

Copper Catalyzed C(sp³)-H Methylation via Radical Relay

Bryan C. Figula,[†] Ting-An Chen,^{†,‡} Jeffery A. Bertke,[†] and Timothy H. Warren^{†,‡,*}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Georgetown University, Box 571227–1227, Washington, D.C. 20057, United States.

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, United States.

ABSTRACT: The methyl moiety is a key functional group that can result in major improvements of the potency and selectivity of pharmaceutical agents. We present a radical relay C-H methylation methodology that employs a β -diketiminato copper catalyst capable of methylating unactivated C(sp³)-H bonds. Taking advantage of the bench stable DABAL-Me₃, an amine stabilized trimethylaluminum reagent, methylation of a range of substrates possessing both activated and unactivated C(sp³)-H bonds proceeds with minimal amount of overmethylation. Mechanistic studies supported by both experiment and computation suggest the intermediacy of a copper(II) methyl intermediate reactive towards both the loss of the methyl radical as well capture of radicals R• to form R-Me bonds.

The methyl group is one of the smallest, yet most common functional groups in chemistry. The addition of a methyl group has the ability to alter steric and conformational properties without significantly affecting the electronic characteristics of a molecule.¹ In some cases, large conformational changes occur upon addition of this functional group, leading to greater efficacy of pharmaceutical agents.² This effect, dubbed the “magic methyl” effect creates an increased demand for protocols to install a methyl group, especially in weaker nonactivated C-H bonds.

C-H functionalization has enabled the installation of the methyl groups in a wide variety of settings via directed C(sp²)-H activation^{3,4} or radical generating mechanisms.^{5,6} Oxidative C(sp³)-H methylation, however, has been far less explored and typically requires directing groups.^{7–9} Recently undirected C(sp³)-H methylation has been reported employing activated C-H bonds α to heteroatoms (Figure 1a).^{10,11} In these protocols, C(sp³)-H bonds are selectively oxidized to C-OH or C-OR moieties that serve as synthetic handles for subsequent methylation to provide products with C-Me bonds. Since these methods typically target activated, weaker C-H bonds, methylation of non-activated C(sp³)-H bonds poses a considerable challenge.

Employing a substrate-bound directing group, Sharma utilized a [Cp*Rh(III)] catalyst to methylate 8-methylquinolines with organoboron reagents (Figure 1b).¹² The undirected methylation of simple substrates has been recently performed by Li, using p-typed doped gallium nitride nanowires to methylate simple hydrocarbons via methylene intermediates such as cyclopentane and n-hexane (Figure 1c).¹³ Recently, Stahl has realized C(sp³)-H methylation using Ni and Ir catalysts under photoredox catalysis with ^tBuOO^tBu serving as a HAT reagent and a source of CH₃ radicals (Figure 1d).¹⁴ While recent reports begin to address nonactivated C(sp³)-H methylation,¹³ new approaches are still needed for this important synthetic opportunity.

Building upon the Kharasch-Sosnovsky reaction,^{15–17} we have developed various C-H functionalization protocols that




Figure 1. (a) Activated C(sp³)-H methylation. (b) Directed C(sp³)-H methylation. (c) Unactivated C(sp³)-H methylation. (d) Photoredox C(sp³)-H methylation.

convert sp³ C-H bonds to C-N and C-O bonds employing amides,¹⁸ anilides^{19,20} and acyl-protected phenols²¹ that result in β -diketiminato stabilized [Cu^{II}]-FG intermediates in radical relay catalysis²² (Figure 2a). Recently, we have shown that copper(II) complexes with Cu-C bonds also function in this radical relay protocol. For instance, terminal alkynes can be utilized in the alkynylation of sp³ C-H substrates R-H to give R-C $\equiv$ CAr via an isolable three coordinate copper(II) alkynyl intermediate




Figure 2. (a) Radical relay mechanism for C-N, C-O, and C-C bond forming reactions. (b) Proposed Cu-alkynyl, Cu-aryl, and Cu-methyl intermediates in $C_{sp}-C_{sp3}$, $C_{sp2}-C_{sp3}$ and $C_{sp3}-C_{sp3}$ bond forming reactions. (c) Calculated Cu-C bond free energies (kcal/mol) for proposed Cu-alkynyl, Cu-aryl, and Cu-methyl intermediates.

