

Standard Conjectures and Height Pairings

Shou-Wu Zhang

December 22, 2022

Abstract

In this article, we extend Grothendieck’s standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2] to cycles on degenerated fibers and use them to define some decompositions for the arithmetic Chow group of Gillet–Soulé. In a local setting, our decompositions provide non-archimedean analogs of “*harmonic forms*” on Kähler manifolds. In a global setting, our decompositions provide canonical arithmetic liftings called “*L-liftings*” of algebraic cycles on varieties over number fields and thus provide a new height pairing called the *L-height pairing* as one extension of Beilinson–Bloch’s pairing of homologically trivial cycles.

To Benedict Gross on his 70th birthday

Contents

0	Introduction	2
1	Local cycles	7
1.1	Cycles on a degenerate fiber	8
1.2	Archimedean analogue	13
1.3	Invariant cycles	14
1.4	Perverse decompositions	17
1.5	Strict semistable reductions	21
1.6	Admissible cycles	22
2	Global cycles	25
2.1	Arakelov Chow groups	25
2.2	Decompositions	28
2.3	Arithmetic standard conjectures	30
2.4	Cohomology cycles	30
2.5	Divisors and 0-cycles	33

A Lefschetz modules	37
A.1 Two-step filtrations	38
A.2 Three-step filtrations	39
A.3 Symmetric pairings	41
A.4 Standard conjectures	43
References	47

0 Introduction

Let K be a number field with \mathcal{O}_K its ring of integers. Let $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ be a regular arithmetic variety with a polarization L . This means that X is regular, that f is flat and proper with geometrically connected fibers, and that L is an ample hermitian line bundle in the sense of [39]. In this paper, we introduce a new height pairing for the Chow group $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)$. This so-called L-pairing extends Beilinson–Bloch’s height paring on the subgroup $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0$ of cycles homologous to 0. Our pairing is conditional on some local and global standard conjectures. Under our local standard conjectures, we introduce an Arakelov Chow group $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ of cycles whose curvature at each place of K are harmonic forms. Under our global standard conjectures, we construct an L-lifting for the surjection $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Ch}^*(X_K)$. Our work can be viewed as a preliminary step towards a *Hodge theory for polarized arithmetic varieties*.

When X is an arithmetic surface, our constructions in this paper are more or less well known. First, Arakelov [1] introduced a compactification of X by choosing some volume forms μ_v on the Riemann surface $X_v(\mathbb{C})$ for each archimedean place v of K . Then, he constructed an intersection pairing on the group $\overline{\text{Pic}}(X)$ of Hermitian line bundles on X with *admissible* metrics in sense that their curvatures on $X_v(\mathbb{C})$ are multiples of μ_v for each $v \mid \infty$. In [21, 13], Hriljac and Faltings independently proved a Hodge index theorem which provides an intersection theoretical way to define the Néron–Tate heights on the Jacobian of X_K . Shortly after that, there were two developments in opposite directions. First of all, Deligne [12] constructed an intersection pairing on the group $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)$ of all metrized line bundles without fixing μ_v . Secondly, in a series of papers [32, 8, 38, 5], Rumely, Chinburg–Rumeley, Bloch–Gillet–Soulé and the author constructed some new intersection pairings on more restricted Arakelov Picard group $\overline{\text{Pic}}(X, \mu)$ by choosing metrics μ_v on the reduction graphs at *every bad places* v . For example, μ_v ’s can be taken as the curvatures of an arithmetically ample line bundle L as above. These treated archimedean and non-archimedean places more uniformly. One aim of this paper is to find a similar construction in high dimension case, i.e., *construction of harmonic forms, admissible arithmetic Chow cycles, and Hodge decompositions*.

There were partial and delicate developments when X is a high dimensional arithmetic variety. First of all, for Arakelov’s original theory, Beilinson and Gillet–Soulé independently in [2, 16] introduced compactifications of X by choosing some Kähler forms μ_v on complex manifold $X_v(\mathbb{C})$ for $v \mid \infty$. Then, they constructed intersection pairing on the

Arakelov Chow group $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X, \mu)$ using currents with harmonic curvatures on each $X_v(\mathbb{C})$. This intersection pairing has immediate applications to the Beilinson–Bloch height pairing on $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0$, extending Hriljac–Faltings’ work for the Néron–Tate height pairing. Secondly, for Deligne’s pairing, Gillet and Soulé [17] define a bigger arithmetic Chow group $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ without fixing Kähler forms μ_v at archimedean place. Finally, in a series of papers [5, 6], Bloch–Gillet–Soulé developed a non-archimedean Arakelov theory for X/\mathcal{O}_K with strictly semistable reductions. Assuming Grothendieck’s standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2], they defined harmonic forms using Laplacians [6, Theorem 6]. Using Bloch–Gillet–Soulé’s harmonic forms, Künnemann defined an Arakelov group [27, §3.6], and related it to the Beilinson–Bloch height pairing [27, §3.8].

This paper has achieved two primary goals for a Hodge theory in higher dimensional polarized arithmetic varieties. The first is a new definition of harmonic forms for general regular polarized arithmetic varieties. We will use Lefschetz operators \mathbf{L} instead of Laplacian operators Δ , which allows us to define harmonic forms in more general situations, even including cohomology cycles. This idea was inspired by the work of Künnemann in a series of papers [25, 26, 27, 28]. The second is a new decomposition theorem for arithmetic Chow groups in the Lefschetz operator \mathbf{L} . This decomposition theorem allows to define so-called \mathbf{L} -liftings from $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)$ to $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ extending the work of Beilinson and Bloch on homologically trivial cycles. As a consequence of our new constructions, we will prove the following two statements concerning relations between various standard conjectures and height pairings:

- *The existence of Beilinson–Bloch pairing follows from Grothendieck’s standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2].*
- *Assume our local standard conjectures. Then two arithmetic standard conjectures on $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ by Gillet–Soulé and on $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0$ by Beilinson are equivalent to each other, as they are both equivalent to a standard conjecture on our Arakelov Chow group $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$.*

As one application of the first statement, in a recent paper [41], for the product $X = C \times S$ of a curve and a surface over a number field, we construct unconditionally a Beilinson–Bloch type height pairing ([2, 4]) for homologically trivial algebraic cycles on X . Then for an embedding $\phi : C \rightarrow S$, we define an arithmetic diagonal cycle modified from the graph of ϕ . This work extends the previous work of Gross and Schoen [19] when S is the product of two curves.

