

1 **plantTracker: An R package to translate maps of plant occurrence into demographic**

2 **data**

3 Alice E. Stears^{1*}, Peter B. Adler², Shannon E. Albeke³, David H. Atkins¹, Jared Studyvin⁴,

4 Daniel C. Laughlin¹

5 ¹Botany Department and Program in Ecology, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY;

6 ²Department of Wildland Resources and the Ecology Center, Utah State University, Logan, UT;

7 ³Wyoming Geographic Information Science Center, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY;

8 ⁴Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY

9 *Corresponding Author: astears@uwyo.edu

10 **Abstract**

11 **1.** Long-term demographic data are rare yet invaluable for conservation, management, and
12 basic research on the underlying mechanisms of population and community dynamics. Historical
13 and contemporary mapped datasets of plant location and basal area present a relatively untapped
14 source of demographic records that, in some cases, span over 20 years of sequential data
15 collection. However, these maps do not uniquely mark individual plants, making the process of
16 collecting growth, survival, and recruitment data difficult.

17 **2.** Recent efforts to translate historical maps of plant occurrence into shapefiles make it
18 possible to use computer algorithms to track individuals through time and determine individual
19 growth and survival. We summarize the `plantTracker` R package, which contains user-
20 friendly functions to extract neighborhood density, growth, and survival data from repeatedly-
21 sampled maps of plant location and basal area. These functions can be used with data derived
22 from quadrat maps, aerial photography, and remote sensing, and while designed for use with

23 perennial plants, can be applied to any repeatedly mapped sessile organism.

24 3. This package contains two primary functions: `trackSpp()`, which tracks individuals
25 through time and assigns demographic data, as well as `getNeighbors()`, which calculates both
26 within and between-species neighborhood occupancy around each mapped individual.
27 plantTracker also contains functions to estimate plot-level recruitment, calculate plot-level
28 population growth rate, and create quadrat maps.

29 4. We tested the accuracy of the `trackSpp()` function on two spatial demographic
30 datasets. The function was nearly perfect at assigning individual identities and survival status
31 when tested on maps of tree basal area and perennial forb point locations. In both cases, the
32 function correctly assigned survival and recruitment with 99% accuracy. These accurate and
33 precise functions will expand the amount of data available to investigate demographic processes,
34 which are fundamental drivers of population, community, and ecosystem processes.

35 **Key-words:** demography, quadrat maps, R, neighborhood density, perennial plants

36 1 | Introduction

37 Long-term observations of plant demographic rates are uncommon yet necessary for
38 answering many pressing ecological questions. Demographic rates, including growth, survival,
39 and reproduction, are the primary mechanisms underlying individual and population responses to
40 the abiotic environment, and understanding them is important for both theoretical research and
41 practical applications. For example, the success of conservation efforts requires a clear
42 understanding of the sensitivity of each demographic rate to changes in the environment (Crone
43 et al., 2011).

44 One challenge is that collecting data to calculate demographic rates is time-consuming and

45 expensive. Typically, each individual must be tagged with a unique marker, mapped, and
46 measured annually for a minimum of two years (Caswell, 2001). The value of demographic data
47 typically increases with the number of consecutive years of data collection, yet demographic
48 datasets that span long periods of time are uncommon (Lindenmayer et al., 2012). However,
49 demographic data collection is time-consuming and tedious even in the short-term, and longer
50 studies face many obstacles ranging from shortage of funding to lack of personnel.