$[tPr_2NN]Cu-C\equiv CAr$ (**1**) (Figure 2b) capable of capture of radicals such as $Ph_3C\cdot$ to give $Ph_3C-C\equiv CAr$.²³ This enables C-H alkynylation of benzylic substrates R-H with $tBuOO^tBu$ as oxidant to give products R-C $\equiv$ CAr in good yield that competes with bimolecular coupling to give the diynes $ArC\equiv C-C\equiv CAr$.

Thus, copper(II) organometallic complexes can serve in radical relay catalysis for C-C bond formation. DFT studies in support of recently developed C-H arylation protocol that converts benzylic sp^3 C-H bonds in substrates R-H to R-C $_6$ F $_5$ suggests formation of $[Cu^{II}]-C_6F_5$ intermediates via acid-base exchange between $[Cu^{II}]-O^tBu$ and the mildly acidic C-H bond in H-C $_6$ F $_5$.²⁴ Earlier synthetic studies enabled the isolation of β -diketiminato copper(II) aryl complex $[Me_2NNF_6]Cu-C_6F_5$ (**2**) via transmetalation between $[Cu^{II}]-O^tBu$ and $B(C_6F_5)_3$.²⁵ These studies reveal that $[Cu^{II}]-C_6F_5$ intermediates such as **2** are more stable than non-fluorinated $[Cu^{II}]-C_6H_5$ with higher Cu-C BDFE's calculated by DFT (56.2 vs. 30 kcal/mol) (Figure 2b and 2c). Each decomposes to the corresponding biaryl Ar-Ar, likely via a redox disproportionation pathway that enables formation of $[Cu]Ar_2$ species with low barriers to Ar-Ar coupling.²⁵

To enable a related copper catalyzed sp^3 C-H methylation methodology via a copper(II) methyl intermediate such as $[Cl_2NN]Cu-Me$ (**3**), we began by exploring Lewis acidic methylating reagents capable of reaction with copper(II) t -butoxide intermediates $[Cu^{II}]-O^tBu$ formed upon activation of copper(I) β -diketiminates with $tBuOO^tBu$ (Figure 2a, step i).²⁶ During the screening of various reagents that included $AlMe_3$ and $ZnMe_2$ (Table S1), we identified 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane, DABAL- Me_3 ,^{26,28} as an attractive methylating reagent. Initial investigations showed an 80% yield for methylation of 4-bromotoluene based on 1 equiv. DABAL- Me_3 that includes 20 equiv. C-H substrate and 5 equiv. $tBuOO^tBu$ oxidant with 10 mol% of

Table 1. Copper catalyzed C-H methylation of various C-H substrates by DABAL- Me_3 .

Entry	Catalyst	(X, R ¹ , R ²)	Yield (%)
1.	$[Cl_2NN]Cu$	1a (Me, Cl, H)	80
2.	$[Me_3NN]Cu$	1b (Me, Me, Me)	60
3.	$[(OMe)_2NN]Cu$	1c (Me, OMe, H)	25
4.	$[Cl_2NNF_6]Cu$	1d (CF ₃ , Cl, H)	49
5.	$[tPr_2NNF_6]Cu$	1e (CF ₃ , iPr, H)	29
6.	$[Me_2NNF_6]Cu$	1f (CF ₃ , Me, H)	49
7.	No catalyst		9

Conditions: 20 equiv. R-H, neat, -35 °C then heated to 100 °C, 16 h. All yields were determined by ¹H NMR.

$[Cl_2NN]Cu^I$ (henceforth denoted $[Cu^I]$), a commercially available β -diketiminato catalyst (Table S1). Heating the reactions to 100 °C also improved yields. With our methylation source in hand, we began screening a range of copper (I) β -diketiminato catalysts (Table 1). Utilizing more electron rich catalysts **1b** and **1c** did not improve yields, whereas more electron poor **1d** – **1f** catalysts also showed a diminished product formation. Focusing on $[Cl_2NN]Cu$ as catalyst, we find that increasing the catalyst loading from 1 – 10 mol% increases the yield of C-H methylation with ethylbenzene (Table S2.) While DABAL- Me_3 could potentially deliver multiple Me groups in the presence of excess oxidant, we report yields relative to the use of 1 equiv. DABAL- Me_3 .

