Time-energy tradeoffs for evacuation by two robots in the wireless model ☆

Jurek Czyzowicz [a,1], Konstantinos Georgiou [b,*,1], Ryan Killick [c],
Evangelos Kranakis [c,1], Danny Krizanc [d], Manuel Lafond [e,1], Lata Narayanan [f,1],
Jaroslav Opatrny [f,1], Sunil Shende [g]

[a] *Université du Québec en Outaouais, Gatineau, Québec, Canada*
[b] *Ryerson University, Dept. of Mathematics, Toronto, Ontario, Canada*
[c] *School of Computer Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada*
[d] *Department of Mathematics & Comp. Sci., Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT, USA*
[e] *Department of Computer Science, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada*
[f] *Department of Comp. Sci. and Software Eng., Concordia University, Montreal, Québec, Canada*
[g] *Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University, Camden, NJ, USA*

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Two robots stand at the origin of the infinite line and are tasked with searching collaboratively for an exit at an unknown location on the line. They can travel at maximum speed b and can change speed or direction at any time. The two robots can communicate with each other at any distance and at any time. The task is completed when the last robot arrives at the exit and evacuates. We study time-energy tradeoffs for the above evacuation problem. The evacuation time is the time it takes the last robot to reach the exit. The energy it takes for a robot to travel a distance x at speed s is measured as xs^2. The total and makespan evacuation energies are respectively the sum and maximum of the energy consumption of the two robots while executing the evacuation algorithm.

Assuming that the maximum speed is b, and the evacuation time is at most cd, where d is the distance of the exit from the origin and c is some positive real number, we study the problem of minimizing the total energy consumption of the robots. We prove that the problem is solvable only for $bc \geq 3$. For the case $bc = 3$, we give an optimal algorithm, and give upper bounds on the energy for the case $bc > 3$.

We also consider the problem of minimizing the evacuation time when the available energy is bounded by Δ. Surprisingly, when Δ is a constant, independent of the distance d of the exit from the origin, we prove that evacuation is possible in time $O(d^{3/2} \log d)$, and this is optimal up to a logarithmic factor. When Δ is linear in d, we give upper bounds on the evacuation time.

---

1. Introduction

Linear search is an online problem in which a robot is tasked with finding an exit placed at an unknown location on an infinite line. It has long been known that the classic doubling strategy, which guarantees a search time of 9d for an exit at distance d from the initial location is optimal for a robot travelling at speed at most 1 (see any of the books [1,2,30] for additional variants, details and information). If even one more robot is allotted to the search then clearly an exit at distance d can always be found in time d by one of the robots. Therefore the problem of group search by multiple robots on the line is concerned with minimizing the time the *last* robot arrives at the exit; the problem is also called *evacuation*. It was first introduced as part of a study on cycle-search [11] and further elaborated on an infinite line for multiple communicating robots with crash [21] and Byzantine faults [19].

The time taken for group search on the line clearly depends on the communication capabilities of the robots. In the wireless communication model, the robots can communicate at any time and over any distance. In the face-to-face communication model, the robots can only communicate when they are in the same place at the same time. A straightforward algorithm achieves evacuation time 3d in the wireless model, and can be seen to be optimal, while it has been shown that in the face-to-face model, two robots cannot achieve better evacuation time than one robot [9].

In this paper, we consider the *energy* required for group search on the line. We use the energy model in which the energy consumption of a robot travelling a distance x at speed s is proportional to xs^2. This model, also used in [12], is motivated by the concept of viscous drag in fluid dynamics; see Section 1.1 for more details. Let c be a chosen positive constant. Then, the authors of [12], studied the question of the minimum energy required for group search on the line by two robots performing under the face-to-face communication model and travelling at speed at most b while guaranteeing that both robots reach the exit within time cd, where d is the distance of the exit from the starting position of the robots. For the special case $b = 1, c = 9$, they proved the surprising result that two robots can evacuate with less energy than one robot, while taking the same evacuation time. Note that in the face-to-face model, where no information can be exchanged from distance, robots are bound to follow zig-zag trajectories effectively arranging for a sequence of possible meeting points in order to facilitate the efficient evacuation of their peers. In contrast, robots' trajectories we consider in this work, where robots perform in the wireless model, need only make one turn before they terminate. As a result, our model of energy that does not take into consideration any turning costs can be thought as more realistic in the wireless communication model than in the face-to-face communication model.

Our main approach throughout the paper is to investigate time-energy tradeoffs for group search by two robots in the wireless communication model. Assuming that the maximum speed is b, and the evacuation time is at most cd, where d is the distance of the exit from the origin, we study the problem of minimizing the total energy consumption of the robots. We also consider the problem of minimizing the evacuation time when the available energy is bounded by Δ.

1.1. Model and problem definitions

Two robots are placed at the origin of an infinite line. An exit is located at unknown distance d from the origin and can be found if and only if a robot walks over it. A robot can change its direction or speed at any time, e.g., as a function of its distance from the origin, or the distance walked so far. Robots operate under the wireless model of communication in which messages can be transmitted between robots instantaneously at any distance. Feasible solutions are robots' trajectories in which, eventually, both robots evacuate, i.e. they both reach the exit. Given a location of the exit, the time by which the second robot reaches the exit is referred to as the *evacuation time*. We distinguish between constant-memory robots that can only travel at a constant number of hard-wired speeds, and unbounded-memory robots that can dynamically compute speeds and distances, and travel at any possible speed.

The energy model being used throughout the paper is motivated from the concept of viscous drag in fluid dynamics [4]. In particular, an object moving with constant speed s will experience a *drag force* F_D proportional[2] to s^2. In order to maintain the speed s over a distance x the object must do work equal to the product of F_D and x resulting in a continuous energy loss proportional to the product of the object's squared speed and travel distance. For simplicity we take the proportionality constant to be one, and define the energy consumption moving at constant speed s over a segment of length x to be xs^2. We extend the definition of energy for a robot moving in the same direction from point a to point b on the line, using speed $s(x) \in \mathbb{R}, x \in [a, b]$, as $\int_a^b s^2(x)\mathrm{d}x$. The total energy of a specific robot traversing more intervals, possibly in different directions, is defined as the sum of the energies used in each interval.

