

BINOMIAL IDEALS OF DOMINO TILINGS

ELIZABETH GROSS AND NICOLE YAMZON

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the set of all domino tilings of a cubicated region. The primary question we explore is: *How can we move from one tiling to another?* Tiling spaces can be viewed as spaces of subgraphs of a fixed graph with a fixed degree sequence. Moves to connect such spaces have been explored in algebraic statistics. Thus, we approach this question from an applied algebra viewpoint, making new connections between domino tilings, algebraic statistics, and toric algebra. Using results from toric ideals of graphs, we are able to describe moves that connect the tiling space of a given cubicated region of any dimension. This is done by studying binomials that arise from two distinct domino tilings of the same region. Additionally, we introduce *tiling ideals* and *flip ideals* and use these ideals to restate what it means for a tiling space to be flip connected. Finally, we show that if R is a 2-dimensional simply connected cubicated region, any binomial arising from two distinct tilings of R can be written in terms of quadratic binomials. As a corollary to our main result, we obtain an alternative proof to the fact that the set of domino tilings of a 2-dimensional simply connected region is connected by flips.

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

A 2×1 *domino* (or a 1×2 domino) is two unit squares joined along a single edge. A *domino tiling* of a region is a covering of the region with dominos such that there are no gaps or overlaps. As an area of mathematical research, domino tilings appeared as early as 1937 in the context of thermodynamics and dimer systems [9]. Several bodies of work in the 2-dimensional setting show that such objects are rich and nuanced [13] [8], [25], [7], [4], [14], [18]. For example, Kasteleyn and Fisher–Temperley proved independently [8, 13] that the number of tilings of a $2n \times 2m$ rectangle is

$$4^{mn} \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\cos^2 \frac{2\pi}{2m+1} + \cos^2 \frac{k\pi}{2n+1} \right).$$

While, there is no closed-form expression for the number of domino tilings of an arbitrary 2-dimensional region, there are many papers that study this problem for specific types of regions, such as Aztec diamonds and pyramids [1, 19, 23]. Higher dimensional regions, such as 3-dimensional regions, have also been explored. For example, in 1998,

Date: February 9, 2021.

Elizabeth Gross was supported by the National Science Foundation DMS- 1620109 and DMS-1945584. Nicole Yamzon was supported by Alfred P. Sloan Foundation’s Minority Ph.D. Program, awarded in (2018).

Ciucu gave an upper bound on the number of 3-dimensional domino tilings of a $n \times n \times n$ cube [3].

In lieu of a complete enumeration of the tilings of a region, one can estimate the number of tilings through Monte Carlo Markov chain sampling methods. Such methods require a set of moves that connect the space, which lead us to our primary question of interest: *What are sets of moves that connect the space of domino tilings for a fixed region?* We tackle this question from an algebra lens, making a new connection between domino tilings, algebraic statistics, and combinatorial commutative algebra through toric ideals of graphs.

For 2-dimensions, it is known that any two domino tilings of a simply connected region can be obtained through a sequence of flips [25, 22]. Generalizing results to higher dimensions has been of interest to fields from combinatorics to solid state chemistry [7, 18]. However, previous results, such as those built on Thurston's *height function* [20] fail to generalize to the 3-dimensional setting. In fact, in 3-dimensions even the most uncomplicated of regions fail to be flip connected. For instance the domino tilings of $l \times m \times n$ box are not connected by flips [10, 13, 15]. Milet and Saldanha introduced another local move called the *trit*, which operates on three dominoes at a time (as opposed to the flip that operates on two dominoes at a time) [15]. While some 3-dimensional tiling spaces are connected by flips and trits, not all are; [15] includes some examples. In [10], Klivans et al. give conditions in terms of topological invariants for testing whether two different 3-dimensional domino tilings are connected by flips or by flips and trits.

Our paper explores the connectivity question by noting that the space of tilings of a region R corresponds to a particular *fiber* of a *design matrix* A , where A is prescribed by the region R (here we are using language from algebraic statistics, which is defined formally in the Section 3). By appealing to algebraic statistics, and in particular, the Fundamental Theorem of Algebraic Statistics [6], for any given region, *of any dimension*, we can find a set of moves that is guaranteed to connect its tiling space by finding a set of generators of the *toric ideal* of A , a binomial ideal. Using the well-known correspondence between domino tilings of a region R and perfect matchings of an associated graph G_R [27] and results in combinatorial commutative algebra on *toric ideals of graphs* [16], in Theorem 3.8, we describe the moves guaranteed to connect the tiling space in terms of the graph G_R . The moves described in Theorem 3.8 are not always local flips though. While we can show that if the toric ideal of G_R is quadratic, then the space of tilings of R is flip connected, the converse is not always true. In order to explore flip connected tiling spaces more, we introduce two additional binomial ideals, the *tiling ideal* and the *flip ideal*. These ideals are not always prime, and thus not always toric, however we can describe exactly when a tiling space is flip connected using these two ideals (Theorem 3.12). Finally, we showcase this algebraic perspective by providing an alternative proof to the fact that the tiling space of any simply connected region of \mathbb{R}^2 is flip connected.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we formally define domino tilings and discuss tilings from a graph theoretic viewpoint. In Section 3, we discuss the connection of tiling spaces to algebraic statistics and toric ideals of graphs and describe a set of moves guaranteed to connect the tiling space of a given region. Additionally, we introduce the tiling ideal and the flip ideal of a region R and restate what it means for a tiling space to be connected in terms of these two ideals. Finally, in Section 4, we show, using algebraic techniques, that the tiling space of any simply connected region of \mathbb{R}^2 is flip connected.

2. DOMINO TILINGS

Let R be a n -dimensional cubicated region of \mathbb{R}^N , i.e. a homogeneous cubical complex of dimension n embedded in \mathbb{R}^N with $n \leq N$. A d -dimensional *domino* is two adjacent elementary n -dimensional cubes connected along a face of dimension $n - 1$; we will denote the set of dominos contained in R as \mathcal{D}_R . A *domino tiling* $T \subseteq \mathcal{D}_R$ of a cubicated region R is defined to be a covering of R with dominoes such that every elementary cube of R is covered exactly once; we will denote the space of all tilings of R as \mathcal{T}_R . We are interested in local moves that connect all the tilings in \mathcal{T}_R . A move between two tilings $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_R$ is an ordered pair $M = (D_1, D_2)$ of two sets of dominoes $D_1, D_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_R$ such that $T_2 = (T_1 \setminus D_1) \cup D_2$. For simplicity, if M is a move from T_1 to T_2 , we will write $T_2 = T_1 + M$. We say a move has size d if $|D_1| = |D_2| = d$. We begin our discussion with the simplest move, the *local flip*, or *flip*.