This work also arose from an attempt to understand conjectural Gross–Zagier type formulas for the heights of special cycles of Shimura varieties in terms of special values of L -series, such as the Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture [15] and Kudla’s program [24]. Consequently, our conditional construction provides some canonical arithmetic special cycles on integral models of Shimura varieties, including Hecke correspondences. For example, for a Shimura variety X of orthogonal (resp. unitary type) over a totally real (resp. CM) field F , our construction gives canonical arithmetic lifting generating a series of Kudla cycles. By work of W. Zhang, Yuan–Zhang–Zhang, Liu, and Westerholt-Raum [42, 36, 29, 7], we have the following unconditional result:

- The \mathbf{L} -lifting of generating series of Kudla's cycles is modular in case of divisors or in case $F = \mathbb{Q}$.

In the rest of this introduction, we give a more detailed outline of this work.

Local cycles

As a local setting, we will consider a flat and projective morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ where $S = \text{Spec } R$ with R a complete discrete valuation ring and X is regular with an ample line bundle L . Then there are groups of algebraic cohomology cycles $A^*(X_s)$, $A_*(X_s)$ over the special fiber $i : X_s \rightarrow X$. These groups have an action by the Lefschetz operator \mathbf{L} defined by the first Chern class of L . There is also a map connecting them:

$$i^* i_* : A_{n+1-*}(X_s) \rightarrow A^*(X_s).$$

We denote its image as $A_\varphi^*(X_s)$, and its cokernel as $A_\psi^*(X_s)$. Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_\varphi^*(X_s) \rightarrow A^*(X_s) \rightarrow A_\psi^*(X_s) \rightarrow 0.$$

Our starting point is a set of extended Grothendieck's standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1 and 2] for $A_\varphi^*(X_s)$, and $A_\psi^*(X_s)$, Conjectures 1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.1.4. Under Conjecture 1.1.3 of Lefschetz type, one can prove in Theorem 1.1.8 that there are unique splittings of the above short exact sequences of \mathbf{L} -modules:

$$A^*(X_s) = A_\varphi^*(X_s) \oplus \mathcal{A}_\psi^*(X_s);$$

Inspired by work of Künnemann for Kähler manifolds, $\mathcal{A}_\psi^*(X_s)$ is called the group of *harmonic forms*. Indeed, a complex analogue of the map $i^* i_*$ in arithmetic intersection theory of Gillet–Soulé is the following map:

$$\partial \bar{\partial} : \widetilde{A}^{p-1,p-1}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) := A^{p-1,p-1}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) / (\text{Im } \partial + \text{Im } \bar{\partial}) \rightarrow A_{\text{closed}}^{p,p}(X_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

The decomposition according to Lefschetz operator coincides with Laplacian operator:

$$A_{\text{closed}}^{p,p}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) = \partial \bar{\partial}(\widetilde{A}^{p-1,p-1}(X_{\mathbb{C}})) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{p,p}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{p,p}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the space of harmonic forms of degree (p, p) . See Theorem 1.2.1 and Corollary 1.2.2.

Based on the work of Bloch–Gillet–Soulé, and Künnemann, we will show that Conjectures 1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.1.4 hold when X/S is strictly semistable so that all strata satisfy Grothendieck's standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2]. See Theorem 1.5.1.

As the first application, we use harmonic forms to define some canonical local height pairings of algebraic cycles under Conjecture 1.1.3. More precisely, let $\widehat{Z}^*(X)$ be the space of cycles on X modulo homologically trivial cycles supported on X_s . Then there are maps

$$A_{n+1-*}(X_s) \xrightarrow{i^*} \widehat{Z}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\omega=i^*} A^*(X_s)$$

where ω is called the *curvature map*. A cycle $z \in \widehat{Z}^*(X)$ is called *admissible*, if the curvature is harmonic: $\omega(z) \in \mathcal{A}_\psi^*(X_s)$. We let $\overline{Z}^*(X)$ denote the group of admissible cycles and call it the *Arakelov group of admissible cycles*. Then there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow i_* A_{n+1-*}^\psi(X_s) \longrightarrow \overline{Z}^*(X) \longrightarrow Z^*(X_\eta) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $A_{n+1-*}^\psi(X_s)$ is the kernel of $i^* i_* : A_{n+1-*}(X_s) \longrightarrow A^*(X_s)$. If we further assume Conjecture 1.1.2, then the above sequence has an *Arakelov lifting* $z \mapsto z^{\text{Ara}}$ for $z \in Z^*(X_\eta)$ such that $z^{\text{Ara}} - z^{\text{Zar}} = i_* g$ with $g \in A_{n+1-*}(X_s)$ perpendicular to $\mathcal{A}_\psi^{n+1-*}(X_s)$. Thus we get a well-defined *Arakelov height pairing* for two disjoint cycles $z \in Z^i(X_\eta)$, $w \in Z^j(X_\eta)$ with $i + j = n + 1$:

$$(z, w)_{\text{Ara}} := z^{\text{Ara}} \cdot w^{\text{Ara}}.$$

The analogue in Kähler manifold $(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \omega)$ for an Arakelov lifting z^{Ara} of a $z \in Z^*(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a pair (z, g) with a current $g \in D^{p-1, p-1}/\text{Im}\partial + \text{Im}\bar{\partial}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} g = \delta_z - \omega_z,$$

where $\omega_z \in \mathcal{H}^{p,p}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a harmonic class representing z . See Gillet–Soulé [17]. The normalization means

$$\int_{X_{\mathbb{C}}} gh = 0, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}^{n+1-p, n+1-p}(X_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

The Arakelov pairing of z with a disjoint cycle w with arithmetic complement degree is defined as

$$(z, w)_{\text{Ara}} = \int_{X_{\mathbb{C}}} g \delta_w.$$

As one byproduct, one has the following. Theorem 1.6.4: *Assume Grothendieck’s standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2]. Then a cycle z on $Z^*(X_\eta)$ with trivial cohomology class in $H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})(*)$ will have a lifting $z^{\mathbb{B}}$ with trivial cohomology class in $A^*(X_s)$.*