Table 2. Copper catalyzed C-H methylation of various C-H substrates by DABAL- Me_3 .

Entry	Yield (%)	GC/MS Yield (%)
4a	71%	(59%)
4b	45%	(45%)
4c	7%	(7%)
4d	80%	(97%)
4e	46%	(53%)
4f	66%	(59%)
4g	55%	(50%)
4h	80%	(71%)
4i	31%	(31%)
4j	68%	(63%)
4k	84%	(78%)
4l	48%	(42%)
4m	50%	(52%)
4n	47%	(50%)
4o	36%	(30%)
4p	20%	(25%)

Conditions: 20 equiv. R-H, neat, -35 °C then heated to 100 °C, 16 h. All yields were determined by ¹H NMR. GC/MS yields are noted in parentheses.

We then began to investigate the efficacy of this methodology with various C(sp³)-H substrates. For consistency, we report NMR and GC yields directly measured from the reaction mixtures (Table 2). Primary benzylic substrates are particularly good substrates for this methylation protocol (**4a**, **4d**, **4f**, **4h**). Despite their lower C-H bond strengths, secondary and tertiary benzylic substrates often exhibited lower yields (**4b**, **4e**, **4g**, **4i**). Accordingly, we see very little over-methylation of primary benzylic substrates (< 2%). A modest range of substrates possessing sp³ C-H bonds α to heteroatoms gave moderate to good yields of C-H methylation products **4m** – **4p**. Notably, non-activated sp³ C-H substrates such as cyclohexane and cyclooctane provided methylated cycloalkanes **4k** and **4l** in good to moderate yields. Use of DABAL-Me₃-d₁₈ derived from Al(CD₃)₃ in the C-H methylation of toluene results in PhCH₂CD₃, confirming that the added methyl group in the product is derived from the DABAL-Me₃ reagent (Figure S36).

Based on previous synthetic studies with copper(II) β -diketiminato *t*-butoxide complexes [Cu^{II}]-O^{*t*}Bu, we anticipated that the reaction of [Cu^{II}]-O^{*t*}Bu and DABAL-Me₃ would result in a transmetalation leading to a [Cu^{II}]-CH₃ intermediate **3**. Addition of DABAL-Me₃ to [Cl₂NN]Cu-O^{*t*}Bu results in rapid decay of the band at λ = 470 nm without the observation of any new optical band consistent with a long-lived copper(II) species. Thus, any [Cl₂NN]Cu-Me (**3**) intermediate would have a very short lifetime. Additionally, we note the copious generation of gas upon addition of DABAL-Me₃ to [Cu^{II}]-O^{*t*}Bu when carried out on synthetic scales at room temperature.

We studied the formation of these gases through stoichiometric reaction of [Cu^{II}]-O^{*t*}Bu with DABAL-Me₃ in toluene (Figure 3a). We observe significant generation of methane and




Figure 3. Analyzing the reactivity of DABAL-Me₃ under catalytically relevant conditions. (a) Reactions between [Cu^{II}]-O^{*t*}Bu and DABAL-Me₃. (b) Catalytic C-H methylation with toluene. (c) Alcoholysis of DABAL-Me₃.

ethane that could proceed via Me• radicals. Moreover, the formation of ethylbenzene suggests that Me• radicals could engage in H-atom transfer with PhCH₂-H to generate methane (Me-H) and a toluene radical (PhCH₂•); previous studies have demonstrated that [Cl₂NN]Cu-O^{*t*}Bu is unreactive towards benzylic C-H bonds.²⁰ Inclusion of ^{*t*}BuOO^{*t*}Bu enhances the sp³ C-H methylation of toluene, though Me• and PhCH₂• radical homocoupling also occurs (Figure 3b). We speculate that the generation of benzylic radicals through HAT from toluene to the •O^{*t*}Bu radical facilitates benzylic C-H methylation through more efficient production of benzyl radicals for capture via [Cu^{II}]-CH₃ intermediates. An additional route for Me-H formation involves the alcoholysis of DABAL-Me₃ with ^{*t*}BuOH generated in HAT reactions via •O^{*t*}Bu. Addition of excess ^{*t*}BuOH to a solution of DABAL-Me₃ results in quantitative formation of DABAL-(O^{*t*}Bu)₃ (**5**) (Figure 3c), isolated as colorless crystals and identified by X-ray crystallography (Figure S39).