Definition 2.1. A *local flip* is performed by replacing a pair of two adjacent parallel dominoes, i.e. two dominoes that share two $n - 1$ dimensional faces, with two adjacent parallel dominoes in a perpendicular direction to the first pair. We will refer to the set of all flip moves for R as $\mathcal{M}_{R_{flip}}$.




FIGURE 1. A local flip.

Definition 2.2. Let R be a cubicated region, and let \mathcal{M}_R be a set of possible moves of R . We say \mathcal{M}_R *connects* \mathcal{T}_R if for every two tilings $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_R$, there exists $M_1, \dots, M_r \in \mathcal{M}_R$ such that $T_2 = T_1 + M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_r$ and $T_1 + M_1 + \dots + M_s \in \mathcal{T}_R$ for all $1 \leq s \leq r$.

Two tilings T_1 and T_2 are *flip connected* if there exists a sequence $M_1, \dots, M_r \in \mathcal{M}_{R_{flip}}$ such that $T_2 = T_1 + M_1 + \dots + M_r$ and $T_1 + M_1 + \dots + M_s \in \mathcal{T}_R$ for all $1 \leq s \leq r$.

A cubicated region R is *flip connected* if every two tilings of R are flip connected. It is known that if a 2-dimensional region is simply connected then its corresponding space of tilings is flip connected.

Theorem 2.3. [25, 22] *If R is a simply connected region in 2-dimensions, then \mathcal{T}_R is flip connected.*

In [25], and more explicitly in [22], Theorem 2.3 is proved via the construction and analysis of a map from the vertices of a domino tiling to \mathbb{Z} called the *height function*. In this paper, we give an alternative proof of the theorem using binomial ideals.

2.1. Connections to graph theory. In order to apply tools from combinatorial commutative algebra, it is helpful to think of tilings of a cubicated region as perfect matchings of a graph. Here we set up the terminology to construct this correspondence.

Let R be a n -dimensional cubicated region. Let G_R be the undirected simple graph that has one vertex for each elementary cube in R and an edge between a pair of vertices if their two corresponding cubes in R share a $n - 1$ dimensional face. Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, a *matching* M is an independent edge set. A *perfect matching* is a matching that covers all vertices in G . By the construction of G_R from R , we see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between tilings of R and perfect matchings on the graph G_R . This correspondence is illustrated in Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. Let $R = B_{2,3}$, the 2×3 box. On the left is a tiling on R , on the right is a perfect matching of $G_{2,3}$.

Example 2.4. Let the region R be the $m \times \ell$ box denoted $B_{m,\ell}$. Then G_R is denoted by $G_{m,\ell}$ and is the $m \times \ell$ grid graph whose vertices correspond to the points in $[0, m] \times [0, \ell] \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Remark 2.5. Since R can always be viewed as a subregion of a n -dimensional box B_{m_1, \dots, m_n} , the graph G_R is a subgraph of the grid graph G_{m_1, \dots, m_n} .

For the rest of this paper, we will refer to tilings and matchings interchangeably. We will use the underlying graph structure to understand the connectivity of the space of 2-dimensional domino tilings for a region R . While tilings on a cubicated region R can be characterized by perfect matchings on G_R , moves between two tilings can be characterized by even cycles.

Definition 2.6. A *walk* on G is a finite sequence of the form

$$w = ((v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_3), \dots, (v_{n-1}, v_n))$$

with each $v_i \in V(G)$ and $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E(G)$. In the case where $v_1 = v_n$, then w is called a *closed walk*. A *cycle* is a closed walk that traverses each vertex in the walk exactly once. The *length* of a cycle or closed walk is the number of edges in the walk. A closed walk is *even* if the cycle has even length. An even closed walk is *primitive* if it does not contain a proper closed even subwalk.

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be a cubicated region. Every cycle of the graph G_R is even.*

Proof. As implied by Remark 2.5, for any cubicated region R , the graph G_R is a subgraph of a grid graph G_{m_1, \dots, m_n} . In particular, G_R is bipartite. Thus, every cycle of G_R is even [27]. \square

Remark 2.8. Since every cycle of G_R is even, the only primitive even closed walks on G_R are cycles [16].

In the following proposition, we see that the union of two tilings of R corresponds to a collection of cycles on G_R .

Proposition 2.9. *Let T_1 and T_2 be tilings and let $G = T_1 \cup T_2$ (considered as a multigraph). Then G will be a disjoint collection of even cycles, some of which may be 2-cycles.*

Proof. Consider the graph $G = T_1 \cup T_2$ with n vertices. We know for each $i \in V(G)$ the $\deg_G(i) = 2$. By definition G must be a 2-regular graph of size n . A characterization of 2-regular graphs gives us that G will be formed by a disjoint collection of cycles. \square

Since $G = T_1 \cup T_2$ is a disjoint collection of cycles we introduce the following terminology.

Definition 2.10. Let G be a graph. We say $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_r\}$ is a *cycle cover* of G if each \mathcal{C}_i is a cycle and every vertex in G is covered by exactly one \mathcal{C}_i .

Note that given a region R and two tilings, T_1 and T_2 , the multigraph $\mathcal{C} = T_1 \cup T_2$ is a cycle cover of G_R . Additionally, we can think of the edges in \mathcal{C} as two-colorable, specifically, we can color the edges corresponding to T_1 red and the edges corresponding to T_2 as blue. This coloring will be helpful in later sections.

Finally, we end this section with a discussion on chords, which will play a role in the algebra in the next two sections.

Definition 2.11. Let \mathcal{C} be a cycle of a graph $G = (V, E)$. An edge $e \in E$ is a *chord* of \mathcal{C} if e connects two vertices covered by \mathcal{C} , but is not in \mathcal{C} . A cycle is *chordless* if it does not have a chord in G .

Definition 2.12. Let $\mathcal{C} = ((v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_3), \dots, (v_{n-1}, v_n))$ be an even cycle of a graph $G = (V, E)$. We say $e = \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G)$ is a chord of \mathcal{C} with $i < j$. Furthermore, we call e an *even chord* if $j - i$ is odd, in other words, if the two new cycles obtained by adding e to \mathcal{C} are both even.

3. TILINGS AND TORIC IDEALS OF GRAPHS

In this section, we introduce toric ideals of graphs and their connections to tiling spaces. Toric ideals of graphs have been well-studied (see, for example, [26, 16, 17, 21, 24, 12, 11]). By making the connection to toric ideals of graphs, we can describe a set of moves that is guaranteed to connect the tiling space \mathcal{T}_R for any cubulated region R .

