



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, 58, 10663

Received 30th June 2022,
Accepted 18th July 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2cc03645b

rsc.li/chemcomm

The electrodeposition of gold nanoparticles from aqueous nanodroplets†

Joshua Reyes-Morales,^a Mohamed Moazeb,^a Guillermo S. Colón-Quintana^a and Jeffrey E. Dick^{ab*}

Nanodroplet-mediated electrodeposition is a reliable method for electrodepositing nanoparticles by confining a small amount of metal-salt precursor in water nanodroplets (radius ~400 nm) suspended in an oil continuous phase. This technique provides a great advantage in terms of nanoparticle size, morphology, and porosity. For an electrochemical reaction to proceed in the aqueous nanodroplet, the electroneutrality condition must be maintained. Classically, [NBu₄][ClO₄] or a comparable salt is added to the oil continuous phase to maintain charge balance. Unfortunately, the presence of this salt in the oil phase causes some metal salts, such as HAuCl₄, to phase transfer, disallowing the formation of gold nanoparticles. Here, we demonstrate the partitioning of HAuCl₄ is orders of magnitude lower using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) when LiClO₄ is added to the nanodroplet phase and [NBu₄][ClO₄] is not added to the continuous phase. This simple change allows for the electrodeposition of gold nanoparticles. Scanning electron microscopy shows the morphology and size distribution of gold nanoparticles obtained at different concentrations of LiClO₄. Transmission electron microscopy in selected diffraction mode was used and it determined the gold nanoparticles obtained are polycrystalline with miller indices of (222) and (200). This work widens the variety of nanoparticles that can be electrodeposited from nanodroplets for applications in energy storage and conversion, photoelectrochemistry, and biosensing.

Historically, nanoparticle electrosynthesis has been a method for the generation of different materials that can be applied to nanotechnology, biology, energy, separations, *etc.*^{1,2} A recently developed technique for nanoparticle electrosynthesis, called nanodroplet-mediated electrodeposition, uses a small amount of a metal-salt precursor confined within water nanodroplets

that are suspended in an organic phase that contains supporting electrolyte.^{3–5} During this process, when a potential is applied using a 3-electrode system, the metal salt precursor can be reduced at the water-electrode interface to generate nanoparticles. Multiple groups have taken advantage of this method to generate different metal and non-metal nanoparticles.^{6–8} Various nanoparticles have been electrodeposited with this technique, including Pt nanoparticles,^{4,9} high entropy alloys,^{10,11} *etc.*

For nanodroplet mediated electrodeposition, the selection of the metal-salt precursor and supporting electrolyte is extremely important. When metal salts are reduced in the water nanodroplet, electroneutrality must be maintained. Historically, tetrabutylammonium perchlorate ([NBu₄][ClO₄]) has been used in the oil continuous phase.^{12–14} During the reduction of the metal salt, NBu₄⁺ transfers across the liquid|liquid interface to maintain electroneutrality. Unfortunately, NBu₄⁺ is a phase transfer catalyst and spontaneously causes some metal salt precursors, such as HAuCl₄, to transfer into the oil continuous phase.^{15,16} Consequently, the electrodeposition of the metal also takes place in the oil phase, resulting in it being difficult to confine the reaction to the water droplets. We recently showed that one can exclude [NBu₄][ClO₄] in the oil phase and add LiClO₄ to the water nanodroplet phase.³ In general, the method can be extended to the electro-reduction of other metal salts, such as chloroplatinate.³ This simple change drives down the experimental cost of nanodroplet mediated electrodeposition by orders of magnitude. Previously, our group electrodeposited high entropy alloys.¹⁰ Metals like gold were not included due to the partitioning of HAuCl₄ into the 1,2-dichloroethane phase. In this article, experimental data is provided to demonstrate that HAuCl₄ will transfer into a dichloroethane continuous phase in the presence of [NBu₄][ClO₄]. When HAuCl₄ is dissolved in the water nanodroplet in the presence of LiClO₄, phase transfer does not occur, allowing for the electrodeposition of gold nanoparticles. Electroneutrality is maintained during the electro-reduction of AuCl₄[–] by the transfer of ClO₄[–] from the water nanodroplet phase to the dichloroethane continuous phase. Our experiments expand the library of metals that can be synthesized using nanodroplet mediated electrodeposition.

