges on the complexes and for understanding the impact of terminal terdentate ligand on the photophysics and ECL characteristics. Oligoethoxy substituents were introduced to the C9 position of the bridging fluorene motif to further improve the water solubility of these complexes. The photophysical properties of 1–4 in aqueous solutions and the ECL behaviors of 1–3 (which have better water solubility) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solutions were investigated.

### **Experimental section**

#### Materials and synthesis

All chemicals and solvents were purchased from VWR International and used directly without further purification unless otherwise noted. Silica gels (60 Å, 230-400 mesh) and activated neutral aluminium oxide (Brockmann I) gels used for column chromatography were obtained from Sorbent Technology. The synthetic routes for complexes 1-4 are illustrated in Scheme 1. The precursor compounds 2,7-bis (4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-9,9-di(1-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)fluorene,<sup>20,21</sup> (4'-phenyl-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine)IrCl<sub>3</sub>,<sup>22</sup> {[1,3-di(pyrid-2-yl)-4,6-dimethylbenzene]IrCl<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>,<sup>23</sup> (4'-phenyl-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine)RuCl<sub>3</sub>,<sup>24</sup> and [1,3-di(pyrid-2-yl)-4,6-dimethylbenzene]Ru(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>3</sub><sup>25</sup> were prepared according to the established procedures. The synthesized complexes 1-4 were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, electrospray ionization high-resolution mass spectrometry (ESI-HRMS), and elemental analysis. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were acquired on a

400 or 500 MHz Varian Oxford VNMR spectrometer, and the spectra are provided in ESI Fig. S1–S4.† ESI-HRMS analyses were conducted on a Bruker BioTOF III mass spectrometer. Elemental analyses were carried out by NuMega Resonance Laboratories, Inc. (San Diego, CA).

L1. In a 100 mL round-bottom flask, 2,7-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-9,9-di(1-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy) ethoxy)ethyl)fluorene (710 mg, 1 mmol), 4'-bromo-2,2':6',2"terpyridine (653 mg, 2.1 mmol), and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (116 mg, 0.1 mmol) were mixed in degassed toluene (30 mL) and 2 M K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (10 mL). The obtained mixture was heated to reflux under nitrogen atmosphere for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, the volatiles were evaporated in vacuo to give a brown residue. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (neutral alumina gel, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ ethyl acetate gradient (100:0 to 50:50 (v/v)) to afford the pure product as a pale-yellow solid (760 mg, 83%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 8.82 \text{ (d}, J = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H}), 8.78 \text{ (d}, J = 4.7 \text{ Hz},$ 4H), 8.71 (s, 2H), 8.69 (s, 2H), 7.97 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 4H), 7.94-7.87 (m, 6H), 7.42-7.35 (m, 4H), 3.47-3.35 (m, 4H), 3.42-3.33 (m, 8H), 3.29-3.16 (m, 10H), 2.86 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 2.60 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H).

General procedure for the synthesis of complexes 1–4. A suspension of L1 (46 mg, 0.05 mmol) and the corresponding precursor, (4'-phenyl-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine)IrCl<sub>3</sub> (1, 0.1 mmol), {[1,3-di(pyrid-2-yl)-4,6-dimethylbenzene]IrCl<sub>2</sub><sub>2</sub> (2, 0.05 mmol), (4'-phenyl-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine)RuCl<sub>3</sub> (3, 0.1 mmol), or [1,3-di (pyrid-2-yl)-4,6-dimethylbenzene]Ru(CH<sub>3</sub>CN)<sub>3</sub> (4, 0.1 mmol) in 10 mL degassed ethylene glycol (EtOH for 4) was stirred at reflux in dark under a nitrogen atmosphere for 24 h (3 h for 4). After cooling to room temperature, saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub> (10 mL) was added to make the crude product precipitate out. The solid was collected and purified by column chromatography on alumina gel eluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to remove the unreacted ligand, followed by an acetone/water gradient (100:0 to 95:5 (v/v)). Finally, a metathesis reaction to

exchange the hexafluorophosphate anion to the chloride anion was executed to obtain the title complexes by passing an anionic ion-exchange resin.