51 However, computer algorithms make it possible to retroactively extract growth and survival
52 information by comparing spatially-explicit datasets that show the location and size of organisms
53 across years. Datasets of this nature range from high-resolution aerial photographs showing
54 woody plant location and size, to hand-drawn maps of herbaceous plants in 1-meter quadrats. An
55 example of a particularly extensive source of spatial data are chart quadrat maps of plant basal
56 areas, generated by a method proposed by Clements (1907) to track plant communities through
57 time. The “chart quadrat” is a permanent, 1 m² quadrat within which the basal cover and species
58 identity of every individual plant is mapped, either as a polygon that represents its basal area, or
59 as a point if the plant has stems with negligible basal areas (Hill, 1920; White, 1985). Annual
60 re-sampling of chart quadrats generates maps that show how overall cover and location of
61 individuals has shifted over the sampling period (e.g., Fig. 1). Scientists established (and are still
62 establishing) hundreds of chart quadrats throughout western North America, beginning in the
63 early 20th century (Hill, 1920). Historic chart quadrat maps from seven sites have been
64 recovered and digitized into shapefiles (Adler, Tyburczy, & Lauenroth, 2007; Zachmann,
65 Moffet, & Adler, 2010; Anderson, Vermeire, & Adler, 2011; Anderson, McClaran, & Adler,
66 2012; Chu et al., 2013; Christensen et al., 2021; Moore et al., 2022), and are being used to test
67 ecological theory (Laughlin, Moore, & Fulé, 2011; Chu & Adler, 2015).

68 The major difference between traditional demographic monitoring methods and map data
69 such as chart quadrats is that individual plants are not uniquely tagged in map data. However, the
70 spatially explicit nature of maps can be leveraged to extract individual rates of growth and
71 survival, and plot-level rates of seedling recruitment. If a plant of the same species occurs at
72 approximately the same location from year to year, we can assume it is the same individual and
73 assign it values for survival and growth. This process of tracking unmarked, mapped individuals
74 to generate demographic data can also be used in any scenario where sessile organisms are
75 mapped annually. Additionally, unlike traditional tagged demographic data, mapped datasets
76 typically include information for multiple species, making it possible to generate individual-level
77 estimates of neighborhood density. These can be used to understand how competition within and
78 between species affects individual plant fitness, population dynamics and vegetation patterns
79 (Chu & Adler, 2015; Kunstler et al., 2016).

80 Leveraging maps to generate demographic data and neighborhood occupancy opens many
81 exciting possibilities. We can now use spatial data such as long-term chart quadrat maps to ask
82 questions about plant demographic processes over long timescales. For example, chart quadrat
83 maps from the Jornada Experimental Range yield growth and survival rates for 22 consecutive
84 annual transitions (Christensen et al., 2021). A study of this duration would be extremely time-
85 consuming to conduct using traditional demographic collection methods. These long-term
86 demographic datasets allow us to determine how growth, survival, and recruitment have shifted
87 in response to environmental change. Additionally, some chart quadrat locations were sampled
88 as early as 1915, making them ideal reference points against which to compare modern plant
89 communities and populations.

90 Here, we describe `plantTracker` (Stearns, Studyvin, & Atkins, 2022), a package for the R

91 statistical software available for download through GitHub (R Core Team, 2021).
92 `plantTracker` contains functions to extract competition, growth, survival, and recruitment
93 information from digitized maps of plant occurrence. This package provides users with a
94 straightforward set of tools to fully leverage the potential of fine-scale maps of plant occurrence
95 and size, as well as any other data where sessile organisms are mapped across time.

96 **2 | `plantTracker`**

97 `plantTracker` depends on the “sf” (Pebesma, 2018), "Matrix" (Bates & Maechler, 2019),
98 and "igraph" (Csardi & Nepusz, 2006) R packages, and contains two primary functions,
99 `trackSpp()` and `getNeighbors()`. `plantTracker` also has functions to generate plot-level
100 metrics such as recruitment, species basal area, and population growth rate (Table 1), which are
101 described in the “Suggested `plantTracker` Workflow” package vignette. Tracking algorithms
102 that generate demographic data from maps were first implemented by Lauenroth and Adler
103 (2008), but their code was not published or easily generalizable. While `plantTracker` consists
104 of entirely new code, our general approach was inspired by this previous work.

