

Strongly correlated electron–photon systems

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04726-w>

Jacqueline Bloch¹, Andrea Cavalleri², Victor Galitski^{3✉}, Mohammad Hafezi⁴ & Angel Rubio^{2,5}

Received: 2 December 2020

Accepted: 2 December 2021

Published online: 25 May 2022

 Check for updates

An important goal of modern condensed-matter physics involves the search for states of matter with emergent properties and desirable functionalities. Although the tools for material design remain relatively limited, notable advances have been recently achieved by controlling interactions at heterointerfaces, precise alignment of low-dimensional materials and the use of extreme pressures. Here we highlight a paradigm based on controlling light–matter interactions, which provides a way to manipulate and synthesize strongly correlated quantum matter. We consider the case in which both electron–electron and electron–photon interactions are strong and give rise to a variety of phenomena. Photon-mediated superconductivity, cavity fractional quantum Hall physics and optically driven topological phenomena in low dimensions are among the frontiers discussed in this Perspective, which highlights a field that we term here ‘strongly correlated electron–photon science’.

A remarkable convergence is taking place at the interface between traditionally separate areas: quantum materials, quantum optics and nonlinear laser physics. Important progress has already been made in controlling the coupling between single photons and quasiparticle excitations in solid-state systems^{1–5}.

These advances include the optical control of many collective modes of solids, including excitons, plasmons, phonons, skyrmions, magnons, solitons and individual electrons. These efforts are already impacting research in materials and devices for future quantum technologies, such as quantum memories, transducers and networks, and materials for energy and sensing applications. However, this research has almost exclusively relied on materials where electron correlations are weak.

At the same time, strong optical fields have been used to drive, switch or create cooperative responses in materials with strongly interacting electrons. Initial demonstrations have ranged from optically enhanced high-temperature superconductivity⁶ to photo-induced magnetism^{7,8}, ferroelectricity^{9,10} and non-equilibrium topological quantum phases^{11,12}. In all these experiments, the strong photo-susceptibility of correlated electron systems is exposed, but the electromagnetic field remains classical, hence covering only a fraction of what is potentially possible. Yet, these optical control experiments provide a preliminary sample of a variety of physical phenomena to come when the quantum mechanical properties of light are brought to the fore.

Another recent research strand has involved an extension of the cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED) toolbox to complex material systems, with the goal of engineering hybrid light–matter phases^{13–18}. Predictions have already been made for light–matter hybrids based on quantum materials, where electron and photon interactions contribute to the emergent physical phenomena on an equal footing. Beyond initial experimental demonstrations¹⁹ and promising

theoretical proposals^{20–22}, we highlight the enhancement of superconductivity^{23,24} and ferroelectricity²⁵ in cavities as an especially attractive possibility.

Figure 1 provides a conceptual three-dimensional map of the variety of phenomena possible at the intersection of materials research, quantum optics and laser physics. The three axes represent the strength of the light–matter interaction (per photon), the strength of electronic correlations and the intensity of light. An incomplete selection of examples is intended to illustrate the breadth of phenomena included in this three-dimensional space. Starting at the origin of the plot, where all parameters are small, we find the physics of metamaterials, plasmonics and photonic circuits. By increasing the photon number, while keeping both electron correlations and light–matter coupling weak, one finds nonlinear optical phenomena²⁶ and Floquet-engineered band structures in semiconductors and semimetals²⁷. Along the vertical axis, as the interaction strength per photon is increased, one enters the cavity QED regime²⁸, where one photon can block the transmission of another²⁹. By increasing the number of photons, the so-called quantum fluids of light can be obtained, for example, in the form of exciton–polariton condensates. For large light–matter coupling and many photons, strongly correlated photonic states such as a Mott insulator of light can be realized³⁰.

Following the electron correlation axis leads us into a regime where quantum materials are probed by nonlinear optical techniques. For example, the dynamics of the order parameter can be studied in time-domain spectroscopy, as is the case for coherent Higgs modes in superconductors³¹ or moiré excitons in twisted van der Waals materials^{32,33}.

This Perspective focuses on a subset of these regimes. As a background, we start by discussing weakly correlated materials that are periodically driven by strong electromagnetic fields. These are discussed in the context of Floquet band-structure engineering. We then

¹Centre de Nanosciences et de Nanotechnologies (C2N), Université Paris Saclay - CNRS, Palaiseau, France. ²Max Planck Institute for the Structure and Dynamics of Matter, Hamburg, Germany.

³Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA. ⁴Departments of Physics and ECE, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA. ⁵Center for Computational Quantum Physics (CCQ), Flatiron Institute, New York, NY, USA. ✉e-mail: galitski@umd.edu




Fig. 1 | Regimes in quantum optics. Map of strongly correlated electron–photon systems. We classify the many emerging quantum phenomena in terms of three physical parameters: the strength of the light–matter coupling, the strength of electronic correlations and the photon number (light intensity). Left: phenomena expected in systems in which electronic correlations are weak. For weak light–matter interaction, the lower left and the region around the corner, linear optical phenomena in metamaterials evolve into nonlinear optical responses as the photon number is increased. As light–matter coupling becomes strong (vertical axis), one finds the strongly correlated photonic phenomena in cavity QED. For strong coupling and large photon number, these

evolve into Mott insulators at intense light fields. Right: the strength of electronic correlations. We find nonlinear spectroscopies such as time-domain Higgs-mode detection in superconductors as well as cavity fractional quantum Hall physics. Bottom: linear and nonlinear spectroscopy of materials at various degrees of electronic correlations, as well as driven materials with weakly correlated electrons, in which Floquet band structures emerge. Finally, for strong fields and strong electronic correlations, photo-induced emergent phenomena in superconductors, magnets and ferroelectrics are expected. QHE, quantum Hall effect.

focus on several applications in the control of quantum materials, which range from superconductivity to ferroelectricity and magnetism. Finally, we discuss situations where both large light–matter coupling and strong electron–electron correlations are present, where interesting cavity-enhanced or cavity-induced collective phases could appear. Examples include the possible creation of cavity-mediated superconductivity and polaronic fractional quantum Hall states.