Figure 4. DFT calculated thermodynamics and activation barriers for (a) methyl loss from [Cu]-Me, (b) H-atom transfer from ethylbenzene by Me• and •O^{*t*}Bu, (c) radical capture by [Cu]-Me along with DFT structures of (d) [Cu]-Me and (e) [Cu](Me)(CH₂Ph) calculated at the BP86+6-311G(d,p)/SMD-benzene//BP86/6-311+G(d) level of theory. Free energies in kcal/mol.

To investigate organometallic intermediates suggested to be highly reactive via our experimental studies, we employed DFT methods at the BP86+/6-311G(d,p)/SMD-benzene//BP86/6-311+G(d) level of theory. We began by examining the stability of $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$ intermediate **3** towards homolytic cleavage of its Cu-Me bond to give the methyl radical (Figure 4a). Release of $\text{Me}\cdot$ from the T-shaped d^9 complex²⁹ $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$ (Figure 4d and Figure S73) is uphill by 26.0 kcal/mol, disfavored by the instability of the two coordinate β -diketiminato copper(I) fragment $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}]$. Noting the affinity of copper(I) β -diketiminate for η^2 -arene coordination,^{30,31} we considered the concerted displacement of the methyl radical by an incoming molecule of benzene. This results in much less endergonic release of a methyl radical $\text{Me}\cdot$ (+7.2 kcal/mol) with a free energy of activation of only 12.4 kcal/mol (Figure 4a).

Recognizing that the $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$ intermediate can readily generate $\text{Me}\cdot$ radicals, we briefly computationally compared activation barriers for H-atom abstraction (HAA) from sp^3 C-H substrates R-H by $\text{Me}\cdot$ and $\cdot\text{O}^t\text{Bu}$ generated in the presence of $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}]$ and $^t\text{BuOO}^t\text{Bu}$ (Figure 4b; Table S8).²⁶ At this level of theory, thermodynamic and activation parameters for abstraction of a benzylic hydrogen atom from ethylbenzene by a methyl radical ($\Delta G = -20.3$ kcal/mol, $\Delta G^\ddagger = 8.9$ kcal/mol) are larger than the *t*-butoxy radical ($\Delta G = -17.8$ kcal/mol, $\Delta G^\ddagger = 4.8$ kcal/mol). These computational findings reflect the experimentally observed trend of a more favorable activation by the $^t\text{BuO}\cdot$ vs. the $\text{Me}\cdot$ radicals.^{32,33} HAT by $\text{Me}\cdot$ represents one pathway for experimentally observed methane formation (Figure 3a) while HAT by $\cdot\text{O}^t\text{Bu}$ forms $^t\text{BuOH}$.

Computational studies reveal that the copper(II) methyl intermediate $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$ captures alkyl radicals $\text{R}\cdot$ extremely efficiently to provide distorted square planar $[\text{Cu}^{\text{III}}](\text{Me})(\text{R})$ intermediates with τ_4 values between 0.30 and 0.60 ($\tau_4 = 0$, square planar; $\tau_4 = 1$, tetrahedral)³⁴ susceptible to reductive elimination of R-Me products (Figure 4c, 4e). While the capture of a $\text{Me}\cdot$ radical by $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$ to form $[\text{Cu}^{\text{III}}]\text{Me}_2$ is thermodynamically most favored ($\Delta G = -31.9$ kcal/mol), capture of 1° , 2° , and 3° benzylic radicals decreases in exergonicity ($\Delta G = -20.7$, -17.2 , -7.2 kcal/mol, respectively) with increasing size and stability of the alkyl radical $\text{R}\cdot$.

Relaxed potential energy scans reveal no significant barrier for radical capture by $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$. The T-shaped geometry of the $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$ (Figure 4d), similar to $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-C}_6\text{F}_5$, leaves the copper center poised for capture of alkyl radicals $\text{R}\cdot$ to form the square planar $[\text{Cu}^{\text{III}}](\text{Me})(\text{R})$ (Figure 4e). In each case, reductive elimination from $[\text{Cu}^{\text{III}}](\text{Me})(\text{R})$ species to form $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}]$ and Me-R is facile with minute barriers of 2.8 – 5.4 kcal/mol.