3.1. Toric ideals of graphs and Markov bases. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Consider the following two polynomial rings

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{K}[E] &= \mathbb{K}[y_e \mid e \in E(G)], \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{K}[V] &= \mathbb{K}[x_v \mid v \in V(G)].\end{aligned}$$

Let ϕ_G be the ring homomorphism defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_G : \mathbb{K}[E] &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}[V] \\ y_{(i,j)} &\mapsto x_i x_j.\end{aligned}$$

The *toric ideal* of G , denoted I_G , is defined to be the kernel of the map ϕ_G

$$I_G := \ker(\phi_G) = \{f \in \mathbb{K}[E] : \phi_G(f) = 0\}.$$

For our application, we are going to be most interested in the generating set of a toric ideal of a graph. Such generating sets can be described by primitive closed even walks. Furthermore, when G is bipartite, a generating set of I_G can be given simply in terms of even cycles.

Definition 3.1. Let w be an even cycle, i.e.

$$w = ((v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_3), \dots, (v_{2n}, v_1))$$

where $e_i = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$. The binomial arising from w is

$$B_w = \prod_{i=1}^n y_{e_{2i-1}} - \prod_{i=1}^n y_{e_{2i}}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Given a bipartite graph G , the ideal I_G is generated by the set of binomials arising from even cycles on G [26].*

Just as we can define a binomial arising from a cycle, we can define a binomial associated to two tilings. Regard two tilings T_1 and T_2 of a cubulated region R as perfect matchings in G_R .

Definition 3.3. Define the binomial arising from (T_1, T_2) to be

$$B_{T_1, T_2} = \prod_{e_i \in T_1} y_{e_i} - \prod_{e_j \in T_2} y_{e_j}.$$

Note that if R is a cubicated region and $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_R$, then the binomial B_{T_1, T_2} arising from (T_1, T_2) is in the toric ideal I_{G_R} since

$$\phi_{G_R}(B_{T_1, T_2}) = \prod_{e_i \in T_1} \phi_{G_R}(y_{e_i}) - \prod_{e_j \in T_2} \phi_{G_R}(y_{e_j}) = \prod_{i \in V(G_R)} x_i - \prod_{i \in V(G_R)} x_i = 0.$$

Remark 3.4. For ease of notation, we will use the following monomial shorthand. Let $E_0 \subseteq E(G)$, then we define

$$y^{E_0} := \prod_{e_i \in E_0} y_{e_i}.$$

Thus, we will write B_{T_1, T_2} as

$$B_{T_1, T_2} = y^{T_1} - y^{T_2}.$$

Similar to a binomial B_{T_1, T_2} arising from two tilings, the *binomial arising from a tiling move* (D_1, D_2) is $B_{D_1, D_2} = y^{D_1} - y^{D_2}$ and is also in I_{G_R} .

We can describe a way to move between any two tilings in \mathcal{T}_R by invoking the Fundamental Theorem of Markov Bases from algebraic statistics [5, 6]. To do this we now build a connection between toric ideals of graphs and the language of Markov bases. First, let's describe I_G in an alternate way using design matrices. Indeed, the most common way to define a toric ideal is through an integer matrix A ; this matrix is referred to as the *design matrix* in algebraic statistics. Let A be the vertex-edge incidence matrix of G with $N = \#E(G)$ columns. Then

$$I_G = I_A := \langle y^u - y^v \mid u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N, Au = Av \rangle.$$

In this setting, we can think about $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$ as integer vectors or as multisets of edges drawn from $E(G)$. The condition $Au = Av$ means that u and v have the same degree sequence as multigraphs.

Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$. The *fiber of u with respect to A* is

$$\mathcal{F}(u) = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N : Av = Au\}.$$

The fiber of u is precisely the collection of all multigraphs with edges drawn from $E(G)$ with same degree sequence as u . Since every tiling of R has the same degree sequence when viewed as a perfect matching of G_R , it is the case that $\mathcal{T}_R = \mathcal{F}(T)$ for any tiling T of R . This key observation allows us to use Markov bases to find a set of moves to connect \mathcal{T}_R .

Definition 3.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{M \times N}$. Let $\ker_{\mathbb{Z}} A = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^N : Av = 0\}$ be the integer kernel of A . A finite set $\mathcal{MB} \subset \ker_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ is called a *Markov basis* for A if for all $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$ and $v \in \mathcal{F}(u)$, there is a sequence $b_1, \dots, b_r \in \pm \mathcal{MB} := \{(-1)^i b : b \in \mathcal{MB}, i = 0, 1\}$ such that

$$v = u + \sum_{k=1}^r b_k \text{ and } u + \sum_{k=1}^s b_k \geq 0 \text{ for all } s = 1, \dots, r.$$

The elements of a Markov basis are called *Markov moves*.

When A is the vertex-edge incidence matrix of G_R for a cubicated region R , every Markov move b with 0, 1, and -1 entries corresponds to a move $M = (D_1, D_2)$ on R by letting D_1 be the set of edges whose corresponding entries of b have value -1 and D_2 be the set of edges whose corresponding entries of b have value 1. Let b_{D_1, D_2} be the vector in $\{-1, 0, 1\}^N$ that corresponds to the move (D_1, D_2) . If \mathcal{MB} is a Markov basis for A , then $\mathcal{MB}_{sf} := \mathcal{MB} \cap \{-1, 0, 1\}^N$ connects \mathcal{T}_R (due to the fact that every tiling in \mathcal{T}_R can be viewed as a 0-1 vector and thus applying a move not in $\{-1, 0, 1\}^N$ would move us outside of the fiber \mathcal{T}_R).

The Fundamental Theorem of Markov Bases gives a way to test whether or not a set \mathcal{MB} is indeed a Markov basis.

Theorem 3.6. [5] *Let $\mathcal{MB} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ be a set of vectors; note that every vector b_i can be written uniquely as the difference $b_i = b_i^+ - b_i^-$ of two non-negative vectors with disjoint support. The set $\mathcal{MB} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ is a Markov basis for the matrix A if and only if the corresponding set of binomials $\{x^{b_i^+} - x^{b_i^-}\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ generates the toric ideal I_A .*

Recall that a move is size d if $|D_1| = |D_2| = d$.

Theorem 3.7. *If I_{G_R} is generated by binomials of degree d or less, then the set of tilings of a cubicated region R is connected by moves of size d or less.*

Proof. Let A be the vertex-edge incidence matrix of G_R . Assume I_{G_R} is generated by binomials of degree d or less. Then by Theorem 3.6, this means that there is a Markov basis \mathcal{MB} for A whose moves all have size d or less. Since a Markov basis connects every fiber of A , and \mathcal{T}_R is a fiber of A , the set \mathcal{MB} connects \mathcal{T}_R . \square

Theorem 3.8. (*Moves that connect tilings spaces*) *Let R be a cubicated region with the associated graph G_R . Let \mathcal{M}_{cycles} be the set of moves on \mathcal{T}_R corresponding to the set of chordless cycles of G_R , i.e.*

$$\mathcal{M}_{cycles} := \{b_{D_1, D_2} : D_1 \cup D_2 \text{ is a chordless cycle of } G_R\}.$$

Then \mathcal{M}_{cycles} connects \mathcal{T}_R .

