Novel cerebello-amygdala connections provide missing link between cerebellum and limbic system

Se Jung Jung^{1†}, Ksenia Vlasov^{1†}, Alexa F. D'Ambra¹, Abhijna Parigi^{1&}, Mihir Baya¹, Edbertt Paul Frez¹, Jacqueline Villalobos¹, Marina Fernandez-Frentzel¹, Maribel Anguiano¹, Yoichiro Ideguchi^{1#}, Evan G. Antzoulatos^{1,2}, Diasynou Fioravante^{1,2*}. ¹Center for Neuroscience, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA ²Department of Neurobiology, Physiology and Behavior, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA * Correspondence: Diasynou Fioravante, PhD dfioravante@ucdavis.edu Current addresses: #Department of Molecular Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA; &10x Genomics, Pleasanton CA, USA [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship # of Figures: 6 # of Tables: 1

Novel cerebello-amygdala connectivity

26 Abstract

27 The cerebellum is emerging as a powerful regulator of cognitive and affective processing 28 and memory in both humans and animals and has been implicated in affective disorders. 29 How the cerebellum supports affective function remains poorly understood. The short-30 latency (just a few milliseconds) functional connections that were identified between the 31 cerebellum and amygdala -a structure crucial for the processing of emotion and valence-32 more than 4 decades ago raise the exciting, yet untested, possibility that a cerebellum-33 amygdala pathway communicates information important for emotion. The major hurdle in 34 rigorously testing this possibility is the lack of knowledge about the anatomy and functional 35 connectivity of this pathway. Our initial anatomical tracing studies in mice excluded the 36 existence of a direct monosynaptic connection between cerebellum and amygdala. Using 37 transneuronal tracing techniques, we have identified a novel disynaptic circuit between the 38 cerebellar output nuclei and the basolateral amygdala. This circuit recruits the understudied 39 intralaminar thalamus as a node. Using ex vivo optophysiology and super-resolution 40 microscopy, we provide the first evidence for the functionality of the pathway, thus offering a 41 missing mechanistic link between the cerebellum and amygdala. This discovery provides a 42 connectivity blueprint between the cerebellum and a key structure of the limbic system. As 43 such, it is the requisite first step toward obtaining new knowledge about cerebellar function 44 in emotion, thus fundamentally advancing understanding of the neurobiology of emotion, 45 which is perturbed in mental and autism spectrum disorders.

- **Keywords**: cerebellar nuclei, basolateral amygdala, limbic, circuit, electrophysiology,
- 48 channelrhodopsin, anatomy, mouse

1 INTRODUCTION

The cerebellum is increasingly recognized as a regulator of limbic functions ^{1–8}. The human cerebellum is activated in response to aversive or threatening cues, upon remembering emotionally charged events, and during social behavior, reward-based decision making and violation of expectation 9-18. Consistent with this, deficits in cerebellar function are associated with impaired emotional attention and perception, as seen in depression. anxiety, schizophrenia and post-traumatic stress disorder 19-22, as well as cognitive and emotional disturbances collectively known as cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome ²³. Animal models have recapitulated some of these findings, with selective mutations, damage or inactivation of the rodent cerebellum resulting in altered acquisition or extinction of learned defensive responses, and impaired social and goal-directed behavior, without motor deficits ²⁴⁻³⁵.

The limited understanding of the anatomical and functional circuits that connect the cerebellum to limbic centers has impeded mechanistic insight into the neural underpinnings of cerebellar limbic functions, which have begun to be dissected only recently ^{30–32,36,37}. Moreover, a neuroanatomical substrate for the functional connections between the cerebellum and a key affective center, the amygdala ³⁸, has yet to be provided, even though these connections were observed more than 40 years ago ^{39–41}. The purpose of the present work was to generate a mesoscale map of functional neuroanatomical connectivity between the cerebellum and amygdala. We focused on connections between the deep cerebellar nuclei (DCN), which give rise to most cerebellar output pathways ⁴², and the basolateral amygdala (BLA), which is known to process affect-relevant salience and valence information ^{38,43,44}, and which was targeted in the early electrophysiological studies of Heath et al. ^{40,41}.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Mice

C57Bl/6J mice of both sexes were used in accordance with National Institute of Health guidelines. All procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California, Davis. Mice were maintained on a 12-hr light/dark cycle with ad libitum access to food and water. For anatomical tracing experiments, postnatal day P45-65 (at the time of injection) mice were used (N = 11 mice). For slice optophysiology, P18-25 (at the time of injection) mice were used. (Fig. 3: N = 14 mice; Fig. 5: N = 5 mice; Fig. 6: N = 7 mice).

2.2 Virus and tracer injections

For stereotaxic surgeries, mice were induced to a surgical plane of anesthesia with 5% isoflurane and maintained at 1-2% isoflurane. Mice were placed in a stereotaxic frame (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, California) on a feedback-controlled heating pad. Following skin incision, small craniotomies were made above the target regions with a dental drill. The following coordinates (in mm) were used (from bregma): for medial DCN - 6.4 AP, ± 0.75 ML, -2.2 DV; for interposed DCN: -6.3 AP, ± 1.6 ML, -2.2 DV; for lateral DCN: -5.7 AP, ± 2.35 ML, -2.18 DV. For basolateral amygdala: -0.85 AP, ± 3.08 ML, -4.5 DV. For limbic thalamus: -0.85 AP, ± 0.3 ML, -3.3 DV, and -1.2 AP, ± 0.5 ML, -3.5 DV. A small amount of tracer (50 - 100 nl for DCN, 300 - 500 nl for thalamus) was pressure-injected in the targeted site with a UMP3-1 ultramicropump (WPI, Sarasota, FL) and glass

97 pipettes (Wiretrol II, Drummond) (tip diameter: 25-50 µm) at a rate of 30 nl/min. The pipette 98 was retracted 10 min after injection, the skin was sutured (Ethilon P-6 sutures, Ethicon, 99 Raritan, NJ) and/or glued (Gluture, Abbott labs, Abbott Park, IL) and animal was allowed to 100 recover completely prior to returning to the home cage. Preoperative analgesia consisted of 101 a single administration of local lidocaine (VetOne, MWI, Boise, ID; 1 mg/kg) and Meloxicam 102 (Covetrus, Portland, ME; 5 mg/kg), both SC. Postoperative analgesia consisted of a single 103 administration of Buprenex (AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp, Sacramento, CA; 0.1 mg/kg) 104 and Meloxicam 5 mg/kg, both SC, followed by Meloxicam at 24 and 48 hr. The following adeno-associated viruses (AAV) and tracers were used: AAV8-CMV-TurboRFP (UPenn 105 106 Vector Core, 1.19*10^14 qc/ml), AAV9-CAG-GFP (UNC Vector Core, 2x10^12 qc/ml), 107 AAV2-retro-CAG-GFP (Addgene, 7x10^12 gc/ml), AAV2-retro-AAV-CAG-tdTomato 108 (Addgene, 7x10^12 gc/ml), Cholera toxin subunit B CF-640 (Biotium, 2 mg/ml, 100 nl). 109 AAV1-hSyn-Cre-WPRE-hGH (Addgene, 10^13 gc/ml, diluted 1:5), AAV5-CAG-FLEX-110 tdtomato (UNC Viral Core, 7.8*10^12 gc/ml, diluted 1:5), AAV9-EF1a-DIO-hChR2(H134R)-111 EYFP (Addgene, 1.8*10^13 gc/ml, diluted 1:10), AAV2-hSyn-hChR2(H134R)-EYFP (UNC 112 Vector Core, 5.6x10^12 qc/ml, diluted 1:2). Three to five weeks were allowed for viral 113 expression/labelling.

