

LETTER • OPEN ACCESS

Dissolved and gaseous nitrogen losses in forests controlled by soil nutrient stoichiometry

To cite this article: Filip Oulehle *et al* 2021 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **16** 064025

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Maize yield and nitrate loss prediction with machine learning algorithms](#)
Mohsen Shahhosseini, Rafael A Martinez-Feria, Guiping Hu et al.
- [Reducing uncertainty in nitrogen budgets for African livestock systems](#)
M C Rufino, P Brandt, M Herrero et al.
- [Towards applying N balance as a sustainability indicator for the US Corn Belt: realistic achievable targets, spatio-temporal variability and policy implications](#)
S Sela, P B Woodbury, R Marjerson et al.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
LETTERS

LETTER

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED

25 March 2021

REVISED

29 April 2021

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

12 May 2021

PUBLISHED

24 May 2021

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence](#).

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Dissolved and gaseous nitrogen losses in forests controlled by soil nutrient stoichiometry

Filip Oulehle^{1,2} , Christine L Goodale³, Christopher D Evans⁴, Tomáš Chuman¹, Jakub Hruška^{1,2}, Pavel Krám^{1,2}, Tomáš Navrátil⁵, Miroslav Tesar⁶, Alexandr Ač², Otmar Urban² and Karolina Tahovská⁷¹ Czech Geological Survey, Klárov 131/3, 11821 Prague, Czech Republic² Global Change Research Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Bělidla 986/4a, 60300 Brno, Czech Republic³ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, United States of America⁴ UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, LL57 2UW Bangor, United Kingdom⁵ Institute of Geology of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Rozvojová 269, 6, 165 00 Prague, Czech Republic⁶ Institute of Hydrodynamics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Pod Patankou 30/5, 166 12 Prague, Czech Republic⁷ Department of Ecosystem Biology, Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, Branišovská 31, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech RepublicE-mail: filip.oulehle@geology.cz**Keywords:** nitrogen, catchment, isotope, mass balance, denitrification, carbon, sequestrationSupplementary material for this article is available [online](#)

Abstract

Global chronic nitrogen (N) deposition to forests can alleviate ecosystem N limitation, with potentially wide ranging consequences for biodiversity, carbon sequestration, soil and surface water quality, and greenhouse gas emissions. However, the ability to predict these consequences requires improved quantification of hard-to-measure N fluxes, particularly N gas loss and soil N retention. Here we combine a unique set of long-term catchment N budgets in the central Europe with ecosystem ¹⁵N data to reveal fundamental controls over dissolved and gaseous N fluxes in temperate forests. Stream leaching losses of dissolved N corresponded with nutrient stoichiometry of the forest floor, with stream N losses increasing as ecosystems progress towards phosphorus limitation, while soil N storage increased with oxalate extractable iron and aluminium content. Our estimates of soil gaseous losses based on ¹⁵N stocks averaged $2.5 \pm 2.2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and comprised $20\% \pm 14\%$ of total N deposition. Gaseous N losses increased with forest floor N:P ratio and with dissolved N losses. Our relationship between gaseous and dissolved N losses was also able to explain previous ¹⁵N-based N loss rates measured in tropical and subtropical catchments, suggesting a generalisable response driven by nitrate (NO_3^-) abundance and in which the relative importance of dissolved N over gaseous N losses tended to increase with increasing NO_3^- export. Applying this relationship globally, we extrapolated current gaseous N loss flux from forests to be 8.9 Tg N yr^{-1} , which represent 39% of current N deposition to forests worldwide.

1. Introduction

Nitrogen availability limits net primary productivity of many terrestrial ecosystems (Vitousek and Howarth 1991, LeBauer and Treseder 2008). Because most plant species are adapted to low N availability, maintaining high global plant biodiversity largely relies on the continued scarcity of this essential nutrient (Suding *et al* 2005, Bobbink *et al* 2010). Preindustrial ecosystem N supply was sustained by inputs from natural N deposition and biological nitrogen fixation (BNF), estimated at $40\text{--}290 \text{ Tg yr}^{-1}$ (Cleveland *et al* 1999, Galloway *et al* 2004, Vitousek *et al* 2013). Since

the onset of the industrial and agricultural revolutions, human activities have more than doubled the rate of reactive N formation (Galloway *et al* 2008). Global N emissions are currently estimated to be 115 Tg yr^{-1} , of which 74 Tg yr^{-1} deposits onto continental areas (Tan *et al* 2018), with 23 Tg yr^{-1} landing on forests (Schwede *et al* 2018). Deposited N can be either retained within terrestrial ecosystems, lost by hydrologic pathways (mainly as nitrate, NO_3^- or dissolved organic N, DON), or returned to atmosphere in gaseous forms (largely as N_2 and the greenhouse gas N_2O). Gaseous N losses are typically estimated either based on steady state mass

balance calculations, or by spatial extrapolation of point measurements. Both methods are subject to measurement difficulties (particularly for the large and opposing fluxes of BNF and denitrification to N_2) and additional uncertainty is created by the high spatiotemporal heterogeneity of these fluxes (Groffman *et al* 2006). Isotope ratios of ecosystem N pools provide an alternative, more powerful tool to inform N balances and net losses, as they integrate the signals associated with isotope fractionation during various transformations (Kjønaas *et al* 1993, Högberg 1997, Gundersen *et al* 1998b, Templer *et al* 2007, Craine *et al* 2009, Hobbie and Ouimette 2009). The process of denitrification preferentially consumes the lighter isotope of N (^{14}N rather than ^{15}N), and so ecosystem ^{15}N natural abundance has been employed to estimate the fraction of total N losses that are gaseous (Houlton *et al* 2006, 2015, Houlton and Bai 2009, Vitousek *et al* 2013, Fang *et al* 2015).

Despite decades of elevated N deposition, forests in the temperate zone usually retain the majority of deposited N, especially in low-fertility ecosystems with a high elemental ratio of soil C to N (Gundersen *et al* 1998a, Lovett *et al* 2002, Dise *et al* 2009, Oulehle *et al* 2017, Tahovská *et al* 2020). Nonetheless, accumulation of ecosystem N relative to other nutrients (C, phosphorus (P), or base cations) should eventually alleviate N limitation (Rowe *et al* 2008, Braun *et al* 2010, Crowley *et al* 2012) with consequences for future trajectory of forests as a major terrestrial C sink (Thomas *et al* 2015, Schulte-Uebbing and De Vries 2018, Wieder *et al* 2019). Here, we utilised a unique set of long-term and consistent N budget measurements from forested catchments spanning moisture, fertility and N deposition gradients in managed forests in temperate central Europe to examine which environmental controls best predicted ecosystem N retention and losses by both gaseous and hydrologic pathways. We tested which soil properties best predicted soil N storage, and whether ecosystem C:N:P stoichiometry fundamentally controls total ecosystem N losses. In addition, we combined our results with published ^{15}N -based N flux estimates to compute the gaseous N flux from global forest ecosystems. Understanding the drivers that control regional to global N balance presents a critical challenge for projecting forest carbon sinks, emissions of N_2O , and the avoidance of land and water eutrophication.