Given a team of two robots, the *total evacuation energy* is defined as the sum of the robots' energies used till both robots evacuate. Similarly, we define the *makespan evacuation energy* as the maximum energy used by any of the two robots.

For each d > 0 there are two possible locations for the exit to be at distance d from the origin: we will refer to either of these as input instances d for the group search problem. More specifically, we are interested in the following three optimization problems:

---

[2] The constant of proportionality has (SI) units kg/m and depends, among other things, on the shape of the object and the density of the fluid through which it moves.

Definition 1.1. Problem $EE_d^b(c)$: Minimize the total evacuation energy, given that the evacuation time is no more than cd (for all instances d) and using speeds no more than b.

Definition 1.2. Problem $TE_d^b(\Delta)$: Minimize the evacuation time, given that the total evacuation energy is no more than Δ (for all instances d), and using speeds at most b.

Definition 1.3. Problem $ME_d^b(\Delta)$: Minimize the evacuation time, given that the makespan evacuation energy is no more than Δ (for all instances d), and using speeds at most b.

For the last two problems, we consider two cases when the evacuation energy Δ is a constant and when it is linear in d.

1.2. Our results

Consider the following intuitive and simple algorithm for wireless evacuation, which is a parametrized version of a well-known algorithm for the case of unit speed robots that achieve evacuation time $3d$.

Definition 1.4 (*Algorithm simple wireless search* $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$). Robots move at opposite directions with speed s until the exit is found. The finder announces "exit found" and halts. The other robot changes direction and moves at speed r until the exit is reached.

We analyze the behaviour of this algorithm for all three proposed problems, and determine the speeds that achieve the minimum evacuation energy (or time) among all algorithms of this class, while respecting the given bound on evacuation time (resp. energy). In some cases, the algorithms derived are shown to be optimal. In particular, our main results are the following:

1. We show that the problem $EE_d^b(c)$ admits a solution if and only if $cb \geq 3$. Furthermore, for every $c, b > 0$ with $cb = 3$, we show that the optimal total evacuation energy is $4b^2d$, and this is achieved by $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ with $s = r = b$ (Theorem 2.3).
2. For every $c, b > 0$ with $cb \geq 3$, we derive the optimal values of s and r for the algorithm $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ that minimize the total evacuation energy (Theorem 2.4).
3. We observe that if total or makespan energy Δ is a constant, problems $TE_d^b(\Delta)$ and $ME_d^b(\Delta)$ cannot be solved by robots that can only use a finite number of speeds. We prove that if Δ is bounded by a constant, the optimal evacuation time is $\Omega(d^{3/2})$ (see Theorem 3.2). Somewhat surprisingly, we give an algorithm with evacuation time $O(d^{3/2} \log d)$ (see Theorem 3.3); thus the algorithm is optimal up to a logarithmic factor. Our algorithm requires the robots to continuously change their speed at every distance x from the origin. This is the only part that requires robots to have unbounded memory.
4. For the problems $TE_d^b(\Delta)$ and $ME_d^b(\Delta)$ with total or makespan energy $\Delta = O(d)$ and $b = 1$, we give upper bounds on the evacuation time (see Theorems 3.3 and 5.1 respectively).

1.3. Related work

In group search, a set of communicating robots interact and co-operate by exchanging information in order to complete the task which usually involves finding an exit placed at an unknown location within a given search domain. Some of the pioneering results related to our work are concerned with search on an infinite domain, like a straight line [3,5,6,29], while others with search on the perimeter of a closed domain like unit disk [11] or equilateral triangle or square [23]. The communication model being used may be either wireless [11] or face-to-face [8,20,23]. Search and evacuation problems with a combinatorial flavour have been recently considered in [14,15] and search-and-fetch problems in [25,26], while [10] studied average-case/worst-case trade-offs for a specific evacuation problem on the disk. The interested reader may also wish to consult a recent survey paper [18] on selected search and evacuation topics.

Traditional approaches to evaluating the performance of search have been mostly concerned with time. This is apparent in the book [2] and the research described in the seminal works on deterministic [3], stochastic [5,6] and randomized [29] search and continued up to the most recent research papers on linear search, for robots with terrain dependent speeds [22], robots with faults [27] in particular Byzantine [19] or crash-fault behaviour [21], robots with probabilistic faults [7], and in group search problems with distinguished searchers, such as in [28] for linear search, or [16,17] for searching the unit disk (see also the survey paper [18]). Aside from the research by [24], in which the authors are looking at the turn cost when robots change direction during the search, little or no research has been conducted on other measures of performance.

[12] was the first paper on search and evacuation that changed the focus from optimizing the time to (a) minimizing the energy consumption required to find the exit and (b) to time/energy tradeoffs. The authors determine optimal (and in some cases nearly optimal) linear search algorithms inducing the lowest possible energy consumption and also propose a linear search algorithm that simultaneously achieves search time $9d$ and consumes energy $8.42588d$, for an exit located at distance d unknown to the robots. However, this differs from our present work in that the authors focus exclusively on the

face-to-face communication model while here we focus on the wireless model. In the present paper, we extend the results of [12] to the realm of the wireless communication model and study time/energy trade-offs for evacuating two robots on the infinite line. Despite their apparent similarities, the face-to-face and wireless communication models require completely different approaches to the design of efficient linear search algorithms.

2. Minimizing energy given bounds on evacuation time and speed

This section is devoted to the problem $EE_d^b(c)$ of minimizing the total evacuation energy, given that the robots can travel at speed at most b and are required to complete the evacuation within time cd for every instance d where d is the distance of the exit from the origin. We start with establishing a necessary condition on the product bc.

Lemma 2.1. *No online (wireless) algorithm can solve* $EE_d^b(c)$ *if* $bc < 3$.