Floquet band structures in electronic materials

Periodic-in-time driving provides a qualitatively new type of quantum control of materials, especially in regimes in which the interaction of light with a solid is both nonlinear and non-perturbative. This is a situation in which the light–matter coupling acts as a leading term in the system’s Hamiltonian and is to be considered on an equal footing with electron kinetic energy, electron interactions and crystal fields. Periodically driven systems are often described by Floquet theory, dating back to nineteenth-century work by Gaston Floquet on differential equations with periodic functions. The Floquet formalism provides a straightforward description of how a periodic optical field

renormalizes the spectrum of excitations of a solid. In the same way that a spatially periodic crystal structure creates replicas of free-electron dispersion, a time-periodic modulation creates replicas of the energy spectrum^{34,35}. The emergence of a renormalized band structure, which in the limit of high-frequency modulation is conveniently cast in terms of a time-independent Floquet Hamiltonian, has been demonstrated to emerge in a variety of contexts from synthetic optical lattices³⁶ to time crystals³⁷.

For most experimentally accessible protocols, the relation among the underlying material band structure, the light–matter coupling, the optical drive and the effective Floquet Hamiltonian is not simple. Nevertheless, it has been shown theoretically that even a non-remarkable constituent Hamiltonian can lead to remarkable (for example, topological) Floquet band structures when subjected to a periodic drive (Fig. 2a). Recent experimental advances have validated these ideas in real materials. The pioneering work by Wang et al.¹¹ demonstrated the existence of Floquet–Bloch states in the topological insulator bismuth selenide (Bi_2Se_3 ; Fig. 2b), where a circularly polarized light pulse, tuned to a frequency below the bulk gap to minimize dissipation, gave rise to Floquet–Bloch bands. Another notable advance has been the




Fig. 2 | Floquet topological physics. **a**, Observation of the Floquet–Bloch states in time-resolved angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments in the topological insulator Bi_2Se_3 . **b**, Observation of a light-induced

anomalous Hall effect in a monolayer graphene, measured by femtosecond electronic techniques. Panels adapted with permission from: **a**, ref. ¹¹, AAAS; **b**, ref. ¹², Springer Nature Ltd.

development of experimental tools to measure ultrafast transport in Floquet-driven states, as demonstrated in a recent experiment on a light-induced anomalous Hall effect in monolayer graphene¹² (Fig. 2b).

Understanding the role of dissipation remains a challenge, as even in the simplest situations^{38–41}, decoherence and heating of the material have a fundamental role in the population and stabilization of Floquet states. A particularly intriguing research direction involves Floquet states with no equilibrium counterpart, which until recently have only been discussed primarily in the theoretical literature. Yet, experiments are beginning to realize these anomalous Floquet states, either in the synthetic environment of ultracold gases and photonic simulators, or in real materials, such as in two-dimensional homo- and heterostructures. For example, the chiral Floquet phase⁴² has been proposed to be a driven quantum-Hall-like state. The proposed protocol involves the renormalization of two bands connected by a chiral edge state. When these bands move around the Floquet energy circle, they collapse onto one another and create a trivial Floquet band structure in the bulk. However, the edge state cannot be eliminated and yields an example of a non-equilibrium topological state without an equilibrium analogue. This anomalous Floquet state was recently realized with cold atoms and coupled photonic waveguides⁴³, but its realization in solids has not happened yet. Other interesting proposals have been made, including ‘Floquet quantum criticality’⁴⁴ via many-body localization in disordered systems.

One current frontier is to define, classify and potentially realize interacting Floquet phases. Some theoretical progress has been made in understanding driven symmetry-protected topological phases. There exists a sophisticated mathematical formalism⁴⁵ to classify equilibrium interacting quantum phases protected by a symmetry group, G . Periodic driving effectively enriches the symmetry group by the discrete time-translational symmetry, Z , enlarging it into $G \times Z$. This changes the classification scheme and gives rise to new phenomena⁴⁶. Another related phenomenon can be realized in certain driven spin chains⁴⁷ in which boundary spins flip every period and show period doubling. This behaviour, dubbed a Floquet time crystal⁴⁸, represents the spontaneous breaking of discrete time-translation symmetry. It was observed shortly after its prediction with ions⁴⁹ and also in a diamond crystal doped with nitrogen-vacancy centres⁵⁰.

Another interesting direction is the possibility of using Floquet engineering to control the strength and form of interparticle interactions. Examples include engineering interactions in Mott insulators^{51,52}, three-body interactions in synthetic systems and in the context of the fractional quantum Hall effect. Specifically, in the case of the Floquet fractional quantum Hall effect, it has been theoretically proposed that

by resonantly selecting and driving the optical transition between Landau levels, one can form a synthetic bilayer quantum Hall state in a monolayer system. In this case, the relevant Coulomb interaction terms take a non-trivial form of great interest to quantum Hall physics⁵³ and may help stabilize exotic topological states, such as the highly sought-after Fibonacci phase⁵⁴. If one can modulate the strength of two-body interactions, three-body and higher-order interaction terms could also be generated⁵⁵. Many questions remain open, such as the possibility of topologically ordered Floquet phases, generalizing fractional quantum Hall states and toric-code-like models to driven systems, as well as the possibility of topological defects in Floquet dynamical systems and gauging the time-translation symmetry.