2.3 Histology and imaging

114

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

115 Following deep anesthesia (anesthetic cocktail: 100 mg/kg ketamine, 10 mg/kg xylazine, 1 116 117 mg/kg acepromazine, IP) mice were paraformaldehyde-fixed (4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 118 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, EMS Diasum, Hatfield, PA) through transcardial perfusion. 119 Brains were post-fixed overnight, cryo-protected with 30% sucrose in PBS and sliced 120 coronally on a sliding microtome at 60-100 µm thickness. Slices were mounted on slides 121 with Mowiol-based mounting media and scanned using an Olympus VS120 Slide Scanner 122 (Olympus, Germany) (resolution with 10x/0.4 N.A. lens at 488 nm: 645 nm in x,y). For 123 immunohistochemistry, slices were blocked with 10% normal goat serum (NGS, Millipore, 124 Burlington, MA) in PBST (0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 1 h. Slices were incubated with 125 primary antibodies (anti-Cre IgG1, Millipore, 1:1000; anti-NEUN, Cell Signaling, Danvers, 126 MA, 1:1000; anti-vGLUT2, Synaptic Systems, Goettingen, Germany, 1:700; anti-PSD-95. 127 Neuromab, Davis, CA, 1:500) in 2% NGS-PBST overnight at 4°C. After 4 x 20-min rinses 128 with PBST, secondary antibodies (Alexa fluor-568 goat anti-mouse 1:1000 IgG1; Alexa 129 fluor-488 goat anti-rabbit 1:1000; Dylight-405 goat anti-guinea pig 1:200; Alexa fluor-647 130 goat anti-mouse 1:1000 IgG2a; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) were applied in 2% NGS-131 PBST for 1-2 h at room temperature. Following another round of rinses, slices were 132 mounted on slides with Mowiol and scanned on an LSM800 confocal microscope with 133 Airyscan (resolution with 63x/1.4 N.A. oil lens at 488 nm: 120 nm in x,y, 350 nm in z) (Zeiss, 134 Germany). Maximal projections of optical z-stacks were obtained with Zen software (Zeiss) 135 or ImageJ and used for analysis. 136

Preparation of brain slices for electrophysiology 2.4

Mice of either sex (P39-60) were anesthetized through intraperitoneal injection of ketamine/xylazine/acepromazine anesthetic cocktail and transcardially perfused with icecold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF; in mM: 127 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 25 NaHCO₃, 1 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, 25 glucose; supplemented with 0.4 sodium ascorbate and 2 sodium pyruvate; ~310 mOsm). Brains were rapidly removed, blocked, and placed in choline slurry (110 choline chloride, 25 NaHCO₃, 25 glucose, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 7 MgCl₂, 0.5 CaCl₂, 11.6 sodium ascorbate, 3.1 sodium pyruvate; ~310 mOsm). Coronal sections (250 µm) containing the thalamus were cut on a vibratome (Leica VT1200S) and allowed to recover in aCSF at 32°C for 25 min before moving to room temperature until further use. All solutions were bubbled with 95% O₂-5% CO₂ continuously. Chemicals were from Sigma.

2.5 Electrophysiology

Slices were mounted onto poly-l-lysine-coated glass coverslips and placed in a submersion recording chamber perfused with aCSF (2-3 ml/min) at near physiological temperature (30-32°C). Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were made from tdTomato+ (Figs. 3.5) or CtB+ (Fig. 6) cells in the thalamus using borosilicate glass pipettes (3-5 M Ω) filled with internal solution containing (in mM): CsMSO₃ 120, CsCl 15, NaCl 8, TEA-Cl 10, HEPES 10, EGTA 0.5, QX314 2, MgATP 4 and NaGTP 0.3, biocytin 0.3. Recordings were acquired in pClamp11 using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA), digitized at 20 kHz and low-pass filtered at 8 kHz. Membrane potential was maintained at -70 mV. Series resistance and leak current were monitored and recordings were terminated if either of these parameters changed by more than 50%. Optical stimulation of ChR2+ fibers surrounding tdTomato+ or CtB+ thalamic neurons was performed under a 60x water immersion lens (1.0 N.A.) of an Olympus BX51W microscope, using an LED system (Excelitas X-cite: or Prizmatix UHP-T) mounted on the microscope and driven by a Master9 stimulator (AMPI). Optical stimulation consisted of 488 nm light pulses (1-5 ms duration). Power density was set to 1.5-2x threshold (max: 0.25 mW/mm²). A minimum of 5 responseevoking trials (inter-trial interval: 60 s) were delivered and traces were averaged. To confirm monosynaptic inputs, action potentials were blocked with TTX (1 µM), followed by TTX+ 4AP (100 µM) to prolong ChR2-evoked depolarization. A connection is monosynaptic if prolonged ChR2-induced presynaptic depolarization in TTX+4AP is sufficient to evoke release 45.

2.6 Data analysis

Analysis of ex vivo recordings was performed using custom MATLAB R2019b scripts (MathWorks, Natick, MA). Postsynaptic current (PSC) amplitude was computed from the maximum negative deflection from baseline within a time window (2.5 - 40 ms) from stimulus onset. Onset latency was measured at 10% of peak amplitude. Cell location was confirmed through biocytin-streptavidin Alexa fluor staining. For slice registration the Paxinos Brain Atlas (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001) and the Allen Brain Atlas (ABA_v3) were used. Location of injection sites was identified and experiments were excluded if there was spill into neighboring nuclei. Cell counting and immunofluorescence intensity analyses were done by raters naïve to the experimental hypotheses using ImageJ (Fiji, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland) and Abode Illustrator. Overlap in x- and y- axes between DCN axons and BLA-projecting thalamic neurons was determined through visual inspection of epifluorescence images and evaluated by two independent raters. We note that the resolution of epifluorescence imaging is too low to allow firm conclusions about overlap in the z-axis. Statistical analysis was performed in Matlab (Mathworks) and Prism (GraphPad), with significance set at p < 0.05.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Putative disynaptic pathways between cerebellar nuclei and BLA through the limbic thalamus