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 in [16], since I_{G_R} is bipartite, I_{G_R} is generated by binomials arising from chordless cycles of G_R . This means, by Theorem 3.6, \mathcal{M}_{cycles} is a Markov basis for the vertex-edge incidence matrix of G_R , thus, $\mathcal{M}_{cycles} \subset \{-1, 0, 1\}^N$ connects \mathcal{T}_R . \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let $2d$ be the size of the largest chordless cycle in G_R . Then the space of tilings of R is connected by moves of size d or less.*

Note that Corollary 3.9 holds for any R in any dimension. However, in many instances, especially in the 2-dimensional setting, the bound given in Corollary 3.9 is far from sharp. This is due to the fact that tiling binomials can usually be written without using the larger degree generators of I_G , as we will see in Section 4.

Example 3.10.

(a) The graph G_R of the cubicated region R in row (a) of Figure 3 has a single chordless cycle of length 10. This means I_{G_R} is a principal ideal generated by a binomial of degree 5. The region R has exactly two tilings that are connected by the tiling move of size 5 that corresponds to the generating binomial of I_{G_R} .

(b) The largest cycle of the graph G_R of the cubicated region R in row (b) of Figure 3 is length 8. However, this length 8 cycle is not chordless. In fact, G_R has no chordless cycles of length > 4 . This means I_{G_R} is generated by quadratics and \mathcal{T}_R is flip connected. Indeed, by this same reasoning and applying Theorem 3.8, we can conclude if R is the $2 \times \ell$ box $B_{2,\ell}$, then \mathcal{T}_R is flip connected.

(c) The graph G_R of the cubicated region R in row (c) of Figure 3 has a chordless cycle of length 10. The degree 5 binomial in I_G corresponding to this cycle is an *indispensable binomial* of I_G [21], meaning that there exists a nonzero constant multiple of it in every minimal system of binomial generators of I_G . However, unlike the region in row (a), for this region, the space of tilings \mathcal{T}_R is flip connected and we do not need the size 5 move to connect the space of tilings.

(d) The graph G_R of the cubicated region R in row (d) of Figure 3 has a chordless cycle of length 6, which corresponds to the *trit* move described in [15]. The cubic binomial in I_G corresponding to this cycle is an indispensable binomial of I_G . However, for this region, the space of tilings \mathcal{T}_R is flip connected and we do not need the trit move; in fact, for R , there is no tiling for which we can apply the trit move.

3.2. Tiling and flip ideals. Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.9 give a bound on the size of moves needed to connect the space of tilings of a region R , however, this bound can be arbitrarily large. For example, let $m, n \geq 3$, then $G_{m,n}$ contains a chordless cycle of length $2(m+n)$.

A local flip (D_1, D_2) corresponds to a 4-cycle in G_R and the corresponding binomial $y^{D_1} - y^{D_2}$ has degree 2. Conversely, any non-zero quadratic binomial in I_{G_R} must correspond to a 4-cycle, and consequently, a flip move. Thus, to show \mathcal{T}_R is flip connected, we need to show that every binomial arising from two tilings is generated by quadratics.




FIGURE 3. Four cubicated regions and their corresponding graphs with the largest cycle highlighted.

Definition 3.11. Let R be a cubicated region with associated graph G_R . The *flip ideal* of R is defined as follows:

$$I_{R_{flip}} := \langle y^{D_1} - y^{D_2} : (D_1, D_2) \in \mathcal{M}_{flip} \rangle \subseteq I_{G_R}.$$

The *tiling ideal* of R is defined as follows:

$$I_{R_{tiling}} := \langle y^{T_1} - y^{T_2} : T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_R \rangle \subseteq I_{G_R}.$$

Using the flip and tiling ideal, we can use the language of ideals to restate what it means for a region to be flip connected.

Theorem 3.12. A tiling space \mathcal{T}_r of a cubicated region R is flip connected if and only if

$$I_{R_{tiling}} \subseteq I_{R_{flip}}.$$

For a region R , both the flip ideal and the tiling ideal are subideals of the toric ideal of the graph G_R :

$$I_{R_{tiling}} \subseteq I_{G_R} \qquad I_{R_{flip}} \subseteq I_{G_R}.$$

When G_R contains no chordless cycles of length > 4 , we have $I_{R_{flip}} = I_{G_R}$, and thus, $I_{R_{tiling}} \subseteq I_{flip}$ and R is flip connected.

While $I_{R_{tiling}}$ and $I_{R_{flip}}$ are binomial ideals, unlike I_{G_R} they are not always prime ideals and therefore not always toric ideals. However, the primary decomposition of the flip ideal of a region has interesting combinatorics. Indeed, working from an earlier version of this manuscript, in [2], Chin explores the flip ideals of $3 \times \ell$ box regions and gives a complete description of their primary decompositions.

We now explore the three ideals I_{G_R} , $I_{R_{tiling}}$, and $I_{R_{flip}}$ and their possible relationships through four examples.

Example 3.13. Let $R = B_{2,3}$, the 2×3 box. Using the labeling in Figure 4, we have




FIGURE 4. The graph $G_{2,3}$ with edges labeled.

- (1) $I_{G_R} = \langle y_1y_7 - y_2y_6, y_4y_7 - y_3y_5 \rangle$,
- (2) $I_{R_{tiling}} = \langle y_1y_3y_5 - y_2y_4y_6, y_1y_4y_7 - y_1y_3y_5, y_1y_4y_7 - y_2y_4y_6 \rangle$,
- (3) $I_{R_{flip}} = \langle y_4y_7 - y_3y_5, y_1y_7 - y_2y_6 \rangle$.




FIGURE 5. On top are the three tilings, T_1, T_2 , and T_3 , of the 2×3 box. On bottom are the three cycle covers of $G_{2,3}$ formed by the possible pairs of tilings.

The three tilings of R are depicted in Figure 5. Notice that the last binomial listed in the generating set of $I_{R_{tiling}}$ is not needed and we could have written $I_{R_{tiling}} = \langle y_1y_3y_5 - y_2y_4y_6, y_1y_4y_7 - y_1y_3y_5 \rangle$. For this region, it is the case that

$$I_{R_{tiling}} \subseteq I_{R_{flip}} = I_{G_R}.$$

and thus \mathcal{T}_R is flip connected by Theorem 3.12.

Example 3.14. Now let R be the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ box. The associated grid graph with edge labels is depicted in Figure 6.




FIGURE 6. The associated grid graph of the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ box.