Driven strongly correlated electrons in functional materials

The response of strongly correlated materials to optical irradiation has been studied for decades, although the appearance of terahertz and mid-infrared sources of sufficient power has drastically enriched this field. Indeed, strong light fields at these frequencies have been used to drive nonlinearly the low-energy degrees of freedom that determine key emergent properties of solids (Fig. 3). Nonlinear excitation of collective modes has provided a set of protocols to control strongly correlated matter, sometimes creating states with no equilibrium counterpart. For instance, a set of applications of strong-field driving of quantum materials dealt with the response of cuprate superconductors to single-cycle terahertz pulses, demonstrating superconductor–metal oscillations⁵⁶ and parametric amplification of the superconductivity⁵⁷, and revealing superfluid charge stripes⁵⁸. Similarly, high-electric-field transients have been used to drive an insulator–metal transition in a vanadium dioxide metamaterial structure⁵⁹, to manipulate magnetic dynamics⁶⁰, soft modes in incipient ferroelectrics and topology in Weyl semimetals⁶¹.

Another strand of research has involved the study of directly driven crystal lattices, where certain phonons are excited nonlinearly⁶² to deform and dynamically modulate the equilibrium structure of a solid and with it electronic⁶³, orbital⁶⁴ and magnetic orders. Notable work includes experiments where the atoms in the solid were driven in loops, creating a Floquet phase with broken time-reversal symmetry⁶⁵, now supplemented by recent experiments in which a ferrimagnetic polarization was achieved through control of the crystal field⁶⁶.

Among all of these cases, arguably the case of periodically driven superconductors is the one that has raised the most interest and posed




Fig. 3 | Driven quantum material. **a–c**, Superconductors (**a**), ferroelectrics (**b**) and ferromagnets (**c**) have been manipulated by applying a time-dependent optical or terahertz field, which has been used to redistribute electrons among

bands, and distort the lattice through nonlinear phononics or by introducing a time-varying potential that dynamically renormalizes the energy landscape of the system.

some of the most provocative questions. Conventionally accepted wisdom posits that external non-equilibrium perturbations destroy quantum-coherent states of matter via heating. This is often so, but not always. A striking example, dating back to the 1960s, involves the enhancement of superconductivity in microbridges exposed to microwave irradiation^{67–69}. The response of these superconductors exhibited higher transition temperatures and critical currents than in equilibrium. This effect has been interpreted as a result of quasiparticle redistribution^{70,71}, on the basis of the observation that the key equation in the theory of superconductivity depends on the distribution function of electrons. As external radiation reduces the quasiparticle population near the superconducting gap, non-equilibrium superconductivity is favoured (Fig. 4a).

Many of these ideas are now coming back to the fore in different contexts, especially in unconventional superconductors driven using near-infrared^{72,73} and mid-infrared frequency fields, instead of microwaves. Nonlinear phonon excitations have been applied to cuprate materials at doping levels where superconductivity competes with alternative forms of charge and spin order, such as in striped $\text{La}_{1.675}\text{Eu}_{0.2}\text{Sr}_{0.125}\text{CuO}_4$, but also in the bilayer high-temperature superconducting cuprate $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_x$ upon modulation of the apical-oxygen-atom positions. Most strikingly, the temperature scales up to which superconducting-like optical spectra were observed followed the mysterious pseudogap transition line T^* , extending above room temperature in most underdoped compounds.

Similar phenomena have now been reported for materials other than cuprates, such as the K_3C_{60} superconductor⁷⁴ (Fig. 4b). This state was recently shown to be metastable when driven at sufficient amplitudes and for a sufficiently long time, providing opportunities to generate photo-induced high-temperature superconductivity in a steady state⁷⁵. In a related experiment, driven organic conductor⁷⁶ was also shown to exhibit superconducting-like properties, which, however, appeared to be different from those of the equilibrium superconductor observed when cooling below transition temperature.

An attempt to describe these phenomena theoretically followed the idea of light-induced melting of a competing charge order, which is expected to enhance superconductivity⁷⁷. These early papers were followed by other studies that proposed the possible role of amplification of the superconducting instability⁷⁸, the possible cooling of phase fluctuations⁷⁹, laser-induced electron attraction⁸⁰ and a plasmonic mechanism of melting the competing order⁸¹. Despite this intense work, the theoretical jury is still out on the underlying microscopical nature of observed light-induced superconductivity.

Strong light–matter coupling in cavity QED

Light–matter interaction can be enhanced by the confinement of electromagnetic modes within small-volume resonators, and by increasing the quality factor of such resonators. We label this situation in Fig. 1 with the ‘interaction strength’ axis. Such enhancement can lead to qualitatively new physics, revealing phenomena that have no free-space counterparts, regardless of the strength of the laser field.

Historically, until the late 1970s, nonlinear optical effects were confined to the classical regime and were only accessible at high optical powers. To increase the nonlinear effects and make them important at the level of individual photons, the field of cavity QED was invented. The basic idea is to place matter, initially made up of individual atoms, between two metallic mirrors that form a cavity. The nonlinear response in such a system can be so large that it can dramatically change the statistics of photons going through the cavity and even influence the transmission of a single ‘target’ photon with a ‘control’ photon. The development of cavity QED was fuelled by growing interest in quantum information sciences to achieve photonic quantum logic. The pioneering works in the optical domain used trapped alkaline atoms, and then solid-state emitters, such as quantum dots and colour centres in diamond. In the microwave domain, the pioneering works have involved Rydberg atoms and, later, ‘artificial atoms’ using Josephson junctions (for a review on these topics, see refs. ^{82,83}).

Until recently, most of the focus in cavity QED systems remained on the single spatial modes of photons. For example, although proposed in early theoretical works⁸⁴, strong quantum effects in propagation, such as the formation of two-photon bound states, were observed only recently⁸⁵. One expects that the combination of cavity QED and the correlated electronic states in materials can lead to a paradigm for creating, probing and manipulating strongly correlated states and novel light–matter hybrids^{86–88}. Specifically, this is expected in the strong coupling regime, where electronic excitations such as excitons, strongly couple to light and form polaritons. The resulting effect of light–matter interaction is no longer perturbative, and it dramatically modifies the physical properties of the system. We review recent developments and discuss future opportunities.