Given that microstimulation of DCN elicits short-latency responses in the BLA ^{39–41}, we hypothesized that an anatomical pathway exists between the two regions that involves at most two synapses. Initial anatomical tracing experiments did not support a direct DCN-BLA connection (not shown). We therefore performed simultaneous injections of an anterograde tracer virus (AAV8-CMV-TurboRFP) bilaterally in the DCN and a retrograde tracer virus (AAV2-retro-CAG-GFP) unilaterally in the BLA (Fig. 1A,B) to identify potential regions of overlap. In epifluorescence images of brain slices across different animals (N = 6), the limbic thalamus consistently emerged as a prominent site of overlap (Fig. 1C). We use the term "limbic thalamus" to refer to a collection of non-sensorimotor thalamic nuclei, including the mediodorsal (MD), midline and intralaminar (IL) nuclei, with diverse projections to cortical (mainly medial prefrontal) and/or subcortical limbic structures 46-49. Registration of images to the Allen Brain Atlas localized BLA-projecting thalamic neurons in multiple nuclei of the limbic thalamus (Fig. 1D), in agreement with known connectivity patterns ^{49–52}. Visual inspection of diffraction-limited epifluorescence images identified overlapping DCN axonal projections and BLA-projecting neurons in several (but not all) of these thalamic nuclei. including the parafascicular (PF) n. and subparafascicular area (SPA), the centromedial (CM) and MD nuclei, and other midline nuclei (Fig. 1E). No BLA-projecting neurons were observed in DCN, and no direct DCN projections were observed in BLA (not shown). Injection of the tracer cholera toxin subunit B (CtB)-CF640 in the limbic thalamus retrogradely labeled neurons in all DCN (Fig. 1F), confirming the DCN-limbic thalamus connectivity.

3.2 Transneuronal anatomical tracing and optophysiology establish synaptic connectivity between cerebellar nuclei and limbic thalamus

To spatially resolve synaptic connectivity between DCN and BLA-projecting thalamic nuclei, we adopted an AAV-based transneuronal approach 53. AAV1-Cre in presynaptic neurons is known to propagate across the synapse and induce expression of a floxed tag in postsynaptic neurons, thus identifying synaptic partners (Fig. 2A). We injected AAV1-Cre bilaterally in DCN and AAV-FLEX-tdTomato in thalamus and quantified the relative distribution of tdTomato+ neurons in intralaminar and midline thalamic nuclei. Injection coverage for DCN was indicated by Cre immunofluorescence (Fig. 2B1,2) and included all cerebellar nuclei. Great care was taken to avoid spill to extracerebellar areas, which resulted in denser coverage of caudal DCN (Fig. 2B3). TdTomato+ neurons were observed throughout the limbic thalamus, confirming adequate coverage, and extended into ventromedial nuclei (Fig. 2C), which served as positive control ^{54,55}. Averaging the relative distribution of tdTomato+ neurons across five successful experiments revealed that the intralaminar cluster, comprised of centrolateral (CL), paracentral (PC), CM, and PF nuclei 50, and MD nucleus encompassed most (~95%) tagged neurons (Fig. 2C3), suggesting that these nuclei reliably receive most cerebellar inputs to limbic thalamus. The paraventricular (PVT) nucleus, even though it projects heavily to BLA (Fig. 1C) and features overlap between DCN axons and BLA-projecting neurons (Fig. 1E), did not appear to receive robust direct DCN input (Fig. 2C3).

234235236

237

238

239

240

193

194

195

196

197

198 199

200

201

202

203

204

205206

207

208

209

210

211212

213

214215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226227

228

229230

231

232

233

To confirm that thalamic targets identified with the transneuronal Cre method receive cerebellar synaptic input, we performed optophysiological experiments in acute thalamic slices from mice injected with AAV1-Cre in the DCN and AAV-FLEX-tdTomato in the thalamus (N = 14) (**Fig. 3A**). To activate cerebellar inputs, channelrhodopsin (ChR2-H134R) was conditionally expressed in DCN through AAV-DIO-ChR2-EYFP injection. DCN axonal

projections were stimulated in the thalamus with 488-nm light pulses applied through the objective. Light-evoked synaptic responses were monitored in whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings (V_m = -70 mV) from thalamic neurons, which were selected based on tdTomato expression, their anatomical location and position in the slice, i.e., surrounded by ChR2-EYFP-expressing axons. In all thalamic nuclei examined (n = 29 cells), light stimulation elicited synaptic responses (mean response in pA: IL: 311.7 ± 100; MD: 105.7 ± 32.3; midline: 565.8 ± 209.8 ; VM/VPM: 347.5 ± 112.3 ; LP: 91.8 ± 2.7) (Fig. 3B1) with short latencies (mean latency in ms: IL: 2.5 ± 0.28 ; MD: 3.3 ± 0.6 ; midline: 4.2 ± 0.7 ; VM/VPM: 3.2 \pm 0.2; LP: 2.9 \pm 0.8) (**Fig. 3B2**). These data support the specificity of the anatomical connectivity and establish the existence of active DCN terminals (as opposed to just passing axons) across limbic thalamus.

3.3 Thalamic neurons receiving cerebellar input project to BLA

If the thalamus is a functional node of the disynaptic DCN-BLA circuit, then we would expect to find axons of DCN input-receiving thalamic neurons in BLA. To this end, we imaged BLA-containing slices from transsynaptic Cre experiments (N = 5) (Fig. 4A). We detected tdTomato+ axons at several antero-posterior distances from bregma (Fig. 4B1-B6). Using immunohistochemistry with antibodies against pre- and postsynaptic markers of excitatory synapses (vesicular glutamate transporter, vGLUT2; postsynaptic density protein-95, PSD-95), and super-resolution airyscan confocal imaging, we found tight colocalization between tdTomato+ axonal varicosities, vGLUT2 and PSD-95, an example of which is shown in Fig. 4C. This finding suggests that axons of thalamic neurons receiving cerebellar input form morphological synapses in the BLA. Axonal projections of DCN input-receiving thalamic neurons were also observed in other limbic regions including the nucleus accumbens core and shell (Fig. 4D1,D2) and anterior cingulate/prelimbic cortex (Fig. 4D3,D4).

3.4 The centromedial and parafascicular nuclei emerge as functional nodes in cerebello-amygdala circuit

Our tracer overlap studies pointed to multiple thalamic nuclei as potential relays of cerebellar signals to BLA (Fig. 1E). Among them, the MD, CM and PF nuclei showed higher relative distribution of both BLA-projecting neurons and neurons that receive DCN input (Figs. 1D, 2C, 5A). Further inspection of MD images revealed that, despite clear instances of overlap across experiments, DCN input-receiving neurons localized mostly laterally in MD, and BLA-projecting neurons localized mostly medially. Therefore, to maximize chances of success, for the remainder of this study we focused on CM and PF nuclei and sought to substantiate their role as anatomical and functional relays of DCN-BLA connectivity through super-resolution microscopy and optophysiology.