^a Department of Chemistry, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA. E-mail: jedick@email.unc.edu

^b Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, School of Medicine, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cc03645b>




Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the electrodeposition of gold nanoparticles using a quiescent solution. (a) Representation of water nanodroplets suspended in an organic phase where they adsorb into the electrode surface. (b) In the presence of LiClO_4 , the redox reaction is confined at the water-electrode interface while (c) in the presence of $[\text{NBu}_4][\text{ClO}_4]$, the reaction happens both inside and outside the water nanodroplet.

Electrodeposition of several metals using the nanodroplet-mediated process is possible.^{7,11,17,18} For a general representation, usually, these systems have water nanodroplets containing a metal-salt precursor suspended in an organic phase. The reason behind using a water-in-oil system is because that most of the metal-salt precursors are water soluble.^{19–21} For the experiments shown, the system used is water nanodroplets suspended in a 1,2-dichloroethane phase, where the water nanodroplets contain a metal-salt precursor. With time, these nanodroplets collide stochastically at the electrode surface (Fig. 1a). Depending on which phase the supporting electrolyte is added to, the charge balance mechanism will change.^{3,12,22–25} By adding the supporting electrolyte in the water phase, such as LiClO_4 , when a high cathodic potential is applied the AuCl_4^- is reduced to generate gold nanoparticles (Fig. 1b); to maintain charge balance, ClO_4^- leaves the water nanodroplet, as dictated by the Gibbs free energy.^{14,25} LiClO_4 was chosen since it is not electrochemically active at the potentials used, it does not precipitate in the presence of the metal salts chosen, and the facilitated ion transfer of the perchlorate anion into the 1,2-dichloroethane phase upon the reduction of the metal-salt precursor is favored to maintain electroneutrality.^{14,26} Salts like KCl are difficult for these experiments since the Cl^- anion is significantly hydrophilic; therefore, the reduction of metal salts would be thermodynamically unfavorable resulting in applying a higher cathodic overpotential.^{26,27} The use of other salts in the water phase for gold electrodeposition will be a topic of future interest. However, when $[\text{NBu}_4][\text{ClO}_4]$ is added into the 1,2-dichloroethane, the partitioning of HAuCl_4 happens between the water and the 1,2-dichloroethane, leading to the electrodeposition of gold nanoparticles at the water-electrode and organic-electrode boundaries (Fig. 1c). Electrogeneration of nanoparticles at both interfaces (*i.e.*, water-electrode and organic-electrode) is not desirable because it won't be possible to have control over the synthesis of gold nanoparticles, in this case.

Partition coefficients for HAuCl_4 were obtained using inductive coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Measurements for a 2.5 mM HAuCl_4 with 0.1 M LiClO_4 in water were taken both before and after the addition of an equal volume of 1,2-dichloroethane, with vigorous shaking (5 minutes) taking place in between both measurements. The concentration of HAuCl_4 was then

determined for each phase after mixing with an ICP-MS calibration curve shown in Fig. 2. With the difference in concentration being attributed to partitioning of HAuCl_4 from the aqueous base solution to the organic solution. Error bars for points shown within graph were added but are too small to be perceived. The statistical data and error bar information can be seen in Table S1 (ESI[†]). To quantify the degree of partitioning, Eqn 1 was used, where in the ratio of concentration in the organic phase over the aqueous phase will provide a coefficient of partitioning (K_p).

$$\text{HAuCl}_4_{\text{water}} \xrightleftharpoons{K_p} \text{HAuCl}_4_{1,2\text{-dichloroethane}}; \quad (1)$$

$$K_p = \frac{[\text{HAuCl}_4]_{\text{water}}}{[\text{HAuCl}_4]_{1,2\text{-dichloroethane}}}$$

Smaller partition coefficients indicate higher affinity towards the aqueous solutions and higher values indicating greater preference towards organics solutions such as 1,2-dichloroethane. In the absence of $[\text{NBu}_4][\text{ClO}_4]$, $K_p = 0.155$ and in the presence of 0.1 M $[\text{NBu}_4][\text{ClO}_4]$, $K_p = 930$. Thus, HAuCl_4 is nearly 6000 times more soluble in the 1,2-dichloroethane in the presence of $[\text{NBu}_4][\text{ClO}_4]$.