One example of strong light–matter interaction is based on exciton–polaritons^{86,89}, which can be viewed as quasiparticles resulting from the strong coupling between photons confined within an optical cavity, and excitons in a quantum well (Fig. 5a). The hybrid light–matter quasiparticle exhibits a wealth of interesting physical properties inherited




Fig. 4 | Optical enhancement of superconductivity. **a**, Enhanced critical current as a function of irradiating power in microbridges of conventional superconductors. Irradiation enhances the properties of the equilibrium superconductor by promoting quasiparticles from the gap region to higher-energy states. The inset shows a scanning electron microscope image of superconducting microbridge (blue) connected to a normal reservoir (yellow). **b**, Transient optical spectra recorded in K_3C_{60} upon irradiation with femtosecond pulses at $6.7\ \mu\text{m}$ wavelength. The three plots represent (left to right): equilibrium reflectivity at the sample–diamond interface $R(\omega)$, real part $\sigma_1(\omega)$ and imaginary part $\sigma_2(\omega)$ of optical conductivity of K_3C_{60} . These optical properties are observed up to temperatures of at least 150 K, closely

following those of the equilibrium superconducting state observed in the same material only for $T < T_c = 20\ \text{K}$. **c**, Transient electrical response of K_3C_{60} irradiated with nanosecond pulses at $10.6\ \mu\text{m}$ wavelength. A zero-resistance state, which survives for at least 10 ns is observed in these experiments. The inset shows a schematic of the electrical transport experiment with gold electrodes on K_3C_{60} in yellow and the region of current flow in teal. **d**, A schematic of a theoretical proposal for cavity-enhanced superconductivity. Embedding a superconductor in a cavity, where the vacuum fluctuations are contained in highly reduced mode volumes, is expected to enhance or mediate pairing through cavity photons¹⁵. Panels adapted with permission from: **a**, ref.⁶⁷, APS; **a**, top right inset, ref.¹¹⁹, Elsevier; **b**, ref.⁷⁴, Springer Nature Ltd; **c**, ref.⁷⁵, Springer Nature Ltd.

from its mixed nature. Owing to the coupling of photons trapped in the cavity with the electromagnetic modes of the outside world, the system is intrinsically dissipative, and engineering of the pump field is a key knob to manipulate excitations. Importantly, excitonic polaritons exhibit a giant third-order (Kerr) nonlinearity, which originates from Coulombic exchange interaction between the fermionic constituents (electrons and holes) of excitons. As a result, polaritons behave as interacting photons exhibiting many fascinating phenomena, to some extent similar to cold-atom systems, such as Bose–Einstein condensation^{90,91}, superfluidity⁹² with subtle physical properties related to their driven dissipative nature^{93–95}, or nucleation of topological defects. Moreover, an enhancement of conductivity in an organic semiconductor has recently been observed in the strong-coupling regime with plasmonic modes⁹⁶. Interestingly, such quantum fluids of light provide a testbed for the exploration of out-of-equilibrium condensates in a driven dissipative context. Most of the polariton features studied so far have been successfully described within a semiclassical mean-field approach, neglecting quantum fluctuations and correlations. However, the true quantum regime is now within reach. Very recently, weak antibunching of light transmitted through a polariton cavity was reported^{97,98}. Various proposals are currently being pursued to enhance polariton interactions deeper into the quantum regime. The main idea is to couple light to different kinds of excitations that have stronger interactions. Examples include dipolar excitons⁹⁹, polaron–polaritons¹⁰⁰ and fractional quantum Hall states¹⁰¹.

A further research direction in this area involves engineering photonic lattices. Confined modes of individual resonators hybridize to form bands of extended states as atomic orbitals do in crystals. Depending on the symmetry of the lattice, photon properties can be fundamentally modified and emulate interesting Hamiltonians. Such synthetic photonic materials have allowed the simulation of various condensed-matter models. A particularly exciting development in this research is the emergence of the field of topological photonics¹⁰²,

where pioneering experiments have demonstrated the feasibility of these ideas in a variety of photonic systems such as microwave photonic crystals, coupled waveguides, ring resonators, nanophotonic crystal^{103–106}. Moreover, these efforts have opened a wide playground with no electronic counterpart. For example, one can synthesize strong artificial gauge fields and very large strains that cannot be naturally accessed. Meanwhile, epitaxially grown polaritonic materials are particularly interesting because in addition to engineering complex potential landscapes in a driven-dissipative non-linear system, the resulting collective modes can be directly accessed both in real and reciprocal space^{107,108}. These ideas have already led to proof-of-concept demonstrations in using topology as a design principle for robust optical devices, such as topological lasers^{109–112} and topological quantum sources of light¹¹³.

Another interesting route is to couple complex photonic lattices with a strongly interacting material. One could envision a research field where a scalable ensemble of coupled cavities in the blockade regime could be created. Then, strong correlations could be imprinted on the active material via the coupling to the photon field. Excitation schemes to generate spatially entangled multiphoton states¹¹⁴, or to stabilize multiphoton Laughlin states would be of high relevance¹¹⁵. Multiphoton pumping schemes¹¹⁶, multiphoton loss mechanisms and, more generally, reservoir engineering¹¹⁷ are among the possible tools to create and stabilize strongly correlated many-body quantum states. Beyond fundamental interest, this research may find applications in quantum information technologies, such quantum sources of light, photonic quantum logic and analogue quantum simulators.