1. Red solid (65 mg, 61%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ 9.40 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 4H), 9.28 (s, 2H), 9.26 (s, 2H), 8.92 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 4H), 8.86–8.78 (m, 4H), 8.54 (s, 2H), 8.45–8.17 (m, 16H), 7.95–7.73 (m, 14H), 7.67–7.54 (m, 8H), 3.67 (s, 6H), 3.51 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 4H), 3.39–3.33 (m, 4H), 3.32–3.28 (m, 4H), 3.26 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 4H), 3.24–3.18 (m, 4H), 2.96–2.82 (m, 4H). ESI-HRMS (m/z, in methanol): calcd for  $[C_{99}H_{86}Ir_2N_{12}O_6]^{6+}$ , 320.7678; found, 320.7674. Anal. Calcd (%) for  $C_{99}H_{86}Cl_6Ir_2N_{12}O_6\cdot12H_2O\cdot2CH_2Cl_2$ : C, 48.08; H, 4.55; N, 6.66. Found: C, 48.23; H, 4.55; N, 6.36.

2. Brownish solid (68 mg, 69%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  9.28 (s, 4H), 8.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 8.47 (s, 2H), 8.44–8.23 (m, 8H), 8.12 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H), 7.89 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H), 7.60 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 4H), 7.55 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 4H), 7.44 (s, 2H), 7.36–7.26 (m, 4H), 7.09–6.93 (m, 4H), 3.67 (s, 6H), 3.46 (s, 4H), 3.32 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 4H), 3.28 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 4H), 3.23–3.20 (m, 4H), 3.18 (s, 4H), 3.00 (s, 12H), 2.89–2.85 (m, 4H). ESI-HRMS (m/z, in methanol): calcd for  $[C_{93}H_{86}Ir_2N_{10}O_6]^{4+}$ , 456.1502; found, 456.1508. Anal. Calcd (%) for  $C_{93}H_{86}Cl_4Ir_2N_{10}O_6\cdot11H_2O\cdot2CH_2Cl_2$ : C, 48.70; H, 4.57; N, 5.80. Found: C, 48.89; H, 4.84; N, 6.00.

3. Red solid (69 mg, 70%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz,  $D_2O$ )  $\delta$  9.21 (s, 4H), 9.10 (s, 4H), 8.74 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 4H), 8.67 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 8.48 (s, 2H), 8.39-8.34 (m, 4H), 8.22 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 8.06-7.91 (m, 8H), 7.85-7.78 (m, 4H), 7.75 (td, J = 7.3, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.60-7.46 (m, 8H), 7.23 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 8H), 3.68 (s, 6H), 3.45-3.43 (m, 4H), 3.37 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 4H), 3.32-3.31 (m, 4H), 3.24-3.22 (m, 4H), 3.13 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 4H), 2.89-2.86 (m, 4H). ESI-HRMS (m/z, in methanol): calcd for  $[C_{99}H_{86}N_{12}O_6Ru_2]^{4+}$ , 435.6231; found, 435.6225. Anal. Calcd (%) for C<sub>99</sub>H<sub>86</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>·10H<sub>2</sub>O·CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>: C, 55.71; H, 4.74; N, 7.44. Found: C, 55.89; H, 5.07; N, 7.82.

4. Purple solid (74 mg, 87%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.61 (s, 4H), 9.10 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H), 8.87 (s, 2H), 8.68 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.37 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.26 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 7.89 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.67 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H), 7.17 (dd, J = 12.0, 5.6 Hz, 8H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 6H), 6.81–6.68 (m, 4H), 3.30 (s, 4H), 3.30–3.27 (m, 4H), 3.27–3.22 (m, 4H), 3.08 (s, 6H), 2.98 (s, 12H), 2.95–2.81 (m, 8H), 2.81–2.63 (m, 4H). ESI-HRMS (m/z, in methanol): calcd for  $[C_{93}H_{86}N_{10}O_6Ru_2]^{2+}$ , 821.24; found, 821.24. Anal. Calcd (%) for  $C_{93}H_{86}Cl_2N_{10}O_6Ru_2\cdot7H_2O$ : C, 60.74; H, 5.48; N, 7.62. Found: C, 60.57; H, 5.48; N, 7.69.

#### **Photophysical studies**

The UV-vis absorption spectra of 1–4 were measured at room temperature on a Varian Cary 50 spectrophotometer. The steady-state emission spectra were collected on a HORIBA FluoroMax-4 fluorometer/phosphorometer. The emission quantum yields of 1–4 were measured in degassed aqueous solutions (the aqueous solution of 4 contained 10% DMSO (v/v)) using the relative actinometry,<sup>26</sup> with a deaerated acetonitrile solution of [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub> being employed as the reference ( $\Phi_{\rm em} = 0.097$ ,  $\lambda_{\rm ex} = 436$  nm).<sup>27</sup> The time-resolved nanosecond transient difference absorption (TA) spectra and triplet

lifetimes of 1–4 were measured in nitrogen-purged aqueous solutions (with 10% DMSO for 4) and acetonitrile solutions on an Edinburgh LP920 laser flash photolysis spectrometer. The sample solutions were excited by 355 nm light from a Nd:YAG laser (Quantel Brilliant, 4.1 ns, 1 Hz).