105 ***Input Data***

106 Although designed for use with chart quadrat maps, `plantTracker` can be used with any
107 dataset where organisms are mapped and identified to species across at least two time points.
108 `plantTracker` functions can be used with a variety of data sources, but the data itself must
109 follow a specific format. The main input data frame, or “`dat`”, must be an “sf” spatial data frame
110 in which each row represents one observation or individual in a single year, and contains a
111 “`polygon`” or “`multipolygon`” geometry corresponding to the location of that observation.
112 Although not required, it is ideal if this geometry also indicates the basal area of the observation.
113 If this is not the case, `plantTracker` functions will only produce faithful estimates of survival,

114 not growth. Observations originally mapped as points must be converted to small polygons of
115 negligible area. `trackSpp()` and `getNeighbors()` also require an “`inv`” argument, short for
116 “inventory,” which is a named list indicating the years in which each quadrat was sampled.

117 **`trackSpp()` Function**

118 The `trackSpp()` function overlays maps of quadrats from sequential timesteps and assigns
119 overlapping individuals the same unique identifier. The function assumes an annual sampling
120 interval, but this is not strictly necessary. It then uses these identifiers, or “`trackIDs`”, to assign
121 values to individuals indicating survival to the next year (0 = death, or 1 = survival), size in the
122 next year, a value indicating whether it is a recruit (0 = not recruit/1 = recruit), and age. Recruit
123 and age data are not assigned if the observation was recorded in the first year of sampling or
124 followed a gap in sampling. Beyond `dat` and `inv`, there are four additional required arguments
125 to `trackSpp()` that can be defined globally or uniquely for each species.

126 First, the `buff` argument defines the distance that an individual can “move” from year to
127 year and still be considered the same individual (Fig. 2). This distance accounts both for small
128 errors in mapping, and for true variation in where an organism reoccurs each year. If `buff` is set
129 to zero, a plant must occur in precisely the same location in consecutive years to be considered
130 the same individual. It is important to select biologically realistic values for `buff`, since too-
131 large values can overestimate survival and underestimate recruitment, while too-small values can
132 underestimate survival and overestimate recruitment. Previous analyses of perennial graminoids
133 and forbs in western North American grasslands suggest that defining a buffer of 5 cm leads to
134 the most accurate trackID assignment (Lauenroth & Adler, 2008; Chu et al., 2014).

135 Second, the `dorm` argument defines the maximum number of years a plant is allowed to go
136 dormant, or disappear, from the map before reappearing and still be considered the same

137 individual (Fig. 3). Again, it is important to select biologically relevant values for `dorm`.
138 Allowing one to two years of dormancy is reasonable for some forbs, but is unreasonable for
139 shrubs, trees, or large grasses. The `dorm` argument also helps account for plants being
140 inadvertently missed by mappers and can allow plants to survive through a year when sampling
141 was skipped completely. Otherwise, all plants receive an “NA” for survival in the year before a
142 break in sampling, and tracking starts again after the break. Larger values of `dorm` will
143 overestimate survival, while smaller values will underestimate survival.

144 Third, the `clonal` argument determines whether vegetative reproduction is allowed. If
145 `clonal=FALSE`, every row of data is considered a distinct genetic individual. If `clonal=TRUE`,
146 a genet (a genetic individual) can consist of several rows, each of which is a ramet (a vegetative
147 segment of the genet). The `groupByGenet()` function is used within `trackSpp()` to group
148 polygons into genets based on their proximity in the first year. A “previous year” genet can pass
149 on its `trackID` to multiple “current year” polygons that it overlaps, which are then assigned the
150 same `trackID`. The fourth argument in `trackSpp()` is `buffGenet`, which is only required when
151 `clonal=TRUE`. This determines how close polygons must be to be grouped into one genet by
152 `groupByGenet()` (Fig. 4).

153 `trackSpp()` iterates through `dat` and `inv` by site, quadrat, and then species to compare
154 quadrat maps from sequential years. It assigns `trackIDs` and demographic data to individuals
155 based on overlap from year to year (Fig. 5). Table 2 describes this workflow in greater detail.
156 Further description of the `trackSpp()` function, including a detailed description of the
157 implications of different `buff`, `dorm`, and `clonal` arguments for the demographic data returned
158 by the function, can be found in the “Using the `plantTracker trackSpp()` function” package
159 vignette.