Airyscan confocal imaging of slices from dual-tracer experiments (Fig. 1) revealed fluorescently labeled DCN axons (red) in contact with neurons that were retrogradely labeled from the BLA (green) in both CM (**Fig. 5B1,B2**) and PF (**Fig. 5B3-5**) nuclei. The existence of functional monosynaptic DCN-CM/PF connections was tested in the subset of electrophysiological experiments from Fig. 3 that targeted CM/PF neurons (**Fig. 5C**). Under basal conditions, CM/PF neurons received synaptic inputs from the DCN (at Vm = -70 mV; average amplitude \pm SEM: -197.5 pA \pm - 80.14, n = 6) (**Fig. 5D1,D5**) with short onset latency (average latency \pm SEM: 2.4 ms \pm 0.18) (**Fig. 5D6**), which is consistent with direct monosynaptic connections. Application of the sodium channel blocker tetrodotoxin (TTX) abolished the inputs (average amplitude \pm SEM: -5.1 pA \pm -2.03) (**Fig. 5D2, D4-5**), which

recovered upon addition of the potassium channel blocker 4-AP (average amplitude \pm SEM: -151.8 pA \pm -39.52) (**Fig., 5D3-5**) (Friedman's non-parametric repeated measures ANOVA: $x^2_r = 9$, n = 6, p = 0.008; Dunn's multiple comparison test: Baseline vs TTX: p = 0.02, Baseline vs TTX+4AP: p = 0.99, TTX vs TTX+4AP: p = 0.01), confirming their monosynaptic nature.

Finally, we tested whether BLA is a target of DCN input-receiving CM/PF neurons (**Fig. 6**). We virally expressed ChR2 in DCN and stimulated cerebellar axonal projections in thalamic slices while recording from BLA-projecting CM/PF neurons (whole-cell voltage clamp mode, Vm = -70 mV), which were retrogradely labeled with CtB-CF568 in BLA (**Fig. 6A,B**). Optogenetic stimulation elicited reliable DCN-CM/PF synaptic responses (average amplitude \pm SEM: -104.1 pA \pm -37.1, n = 8) (**Fig. 6C,D1**) with short latency (3.35 ms \pm 0.25) (**Fig. 6D2**). Combined with the imaging findings (Fig. 5), our electrophysiological results argue strongly for a DCN-BLA disynaptic circuit that recruits CM/PF nuclei as node.

4 DISCUSSION

Cerebellar connections with the amygdala have been posited previously but the neuroanatomical substrate of this connectivity has been elusive ^{1,5,56}. Here, we obtained insight into cerebello-amygdala circuitry by combining various tracing approaches with advanced imaging and optophysiology. We established the existence of a disynaptic circuit between cerebellar nuclei and BLA, thus providing the first blueprint of cerebello-amygdala connectivity at the mesoscale level. The circuit recruits at least the centromedial and parafascicular thalamic nuclei (Figs. 5,6), and most likely also other nuclei of the limbic thalamus (Fig. 1), as relay nodes. In addition, we identified the intralaminar thalamic cluster and MD nucleus as recipients of the majority of cerebellar inputs to limbic thalamus (Fig. 2). Finally, and in addition to BLA, we identified axonal projections of DCN input-receiving thalamic neurons in limbic regions such as nucleus accumbens core and shell and anterior cingulate/prelimbic cortex (Fig. 4).

4.1 The limbic thalamus as a target of cerebellar inputs

We targeted the limbic thalamus as a conduit of cerebello-amygdala communication because several of its nuclei foster BLA-projecting neurons in close proximity to DCN axons (Fig. 1). DCN projections to limbic thalamus have been observed before ^{57–61} but the existence of functional synaptic terminals has only been validated for centrolateral and PF intralaminar nuclei ^{30,55}, and never on amygdala-projecting neurons. Our optophysiological experiments also provided first evidence for the presence of active synaptic connections (as opposed to just passing axons) in paracentral and centromedial (part of intralaminar group), intermediodorsal and rhomboid (part of midline group), and mediodorsal nuclei (Fig. 3), expanding the repertoire of non-motor cerebellar targets and paving the way for causal manipulations.

4.2 Technical considerations

To chart cerebello-amygdala neuroanatomical connections, we used powerful circuit mapping tools including anterograde and retrograde tracer viruses and the transneuronal AAV1-Cre approach ^{53,62–64}. A distinct advantage of our approach, which combined AAV1-

336 Cre with viral injections of conditionally expressed fluorescent tracers (as opposed to

reporter mouse lines), is the ability to definitively point to the thalamus as the source of the observed axonal projections in BLA, nucleus accumbens and prelimbic cortex- as opposed to e.g., the VTA, which also receives DCN inputs and projects to these regions ^{65–69}. Thus, our approach enabled conclusive interpretation of anatomical connectivity results. On the other hand, injection coverage/spill and viral tropism ⁶⁴ need to be considered. Tropism, in particular, could skew interpretation of disynaptic inputs, as some cell groups in the limbic thalamus might be more efficiently infected by AAVs. Tropism could also explain why recent efforts to trace di- and tri-synaptic cerebellar efferent pathways with herpes simplex viruses did not identify the CM/PF pathway to BLA ⁷⁰. Lastly, one potential concern could be the propensity of AAVs to be transported in the retrograde direction at high titers ^{53,71}. To remediate these concerns, we used strict inclusion criteria for injection sites; employed a combination of viral and non-viral anterograde and retrograde tracers; optimized viral titers to minimize retrograde transport; and confirmed circuit connections with slice optophysiology.

4.3 Proposed functions of the DCN-BLA circuit

Our discovery of the DCN-BLA connection through the CM/PF thalamic nuclei provides an essential map for future investigation of circuit function. The circuit, which could account for the previously observed short-latency cerebello-amygdala responses ⁴⁰, could convey cerebellar information about prediction, salience and/or valence to BLA, shaped by the intrinsic, synaptic and integrative properties of the nodes. Indeed, the cerebellum is known to encode such information ^{8,72–76}, which is also seen in BLA ^{38,77–82}, and which is thought to be used by CM and PF during aversive conditioning, observational learning and reward-seeking behavior ^{30,49,83–86}.

We have provided morphological evidence for synaptic connections between cerebellothalamic axons and BLA neurons (Fig. 4). The functional properties of these synapses remain to be determined, as do the cellular identities of the BLA targets. These targets likely include at least BLA principle neurons, which are the major recipients of CM input ⁸⁷. The patterns of BLA ensemble activity triggered by distinct cerebello-thalamic inputs could serve different aspects of cerebellum-dependent emotional functionality, which includes modulation of anxiety and learned fear ^{29,32,88–91}; processing of facial emotional expressions ^{92,93}; regulation of emotional reactivity ^{94,95}; and even reward-driven motivated behavior ^{27,31,96,97}

The BLA is not the sole nucleus in the amygdala complex that receives cerebellar signals ⁹⁸. Similarly, it is unlikely that the CM and PF are the only nuclei serving cerebello-amygdala communication (our findings; and ⁹⁹). Further studies are warranted to delineate the complete neuroanatomical and functional landscape of cerebello-amygdala connectivity. Our findings constitute the first step toward this goal.

5 Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Brian Wiltgen of UC Davis for access to imaging equipment; and Fioravante lab members for comments on a previous version of the manuscript, which has appeared as pre-print on BioRxiv (https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.07.479043).