#### Computational methodology

All calculations were performed using Gaussian-16 software package.<sup>28</sup> The ground-state geometries of all complexes were optimized based on the density functional theory (DFT) level<sup>29</sup> applying the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE0)<sup>30</sup> hybrid exchange–correlation functional and the mixed basis set, with the LANL2DZ basis set<sup>31</sup> being used for Ir(m) and Ru(n) metal centers and all other atoms of the complexes being treated with the 6-31G\* basis set.<sup>32</sup> Solvation effects were included using the conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM)<sup>33</sup> model choosing water as a solvent in order to correspond to experimental data.

Absorption spectra were reproduced based on 150 optical transitions obtained from the linear response time-dependent DFT (TDDFT)<sup>34</sup> calculations using the same methodology as for the ground state calculations. The spectral profile was simulated using Gaussian function with the line width of 0.08 eV providing the thermal broadening comparable to experimental spectra. In addition, Becke 3-parameter Lee-Yang-Parr (B3LYP)<sup>35</sup> functional was tested along with the PBE0 functional. Both functionals provided qualitatively similar spectral profiles agreeing with experimental spectra, except for a consistent blue shift that was more pronounced for the Ru(II) complexes 3 and 4. Comparison with the experimental absorption spectra indicated that the PBE0 functional well reproduced the experimental absorption spectra of complexes 1 and 2 with the Ir(m)metal center, while the B3LYP functional was more accurate for reproducing absorption spectra of complexes 3 and 4 with the Ru(II) metal center. This difference in the choices of the density functionals were justified<sup>36,37</sup> in literature reports for  $Ir(m)^{38-41}$ and Ru(II)<sup>17,39,42,43</sup> complexes.

For calculations of the phosphorescence energies, several lower-energy states with the triplet spin multiplicity were optimized along their excited surface potential using analytical gradient TDDFT method<sup>44</sup> applying the same methodology as in the ground state calculations. The final phosphorescence energies were chosen to provide the best agreement with experimental emission spectra. The localization/delocalization and charge transfer properties of electron-hole pairs contributing to the singlet and triplet optical transitions were illustrated using the natural transition orbitals (NTOs) generated from the unitary transformation of the transition density matrices.<sup>45</sup> NTOs were visualized in VMD<sup>46</sup> visualization code with a 0.02 iso value.

#### ECL

The electrochemical experiments were carried out using a three-electrode system, where carbon paper, Ag/AgCl electrode, and platinum wire were applied as the working, reference, and counter electrode, respectively. With tripropylamine (TPrA,

200 µL) as the co-reactant, the cell contained PBS buffer (200 µL, 10 mM, pH = 7) and sample solution (200 µL, 5 mM) was used for cyclic voltammetry (CV) study on MPI-EII (Remex Electronic Instrument Co., Ltd). The CV measurements were conducted in a voltage range of -0.5 V to 0.5 V with a 900 V photomultiplier and 80 mV·s<sup>-1</sup> scan speed.

## Results and discussion

#### **Electronic absorption**

The UV-vis absorption spectra of 1-4 were investigated in aqueous solutions (with 10% DMSO being added in the aqueous solution of 4 to improve its solubility). The absorption band maxima and extinction coefficients are tabulated in Table 1. As illustrated in Fig. 1, intense absorption bands with extinction coefficients of >78 000 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> appear at <350 nm for all complexes, which can be attributed to the spin-allowed ligand-localized  ${}^{1}\pi,\pi^{*}$  transitions. Based on the NTOs (Table S1<sup>†</sup>) for the major transitions contributing to these absorption bands, the origin of these bands is ascribed to the  $\pi^{1}\pi,\pi^{*}$  transitions localized on the bridging ligand. All complexes feature another major absorption band(s) at 350-450 nm, which are less intense, broader, and structureless compared to the high-energy absorption bands at <350 nm. NTOs in Table S1<sup>†</sup> indicate that these bands emanate predominately from the bridging ligand-localized  ${}^{1}\pi,\pi^{*}/{}^{1}$ ILCT (intraligand charge transfer) transitions, mixed with  ${}^{1}\pi,\pi^{*/1}$ LLCT (ligand-to-ligand charge transfer)/1MLCT (metal-to-ligand charge transfer) transitions associated with the terpyridine ligands. Beyond 450 nm, the two Ir(III) complexes 1 and 2 possess weakly absorbing tails with a very weak absorption band ( $\varepsilon \leq 1150 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) emerging at *ca.* 573 nm (see inset in Fig. 1). According to the NTOs in Table 2, the absorption in this region mainly stems from the <sup>1,3</sup>MLCT (metal-to-ligand charge-transfer)/<sup>1,3</sup>LLCT transitions. In contrast, the two Ru(II) complexes 3 and 4 display very strong absorption band(s) at 497 nm and 521/572 nm, respectively. Complex 3 also exhibits a shoulder at ca. 567 nm. With reference to the NTOs contributing to the major transitions in this region, these bands are predominantly ascribed to the bridging ligand localized  ${}^{1}\pi,\pi^{*}$ transitions admixing with <sup>1</sup>MLCT/<sup>1</sup>LLCT transitions. These much red-shifted low-energy  ${}^{1}\pi,\pi^{*/1}CT$  (charge transfer)