2. Methods

2.1. Study sites

The 12 catchments used in this study are located within the mountain landscapes of the Czech Republic (table 1). All but two catchments have acidic soils developed over acidic bedrock; out of 93 soil pits assessed in this study, 66% were described according to the World Reference Base soil classification

as Cambisols, 13% as entic Podzols, 12% as haplic Podzols, 7% as Stagnosols and 2% as gleyic Stagnosols. Norway spruce dominates the catchment vegetation, but deciduous trees are common at two catchments, and one catchment is predominantly alpine. Catchment areas ranged from 21 to 254 ha (mean = 102 ha) and mean elevations ranged from 471 to 1301 m. Calculated runoff to precipitation ratio (runoff ratio), considered to be a measure of catchment wetness, varied from 0.08 to 0.84 (mean = 0.38). For the period 1994–2016 total inorganic N deposition (Oulehle *et al* 2017) averaged $12 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and ranged from 7 to $17 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The $\delta^{15}N$ of inorganic N (both NO_3^- and NH_4^+) in precipitation was collated from previous studies in the territory of the Czech Republic (Buzek *et al* 1998, Novak *et al* 2016).

2.2. Soil sampling and analysis

Soils were sampled in 2015 using quantitative soil pits up to 40 cm depth (Huntington *et al* 1988). Soil samples were taken during the growing season and the number of soil pits per catchment varied from 5 to 10 according to catchment size. The positions of soil sampling pits were chosen to characterize topography and forest vegetation within catchment. At each location, soil profiles were sampled in a 0.5 m^2 frame as follows: L (litter) layer; F + H (fermented + humus) layers combined; and mineral soil in specified depths of 0–10 cm, 10–20 cm, 20–40 cm (where 0 cm is the top of the mineral soil layer). All material from each layer was weighed and sieved in the field (1 cm), separated into stones, soil <1 cm and coarse roots. The soil samples were weighed and then returned to the laboratory where it was sieved after air-drying (mesh size of 5 mm for organic horizons and 2 mm for mineral horizons). Soil moisture was determined gravimetrically by drying at 105°C . Air-dried soil samples were analysed for total organic C and total N by dry combustion with a CNS elemental analyser (Thermo Scientific FLASH 2000). Total P was analysed in FH horizon after digestion spectrophotometrically, available P was determined in Mehlich extract by the molybdate method. Oxalate-extractable Fe and Al were determined in 0.2 M ammonium oxalate/oxalic acid solution at pH 3. The concentrations of oxalate-extractable Fe and Al (Fe_o and Al_o) in the extracts were determined by ICP-OES (Thermo Elemental Intrepid II). Total exchangeable acidity (TEA) was determined by titration of 0.1 M $BaCl_2$ extracts.

2.3. Biomass sampling and analysis

Forest inventory data were measured within forest plots located in circles (500 m^2) with soil pit in the centre, thus directly related to the soil chemistry. For each forest plot, three dominant Norway spruce trees were selected for chemical analysis of foliage (1st and 2nd needle year) and wood (bulk wood

Table 1. Catchment environmental characteristics, average (standard error) nutrients pools in soil and foliage, average (standard error) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in foliage and soil and measured average (1994–2016) annual dissolved nitrogen (N) fluxes and calculated gaseous N losses across catchments. Averages and standard errors calculated from indicated number of soil samples (n).

Catchment	Anenský potok	Červík	Lesní potok	Liz	Loukov	Lysina	Modrý potok	Na zeleném	Pluhův Bor	Polomka	Salačova		
											Lhota	Uhlířská	
Area	26	181	63	94	54	25	254	60	21	66	200	180	
Elevation	520	802	471	942	577	881	1301	786	764	614	640	818	
Air temp.	8.3	6.8	8.7	7.0	6.1	6.3	3.3	6.8	6.8	7.7	7.6	5.6	
Runoff ratio	0.08	0.56	0.17	0.44	0.15	0.47	0.84	0.25	0.36	0.34	0.12	0.76	
Mineral soil	25	23	22	28	17	20	34	33	25	28	20	36	
Al ₂ O ₃ /Fe ₂ O ₃													
Forest floor total	25	69	31.0	53	33	91	81	44	37.0	45	43	131	
exchangeable acidity													
N deposition	13	14	7.3	10	10	12	14	11	8.5	13	10	17	
Nutrients	<i>n</i> = 5	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 6	<i>n</i> = 9	<i>n</i> = 7	<i>n</i> = 5	<i>n</i> = 9	<i>n</i> = 7	<i>n</i> = 5	<i>n</i> = 7	<i>n</i> = 10	<i>n</i> = 8	
FFH horizon N	0.6 (0.2)	1.1 (0.1)	0.8 (0.3)	0.7 (0.1)	0.6 (0.2)	1.2 (0.2)	1.5 (0.3)	0.6 (0.1)	1.6 (0.4)	0.9 (0.1)	1.1 (0.1)	2.6 (0.2)	
Mineral soil N	4.3 (0.7)	3.7 (0.4)	3.6 (0.4)	3.9 (0.3)	2.4 (0.3)	3.3 (0.1)	5.3 (0.6)	5.3 (0.8)	3.6 (0.3)	4.4 (0.4)	2.5 (0.2)	5.0 (0.4)	
FFH horizon C	16 (4.2)	25 (2.9)	22 (6.9)	17 (2.4)	16 (5.0)	28 (6.0)	30 (5.6)	14 (3.1)	43 (11.3)	22 (2.9)	29 (3.6)	56 (5.0)	
FFH horizon P	41 (10.4)	69 (6.6)	50 (12.6)	37 (3.7)	37 (10.5)	102 (17.9)	117 (20.4)	39 (7.2)	78 (19.0)	55 (6.9)	52 (6.8)	201 (24.7)	
FFH horizon Pex	2.1 (0.3)	3.4 (0.7)	2.7 (0.5)	1.8 (0.2)	2.3 (0.6)	4.1 (1.0)	2.5 (0.6)	1.5 (0.2)	3.2 (0.4)	2.3 (0.5)	3.4 (0.5)	4.7 (0.6)	
Needles C	49.9 (0.4)	50.0 (0.1)	49.1 (0.1)	50.0 (0.1)	49.9 (0.1)	49.6 (0.2)	49.7 (0.2)	49.9 (0.5)	49.4 (0.1)	49.3 (0.1)	49.4 (0.2)	50.7 (0.1)	
Needles N	1.38 (0.1)	1.38 (0.03)	1.33 (0.04)	1.27 (0.02)	1.33 (0.05)	1.40 (0.06)	1.41 (0.03)	1.33 (0.06)	1.24 (0.04)	1.53 (0.05)	1.35 (0.03)	1.41 (0.06)	
Needles P	0.14 (0.01)	0.14 (0.01)	0.14 (0.01)	0.14 (0.01)	0.18 (0.01)	0.15 (0.01)	0.12 (0.004)	0.14 (0.004)	0.11 (0.01)	0.13 (0.01)	0.13 (0.005)	0.16 (0.01)	
δ ¹⁵ N													
Needles	-5.77 (0.77)	-4.22 (0.24)	-5.63 (0.25)	-4.69 (0.21)	-5.98 (0.51)	-4.55 (0.36)	-4.42 (0.38)	-4.37 (0.26)	-4.65 (0.16)	-5.33 (0.22)	-6.42 (0.37)	-4.57 (0.17)	
FFH horizon	-4.38 (0.52)	-1.92 (0.27)	-5.01 (0.25)	-3.02 (0.20)	-5.13 (0.52)	-1.98 (0.28)	-0.58 (0.53)	-2.60 (0.30)	-2.98 (0.08)	-4.06 (0.26)	-4.79 (0.21)	-1.86 (0.48)	
Mineral soil	2.18 (0.68)	2.63 (0.29)	1.53 (0.42)	2.65 (0.49)	1.76 (0.50)	1.84 (0.43)	4.49 (0.59)	2.30 (0.36)	2.50 (0.31)	2.84 (0.52)	2.52 (0.28)	2.31 (0.54)	
Ecosystem (N stock weighted)	-0.12	0.47	-0.98	0.26	-1.62	-0.61	2.95	0.79	-0.10	-0.15	-1.66	0.74	
													(Continued.)