We compute the following

- (1) $I_{G_R} = \langle y_1y_3 - y_2y_4, y_3y_{10} - y_6y_7, y_4y_9 - y_5y_6, y_1y_{12} - y_5y_8, y_2y_{11} - y_7y_8, y_9y_{11} - y_{10}y_{12}, y_2y_5y_{10} - y_1y_9y_7, y_4y_7y_{12} - y_3y_5y_{11}, y_2y_6y_{12} - y_3y_8y_9, y_1y_6y_{11} - y_4y_8y_{10} \rangle$
- (2) $I_{R_{tiling}} = \langle y_2y_5y_6y_{11} - y_5y_6y_7y_8, y_4y_9y_7y_8 - y_5y_6y_7y_8, y_2y_4y_9y_{11} - y_4y_9y_7y_8, y_2y_4y_9y_{11} - y_2y_4y_{10}y_{12}, y_1y_3y_{10}y_{12} - y_2y_4y_{10}y_{12}, y_1y_3y_{10}y_{12} - y_1y_3y_9y_{11}, y_1y_3y_{10}y_{12} - y_1y_6y_{11}y_{12}, y_1y_3y_{10}y_{12} - y_3y_5y_8y_{10} \rangle$
- (3) $I_{R_{flip}} = \langle y_1y_3 - y_2y_4, y_3y_{10} - y_6y_7, y_4y_9 - y_5y_6, y_1y_{12} - y_5y_8, y_2y_{11} - y_7y_8, y_9y_{11} - y_{10}y_{12} \rangle$.

For this example, while $I_{R_{flip}} \neq I_{G_R}$, we do have that $I_{R_{tiling}} \subseteq I_{R_{flip}}$. This can be seen by noticing that every generator of $I_{R_{tiling}}$ is a monomial multiple of an element in $I_{R_{flip}}$. Since $I_{R_{tiling}} \subseteq I_{R_{flip}}$, the tiling space \mathcal{T}_R is flip connected by Theorem 3.12.

Example 3.15. In this example, let $R = B_{3,4}$, the 3×4 box. The associated grid graph with edge labels is depicted in Figure 7.




FIGURE 7. The grid graph associated with the 3×4 box with edges labeled 1 through 17.

We compute the following

- (1) $I_{G_R} = \langle y_1y_{12} - y_2y_{11}, y_{12}y_{15} - y_3y_{14}, y_5y_{15} - y_4y_{17}, y_{10}y_{13} - y_9y_{11}, y_{13}y_{16} - y_8y_{14}, y_6y_{16} - y_7y_{17}, y_1y_3y_9y_{16} - y_2y_8y_{10}y_{15}, y_4y_6y_8y_{12} - y_3y_5y_7y_{13}, y_1y_3y_5y_7y_9 - y_2y_4y_6y_8y_{10} \rangle$
- (2) $I_{R_{tiling}} = \langle y_1y_5y_7y_9y_{12}y_{15} - y_2y_4y_7y_9y_{11}y_{17}, y_1y_5y_7y_9y_{12}y_{15} - y_2y_4y_6y_8y_{10}y_{14}, y_1y_5y_7y_9y_{12}y_{15} - y_1y_3y_5y_7y_9y_{14}, y_1y_5y_7y_9y_{12}y_{15} - y_2y_4y_6y_{10}y_{13}y_{16}, y_2y_5y_9y_7y_{11}y_{15} - y_1y_3y_5y_7y_9y_{14}, y_2y_5y_9y_7y_{11}y_{15} - y_2y_4y_6y_9y_{11}y_{16}, y_2y_4y_6y_9y_{11}y_{16} - y_1y_4y_7y_9y_{12}y_{17}, y_1y_4y_7y_9y_{12}y_{17} - y_1y_4y_6y_9y_{12}y_{16}, y_1y_4y_6y_9y_{12}y_{16} - y_2y_4y_7y_{10}y_{13}y_{17}, y_2y_4y_7y_{10}y_{13}y_{17} - y_2y_5y_7y_{10}y_{13}y_{15} \rangle$
- (3) $I_{R_{flip}} = \langle y_1y_{12} - y_2y_{11}, y_{12}y_{15} - y_3y_{14}, y_5y_{15} - y_4y_{17}, y_{10}y_{13} - y_9y_{11}, y_{13}y_{16} - y_8y_{14}, y_6y_{16} - y_7y_{17} \rangle$.

In this example, as with the previous example, $I_{R_{flip}} \neq I_{G_R}$, but $I_{R_{tiling}} \subseteq I_{R_{flip}}$, hence, the tiling space \mathcal{T}_R is flip connected by Theorem 3.12.

Example 3.16. Let R be the 3-dimensional region whose associated graph is pictured in Figure 8.




FIGURE 8. The labeled graph G_R of a 3-dimensional region R that contains the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cube as a subregion.

We compute the following

- (1) $I_{G_R} = \langle y_1y_3 - y_2y_4, y_3y_{10} - y_6y_7, y_4y_9 - y_5y_6, y_1y_{12} - y_5y_8, y_2y_{11} - y_7y_8, y_9y_{11} - y_{10}y_{12}, y_2y_5y_{10} - y_1y_9y_7, y_4y_7y_{12} - y_3y_5y_{11}, y_2y_6y_{12} - y_3y_8y_9, y_1y_6y_{11} - y_4y_8y_{10} \rangle$
- (2) $I_{R_{tiling}} = \langle y_2y_6y_{12}y_{13}y_{14} - y_3y_9y_8y_{13}y_{14} \rangle$
- (3) $I_{R_{flip}} = \langle y_1y_3 - y_2y_4, y_3y_{10} - y_6y_7, y_4y_9 - y_5y_6, y_1y_{12} - y_5y_8, y_2y_{11} - y_7y_8, y_9y_{11} - y_{10}y_{12} \rangle$.

In this example, there are only two tilings of R and thus $I_{R_{tiling}}$ is a principal ideal. The tiling ideal is not contained in the flip ideal, and hence, the tiling space is not flip connected. Indeed, a trit move is needed to connect the space, which can be seen by noting that the single generator of $I_{R_{tiling}}$ can be factored into a monomial and cubic trit binomial

$$y_{13}y_{14}(y_2y_6y_{12} - y_3y_9y_8).$$

3.3. Tiling binomials in terms of cycle covers. Recall that for two tilings T_1 and T_2 of R , their union $T_1 \cup T_2$ is a cycle cover of G_R . In this section, we state and prove a lemma regarding such cycle covers that will be helpful in giving an algebraic proof of Theorem 2.3.