We will also study the following map of cohomology cycles,

$$\mu : H_{X_s}^*(X) \longrightarrow H^*(X_s),$$

and denote its image and kernel as $H_\varphi^*(X_s)$ and its cokernel as $H_\psi^*(X_s)$ to obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_\varphi^*(X_s) \longrightarrow H^*(X_s) \longrightarrow H_\psi^*(X_s) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then we propose Conjecture 1.1.1 of Lefschetz type for $H_\varphi^*(X_s)$ and $H_\psi^*(X_s)$. Under this conjecture, one has the following statements:

1. Theorem 1.1.7: there is a unique splitting of above short exact sequence of \mathbf{L} -modules:

$$H^*(X_s) = H_\varphi^*(X_s) \oplus \mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X_s).$$

We call $\mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X_s)$ the space of harmonic forms.

2. Theorem 1.3.1: there is a connection to the group of invariant cycles given as follows:

$$H_{\psi}^*(X_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})^{\text{Gal}(\bar{\eta}/\eta)}.$$

For cohomology cycles, we will show that our conjectures are equivalent to some conjectures about perverse sheaf cohomology, see Theorem 1.4.6. Based on the work of Beilinson–Bernstein–Deligne–Gabber, we will show that our Conjecture 1.1.1 holds when R has equal characteristics (Theorem 1.4.6, Corollary 1.4.8).

Global cycles

As a global setting, we will consider a flat and projective morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ where $S = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ with K a number field and X is regular with an arithmetic ample line bundle L ([39]). Inside Gillet–Soulé’s arithmetic Chow group $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ with real coefficients, there is an Arakelov Chow group $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ of admissible cycles with harmonic curvature everywhere. This group fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B^*(X) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Ch}^*(X) \rightarrow 0$$

where $B^*(X)$ is the space of vertical cycles with trivial curvature. This group has a 3-step filtration

$$F^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) = \begin{cases} \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X), & \text{if } i \leq 0, \\ \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)^0, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ B^*(X), & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)^0 = \text{Ker}(\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \rightarrow H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})(*))$. The associated graded quotients are

$$G^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) = \begin{cases} A^*(X_{\eta}), & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \text{Ch}^*(X_{\eta})^0, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ B^*(X), & \text{if } i = 2, \end{cases}$$

where $A^*(X_{\eta})$ and $\text{Ch}^*(X_{\eta})^0$ are the image and the kernel respectively for the map $\text{Ch}^*(X) \rightarrow A^*(X_{\eta})$. Assuming Gillet–Soulé’s arithmetic standard conjecture and our local conjectures, we will show that there is a unique splitting of graded \mathbb{R} -modules

$$\alpha : \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 G^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$$

such that $\alpha|G^1$ is \mathbb{L} -linear, and $\alpha|G^0$ is \mathbb{L} -linear modulo $\alpha(G^2)$ and Λ -linear modulo $\alpha(G^1)$, see Theorem 2.2.1. We also show that the Lefschetz operator $\alpha^{-1}\mathbb{L}\alpha$ is determined by an \mathbb{L} -isomorphism $\beta : A^*(X_{\eta}) \rightarrow B^{*+1}(X)$. The structure of the $\mathbb{R}[\mathbb{L}]$ -module $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ with a symmetric pairing depends only on the graded quotients and a mysterious isomorphism β . We give two applications of this splitting.

The first one is that Conjectures 1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.1.4 imply some so called L -*lifting* for the projection $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}^*(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ch}^*(X_K)$, and thus a L -*pairing* on $\mathrm{Ch}^*(X_K)$. We will define unconditionally the L -liftings for divisors $\mathrm{Ch}^1(X_K)$ and 0-cycles $\mathrm{Ch}^n(X_K)$ in Corollary 2.5.7, 2.5.10. As a consequence, we will have some canonical arithmetic liftings of the generating series of Kudla's divisors and 0-cycles.

The second one is that Conjectures 1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.1.4 imply the equivalence (Theorem 2.3.2) between the standard conjecture by Gillet–Soulé for arithmetic Chow groups $\widehat{\mathrm{Ch}}^*(X)$ and the standard conjecture of Beilinson for homologically trivial Chow groups $\mathrm{Ch}^*(X)^0$.

In function field case, we will also define the group $\overline{H}^*(X)$ of admissible cohomological cycles, and prove a decomposition Theorem 2.4.2 for cohomology group $H^*(X)$:

$$\alpha : \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 G^i \overline{H}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{H}^*(X),$$

such that $\alpha|G^1$ is L -linear, and $\alpha|G^0$ is L -linear modulo $\alpha(G^2)$ and Λ -linear modulo $\alpha(G^1)$. For an open embedding $j : U \rightarrow S$ such that f is smooth, the above decomposition induces a decomposition of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -vector spaces:

$$\alpha : \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 H^i(S, j_* R^{*-i} f_{U*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(S, j_{!*} R f_{U*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Notice that our decomposition is usually different than the one induced from the canonical splitting of the complex $R f_{U*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$:

$$R f_{U*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} R^m f_{U*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell[-m].$$

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Klaus Künnemann and Weizhe Zheng for their help in preparing this paper, especially to Klaus Künnemann for suggesting we relate our work to the previous work of Bloch–Gillet–Soulé and himself, and to Weizhe Zheng for helping us connect our conjecture to the weight monodromy conjecture and the decomposition theorems in perverse sheaves. We want to thank Yifeng Liu, Congling Qiu, Xinyi Yuan, and the referees for their valuable comments on an early draft of this paper. This work is partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

1 Local cycles

In this section, we first propose some conjectures (1.1.2, 1.1.1, 1.1.3, 1.1.4) as extensions of Grothendieck's standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2] for smooth and projective varieties. Then we use these new conjectures to construct some canonical splittings of various groups of cycles (1.1.7, 1.1.8). By comparison with a result of Künnemann on complex

varieties [26], our splitting provides a non-archimedean analog of *harmonic forms* without using Laplacian operators. Our treatment applies to both cohomology cycles and algebraic cohomology cycles.