Proof. (Lemma 2.1) Consider an arbitrary algorithm for the problem, and for some $d > 0$, we let it run till at least one point among $\pm d$ is reached by a robot, say R, in time at least d/b. We place the exit at point $-d - \epsilon$, so that R needs additional $2(d + \epsilon)/b$ time to reach it, resulting in evacuation time at least $3d/b$. Since the argument holds for every $\epsilon > 0$, the claim follows. □

Next we show that algorithm $\mathcal{N}_{b,b}$ is an optimal solution to the problem $EE_d^b(c)$ when $bc = 3$. We start with the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $b, c > 0$ *with* $bc = 3$ *and consider an evacuation algorithm such that robots use maximum speed b and evacuate by time cd for an exit at distance d from the origin. Then for every $d > 0$, the points d, $-d$, must be visited at time d/b.*

Proof. (Lemma 2.2) Suppose not. Notice that the points $\pm d$ cannot be visited *before* time d/b using speed at most b. We look at two cases.

Case 1: There exists $d > 0$ such that neither d nor $-d$ is visited at time d/b. Consider the first time $t > d/b$ when either of them is visited, wlog let the point $+d$ be visited at time $t > d/b$ by robot R_1. We put the exit at $-d$. Then R_1 has to travel an additional distance of $2d$, and can use speed at most b, so needs time at least $2d/b$ to get to the exit. The total time taken by R_1 to evacuate is at least $t + 2d/b > 3d/b = cd$.

Case 2: There exists $d > 0$ such that d is visited at time d/b but $-d$ is not visited at this time (or vice versa). Wlog suppose R_1 is at point d at time d/b. Let $-d + 2\epsilon$ be the closest point to $-d$ that *has* been visited at time d/b where $\epsilon > 0$ since by assumption $-d$ is not visited at this time. We put the exit at $-d + \epsilon$. The time limit to evacuate is $c(d - \epsilon)$. At time d/b, R_1 is at distance $2d - \epsilon$ from the exit, so the total time for R_1 to reach the exit is at least

$$d/b + (2d - \epsilon)/b = 3d/b - \epsilon/b = cd - \frac{c\epsilon}{3} > cd - c\epsilon$$

In both cases, we showed that the robots cannot evacuate in the required time bound. This completes the proof by contradiction. □

Theorem 2.3. *For every* $b, c > 0$ *with* $bc = 3$, *the algorithm* $\mathcal{N}_{b,b}$ *is the only feasible solution to* $EE_d^b(c)$, *and is therefore optimal, and has total energy consumption* $4b^2 d$.

Proof. (Theorem 2.3) Lemma 2.2 implies that in order to achieve an evacuation time cd, both robots must use the maximum speed b and explore in different directions. If the exit is found at distance d by one of the robots, the time is d/b, and therefore, the other robot must travel at the maximum speed b in order to arrive at the exit in time cd. Thus, the only algorithm that can evacuate within time cd while using speed at most b is $\mathcal{N}_{b,b}$. A total distance of $4d$ is travelled by the two robots, all at speed b, therefore the total energy consumed is $4b^2 d$. □

Next we consider the case of $c, b > 3$ and determine the optimal choices of speeds s, r for $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$, as well as the induced total evacuation energy and competitive ratio for problem $EE_d^b(c)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $\delta = 2 + \sqrt[3]{2} \approx 3.25992$. *For every* $c, b > 0$, *problem* $EE_d^b(c)$ *admits a solution by algorithm* $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ *if and only if* $cb \geq 3$. *For the spectrum of c, b for which a solution exists, the following choices of speeds s, r are feasible and optimal for* $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$

|	$3 \leq cb \leq \delta$	$cb > \delta$
s	$\frac{b}{bc-2}$	$\frac{\delta}{\sqrt[3]{2}c}$
r	b	$\frac{\delta}{c}$

Fig. 1. The competitive ratio of $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ for the choices of Theorem 2.4.

The induced total evacuation energy is $f(cb)\frac{2d}{c^2}$, where

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{x^2}{(x-2)^2} + x^2 & , 3 \leq x \leq \delta \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(2 + \sqrt[3]{2}\right)^3 & , x > \delta \end{cases}$$

It was observed in [12] that the optimal offline solution, given that d is known, equals $\frac{2d}{c^2}$. The competitive ratio is given by $\sup_d \frac{c^2}{2d} e(c,b,d) = f(cb)$ for algorithms inducing total evacuation energy $e(c,b,d)$. The competitive ratio of $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ for the choices of Theorem 2.4 is summarized in Fig. 1. Note that in particular, Theorem 2.4 claims that the competitive ratio only depends on the product cb, and when $cb = 3$, the competitive ratio is 18 and is decreasing in cb (strictly only when $cb < \delta$). The optimal speed choices for the unbounded problem $\mathrm{EE}_d^c(\infty)$ are exactly those that appear under case $cb > \delta$. The remaining of the section is devoted to proving Theorem 2.4.

First we derive closed formulas for the performance of $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$. From the definition of energy used, and given that the robots move at speed 1, we deduce what the evacuation time and energy are when the exit is placed at distance d from the origin. The following two functions will be invoked throughout our argument below.

$$\mathcal{T}(s,r) := \frac{1}{s} + \frac{2}{r} \tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(s,r) := s^2 + r^2 \tag{2}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let b, c be such that there exist s, r for which $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ is feasible. Then, for instance d of $\mathrm{EE}_d^b(c)$, the induced evacuation time of $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ is $d \cdot \mathcal{T}(s,r)$ and the induced total evacuation energy is $2d \cdot \mathcal{E}(s,r)$.*

Next we show the spectrum of c, b for which $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ is applicable.

Lemma 2.6. *Algorithm $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ gives rise to a feasible solution to problem $\mathrm{EE}_d^b(c)$ if and only if $bc \geq 3$. For every such $b, c > 0$, the optimal choices of $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}^f$ can be obtained by solving the convex program:*

$$\min_{s,r \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{E}(s,r) \tag{NLP_c^b}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \mathcal{T}(s,r) \leq c$$

$$0 \leq s, r \leq b.$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{E}(s_0, r_0)$ optimizes NLP_c^b, then the competitive ratio of $\mathcal{N}_{s_0,r_0}$ equals $c^2 \cdot \mathcal{E}(s_0, r_0)$.