A particularly attractive direction here is enhancing superconductivity in an optical cavity (Fig. 5c). For example, if electron–photon coupling can be renormalized by the cavity, one may reach long-lived stimulated superconductivity at increased temperatures. Furthermore, it has been proposed that transverse fluctuations of the electromagnetic field could




Fig. 5 | Correlated material in optical cavities. **a**, Scanning electron microscopy image of honeycomb lattices of polariton cavities; the sketch on the right depicts the layers within each cavity made of two distributed Bragg reflectors (DBRs) surrounding a cavity with active material (such as a quantum well (QW)) at its centre. Each individual pillar in the lattice has a 2.8 μm diameter. **b**, Left,

schematic representation of an electrically gated cavity structure containing a two-dimensional electron gas operated in a quantum Hall regime. Right, spectrally resolved reflectivity measured as a function of the two-dimensional electron gas filling factor, which is varied with the magnetic field. Panels reproduced with permission from: **a**, A. Amo and J. Bloch; **b**, A. Imamoglu, P. Knüppel and S. Ravets.

mediate pairing, a phenomenon that has vanishing amplitude in free space but one that can be enhanced once the coupling to cavity fluctuations is made very strong. Finally, it has been proposed that by coupling a cavity to a superconductor, one can redistribute quasiparticles into a more favourable non-equilibrium distribution and promote pairing and therefore enhance the superconductivity¹⁴. Experimentally, these effects are largely unproven although an anomalous diamagnetic response was reported in the normal state of Rb_3C_{60} when this material was embedded in a cavity, potentially related to incipient cavity-mediated superconductivity. Another interesting direction is the exploration of a cavity-induced ferroelectric phase transition^{26,118}, which aims to realize the paraelectric–ferroelectric transition in strontium titanium oxide, already achieved by strain, isotope substitution and light, to be realized in a cavity by coupling the order parameter to the cavity modes.

Another intriguing direction is to investigate the cavity QED physics of other correlated light–matter states, such as fractional quantum Hall states, and explore the resulting quantum optical response of the system (Fig. 5b). Specifically, it has been experimentally observed that at low carrier density, the polariton–polariton interaction strength depends on the nature of underlying many-body electronic state^{101,102}, whereas the numerical simulation suggests that for a high density of carriers such dependence could be washed out¹¹⁹. Therefore, the effect of strongly correlated electronic states on the quantum optical response and engineering strong effective photon–photon interactions remain open problems. A challenge would be to optically excite, probe and manipulate anyons, which are fractionally charged quasiparticles in quantum Hall systems¹²⁰. Such ideas could be applied to both two-dimensional electron gases and van der Waals materials¹²¹. A promising class of strongly coupled light–matter systems is atomically thin monolayers and bilayers such as twisted bilayer graphene and transition metal dichalcogenides. These systems have recently emerged as fundamental platforms to enhance correlations^{17,122}. Combining twist control, non-equilibrium control and cavity QED offers opportunities to realize novel correlated states of matter touching most of the regimes in Fig. 1.

Moreover, strong light–matter coupling in a cavity provides a way to create states with broken symmetries, such as time-reversal symmetry achieved by nonlinear optical response¹²³ or coupling to quantum fluctuations in chiral cavities¹⁶. In this context, there have been theoretical proposals where the cavity dressing results in the desired changes to the ground state of materials and could realize non-equilibrium states of matter that have been seen in laser-driven materials. While the physical processes behind laser and cavity dressing of matter are different, the properties of such dressed hybrid states can be similar, as they descend from formally similar Hamiltonians.

Outlook

In this Perspective, we addressed a selected number of directions in exploiting light–matter interactions to control and create emergent phenomena in solids. On the one hand, this field draws inspiration from conventional design efforts in condensed-matter research, with this analogy being most evident in the use of terahertz pulses to dynamically deform crystal lattices and engineer the atomic structure. On the other hand, the rich physics of cavity QED, quantum fluids of light and quantum optics in general, when combined with strongly correlated electron materials, provide a different view of light–matter coupling. Progress in all these fields requires the development of theoretical frameworks to describe strongly interacting light–matter phenomena. Learning from the progress in the ultracold atom platform, where mastering light–matter interaction at the level of a single quantum led to the development of synthetic quantum matter, one expects a similar revolution in condensed-matter systems coupled to light or cavities. Given the recent progress in both theory and experiment to harness light–matter interactions with ever-increasing precision, a march towards strongly correlated electron–photon matter is foreseeable.

1. Hwang, H. et al. Emergent phenomena at oxide interfaces. *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 103–113 (2012).
2. Cao, Y. et al. Unconventional superconductivity in magic-angle graphene superlattices. *Nature* **556**, 43–50 (2018).