C(sp^3)-H methylation can occur via copper-catalyzed radical relay employing $^t\text{BuOO}^t\text{Bu}$ as oxidant similar to other C-H functionalization reactions that form C-N, C-O, and C-C bonds (Figure 2).^{18–22} In contrast to other protocols that involve relatively stable $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-FG}$ intermediates, experimental and computational studies suggest that the $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$ generates the $\text{Me}\cdot$ radical capable of H-atom abstraction of sp^3 C-H substrates R-H to form radicals $\text{R}\cdot$.³⁵ At the same time, $\text{Me}\cdot$ radicals generated may compete with $\text{R}\cdot$ for capture by $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$ (**3**) to form $[\text{Cu}^{\text{III}}](\text{Me})(\text{R})$ intermediates ($\text{R} = \text{Me}$ or alkyl) that undergo facile reductive elimination that can lead to non-productive methyl group usage ($\text{R} = \text{Me}$). Solely based on sterics, we would anticipate slower capture of bulky 3° radicals such as $\text{PhC}(\cdot)\text{Me}_2$

as compared to 1° radicals $\text{PhCH}_2\cdot$ that could result in lower experimental yields of PhCMe_3 vs PhCH_2Me , especially if these radicals are competing for capture at $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$ with the small, reactive $\text{Me}\cdot$ radical. Thus, the decreasing exergonicity of radical capture for larger radicals such as the 3° benzylic $\text{PhC}(\cdot)\text{Me}_2$ (Figure 4c) may contribute to the lower experimental yields observed (Table 2, entry 4c).

Since H-atom abstraction can occur via either $^t\text{BuO}\cdot$ or $\text{Me}\cdot$ radicals that form strong $^t\text{BuO-H}$ and bonds (BDE = 105 and 104 kcal/mol, respectively), this system methylates unactivated 2° C-H bonds of cycloalkanes (BDE = 95–99 kcal/mol).³⁶ One pathway to consider in catalyst optimization is to decrease ability of an incoming arene to associatively displace a $\text{Me}\cdot$ radical from $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}]\text{-Me}$ to give $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}](\eta^2\text{-arene})$ and $\text{Me}\cdot$. Nonetheless, the volatility of the methane and ethane byproducts coupled with the ease of handling of DABAL- Me_3 results in a system that allows for the use of excess methylating reagent that generates easily separable gaseous products.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Timothy H. Warren – *Department of Chemistry, Georgetown University, Washington D.C.*; Present Address: Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, United States.

*E-mail: warre155@msu.edu

Authors

Bryan C. Figula – *Department of Chemistry, Georgetown University, Washington D.C.*

Ting-An Chen – *Department of Chemistry, Georgetown University, Washington D.C.*; Present Address: Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, United States.

Jeffery A. Bertke – *Department of Chemistry, Georgetown University, Washington D.C.*

ORCID

Bryan C. Figula: 0000-0003-3244-6077

Jeffery A. Bertke: 0000-0002-3419-5163

Timothy H. Warren: 0000-0001-9217-8890

Present Addresses

[‡]T.-A. C. and T.H.W. are currently located at the Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, United States.

Author Contributions

B.C.F. performed all experimental and most computational work; T.-A. C. carried out some computational work. B.C.F. and J. A. B. collected, solved, and refined crystallographic data. T.H.W. supervised the experimental and computational work. B.C.F. and T.H.W. wrote the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Experimental, X-ray structure and DFT calculation details (PDF)

X-ray data for DABAL-(O'Bu)₃ (**5**) (CIF)

XYZ coordinates of DFT calculated structures (TXT)

Acknowledgement

T.H.W. is grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE-1955942) for support of this work.