(Continued.)

Table 1. (Continued.)

	Anenský potok	Červík	Lesní potok	Liz	Loukov	Lysina	Modrý potok	Na zeleném	Pluhův Bor	Polomka	Salačova Lhota	Uhlířská
Stream NO ₃ (volume weighted)	%	5.3	5.2	1.1	N.D.	N.D.	0.5	−1.0	0.1	−0.4	11.6	4.5
Nitrogen fluxes (1994–2016)												
N-NO ₃ leaching	kg ha ^{−1} yr ^{−1}	2.66	0.54	1.40	0.03	0.31	5.09	1.11	2.20	3.78	0.27	2.98
DON leaching ^a	kg ha ^{−1} yr ^{−1}	0.95	0.35	0.58	0.10	1.89	2.18	1.18	2.20	1.34	0.22	2.35
Denitrification	kg ha ^{−1} yr ^{−1}	3.9	0.8	1.5	0.1	1.8	7.5	1.6	2.9	3.1	0.5	5.2

^a 2006–2016

core). Chemical analysis consisted of total C, N and P concentrations. Total above- and belowground biomass pools were calculated according to an allometric equation derived for Norway spruce (Wirth *et al* 2004) using measured site DBH.

2.4. Stream N fluxes

Stream N fluxes represented long-term averages of available measurements, covering 1994–2016 for NO_3^- and 2006–2016 DON losses. Losses of NH_4^+ were negligible and not included (Oulehle *et al* 2017). Nitrate (NO_3^-) was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (Knauer 1000), DON was calculated as a difference between total dissolved N (chemiluminescence detection after sample combustion; Tekmar-Dohrmann Apollo 9000) and the sum of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ (indophenol blue colorimetry method).

2.5. Stable N isotope analysis

All samples (leaves, soils, and wood) were analysed for their stable N isotope composition with an isotope ratio mass spectrometer ISOPRIME100 (Isoprime, UK) interfaced with a Vario PYRO cube Elemental Analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme, Germany). The system was calibrated by the certified reference materials with known isotopic ratio from the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA, Vienna, Austria). $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values were referenced to caffeine (IAEA-600) and potassium nitrate (USGS32) standards. Nitrogen isotope composition was measured as $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰) following the formula $\delta^{15}\text{N} = [(R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}}) - 1] \times 1000$, where R_{sample} is the ratio of $^{15}\text{N}:^{14}\text{N}$ in the investigated sample and R_{standard} is the ratio of $^{15}\text{N}:^{14}\text{N}$ in atmospheric reference N_2 (purity of 99.999%).


Selected stream water samples from 2018 only were analysed for their $^{15}/^{14}\text{NO}_3^-$ ratio using a diffusion method (Goerges and Dittert 1998). Analysis of total N and $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratio were performed on IRMS (Delta X Plus, Finnigan, Germany) connected to the NC analyser (Elementar analyser FLASH 2000, Thermo Fisher Sci., Germany). A calculated blank correction was used (Stark and Hart 1996).

2.6. Denitrification calculation

We applied an isotopic modelling approach (Houlton *et al* 2007, Houlton and Bai 2009, Soper *et al* 2018, Yu *et al* 2019) to estimate the proportion (f_{gas}) and flux of N lost via denitrification across our catchments:

$$f_{\text{gas}} = \frac{\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{TE}} - \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{I}} + \varepsilon_{\text{L}}}{(\varepsilon_{\text{L}} - \varepsilon_{\text{D}})} \quad (1)$$

in which $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{TE}}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{I}}$ represent ^{15}N isotopic ratios of the total ecosystem (soil + vegetation) and atmospheric N inputs, respectively. For each site, differences between measured stream $\delta^{15}\text{NO}_3^-$ and ecosystem $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ were calculated to estimate the



isotope effect (ε) associated with dissolved N leaching (ε_{L} , assuming zero effect of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ -DON). Therefore ε_{L} represents the combined weighted isotope effect associated with $\text{N-NO}_3^- + \text{DON}$ leaching (mean $\varepsilon_{\text{L}} = 2.7\text{‰}$, $\text{SE} = 1.1\text{‰}$). We used a range of assumed denitrification fractionation effects (ε_{D}) between -14 and -18‰ (Houlton and Bai 2009, Fang *et al* 2015, Yu *et al* 2019). Published data (Buzek *et al* 1998, 2012, Novak *et al* 2016) indicate that precipitation $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ inputs ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{I}}$), including both NH_4 and NO_3^- average between -4‰ and -8‰ , with a central value of -6‰ and so we used this range to assess model sensitivity to these inputs.