Proof. (Lemma 2.6) For fixed parameter b, consider the non-linear program

$$\min_{s,r \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{s} + \frac{2}{r} \tag{3}$$

$$\text{s.t. } 0 \leq s, r \leq b$$

$\mathcal{T}(s,r) = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{2}{r}$ is clearly strictly decreasing in either of $s, r > 0$. Hence when b is fixed, both constraints $s, r \leq b$ must be tight at optimality. But then, $\min_{s,r \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{T}(s,r) = \mathcal{T}(b,b) = 3/b$. It follows that NLP_c^b has a feasible solution if and only if $3/b \leq c$.

By Lemma 2.5, it is immediate that NLP_c^b exactly models the problem of choosing optimal speeds for $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$, for problem $\text{EE}_d^b(c)$. Also note that $\mathcal{T}(s,r)$ and $\mathcal{E}(s,r)$ are strictly convex functions when $s, r > 0$, and hence NLP_c^b is a convex program. Moreover, an optimizer always exists, since the function is bounded from below, and is defined over a compact feasible region. Finally, the claim pertaining to the competitive ratio follows from Lemma 2.5. □

A corollary of Lemma 2.6 is that any feasible solution for NLP_c^b satisfying first-order necessary optimality conditions is also a globally optimal solution. As a result, the proof of Theorem 2.4 follows by showing the proposed solution is feasible and satisfies first-order necessary optimality conditions. This is done in Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8.

Towards proving that first-order optimality conditions are satisfied, we argue first that for all $c, b > 0$ with $cb \geq 3$, the optimizers of NLP_c^b satisfy the time constraint tightly. Indeed, if not, then one could reduce any of the values among s, r to make the constraint tight, improving the induced energy. Hence, in the optimal solutions to NLP_c^b, any of $s, r \leq b$ could be additionally tight or not. In what follows, δ represents $2 + \sqrt[3]{2}$, as in the statement of Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 2.7. *For each $c, b > 0$ for which $3 \leq cb \leq \delta$, the optimal solution to NLP_c^b is given by $s = \frac{b}{bc-2}, r = b$.*

Proof. (Lemma 2.7) first-order optimality (KKT) conditions for s, r, assuming that time constraint and $r \leq b$ are tight, are

$$-\nabla \mathcal{E}(s,r) = \lambda_1 \nabla \mathcal{T}(s,r) + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{T}(s,r) = c$$

$$0 \leq s \leq b$$

$$r = b$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0,$$

where functions $\mathcal{E}(\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{T}(\cdot, \cdot)$ are as in (1), (2). Utilizing only the equality constraints above, we easily derive that

$$s = \frac{b}{bc-2}, \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{2b^3}{(bc-2)^3}, \quad \lambda_2 = -\frac{2 \left(b^4 c^3 - 6b^3 c^2 + 12 b^2 c - 10b \right)}{(bc-2)^3}$$

Note that $s \leq b$ for all $cb \geq 3$. λ_1 is clearly positive. It is enough to verify that $\lambda_2 \geq 0$.

Indeed, define $g(x) = 10 - 12x + 6x^2 - x^3$, and note that $\lambda_2 = b \frac{g(cb)}{(cb-2)^3}$. Since $cb \geq 3$ and $b > 0$, we conclude that $\lambda_2 \geq 0$ as long as $g(cb) \geq 0$. For that, we calculate the 3 roots of g

$$2 + \sqrt[3]{2}, \quad 2 - \frac{1 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{2^{2/3}}.$$

Since the leading coefficient of g is negative, and since g has a unique real root, we conclude that $g(x) \geq 0$ as long as $x \leq 2 + \sqrt[3]{2}$ as wanted. □

Lemma 2.8. *For each $c, b > 0$ for which $cb > \delta$, the optimal solution to NLP_c^b is given by $s = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt[3]{2}c}, r = \frac{\delta}{c}$.*

Proof. (Lemma 2.8) first-order optimality (KKT) conditions for s, r, assuming that only time constraint is tight, are

$$-\nabla \mathcal{E}(s,r) = \lambda \nabla \mathcal{T}(s,r)$$

$$\mathcal{T}(s,r) = c$$

$$0 \leq s, r \leq b$$

$$\lambda \geq 0$$

Using only the equality constraints, we derive

$$s = \frac{1 + 2^{2/3}}{c}, \quad r = \frac{1}{2} s(cs - 1)^2 = \frac{2 + \sqrt[3]{2}}{c}, \quad \lambda = 2s^3.$$

Observe that the proposed values of s, r satisfy the speed bound only if $cb \geq \delta$. But then, we also see that $\lambda > 0$ for all such c, b, and hence s, r do indeed satisfy the first-order optimality conditions. □

Proof. (Theorem 2.4) By Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7, the optimal induced energy when $3 \leq cb \leq \delta$ is

$$2d\mathcal{E}\left(\frac{b}{bc-2}, b\right) = 2d\left(\frac{b^2}{(bc-2)^2} + b^2\right)$$

and the induced competitive ratio is

$$(cb)^2\left(1 + \frac{1}{(cb-2)^2}\right).$$

Finally, by Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.8, the optimal induced energy when $cb > \delta$ is

$$2d\mathcal{E}\left(\frac{1+2^{2/3}}{c}, \frac{2+\sqrt[3]{2}}{c}\right) = d\frac{\left(2+\sqrt[3]{2}\right)^3}{c^2}.$$

Hence the competitive ratio is constant and equals

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(2+\sqrt[3]{2}\right)^3 \approx 17.3217,$$

completing the proof of Theorem 2.4. □

3. Minimizing evacuation time, given constant evacuation energy

In this section we consider the problem of minimizing evacuation time, given *constant* total (or makespan) evacuation energy. First we observe that if the robots can use only a finite number of speeds, there is no feasible solution to the problems $\mathrm{ME}_d^b(\Delta)$ or $\mathrm{TE}_d^b(\Delta)$.