160 **getNeighbors () Function**

161 The `getNeighbors ()` function calculates either the number or basal area of competitors in
162 the neighborhood of each individual. This makes it possible to quantify the effect of density
163 dependence, or the response of organisms to the presence and density of neighboring conspecific
164 individuals, which is a fundamental process driving population dynamics (Bertness & Callaway,
165 1994; Vellend, 2010). Additionally, the proximity of heterospecifics to a focal individual
166 approximates the effect of inter-species interactions on that individual, which can be negative, as
167 in competition, or positive, as in facilitation.

168 In `getNeighbors ()`, the `buff` argument specifies the width of the neighborhood buffer
169 drawn around each individual, and can be specified uniquely for each focal species. `buff` values
170 can be based on empirical observations, or determined by a modeling approach detailed in Adler
171 et al. (2010). The next argument, `method`, determines how neighborhood occupancy is tabulated.
172 If `method=count`, the function returns the number of individuals inside the buffer zone. If
173 `method=area`, the function returns the size of the buffer zone area around that focal individual
174 and the area of the buffer zone area that is occupied by other individuals (Fig. 6). The third
175 argument is `compType`. If `compType=oneSpp`, the `getNeighbors ()` function only considers
176 conspecifics of the focal individual. If `compType=allSpp` (the default) the function considers
177 all other plants in the buffer zone, regardless of species. The final argument is `output`, which
178 determines whether neighbor occupancy values are summed across species, or given uniquely for
179 each neighbor species. The default, `output=summed`, means neighbor values are summed,
180 while if `output=bySpecies`, each row in the column added by `getNeighbors ()` contains a
181 list giving the counts or areas of neighbors in the buffer area by species.

182 **3 | Proof of Concept**

183 We tested the performance of `trackSpp()` on two mapped datasets in which individuals
184 were tagged following typical demographic monitoring protocols. The first is a large-scale and
185 inventory of tree basal areas over 21 years in La Selva, Panama (Clark & Clark, 2021). The
186 second is a three-year monitoring study of the monocarpic perennial forb *Oenothera*
187 *coloradensis* in southeast Wyoming and northern Colorado, and includes the point-location of
188 every individual in 18, 2-m² quadrats (Stears, unpublished data). We used `trackSpp()` to
189 generate demographic data for both datasets, and compared the function-generated growth,
190 survival, and recruit data to values measured in the field.

191 For the tree dataset, we used `trackSpp()` with `dorm=0, buff=0.01` (10 cm), and
192 `clonal=FALSE`. `trackSpp()` identified 5,212 unique individuals, the same number of unique
193 trees in the field-collected data. The function accurately attributed survival or death for 99.99%
194 of individuals (Table 3). The function also identified recruit status correctly for 99.7% of
195 individuals. Errors occurred for the same four individuals that had mis-attributions for survival
196 (Table 3). For the *O. coloradensis* dataset, we used `trackSpp()` with `dorm=0, buff=0.02` (2
197 cm), and `clonal=FALSE`. The function identified 3,128 unique individuals, 99.4% of the 3,146
198 individuals recorded in the field-collected data. The function correctly attributed survival or
199 death for 99.6% of plants. The function also identified recruit status correctly for 99.5% of all
200 plants (Table 4). Mis-attributions occurred in both datasets when two plants (e.g. A and B) were
201 extremely close to one another in the current year and only one (A) survived. Because plants A
202 and B were equally close to one another, the function happened to attribute survival to the wrong
203 plant (B).

204 **4 | Conclusion**

205 `plantTracker` provides a suite of user-friendly R functions to easily generate

206 demographic and neighborhood occupancy data from fine-scale maps of plants that do not
207 uniquely identify individuals. These tools allow us to translate both historical and contemporary
208 map datasets into growth, survival, and recruitment information, expanding the amount of
209 demographic data at our disposal. Robust and long-term estimation of demographic rates is
210 critical to advancing many disciplines in ecology, and we hope users will find plantTracker
211 helpful for filling gaps in our ecological understanding.

