

Generalized dynamic junction theory to resolve the mechanism of direct current generation in liquid-solid interfaces

Cristal Solares-Bockmon^a, Anika Ibnat Lim^b, Mohammadjavad Mohebinia^a, Xinxin Xing^b, Tian Tong^b, Xingpeng Li^b, Steven Baldelli^c, T.R. Lee^{c,d}, Wei Wang^e, Zhaoping Liu^e, Jiming Bao^{a,b,c,d,f,*}

^a Materials Science and Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

^b Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

^c Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

^d Texas Center for Superconductivity at the University of Houston, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

^e Key Laboratory of Graphene Technologies and Applications of Zhejiang Province, Ningbo Institute of Materials Technology and Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315201, China

^f Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Droplet nanogenerator
Liquid-solid interfacial charge transfer
Dynamic junctions
Tribovoltaics
Charge-discharge theory

ABSTRACT

Despite the unsettled mechanism of electricity generation from the continuous flow of liquids on a surface, the charge-discharge theory has been widely accepted for alternating current (AC) generation from a moving droplet. It has been recently extended to rationalize direct current (DC) generation across a droplet moving between two different materials. By designing a reconfigurable contact between a metal wire and a water droplet moving on graphene, we show that the charge-discharge theory cannot explain the reversal of current when water-metal interfaces switch from dynamic to static. All experiments can be described after we distinguish a dynamic from a static interface and generalize the photovoltaic-like effect to all dynamic junctions: excited electrons and holes in a moving interface will be separated and swept under the built-in electrical field, leading to a DC response. This generalized theory will lead to an understanding and the design of efficient electricity generation based on interfacial charge transfer.

1. Introduction

Harvesting energy from interfacial charge transfer has attracted enormous attention for basic research and applications, especially after the discovery of triboelectric nanogenerators (TENG) in 2012 [1–6]. Among various material selections and device configurations, electrical generators utilizing the flow of fluid on a solid surface are unique for the ubiquitous availability of water and elusive underlying mechanisms [7–9]. For example, a DC response from flowing water on graphene was reported in 2011 [10]. Still, this observation was quickly questioned and was believed to come from metal contacts because no current was observed when the metal contacts to graphene were well isolated [11]. In the meantime, many theories have been proposed such as momentum transfer, coulomb dragging and streaming potential [7,9,12–16]. In contrast, the electricity generation from a moving droplet on graphene was observed and rationalized by a charge-discharge theory: electrons

will be attracted to the front of the droplet and released from the rear of the droplet [17]. In other words, the free charges will be shuffled from one side of the material surface to the other side by the moving droplet, leading to a current. The observation was quickly reproduced in many interfaces between different liquids and various 2D materials [8,15,18–27]. Although the charge-discharge theory is fundamentally different from the theories for electricity generation from the flowing liquids [8,9,15], the community has accepted it to describe all droplet-induced electricity [8,15,16,18–27].

Because the current direction depends on the moving direction of a droplet, an alternating current (AC) is generated when the droplet moves back and forth. The generation of a direct current (DC) is desirable for low-power portable electronic devices; thus, it has attracted enormous interest and has been reported for various liquid-solid interfaces [28,29]. The charge-discharge theory is a natural choice to describe the DC between two materials sandwiching a moving droplet. It

* Corresponding author at: Materials Science and Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA.

E-mail address: jbao@uh.edu (J. Bao).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2022.107364>

Received 11 March 2022; Received in revised form 23 April 2022; Accepted 6 May 2022

Available online 11 May 2022

2211-2855/© 2022 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.




Fig. 1. DC between graphene and a suspended metal wire with a moving water droplet (a) Schematic of the experimental setup. (b) Picture of the setup. (c-d) The current between graphene and (c) Al wire and (d) Au wire when the water droplet moves back and forth. A positive current indicates current from graphene to wire through the current meter.

states that the DC is due to the recombination of opposite charges released from two materials with different Fermi levels [29,30]. However, a competing theory based on the dynamic water-silicon junction states that excited electrons and holes in the depletion region of silicon will be separated by a built-in electric field and become a DC [28,31]. This mechanism is similar to photovoltaics (PV) except that the electrons and holes are excited by interfacial mechanical movement instead of incident photons. While these two competing views are fundamentally different, they seem to work well because they deal with various experiments, one with a single dynamic liquid-solid interface, while the other deals with two dynamic liquid-solid interfaces.