Fig. 1 UV-vis absorption spectra of 1-4 at room temperature in aqueous solutions (with 10% (v/v) DMSO being added in the solution of 4).

absorption bands in the Ru( $\pi$ ) complexes 3 and 4 can be ascribed to the weaker ligand field strength of the Ru( $\pi$ ) ion in comparison to that of the Ir( $\pi$ ) ion, leading to a smaller crystal field splitting energy of the d orbitals in Ru( $\pi$ )-based analogues.<sup>39</sup> Consequently, the d orbitals in the Ru( $\pi$ ) complexes are high-lying, making a significant contribution to the holes of the electronic transitions corresponding to the absorption band(s) at >450 nm in 3 and 4. In comparison to the wellstudied mononuclear Ru(tpy)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> complex,<sup>47</sup> the <sup>1</sup> $\pi$ , $\pi^*/^1$ CT band in the dinuclear complex 3 exhibits a 21 nm red shift and the molar extinction coefficient is 3.7 folder larger, which reflects the more delocalized LUMO crossing the  $\pi$ -expansive bridging ligand. Both the energy and molar extinction coefficient of this <sup>1</sup> $\pi$ , $\pi^*/^1$ CT band in 3 resemble those of the dinuclear (tpy)Ru (tpy-Ph-tpy)Ru(tpy)<sup>4+</sup> complex.<sup>48</sup>

Comparing the absorption bands of **1** and **2** reveals that replacing the distal tpy ligands in **1** by the N^C^N ligands in **2** caused a blue shift of the absorption band at 350–450 nm in **2** but increased the molar extinction coefficient of the absorption bands at >450 nm with respect to the corresponding bands in **1** owing to the stronger  $\sigma$ -donating ability of the N^C^N ligand. In contrast, substituting the terminal tpy ligands in the Ru( $\pi$ ) complex **3** with the N^C^N ligands redshifted and distinctly resolved this absorption band into two bands at 521 and 572 nm in **4**.

Table 1	Absorption	and emission	data for c	complexes 1-4
---------	------------	--------------	------------	---------------

	$\lambda_{ m abs}/ m nm \left(\log \varepsilon ight)^a$	$\lambda_{\rm em}/{\rm nm} \left(\tau_{\rm em}/{\rm ns}\right); \Phi_{\rm em}{}^b$	$\lambda_{\text{T1-T}n}/\text{nm}\left(\tau_{\text{TA}}/\text{ns}\right)$	
1 2 3 4	296 (4.93), 370 (4.51), 419 (4.66), 573 (2.47) 291 (4.89), 385 (4.74), 573 (3.06) 283 (4.90), 310 (4.94), 356 (4.56), 497 (4.73) 292 (4.91), 360 (4.63), 412 (4.50), 521 (4.48), 572 (4.52)	585 (2710); 0.034 602 (300); 0.0065 657 (-)e; <0.0001 -e	768 $(38\ 830)^c$ 795 $(300)^c$ 676 $(-)^{c,f}$ 767 $(-)^{c,f}$	$768 (2920)^d 705 (20)^d 676 ()^{d,f} 767 ()^{d,f}$

<sup>*a*</sup> Absorption band maxima ( $\lambda_{abs}$ ) and molar extinction coefficients ( $\varepsilon$ ) recorded in aqueous solution (with 10% DMSO for 4) at room temperature. <sup>*b*</sup> Emission band maxima ( $\lambda_{em}$ ), lifetimes ( $\tau_{em}$ ), and quantum yields ( $\Phi_{em}$ ) measured in aqueous solution ( $c = 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ ) at room temperature with Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (in degassed acetonitrile,  $\Phi_{em} = 0.097$ ,  $\lambda_{ex} = 436 \text{ nm}$ ) as the reference. <sup>*c*</sup> Nanosecond TA band maxima ( $\lambda_{T1-Tn}$ ) and triplet excited-state lifetimes ( $\tau_{TA}$ ) measured in aqueous solution (with 10% DMSO for 4) at room temperature. <sup>*d*</sup> Recorded in acetonitrile. <sup>*e*</sup> Too weak to be measured. <sup>*f*</sup> The lifetime was too short to be accurately measured on our instrument.