6 Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

7 Author Contributions

SJJ, KV and DF designed the study; SJJ, KV, AD, AP and YI performed experiments; SJJ, KV, EA and DF analyzed data; MB, EPF, JV, MFF, and MA assisted with cell counting; SJJ, KV, AD and DF wrote the manuscript with input from authors.

8 Funding

This work was supported by R21MH114178, NSF1754831, a NARSAD Young Investigator Grant, Brain Research Foundation grant BRFSG-2017-02, and a Whitehall Foundation research award to DF; a NARSAD 2018 Young Investigator Grant to EA; a UC Davis Provost's undergraduate fellowship to MA; a NIMH T32MH112507 fellowship to KV; and a NIH T32GM007377 and a UC Davis Dean's Distinguished Graduate Fellowships to AD.

9 Figure legends

Figure 1. Anatomical tracing uncovers putative disynaptic pathways from cerebellum to basolateral amygdala. A, Injection sites for anterograde viral tracer in DCN (A1, red) and retrograde viral tracer in BLA (A2, green). B, Mosaic epifluorescence image of injection sites in DCN (B1) and BLA (B2). C, Mosaic epifluorescence images of overlapping DCN axons (red) and BLA-projecting neurons (green) in limbic thalamus. D, Relative distribution of BLA-projecting neurons across nuclei of the limbic thalamus, normalized to the total number of labeled neurons and averaged across experiments, as a function of distance from bregma. Antero-posterior coordinates for each nucleus are given in Table 1. E, Quantification of overlap between DCN axons and BLA-projecting thalamic neurons. Arrow length in compass plot indicates proportion (0.0-1.0) of experiments with overlap in each thalamic nucleus. F1,F2, Schematic and confocal image of injection site for retrograde tracer CtB CF-640 in limbic thalamus. F3,F4, CtB-labeled projection neurons (red) in DCN at different distances from bregma. Insets show high-magnification images of areas in yellow squares. For all panels, numbers denote distance (in mm) from bregma. Scale bars: 500 μm.

- Figure 2. The intralaminar and mediodorsal nuclei are major cerebellar postsynaptic targets in limbic thalamus. A, Schematic of experimental approach for disynaptic pathway tracing. **B1-B2**, Example images of bilateral Cre expression in DCN. Red:
- immunofluorescence for NeuN neural marker; Green: anti-Cre immunoreactivity; Yellow:
- 425 merge. **B3**, Heatmap of Cre immunofluorescence in DCN, normalized to NeuN signal and
- averaged across experiments, as a function of distance (in mm) from bregma. **C1,C2**,
- 427 Example images of thalamic neurons conditionally expressing tdTomato (red) upon

transneuronal transfer of Cre from cerebellar presynaptic axons. Green: NeuN immunofluorescence. **C3**, Heatmap of relative distribution of tdTomato+ neurons across thalamic nuclei, normalized to total number of labeled neurons and averaged across experiments, as a function of distance from bregma. **C4-C7**, Example registration of tdTomato+ neurons to the Allen mouse brain atlas. Numbers at bottom denote distance (in mm) from bregma. Antero-posterior coordinates for each nucleus can be found in **Table 1**. Scale bars: 500 μm.

Figure 3. Electrophysiological validation of virally-identified cerebello-thalamic connectivity. A1, Schematic of experimental approach for ex vivo optophysiology. **A2,A3,** Epifluorescence images of anterior (A2) and posterior (A3) thalamic slices acutely prepared for recordings. DCN input-receiving neurons are tdTomato+. Scale bars: 500 µm. **B,** Average (± SEM) amplitude (**B1**) and onset latency (**B2**) of ChR2-evoked synaptic currents as a function of recording location in the thalamus. Intralaminar (IL) group: CL, PC, CM and PF; midline group: IMD and RH.

Figure 4. Thalamic neurons receiving cerebellar input form synapses in basolateral amygdala and also target the nucleus accumbens and prelimbic cortex. A, Schematic diagram of experimental approach. Targets of tdTomato+ axons of thalamic neurons receiving cerebellar input were identified through imaging. B, Mosaic confocal images of tdTomato+ axons along the anterior-posterior axis of the BLA. C, High resolution airyscan confocal images of tdTomato+ axons in the BLA colocalizing with presynaptic (vGLUT2) (C1) and postsynaptic (PSD95) (C2) markers of excitatory synapses. Green: vGLUT2, gray: PSD95, yellow/white in C3: overlay. D, tdTomato+ axons in nucleus accumbens (D1,D2) and prelimbic cortex (D3,D4). Yellow squares in B1,B3,B5 and D1,D3 show zoom-in areas for B2,B4,B6 and D2,D4 images, respectively. Numbers at bottom of images indicate distance (in mm) from bregma. Scale bars: B1,B3,B5,D1,D3: 200 μm; B2,B4,B6,D2,D4: 50 μm; C1-C3: 5 μm.

Figure 5. Centromedial and parafascicular neurons project to basolateral amygdala and receive functional monosynaptic input from the cerebellum. A, Scatterplot of % neurons receiving DCN input vs % neurons projecting to BLA, for limbic thalamus nuclei. B1-B4, Airyscan confocal images of DCN axons (red) and BLA-projecting neurons (green) in the centromedial (CM; B1) and parafascicular (PF; B3) thalamic nuclei. B2, B4-5, zoomed-in areas in yellow squares from B1 and B3. Scale bars: B1,B3: 500 μ m; B2,B4: 20 μ m; B5: 5 μ m. C, Schematic diagram of ex vivo optophysiology approach to test for monosynaptic connections between DCN and CM/PF thalamic n. D1-D3, Average ChR2-evoked synaptic current (teal), overlaid onto single trial responses (gray), at baseline (D1); upon addition of the action potential blocker tetrodotoxin (TTX, 1 μ M) (D2); after further addition of the potassium channel blocker 4-aminopyridine (4AP, 100 μ M) (D3). D4, Time course of wash-in experiment for the same example cell. D5, Summary of effects on amplitude (mean \pm SEM) of ChR2-evoked synaptic responses for the indicated conditions. Bsln: baseline. D6, Average (\pm SEM) onset latency of ChR2-evoked responses at baseline.

Figure 6. The centromedial and parafascicular thalamus is a functional node of the cerebello-amygdala circuit. A, Experimental approach (A1) and example CtB-CF568 injection in amygdala (A2). Scale bar: 500 μ m. B, BLA-projecting neuron in centromedial (CM) thalamus retrogradely labeled with CtB CF-568 (red) is also labeled with biocytin

(green) through the patch pipette. Scale bar: 10 μ m. **C**, Example ChR2-evoked synaptic response. Average trace (teal) overlaid onto single trials (gray). **D1,D2**, Average (± SEM) amplitude (D1) and onset latency (D2) of ChR2-evoked synaptic currents at DCN-CM/PF synapses.