212 **Conflict of interest statement:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

213 **Author contribution statement:** All authors contributed to the design of plantTracker. Alice
214 Stears developed plantTracker, with extensive contributions from Jared Studyvin, Shannon
215 Albeke, and David Atkins and higher-level input from Peter Adler and Daniel Laughlin. Alice
216 Stears wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

217 **Data Availability:** plantTracker and its source code can be downloaded from GitHub
218 (Stears, Studyvin, & Atkins, 2022) (<https://github.com/aestears/plantTracker>;
219 <https://zenodo.org/record/6609335>, DOI: 10.5281). We are submitting plantTracker to the
220 Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN), where it will also be available for download in
221 the future.

222 **References**

223 Adler, P. B., Ellner, S. P., & Levine, J. M. (2010). Coexistence of perennial plants: An
224 embarrassment of niches. *Ecology Letters*, 13(8), 1019–1029. doi:10.1111/j.1461-
225 0248.2010.01496.x

226 Adler, P. B., Tyburczy, W. R., & Lauenroth, W. K. (2007). Long-term mapped quadrats from
227 Kansas prairie: Demographic information for herbaceous plants. *Ecology*, 88(10), 2673.
228 doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2007)88[2673:LMQFKP]2.0.CO;2

229 Anderson, J., McClaran, M. P., & Adler, P. B. (2012). Cover and density of semi-desert
230 grassland plants in permanent quadrats mapped from 1915 to 1947. *Ecology*, 93(6), 1492.
231 doi:10.1126/science.127.3295.414-b

232 Anderson, J., Vermeire, L., & Adler, P. B. (2011). Fourteen years of mapped, permanent
233 quadrats in a northern mixed prairie, USA: Ecological Archives E092-143. *Ecology*, 92(8),
234 1703. doi:10.1126/science.127.3295.414-b

235 Bates, D., & Maechler, M. (2019). Matrix: Sparse and dense matrix classes and methods, (R
236 package version 1.2-18). Retrieved from <https://cran.r-project.org/package=Matrix>

237 Bertness, M. D., & Callaway, R. (1994). Positive interactions in communities. *TREE*, 9(5), 191–
238 193.

239 Caswell, H. (2001). *Matrix Population Models: Construction, Analysis, and Interpretation* (2nd
240 ed.). Sinauer Associates.

241 Christensen, E., James, D., Maxwell, C., Slaughter, A., Adler, P. B., Havstad, K., &
242 Bestelmeyer, B. (2021). Quadrat-based monitoring of desert grassland vegetation at the
243 Jornada Experimental Range, New Mexico, 1915–2016. *Ecology*, 0–2.
244 doi:10.1002/ecy.3530

245 Chu, C., & Adler, P. B. (2015). Large niche differences emerge at the recruitment stage to
246 stabilize grassland coexistence. *Ecological Monographs*, 85(3), 373–392. doi:10.1890/14-
247 1741.1

248 Chu, C., Havstad, K. M., Kaplan, N., Lauenroth, W. K., McClaran, M. P., Peters, D. P., ...
249 Adler, P. B. (2014). Life form influences survivorship patterns for 109 herbaceous
250 perennials from six semi-arid ecosystems. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 25(4), 947–954.
251 doi:10.1111/jvs.12106

252 Chu, C., Norman, J., Flynn, R., Kaplan, Ni., Lauenroth, W. K., & Adler, P. B. (2013). Cover,
253 density, and demographics of shortgrass steppe plants mapped 1997-2010 in permanent
254 grazed and ungrazed quadrats. *Ecology*, 94(6), 1435.

255 Clark, D., & Clark, D. (2021). Two decades of annual landscape-scale tree growth and dynamics
256 in old-growth tropical rainforest in the CARBONO Project, La Selva Biological Station,
257 1997-2018. *Dryad, Dataset*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.51c59zw8n>

258 Clements, F. E. (1907). *Plant Physiology and Ecology*. New York, New York: Henry Holt and
259 Company.

260 Crone, E. E., Menges, E. S., Ellis, M. M., Bell, T., Bierzychudek, P., Ehrlén, J., ... Williams, J.
261 L. (2011). How do plant ecologists use matrix population models? *Ecology Letters*, 14(1),
262 1–8. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01540.x

263 Csardi, G., & Nepusz, T. (2006). The igraph software package for complex network research.
264 *InterJournal, Complex Sy*, 1695.