3. Results

3.1. Ecosystem N stock

Total ecosystem N content in 12 intensively studied Czech catchments varied from 4.4 to 7.9 t N ha^{-1} across catchments, with soil N comprising the largest N pool (averaging 82% of total ecosystem N, range 72%–96%) (table 1). Total mineral soil N content increased with the amount of oxalate extractable aluminium and iron (Al_o and Fe_o ; $p < 0.001$, $r = 0.92$; figure 1). That is, mineral soil physicochemical properties largely determined the whole-ecosystem N stock. This relationship was independent of fine soil mass and reflected greater contributions of Spodosols (entic Podzols and haplic Podzols) at catchments with larger mineral N pools.

3.2. Dissolved N losses

Stream total dissolved N (TDN) losses were strongly negatively correlated with forest floor C:N ratio ($p = 0.005$, $r = -0.75$, figure 2(a)) and positively with forest floor N:P_{available} ratio ($p < 0.001$,



$r = 0.94$, figure 2(b)). Nitrate (NO_3) stream fluxes dominated over DON fluxes at the majority of catchments (table 1), with an average N- NO_3 /TDN ratio of 0.6. TDN losses were unrelated to foliar C:N, but increased significantly with foliar N:P ratio ($p = 0.004$, $r = 0.76$). Measured TDN runoff fluxes averaged $2.9 \pm 2.3 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and accounted for $23\% \pm 17\%$ of measured N deposition across our catchments (table 1).

Additional examination of factors that predict forest floor stoichiometry revealed that forest floor C:N decreased ($p < 0.001$, $r = -0.83$) and N:P_{available} increased ($p < 0.001$, $r = 0.88$) with increasing catchment wetness (here, as runoff ratio), while the ratio of N:P_{tot} in forest floor was unrelated to catchment wetness (supplementary table 1 (available online at stacks.iop.org/ERL/16/064025/mmedia)). Canopy N properties correlated significantly with only one environmental variable, as foliar N concentration increased with N deposition ($p = 0.025$, $r = 0.64$). Foliar N:P ratio closely related to forest floor N:P_{available} ratio ($p = 0.002$, $r = 0.80$) suggesting that canopy enrichment of N over P strengthened with the increasing imbalance of forest floor N relative to available P (supplementary figure 1).

3.3. Gaseous N losses

Whole-ecosystem $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signature averaged 0‰ (range from -1.7 to $+2.9$ ‰), and increased significantly with stream TDN losses ($p = 0.001$, $r = 0.81$; supplementary figure 2(A)) and consequently with catchment wetness ($p = 0.002$, $r = 0.78$; supplementary figure 2(B)). On average, TDN leaching was isotopically heavier than N remaining in the ecosystem (fractionation effect of leaching, ϵ_L , of $+2.7$ ‰), thus ecosystem ^{15}N enrichment likely arose as consequence not from leaching but from a highly fractionating process such as denitrification. Isotopic estimates of denitrification fluxes across our catchments were sensitive to the values assigned to denitrification fractionation effect (ϵ_D) and precipitation $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (supplementary figure 3). When the ϵ_D value was set to -16 ‰ and the precipitation input $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was set at -6 ‰ (see section 2), average gaseous N loss was estimated to be 47% of total N loss (range = 38%–62%). Alternatively, using the ϵ_D of -48 ‰ calculated for soils incubated under laboratory conditions (Wang *et al* 2018) estimated gaseous N loss amounted to only 17% of total loss. Precisely measured hydrologic losses of N for the study sites (averaging $2.9 \pm 2.3 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), allow translation of fractional N losses to an average calculated

denitrification flux of $2.5 \pm 2.2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (table 1), amounting to $20\% \pm 14\%$ of measured N deposition. Calculated gaseous N fluxes thus increased with increasing TDN fluxes ($p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.88$), and the magnitudes of both N losses were associated with forest floor C:N and N:P_{available} ratios (figure 2), both of which provide metrics of ecosystem N saturation.

3.4. Ecosystem N mass balance

Measured average N deposition across our catchments over the period 1994–2016 was $12 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, and ranged from 7.3 to $17 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (table 1). Based on our mass balances, catchment N retention (i.e. N deposition—stream TDN—gaseous N loss) was relatively high (mean = $6.3 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ or 57% of N deposition) but with striking variation from 0% to 97%. In contrast to dissolved and gaseous losses, N retention was significantly correlated only with forest floor C:P_{available} ($p = 0.019$, $r = -0.66$) and N:P_{available} ($p = 0.014$, $r = -0.68$) but not with forest floor C:N ratio, suggesting a dominant role of soil available P in determining ecosystem N retention (supplementary figure 4).

3.5. Global estimates of N losses in forests

We sought to test whether the tight relationship between measured stream TDN losses and estimated gaseous N losses was consistent across forested biomes worldwide. We identified 11 additional forested catchments where stream inorganic N export was measured alongside N gaseous estimates based on the soil ^{15}N isotopic balance method, spanning a broad ecological and climatic range (four tropical (Fang *et al* 2015, Soper *et al* 2018), five subtropical (Yu *et al* 2019), and two temperate (Fang *et al* 2015)). Thus our dataset more than doubles the total number of catchments globally for which a full isotopic mass balance is possible. Based on the combined dataset, we observed a remarkably consistent relationship between stream NO_3^- export and denitrification flux, spanning environmentally diverse forest ecosystems (with annual air temperature range from 3°C to 26°C and precipitation range from 615 mm to 3220 mm) and variations in N flux of 2–3 orders of magnitude (figure 3). Based on this apparently general relationship, gaseous N flux (in $\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) is predictable from stream NO_3^- flux using a power function ($f(x) = ax^b$, where $a = 1.74$ and $b = 0.62$; figure 3). The relationship also shows that gaseous N loss dominates over dissolved inorganic N loss when N losses are low, and the relative importance of gaseous loss decreases with increasing NO_3^- export and with overall N loss.