Let T_1 and T_2 be two tilings of a cubicated region R with corresponding cycle cover $T_1 \cup T_2 = \mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_r\}$ of G_R . We define the cycle binomial $B_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ corresponding to the cycle \mathcal{C}_i as follows. Construct a closed walk w_i on each $\mathcal{C}_i \in \mathcal{C}$ by starting with an edge in T_1 and then walking in either direction. Then the cycle binomial $B_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ is the binomial arising from the walk w_i :

$$B_{\mathcal{C}_i} := B_{w_i} = \prod_{e \in T_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_i} y_e - \prod_{e \in T_2 \cap \mathcal{C}_i} y_e.$$

Lemma 3.17. *Let T_1 and T_2 be two tilings of a cubicated region R with corresponding cycle cover $T_1 \cup T_2 = \mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_r\}$ of G_R . Then B_{T_1, T_2} can be written as the sum of r binomials where the i th binomial can be factored into a monomial and the cycle binomial $B_{\mathcal{C}_i}$.*

Proof. We begin by describing the i th monomial that appears in the sum described by the lemma. Let

$$m_1 = y^{T_1 \setminus \mathcal{C}_1},$$

and for $i = 2, \dots, r$, let

$$m_i = y^{(T_1 \setminus (\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_i)) \cup (T_2 \cap (\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{C}_{i-1}))}.$$

Then, we can write B_{T_1, T_2} as

$$B_{T_1, T_2} = m_1 B_{\mathcal{C}_1} + m_2 B_{\mathcal{C}_2} + \dots + m_r B_{\mathcal{C}_r}.$$

□

Remark 3.18. Note that the binomial arising from a 2-cycle \mathcal{C}_i has the following form

$$y_j - y_j.$$

Therefore adding $B_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ is equivalent to adding zero. Thus, we can obtain a similar statement to Lemma 3.17 by letting \mathcal{C} be all cycles of $T_1 \cup T_2$ of length greater than 2.

Example 3.19. Let R be the 2×5 box and consider the two tilings T_1 and T_2 pictured in Figure 9. The cycle cover $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2\}$ of G_R induced by $T_1 \cup T_2$ is also shown in Figure 9.

The binomial arising from (T_1, T_2) is

$$B_{T_1, T_2} = y_1 y_3 y_5 y_7 y_9 - y_2 y_4 y_6 y_8 y_{10}.$$

Using Lemma 3.17, we can write B_{T_1, T_2} in terms of $B_{\mathcal{C}_1} = y_1 y_3 - y_2 y_4$ and $B_{\mathcal{C}_2} = y_5 y_7 y_9 - y_6 y_8 y_{10}$ as follows

$$B_{T_1, T_2} = y_5 y_7 y_9 (y_1 y_3 - y_2 y_4) + y_2 y_4 (y_5 y_7 y_9 - y_6 y_8 y_{10}).$$

Notice that the factored monomials in this sum have the form described in the proof of Lemma 3.17. In particular, working on the labels of the indeterminates appearing in each monomial, we have

$$\{5, 7, 9\} = T_1 \setminus \mathcal{C}_1 = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\} \setminus \{1, 2, 3, 4\},$$

and

$$\{2, 4\} = (T_1 \setminus (\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2)) \cup (T_2 \cap \mathcal{C}_1) = \emptyset \cup (T_2 \cap \mathcal{C}_1) = \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10\} \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$




FIGURE 9. The top row shows two tilings, T_1 and T_2 , of the 2×5 box. The bottom row shows the two cycles formed when taking the union of T_1 and T_2 as edge sets of G_R .

4. CONNECTIVITY OF TILINGS OF 2-DIMENSIONAL REGIONS

We conclude this paper by showing any binomial arising from two tilings of a 2-dimensional simply connected cubicated region R is generated by quadratics. This allows us to prove that the space of tilings of R is flip connected.

Definition 4.1. A region R is said to be *simply connected* if any simple closed curve can be shrunk to a point continuously in the set.

Remark 4.2. A 2-dimensional region is simply connected if it has no holes.

Theorem 4.3. *Let R be a 2-dimensional simply connected cubicated region. Any binomial arising from two distinct tilings of R is generated by quadratics. In particular, $I_{R_{\text{tiling}}} \subseteq I_{R_{\text{flip}}}$.*

Our proof of Theorem 4.3 relies on a couple of lemmas that we will prove first.

Definition 4.4. Let R be a 2-dimensional simply connected cubicated region with graph G_R . We call a cycle \mathcal{C}_1 of G_R a *contractible cycle* if, when G_R is drawn in the plane as a grid graph, the interior of \mathcal{C}_1 contains no vertices.

Contractible cycles can be regarded as Hamiltonian cycles of a subgraph $G_{R'}$ of G_R where R' is a simply connected subregion of R . Indeed, if R is simply connected, then any Hamiltonian cycle of G_R is a contractible cycle. Moreover, when R is a 2-dimensional simply connected region, any 2-cycle of G_R is a contractible cycle.

Definition 4.5. Let R be a 2-dimensional simply connected cubicated region with graph G_R . We call a cycle \mathcal{C}_0 of G_R a *perimeter cycle* if, when G_R is drawn in the plane as a grid graph, the interior of \mathcal{C}_0 contains no cycles or only 2-cycles. (The name *perimeter* comes from that fact that if $T_1 \cup T_2$ contains a single perimeter cycle and no other cycles besides 2-cycles, then the tilings T_1 and T_2 only differ on the perimeter of a simply connected subregion.)

Note that a contractible cycle is a perimeter cycle, and thus, a 2-cycle is a perimeter cycle.

Lemma 4.6. Let T_1 and T_2 be two tilings of a simply connected 2-dimensional cubicated region R such that $T_1 \cup T_2$ is a collection of perimeter cycles $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_r$. There exists a sequence of flip moves $(D_{1_1}, D_{2_1}), \dots, (D_{1_s}, D_{2_s})$ that takes T_1 to T'_1 and a sequence of flip moves $(D'_{1_1}, D'_{2_1}), \dots, (D'_{1_t}, D'_{2_t})$ that takes T_2 to T'_2 such that $T'_1 \cup T'_2$ is a collection of contractible cycles. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{T_1, T_2} &= y^{T_1 \setminus D_{1_1}}(y^{D_{1_1}} - y^{D_{2_1}}) + \dots + y^{T_1 \setminus D_{2_s}}(y^{D_{1_s}} - y^{D_{2_s}}) \\ &\quad + B_{T'_1, T'_2} \\ &\quad + y^{T'_2 \setminus D'_{2_t}}(y^{D'_{2_t}} - y^{D'_{1_t}}) + \dots + y^{T'_2 \setminus D'_{1_1}}(y^{D'_{2_1}} - y^{D'_{1_1}}) \end{aligned}$$

where each binomial of the form $y^{D_{1_i}} - y^{D_{2_i}}$ or $(y^{D_{2_i}} - y^{D_{1_i}})$ has degree 2.