Table 2 Natural transition orbitals (NTOs) of the transitions contributing to the low-energy absorption bands of 1–4 in  $H_2O$ . Calculations were carried out using TDDFT theory and LANL2DZ/6-31G\* basis set. PBE0 functional was used for calculations of 1 and 2, and B3LYP for 3 and 4



#### Photoluminescence

The photoluminescence of **1–4** was studied in deoxygenated aqueous solutions. Fig. 2 displays the normalized emission spectra of **1–3** and the emission band maxima and lifetimes are compiled in Table 1. The emission of **4** was too weak to be monitored. The emission spectra of **1–3** are much red-shifted compared to their corresponding excitation wavelengths, and the emission intensity is prone to oxygen quenching



Fig. 2 Emission spectra of 1 ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 419 nm), 2 ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 385 nm), and 3 ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 497 nm) at room temperature in deoxygenated aqueous solutions ( $c = 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ ).

accompanied by a relatively long lifetime for 1 and 2. These characteristics suggest phosphorescence for the observed emission, which is a common feature for Ir(m) and Ru(n) complexes.

The emission spectrum of **1** exhibited somewhat vibronic structure along with a much longer emission lifetime and higher quantum yield in comparison to those of **2** and **3**. With reference to the reported dinuclear Ir(m) complex with the similar bridging ligand and the NTOs for the  $T_2$  state of this complex in Table 3, the emitting state of this complex is ascribed predominantly to the bridging ligand-centered  ${}^3\pi,\pi^*$  state mixed with minor  ${}^3CT$  character.<sup>15</sup> Based on the NTOs, the emitting states for complexes **2** and **3** are also dominated by the bridging ligand-localized  ${}^3\pi,\pi^*$  states but with more  ${}^3MLCT$  characters. The involvement of more charge transfer characters in the emitting states of **2** and **3** led to much

Table 3 Natural transition orbitals (NTOs) of the T<sub>n</sub> states of 1–3 in H<sub>2</sub>O. Calculations were carried out using analytical TDDFT method and LANL2DZ/6-31G\* basis set. PBE0 functional was used for the calculations



reduced emission lifetimes and lower emission quantum yields. Replacing the terminal tpy ligands by the N^C^N ligands slightly red-shifted the emission band maximum of 2 to 602 nm compared to that of 1 (585 nm), which agrees with the trend observed in their <sup>1</sup>CT absorption bands. For the dinuclear Ru(II) complex 3, more involvement of the <sup>3</sup>MLCT character in the emitting state led to a more red-shifted emission band accompanied by a much lower emission quantum yield with respect to its corresponding Ir(III) complex 1. The emission lifetime of 3 was too short to be monitored on our instrument. While for complex 4 bearing the N^C^N terminal ligands, its emission was too weak to be detected in aqueous solutions.

#### Transient absorption (TA)

To further understand the triplet excited-state properties of **1–4**, nanosecond TA were investigated in degassed aqueous solutions (with 10% DMSO for **4**) and in acetonitrile. The obtained time-resolved TA spectra are presented in Fig. 3 and Fig. S8,† respectively. The TA band maxima and triplet life-times are tabulated in Table 1. As displayed in Fig. 3, the TA spectra of **1**, **3**, and **4** consist of bleaching bands that are in accordance with their respective visible absorption bands and broad positive absorption bands ranging from 456 to 800 nm for **1**, 423–800 nm for **2**, and 550–800 nm for **3**. The spectral features of **1** and **2** are similar to those of our previously reported Ir(m) complexes bearing the similar bridging and distal ligands, indicating that the transient absorbing excited

species can be predominantly assigned to the bridging ligand localized  ${}^{3}\pi,\pi^{*}$  states for 1, and mixed  ${}^{3}\pi,\pi^{*}/{}^{3}$ CT state for 2. For the Ru(II) complexes 3 and 4, their TA spectral features resembled each other and the TA signals decayed rapidly, which are similar to that observed for their emission decays. Therefore, the excited states giving rise to the monitored signals are likely the  ${}^{3}\pi,\pi^{*}/{}^{3}$ MLCT T<sub>1</sub> states for these two complexes. It is interesting to note that the lifetime of 1 monitored from the decay of the TA signals, *i.e.*, 38.83 µs, is one order of magnitude longer than that obtained from the decay of emission signals (2.71 µs) in aqueous solutions. Although rare, this phenomenon is not unprecedent in transition-metal complexes. Some Ir(III), Ru(II), and Pt(II) complexes have been reported to possess a high-lying emissive excited state and a non-emissive, long-lived T<sub>1</sub> state.<sup>40,49,50</sup>