Theorem 3.1. *If Δ is a constant, and the robots have access to only a finite number of speeds, there is no feasible solution to the problems $\mathrm{ME}_d^b(\Delta)$ or $\mathrm{TE}_d^b(\Delta)$.*

Proof. (Theorem 3.1) Suppose the robots can only use speeds in a finite set. Wlog let s be the minimum speed in the set. Define $d' = \Delta/s^2$, and place the exit at $d' + \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Travelling at any speed at or above s, it is impossible for even one of the robots to reach the exit with energy $\leq \Delta$. □

Next we prove a lower bound on the evacuation time in this setting.

Theorem 3.2. *For every constant $e \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the optimal evacuation time for problem $\mathrm{ME}_d^b(e)$ is $\Omega(d^{3/2})$, asymptotically in d.*

Proof. (Theorem 3.2) For any arbitrarily large value of d, we place the exit at distance d from the origin. For any robot to reach the exit before running out of battery, a robot can travel at speed at most $\sqrt{e/d}$. Therefore the time for even the first robot to reach the exit is at least $\frac{d}{\sqrt{e/d}} = d^{3/2}/\sqrt{e}$. □

Note that the above lower bound also holds for problem $\mathrm{TE}_d^b(e)$ (if the total evacuation energy is no more than e, then also the makespan evacuation energy is no more than e). Next we prove that this naive lower bound is nearly tight (up to a $\log d$ factor). First we consider the case that $e \leq 1$. Then, we show how to modify our solution to also solve the problem when $e > 1$.

The key idea is to allow functional speed $s = s(x)$ to depend on the distance x of the robot from the origin. We will make sure that the choice of s is such that, for every large enough d, once the exit is located at distance d, there is "enough" leftover energy for the other robot to evacuate too. For that, we will choose the maximum possible speed r (which can now depend on d, and which will be constant) so as to evacuate without exceeding the maximum energy bounds. Notably, even though our algorithmic solution is described as a solution to $\mathrm{TE}_d^b(e)$, it will be transparent in the proof that it is also a feasible solution to $\mathrm{ME}_d^b(e)$.

Theorem 3.3. *For every constant $e \leq 1$, problem $\mathrm{TE}_d^b(e)$ admits a solution by $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$, where (functional) speed s is chosen as*

$$s(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+2x}\,(1/e + \log(1+x))}.$$

When the exit is found (hence its distance d from the origin becomes known), speed r is chosen as

$$r = \sqrt{\frac{e}{2d\,(e\log(d+1)+1)}},$$

inducing evacuation time $O\left(d^{3/2}\log d\right)$, where in particular the constant in the asymptotic (in d) is independent of e.

Proof. (Theorem 3.3) First we observe that since $e \leq 1$, $s(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \geq 0$. Given that d is at least, say, 1, it is also immediate that $r \leq 1$, hence the speed choices comply with the speed bound.

The exit placed at distance d from the origin is located by the finder in time

$$\int_0^d \frac{1}{s(x)}\mathrm{d}x = \frac{2\sqrt{2}\left((d+1)^{3/2}(3e\log(d+1)-2e+3)+2e-3\right)}{9e} \leq d^{3/2}\log d,$$

where the inequality holds for every $e \leq 1$, and for big enough d.

When the exit is located by a robot, the other robot is at distance $2d$ from the exit. Moreover, each of the robots have used energy

$$\int_0^d s^2(x)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{e}{2} - \frac{e}{2e\log(d+1)+2},$$

hence the leftover energy for the non-finder (i.e., the robot that did not find the exit) to evacuate is at least

$$e - 2\left(\frac{e}{2} - \frac{e}{2e\log(d+1)+2}\right) = \frac{e}{e\log(d+1)+1}.$$

The non-finder is informed of d, and hence can choose constant speed r so as to use exactly all of the leftover energy, i.e. by choosing r satisfying

$$\int_0^{2d} r^2\mathrm{d}x = \frac{e}{e\log(d+1)+1}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ is also a feasible solution to problem $\mathrm{ME}_d^b(e)$. Solving for r gives the value declared at the statement of the theorem. Finally, choosing this specific value of r, the non-finder needs additional $2d/r$ time to evacuate, which is at most

$$(2d)^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{(e\log(d+1)+1)}{e}} \leq (2d)^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\log(d+1)}{e}} \leq d^{3/2}\log d,$$

where the last inequality holds for big enough d, since e is constant. So the overall evacuation time is no more than $2d^{3/2}\log d$, for big enough d, as promised. $\square$

It remains to address the case $e > 1$. For this, we recall that we solve $\mathrm{TE}_d^b(e)$ for large enough values of d, and we modify our solution so as to choose functional speed

$$\bar{s}(x) := \min\{s(x), 1\},$$

effectively using even less energy than before. The distance that is traversed at speed 1 depends only on constant e, and hence the additional evacuation time is $O(1)$ with respect to d.

4. Minimizing evacuation time with bounded linear total evacuation energy

In this section we study the problem $\mathrm{TE}_d^1(\Delta)$ of minimizing the evacuation time, where $\Delta = ed$ for some constant e. We show how to choose optimal speed values s, r for algorithm $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$. Note that even though d is unknown to the algorithm, speeds s, r may depend on the known constant e, and the maximum speed $b = 1$.