Gold nanoparticles were electrodeposited on a highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) using the nanodroplet system in the presence of LiClO_4 inside the water nanodroplets using chronoamperometry with a quiescent emulsion (Fig. S1, ESI[†]). The chronoamperogram showed in Fig. S1 (ESI[†]) does not follow the Cottrell equation due to the lack of electrolyte in the 1,2-dichloroethane phase resulting in a higher resistance, as we previously explained in our recent publication.³ Electrodeposition was performed at a potential more negative than the formal potential (Fig. S5, ESI[†]) to make sure that gold nanoparticles could be obtained. Further negative potentials could lead to unfavorable reactions (*e.g.*, ORR and HER) that could compete kinetically with the nucleation and growth of gold nanoparticles. Moreover, 600 seconds was picked to obtain a higher number of nanoparticles on the surface by providing




Fig. 2 Calibration curve for the determination of the partition coefficient of HAuCl_4 using ICP-MS. Gold standards of known concentration were used and diluted by the instrument, with all samples being diluted with 2% HNO_3 prior to measurement on ICP-MS. The gold standard concentrations were 100, 50, 10, 1, and 0 ppb. Concentrations for standards shown are plotted against raw intensity counts and have arbitrary units (a.u.). All calibration curve measurements were taken at an $N = 5$, with measurements for 2.5 mM HAuCl_4 with 0.1 M LiClO_4 in water partitioning being taken before and after mixing with 1,2-dichloroethane ($N = 10$).




Fig. 3 (a–c) are SEM Images of representative gold nanoparticles (left) electrodeposited using a water-in-oil emulsion. The emulsion was prepared by adding 25 mM HAuCl_4 and 0.25 M LiClO_4 to water nanodroplets suspended in 1,2-dichloroethane. EDX mapping was performed showing the presence of gold across each nanoparticle (yellow). (d) The histogram shows a relationship between the number of nanoparticles and size ($N = 402$) when the nanoparticles look more spherical as presented in a and b. (e) Histogram and SEM images of nanoparticles that are not spherical ($N = 150$) as presented in c. Nanoparticles were electrodeposited using chronoamperometry. The applied potential was -0.1 V vs. Ag/AgCl for 600 seconds. The average droplet radius was $1037 \text{ nm} \pm 238 \text{ nm}$ ($N = 10$).

more time for the stochastic collisions of the droplets to occur.^{7,14} Fig. 3 shows representative SEM and EDX mapping images of several gold nanoparticles. We observed that for 60% of the samples, the nanoparticles were spherical (Fig. 3a and b) and for 40% of the samples, the nanoparticles were non-spherical (Fig. 3c). Moreover, Fig. 3d shows the size distribution of the more spherical nanoparticles (as seen in Fig. 3a and b) to be $294 \text{ nm} \pm 197 \text{ nm}$ in diameter when $N = 402$ nanoparticles. Non-spherical nanoparticles (as seen in Fig. 3c) show an average of $710 \text{ nm} \pm 312 \text{ nm}$ in diameter when $N = 105$ nanoparticles (Fig. 3e). The difference in morphology will be the topic of a future investigation. In addition, the electrodeposition of gold nanoparticles was performed in the presence of different concentrations of LiClO_4 showing no significant changes in morphology (Fig. S7, ESI[†]). However, SEM images show that there is a difference in the nanoparticle coverage using different concentrations of LiClO_4 (Fig. S7a, ESI[†]). Interestingly, nanoparticles are obtained at a concentration as low as only 5 mM LiClO_4 but with a lower nanoparticle coverage. Since only 5 mM LiClO_4 is available, HAuCl_4 will not significantly electro-reduce. This observation implies that lower LiClO_4 concentrations favor electrodeposition from larger droplets. When no LiClO_4 was added to the aqueous phase, no nanoparticles are observed (Fig. S6, ESI[†]).