Extrapolating this cross-biome relationship globally, we calculated the global forest denitrification flux based on published NO_3^- stream exports from 100 catchments covering tropical ($n = 28$), subtropical




Figure 3. Calculated gaseous N fluxes based on soil $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ enrichment model (Houlton and Bai 2009) related to measured NO_3^- stream export. Data comprised results from temperate zone (this study—black circles), subtropical zone in China (Yu *et al* 2019) (white diamonds), temperate and tropical zone in Japan and China (Fang *et al* 2015) (grey squares) and one lowland tropical catchment in Costa Rica (Soper *et al* 2018, grey triangle). Linear regression line ($R^2 = 0.84$), confidence band ($\alpha = 0.05$) and 1:1 line displayed.

($n = 9$), temperate ($n = 31$) and boreal ($n = 32$) forest biomes (supplementary table 2). Weighted by biome area, our calculated current stream TDN flux from global forests is $13.5 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ (table 2). Calculated area-weighted N gaseous losses increased in order boreal ($0.7 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) < temperate ($2.7 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) < tropical/subtropical ($3.3 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) forests, resulting in total annual flux of 8.9 Tg N yr^{-1} (table 2). This analysis predicts that total dissolved and gaseous N losses ($22.4 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$) nearly balance N deposition to global forests ($23.1 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$), that do also receive uncertain amounts of N input from N fixation. Gaseous losses comprised 40% of the total N loss.

4. Discussion

Assessments of the consequences of forest N retention and loss at both regional to global scales have long been hampered by the difficulty of measuring N gas losses and N retention in soil. This analysis, based on detailed ecosystem N and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measurements across a dozen well-studied catchments in central Europe, provides novel insights into the mineralogical and stoichiometric controls on soil N retention and loss fluxes. Combining these results with those from forests from other biomes suggests a globally consistent relationship between N gas and NO_3^- leaching losses. This relationship likely reflects mechanistic drivers depending on nitrate surplus in ecosystems, and enables broader extrapolation to estimate N gas losses from forests worldwide.

Table 2. Calculated nitrogen fluxes in global forests. The contemporary N deposition fluxes were derived from Schwede *et al* (2018), current stream N fluxes were calculated according literature search (supplementary table 2) and gaseous N fluxes according to this study. N fixation also contributes N to forests. Its fluxes are highly uncertain and are not included here.

Forest biome	Area Million km ²	Deposition	Stream TDN	Stream NO ₃	Denitrification
kg N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹ (Tg yr ⁻¹)					
Boreal	4.6	1.2 (0.6)	1.6 (0.7)	0.2 (0.1)	0.7 (0.3)
Temperate	7.2	7.3 (5.3)	2.9 (2.1)	2.0 (1.4)	2.7 (1.9)
Tropical/Subtropical	20.4	8.5 (17.3)	5.3 (10.7)	2.8 (5.7)	3.3 (6.6)
Total forests	32.2	7.2 (23.1)	4.2 (13.5)	2.2 (7.2)	2.8 (8.9)

4.1. Forest soils are important long-term N sink

Soils comprised the largest N store within all of our study catchments, as is typical of most forests (Vesterdal *et al* 2008, Cremer *et al* 2016). Storage capacity for N in the mineral soil appears to be controlled by soil physicochemical properties, particularly aluminium- and iron-oxyhydroxides, which also have been shown to predict soil carbon stocks across the U.S. and to immobilise dissolved organic matter within mineral soil horizons (Kalbitz *et al* 2000, Bingham and Cotrufo 2016). Our results (figure 1) suggest that organic matter was preferentially protected in spodic horizons by interaction with poorly crystalline minerals represented by the oxalate soluble Al and Fe fraction (Kleber *et al* 2005, Spielvogel *et al* 2008). The occurrence of Spodosols generally increases with elevation across our catchments (Chuman *et al* 2021), and so do Al_o + Fe_o stocks. Thus, catchment soils with high rates of mineral weathering, e.g. those with more water availability and dissolved organic matter leaching, are predisposed to accumulate relatively large quantities of organic N due to the development of soils with high sorption capacities in spodic horizons.

4.2. Soil C:N:P stoichiometry determines N losses

Catchment wetness was also the strongest correlate among environmental variables influencing forest floor C:N:P stoichiometry, which in turn governed N losses. Across our environmental gradient, wetter forests are associated with progressively thicker forest floor (Oulehle *et al* 2008) (moder/mor like organic horizons) and more acidic conditions (table 1). Increasing exchangeable acidity (Al and H⁺) promotes stabilization of organic P in the forest floor, thus negatively affecting P availability (Tahovská *et al* 2018). Reduced P availability associated with increased catchment wetness combined with increasing N deposition to result in surpluses of forest floor N over C and available P. These responses are consistent with the N saturation hypothesis (Aber *et al* 1989, 1998) that predicts eventual increases in nitrification and NO₃⁻ loss to surface waters as a result of chronic N deposition, with new information on soil P limitation and N gas losses. Our data suggest that dissolved N losses in runoff are tightly linked to forest floor nutrient stoichiometry, with observed increases in N leaching as forest floor C:N ratio decreases

(Gundersen *et al* 1998a, Lovett *et al* 2002, Dise *et al* 2009) and N:P_{available} ratio increases (figure 2). The strikingly tight relationship between dissolved NO₃⁻ losses and forest floor N:P_{available} further suggests that chronic N enrichment leads to progressive P limitation. This observation is consistent with forest growth responses from Switzerland (Braun *et al* 2010) and canopy chemistry from the Adirondack region in the US (Crowley *et al* 2012) suggesting shifts towards P limitation as consequence of increasing N deposition.

Compared across catchments, total ecosystem ¹⁵N enrichment increased with catchment wetness, which pointed to the importance of gaseous losses. This ecosystem ¹⁵N enrichment could not be produced by N deposition, which is isotopically lighter than ecosystem N, or by leaching, which is isotopically heavier. By contrast, gaseous losses usually have large fractionation factors (Mariotti *et al* 1981, Denk *et al* 2017), which enrich the N that remains in the ecosystem. Denitrification can occur even in well-drained soils, in low-oxygen microsites or in temporarily or locally developed episodes (McClain *et al* 2003, Wexler *et al* 2014). Denitrification estimates calculated by isotopic model approaches (Houlton and Bai 2009, Fang *et al* 2015) are challenged by uncertainties associated with the assumed fractionation effects of the N loss processes. Across our catchments, the isotopic effect of dissolved N leaching varied between -1.6 and 10.7‰, with an average of +2.7‰. The large isotope effect associated with denitrification used in our study (range of -14 to -18‰) and elsewhere (Houlton and Bai 2009, Wexler *et al* 2014, Weintraub *et al* 2016, Soper *et al* 2018, Yu *et al* 2019) resulted in calculated average gaseous losses of 2.5 ± 2.2 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ across our catchments. This assigned ε_D between -14 and -18‰ is less fractionating than the denitrification isotope effect calculated for forest soils under laboratory conditions with an abundant supply of NO₃⁻ (-48‰ on average; Wang *et al* 2018). Under-expression of the ε_D under field conditions compared to laboratory conditions is expected to arise from more effective nitrate consumption (e.g. Högberg 1997, Houlton *et al* 2006). Nevertheless, a correct understanding of isotopic fractionation and its variability during denitrification poses a critical challenge for further application of the ¹⁵N natural abundance method.