Proof. Let k be the number of vertices contained in the interiors of $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_r$ when G_R is drawn in the plane as a grid graph. We will induct on k .

For the base case, assume $k = 0$. Then $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_r$ are all contractible cycles. Therefore, $T_1 \cup T_2$ is a collection of contractible cycles.

Now suppose the statement is true for up to $k - 1$ internal vertices and assume the cycles in \mathcal{C} have $k > 0$ internal vertices. Since $k > 0$, the interior of at least one of $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_r$ contains at least one 2-cycle, let's assume \mathcal{C}_1 contains at least one 2-cycle. We will consider three cases based on the positions of the interior 2-cycles.

Case 1: An interior 2-cycle is parallel to \mathcal{C}_1 .

In this case we can perform a local flip the edge parallel to the 2-cycle as shown in Figure 10. This yields a decrease in the number of internal vertices by 2, and then, we can apply the induction hypothesis.

Case 2: There exists an interior 2-cycle that is not parallel to \mathcal{C}_1 .

Let us categorize 2-cycles into two types: north-south cycles and east-west cycles (see




FIGURE 10. A local flip is performed on with the 2-cycle parallel to \mathcal{C}_1 .




FIGURE 11. On the left is a *north-south* 2-cycle. On the right is an *east-west* 2-cycle.

Figure 11). In the case where there is no 2-cycle parallel to \mathcal{C}_1 , then (i) there exists a east-west cycle such that the two vertices in G_R directly north of the 2-cycle or the two vertices in G_R directly south of the 2-cycle are both contained in \mathcal{C}_1 or (ii) there exists a north-south cycle such that the two vertices in G_R directly east of the 2-cycle or the two vertices in G_R directly west of the 2-cycle are both contained in \mathcal{C}_1 . We note that if either (i) or (ii) does not hold, then starting at any interior 2-cycle, there is an infinite sequence of 2-cycles that can be constructed by choosing the 2-cycle that covers at least one vertex to the north or east of the previous cycle, and thus R is not finite.

Without loss of generality, let's assume that there is a 2-cycle \mathcal{C}_2 of the form described in situation (i) such that the two vertices directly north of the \mathcal{C}_2 are both contained in G_R . In this situation, \mathcal{C}_1 must transverse the vertices north of \mathcal{C}_2 in the way illustrated in Figure 12. Note that the edges e_1 and e_2 in Figure 12 must be from the same tiling since every chord of \mathcal{C}_1 must be even. After performing a local flip, \mathcal{C}_1 is split into two cycles, \mathcal{C}'_1 that contains \mathcal{C}_2 and \mathcal{C}'_2 that contains no vertices or only 2-cycles and thus is a new perimeter cycle; see Figure 13. We have not reduced the number of interior vertices, however, we now meet the conditions of Case 1 and can proceed accordingly.

□

Lemma 4.7. *Let R be a simply connected 2-dimensional cubicated region and let T_1, T_2 be two tilings such that $T_1 \cup T_2$ contains a single contractible cycle of G_R of length ≥ 4 and no other cycles besides 2-cycles. Then B_{T_1, T_2} is generated by quadratics.*




FIGURE 12. An east-west cycle such that the two vertices in G_R directly north of the 2-cycle are traversed by \mathcal{C}_1 .




FIGURE 13. Configuration from Figure 12 after performing a local flip.






FIGURE 14. A single 4-cycle.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C}_1 be the single contractible cycle of length ≥ 4 . We will proceed by induction on the length of \mathcal{C}_1 , which we will denote by k .

For the base case, let $k = 4$. Then \mathcal{C}_1 is a single 4-cycle. Let \mathcal{C}_1 be labeled as in Figure 14, then B_{T_1, T_2} is the product of a monomial and the quadratic $y_1 y_3 - y_2 y_4$, and thus is generated by quadratics.

Now assume \mathcal{C}_1 has length $k > 4$ and the statement holds whenever the length of \mathcal{C}_1 is less than k . Embed G_R into a grid graph and let row i be the first row (scanning from north to south) that contains a vertex covered by \mathcal{C}_1 and let column j be the first column in row i that contains a vertex covered by \mathcal{C}_1 ; we will call the (i, j) th vertex of the grid graph, the north-west corner of \mathcal{C}_1 and refer to it as v .

FIGURE 15. The north-west corner of \mathcal{C}_1 .FIGURE 16. The three ways the north-west corner can be traversed by \mathcal{C}_1 .

By the way we selected the north-west corner, the vertex v must be traversed by \mathcal{C}_1 as illustrated in Figure 15. Furthermore, since R is simply connected, and \mathcal{C}_1 is a contractible cycle, the highlighted vertex in Figure 15 must also be covered by \mathcal{C}_1 . The highlighted vertex can be covered in three ways as shown in Figure 16.

For the first two cases illustrated in Figure 16, we can perform a local flip move that decomposes \mathcal{C}_1 into a 2-cycle and a contractible cycle of length less than \mathcal{C}_1 , and then apply the induction hypothesis.

For the third case illustrated in Figure 16, note that the edge e in G_R from u , the vertex south of v , to the highlighted vertex is an even chord of \mathcal{C}_1 , since G_R contains only even cycles. Using e , we can split \mathcal{C}_1 into two even contractible cycles, \mathcal{C}_2 and \mathcal{C}_3 , that overlap on the edge e . Then, similar to the proof of Lemma 3.17, and assuming the edges adjacent to e in \mathcal{C}_2 belong to T_1 , we can define the following two pairs of tilings:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= T_1 & S_2 &= (T_1 \setminus \mathcal{C}_2) \cup (\mathcal{C}_2 \setminus T_1) \\ U_1 &= (T_1 \setminus \mathcal{C}_2) \cup (\mathcal{C}_2 \setminus T_1) = (T_2 \setminus \mathcal{C}_3) \cup (\mathcal{C}_3 \setminus T_2) & U_2 &= T_2. \end{aligned}$$

The binomial B_{T_1, T_2} can be written in terms of binomials arising from S_1, S_2 and U_1, U_2 :

$$B_{T_1, T_2} = B_{S_1, S_2} + B_{U_1, U_2}.$$

Since $S_1 \cup S_2$ and $U_1 \cup U_2$ both contain only 2-cycles and a single contractible cycle of length ≥ 4 but less than k , the binomials B_{S_1, S_2} and B_{U_1, U_2} are both generated by quadratics and thus B_{T_1, T_2} is generated by quadratics. \square

We now can begin our proof of Theorem 4.3. We will induct on the binomial degree of the tiling binomial.

Definition 4.8. Let b be a homogeneous binomial of the form $b = m(u - v)$ where m, u, v are monomials and $\gcd(u, v) = 1$. We will call the $\deg u = \deg v$, the *binomial degree* of b .