For cohomology cycles, we will show that our conjectures are equivalent to some conjectures about perverse sheaf cohomology, see Theorem 1.4.6. In particular, our conjectures of Lefschetz type hold when the base has equal characteristics by Beilinson–Bernstein–Deligne–Gabber [3] (1.4.8).

For algebraic cycles on strictly semistable fibers, we will show that our conjectures are consequences of Grothendieck’s standard conjecture by work of Bloch–Gillet–Soulé [6] and Künnemann [27] (1.5.1). Applying de Jong’s alterations, we can prove that the Beilinson–Bloch liftings for homologically trivial cycles exist under Grothendieck’s standard conjecture [20, Conjectures 1, 2] (1.6.4).

1.1 Cycles on a degenerate fiber

Let $S = \text{Spec}R$ with R a complete discrete valuation ring, with the generic point $\eta = \text{Spec}K$ and the closed point $s = \text{Spec}k$ separately closed. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper and flat morphism from a regular scheme with the special fiber $i : X_s \rightarrow X$ and the generic fiber $j : X_\eta \rightarrow X$. Since X is defined by finitely many equations, by approximation, we may assume that $X = X_0 \otimes_{S_0} S$, where $S_0 = \text{Spec}R_0$ with R_0 a complete discrete valuation subring of R such that

1. the residue field k_0 of R_0 is of finite type over its prime field;
2. R is the completion of the maximal unramified extension of R_0 .

Algebraic cycles

For $Y = X, X_\eta, X_s$, there are the Chow homology groups $\text{Ch}_*(Y)$ with rational coefficients defined as the quotients of the free groups of integral subschemes modulo rational equivalence, and the Chow cohomology groups $\text{Ch}^*(Y) := \text{Ch}^*(Y \xrightarrow{\text{id}} Y)$ defined as bivariant operations on the Chow homology groups on Y -schemes as in Fulton [14, Definition 17.3]. Then $\text{Ch}^*(Y)$ has a commutative ring structure which acts on $\text{Ch}_*(Y)$ by cap product:

$$\cap : \text{Ch}^i(Y) \otimes \text{Ch}_j(Y) \rightarrow \text{Ch}_{j-i}(Y).$$

If $Y = X_s, X_\eta$, then Y is proper over a field. Composing with the degree map $\deg : \text{Ch}_0(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, there is a pairing

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_Y : \text{Ch}^i(Y) \otimes \text{Ch}_i(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

If $Y = X$ or X_η , Y is regular. The cap product with $[Y]$ defines an isomorphism

$$\cap[Y] : \text{Ch}^i(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ch}_{\dim Y - i}(Y).$$

Let $n = \dim X_\eta$ be the relative dimension of f . Then there is a composition of some morphisms of Chow groups:

$$i^*i_* : \text{Ch}_{n+1-i}(X_s) \xrightarrow{i_*} \text{Ch}_{n+1-i}(X) \simeq \text{Ch}^i(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Ch}^i(X_s).$$

One main goal of this paper is to study this map after taking their classes in an ℓ -adic cohomology for a prime ℓ invertible over S .

Cohomology cycles

We start with the following localization sequence of the ℓ -adic cohomology and homology groups with \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -coefficients:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i-1}(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H_{X_s}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^i(X_s) \longrightarrow H^i(X_\eta) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

We define the groups of *vanishing* and *nearby* cycles:

$$H_\varphi^i(X_s) := \text{Im}(\mu), \quad H_\psi^i(X_s) := \text{Coker}(\mu).$$

Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_\varphi^i(X_s) \longrightarrow H^i(X_s) \longrightarrow H_\psi^i(X_s) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1.1.1)$$

There is a perfect pairing between $H^*(X_s)$ and $H_{X_s}^*(X)$ by the composition of the following maps:

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_X : H^i(X_s) \otimes H_{X_s}^{2n+2-i}(X)(n+1) \longrightarrow H_{X_s}^{2n+2}(X)(n+1) \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

Thus we may define the homology group of X_s by

$$H_*(X) = H_{X_s}^{2n+2-i}(X)(n+1).$$

This pairing induces perfect pairings on H_φ^* and H_ψ^* as follows:

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_\varphi : H_\varphi^i(X_s) \otimes H_\varphi^{2n+2-i}(X_s)(n+1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell, \quad (\mu\alpha, \mu\beta)_\varphi = (\mu\alpha, \beta)_X,$$

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_\psi : H_\psi^i(X_s) \otimes H_\psi^{2n-i}(X_s)(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell, \quad (\alpha, \beta)_\psi = (\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta} \cap [X_s])_X$$

where $\bar{\alpha} \in H^i(X_s)$, $\bar{\beta} \in H^{2n-i}(X_s)$ are liftings of α and β .

Weights

Notice that the cohomology groups $H_{X_s}^*(X)$, $H^*(X_s) = H^*(X)$, $H^*(X_\eta)$, and $H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ (where $\bar{\eta} = \text{Spec } \bar{K}$) have canonical weight filtrations with respect to X_s . For the last three groups, we refer to [22, §2.2]. For the first group, we use its duality to the second group. In the rest of this paper, we will not use the above precise constructions of weights except two formulae (1.1.2) and (1.1.3). More precisely, assume that X_s is strictly semistable in the sense of de

Jong [9, §1.26] that in the decomposition $X_s = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Y_i$ with Y_i irreducible, for any non-empty subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$, the strata $Y_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} Y_i$ is smooth of dimension $n + 1 - |I|$. Thus \mathbb{Q}_ℓ can be represented by the Čech complex (C^*, d) with $C^i := \bigoplus_{|I|=i+1} \mathbb{Q}_\ell, Y_I$ on X_s . And there is a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} := H^q(X_s, C^p) = \bigoplus_{|I|=p+1} H^q(Y_I) \Longrightarrow H^{p+q}(X_s).$$

The canonical weight q on $H^q(Y_I)$ induces a weight filtration on X_s . More precisely, by weight consideration, this spectral sequence degenerates at $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(H^q(X_s, C^*))$ which has pure weight q . Thus there is a unique weight filtration on $H^*(X)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Gr}_p^W H^{p+q}(X) = H^q(H^p(X_s, C^*)).$$