265 Hill, R. R. (1920). Charting Quadrats with a Pantograph. *Ecology*, 1(4), 270–273. Retrieved from
266 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1929561>

267 Kunstler, G., Falster, D., Coomes, D. A., Hui, F., Kooymans, R. M., Laughlin, D. C., ... Westoby,
268 M. (2016). Plant functional traits have globally consistent effects on competition. *Nature*,
269 529(7585), 204–207. doi:10.1038/nature16476

270 Lauenroth, W. K., & Adler, P. B. (2008). Demography of perennial grassland plants: Survival,
271 life expectancy and life span. *Journal of Ecology*, 96(5), 1023–1032. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
272 2745.2008.01415.x

273 Laughlin, D. C., Moore, M. M., & Fulé, P. Z. (2011). A century of increasing pine density and
274 associated shifts in understory plant strategies. *Ecology*, 92(3), 556–561. doi:10.1890/09-
275 2103.1

276 Lindenmayer, D. B., Likens, G. E., Andersen, A., Bowman, D., Bull, C. M., Burns, E., ...
277 Wardle, G. M. (2012). Value of long-term ecological studies. *Austral Ecology*, 37(7), 745–
278 757. doi:10.1111/j.1442-9993.2011.02351.x

279 Moore, M. M., Jenness, J. S., Laughlin, D. C., Strahan, R. T., Bakker, J. D., Dowling, H. E., &
280 Springer, J. D. (2022). Cover and density of southwestern ponderosa pine understory plants
281 in permanent chart quadrats (2002–2020). *Ecology*, 0–2. doi:10.1002/ecy.3661

282 Pebesma, E. (2018). Simple Features for R: Standardized Support for Spatial Vector Data. *The R
283 Journal*, 10(1), 439–446. doi:10.32614/RJ-2018-009

284 R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria:
285 R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <https://www.r-project.org>

286 Stears, A., Studyvin, J., & Atkins, D. (2022). plantTracker. Zenodo.
287 doi:10.5281/zenodo.6326566

288 Vellend, M. (2010). Conceptual Synthesis in Community Ecology. *The Quarterly Review of
289 Biology*, 85(2), 183–206. doi:10.1086/652373

290 White, J. (1985). The census of plants in vegetation. In J. White (Ed.), *The Population Structure
291 of Vegetation*. (pp. 34–88). Dordrecht: Dr W. Junk Publishers.

292 Zachmann, L., Moffet, C., & Adler, P. (2010). Mapped quadrats in sagebrush steppe: long-term
293 data for analyzing demographic rates and plant–plant interactions. *Ecology*, 91(11), 3427–
294 3427. doi:10.1890/10-0404.1

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308 **Table 1.** Descriptions of functions in plantTracker

Function Name	Description
trackSpp ()	track plants through time to determine growth and survival
getNeighbors ()	calculate neighborhood occupancy
groupByGenet ()	group polygons into genetic individuals based on proximity
checkDat ()	Check format of input data
drawQuadMap ()	draw maps of quadrats
getBasalAreas ()	calculate the total basal area of each species/quadrat/year
getLambda ()	calculate plot-level lambda (population growth rate) for each species across each annual transition, where lambda is $\lambda = N_{t+1}/N_t$ and N is either basal area or number of individuals * It is important to note that λ calculated with data from one plot is not necessarily a good metric of a population's growth rate, since a single plot may not encompass the entire spatial extent of that population. It may be necessary to compile data from several plots to accurately estimate λ .
getRecruits ()	calculate the number of recruits of each species/quadrat/year
aggregateByGenet ()	Group a trackSpp () output so each row contains data for all ramets of a genet

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317 **Table 2.** Steps in the `trackSpp()` function. “*i*” indicates the iteration of the loop that compares sequential years. **Bold** indicates a
 318 data frame, and `this` font indicates a package function or argument.