In this section we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. Let $\delta = 2 + \sqrt[3]{2} \approx 3.25992$. For every constant $e \in \mathbb{R}_+$, problem $\mathrm{TE}_d^1(ed)$ admits a solution by $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$, where speeds s, r are chosen as follows

	$e < \delta$	$e \in [\delta, 4)$	$e \geq 4$
s	$\sqrt{\frac{e}{2(1+2^{2/3})}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{e-2}{2}}$	1
r	$\sqrt{\frac{e}{(2+2^{1/3})}}$	1	1

The induced evacuation time is given by $g(e)d$ where $g(e)$ is given by:

$$g(e) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{\dfrac{(2+2^{1/3})^3}{e}} & , e < \delta \\ 2 + \sqrt{\dfrac{2}{e-2}} & , e \in [\delta, 4) \\ 3 & , e \geq 4 \end{cases}$$

First we observe that, given the values of $s = s(e), r = r(e)$, it is a matter of straightforward calculations to verify, assuming they are feasible and optimal, that the induced evacuation time is indeed equal to $g(e)d$ as promised. Given Lemma 2.5, we know that the optimal speed choices for algorithm $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$, for problem $\text{TE}_d^1(ed)$ are obtained as the solution to the following NLP.

$$\min_{s,r \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{s} + \frac{2}{r} \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (\text{NLP}_e')$$
$$\text{s.t. } 2(s^2 + r^2) \leq e$$
$$0 \leq s, r \leq 1$$

The optimal solutions to NLP_e' can be obtained by solving complicated algebraic systems and by invoking KKT conditions, for the various values of e, as we also did for NLP_c^b. However, the advantage is that one can map the optimal solutions to NLP_c^1, see Theorem 2.4 and use $b = 1$, to feasible solutions to NLP_e'. Then, we just need to *verify* first-order optimality conditions for the candidate optimizers. Since the NLP is convex, these should also be unique global optimizers.

Indeed, one of the critical structural properties pertaining to the optimizers of NLP_c^1 is that the time constraint $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{2}{r} \leq cd$ is satisfied *tightly*. At the same time, the optimal speed values, as described in Theorem 2.4, as a function of c, achieve evacuation energy equal to $f(c)d\frac{2}{c^2}$. Attempting to find the correspondence between parameters c, e (and problems NLP_c^1, NLP_e'), we consider the transformation $f(c)\frac{2}{c^2} = e$. For the various cases of the piece-wise function f, the transformation gives rise to the piece-wise function g and optimal speeds s, r (as a function of e) of Theorem 4.1.

Overall, the previous approach provides just a mapping between the provable optimizers $s(c), r(c)$ to NLP_c^1, and candidate solutions $s(e), r(e)$ to NLP_e', and more importantly, it saves us from solving complicated algebraic systems induced by KKT conditions. What we verify next (which is much easier), is that feasibility and KKT conditions are indeed satisfied for the obtained candidate solutions $s(e), r(e)$. Since the NLP is convex, that also shows that $s(e), r(e)$, as stated in Theorem 4.1 are actually global optimizers to NLP_e'.

Lemma 4.2. *For every $e \in \mathbb{R}_+$, speeds $s(e), r(e)$, as they are defined in Theorem 4.1, are feasible to NLP_e'.*

Proof. (Lemma 4.2) Speeds $s = s(e)$ and $r = r(e)$ are clearly non negative. Next we verify that they never attain value more than 1. We examine two cases. When $e < 2 + \sqrt[3]{2}$, it is easy to see that $r/s = \sqrt[3]{2}$. Hence, it is enough to check that $r \leq 1$, which is immediate from the formula of $r = r(e)$. In the other case, we assume $e \in [\delta, 4)$. Speed r is clearly at most 1, as well as $s = \sqrt{(e-2)/2} \leq \sqrt{(4-2)/2} = 1$.

Next we verify that the given speeds comply with the evacuation energy bounds. When $e < 2 + \sqrt[3]{2}$ we have

$$2(s^2 + r^2) = 2e \left(\frac{1}{2(1 + 2^{2/3})} + \frac{1}{(2 + 2^{1/3})} \right) = e.$$

When $e \in [\delta, 4)$ we have

$$2(s^2 + r^2) = 2e \left(\frac{e-2}{2} + 1 \right) = e.$$

Lastly, when $e \geq 4$ both speeds are 1, and clearly, $2(s^2 + r^2) = 4 \leq e$ as wanted. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *For every $e \in \mathbb{R}_+$, speeds $s(e), r(e)$, as stated in Theorem 4.1, are the optimal solutions to NLP_e'.*

Proof. (Lemma 4.3) For every $e \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we verify that speeds $s(e), r(e)$ satisfy first-order optimality conditions. Since NLP_e' is convex, that would imply that $s(e), r(e)$ are the unique optimizers.

First we observe that the energy inequality constraint is always tight (verified within the proof of Lemma 4.2). Apart from that constraint, let $I(e)$ (possibly empty) denote the set of constraints, among $s, r \leq 1$, which are tight for the specific candidate optimizer $s(e), r(e)$, and for a specific value of e. For $i \in I$ we denote the corresponding constraint by $g_i(s, r) \leq 1$.

When $e < 2 + \sqrt[3]{2}$, the bound constraint is the only constraint which is tight. Therefore KKT conditions are satisfied as long as there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$-\nabla\left(\frac{1}{s}+\frac{2}{r}\right)=\lambda\nabla 2(s^2+r^2)\Leftrightarrow\begin{pmatrix}1/s^2\\2/r^2\end{pmatrix}=\lambda\begin{pmatrix}4s\\4r\end{pmatrix}$$

A solution exists as long as $2s^3=r^3$, which is indeed, the case, which also implies that $\lambda=1/(4s^3)\geq 0$.

When $e\in[2+\sqrt[3]{2},4)$, the bound constraint and constraint $r\leq 1$ are tight. Therefore KKT conditions are satisfied as long as there exist $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\geq 0$ such that

$$-\nabla\left(\frac{1}{s}+\frac{2}{r}\right)=\lambda_1\nabla 2(s^2+r^2)+\lambda_2\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}\Leftrightarrow\begin{pmatrix}1/s^2\\2/r^2\end{pmatrix}=\lambda_1\begin{pmatrix}4s\\4r\end{pmatrix}+\lambda_2\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}$$

Solving for λ_1,λ_2, and using the provided values for $s=s(e)$ and $r=r(e)$ we obtain

$$\lambda_1=\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{1+2^{2/3}}{e}\right)^{3/2},\quad \lambda_2=2-2\left(\frac{2+\sqrt[3]{2}}{e}\right)^{3/2},$$

and clearly both values are nonnegative when $e\geq 2+\sqrt[3]{2}$.