The resolution of the controversial mechanisms will lead to a better understanding of dynamic liquid-solid interfaces and facilitate the development of more efficient power generators. In this work, we design new generators with a unique liquid-solid interface that can be configured as a dynamic or static junction. In this way, both theories can be applied to the devices and systematically tested. After demonstrating the failure of the charge-discharge theory, we will generalize the PV-like DC generation theory and use it to rationalize our and other reported experiments.

2. Results and discussion

Fig. 1a-b show a DC generator schematic and picture with a water droplet between graphene and a suspended metal wire. We used a mica plate and a motor to drag the droplet freely on graphene. We chose Au and Al because they possess work functions below and above that of graphene. Large-area single-layer graphene was synthesized by chemical vapor deposition and transferred to an A-4 size PET film so that a

single-layer graphene strip can be cut into any length and width (see [Supporting Information](#) for detailed preparation and characterizations). This device is similar to DC generators described by the charge-discharge theory [29,30]. As the droplet moves, it forms two dynamic junctions: water-graphene and water-metal. The current is measured with a Keithley 2400 source meter. Fig. 1c-d show that the generated current goes from Au to graphene when an Au wire is used, but the current goes from graphene to Al with an Al wire. This material-dependent DC is due to the difference in the work function of Au and Al. The current's direction can be described by charge-discharge theory: the current flows from a higher work function material to a lower work function electrode [29,30].

We then inserted the same wire in a capillary tube and let the tube-wire move along with the droplet. Fig. 2a shows the schematic, and Fig. 2b shows a picture of the setup. A DC is also observed when the droplet moves, but Fig. 2c-d show that the DC is significantly reduced with the Al wire compared with that of the suspended Al wire, and the current changes direction for the Au wire. Note that in this case, the water is not moving on the surface of the wire since both the wire and water are tightly confined in the capillary tube, so the water-metal interface is static. In other words, the DC generator has only one dynamic junction between the water and graphene instead of two junctions with a suspended wire, so the change in current direction is unsurprising.

We argue that no matter whether the devices in Fig. 2 are treated as single or double dynamic junctions, the charge-discharge theory cannot explain the observed currents. According to the charge-discharge theory [29,30], their work functions will determine the induced free charges and the DC current between graphene and wires.




Fig. 2. DC between graphene and a metal wire moving with a droplet. (a) Schematic of the experimental setup. (b) Picture of the setup with an Au wire in a capillary tube. (c-d) The current between graphene and (c) Al wire and (d) Au wire when the water droplet moves back and forth.

Fig. 3a shows the work functions (Fermi levels) of graphene, water, Au, and Al. The Fermi levels of graphene, Au, and Al are based on Kelvin probe measurements, while the Fermi level of water (4.6 eV) is based on literature [28,32,33]. Because Al possesses a work function lower than graphene, Fig. 3b shows that free electrons will be induced on the Al wire, and an equal amount of free holes will be induced on graphene. As the droplet moves, holes will be released from graphene to the external circuit; free electrons will be ejected from Al; and electrons and holes then recombine through the external circuit and are detected as a positive DC. When Au is used, free holes instead of electrons will be induced on Au because of its higher work function. Consequently, the free charges on graphene must change and become negative, as does the DC, as shown in Fig. 3c and Fig. 1.

Following the same logic, we show the same induced free charges in Fig. 3d when the Au wire is placed in the capillary tube. Since the water surrounding the wires remains stationary even when the droplet moves, the water-Au interface remains a static junction, so holes will not be released or discharged from the Au wire. On the contrary, the free electrons on graphene should be discharged when the droplet moves. Because there is no equal amount of free holes from the Au wire to recombine with the free electrons from graphene, the current should either be zero or reduced to half if electrons alone are released to the external circuit and contribute to the DC. In either case, our observations in Fig. 2d contradict the prediction from the charge-discharge theory. For Al, although the current flows in the same direction, it is reduced to one third, lower than the expected half when holes from graphene contribute only to the current.