Another general trend revealed is that the two Ir(m) complexes demonstrated much longer-lived  $T_1$  states than their corresponding Ru(n) complexes. This characteristic can be ascribed to the stronger ligand-field strength of the Ir(m) ion with respect to that of the Ru(n) ion, which leads to a much larger crystal field splitting and results in deep-situated metal-based d orbitals in the Ir(m) complexes.<sup>39</sup> Consequently, contributions of the d orbitals to the frontier molecular orbitals are significantly reduced in the Ir(m) complexes, and the triplet lifetimes of the Ir(m) complexes are much longer than those of their corresponding Ru(n) complexes. This phenomenon was reported by our group for the mononuclear Ir(m) and Ru(n) complexes,<sup>39</sup> which has been found to be true in the



Fig. 3 Time-resolved ns TA spectra of 1–4 in aqueous solutions (with 10% DMSO for 4) at room temperature after 355 nm laser pulse excitation.  $A_{355 nm} = 0.4$  in a 1 cm cuvette.

dinuclear complexes in this work. Additionally, replacing the terminal terpyridine ligands by the N<sup>C</sup>N ligands decreased the triplet lifetimes and led to much weaker TA signals in **2** and **4**.

#### **ECL performances**

To investigate the electrochemical behaviours of 1–3, cyclic voltammogram (CV) studies were performed in PBS buffer solutions, and the recorded profiles are shown in Fig. 4a. The area of the CVs is expressed as the capacitance of the material, while the capacitance is expressed as the ability of the material to store electrons. Therefore, the smaller the area, the weaker the material's ability to store electrons. In other words, the substance has a relatively strong ability to lose electrons. From the perspective of ECL, it is easier to change from the ground state to the excited state to produce a stronger ECL signal. Fig. 4a shows that the CV area of 3 is the smallest. Therefore, 3 may show stronger ECL signal intensities under the same conditions.

The ECL studies were carried out in PBS solutions containing tripropylamine (TPrA) as the co-reactant. The corresponding potentials giving rise to emission signals, *i.e.*, -0.2and -0.4 V for 1, and -0.1 V for 2 and 3, were found from the ECL intensity vs. voltage curves (Fig. 4c). Subsequently, the applied potentials were set at the appropriate values giving rise to the maximum emission signals, and the recorded ECL profiles were collected as a function of time (Fig. 4b). Interestingly, much higher ECL signals were generated by 3 with good stability and reproducibility, and the obtained  $\Phi_{\rm ECL}$ follows the trend of 3 > 1 > 2 (Fig. 4d). In addition, the error rate of ECL signal intensity of the three complexes is low in 10 cycles, which is 5.2%, 8.5%, and 10.8% for sample 1, 2 and 3, respectively. While the relative ECL intensity of 1 and 2 is consistent with their photoluminescence quantum yield, the very low photoluminescence quantum yield and short-lived emitting state of 3 in an aqueous solution as discussed in the



Fig. 4 (a) CV curves, (b) ECL intensity over time, (c) ECL intensity as a function of voltage, and (d) the luminescence stability of 1 (black), 2 (red) and 3 (blue).

R - e⁻ → R⁺	(1)
TPrA - $e^-$ → [TPrA] <sup>+-</sup> → TPrA• + H <sup>+</sup>	(2)
$R^+ + TPrA^{\bullet} \rightarrow R^* + other products$	(3)
R* → R + <i>hv</i>	(4)

Scheme 2 ECL generation mechanism for 1–3. R represents the emitter complex.

photoluminescence section make it unexpected that **3** gave rise to the strongest ECL. To understand the unusually high ECL signals of **3**, the origins of the ECL in these complexes are analyzed.

According to the ECL mechanism described for other Ir(m) and Ru(n) complexes reported in the literature,  $^{1-4}$  it is believed that the ECL for 1–3 was produced *via* the sequential reactions depicted in Scheme 2. The complexes (R) and TPrA are reversibly oxidized on the surface of the electrode to generate R<sup>+</sup> cations and TPrA<sup>+</sup> radicals. R<sup>+</sup> can interact irreversibly with TPrA<sup>+</sup> by diffusing to afford an excited species, R<sup>\*</sup>, which instantaneously returns to the ground state and release the excited energy as photons to generate ECL. As shown in Fig. 4a, complex 3 exhibited a narrower CV curve, suggesting that it can readily release electrons to generate the R<sup>+</sup> and TPrA<sup>+</sup> species. The curve area of these complexes decreases in the order of 3 > 1 > 2, which is in line with the trend of their ECL intensities.