Additionally, TEM images were obtained (Fig. 4a). The rings and the small bright spots in the selected area electron diffraction (Fig. 4b) indicates that gold nanoparticles are polycrystalline. Furthermore, Fig. 4c shows the orientation of the lattices in the nanoparticle with the red lines indicating the direction of the crystal lattices. This clearly supports that the gold nanoparticles




Fig. 4 TEM images of a single gold nanoparticle. (a) Shows a bright field image and (b) shows the selected area diffraction pattern of the gold nanoparticle with their respective miller indices. Additionally, (c) shows the lattice orientation.

are polycrystalline. Moreover, the d -spacing values obtained from the diffractogram (Table S2, ESI[†]) are in good agreement with the literature values; therefore, the crystal faces were determined to be (200) and (222) from Fig. 4b.²⁸

In conclusion, we have extended nanodroplet-mediated electrodeposition to metals that are susceptible to phase transfer. We achieved this by confining the metal salt in the nanodroplet with LiClO_4 . ICP-MS supported the low partitioning of gold salts into the organic phase. SEM showed differences in morphology of nanoparticles. This system permits the generation of nanoparticles at a lower cost. This report expands the library of nanoparticles that can be electrodeposited using the nanodroplet-mediated electrodeposition method.

All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

We acknowledge the support by the National Science Foundation CAREER under grant number CHE-2045672. We also acknowledge the use of scanning electron microscopy, and transmission electron microscopy instrumentation at the Chapel Hill Analytical and Nanofabrication Laboratory, CHANL, a member of the North Carolina Research Triangle Nanotechnology Network, RTNN, which is supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant ECCS-2025064, as part of the National Nanotechnology Coordinated Infrastructure, NNCI. We additionally acknowledge the use of inductive coupled plasma mass spectroscopy at the Nanomedicines Characterization Core Facility (NCore).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 I. Khan, K. Saeed and I. Khan, Nanoparticles: Properties, applications and toxicities, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2019, **12**(7), 908–931.
- 2 C. E. A. Botteon, L. B. Silva, G. V. Ccana-Ccapatinta, T. S. Silva, S. R. Ambrosio, R. C. S. Veneziani, J. K. Bastos and P. D. Marcato, Biosynthesis and characterization of gold nanoparticles using Brazilian red propolis and evaluation of its antimicrobial and anti-cancer activities, *Sci. Rep.*, 2021, **11**(1), 1974.
- 3 J. Reyes-Morales, B. T. Vanderkwaak and J. E. Dick, Enabling practical nanoparticle electrodeposition from aqueous nanodroplets, *Nanoscale*, 2022, **14**(7), 2750–2757.
- 4 M. W. Glasscott, A. D. Pendergast and J. E. Dick, A Universal Platform for the Electrodeposition of Ligand-Free Metal Nanoparticles from a Water-in-Oil Emulsion System, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2018, **1**(10), 5702–5711.
- 5 J. H. Park, S.-M. Jin, E. Lee and H. S. Ahn, Electrochemical synthesis of core-shell nanoparticles by seed-mediated selective deposition, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**(40), 13557–13563.
- 6 E. N. Saw, V. Grasmik, C. Rurainsky, M. Eppele and K. Tschulik, Electrochemistry at single bimetallic nanoparticles – using nano impacts for sizing and compositional analysis of individual AgAu alloy nanoparticles, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2016, **193**, 327–338.
- 7 Y. E. Jeun, B. Baek, M. W. Lee and H. S. Ahn, Surfactant-free electrochemical synthesis of metallic nanoparticles via stochastic collisions of aqueous nanodroplet reactors, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**(72), 10052–10055.
- 8 M. W. Lee, D.-J. Kwon, J. Park, J.-C. Pyun, Y.-J. Kim and H. S. Ahn, Electropolymerization in a confined nanospace: synthesis of PEDOT nanoparticles in emulsion droplet reactors, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**(67), 9624–9627.
- 9 M. W. Glasscott and J. E. Dick, Fine-Tuning Porosity and Time-Resolved Observation of the Nucleation and Growth of Single Platinum Nanoparticles, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**(4), 4572–4581.