Table 1. Anatomical abbreviations (in alphabetical order) and antero-posterior coordinates (in mm, from bregma)

Abbreviation	Structure	AP coordinates
BLA	Basolateral amygdaloid nucleus	-0.67 mm to -3.07 mm
CeA	Central amygdala	-0.57 mm to -2.07 mm
CL	Central lateral nucleus of the thalamus	-0.97 mm to -1.97 mm
CM	Central medial nucleus of the thalamus	-0.67 mm to -1.97 mm
DCN	Deep cerebellar nuclei	
IAM	Interanteromedial thalamic nucleus	-0.77 mm to -1.07 mm
IMD	Intermediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus	-0.87 mm to -2.07 mm
Int	Interposed cerebellar nucleus	-6.64 mm to -5.8 mm
IntA	- anterior part	
IntDL	- dorsolateral part	
IntP	- posterior part	
La	Lateral amygdaloid nucleus	-0.87 mm to -2.47 mm
Lat	Lateral cerebellar nucleus	-6.36 4mm to -5.68 mm
LP	Lateral posterior thalamic nucleus	-1.27 mm to -3.17 mm
Med	Medial cerebellar nucleus	-6.84 mm to -5.88 mm
MD	Mediodorsal nucleus of the thalamus	-0.57 mm to -1.97 mm
NAc	Nucleus accumbens	
PC	Paracentral nucleus of the thalamus	-1.07 mm to -1.87 mm
PF	Parafascicular nucleus	-1.97 mm to -2.37 mm
PVT	Paraventicular thalamus	-0.17 mm to -2.07 mm
PO	Posterior thalamic nucleus	-1.27 mm to 2.37 mm
PrL	Prelimbic cortex	
RE	Reuniens thalamic nucleus	-0.37 mm to -1.77 mm
RH	Rhomboid thalamic nucleus	-0.77 mm to -1.67 mm
SPA	Subparafascicular area	-2.07 mm to -2.27 mm
VL	Ventrolateral thalamic nucleus	-0.67 mm to -2.27 mm
VM	Ventromedial thalamic nucleus	-0.67 mm to -2.07 mm

485 **10 References**

- 1. Strick, P. L., Dum, R. P. & Fiez, J. A. Cerebellum and Nonmotor Function. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* **32**, 413–434 (2009).
- 2. Buckner, R. L. The cerebellum and cognitive function: 25 years of insight from anatomy and neuroimaging. *Neuron* vol. 80 807–815 (2013).
- 3. Reeber, S. L., Otis, T. S. & Sillitoe, R. V. New roles for the cerebellum in health and disease. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* **7**, (2013).
- 492 4. Strata, P. The Emotional Cerebellum. *Cerebellum* (2015) doi:10.1007/s12311-015-0649-493 9.
- 494 5. Adamaszek, M. *et al.* Consensus Paper: Cerebellum and Emotion. *The Cerebellum* **16**, 495 552–576 (2017).
- 496 6. Liang, K. J. & Carlson, E. S. Resistance, vulnerability and resilience: A review of the cognitive cerebellum in aging and neurodegenerative diseases. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory* (2019) doi:10.1016/j.nlm.2019.01.004.
- 7. Schmahmann, J. D. The cerebellum and cognition. *Neuroscience Letters* **688**, 62–75 (2019).
- 8. Hull, C. Prediction signals in the cerebellum: beyond supervised motor learning. *eLife* **9**, (2020).
- 9. Ploghaus, A. *et al.* Dissociating pain from its anticipation in the human brain. *Science* **284**, 1979–1981 (1999).
- 10. Damasio, A. R. *et al.* Subcortical and cortical brain activity during the feeling of self-generated emotions. *Nat Neurosci* **3**, 1049–1056 (2000).
- 507 11. Ernst, M. Decision-making in a Risk-taking Task A PET Study. 508 Neuropsychopharmacology **26**, 682–691 (2002).
- 12. Ahs, F. *et al.* Disentangling the web of fear: amygdala reactivity and functional connectivity in spider and snake phobia. *Psychiatry Res* **172**, 103–108 (2009).
- 13. Moulton, E. A., Schmahmann, J. D., Becerra, L. & Borsook, D. The cerebellum and pain: Passive integrator or active participator? *Brain Research Reviews* **65**, 14–27 (2010).
- 513 14. Moulton, E. A., Elman, I., Becerra, L. R., Goldstein, R. Z. & Borsook, D. The cerebellum and addiction: insights gained from neuroimaging research. *Addict Biol* **19**, 317–331 (2014).
- 15. Guo, Z. *et al.* Brain areas activated by uncertain reward-based decision-making in healthy volunteers. *Neural Regen Res* **8**, 3344–3352 (2013).
- 518 16. Van Overwalle, F., Baetens, K., Mariën, P. & Vandekerckhove, M. Social cognition and 519 the cerebellum: A meta-analysis of over 350 fMRI studies. *NeuroImage* **86**, 554–572 520 (2014).
- 17. Guell, X., Gabrieli, J. D. E. & Schmahmann, J. D. Triple representation of language,
- working memory, social and emotion processing in the cerebellum: convergent evidence
- from task and seed-based resting-state fMRI analyses in a single large cohort.
- 524 Neurolmage **172**, 437–449 (2018).

- 18. Ernst, T. M. *et al.* The cerebellum is involved in processing of predictions and prediction errors in a fear conditioning paradigm. *eLife* **8**, (2019).
- 19. Yin, Y. et al. Abnormal baseline brain activity in posttraumatic stress disorder: a resting-

state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neurosci. Lett.* **498**, 185–189

529 (2011).

- 20. Roy, A. K. *et al.* Intrinsic functional connectivity of amygdala-based networks in
- adolescent generalized anxiety disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* **52**, 290-

532 299.e2 (2013).