Combining measured stream TDN fluxes with isotope-model derived denitrification estimates (figure 2) suggested non steady state N ecosystem balance, as the sum of these losses were less than N deposition inputs, yielding an N retention term by difference. The long-term accumulation of N in the soil derived from N deposition with a distinct $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signature (usually negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) (Bragazza *et al* 2005, Solga *et al* 2006) could impact the isotopic model calculations, leading to underestimates of the proportion of N losses occurring as N gases. Nonetheless, calculated N retention was strongly related to the forest floor concentration of available P and its ratios to total C and N, indicating an important role of P limitation in the capacity of forest ecosystem to retain N from deposition.

4.3. Globally consistent gaseous and dissolved losses alongside increasing N availability gradient

Across forest biomes, denitrification fluxes derived from ecosystem $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values increased with ecosystem NO_3^- export (figure 3). The same overall relationship between N gas and NO_3^- leaching loss held across tropical, subtropical and temperate forests, indicating a generalized response to increased NO_3^- availability within ecosystems. Moreover, increasing N losses associated with progressive P scarcity (widening of N/P ratios in both forest floor and foliage) across our temperate forest gradient are generally consistent with observed increases of foliar N:P from high to low latitudes (McGroddy *et al* 2004) and increasing N losses towards tropical regions (Hedin *et al* 2009). The largest N loss fluxes to dissolved N and denitrification were calculated for the tropical/subtropical forest biome, followed by temperate and boreal biomes. The relative importance of dissolved N over gaseous N losses tended to increase with increasing NO_3^- export, indicating that denitrification dominates when N losses are low but that denitrification capacity may be exceeded in N saturated ecosystems (figure 3).

Systematic evidence of increased N losses with increasing N availability in our regional analysis of temperate forest catchments match broader ecological observations of decreased N use efficiency in high-N forests (Vitousek 1982). Contemporary global average TDN export from catchments across different forest biomes (supplementary table 2), ranges from $5.3 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in tropical/subtropical forests to $1.6 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in boreal forests, with a global average of $4.2 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, i.e. $13.5 \text{ Tg N yr}^{-1}$ (table 2).

N gas losses have long been challenging to constrain, as they include the greenhouse gas N_2O produced by both nitrification and denitrification, as well as production of elemental N_2 . Given the chain of possible N transformations as mineral N

availability increases, including the coupled processes of nitrification and denitrification (both associated with formation of the greenhouse gas N_2O) it is possible to constrain estimates of gaseous N losses based on hydrological NO_3^- losses, which are routinely measured as part of catchment monitoring programs. Extrapolating our empirical relationship between N gas and NO_3^- fluxes to forests worldwide yields an estimated values of 8.9 Tg N yr^{-1} ($2.8 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). This estimate of N gas loss is much lower than past N-budget-based estimates of denitrification N losses from non-agricultural soils worldwide (58 Tg N yr^{-1}) based on N mass balances that include highly uncertain and widely varying N fixation fluxes (Van Drecht *et al* 2003, Seitzinger *et al* 2006). Bouwman *et al* (2013) further provided denitrification estimate of 28 Tg N yr^{-1} for non-agricultural soils applying revised (and much lower) estimates for BNF (Vitousek *et al* 2013) as N input to their mass balance calculations. This revised rate of denitrification recalculated to forest land is then similar to our independent denitrification estimate for forested land, thereby supporting the evidence of lower BNF in natural ecosystems (Vitousek *et al* 2013, Sullivan *et al* 2014) compared to earlier assessments (Cleveland *et al* 1999, Galloway *et al* 2004).

5. Conclusions

We combined nitrogen flux and isotope mass balances from a network of intensively studied catchments to reveal the underpinning role of soil P availability and N deposition in defining temperate forests N retention capacity. Increasing excess of soil N over available P, reflected in foliar N:P ratio, was accompanied by enhanced dissolved and gaseous N losses. Increasing catchment wetness corresponded to lower available P concentration in the forest floor, likely because of effective soil P stabilization due to changing soil acidity. These limitations on P availability, combined with N inputs from deposition, resulted in increasing gaseous and dissolved N losses along gradients of wetness and N deposition. This pattern is likely attributable to variation in soil nitrate production driven by excess N availability over soil C and P availability. Combining our results with ^{15}N -based N flux estimates available from other temperate and tropical forests, we present a surprisingly consistent relationship between N gas loss and nitrate export for forests across the world, and estimate that N gas loss and dissolved TDN export counterbalance 39% and 59% respectively of worldwide N deposition to forests.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

Acknowledgement

We are indebted to several research institutes (Czech Geological Survey, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Forestry and Game Management Research Institute and Czech Hydrometeorological Institute) for long lasting support of catchment monitoring. Concept of this work was developed under the GeoMicLink project sponsored by Czech Science Foundation (GACR) (No. 20-19471S), ^{15}N isotope analysis were supported by the GACR project No. 18-17295S. The authors are thankful to I. Roshka and N. Pernicová for their laboratory work on ^{15}N analyses. This study contributes to the SustES - Adaptation strategies for sustainable ecosystem services and food security under adverse environmental conditions (CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000797) and Czech research infrastructure for systems biology C4SYS (project No. LM2015055).