Step	Action	Next Step...
<u>1</u>	Subset dat iteratively by each <i>Site</i> , <i>Quadrat</i> , and <i>Species</i> , and subset inv by <i>Quadrat</i> .	step <u>2</u>
<u>2</u>	Put the first year of data in PreviousYr <code>clonal=TRUE</code> , use <code>groupByGenet()</code> to assign each observation a unique <code>trackID</code> .	If step <u>3</u>
<u>3</u>	Is the data in PreviousYr from the first year of sampling (the first year in inv) ?	<i>yes</i> —step <u>4</u> <i>no</i> —step <u>6</u>
<u>4</u>	Give these individuals “NA” in “recruit” and “age” cols.	step <u>6</u>
<u>5</u>	Is the first year of data from the last year of sampling (the last year in inv)?	<i>yes</i> —step <u>21</u> <i>no</i> —step <u>7</u>
<u>6</u>	Compare the previous year (inv [<i>i</i> -1]) to the current year (inv [<i>i</i>]). Is the gap between years <i>greater</i> than (<code>dorm</code> + 1)?	<i>yes</i> —step <u>19</u> <i>no</i> —step <u>8</u>
<u>7</u>	Put “1” in “recruit” and “age” cols.	step <u>6</u>
<u>8</u>	Get data observed in the <i>i</i> -th year and put it into CurrentYr . Does PreviousYr contain any observations?	<i>yes</i> —step <u>9</u> <i>no</i> —step <u>23</u>
<u>9</u>	Add a buffer of width = <code>buff</code> around every genet in PreviousYr and put the data in PreviousBuff Does CurrentYr contain any observations?	<i>yes</i> —step <u>10</u> <i>no</i> —step <u>11</u>
<u>10</u>	Is there any overlap between polygons in PreviousBuff and CurrentYr ?	<i>yes</i> —step <u>14</u> <i>no</i> —take PreviousYr to step <u>11</u> and CurrentYr to step <u>15</u>
<u>11</u>	Take PreviousYr to the next iteration (the next <i>i</i>). Is <code>dorm</code> greater than zero?	<i>yes</i> —step <u>12</u> <i>no</i> —step <u>13</u>
<u>12</u>	Put the data in ghosts , which contains “dormant” individuals. For <i>each</i> individual in ghosts , is the difference between the current year (inv [<i>i</i>]) and the year when that observation was measured	<i>yes</i> —put in deadGhosts —step <u>13</u>

	greater than (dorm + 1)?	<i>no</i> —keep in ghosts —step 23
<u>13</u>	Put an “NA” in the “size_tplus1” col and “a “0” in the “survives_tplus1” col.	step <u>22</u>
<u>14</u>	Compare the overlap between every genet in PreviousYr and every genet in CurrentYr . If <code>clonal=FALSE</code> , a parent and child pair with the most overlap get the same trackID. If <code>clonal=TRUE</code> , each child can have one parent, but each parent can have multiple children. If there is a child with multiple parents, then its parent is the polygon it overlaps with the most.	steps <u>16 and 17</u>
<u>15</u>	Put a “1” in the “recruit” and “age” cols.	step <u>23</u>
<u>16</u>	For every polygon in PreviousYr : Does it share a trackID with polygon(s) in CurrentYr (i.e., have a “child”)?	<i>yes</i> —put in parents —step <u>18</u> <i>no</i> —step <u>12</u>
<u>17</u>	For every polygon in CurrentYr : Does it share a trackID with a polygon in PreviousYr (i.e., have a “parent”)?	<i>yes</i> —put in children —step <u>20</u> <i>no</i> —put in orphans —step <u>15</u>
<u>18</u>	Put “1” in the “survives_tplus1” col., and size of the “child” genet in the “size_tplus1” col.	step <u>22</u>
<u>19</u>	Put “NA” in the “size_tplus1” and “survives_tplus1” cols.	step <u>22</u>
<u>20</u>	Put “0” in the “recruit” col and (age of parent + 1) in the “age” col.	step <u>23</u>
<u>21</u>	Put “1” in the “recruit” and “age” cols., and “NA” in the “survives_tplus1” and “size_tplus1” cols.	step <u>22</u>
<u>22</u>	Store the data in output , which the function will return. Is <code>inv[i]</code> the last year of sampling for this quadrat?	<i>yes</i> —step <u>1</u> <i>no</i> —step <u>23</u>
<u>23</u>	Put either ghosts and CurrentYr (if all CurrentYr individuals are new recruits) or ghosts , children , and orphans (if there are “children” in this <i>i</i>) into PreviousYr	step <u>24</u>
<u>24</u>	Go to the next <i>i</i> . Put dat data from year <i>i</i> into CurrentYr	step <u>6</u>