An alternative theory for the DC generation is based on a moving liquid-solid interface. The DC from a moving water droplet on a silicon

substrate was reported and rationalized by a photovoltaic-like theory: electrons and holes are excited by the moving interface in the depletion region of Si and then separated by the built-in field, leading to a short-circuit current [28,31]. Besides liquid-solid interfaces, DC generation have been observed in many solid-solid interfaces or junctions, including traditional Si [34–39], III–V compounds [38], carbon materials [40], and new materials such as perovskites and 2D nanomaterials [41]. Although a detailed microscopic theory is still lacking, especially the mechanism of carrier excitation, the direction of DC is universal and can be determined by the built-in electric field in the junctions. Here we propose that DC can be generated in all dynamic junctions and determined by the built-in field. This generalized dynamic junction theory is valid for our liquid-metal and liquid-graphene interfaces. In the following paragraph, we provide a brief description of the principle of the theory and use it to rationalize our experimental observations.

Fig. 4a–b show that when two materials with different Fermi levels are brought into contact, interfacial charge transfer occurs to align their Fermi levels. This charge transfer will create a space charge region with a built-in field and voltage to prevent further net charge transfer. As a static junction in thermal equilibrium, it will not produce any current or voltage for the external circuit. This is a general principle of physics regardless of materials. Such a junction serves as an electrical contact between two materials in most cases. However, in the case of a relative mechanical movement between two materials as shown in Fig. 4c, the junction becomes dynamic so that extra electrons and holes will be generated and separated by the built-in field, producing current to the external circuit. Fig. 4d–f show the static junctions of water with Al, Au, and graphene based on their Fermi levels. Note that these junctions are local between two materials only; thus, the notion that the induced free




Fig. 3. Failure of charge-discharge theory. (a) Fermi levels of graphene, water, Al, and Au. (b-c) Successful charge-discharge description of DC between graphene and suspended (b) Al wires and (c) Au wires. (d) Expected DC from the charge-discharge theory when applied to the moving Au wire.

charge on graphene in water is switched between Al and Au wires in Figs. 3c and 3d is incorrect from the very beginning.

Having established junctions among water, graphene, Au/Al and understanding the difference between a static and a dynamic junction, we can use them to construct multi-junction generators and understand their fundamental operation. Fig. 5a-b show the built-in fields and energy diagrams for graphene-water-Al DC generators in Figs. 1c and 2c. Because of their relative Fermi levels, two built-in fields are aligned in the same direction. Both junctions are dynamic for the suspended Al wire in Fig. 1c and contribute to the overall current. While for the moving Al wire in Fig. 2c, the water-Al junction is static as an electrical contact, and only the graphene-water junction contributes to the current; these factors explain why both currents flow in the same direction, but the current with the suspended Al wire is larger than the current with the moving Al wire. The case for the Au wires is different: two built-in fields are in the opposite directions, as shown in Fig. 5c-d. Because the built-in voltage in the water-Au junction is much larger than that in the water-graphene junction, the current from the water-Au junction dominates, giving rise to a net DC from Au to graphene in the case of the suspended Au wire in Fig. 1d. But for the moving Au nanowire in Fig. 2d, the current is determined by the weaker water-graphene junction only; the current goes in the opposite direction despite being much smaller.

To further support the universality of the generalized theory, we have chosen four additional materials to construct DC generators: Ti, silicon (p-type), Cu and Pt. The Fermi levels of Ti, Cu and Pt were obtained from our Kelvin probe measurements while the Fermi level of p-silicon is taken from the above discussed liquid-semiconductor DC generators [28–31]. We first test the DC generation from single

water-metal junction as it has not been reported before. We choose Al foil and copper (Cu) foil to replace graphene for the single dynamic junction because they are common materials available in most laboratories and their Fermi levels are on opposite sides of water. Figs. SF5 and SF6 show that water-Al gives a negative current and water-Cu produces a positive current, in an excellent agreement with the generalized theory. Note that we used Pt as an electrode in the static water-Pt junction, the current should go in the opposite direction in both cases according to the charge-discharge theory [29,30]. We then tested the double junction generators using the following three combinations: Au-Water-Silicon, Ti-Water-Silicon and Ti-Water-Graphene. As shown in Figs. SF7–9, the results from these experiments again agree well with the theory, in particular, the current from Au-Water-Silicon changes direction when Au-water junction switches from dynamic to static. We want to emphasize that a numerical value of current cannot be calculated until a microscopic picture of the electron-hole generation mechanism is further elucidated and agreed upon. Furthermore the model developed for liquid-solid TENGs that rely on device configurations with intermittent contact between electrodes and moving droplets cannot be directly cross applied as the present investigation observed current generated during dynamic motion of water droplets in continuous contact with the electrodes [2,6]. A numerical model and quantitative validation of current is still a topic for further investigation in the emerging field of tribovoltaic DC generators.