Lastly, for the first-order optimality conditions, when $e\geq 1$, all (but the non-negativity constraints) are tight. Therefore KKT conditions are satisfied as long as there exist $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3\geq 0$ such that

$$-\nabla\left(\frac{1}{s}+\frac{2}{r}\right)=\lambda_1\nabla 2(s^2+r^2)+\lambda_2\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}+\lambda_3\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow\begin{pmatrix}1/s^2\\2/r^2\end{pmatrix}=\lambda_1\begin{pmatrix}4s\\4r\end{pmatrix}+\lambda_2\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}+\lambda_3\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}$$

Since $r=s=1$, the above system simplifies to

$$1=4\lambda_1+\lambda_2$$
$$2=4\lambda_1+\lambda_3$$

which admits the solution $\lambda_1=1/4\geq 0,\lambda_2=0,\lambda_3=1\geq 0$. $\quad\square$

5. Minimizing evacuation time with bounded linear makespan evacuation energy

In this section we study the problem $\text{ME}_d^1(\Delta)$ of minimizing the evacuation time, given that the makespan evacuation energy $\Delta=ed$ for some constant e. We show how to choose optimal speed values s,r for algorithm $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$. Note that even though d is unknown to the algorithm, speeds s,r may depend on the known value e, and the maximum speed $b=1$.

Theorem 5.1. *For every constant $e\in\mathbb{R}_+$, problem $\text{ME}_d^1(ed)$ admits a solution by $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$, where speeds s,r are chosen as follows*

	$e<3$	$e\geq 3$
s	$\sqrt{\frac{e}{3}}$	1
r	$\sqrt{\frac{e}{3}}$	1

The induced evacuation time is given by $g(e)d$ where

$$g(e):=\begin{cases}3\sqrt{\frac{3}{e}} & ,e<3\\3 & ,e\geq 3\end{cases}$$

Proof. (Theorem 5.1) What distinguishes the performance, and feasibility, of $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ between $\text{TE}_d^1(ed)$ and $\text{ME}_d^1(ed)$, is that in the former, the total evacuation energy (equal to $d(2s^2+2r^2)$) is bounded by e, while in the latter the makespan evacuation energy (equal to $d(s^2+2r^2)$) is bounded by e. Hence, similar to the analysis for $\text{TE}_d^1(ed)$, the optimal speed choices for $\mathcal{N}_{s,r}$ to $\text{ME}_d^1(ed)$ are the optimal solutions to the following NLP.

$$\min_{s,r\in\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{s}+\frac{2}{r}\qquad\qquad\text{(NLP}_e''\text{)}$$
$$\text{s.t. } s^2+2r^2\leq e$$
$$0\leq s,r\leq 1$$

Note that NLP''_e is convex, hence any choice of feasible speeds satisfying first-order optimality (KKT) conditions is also the unique global minimizer. Moreover, the choices of s, r of the statement of the theorem are clearly feasible to NLP''_e. Hence, it suffices to show that the choices of s, r do indeed satisfy KKT conditions.

When $e < 3$ we note that the energy constraint is tight, while both speed constraints are not tight. Hence, s, r are the unique optimizers if there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ satisfying

$$-\nabla\left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{2}{r}\right) = \lambda \nabla(s^2 + 2r^2) \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1/s^2 \\ 2/r^2 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 2s \\ 4r \end{pmatrix}$$

from which we conclude that $\lambda = 1/(2s^3) = 1/(2r^3) > 0$ as wanted (for $s = r = \sqrt{e/3}$).

When $e \geq 3$ we note that the speed constraints are both tight, while the energy constraint is tight only when $e = 3$. In that case, it suffices to show that there exist nonnegative λ_1, λ_2 satisfying

$$-\nabla\left(\frac{1}{s} + \frac{2}{r}\right) = \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly, $\lambda_1 = 1/s^2 = 1 > 0$ and $\lambda_2 = 2/r^2 = 2 > 0$, which concludes the proof. □

6. Conclusion

We considered a variant of the well-studied linear search problem in the wireless communication model where two robots try to reach a hidden exit on the line. The novelty of our work is the study of the total evacuation energy, a new measure of solution quality that was first introduced in [12]. The new measure, together with the traditional objective of minimizing the evacuation time, gives rise to a challenging multi-objective optimization problem. We analyze the problem by minimizing one of the objectives while converting the other objective to a bounded constraint on the search problem, e.g., minimizing evacuation time subject to bounded evacuation energy. Somewhat surprisingly, even though the search domain is unbounded, we show that there is indeed a feasible solution to the problem even when the evacuation energy is restricted to be constant.

While our definition of the evacuation energy is inspired by fundamental principles of physics, the definition does not consider any additional energy expended to change the direction of motion or to arbitrarily adjust the speed. Alternative formulations of energy consumption that incorporate these considerations may give rise to new multi-objective optimization problems that will be studied in future work.

Our search problem was considered under the wireless communication model, while the face-to-face model was studied by the same authors in [12]. It would be worthwhile to explore other communication models, such as the pebble model or the blackboard model, for other geometric search domains like a unit disk, the plane, or a graph, and for a given number of searchers. Likewise, one may inject uncertainty into the problem by either allowing faulty robots and/or performing average case instead of worst case analysis. Finally, improving upon the trade-offs we established in this work remains an interesting open problem.

Declaration of competing interest

Nothing to report.