For example, when $q = 0$, there are the following formulae for the highest weight piece of $H^p(X)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_p^W H^p(X) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H^p(Y_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i < j} H^p(Y_{ij}), \quad (1.1.2)$$

Taking duality, we also have the lowest weight piece for $H_{X_s}^p(X)$:

$$\bigoplus_{i < j} H^{p-4}(Y_{ij})(-2) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H^{p-2}(Y_i)(-1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_p^W H_{X_s}^p(X) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1.1.3)$$

Algebraic cohomology cycles

Using filtration by weights W_* , there is the class maps

$$\mathrm{Ch}_{n+1-*}(X_s) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{2*}^W H_{X_s}^{2*}(X)(*), \quad \mathrm{Ch}^*(X_s) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{2*}^W H^{2*}(X_s)(*). \quad (1.1.4)$$

For construction of these cycle maps, one can use an argument in Bloch–Gillet–Soulé [5, Appendix] with “envelopes” replaced by de Jong’s alternation [9]. The argument in [5] works with this replacement because of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -coefficients. In particular, when X has strict semistable reduction, there is the following analogue formula for Chow groups:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}^p(X_s) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Ch}^p(Y_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i < j} \mathrm{Ch}^p(Y_{ij}), \quad (1.1.5)$$

$$\bigoplus_{i < j} \mathrm{Ch}_p(Y_{ij}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Ch}_p(Y_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_p(X_s) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1.1.6)$$

The class maps (1.1.4) induce maps from these exact sequences to the exact sequences (1.1.2) and (1.1.3).

Let $A_*(X_s)$ and $A^*(X_s)$ be the images of the maps (1.1.4). Then there is a connection map

$$i^* i_* : A_{n+1-*}(X_s) \longrightarrow A^*(X_s).$$

Again, we define the groups of vanishing and nearby cycles by

$$A_\varphi^*(X_s) := \text{Im}(i^*i_*), \quad A_\psi^*(X_s) = \text{Coker}(i^*i_*).$$

Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_\varphi^*(X_s) \longrightarrow A^*(X_s) \longrightarrow A_\psi^*(X_s) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1.1.7)$$

The intersection pairing between $\text{Ch}^i(X_s)$ and $\text{Ch}_i(X_s)$ induces a pairing

$$A^i(X_s) \otimes A_i(X_s) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

which is compatible with the pairing of cohomology groups. Moreover, the same process in cohomology groups defines the pairings on $A_\varphi^*(X_s)$ and $A_\psi^*(X_s)$.

Thus there is a morphism between above two sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A_\varphi^i(X_s) & \longrightarrow & A^i(X_s) & \longrightarrow & A_\psi^i(X_s) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Gr}_{2i}^W H_\varphi^{2i}(X_s)(i) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gr}_{2i}^W H^{2i}(X_s)(i) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gr}_{2i}^W H_\psi^{2i}(X_s)(i) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We will fix an ample line bundle L over X . Let L be the operator over each group in the above diagram defined by the cup product with the first Chern class $c_1(L) \in H^2(X_s)(1)$.

Standard conjectures

We would like to propose the following analog of Grothendieck's standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2]:

Conjecture 1.1.1. *Let $n = \dim X_s$.*

1. *For $i \leq n$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathsf{L}^i : H_\psi^{n-i}(X_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\psi^{n+i}(X_s)(i).$$

2. *For $i \leq n+1$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathsf{L}^i : H_\varphi^{n+1-i}(X_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\varphi^{n+1+i}(X_s)(i).$$

Conjecture 1.1.2. *The intersection pairing on algebraic cohomology classes*

$$A^*(X_s) \times A_*(X_s) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

is perfect.

Conjecture 1.1.3. *Let $n = \dim X_s$.*

1. For $i \leq n/2$, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{L}^{n-2i} : A_\psi^i(X_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_\psi^{n-i}(X_s).$$

2. For $i \leq (n+1)/2$, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i} : A_\varphi^i(X_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_\varphi^{n+1-i}(X_s).$$

Conjecture 1.1.4. Let $n = \dim X_s$.

1. For $i \leq n/2$, $0 \neq x \in \text{Ker}(\mathsf{L}^{n+1-i}|A_\psi^i(X_s))$, we have

$$(-1)^i(x, \mathsf{L}^{n-i}x)_\psi > 0.$$

2. For $i \leq (n+1)/2$, $0 \neq x \in \text{Ker}(\mathsf{L}^{n+2-i}|A_\varphi^i(X_s))$, we have

$$(-1)^i(x, \mathsf{L}^{n+1-i}x)_\varphi > 0.$$

Remark 1.1.5. We want to give some connections between the above conjectures and Grothendieck's standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2].

1. If X/S is smooth, then $A_\varphi^*(X_s) = H_\varphi^*(X_s) = 0$ and

$$A_\psi^*(X_s) = A^*(X_s) = A_{n+1-*}(X_s), \quad H_\psi^*(X_s) = H^*(X_s) = H_{X_s}^*(X_s).$$

Thus the above conjectures are the Grothendieck conjectures for X_s .

2. Conversely if X_s is strictly semistable, based on work of Bloch–Gillet–Soulé [6] and Künnemann [27], we will show that the Grothendieck standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2] for strata implies Conjectures 1.1.3, 1.1.4. See Theorem 1.5.1. It will be interesting to extend these results to general situation.

Remark 1.1.6. Instead of working on $A^*(X_s)$ we can also work on $A_*(X_s)$ by defining

$$A_*^\psi(X_s) = \ker(i^*i_*), \quad A_*^\varphi(X_s) = \text{Im}(i_*i^*) = A_\varphi^{n+1-*}(X_s)$$

to obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_*^\psi(X_s) \longrightarrow A_*(X_s) \longrightarrow A_*^\varphi(X_s) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then there are also standard conjectures for $A_*(X_s)$ which is equivalent to those for $A^*(X_s)$. Similar equivalence holds for $H^*(X_s)$ and $H_{X_s}^*(X_s)$.