The failure of the charge-discharge theory for the DC generators can also help us understand its failure for the moving droplet AC generators [8,15,17–27]. A major assumption is that there is no charge transfer between the droplet and its contacting solid surface, and the role of the




Fig. 4. Generalized dynamic junction theory for DC generation. (a) Two materials with different Fermi levels. (b) Space charge, built-in electric field and potential after two materials are in contact. (c) Separation of electron and hole in a dynamic junction to generate a DC. (d-f) Schematics of space charge and built-in potentials at the interfaces of (d) water-Al, (e) water-Au, (f) water-graphene based on their Fermi levels in Fig. 3a. G indicates graphene.

droplet is to shuffle the free charges from the front to the rear side of the droplet on the surface. This assumption directly contradicts our observations. There is a DC between water and graphene, and we believe that such current is general and will exist in any liquid-solid dynamic junctions. On the other hand, since the original model is highly phenomenological, it still cannot provide a clear microscopic picture and quantitative results although it has been refined many times over the past years [17,21,24,25].

3. Conclusions

In summary, we designed unique moving droplet DC generators with switchable static/dynamic junctions; we then used them to show the failure of the charge-discharge theory. We proposed that a DC will be generated in any dynamic junction and then provided supporting

experimental evidence. We also pointed out that the well-accepted charge-discharge theory is insufficient to explain the droplet AC generators, and that our generalized dynamic junction theory can be applied to other junctions and interfaces.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Cristal Solares-Bockmon, Anika Ibnat Lim: Methodology, Investigation, Validation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft. **Mohammadjavad Mohebinia, Xinxin Xing, Tian Tong:** Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization. Formal analysis. **Xingpeng Li, Steven Baldelli, T. R. Lee, Wei Wang, Zhaoping Liu:** Resources, Writing – review & editing. **Jiming Bao:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing, Resources, Supervision.




Fig. 5. Application of generalized dynamic junction theory to DC generators in Figs. 1 and 2. (a-b) Current generations in graphene-Al generators with (a) suspended and (b) moving Al wires. (c-d) Current generations in graphene-Au generators with (c) suspended and (d) moving Au wires.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

Funding: J.M.B. and T.R.L. acknowledge support from the Robert A. Welch Foundation (E-1728J.M.B. and E-1320T.R.L.) and the Texas Center for Superconductivity at the University of Houston. T.R.L. also acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation (CHE-2109174). Z.P.L. acknowledges support from Innovation 2025 Major Special Program of Ningbo (Grant No. 2019B10097).

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at [doi:10.1016/j.nanoen.2022.107364](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2022.107364).

References

- [1] F.R. Fan, Z.Q. Tian, Z.L. Wang, Flexible triboelectric generator!, *Nano Energy* 1 (2012) 328–334.
- [2] H. Wu, N. Mendel, D. van den Ende, G.F. Zhou, F. Mugele, Energy harvesting from drops impacting onto charged surfaces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 125 (2020), 078301.
- [3] S.Y. Li, J.H. Nie, Y.X. Shi, X.L. Tao, F. Wang, J.W. Tian, S.Q. Lin, X.Y. Chen, Z. L. Wang, Contributions of different functional groups to contact electrification of polymers, *Adv. Mater.* 32 (2020) 2001307.
- [4] S.Y. Li, Y. Fan, H.Q. Chen, J.H. Nie, Y.X. Liang, X.L. Tao, J. Zhang, X.Y. Chen, E. G. Fu, Z.L. Wang, Manipulating the triboelectric surface charge density of polymers by low-energy helium ion irradiation/implantation, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 13 (2020) 896–907.