- 21. Parker, K. L., Narayanan, N. S. & Andreasen, N. C. The therapeutic potential of the cerebellum in schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* **8**, 163 (2014).
- 22. Phillips, J. R., Hewedi, D. H., Eissa, A. M. & Moustafa, A. A. The Cerebellum and Psychiatric Disorders. *Frontiers in Public Health* **3**, (2015).
- 23. Schmahmann, J. D. & Sherman, J. C. The cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome. *Brain* **121**, 561–579 (1998).
- 539 24. Supple, W. F., Jr, Leaton, R. N. & Fanselow, M. S. Effects of cerebellar vermal lesions 540 on species-specific fear responses, neophobia, and taste-aversion learning in rats. 541 *Physiol. Behav.* **39**, 579–586 (1987).
- 542 25. Supple, W. F., Jr & Leaton, R. N. Cerebellar vermis: essential for classically conditioned bradycardia in the rat. *Brain Res.* **509**, 17–23 (1990).
- 544 26. Sebastiani, L., La Noce, A., Paton, J. F. & Ghelarducci, B. Influence of the cerebellar posterior vermis on the acquisition of the classically conditioned bradycardic response in the rabbit. *Exp Brain Res* **88**, 193–198 (1992).
- 27. Bauer, D. J., Kerr, A. L. & Swain, R. A. Cerebellar dentate nuclei lesions reduce
 motivation in appetitive operant conditioning and open field exploration. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory* 95, 166–175 (2011).
- 28. Lorivel, T., Roy, V. & Hilber, P. Fear-related behaviors in Lurcher mutant mice exposed to a predator. *Genes Brain Behav.* **13**, 794–801 (2014).
- 29. Otsuka, S. et al. Roles of Cbln1 in Non-Motor Functions of Mice. J. Neurosci. 36,
 11801–11816 (2016).
- 30. Xiao, L., Bornmann, C., Hatstatt-Burklé, L. & Scheiffele, P. Regulation of striatal cells and goal-directed behavior by cerebellar outputs. *Nature Communications* **9**, (2018).
- 31. Carta, I., Chen, C. H., Schott, A. L., Dorizan, S. & Khodakhah, K. Cerebellar modulation of the reward circuitry and social behavior. *Science* **363**, eaav0581 (2019).
- 32. Frontera, J. L. *et al.* Bidirectional control of fear memories by cerebellar neurons projecting to the ventrolateral periaqueductal grey. *Nature Communications* **11**, (2020).
- 33. Han, J.-K. *et al.* Ablation of STAT3 in Purkinje cells reorganizes cerebellar synaptic plasticity in long-term fear memory network. *eLife* **10**, e63291 (2021).
- 34. Lawrenson, C. *et al.* Cerebellar modulation of memory encoding in the periaqueductal grey and fear behaviour. *eLife* **11**, e76278 (2022).

- 35. Baek, S. J., Park, J. S., Kim, J., Yamamoto, Y. & Tanaka-Yamamoto, K. VTA-projecting cerebellar neurons mediate stress-dependent depression-like behaviors. *eLife* **11**, e72981 (2022).
- 36. Kelly, E. *et al.* Regulation of autism-relevant behaviors by cerebellar–prefrontal cortical circuits. *Nature Neuroscience* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41593-020-0665-z.
- 37. Low, A. Y. T. *et al.* Reverse-translational identification of a cerebellar satiation network. *Nature* (2021) doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04143-5.
- 571 38. Janak, P. H. & Tye, K. M. From circuits to behaviour in the amygdala. *Nature* **517**, 284–572 292 (2015).
- 39. Snider, R. S. & Maiti, A. Cerebellar contributions to the Papez circuit. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **2**, 133–146 (1976).
- 40. Heath, R. G. & Harper, J. W. Ascending projections of the cerebellar fastigial nucleus to the hippocampus, amygdala, and other temporal lobe sites: evoked potential and histological studies in monkeys and cats. *Exp. Neurol.* **45**, 268–287 (1974).
- 41. Heath, R. G., Dempesy, C. W., Fontana, C. J. & Myers, W. A. Cerebellar stimulation: effects on septal region, hippocampus, and amygdala of cats and rats. *Biol. Psychiatry* **13**, 501–529 (1978).
- 42. Ito, M. Cerebellar circuitry as a neuronal machine. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **78**, 272–303 (2006).
- 43. O'Neill, P.-K., Gore, F. & Salzman, C. D. Basolateral amygdala circuitry in positive and negative valence. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **49**, 175–183 (2018).
- 584 44. Yizhar, O. & Klavir, O. Reciprocal amygdala–prefrontal interactions in learning. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **52**, 149–155 (2018).
- 45. Petreanu, L., Mao, T., Sternson, S. M. & Svoboda, K. The subcellular organization of neocortical excitatory connections. *Nature* **457**, 1142–1145 (2009).
- 588 46. Groenewegen, H. & Witter, M. Thalamus. in *The Rat Nervous System* (Academic Press, 2004).
- 47. Morgane, P., Galler, J. & Mokler, D. A review of systems and networks of the limbic forebrain/limbic midbrain. *Progress in Neurobiology* **75**, 143–160 (2005).
- 592 48. Jones, EG. *The thalamus*. (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- 49. Vertes, R. P., Linley, S. B. & Hoover, W. B. Limbic circuitry of the midline thalamus. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* **54**, 89–107 (2015).
- 595 50. Van der Werf, Y. D., Witter, M. P. & Groenewegen, H. J. The intralaminar and midline 596 nuclei of the thalamus. Anatomical and functional evidence for participation in processes 597 of arousal and awareness. *Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev.* **39**, 107–140 (2002).
- 598 51. Amir, A., Paré, J., Smith, Y. & Paré, D. Midline thalamic inputs to the amygdala: Ultrastructure and synaptic targets. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* (2018)

600 doi:10.1002/cne.24557.

52. Hintiryan, H. *et al.* Connectivity characterization of the mouse basolateral amygdalar complex. *Nat Commun* **12**, 2859 (2021).

- 53. Zingg, B. et al. AAV-Mediated Anterograde Transsynaptic Tagging: Mapping
- Corticocollicular Input-Defined Neural Pathways for Defense Behaviors. *Neuron* **93**, 33–47 (2017)
- 605 47 (2017).
- 54. Habas, C., Manto, M. & Cabaraux, P. The Cerebellar Thalamus. *The Cerebellum* (2019)
 doi:10.1007/s12311-019-01019-3.
- 55. Gornati, S. V. *et al.* Differentiating Cerebellar Impact on Thalamic Nuclei. *Cell Rep* **23**, 2690–2704 (2018).
- 56. D'Angelo, E. & Casali, S. Seeking a unified framework for cerebellar function and dysfunction: from circuit operations to cognition. *Front Neural Circuits* **6**, 116 (2012).
- 57. Hendry, S. H. C., Jones, E. G. & Graham, J. Thalamic relay nuclei for cerebellar and certain related fiber systems in the cat. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **185**, 679–713 (1979).
- 58. Haroian, A. J., Massopust, L. C. & Young, P. A. Cerebellothalamic projections in the rat:
 An autoradiographic and degeneration study. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*197, 217–236 (1981).
- 59. Ichinohe, N., Mori, F. & Shoumura, K. A di-synaptic projection from the lateral cerebellar nucleus to the laterodorsal part of the striatum via the central lateral nucleus of the thalamus in the rat. *Brain Research* **880**, 191–197 (2000).
- 60. Fujita, H., Kodama, T. & du Lac, S. Modular output circuits of the fastigial nucleus for diverse motor and nonmotor functions of the cerebellar vermis. *eLife* **9**, e58613 (2020).
- 623 61. Judd, E. N., Lewis, S. M. & Person, A. L. Diverse inhibitory projections from the cerebellar interposed nucleus. *eLife* **10**, e66231 (2021).
- 625 62. Tervo, D. G. R. *et al.* A Designer AAV Variant Permits Efficient Retrograde Access to Projection Neurons. *Neuron* (2016) doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.09.021.
- 627 63. Zingg, B., Peng, B., Huang, J., Tao, H. W. & Zhang, L. I. Synaptic Specificity and
 628 Application of Anterograde Transsynaptic AAV for Probing Neural Circuitry. *The Journal*629 of Neuroscience **40**, 3250–3267 (2020).
- 630 64. Nectow, A. R. & Nestler, E. J. Viral tools for neuroscience. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **21**, 669–631 681 (2020).
- 632 65. Phillipson, O. T. Afferent projections to the ventral tegmental area of Tsai and interfascicular nucleus: A horseradish peroxidase study in the rat. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* **187**, 117–143 (1979).
- 635 66. Kuroda, M., Murakami, K., Igarashi, H. & Okada, A. The Convergence of Axon 636 Terminals from the Mediodorsal Thalamic Nucleus and Ventral Tegmental Area on 637 Pyramidal Cells in Layer V of the Rat Prelimbic Cortex. *European Journal of*
- 638 *Neuroscience* **8**, 1340–1349 (1996).
- 639 67. Beier, K. T. *et al.* Circuit Architecture of VTA Dopamine Neurons Revealed by Systematic Input-Output Mapping. *Cell* **162**, 622–634 (2015).
- 68. Breton, J. M. *et al.* Relative contributions and mapping of ventral tegmental area
- dopamine and GABA neurons by projection target in the rat. *Journal of Comparative*
- 643 Neurology **527**, 916–941 (2019).