Harmonic forms

Apply Proposition A.1.1 to $\bigoplus_i H_?^*(X_s)(i)$, there is the following splittings:

Theorem 1.1.7. *Assume conjecture 1.1.1, then there is a unique decomposition of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -modules*

$$H^*(X_s) = H_\varphi^*(X_s) \oplus \mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X_s),$$

so that the induced morphism

$$\bigoplus_i H^*(X_s)(i) = \bigoplus_i H_\varphi^*(X_s)(i) \oplus \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X_s)(i),$$

is L -linear.

The space $\mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X_s)$ is called the space of *harmonic forms*.

Theorem 1.1.8. *Assume Conjecture 1.1.3. Then there is a unique decomposition of L -modules*

$$A^*(X_s) = A_\varphi^*(X_s) \oplus \mathcal{A}_\psi^*(X_s).$$

The space $\mathcal{A}_\psi^*(X)$ is called the space of *harmonic forms*.

Remark 1.1.9. These two decompositions can be considered as non-archimedean analogs of harmonic form decompositions, see Corollary 1.2.2.

1.2 Archimedean analogue

We want to write an analogue sequence of (1.1.7) when $K = \mathbb{C}$ with valuation $|\cdot|$ using Gillet–Soulé’s theory [17, §3.3.4] of arithmetic Chow groups over “arithmetic ring $(\mathbb{C}, |\cdot|)$ ”. Let X be a proper and smooth complex variety. Then according to Bloch–Gillet–Soulé [5], the analogue of “ $H_{X_s}^i(X) \rightarrow H^i(X_s)$ ” in archimedean case is given by

$$\mu := \frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} : \widetilde{A}^{i-1, i-1}(X(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow A_{\text{closed}}^{i, i}, \quad \widetilde{A}^{i-1, i-1}(X(\mathbb{C})) := \frac{A^{i-1, i-1}}{\text{Im} \partial + \text{Im} \bar{\partial}}.$$

In this case, the analogue of sequence (1.1.1) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(\partial \bar{\partial})^{i, i} \rightarrow A_{\text{closed}}^{i, i}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow H^{i, i}(X(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus we write this sequence as

$$0 \rightarrow H_\varphi^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow H^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow H_\psi^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The standard conjectures for $H_\psi^*(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ are the classical hard Lefschetz and Hodge index theorem in Hodge theory. For $H_\varphi^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}) := \text{Im}(\partial \bar{\partial})^{i, i}$ we have the following:

Theorem 1.2.1 (Künnemann). *For $i \leq (n+1)/2$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i} : H_\varphi^i(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\varphi^{n+1-i}(X_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Moreover for $0 \neq \alpha \in H_\varphi^i(X_{\mathbb{C}})$, $\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}\alpha = 0$, then

$$(-1)^i(\alpha, \mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}\alpha) > 0.$$

Proof. The first part is proved in [25, Lemma 10.4]. The second part is proved in [26, Theorem 1.2]. \square

By Proposition A.1.1, there is the following:

Corollary 1.2.2. *There is a unique decomposition into L -modules:*

$$A_{\text{closed}}^{*,*} = \partial\bar{\partial}(A^{*-1,*-1}) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{*,*}(X).$$

This decomposition is nothing but harmonic decomposition using the Laplacian operator. Thus $\mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X) := \mathcal{H}^{*,*}(X)$ is the space of harmonic forms. To be consistent with the notation in the non-archimedean situation, we still denote this decomposition as

$$H^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}) = H_\varphi^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \oplus \mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

1.3 Invariant cycles

Now we want to connect the hard Lefschetz for H_ψ^* to a conjecture about invariant cycles, which itself is a consequence of Deligne's weight monodromy conjecture [10].

Theorem 1.3.1. *Let $\bar{\eta} = \text{Spec} \bar{K}$ be the geometric point of S with Galois group $I = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Then the following four statements are equivalent:*

1. *Conjecture 1.1.1 of Lefschetz type for $H_\psi^*(X_s)$;*
2. *The bijectivity of the following composition of maps:*

$$H_\psi^*(X_s) \longrightarrow H^*(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I;$$

3. *The surjectivity of the map to invariant cycles: $H^*(X_s) \twoheadrightarrow H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I$;*
4. *For each i , $W_i H^i(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I = H^i(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I$.*

Proof. Consider the long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i-1}(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H_{X_s}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^i(X_s) \longrightarrow H^i(X_\eta) \longrightarrow \cdots. \quad (1.3.1)$$

This sequence is self-dual with respect to the pairing:

$$H_{X_s}^i(X) \otimes H^{2n+2-i}(X_s) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell(-1-n).$$

Notice that $H^i(X_s)$ has weight $\leq i$ and $H_{X_s}^i(X)$ has weight $\geq i$, thus there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{i-1}(X_\eta)/W_{i-1}H^{i-1}(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H_{X_s}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^i(X_s) \longrightarrow W_iH^i(X_\eta) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is self-dual with respect to $(i \longleftrightarrow 2n+2-i)$. Thus there are isomorphisms:

$$W_iH^i(X_\eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\psi^i(X), \quad H^i(X_\eta)/W_iH^i(X_\eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\psi^{2n+1-i}(X_s)^\vee(-n-1).$$

Combining these two isomorphisms, we get an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[\mathbb{L}]$ -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_\psi^*(X_s) \longrightarrow H^*(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H_\psi^{2n+1-*}(X_s)^\vee(-n-1) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1.3.2)$$

As the operator \mathbb{L} is an even degree operator, we can decompose this sequence into two sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow H_\psi^{2*}(X_s) \longrightarrow H^{2*}(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H_\psi^{2n+1-2*}(X_s)^\vee(-n-1) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1.3.3)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H_\psi^{2*+1}(X_s) \longrightarrow H^{2*+1}(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H_\psi^{2n-2*}(X_s)^\vee(-n-1) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1.3.4)$$

Now we want to consider the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} := H^p(I, H^q(X_{\bar{\eta}})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X_\eta).$$

Since the action of I on $H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ restricting to an open subgroup I_0 factors through the tame quotient $\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)$, this sequence degenerates. Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(I, H^{*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})) \longrightarrow H^*(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H^0(I, H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using following identities

$$H^1(I, H^{*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})) = H^{*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1), \quad H^0(I, H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})) = H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I,$$

we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1) \longrightarrow H^*(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1.3.5)$$