- 10 M. W. Glasscott, A. D. Pendergast, S. Goines, A. R. Bishop, A. T. Hoang, C. Renault and J. E. Dick, Electrosynthesis of high-entropy metallic glass nanoparticles for designer, multi-functional electrocatalysis, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**(1), 2650.
- 11 S. J. Percival, P. Lu, D. R. Lowry and T. M. Nenoff, Electrodeposition of Complex High Entropy Oxides via Water Droplet Formation and Conversion to Crystalline Alloy Nanoparticles, *Langmuir*, 2022, **38**(5), 1923–1928.
- 12 T. Davies, S. Wilkins and R. Compton, The electrochemistry of redox systems within immobilised water droplets, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2006, **586**, 260–275.
- 13 H. Zhang, L. Sepunaru, S. V. Sokolov, E. Laborda, C. Batchelor-McAuley and R. G. Compton, Electrochemistry of single droplets of inverse (water-in-oil) emulsions, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**(24), 15662–15666.
- 14 C. K. Terry Weatherly, M. W. Glasscott and J. E. Dick, Voltammetric Analysis of Redox Reactions and Ion Transfer in Water Microdroplets, *Langmuir*, 2020, **36**(28), 8231–8239.
- 15 R. Karmakar and A. Samanta, Phase-Transfer Catalyst-Induced Changes in the Absorption and Fluorescence Behavior of Some Electron Donor-Acceptor Molecules, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**(16), 3809–3817.
- 16 Y. Uchiyama, I. Tsuyumoto, T. Kitamori and T. Sawada, Observation of One Process in a Phase Transfer Catalytic Reaction at a Liquid/Liquid Interface by Using the Quasi-Elastic Laser Scattering Method, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1999, **103**(22), 4663–4665.
- 17 N. E. Tarolla, S. Voci, J. Reyes-Morales, A. D. Pendergast and J. E. Dick, Electrodeposition of ligand-free copper nanoparticles from aqueous nanodroplets, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**(35), 20048–20057.
- 18 M. W. Glasscott and J. E. Dick, Direct Electrochemical Observation of Single Platinum Cluster Electrocatalysis on Ultramicroelectrodes, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**(13), 7804–7808.
- 19 J. N. Schwämmlein, P. A. L. Torres, H. A. Gasteiger and H. A. El-Sayed, Direct PtSn Alloy Formation by Pt Electrodeposition on Sn Surface, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, **10**(1), 59.
- 20 A. H. Ayyad, J. Stettner and O. M. Magnussen, Electrocompression of the Au(111) Surface Layer during Au Electrodeposition, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, **94**(6), 066106.
- 21 L. Bonou, M. Eyraud, R. Denoyel and Y. Massiani, Influence of additives on Cu electrodeposition mechanisms in acid solution: direct current study supported by non-electrochemical measurements, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2002, **47**(26), 4139–4148.
- 22 C. K. Terry Weatherly, H. Ren, M. A. Edwards, L. Wang and H. S. White, Coupled Electron- and Phase-Transfer Reactions at a Three-Phase Interface, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**(45), 18091–18098.
- 23 P. Tasakorn, J. Chen and K. Aoki, Voltammetry of a single oil droplet on a large electrode, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2002, **533**(1), 119–126.
- 24 H. Moon and J. H. Park, *In Situ* Probing Liquid/Liquid Interfacial Kinetics through Single Nanodroplet Electrochemistry, *Anal. Chem.*, 2021, **93**(50), 16915–16921.
- 25 T. Osakai, Y. Naito, K. Eda and M. Yamamoto, Prediction of the Standard Gibbs Energy of Transfer of Organic Ions Across the Interface between Two Immiscible Liquids, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2015, **119**(41), 13167–13176.
- 26 S. Glikberg and Y. Marcus, Relation of the Gibbs free energy of transfer of ions from water to polar solvents to the properties of the solvents and the ions, *J. Solution Chem.*, 1983, **12**(4), 255–270.
- 27 T. Osakai and K. Ebina, Non-Bornian Theory of the Gibbs Energy of Ion Transfer between Two Immiscible Liquids, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1998, **102**(29), 5691–5698.
- 28 O. D. Ogundare, O. J. Akinribide, A. R. Adetunji, M. O. Adeoye and P. A. Olubambi, Crystallite size determination of thermally deposited Gold Nanoparticles, *Proc. Manufact.*, 2019, **30**, 173–179.