- [5] Y.X. Shi, F. Wang, J.W. Tian, S.Y. Li, E.G. Fu, J.H. Nie, R. Lei, Y.F. Ding, X.Y. Chen, Z.L. Wang, Self-powered electro-tactile system for virtual tactile experiences, *Sci. Adv.* 7 (2021) eabe2943.
- [6] W.H. Xu, H.X. Zheng, Y. Liu, X.F. Zhou, C. Zhang, Y.X. Song, X. Deng, M. Leung, Z. B. Yang, R.X. Xu, Z.L. Wang, X.C. Zeng, Z.K. Wang, A droplet-based electricity generator with high instantaneous power density, *Nature* 578 (2020) 392.
- [7] K. Kuriya, K. Ochiai, G. Kalita, M. Tanemura, A. Komiya, G. Kikugawa, T. Ohara, I. Yamashita, F.S. Ohuchi, M. Meyyappan, S. Samukawa, K. Washio, T. Okada, Output density quantification of electricity generation by flowing deionized water on graphene, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 117 (2020), 123905.
- [8] J.X. Pei, J. Huang, Z. Huang, K. Liu, Liquid flow-induced electricity in carbon nanomaterials, *Sustain. Energy. Fuels* 3 (2019) 599–610.
- [9] Y.F. Xu, P.N. Chen, H.S. Peng, Generating electricity from water through carbon, *Nanomaterials, Chem.-Eur. J.* 24 (2018) 6287.
- [10] P. Dhiman, F. Yavari, X. Mi, H. Gullapalli, Y.F. Shi, P.M. Ajayan, N. Koratkar, Harvesting energy from water flow over graphene, *Nano Lett.* 11 (2011) 3123–3127.
- [11] J. Yin, Z.H. Zhang, X.M. Li, J.X. Zhou, W.L. Guo, Harvesting energy from water flow over graphene? *Nano Lett.* 12 (2012) 1736–1741.
- [12] P. Kral, M. Shapiro, Nanotube electron drag in flowing liquids, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86 (2001) 131–134.
- [13] S. Ghosh, A.K. Sood, N. Kumar, Carbon nanotube flow sensors, *Science* 299 (2003) 1042–1044.
- [14] A.E. Cohen, Carbon nanotubes provide a charge, *Science* 300 (2003), 1235–1235.
- [15] K.Q. Wang, J.J. Li, Electricity generation from the interaction of liquid-solid interface: a review, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 9 (2021) 8870–8895.
- [16] W.H. Xu, Y.X. Song, R.X. Xu, Z.K. Wang, Electrohydrodynamic and hydroelectric effects at the water-solid interface: from fundamentals to applications, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* 8 (2021) 2000670.
- [17] J. Yin, X.M. Li, J. Yu, Z.H. Zhang, J.X. Zhou, W.L. Guo, Generating electricity by moving a droplet of ionic liquid along graphene, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 9 (2014) 378–383.
- [18] S.S. Kwak, S.S. Lin, J.H. Lee, H. Ryu, T.Y. Kim, H.K. Zhong, H.S. Chen, S.W. Kim, Triboelectricity-induced large electric power generation from a single moving droplet on graphene/polytetrafluoroethylene, *ACS Nano* 10 (2016) 7297–7302.
- [19] D. Park, S. Won, K.S. Kim, J.Y. Jung, J.Y. Choi, J. Nah, The influence of substrate-dependent triboelectric charging of graphene on the electric potential generation by the flow of electrolyte droplets, *Nano Energy* 54 (2018) 66–72.
- [20] S.S. Yang, Y.D. Su, Y. Xu, Q. Wu, Y.B. Zhang, M.B. Raschke, M.X. Ren, Y. Chen, J. L. Wang, W.L. Guo, Y.R. Shen, C.S. Tian, Mechanism of electric power generation from ionic droplet motion on polymer supported graphene, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 140 (2018) 13746–13752.
- [21] H.B. Zhang, Z.H. Zhang, W.L. Guo, Mechanistic insight into electricity generation from moving ionic droplets on graphene, *Sci. China-Mater.* 64 (2021) 2242–2250.
- [22] J.N. Dong, F.R. Fan, Z.Q. Tian, Droplet-based nanogenerators for energy harvesting and self-powered sensing, *Nanoscale* 13 (2021) 17290–17309.
- [23] Q.W. Tang, X.P. Wang, P.Z. Yang, B.L. He, A. Solar, Cell that is triggered by sun and rain, *Angew. Chem.-Int. Edit.* 55 (2016) 5243–5246.