We can decompose it into even and odd degrees as well:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{2*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1) \longrightarrow H^{2*}(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1) \longrightarrow H^{2*+1}(X_\eta) \longrightarrow H^{2*+1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Deligne [11], $H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ satisfies the hard Lefschetz. More precisely, four end terms are Lefschetz modules with different centers:

$$H^{2*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1) : (n+1)/2, \quad H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I : n/2,$$

$$H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1) : n/2, \quad H^{2*+1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I : (n-1)/2.$$

Now applying Proposition A.1.1 part 2 to the above two sequences, we get unique splittings,

$$H^{2*}(X_\eta) \simeq H^{2*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1) \oplus H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I. \quad (1.3.6)$$

$$H^{2*+1}(X_\eta) \simeq H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1) \oplus H^{2*+1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I. \quad (1.3.7)$$

From (1.3.6) and (1.3.3), we get morphisms of graded modules

$$\alpha \in \text{Hom}(H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I, H_\psi^{2n+1-2*}(X_s)^\vee(-1-n)), \quad \beta \in \text{Hom}(H_\psi^{2*}(X_s), H^{2*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1)).$$

Now we want to prove that part 1 implies part 2. Assume $H_\psi^*(X)$ satisfies the hard Lefschetz 1.1.1, then four end terms are all Lefschetz modules with various different centers:

$$H_\psi^{2*}(X_s) : n/2, \quad H_\psi^{2n+1-2*}(X_s)^\vee : (n+1)/2,$$

$$H_\psi^{2*+1}(X_s) : (n-1)/2, \quad H_\psi^{2n-2*}(X_s)^\vee : n/2.$$

Considering their centers, by Proposition A.1.1 part 1, these two group homomorphisms α , β vanish. Thus the sequences (1.3.3) and (1.3.4) split with isomorphisms:

$$H_\psi^{2*}(X_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I, \quad H^{2*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\psi^{2n+1-2*}(X_s)^\vee(-n-1),$$

$$H_\psi^{2*+1}(X_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2*+1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I, \quad H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\psi^{2n-2*}(X_s)^\vee(-n-1).$$

Combing these splittings, we get the splitting for sequences (1.3.2) and the isomorphisms:

$$H_\psi^*(X_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I, \quad H^{*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_\psi^{2n+1-*}(X_s)^\vee(-n-1).$$

In particular, we have part 2 of the theorem.

It is clear that part 2 implies part 3 and that part 3 implies part 4.

Now assume part 4. By duality, the $H^i(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I$ has weight $\geq i$. Thus the sequence (1.3.5) splits according to weight comparison. In particular, we have

$$H_\psi^i(X_s) = W_i H^i(X_s) \simeq H^i(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I.$$

Now the hard Lefschetz for $H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ proved by Deligne [11] gives the hard Lefschetz for $H_\psi^*(X_s)$. Thus we have completed the proof of theorem. \square

Remark 1.3.2. Combined with known cases of the weight monodromy conjecture, Conjecture 1.1.1 holds for $H_\psi^*(X_s)$ in the following cases:

1. X/S is smooth, see Deligne [11];
2. X_η is a curve, or an abelian variety [SGA7];
3. X_η is a surface, see Rapoport–Zink [31] for semistable case, and de Jong’s alteration [9] for the general case;

4. K has positive characteristic, see Deligne [11] for k_0 a finite field, and Ito [22] for the general case;
5. k has characteristic 0, see Steebrink [35] and Saito [33];
6. X_η is a set-theoretically complete intersection in a toric variety. see Scholze [34];
7. X has a uniformization by Drinfeld upper half spaces [23].

For $A_\psi^*(X)$ there is a slightly weaker result:

Theorem 1.3.3. *Assume either the smoothness of X/S or both Conjectures 1.1.2 and 1.1.3 for $A_\psi^*(X_s)$. Then the map*

$$A_\psi^*(X_s) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{2*}^W H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I(*)$$

is injective.

Proof. If X/S is smooth, then

$$A_\psi^*(X) = A^*(X_s) \subset H^{2*}(X_s)(*) = H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I.$$

If Conjectures 1.1.2 and 1.1.3 for $A_\psi^*(X_s)$ hold, then the class map

$$A_\psi^i(X_s) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{2i}^W H^{2i}(X_s)(i)$$

is injective. This follows from the fact that the map respects to the pairing.

We consider the embedding from equation (1.3.6),

$$A_\psi^*(X_s) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{2*}^W H^{2*}(X_{\eta})(*) \simeq \mathrm{Gr}_{2*}^W H^{2*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(*-1) \oplus \mathrm{Gr}_{2*}^W H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})^I(*).$$

The composition with the first projection gives a map

$$A_\psi^*(X_s) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{2*}^W H^{2*-1}(X_{\bar{\eta}})_I(*-1).$$

This is a morphism between two Lefschetz modules with centers $(n+1)/2$ and $n/2$. Thus by Proposition A.1.1, this map must vanish. Thus we have the injectivity in the theorem. \square

1.4 Perverse decompositions

In the following, we want to give an interpretation of our conjectures in terms of perverse cohomology of the complex $Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \in D_c^b(S)$ as defined in [3]. Recall that by definition a perverse sheaf F on S is a complex in $D_c^b(S)$ such that both F and $D(F)$ are in $D_c^{[-1,0]}(S)$, where D is the Verdier duality operator on $Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \in D_c^b(S)$ defined by

$$D(F) = R\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathbb{Q}_\ell[2]).$$

It can be shown that for any complex $F \in D^{[-1,0]}(S)$, F is perverse if and only if the following conditions hold:

1. $\mathcal{H}^{-1}F$ is a “torsion free” sheaf on S in the sense that the morphism $\mathcal{H}^{-1}F \rightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{H}^{-1}F$ is injective,
2. \mathcal{H}^0F is a “torsion sheaf” in the sense that $j^*\mathcal{H}^0F = 0$ or equivalently $\mathcal{H}^0F = i_*i^*\mathcal{H}^0F$.

Any perverse sheaf is a successive extension of simples sheaves which have forms i_*U_s or $j_*V_\eta[-1]$ for simple sheaves U_s, V_η at s and η .