3. Drozdov, A. P. et al. Conventional superconductivity at 203 kelvin at high pressures in the sulfur hydride system. *Nature* **525**, 73–76 (2015).
4. Lodal, P., Mahmodian, S. & Stobbe, S. Interfacing single photons and single quantum dots with photonic nanostructures. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 347–400 (2015).
5. Berry, J. et al. Sensitivity optimization for NV-diamond magnetometry. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **92**, 015004 (2020).
6. Fausti, D. et al. Light-induced superconductivity in a stripe-ordered cuprate. *Science* **331**, 189–191 (2011). **This work demonstrates targeted optical suppression of stripe order to enhance superconductivity.**
7. Disa, A. et al. Polarizing an antiferromagnet by optical engineering of the crystal field. *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 937–941 (2020).
8. Shin, D. et al. Phonon-driven spin-Floquet magneto-valleytronics in MoS₂. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 638 (2018).
9. Nova, T. F., Disa, A. S., Fechnerand, M. & Cavalleri, A. Metastable ferroelectricity in optically strained SrTiO₃. *Science* **364**, 1075–1079 (2019). **This paper experimentally demonstrates how an electromagnetic field can induce ferroelectric order at high temperature in a quantum paraelectric material.**
10. Li, X. et al. Terahertz field-induced ferroelectricity in quantum paraelectric SrTiO₃. *Science* **364**, 1079–1082 (2019). **This paper experimentally demonstrates how an electromagnetic field can induce ferroelectric order at high temperature in a quantum paraelectric material.**
11. Wang, Y. H. et al. Observation of Floquet–Bloch states on the surface of a topological insulator. *Science* **342**, 453–457 (2013). **Experimental demonstration of Floquet band-structure engineering in a solid.**
12. McIver, J. et al. Light-induced anomalous Hall effect in graphene. *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 38–41 (2020). **Transport experiment demonstrating a Floquet topological insulator.**
13. Ebbesen, T. W. Hybrid light–matter states in a molecular and material science perspective. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **49**, 2403–2412 (2016).
14. Schlawin, F., Cavalleri, A. & Jaksch, D. Cavity-mediated electron–photon superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 133602 (2019). **Early theoretical proposal for cavity-mediated superconductivity.**
15. Curtis, J. B., Raines, Z. M., Allocca, A. A., Hafezi, M. & Galitski, V. M. Cavity quantum Eliashberg enhancement of superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 167002 (2018). **Early theoretical proposal for cavity-mediated superconductivity.**
16. Hübener, H. et al. Engineering quantum materials with chiral optical cavities. *Nat. Mater.* **20**, 438–442 (2020).
17. Scaleri, G. et al. Ultrastrong coupling of the cyclotron transition of a 2D electron gas to a THz metamaterial. *Science* **335**, 1323–1326 (2012). **Demonstration of strong light–matter coupling in a two-dimensional electron system.**
18. Zhang, Q. et al. Collective non-perturbative coupling of 2D electrons with high-quality-factor terahertz cavity photons. *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 1005–1011 (2016).
19. Li, X. et al. Vacuum Bloch–Siegert shift in Landau polaritons with ultra-high cooperativity. *Nat. Photon.* **12**, 324–329 (2018).
20. Balents, L., Dean, C. R., Efetov, D. K. & Young, A. F. Superconductivity and strong correlations in moiré flat bands. *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 725–733 (2020).
21. Claassen, M., Kennes, D. M., Zingl, M., Sentef, M. A. & Rubio, A. Universal optical control of chiral superconductors and Majorana modes. *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 766–770 (2019).
22. Latini, S. et al. Cavity control of excitons in two-dimensional materials. *Nano Lett.* **19**, 3473–3479 (2019).
23. Sentef, M. A., Ruggenthaler, M. & Rubio, A. Cavity quantum-electrodynamical polaritonically enhanced electron–phonon coupling and its influence on superconductivity. *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaau6969 (2018). **Early theoretical proposal for cavity-mediated superconductivity.**
24. Thomas, A. et al. Exploring superconductivity under strong coupling with the vacuum electromagnetic field. Preprint at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.01459> (2019).
25. Ashida, Y. et al. Quantum electrodynamic control of matter: cavity-enhanced ferroelectric phase transition. *Phys. Rev.* **10**, 041027 (2020).
26. Boyd, R. W. *Nonlinear Optics* (Academic Press, 2003).
27. Basov, D. N., Averitt, R. D. & Hsieh, D. Towards properties on demand in quantum materials. *Nat. Mater.* **16**, 1077–1088 (2017).
28. Haroche, S. & Raimond, J.-M. *Exploring the Quantum: Atoms, Cavities, and Photons* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2006).
29. Birnbaum, K. M. et al. Theory of photon blockade by an optical cavity with one trapped atom. *Nature* **436**, 87–90 (2005).
30. Ma, R. et al. A dissipatively stabilized Mott insulator of photons. *Nature* **566**, 51–55 (2019).
31. Matsunaga, R. et al. Light-induced collective pseudospin precession resonating with Higgs mode in a superconductor. *Science* **345**, 1145–1149 (2014).
32. Basov, D. N., Asenjo-Garcia, A., Schuck, P. J., Zhu, X. & Rubio, A. Polariton panorama. *Nanophotonics* **10**, 549–577 (2021).
33. Basov, D. N., Fogler, F. N. & García de Abajo, F. J. Polaritons in van der Waals materials. *Science* **354**, aag1992 (2016).
34. Oka, T. & Aoki, H. Floquet theory of photo-induced topological phase transitions: application to graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 081406(R) (2009). **Early theoretical proposal for the realization of Floquet topological insulators in graphene.**
35. Lindner, N., Refael, G. & Galitski, V. Floquet topological insulator in semiconductor quantum wells. *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 490–495 (2011). **Early theoretical proposal for the realization of Floquet topological insulators in semi-conductors.**
36. Jotzu, G. et al. Experimental realization of the topological Haldane model with ultracold fermions. *Nature* **515**, 237–240 (2014).
37. Moessner, R. & Sondhi, S. L. Equilibration and order in quantum Floquet matter. *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 424–428 (2017).
38. Sato, S. A. et al. Microscopic theory for the light-induced anomalous Hall effect in graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 214302 (2019).
39. Nuske, M. et al. Floquet dynamics in light driven solids. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 043408 (2020).
40. Seetharam, K. I. et al. Controlled population of Floquet–Bloch states via coupling to Bose and Fermi baths. *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 041050 (2015).
41. Dehghani, H., Oka, T. & Mitra, A. Out-of-equilibrium electrons and the Hall conductance of a Floquet topological insulator. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 155422 (2015).
42. Rudner, M. S. et al. Anomalous edge states and the bulk-edge correspondence for periodically driven two-dimensional systems. *Phys. Rev. X* **3**, 031005 (2013).
43. Mukherjee, S. Experimental observation of anomalous topological edge modes in a slowly driven photonic lattice. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 13918 (2017).
44. Berdanier, W. et al. Floquet quantum criticality. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 9491–9496 (2018).
45. Chen, X. et al. Symmetry protected topological orders and the group cohomology of their symmetry group. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 155114 (2013).
46. Vishwanath, A., Potter, A. C. & Morimoto, T. Classification of interacting topological Floquet phases in one dimension. *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 041001 (2016).
47. Khemani, V. et al. Phase structure of driven quantum systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 250401 (2016).
48. Else, D. V., Bauer, B. & Nayak, C. Floquet time crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 090402 (2016).
49. Zhang, J. et al. Observation of a discrete time crystal. *Nature* **543**, 217–220 (2017).
50. Choi, S. et al. Observation of discrete time-crystalline order in a disordered dipolar many-body system. *Nature* **543**, 221–225 (2017).
51. Mentnik, J. H., Balzer, K. & Eckstein, M. Ultrafast and reversible control of the exchange interaction in Mott insulators. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6708 (2015).
52. Claassen, M., Yang, H. C., Moritz, B. & Devereaux, T. P. Dynamical time-reversal symmetry breaking and photo-induced chiral spin liquids in frustrated Mott insulators. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1192 (2017). **A theoretical proposal to exploit optically induced dynamical symmetry breaking to engineer quantum spin liquids.**
53. Ghazaryan, A. et al. Light-induced fractional quantum Hall phases in graphene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 247403 (2017). **A theoretical proposal for engineering effective interaction in driven fractional quantum Hall systems.**
54. Cian, Z. P. et al. Engineering quantum Hall phases in synthetic bilayer graphene system. *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 085430 (2020).
55. Lee, C. H. et al. Floquet mechanism for non-Abelian fractional quantum Hall states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 237401 (2018).
56. Dienst, A. et al. Bi-directional ultrafast electric-field gating of interlayer charge transport in a cuprate superconductor. *Nat. Photon.* **5**, 485–488 (2011).
57. Rajasekaran, S. et al. Parametric amplification of a superconducting plasma wave. *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 1012–1016 (2016).
58. Rajasekaran, S. et al. Probing optically silent superfluid stripes in cuprates. *Science* **369**, 575–579 (2018).
59. Liu, M. et al. Terahertz-field-induced insulator-to-metal transition in vanadium dioxide metamaterial. *Nature* **487**, 354–357 (2012).
60. Kubacka, T. et al. Large-amplitude spin dynamics driven by a THz pulse in resonance with an electromagnon. *Science* **343**, 1333–1336 (2014).
61. Sie, E. et al. An ultrafast symmetry switch in a Weyl semimetal. *Nature* **565**, 61–66 (2019).
62. Foerst, M. et al. Nonlinear phononics as a new ultrafast route to lattice control. *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 854–856 (2011).
63. Rini, M. et al. Control of the electronic phase of a manganite by mode-selective vibrational excitation. *Nature* **449**, 72–74 (2007).
64. Tobey, R. I. et al. Ultrafast electronic phase transition in La_{2-x}Sr_xMnO₄ by coherent vibrational excitation: evidence for nonthermal melting of orbital order. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 197404 (2008).
65. Nova, T. et al. An effective magnetic field from optically driven phonons. *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 132–136 (2017).
66. Disa, A. S. Polarizing an antiferromagnet by optical engineering of the crystal field. *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 937–941 (2020).
67. Wyatt, A. F. G. et al. Microwave-enhanced critical supercurrents in constricted tin films. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **16**, 1166–1169 (1966).
68. Dayem, A. H. & Wiegand, J. J. Behavior of thin-film superconducting bridges in a microwave field. *Phys. Rev.* **155**, 419–428 (1967).
69. Churilov, G. E. et al. Non-linear effects in thin superconducting tin films at microwave frequencies. *JETP Lett.* **6**, 222–224 (1967).
70. Eliashberg, G. M. Film superconductivity stimulated by a high-frequency field. *JETP Lett.* **11**, 114–116 (1970).
71. Chang, J.-J. & Scalapino, D. Nonequilibrium superconductivity. *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **31**, 1–32 (1978).
72. Nicoletti, D. et al. Optically-induced superconductivity in striped La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO₄ by polarization-selective excitation in the near infrared. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 100503(R) (2014).
73. Cremin, K. A. et al. Photoenhanced metastable c-axis electrostatics in stripe-ordered cuprate La_{0.885}Ba_{0.115}CuO₄. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **116**, 19875–19879 (2019).
74. Mitrano, M. et al. Possible light-induced superconductivity in K₃C₆₀ at high temperature. *Nature* **530**, 461–464 (2016).
75. Budden, M. et al. Evidence for metastable photo-induced superconductivity in K₃C₆₀. *Nat. Phys.* **17**, 611–618 (2021).
76. Buzzi, M. et al. Photomolecular high-temperature superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 031028 (2020).
77. Raines, Z. M. et al. Enhancement of superconductivity via periodic modulation in a three-dimensional model of cuprates. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 184506 (2015).
78. Babadi, M. et al. Theory of parametrically amplified electron–phonon superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 014512 (2017).
79. Denny, S. J. et al. Proposed parametric cooling of bilayer cuprate superconductors by terahertz excitation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 137001 (2015).
80. Kennes, D. M. et al. Transient superconductivity from electronic squeezing of optically pumped phonons. *Nat. Phys.* **13**, 479–483 (2017).
81. Dehghani, H. et al. Optical enhancement of superconductivity via targeted destruction of charge density waves. *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 224506 (2020).
82. Haroche, S. & Raimond, J.-M. *Exploring the Quantum* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2006).
83. Devoret, M. H. & Schoelkopf, R. J. Superconducting circuits for quantum information: an outlook. *Science* **339**, 1169–1174 (2013).