ORCID iD

Filip Oulehle  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3592-461X>

References

- Aber J D, Nadelhoffer K J, Steudler P and Melillo J M 1989 Nitrogen saturation in northern forest ecosystems *Bioscience* **39** 378–86
- Aber J, McDowell W, Nadelhoffer K, Magill A, Berntson G, Kamakea M, McNulty S, Currie W, Rustad L and Fernandez I 1998 Nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems—hypotheses revisited *Bioscience* **48** 921–34
- Bingham A H and Cotrufo M F 2016 Organic nitrogen storage in mineral soil: implications for policy and management *Sci. Total Environ.* **551–552** 116–26
- Bobbink R et al 2010 Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant diversity: a synthesis *Ecol. Appl.* **20** 30–59
- Bouwman A F, Beusen A H W, Griffioen J, Van Groenigen J W, Heffting M M, Oenema O, Van Puijenbroek P J T M, Seitzinger S, Slomp C P and Stehfest E 2013 Global trends and uncertainties in terrestrial denitrification and N_2O emissions *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* **368** 20130112
- Bragazza L et al 2005 Nitrogen concentration and delta ^{15}N signature of ombrotrophic Sphagnum mosses at different N deposition levels in Europe *Glob. Change Biol.* **11** 106–14
- Braun S, Thomas V F D, Quiring R and Flückiger W 2010 Does nitrogen deposition increase forest production? The role of phosphorus *Environ. Pollut.* **158** 2043–52
- Buzek F, Černý J and Pačes T 1998 The behavior of nitrogen isotopes in acidified forest soils in the Czech Republic *Water. Air. Soil Pollut.* **105** 155–64
- Buzek F, Kadlecová R, Jacková I and Lneníková Z 2012 Nitrate transport in the unsaturated zone: a case study of the riverbank filtration system Karany, Czech Republic *Hydrol. Process.* **26** 640–51
- Chuman T, Oulehle F, Zajícová K and Hruška J 2021 The legacy of acidic deposition controls soil organic carbon pools in temperate forests across the Czech Republic *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* (<https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.13007>)
- Cleveland C C et al 1999 Global patterns of terrestrial biological nitrogen (N_2) fixation in natural ecosystems *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **13** 623–45
- Craine J M et al 2009 Global patterns of foliar nitrogen isotopes and their relationships with climate, mycorrhizal fungi, foliar nutrient concentrations, and nitrogen availability *New Phytol.* **183** 980–92
- Cremer M, Kern N V and Prietzel J 2016 Soil organic carbon and nitrogen stocks under pure and mixed stands of European beech, Douglas fir and Norway spruce *For. Ecol. Manage.* **367** 30–40
- Crowley K F et al 2012 Do nutrient limitation patterns shift from nitrogen toward phosphorus with increasing nitrogen deposition across the northeastern United States? *Ecosystems* **15** 940–57
- Denk T R A, Mohn J, Decock C, Lewicka-Szczepak D, Harris E, Butterbach-Bahl K, Kiese R and Wolf B 2017 The nitrogen cycle: a review of isotope effects and isotope modeling approaches *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **105** 121–37
- Dise N B, Rothwell J J, Gauci V, Van Der Salm C and De Vries W 2009 Predicting dissolved inorganic nitrogen leaching in European forests using two independent databases *Sci. Total Environ.* **407** 1798–808
- Fang Y et al 2015 Microbial denitrification dominates nitrate losses from forest ecosystems *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **112** 1470–4
- Galloway J N et al 2004 Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future *Biogeochemistry* **70** 153–226
- Galloway J N, Townsend A R, Erismann J W, Bekunda M, Cai Z, Freney J R, Martinelli L A, Seitzinger S P and Sutton M A 2008 Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: recent trends, questions, and potential solutions *Science* **320** 889–92
- Goerges T and Dittert K 1998 Improved diffusion technique for ^{15}N : ^{14}N analysis of ammonium and nitrate from aqueous samples by stable isotope spectrometry *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **29** 361–8
- Groffman P M, Altabet M A, Böhlke J K, Butterbach-Bahl K, David M B, Firestone M K, Giblin A E, Kana T M, Nielsen L P and Voytek M A 2006 Methods for measuring denitrification: diverse approaches to a difficult problem *Ecol. Appl.* **16** 2091–122
- Gundersen B, Callesen I and De Vries W 1998a Nitrate leaching in forest ecosystems is controlled by forest floor C/N ratio *Environ. Pollut.* **102** 403–7
- Gundersen B, Emmett B A A, Kjonaas O J J, Koopmans C J J, Tietema A, Kjonaas O J, Koopmans C J J, Tietema A, Kjonaas O J J and Sleep D 1998b Impact of nitrogen deposition on nitrogen cycling in forests: a synthesis of NITREX data *For. Ecol. Manage.* **101** 37–55
- Hedin L O, Brookshire E N J, Menge D N L and Barron A R 2009 The nitrogen paradox in tropical forest ecosystems *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **40** 613–35
- Hobbie E A and Ouimet A P 2009 Controls of nitrogen isotope patterns in soil profiles *Biogeochemistry* **95** 355–71
- Högberg P 1997 Tansley Review No. 95. ^{15}N natural abundance in soil-plant systems *New Phytol.* **137** 179–203
- Houlton B Z and Bai E 2009 Imprint of denitrifying bacteria on the global terrestrial biosphere *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **106** 21713–6
- Houlton B Z, Marklein A R and Bai E 2015 Representation of nitrogen in climate change forecasts *Nat. Clim. Change* **5** 398–401
- Houlton B Z, Sigman D M and Hedin L O 2006 Isotopic evidence for large gaseous nitrogen losses from tropical rainforests *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **103** 8745–50
- Houlton B Z, Sigman D M, Schuur E A G and Hedin L O 2007 A climate-driven switch in plant nitrogen acquisition within tropical forest communities *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **104** 8902–6
- Huntington T G, Ryan D F and Hamburg S P 1988 Estimating soil-nitrogen and carbon pools in a northern hardwood forest ecosystem *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **52** 1162–7
- Kalbitz K, Solinger S, Park J H, Michalzik B and Matzner E 2000 Controls on the dynamics of dissolved organic matter in soils: a review *Soil Sci.* **165** 277–304