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let B_{T_1, T_2} be a non-zero binomial arising from two tilings T_1, T_2 . We will induct on the binomial degree of B_{T_1, T_2} .

In the base case, let's assume the binomial degree of B_{T_1, T_2} is 2. Then

$$B_{T_1, T_2} = y^{T_1 \setminus D_1} (y^{D_1} - y^{D_2})$$

where the size of the move (D_1, D_2) is 2 and thus a flip move.

Now, assume that the binomial degree of B_{T_1, T_2} is $k > 2$ and the statement holds whenever the binomial degree is less than k . Note that the binomial degree of B_{T_1, T_2} is equal to $1/2$ the sum of the lengths of all cycles with length > 2 in $T_1 \cup T_2$. Thus, we can proceed by considering two cases based on whether $T_1 \cup T_2$ has more than one cycle with length > 2 or a single cycle of length > 2 .

For the first case, assume $T_1 \cup T_2$ has more than one cycle with length > 2 ; let's call these cycles $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_r$. By Lemma 3.17, B_{T_1, T_2} can be written as the sum of r binomials where the i th binomial can be factored into a monomial and the cycle binomial $B_{\mathcal{C}_i}$. This means that the i th binomial in the sum has binomial degree equal to $1/2 \cdot (\text{length of } \mathcal{C}_i)$, which is less than k . Thus, by applying the induction hypothesis to each binomial in the sum, we have that B_{T_1, T_2} is generated by quadratics and $B_{T_1, T_2} \in I_{flip}$.

For the second case, assume $T_1 \cup T_2$ has a single cycle \mathcal{C}_1 with length > 2 . In this case, \mathcal{C}_1 is a perimeter cycle. Thus, by combining Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 3.17, and applying Lemma 4.7 to each resulting contractible cycle, we have $B_{T_1, T_2} \in I_{flip}$. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Let R be a 2-dimensional simply connected cubicated region. Then \mathcal{T}_R is flip connected.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper would not have been possible without the support of the following individuals. We would like to extend gratitude to Dr. Caroline Klivans for inspiring us with her work on the connectivity of domino tiling spaces and lending her thoughts on our journey to proving our main theorem. Dr. Sylvie Corteel provided us constant support, and we thank her for sharing her unique insights for the 2-dimensional case. We also would like to thank our friends at San Francisco State University, Dr. Serkan Hosten for thoughtful comments on an earlier draft of the manuscript and Dr. Federico Ardila for the many helpful conversations along the way. We thank Dr. Randy McCarthy for providing his insight as a topologist in addition to generating examples to explore.

REFERENCES

1. J. Bouttier, G. Chapuy, and S. Corteel, *From Aztec Diamonds to pyramid: Steep Tilings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2017), 5921–5959.
2. T. Chin, *A computational commutative algebra approach to tilings*, Applied Mathematics Theses and Dissertations. Brown Digital Repository. Brown University Library (2019).
3. M. Ciucu, *An improved upper bound for the three dimensional dimer problem*, Duke Mathematical Journal (1998), 1–11.
4. H. Cohn, R. Kenyon, and J. Propp, *A Variational Principle for Domino Tilings*, Journal of the AMS **14** (2001), 297–346.
5. P. Diaconis and B. Sturmfels, *Algebraic algorithms for sampling from conditional distributions*, The Annals of Statistics **26** (1998), no. 1, 363–397.
6. M. Drton, B. Sturmfels, and S. Sullivant, *Lectures on algebraic statistics*, vol. 39, Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
7. H.D. Ebbinghaus, *Computation Theory and Logic. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 1987.
8. M. Fisher and H. Temperley, *The Dimer Problem in Statistical Mechanics—an Exact Result*, Philosophical Magazine (1961), 1061–1063.
9. R.H. Fowler and G.S. Rushbrooke, *Statistical theory of perfect solutions*, Transactions of Faraday Society (1937), 1272–1294.
10. J. Freire, C. Klivans, P. Milet, and N. Saldanha, *On the Connectivity of Spaces of Three-dimensional Domino Tilings*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.00798 (2017).
11. F. Galetto, J. Hofscheier, G. Keiper, C. Kohne, A. Van Tuyl, M. Paczka, and E. Uribe, *Betti numbers of toric ideals of graphs: A case study*, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications **18** (2019), no. 12, 1950226.
12. — and A. Van Tuyl J. Biermann, A. O’Keefe, *Bounds on the regularity of toric ideals of graphs*, Advances in Applied Mathematics **85** (2017), 84–102.
13. P. Kasteleyn, *The Statistics of Dimers on a Lattice I. The Number of Dimer Arrangements on a Quadratic Lattice*, Physica **27** (1961), 1209–1225.
14. R. Kenyon and A. Okounkov, *Planar dimers and Harnack curves*, Duke Mathematical Journal (2006), 499–524.
15. P.H. Milet and N.C. Saldanha, *Domino Tilings of Three-dimensional Regions: flips, trits and twists*, (2014).
16. H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, *Toric Ideals Generated by Quadratic Binomials*, Journal of Algebra **218** (2009), 509–527.
17. —, *Toric Ideals and their Circuits*, Journal of Commutative Algebra **5** (2012), 309–322.
18. R. Kenyon A. Okounkov and S. Sheffield, *Dimers and amoebae*, Annals of Mathematics (2006), 1019–1056.
19. J. Propp and R. Stanley, *Domino tilings with barriers*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A (1999), 347–366.
20. D. Randall and G. Yngve, *Random three-dimensional Domino Tilings of Aztec octahedra and tetrahedra: An Extension of Domino Tilings*, Proceedings of the eleventh annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete Algorithms (2000), 207–233.
21. E. Reyes, C. Tatakis, and A. Thoma, *Minimal generators of toric ideals of graphs*, Advances in Applied Mathematics **48** (2012), no. 1, 64–78.
22. N.C. Saldanha, C. Tomei, M.A. Casarin Jr, and D. Romualdo, *Spaces of Domino Tilings*, Discrete and Computational Geometry (1995), 207–233.
23. R. Stanley, *What is enumerative combinatorics?*, Springer, 1986.

- 24. C. Tatakis and A. Thoma, *On the universal gröbner bases of toric ideals of graphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **118** (2011), no. 5, 1540–1548.
- 25. W. Thurston, *Conway's Tiling Groups*, The American Mathematical Monthly (1990), 757–773.
- 26. R. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras*, 2nd ed., CRC Press, 2015.
- 27. D. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, 2nd ed., Pearson, 2015.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAI‘I AT MĀNOA, HONOLULU, HI 96822
Email address: `egross@hawaii.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801
Email address: `nyamzon2@illinois.edu`