- 69. D'Ambra, A., Jung, S. J., Ganesan, S., Antzoulatos, E. G. & Fioravante, D. Cerebellar Activation Bidirectionally Regulates Nucleus Accumbens Shell and Core. *BioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1111/i.1460-9568.1996.tb01596.x, (2020).
- 70. Pisano, T. J. *et al.* Homologous organization of cerebellar pathways to sensory, motor, and associative forebrain. *Cell Reports* **36**, 109721 (2021).
- 71. Rothermel, M., Brunert, D., Zabawa, C., Diaz-Quesada, M. & Wachowiak, M. Transgene Expression in Target-Defined Neuron Populations Mediated by Retrograde Infection with Adeno-Associated Viral Vectors. *Journal of Neuroscience* **33**, 15195–15206 (2013).
- 72. Ohmae, S. & Medina, J. F. Climbing fibers encode a temporal-difference prediction error during cerebellar learning in mice. *Nature Neuroscience* **18**, 1798–1803 (2015).
- 73. Wagner, M. J., Kim, T. H., Savall, J., Schnitzer, M. J. & Luo, L. Cerebellar granule cells encode the expectation of reward. *Nature* (2017) doi:10.1038/nature21726.
- 74. Ma, M. *et al.* Molecular layer interneurons in the cerebellum encode for valence in associative learning. *Nature Communications* **11**, (2020).
- 75. Bina, L., Romano, V., Hoogland, T. M., Bosman, L. W. J. & De Zeeuw, C. I. Purkinje cells translate subjective salience into readiness to act and choice performance. *Cell Reports* **37**, 110116 (2021).
- 76. Shuster, S. A. *et al.* The relationship between birth timing, circuit wiring, and physiological response properties of cerebellar granule cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 118, e2101826118 (2021).
- 77. Paton, J. J., Belova, M. A., Morrison, S. E. & Salzman, C. D. The primate amygdala represents the positive and negative value of visual stimuli during learning. *Nature* **439**, 865–870 (2006).
- 78. Adolphs, R. What does the amygdala contribute to social cognition? *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1191**, 42–61 (2010).
- 79. Sengupta, A. *et al.* Basolateral Amygdala Neurons Maintain Aversive Emotional Salience. *J. Neurosci.* **38**, 3001–3012 (2018).
- 80. Zhang, X. & Li, B. Population coding of valence in the basolateral amygdala. *Nat Commun* **9**, 5195 (2018).
- 81. Gründemann, J. *et al.* Amygdala ensembles encode behavioral states. *Science* **364**, eaav8736 (2019).
- 82. Brockett, A. T., Vázquez, D. & Roesch, M. R. Prediction errors and valence: From single units to multidimensional encoding in the amygdala. *Behavioural Brain Research* **404**, 113176 (2021).
- 83. Jeon, D. *et al.* Observational fear learning involves affective pain system and Cav1.2 Ca2+ channels in ACC. *Nat Neurosci* **13**, 482–488 (2010).
- 84. Sengupta, A. & McNally, G. P. A role for midline and intralaminar thalamus in the associative blocking of Pavlovian fear conditioning. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* **8**, (2014).
- 85. Cover, K. K. & Mathur, B. N. Rostral Intralaminar Thalamus Engagement in Cognition and Behavior. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* **15**, 652764 (2021).

- 86. Rizzi, G., Li, Z., Hogrefe, N. & Tan, K. R. Lateral ventral tegmental area GABAergic and glutamatergic modulation of conditioned learning. *Cell Reports* **34**, 108867 (2021).
- 87. Ahmed, N., Headley, D. B. & Paré, D. Optogenetic study of central medial and
 paraventricular thalamic projections to the basolateral amygdala. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 126, 1234–1247 (2021).
- 88. Sacchetti, B., Sacco, T. & Strata, P. Reversible inactivation of amygdala and cerebellum but not perirhinal cortex impairs reactivated fear memories. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **25**, 2875– 2884 (2007).
- 89. Duvarci, S. & Pare, D. Amygdala Microcircuits Controlling Learned Fear. *Neuron* **82**, 966–980 (2014).
- 694 90. Tovote, P., Fadok, J. P. & Lüthi, A. Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **16**, 317–331 (2015).
- 91. Rudolph, S. *et al.* Cerebellum-Specific Deletion of the GABAA Receptor δ Subunit
 Leads to Sex-Specific Disruption of Behavior. *Cell Reports* 33, 108338 (2020).
- 698 92. Wang, S. *et al.* The human amygdala parametrically encodes the intensity of specific facial emotions and their categorical ambiguity. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14821 (2017).
- 93. Ferrari, C., Oldrati, V., Gallucci, M., Vecchi, T. & Cattaneo, Z. The role of the cerebellum in explicit and incidental processing of facial emotional expressions: A study with transcranial magnetic stimulation. *NeuroImage* **169**, 256–264 (2018).
- 94. Turner, B. M. *et al.* The cerebellum and emotional experience. *Neuropsychologia* **45**, 1331–1341 (2007).
- 95. Machado, C. J., Kazama, A. M. & Bachevalier, J. Impact of amygdala, orbital frontal, or hippocampal lesions on threat avoidance and emotional reactivity in nonhuman primates. *Emotion* **9**, 147–163 (2009).
- 96. Murray, E. A. The amygdala, reward and emotion. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **11**, 489–497 (2007).
- 97. Peterson, T. C. *et al.* Behavior modification after inactivation of cerebellar dentate nuclei. Behavioral Neuroscience **126**, 551–562 (2012).
- 98. Magal, A. & Mintz, M. Inhibition of the amygdala central nucleus by stimulation of cerebellar output in rats: a putative mechanism for extinction of the conditioned fear response. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **40**, 3548–3555 (2014).
- 99. Kang, S. *et al.* Recent Advances in the Understanding of Specific Efferent Pathways Emerging From the Cerebellum. *Front. Neuroanat.* **15**, 759948 (2021).