- Kjønaas O J, Emmett B A, Gundersen P, Koopmans C J and Tietema A 1993 ^{15}N approach within NITREX: i. Natural abundance along a pollution gradient *Experimental Manipulations of Biota and Biogeochemical Cycling in Ecosystems* ed L Rasmussen, T Brydges and P Mathy (Brussels: Commission of European Communities) pp 235–7
- Kleber M, Mikutta R, Torn M S and Jahn R 2005 Poorly crystalline mineral phases protect organic matter in acid subsoil horizons *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* **56** 717–25
- LeBauer D S and Treseder K K 2008 Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in terrestrial ecosystems is globally distributed *Ecology* **89** 371–9
- Lovett G M, Weathers K C and Arthur M A 2002 Control of nitrogen loss from forested watersheds by soil carbon: nitrogen ratio and tree species composition *Ecosystems* **5** 712–8
- Mariotti A, Germon J C, Hubert P, Kaiser P, Letolle R, Tardieux A and Tardieux P 1981 Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: some principles; illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes *Plant Soil* **62** 413–30
- McClain M E et al 2003 Biogeochemical hot spots and hot moments at the interface of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems *Ecosystems* **6** 301–12
- McGroddy M E, Daufresne T and Hedin L O 2004 Scaling of C:N:P stoichiometry in forests worldwide: implications of terrestrial redfield-type ratios *Ecology* **85** 2390–401
- Novak M et al 2016 Contrasting $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of atmospheric deposition and sphagnum peat bogs: N fixation as a possible cause *Ecosystems* **19** 1037–50
- Oulehle F, Chuman T, Hruška J, Krám P, McDowell W H, Myška O, Navrátil T and Tesar M 2017 Recovery from acidification alters concentrations and fluxes of solutes from Czech catchments *Biogeochemistry* **132** 251–72
- Oulehle F, McDowell W H, Aitkenhead-Peterson J A, Krám P, Hruška J, Navrátil T, Buzek F and Fottová D 2008 Long-term trends in stream nitrate concentrations and losses across watersheds undergoing recovery from acidification in the Czech Republic *Ecosystems* **11** 410–25
- Rowe E C, Smart S M, Kennedy V H, Emmett B A and Evans C D 2008 Nitrogen deposition increases the acquisition of phosphorus and potassium by heather *Calluna vulgaris* *Environ. Pollut.* **155** 201–7
- Schulte-Uebbing L and De Vries W 2018 Global-scale impacts of nitrogen deposition on tree carbon sequestration in tropical, temperate, and boreal forests: a meta-analysis *Glob. Change Biol.* **24** e416–31
- Schwede D B, Simpson D, Tan J, Fu J S, Dentener F, Du E and Devries W 2018 Spatial variation of modelled total, dry and wet nitrogen deposition to forests at global scale *Environ. Pollut.* **243** 1287–301
- Seitzinger S, Harrison J A, Böhlke J K, Bouwman A F, Lowrance R, Peterson B, Tobias C and Van D G 2006 Denitrification across landscapes and waterscapes: a synthesis *Ecol. Appl.* **16** 2064–90
- Solga A, Eichert T and Frahm J-P 2006 Historical alteration in the nitrogen concentration and ^{15}N natural abundance of mosses in Germany: indication for regionally varying changes in atmospheric nitrogen deposition within the last 140 years *Atmos. Environ.* **40** 8044–55
- Soper F M, Taylor P G, Wieder W R, Weintraub S R, Cleveland C C, Porder S and Townsend A R 2018 Modest gaseous nitrogen losses point to conservative nitrogen cycling in a lowland tropical forest watershed *Ecosystems* **21** 901–12
- Spielvogel S, Prietzel J and Kögel-Knabner I 2008 Soil organic matter stabilization in acidic forest soils is preferential and soil type-specific *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* **59** 674–92
- Stark J and Hart S 1996 Diffusion technique for preparing salt solutions, Kjeldahl digests, and persulfate digests for nitrogen-15 analysis *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **60** 1846–55
- Suding K N, Collins S L, Gough L, Clark C, Cleland E E, Gross K L, Milchunas D G and Pennings S 2005 Functional- and abundance-based mechanisms explain diversity loss due to N fertilization *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **102** 4387–92
- Sullivan B W, Smith W K, Townsend A R, Nasto M K, Reed S C, Chazdon R L and Cleveland C C 2014 Spatially robust estimates of biological nitrogen (N) fixation imply substantial human alteration of the tropical N cycle *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **111** 8101–6
- Tahovská K, Čapek P, Šantrůčková H and Kopáček J 2018 *In situ* phosphorus dynamics in soil: long-term ion-exchange resin study *Biogeochemistry* **139** 307–20
- Tahovská K, Choma M, Kaštovská E, Oulehle F, Bárta J, Šantrůčková H and Moldan F 2020 Positive response of soil microbes to long-term nitrogen input in spruce forest: results from Gårdsjön whole-catchment N-addition experiment *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **143** 107732
- Tan J et al 2018 Multi-model study of HTAP II on sulfur and nitrogen deposition *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **18** 6847–66
- Templer P H, Arthur M A, Lovett G M and Weathers K C 2007 Plant and soil natural abundance $\delta^{15}\text{N}$: indicators of relative rates of nitrogen cycling in temperate forest ecosystems *Oecologia* **153** 399–406
- Thomas R Q, Brookshire E N J and Gerber S 2015 Nitrogen limitation on land: how can it occur in Earth system models? *Glob. Change Biol.* **21** 1777–93
- Van Drecht G, Bouwman A F, Knoop J M, Beusen A H W and Meinardi C R 2003 Global modeling of the fate of nitrogen from point and nonpoint sources in soils, groundwater, and surface water *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **17**
- Vesterdal L, Schmidt I K, Callesen I, Nilsson L O and Gundersen P 2008 Carbon and nitrogen in forest floor and mineral soil under six common European tree species *For. Ecol. Manage.* **255** 35–48
- Vitousek P M, Menge D N L, Reed S C and Cleveland C C 2013 Biological nitrogen fixation: rates, patterns and ecological controls in terrestrial ecosystems *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **368** 20130119
- Vitousek P 1982 Nutrient cycling and nutrient use efficiency *Am. Nat.* **119** 553–72
- Vitousek P and Howarth R 1991 Nitrogen limitation on land and in the sea: how can it occur? *Biogeochemistry* **13** 87–115
- Wang A, Fang Y, Chen D, Phillips O, Koba K, Zhu W and Zhu J 2018 High nitrogen isotope fractionation of nitrate during denitrification in four forest soils and its implications for denitrification rate estimates *Sci. Total Environ.* **633** 1078–88
- Weintraub S R, Cole R J, Schmitt C G and All J D 2016 Climatic controls on the isotopic composition and availability of soil nitrogen across mountainous tropical forest *Ecosphere* **7** e01412
- Wexler S K, Goodale C L, McGuire K J, Bailey S W and Groffman P M 2014 Isotopic signals of summer denitrification in a northern hardwood forested catchment *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **111** 16413–8
- Wieder W R et al 2019 Beyond static benchmarking: using experimental manipulations to evaluate land model assumptions *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **33** 1289–309
- Wirth C, Schumacher J and Schulze E-D 2004 Generic biomass functions for Norway spruce in Central Europe—a meta-analysis approach toward prediction and uncertainty estimation *Tree Physiol.* **24** 121–39
- Yu L, Mulder J, Zhu J, Zhang X, Wang Z and Dörsch P 2019 Denitrification as a major regional nitrogen sink in subtropical forest catchments: evidence from multi-site dual nitrate isotopes *Glob. Change Biol.* **25** 1765–78