## Conclusions

Four water-soluble dinuclear Ir(III) and Ru(II) complexes with two  $M(tpy)_2$  or  $M(tpy)(N^C^N)$  units (M = Ir or Ru) tethered by a fluorenyl motif were synthesized and their photophysical properties systematically investigated. The influence of the different terminal-capping ligands and metal centers on their photophysical properties was assessed by employing various spectroscopic techniques and TDDFT calculations. In comparison to their corresponding terminally tpy-capped complexes 1 and 3, complexes 2 and 4 with the N^C^N terminal ligands showed considerably red-shifted absorption bands in their UVvis absorption spectra, owing to the stronger  $\sigma$ -donating ability of the N^C^N ligand compared to that of tpy. On the other hand, the two Ru(II) complexes 3 and 4 exhibited bathochromically shifted low-energy absorption bands and emission bands due to the increased involvement of the charge transfer characters in their S1 and T1 states. The reduced energies of the emitting states induced by either the N^C^N ligand or the Ru metal ion decreased the emission quantum yields and shortened the triplet excited state lifetimes following the energy gap law. All complexes exhibited positive triplet excited-state absorption bands in the red to the NIR regions, with the two complexes bearing the tpy terminal-capped ligands (*i.e.* 1 and 3) showing much stronger transient signals than the two complexes with the N^C^N terminal ligands. The transient signals from the two Ir(III) complexes are also stronger than those of their corresponding Ru(II) complexes. Complexes 1-3 also

manifested ECL in PBS buffer solutions using carbon paper as the working electrode. The intensity of the ECL signals followed the trend of 3 > 1 > 2. The strong signal of 3 could be ascribed to its ease of oxidation for initiating the electrochemical processes. Generally, the complexes with the tpy terminal ligands showed stronger ECL signals than the one with the N^C^N ligands. These complexes are the first examples of dinuclear Ir(m) and Ru(n) complexes with ECL properties, especially the complexes with [M(tpy)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>n+</sup> motifs demonstrated the potential as water-soluble ECL emitters.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## Acknowledgements

W. Sun and S. Kilina acknowledge financial support from the National Science Foundation (CHE-1800476) for the synthesis, photophysical, and computational studies of the complexes. We are also grateful to the Center for Computationally Assisted Science and Technology (CCAST) at North Dakota State University for computational resources, which were made possible in part by NSF MRI Award No. 2019077.

## References

- 1 C. Ma, Y. Cao, X. Gou and J. Zhu, Anal. Chem., 2020, 92, 431-454.
- 2 W. Miao, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 2506-2553.
- 3 Z. Liu, W. Qi and G. Xu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2015, 44, 3117-3142.
- 4 L. Hu and G. Xu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 3275-3304.
- 5 J. Y. Kim, S. Cheon, H. Lee, J.-Y. Oh, J.-I. Lee, H. Ryu, Y.-H. Kim and C.-S. Hwang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, 5, 4214–4218.
- 6 N. Hao and K. Wang, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2016, 408, 7035-7048.
- 7 E. M. Gross, S. S. Maddipati and S. M. Snyder, *Bioanalysis*, 2016, 8, 2071–2089.
- 8 N. E. Tokel and A. J. Bard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 2862-2863.
- 9 N. E. Tokel-Takvoryan, R. E. Hemingway and A. J. Bard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 6582–6589.
- 10 X. Zhou, D. Zhu, Y. Liao, W. Liu, H. Liu, Z. Ma and D. Xing, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2014, **9**, 1146–1159.
- 11 I. K. Jae, I. S. Shin, H. Kim and J. K. Lee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, 127, 1614–1615.
- 12 K. N. Swanick, S. Ladouceur, E. Zysman-Colman and Z. Ding, *Angew. Chem.*, 2012, **124**, 11241–11244.
- 13 Y. Zhou, K. Xie, R. Leng, L. Kong, C. Liu, Q. Zhang and X. Wang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, 46, 355–363.
- 14 M. J. Li, P. Jiao, M. Lin, W. He, G. N. Chen and X. Chen, *Analyst*, 2011, **136**, 205–210.