320 **Table 3.** Accuracy of `trackSpp()` compared to tagged data

Test Dataset	No. of individuals from <code>trackSpp()</code> / No. of individuals from tag dataset (% correct ID assignment)	No. of correct survivors / Total observations (% correct surv. assignments)	No. of correct recruits / Total observations (% correct recruit assignments)
Tree basal areas	5,212/5,212 (100%)	77,059/77,062 (99.9%)	77,037/77,062 (99.7%)
<i>O. coloradensis</i> point locations	3,128/3,146 (99.4%)	5,225/5,245 (99.6%)	5,224/5,245 (99.5%)

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340 **Figure 1.** Examples of digitized chart quadrat maps collected over six years measured at the
341 Santa Rita Experimental Range near Tucson, AZ. Two grasses (*Bouteloua rothrockii* and
342 *Heteropogon contortus*) were mapped as polygons, and two forbs (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* and
343 *Calliandra eriophylla*) were mapped as points.

344 **Figure 2.** Examples of different `buff` arguments. **(A)** Using `trackSpp()` with `buff = 4 cm`
345 assigns the two green observations different trackIDs, and determines that the 1922 individual
346 died, and a recruit sprouted in 1923. **(B)** Using `trackSpp()` with `buff = 10 cm` assigns these
347 two observations shown in green the same trackID, and determines that the 1922 individual
348 survived to 1923.

349 **Figure 3.** A potential “dormancy” scenario: The observation in 2000 **(A)** has no overlap with any
350 observation in 2001 or 2002 **(B and C)**. However, an observation in 2003 **(D)** overlaps in space
351 with the 2000 observation. If `dorm=2`, the observations in 2000 and 2003 will be assigned the
352 same trackID. If `dorm=1`, the observations in 2000 and 2003 will be assigned different
353 trackIDs.

354 **Figure 4. (A)** If `clonal=FALSE`, every polygon is given a unique trackID, represented by a
355 unique color. **(B - C)** If `clonal=TRUE`, then `groupByGenet()` draws buffers around each
356 polygon, shown here in lighter colors. If buffered polygons overlap, they get the same trackID.
357 **(B)** With a 1 cm buffer (`buffGenet=0.01`), `groupByGenet()` identified 21 genets. **(C)** With
358 a 5 cm buffer (`buffGenet=0.05`), `groupByGenet()` identified 11 genets.

359 **Figure 5.** Panels **A-D** show trackIDs assigned to individuals over four years using `trackSpp()`
360 with `dorm=1`, `clonal=TRUE`, `buff=0.05` and `buffGenet=0.01`. Labels and color indicate

361 trackID assignment. Panels **E-F** show the same data over the same time period, but with trackIDs
362 assigned using `dorm=1, clonal=FALSE, and buff=0.0.`

363 **Figure 6.** The two methods `getNeighbors()` can use to calculate neighborhood density. The
364 focal individual is outlined in pink, and the neighborhood buffer around it is shown in dashed
365 pale pink. Individuals inside the buffer are outlined in dark grey. These examples show
366 interspecific neighborhood occupancy (`type=allSpp`). **(A)** The “count” method counts each
367 individual inside of the buffer zone and returns the total number, which here is five. **(B)** The
368 “area” method returns two values: the area of the buffer zone around the focal individual, and the
369 area of the buffer zone that is occupied by neighbors (summed area of the shaded grey portions
370 of polygons).