84. Lai, Y. & Haus, H. A. Quantum theory of solitons in optical fibers. I. Time-dependent Hartree approximation. *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 844–853 (1989).
85. Firstenberg, O. et al. Attractive photons in a quantum nonlinear medium. *Nature* **502**, 71–75 (2013).
86. Carusotto, I. & Ciuti, C. Quantum fluids of light. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 299–366 (2013).
87. Latini, S. et al. Phonoritons as hybridized exciton–photon–phonon excitations in a monolayer h-BN optical cavity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 227401 (2021).
88. Andolina, G. M. et al. Cavity quantum electrodynamics of strongly correlated electron systems: a no-go theorem for photon condensation. *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 121109(R) (2019).
89. Weisbuch, C. et al. Observation of the coupled exciton–photon mode splitting in a semiconductor quantum microcavity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 3314–3317 (1992).
90. Deng, H. et al. Condensation of semiconductor microcavity exciton polaritons. *Science* **298**, 199–202 (2002).
91. Kasprzak, J. et al. Bose–Einstein condensation of exciton polaritons. *Nature* **443**, 409–414 (2006).
92. Amo, A. et al. Superfluidity of polaritons in semiconductor microcavities. *Nat. Phys.* **5**, 805–810 (2009).
93. Wouters, M. & Carusotto, I. Superfluidity and critical velocity in non-equilibrium Bose Einstein condensates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 020602 (2010).
94. Van Regemortel, M. & Wouters, M. Negative drag in non-equilibrium polariton quantum fluids. *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 085303 (2014).
95. Jiggins, R. T. et al. Coherently driven microcavity polaritons and the question of superfluidity. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4062 (2018).
96. Orgiu, E. et al. Conductivity in organic semiconductors hybridized with the vacuum field. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 1123–1129 (2015).
97. Muñoz-Matutano, G. et al. Emergence of quantum correlations from interacting fibre-cavity polaritons. *Nat. Mater.* **18**, 213–218 (2019).
98. Delteil, A. et al. Towards polariton blockade of confined exciton–polaritons. *Nat. Mater.* **18**, 219–222 (2019).
99. Cristofolini, P. et al. Coupling quantum tunneling with cavity photons. *Science* **336**, 704–707 (2012).
100. Ravets, S. et al. Polaron polaritons in the integer and fractional quantum Hall regimes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 057401 (2018).
101. Knüppel, P. et al. Nonlinear optics in the fractional quantum Hall regime. *Nature* **572**, 91–94 (2019). **Experimental demonstration of nonlinear optical effects in fractional quantum Hall systems.**
102. Ozawa, T. et al. Topological photonics. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91**, 015006 (2019).
103. Amo, A. & Bloch, J. Exciton–polaritons in lattices: a non-linear photonic simulator. *C. R. Phys.* **17**, 934–945 (2016).
104. Schneider, C. et al. Exciton–polariton trapping and potential landscape engineering. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **80**, 016503 (2016).
105. St-Jean, P. et al. Lasing in topological edge states of a one-dimensional lattice. *Nat. Photon.* **11**, 651–656 (2017).
106. Bahari, B. et al. Nonreciprocal lasing in topological cavities of arbitrary geometries. *Science* **358**, 636–640 (2017).
107. Harai, G. et al. Topological insulator laser: theory. *Science* **359**, eaar4003 (2018).
108. Mittal, S., Goldschmidt, E. & Hafezi, M. A topological source of quantum light. *Nature* **561**, 502–506 (2018).
109. Carusotto, I. et al. Fermionized photons in an array of driven dissipative nonlinear cavities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 033601 (2009).
110. Kapit, E., Hafezi, M. & Simon, S. H. Induced self-stabilization in fractional quantum Hall states of light. *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 031039 (2014).
111. Rota, R. et al. Quantum critical regime in a quadratically driven nonlinear photonic lattice. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 110405 (2019).
112. Lebreuilly, J. et al. Stabilizing strongly correlated photon fluids with non-Markovian reservoirs. *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 033828 (2017).
113. Latini, S. et al. The ferroelectric photo-groundstate of SrTiO₃: cavity materials engineering. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **118**, e2105618118 (2021). **Theoretical proposal for a cavity modification of the ground state of a material.**
114. Graß, T. et al. Optical excitations in compressible and incompressible two-dimensional electron liquids. *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 155127 (2020).
115. Graß, T. et al. Optical control over bulk excitations in fractional quantum Hall systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 155124 (2018).
116. Wang, Z., Shan, J. & Mak, K. F. Valley- and spin-polarized Landau levels in monolayer WSe₂. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **12**, 144–149 (2017).
117. Kennes, D. M. et al. Moiré heterostructures as a condensed matter quantum simulator. *Nat. Phys.* **17**, 155–163 (2021).
118. Rudner, M. S. & Song, J. Self induced Berry flux and spontaneous non-equilibrium magnetism. *Nat. Phys.* **15**, 1017–1021 (2019).
119. Klapwijk, T. M. & de Visser, P. J. The discovery, disappearance and re-emergence of radiation-stimulated superconductivity. *Ann. Phys.* **417**, 168104 (2020).
120. Wang, Z., Chong, Y., Joannopoulos, J. D. & Soljačić, M. Observation of unidirectional backscattering-immune topological electromagnetic states. *Nature* **461**, 772–775 (2009).
121. Rechtsman, M. C. et al. Photonic Floquet topological insulators. *Nature* **496**, 196–200 (2013).
122. Hafezi, M., Mittal, S., Fan, J., Migdal, A. & Taylor, J. M. Imaging topological edge states in silicon photonics. *Nat. Photon.* **7**, 1001–1005 (2013).
123. Barik, S. et al. A topological quantum optics interface. *Science* **359**, 666–668 (2018).