- 15 B. Liu, S. Monro, L. Lystrom, C. G. Cameron, K. Colón, H. Yin, S. Kilina, S. A. McFarland and W. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 57, 9859–9872.
- 16 B. Liu, S. Monro, Z. Li, M. A. Jabed, D. Ramirez, C. G. Cameron, K. Colón, J. Roque, S. Kilina, J. Tian, S. A. Mcfarland and W. Sun, *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.*, 2019, 2, 2964–2977.
- 17 B. Liu, Y. Gao, M. A. Jabed, S. Kilina, G. Liu and W. Sun, *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.*, 2020, **3**, 6025–6038.
- 18 B. Liu, M. A. Jabed, S. Kilina and W. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 8532–8542.
- 19 C. Lu, W. Xu, H. Shah, B. Liu, W. Xu, L. Sun, S. Y. Qian and W. Sun, *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.*, 2020, **3**, 6865–6875.
- 20 L. Zhou, X. Bao, Q. Liu, J. Yu, Y. Chen, R. Yang and M. Sun, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2014, **131**, 40478.
- 21 S. Sax, N. Rugen-Penkalla, A. Neuhold, S. Schuh, E. Zojer,
   E. J. W. List and K. Müllen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, 22, 2087–2091.
- 22 D. N. Chirdon, W. J. Transue, H. N. Kagalwala, A. Kaur, A. B. Maurer, T. Pintauer and S. Bernhard, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, 53, 1487–1499.
- 23 A. J. Wilkinson, H. Puschmann, J. A. K. Howard, C. E. Foster and J. A. G. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, 45, 8685–8699.
- 24 D. Taher, M. E. Thibault, D. DiMondo, M. Jennings and M. Schlaf, *Chem. - Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 10132–10143.
- 25 Z. Ji and Y. Wu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 18315-18324.
- 26 J. N. Demas and G. A. Crosby, J. Phys. Chem., 1971, 75, 991– 1024.
- 27 K. Suzuki, A. Kobayashi, S. Kaneko, K. Takehira, T. Yoshihara, H. Ishida, Y. Shiina, S. Oishi and S. Tobita, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 9850–9860.
- 28 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Peterson and H. Nakatsuji, *et al.*, *Gaussian 16 Rev. C.01*, Wallingford, CT, 2016.
- 29 A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 2014, 140, 18A301-1-18A301-18.
- 30 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- 31 P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82, 270-283.
- 32 V. A. Rassolov, J. A. Pople, M. A. Ratner and T. L. Windus, J. Chem. Phys., 1998, 109, 1223–1229.
- 33 M. Cossi, N. Rega, G. Scalmani and V. Barone, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2003, **24**, 669–681.
- 34 C. Adamo and D. Jacquemin, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 845-856.
- 35 J. Tirado-Rives and W. L. Jorgensen, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2008, 4, 297–306.
- 36 S. Kilina, D. Kilin and S. Tretiak, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 5929–5978.
- 37 S.-C. Qi, J.-i. Hayashi and L. Zhang, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 77375–77395.
- 38 L. Wang, S. Monro, P. Cui, H. Yin, B. Liu, C. G. Cameron, W. Xu, M. Hetu, A. Fuller, S. Kilina, S. A. McFarland and W. Sun, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 3629–3644.
- 39 L. Wang, P. Cui, B. Liu, S. Kilina and W. Sun, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, 47, 13776–13780.

- 40 C. Wang, L. Lystrom, H. Yin, M. Hetu, S. Kilina, S. A. McFarland and W. Sun, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, 45, 16366–16378.
- 41 B. Liu, S. Monro, M. A. Jabed, C. G. Cameron, K. L. Colón, W. Xu, S. Kilina, S. A. McFarland and W. Sun, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2019, 18, 2381–2396.
- 42 V. V. Alberta, E. Badaeva, S. Kilina, M. Sykora and S. Tretiak, *J. Lumin.*, 2011, **131**, 1739–1746.
- 43 E. Badaeva, V. V. Albert, S. Kilina, A. Koposov, M. Sykora and S. Tretiak, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 8902– 8913.
- 44 F. Furche and R. Ahlrichs, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, **117**, 7433–7447.

- 45 R. L. Martin, J. Chem. Phys., 2003, 118, 4775-4777.
- 46 W. Humphrey, A. Dalke and K. Schulten, *J. Mol. Graphics*, 1996, 14, 33–38.
- 47 E. Jakubikova, W. Chen, D. M. Dattelbaum, F. N. Rein, R. C. Rocha, R. L. Martin and E. R. Batista, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 10720–10725.
- 48 J.-P. Collin, P. Lainé, J.-P. Launay and A. Sour, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 434–435.
- 49 L. Wang, H. Yin, M. A. Jabed, M. Hetu, S. Monro, C. Wang, S. Kilina, S. A. McFarland and W. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 56, 3245–3259.
- 50 R. Liu, N. Dandu, Y. Li, S. Kilina and W. Sun, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 4398–4409.