Acknowledgements

References

[1] R. Ahlswede, I. Wegener, Search Problems, Wiley-Interscience, 1987.
[2] S. Alpern, S. Gal, The Theory of Search Games and Rendezvous, Springer, 2003.
[3] R. Baeza Yates, J. Culberson, G. Rawlins, Searching in the plane, Inf. Comput. 106 (2) (1993) 234–252.
[4] G.K. Batchelor, An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
[5] A. Beck, On the linear search problem, Isr. J. Math. 2 (4) (1964) 221–228.
[6] R. Bellman, An optimal search, SIAM Rev. 5 (3) (1963) 274.
[7] A. Bonato, G. Konstantinos, C. MacRury, P. Pralat, Probabilistically faulty searching on a half-line, in: 14th Latin American Theoretical Informatics Symposium, LATIN'20, in: LNCS, Springer, 2020, in press.
[8] S. Brandt, F. Laufenberg, Y. Lv, D. Stolz, R. Wattenhofer, Collaboration without communication: evacuating two robots from a disk, in: Proceedings of Algorithms and Complexity - 10th International Conference, CIAC, in: LNCS, vol. 10236, Springer, 2017, pp. 104–115.
[9] M. Chrobak, L. Gasieniec, T. Gorry, R. Martin, Group search on the line, in: Proceedings of SOFSEM 2015, in: LNCS, vol. 8939, Springer, 2015, pp. 164–176.
[10] H. Chuangpishit, K. Georgiou, P. Sharma, Average case - worst case tradeoffs for evacuating 2 robots from the disk in the face-to-face model, in: Proceedings of ALGOSENSORS'18, in: LNCS, vol. 11410, Springer, 2018, pp. 62–82.
[11] J. Czyzowicz, L. Gasieniec, T. Gorry, E. Kranakis, R. Martin, D. Pajak, Evacuating robots via unknown exit in a disk, in: Proceedings of DISC, in: LNCS, vol. 8784, Springer, 2014, pp. 122–136.

[12] J. Czyzowicz, K. Georgiou, R. Killick, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, M. Lafond, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, S. Shende, Energy consumption of group search on a line, in: 46th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming, ICALP 2019, in: LIPIcs, vol. 132, 2019, 137.

[13] J. Czyzowicz, K. Georgiou, R. Killick, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, M. Lafond, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, S. Shende, Time-energy tradeoffs for evacuation by two robots in the wireless model, in: Proceedings of Structural Information and Communication Complexity, SIROCCO, in: LNCS, vol. 11636, 2019, pp. 185–199.

[14] J. Czyzowicz, K. Georgiou, R. Killick, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, S. Shende, God save the queen, in: Proceedings of 9th International Conference on Fun with Algorithms, FUN'18, in: LIPIcs, vol. 100, 2018, 16.

[15] J. Czyzowicz, K. Georgiou, R. Killick, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, S. Shende, Priority evacuation from a disk using mobile robots, in: Proceedings of 25th International Colloquium on Structural Information and Communication Complexity, in: LNCS, vol. 11085, 2018, pp. 392–407.

[16] J. Czyzowicz, K. Georgiou, R. Killick, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, S. Shende, Priority evacuation from a disk using mobile robots, in: International Colloquium on Structural Information and Communication Complexity, SIROCCO, in: LNCS, vol. 11085, Springer, 2018, pp. 392–407.

[17] J. Czyzowicz, K. Georgiou, R. Killick, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, S. Shende, Priority evacuation from a disk: the case of $n = 1, 2, 3$, Theor. Comput. Sci. 806 (2020) 595–616.

[18] J. Czyzowicz, K. Georgiou, E. Kranakis, Group search and evacuation, in: Paola Flocchini, Giuseppe Prencipe, Nicola Santoro (Eds.), Distributed Computing by Mobile Entities; Current Research in Moving and Computing, Springer, 2019, pp. 335–370, chapter 14.

[19] J. Czyzowicz, K. Georgiou, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, S. Shende, Search on a line by byzantine robots, in: Proceedings of 27th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation, ISAAC, in: LIPIcs, vol. 64, 2016, 27.

[20] J. Czyzowicz, K. Georgiou, E. Kranakis, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, B. Vogtenhuber, Evacuating robots from a disk using face-to-face communication, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 22 (4) (August 2020).

[21] J. Czyzowicz, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, Search on a line with faulty robots, in: Proceedings of ACM Symposium on PODC, ACM, 2016, pp. 405–413.

[22] J. Czyzowicz, E. Kranakis, D. Krizanc, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, M. Shende, Linear search with terrain-dependent speeds, in: Proceedings of 10th International Conference on Algorithms and Complexity, CIAC, in: LNCS, vol. 10236, 2017, pp. 430–441.

[23] J. Czyzowicz, E. Kranakis, K. Krizanc, L. Narayanan, J. Opatrny, S. Shende, Wireless autonomous robot evacuation from equilateral triangles and squares, in: Proceedings of ADHOCNOW, in: LNCS, vol. 9143, 2015, pp. 181–194.

[24] E.D. Demaine, S.P. Fekete, S. Gal, Online searching with turn cost, Theor. Comput. Sci. 361 (2) (2006) 342–355.

[25] K. Georgiou, G. Karakostas, E. Kranakis, Search-and-fetch with one robot on a disk - (track: wireless and geometry), in: Proceedings of 12th International Symposium on Algorithms and Experiments for Wireless Sensor Networks, ALGOSENSORS 2016, Revised Selected Papers, in: LNCS, vol. 10050, 2016, pp. 80–94.

[26] K. Georgiou, G. Karakostas, E. Kranakis, Search-and-fetch with 2 robots on a disk - wireless and face-to-face communication models, in: Federico Liberatore, Greg H. Parlier, Marc Demange (Eds.), Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Operations Research and Enterprise Systems, ICORES 2017, SciTePress, 2017, pp. 15–26.

[27] K. Georgiou, E. Kranakis, N. Leonardos, A. Pagourtzis, I. Papaioannou, Optimal circle search despite the presence of faulty robots, in: Proceedings of International Symposium on Algorithms and Experiments for Sensor Systems, Wireless Networks and Distributed Robotics, ALGOSENSORS'19, in: LNCS, vol. 11031, Springer, 2019, pp. 192–205.

[28] K. Georgiou, J. Lucier, Weighted group search on a line, in: International Symposium on Algorithms and Experiments for Sensor Systems, Wireless Networks and Distributed Robotics, ALGOSENSORS'20, in: LNCS, Springer, 2020.

[29] M.-Y. Kao, J.H. Reif, S.R. Tate, Searching in an unknown environment: an optimal randomized algorithm for the cow-path problem, Inf. Comput. 131 (1) (1996) 63–79.

[30] L. Stone, Theory of Optimal Search, Academic Press, New York, 1975.