Acknowledgements We thank J. Curtis for critical reading of the manuscript. V.G. was supported by NSF DMR-2037158, US-ARO contract number W911NF1310172, and the Simons Foundation. M.H. acknowledges support from AFOSR FA95502010223, FA9550-19-1-0399, ARO W911NF2010232 and ARL W911NF1920181 and the Simons Foundation. J.B. acknowledges financial support from Paris Ile-de-France Région DIM SIRTEQ, H2020-FETFLAG project PhoQus (820392), QUANTERA project Interpol (ANR-QUAN-0003-05), ANR project Quantum Fluids of Light (ANR-16-CE30-0021) and the French RENATECH network. A.R. is supported by the European Research Council (ERC-2015-AdG-694097), Grupos Consolidados (IT1249-19) and the Flatiron Institute, a division of the Simons Foundation. We acknowledge funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Germany's Excellence Strategy - Cluster of Excellence Advanced Imaging of Matter (AIM) EXC 2056 – 390715994 and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) -SFB-925 - project 170620586. Support by the Max Planck Institute – New York City Center for Non-Equilibrium Quantum Phenomena.

Author contributions All authors discussed the material and wrote and revised the manuscript together.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Victor Galitski.

Peer review information Nature thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© This is a U.S. government work and not under copyright protection in the U.S.; foreign copyright protection may apply 2022