References

- Schönherr, H.; Cernak, T. Profound Methyl Effects in Drug Discovery and a Call for New C-H Methylation Reactions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 12256–12267.
- Aynedinova, D.; Callens, M. C.; Hicks, H. B.; Poh, C. Y. X.; Shennan, B. D. A.; Boyd, A. M.; Lim, Z. H.; Leitch, J. A.; Dixon, D. J. Installing the “Magic Methyl” – C–H Methylation in Synthesis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2021**, *50*, 5517–5563.
- Liu, D.; Yu, L.; Yu, Y.; Xia, Z.; Song, Z.; Liao, L.; Tan, Z.; Chen, X. Nickel-Catalyzed Ortho C-H Methylation of Aromatic Amides with Di-Tert-Butyl Peroxide as Methylation Reagent: Nickel-Catalyzed Ortho C-H Methylation of Aromatic Amides with Di-Tert-Butyl Peroxide as Methylation Reagent. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, *2019*, 6930–6934.
- Chen, X.-Y.; Sorensen, E. J. Pd-Catalyzed, Ortho C–H Methylation and Fluorination of Benzaldehydes Using Orphanic Acids as Transient Directing Groups. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 2789–2792.
- DiRocco, D. A.; Dykstra, K.; Krska, S.; Vachal, P.; Conway, D. V.; Tudge, M. Late-Stage Functionalization of Biologically Active Heterocycles Through Photoredox Catalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 4802–4806.
- Jin, J.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Alcohols as Alkylating Agents in Heteroarene C–H Functionalization. *Nature* **2015**, *525*, 87–90.
- Chen, X.; Goodhue, C. E.; Yu, J.-Q. Palladium-Catalyzed Alkylation of sp² and sp³ C–H Bonds with Methylboroxine and Alkylboronic Acids: Two Distinct C–H Activation Pathways. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 12634–12635.
- Zhang, S.-Y.; He, G.; Nack, W. A.; Zhao, Y.; Li, Q.; Chen, G. Palladium-Catalyzed Picolinamide-Directed Alkylation of Unactivated C(sp³)–H Bonds with Alkyl Iodides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 2124–2127.
- Sun, G.; Zou, X.; Wang, J.; Yang, W. Sulfur-Directed Palladium-Catalyzed C(sp³)–H α -Arylation of 3-Pyrrolines: Easy Access to Diverse Polysubstituted Pyrrolidines. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2020**, *7*, 666–671.
- Feng, K.; Quevedo, R. E.; Kohrt, J. T.; Oderinde, M. S.; Reilly, U.; White, M. C. Late-Stage Oxidative C(sp³)–H Methylation. *Nature* **2020**, *580*, 621–627.
- Novaes, L. F. T.; Ho, J. S. K.; Mao, K.; Liu, K.; Tanwar, M.; Neurock, M.; Villemure, E.; Terrett, J. A.; Lin, S. Exploring Electrochemical C(sp³)–H Oxidation for the Late-Stage Methylation of Complex Molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 1187–1197.
- Kumar, R.; Sharma, R.; Kumar, R.; Sharma, U. Cp*Rh(III)-Catalyzed Regioselective C(sp³)–H Methylation of 8-Methylquinolines with Organoborons. *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 305–309.
- Liu, M.; Qiu, Z.; Tan, L.; Rashid, R. T.; Chu, S.; Cen, Y.; Luo, Z.; Khaliullin, R. Z.; Mi, Z.; Li, C.-J. Photocatalytic Methylation of Nonactivated sp³ and sp² C–H Bonds Using Methanol on GaN. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10*, 6248–6253.
- Vasilopoulos, A.; Krska, S. W.; Stahl, S. S. C(sp³)–H Methylation Enabled by Peroxide Photosensitization and Ni-Mediated Radical Coupling. *Science* **2021**, *372*, 398–403.
- Kharasch, M. S.; Sosnovsky, G. The Reactions of *t*-Butyl Perbenzoate and Olefins—a Stereospecific Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 756–756.
- Kharasch, M. S.; Sosnovsky, G.; Yang, N. C. Reactions of *t*-Butyl Peresters. I. The Reaction of Peresters with Olefins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1959**, *81*, 5819–5824.
- Rawlinson, D. J.; Sosnovsky, G. One-Step Substitutive Acyloxylation at Carbon. Part I. Reactions Involving Peroxides. *Synthesis* **1972**, *1972*, 1–28.
- Wiese, S.; Badiei, Y. M.; Gephart, R. T.; Mossin, S.; Varonka, M. S.; Melzer, M. M.; Meyer, K.; Cundari, T. R.; Warren, T. H. Catalytic C–H Amination with Unactivated Amines through Copper(II) Amides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 8850–8855.