- [24] Z.H. Zhang, X.M. Li, J. Yin, Y. Xu, W.W. Fei, M.M. Xue, Q. Wang, J.X. Zhou, W. L. Guo, Emerging hydrovoltaic technology, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 13 (2018) 1109–1119.
- [25] H.F. Cai, Y.F. Guo, W.L. Guo, Synergistic effect of substrate and ion-containing water in graphene based hydrovoltaic generators, *Nano Energy* 84 (2021), 105939.
- [26] A.S. Aji, R. Nishi, H. Ago, Y. Ohno, High output voltage generation of over 5 V from liquid motion on single-layer MoS₂, *Nano Energy* 68 (2020) 7.
- [27] S. Kumar, A. Sharma, V. Gupta, M. Tomar, Development of novel MoS₂ hydrovoltaic nanogenerators for electricity generation from moving NaCl droplet, *J. Alloy. Compd.* 884 (2021) 12.
- [28] S.Q. Lin, X.Y. Chen, Z.L. Wang, The tribovoltaic effect and electron transfer at a liquid-semiconductor interface, *Nano Energy* 76 (2020) 7.
- [29] Y.H. Lu, Y.F. Yan, X.T. Yu, X. Zhou, S.R. Feng, C. Xu, H.N. Zheng, Z.S. Yang, L.J. Li, K.H. Liu, S.S. Lin, Polarized water driven dynamic PN junction-based direct-current generator, *Research* 2021 (2021) 10.
- [30] Y.F. Yan, X. Zhou, S.R. Feng, Y.H. Lu, J.H. Qian, P.P. Zhang, X.T. Yu, Y.J. Zheng, F. C. Wang, K.H. Liu, S.S. Lin, Direct current electricity generation from dynamic polarized water-semiconductor interface, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 125 (2021) 14180–14187.
- [31] M.L. Zheng, S.Q. Lin, Z. Tang, Y.W. Feng, Z.L. Wang, Photovoltaic effect and tribovoltaic effect at liquid-semiconductor interface, *Nano Energy* 83 (2021) 8.
- [32] A. Iqbal, M.S. Hossain, K.H. Bevan, The role of relative rate constants in determining surface state phenomena at semiconductor-liquid interfaces, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 18 (2016) 29466–29477.
- [33] J.V. Coe, A.D. Earhart, M.H. Cohen, G.J. Hoffman, H.W. Sarkas, K.H. Bowen, Using cluster studies to approach the electronic structure of bulk water: Reassessing the vacuum level, conduction band edge, and band gap of water, *J. Chem. Phys.* 107 (1997) 6023–6031.
- [34] Z.L. Wang, A.C. Wang, On the origin of contact-electrification, *Materials Today* 30 (2019) 34–51.
- [35] R. Xu, Q. Zhang, J.Y. Wang, D. Liu, J. Wang, Z.L. Wang, Direct current triboelectric cell by sliding an n-type semiconductor on a p-type semiconductor, *Nano Energy* 66 (2019) 7.
- [36] Z. Zhang, D.D. Jiang, J.Q. Zhao, G.X. Liu, T.Z. Bu, C. Zhang, Z.L. Wang, Tribovoltaic effect on metal-semiconductor interface for direct-current low-impedance triboelectric nanogenerators, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 10 (2020) 8.
- [37] M.L. Zheng, S.Q. Lin, L. Xu, L.P. Zhu, Z.L. Wang, Scanning probing of the tribovoltaic effect at the sliding interface of two semiconductors, *Adv. Mater.* 32 (2020) 7.
- [38] S.S. Lin, Y.H. Lu, S.R. Feng, Z.Z. Hao, Y.F. Yan, A. High, Current density direct-current generator based on a moving van der Waals Schottky diode, *Adv. Mater.* 31 (2019) 7.
- [39] J. Liu, M.M. Miao, K.R. Jiang, F. Khan, A. Goswami, R. McGee, Z. Li, L. Nguyen, Z. Y. Hu, J. Lee, K. Cadien, T. Thundat, Sustained electron tunneling at unbiased metal-insulator-semiconductor triboelectric contacts, *Nano Energy* 48 (2018) 320–326.
- [40] U. Liu, M.I. Cheikh, R.M. Bao, H.H. Peng, F.F. Liu, Z. Li, K.R. Jiang, J. Chen, T. Thundat, Tribo-tunneling DC generator with carbon aerogel/silicon multi-nanocontacts, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* 5 (2019) 7.
- [41] Z.Z. Hao, T.M. Jiang, Y.H. Lu, S.R. Feng, R.J. Shen, T.Y. Yao, Y.F. Yan, Y. Yang, Y. F. Lu, S.S. Lin, Co-harvesting light and mechanical energy based on dynamic metal/perovskite schottky junction, *Matter* 1 (2019) 639–649.