- Gephart, R. T.; Huang, D. L.; Aguila, M. J. B.; Schmidt, G.; Shahu, A.; Warren, T. H. Catalytic C–H Amination with Aromatic Amines. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 6488–6492.
- Jang, E. S.; McMullin, C. L.; Käß, M.; Meyer, K.; Cundari, T. R.; Warren, T. H. Copper(II) Anilides in sp³ C–H Amination. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 10930–10940.
- Salvador, T. K.; Arnett, C. H.; Kundu, S.; Sapiezynski, N. G.; Bertke, J. A.; Raghbi Boroujeni, M.; Warren, T. H. Copper Catalyzed sp³ C–H Etherification with Acyl Protected Phenols. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 16580–16583.
- Golden, D. L.; Suh, S.-H.; Stahl, S. S. Radical C(sp³)–H functionalization and cross-coupling reactions. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2022**, doi: 10.1038/s41570-022-00388-4.
- Bakhoda, A.; Okoromoba, O. E.; Greene, C.; Boroujeni, M. R.; Bertke, J. A.; Warren, T. H. Three-Coordinate Copper(II) Alkynyl Complex in C–C Bond Formation: The Sesquicentennial of the Glaser Coupling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 18483–18490.
- Xie, W.; Heo, J.; Kim, D.; Chang, S. Copper-Catalyzed Direct C–H Alkylation of Polyfluoroarenes by Using Hydrocarbons as an Alkylating Source. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 7487–7496.
- Kundu, S.; Greene, C.; Williams, K. D.; Salvador, T. K.; Bertke, J. A.; Cundari, T. R.; Warren, T. H. Three-Coordinate Copper(II) Aryls: Key Intermediates in C–O Bond Formation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 9112–9115.
- Gephart, R. T.; McMullin, C. L.; Sapiezynski, N. G.; Jang, E. S.; Aguila, M. J. B.; Cundari, T. R.; Warren, T. H. Reaction of Cu(I) with Dialkyl Peroxides: Cu(II)-Alkoxides, Alkoxy Radicals, and Catalytic C–H Etherification. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 17350–17353.
- Biswas, K.; Prieto, O.; Goldsmith, P. J.; Woodward, S. Remarkably Stable (Me₃Al)₂-DABCO and Stereoselective Nickel-Catalyzed AlR₃ (R=Me, Et) Additions to Aldehydes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 2232–2234.
- Shang, R.; Ilies, L.; Nakamura, E. Iron-Catalyzed Ortho C–H Methylation of Aromatics Bearing a Simple Carbonyl Group with Methylaluminum and Tridentate Phosphine Ligand. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 10132–10135.
- Eckert, N. A.; Dinescu, A.; Cundari, T. R.; Holland, P. L. A T-Shaped Three-Coordinate Nickel(I) Carbonyl Complex and the Geometric Preferences of Three-Coordinate d⁹ Complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 7702–7704.
- Bakhoda, A. (Gus); Jiang, Q.; Badiei, Y. M.; Bertke, J. A.; Cundari, T. R.; Warren, T. H. Copper-Catalyzed C(sp³)–H Amidation: Sterically Driven Primary and Secondary C–H Site-Selectivity. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 3421–3425.
- Sakhaei, Z.; Kundu, S.; Donnelly, J. M.; Bertke, J. A.; Kim, W. Y.; Warren, T. H. Nitric Oxide Release via Oxygen Atom Transfer from Nitrite at Copper. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 549–552.
- Finn, M.; Friedline, R.; Suleman, N. K.; Wohl, C. J.; Tanko, J. M. Chemistry of the *t*-Butoxyl Radical: Evidence That

Most Hydrogen Abstractions from Carbon Are Entropy-Controlled. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 7578–7584.

- (33) Burkley, I. B.; Rebbert, R. E. The Reactions of Methyl Radicals with Aromatic Compounds. I. Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Cumene. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1963**, *67*, 168–169.
- (34) Yang, L.; Powell, D. R.; Houser, R. P. Structural Variation in Copper Complexes with Pyridylmethylamide Ligands: Structural Analysis with a New Four-Coordinate Geometry Index, τ . *Dalton Trans* **2007**, No. 9, 955–964.
- (35) Leibler, I. N.-M.; Tekle-Smith, M. A.; Doyle, A. G. A General Strategy for C(sp³)-H Functionalization with Nucleophiles Using Methyl Radical as a Hydrogen Atom Abstractor. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 6950.
- (36) Luo, Y.-R. *Handbook of Bond Dissociation Energies in Organic Compounds*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2002.