The first result is the following decomposition theorem which we have learned from Weizhe Zheng [43]:

Lemma 1.4.1. *For any $C \in D_c^b(S)$, there is a decomposition of complexes:*

$$C \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} {}^p\mathcal{H}^m C[-m].$$

Proof. It suffices to show that for any integer n , $\text{Ext}^1({}^p\mathcal{H}^{>n}C, {}^p\mathcal{H}^{\leq n}C) = 0$. Since C is a successive extension of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^m C[-m]$, it suffices to show that $\text{Ext}^m(F, G) = 0$ for all perverse sheaves F, G and $m \geq 2$. We may even reduce the following three situations:

$$F = i_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell, \quad F = \mathbb{Q}_\ell[1], \quad F = j_!V_\eta[1],$$

where V_η is non-constant simple sheaf at η . Since $Ri^!G = Di^*DG$, we have

$$R\text{Hom}(i_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell, G) = R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell, Ri^!G) \in D^{[0,1]},$$

$$R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell[1], G) = R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell[1], i^*G) \in D^{[0,1]},$$

$$R\text{Hom}(j_!V_\eta[1], G) = R\text{Hom}(V_\eta[1], j^*G) \in D^{[0,1]}.$$

It follows that $R\text{Hom}(F, G) \in D^{[0,1]}$. Thus

$$\text{Ext}^m(F, G) = H^m(R\text{Hom}(F, G)) = 0, \quad \forall m \geq 2.$$

□

Remark 1.4.2. Unlike the usual cohomology splitting of complexes, the perverse cohomology splitting in Lemma 1.4.1 may not be unique as there could be nontrivial elements in

$$\text{Hom}({}^p\mathcal{H}^m C[-m], {}^p\mathcal{H}^{m+1}C[-m-1]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^0({}^p\mathcal{H}^m C), \mathcal{H}^{-1}({}^p\mathcal{H}^{m+1}C)).$$

Applying the sheaf cohomology to the identity in the lemma, we obtain a decomposition:

$$\mathcal{H}^i(C) = \mathcal{H}^{-1}({}^p\mathcal{H}^{i+1}C) \oplus \mathcal{H}^0({}^p\mathcal{H}^iC).$$

From this identity, it is clear that $\mathcal{H}^0({}^p\mathcal{H}^iC)$ is the maximal torsion subsheaf of $\mathcal{H}^i(C)$. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{H}^0({}^p\mathcal{H}^iC) = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{H}^i(C) \rightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{H}^i(C)), \tag{1.4.1}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}({}^p\mathcal{H}^{i+1}C) = \text{Im}(\mathcal{H}^i(C) \rightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{H}^i(C)). \tag{1.4.2}$$

In the following, we apply the above decomposition to the complex $Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. We make the following conjectures:

Conjecture 1.4.3. *On S , there is a splitting of complexes:*

$${}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^0({}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}({}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)[1].$$

$$\text{Moreover } \mathcal{H}^{-1}({}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_* j^* R^{i-1} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

Conjecture 1.4.4. *For any $i \leq n+1$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathsf{L}^i : {}^pR^{n+1-i} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^pR^{n+1+i} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(i).$$

Remark 1.4.5. These two conjectures could be extended to a more general situation. More precisely, the statements in [3, Theorem 6.2.5 6.2.10] should hold for any proper morphism of schemes.

Theorem 1.4.6. *The conjecture of Lefschetz type 1.1.1 for $H_\psi^*(X)$ is equivalent to Conjecture 1.4.3. Assume Conjecture 1.4.3, then Conjecture 1.1.1 of Lefschetz type for $H_\varphi^*(X)$ is equivalent to Conjecture 1.4.4.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.3.1, Conjecture 1.1.1 of Lefschetz type for $H_\psi^*(X)$ is equivalent to the surjectivity of the morphisms of sheaves for each i :

$$R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow j_* j^* R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

By the exact sequence (1.4.2), this is equivalent to the bijectivity

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}({}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_* j^* \mathcal{H}^{-1}({}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Notice that

$$\mathsf{D}({}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = {}^pR^{2n+2-i} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1+n).$$

Thus for the first part of theorem, it suffices to prove the following lemma which we have learned again from Weizhe Zheng [43]:

Lemma 1.4.7. *Let F be a perverse sheaf on S . Then F is split in the following sense*

$$F = \mathcal{H}^0(F) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}(F)[1]$$

if and only if

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathsf{D}(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_* j^* \mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathsf{D}(F).$$

Proof. Write exact sequences for F and $\mathsf{D}(F)$ using their cohomology

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1}(F)[1] \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(F) \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.4.3}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathsf{D}(F)[1] \rightarrow \mathsf{D}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0\mathsf{D}(F) \rightarrow 0, \tag{1.4.4}$$

Write $U = i^* \mathcal{H}^0\mathsf{D}F$ and $V = j^* \mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathsf{D}(F)$ as sheaves at s and η respectively.

If $\mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathsf{D}(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_* j^* \mathcal{H}^{-1}\mathsf{D}(F) = j_* V$, then apply D to sequence 1.4.4 to get

$$0 \rightarrow i_* U^D \rightarrow F \rightarrow j_* V^D[1] \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$U^D := \text{Hom}(U, \mathbb{Q}_\ell), \quad V^D := \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)).$$

Taking sheaf cohomology \mathcal{H}^* , we obtain a surjective map

$$i_* U^D \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(F).$$

Taking global sections, this gives $U^D \twoheadrightarrow i^* \mathcal{H}^0(F)$. Any section of this map will provide a splitting of (1.4.3).

Conversely, assume F is split, then there is a composition of the following surjective morphisms of perverse sheaves:

$$F \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1} F[1] \longrightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{H}^{-1} F[1].$$

This is a surjective morphism in the category of perverse sheaves with the kernel of the form $i_* X$ with X a vector space concentrated at degree 0. By duality, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow j_* j^* D\mathcal{H}^{-1} F[1] \longrightarrow DF \longrightarrow i_* X^D \longrightarrow 0.$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1} DF = j_* j^* D\mathcal{H}^{-1} F.$$

□

It remains to prove the second part of theorem. Notice that the hard Lefschetz for ${}^p R^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ is equivalent to the hard Lefschetz at $({}^p R^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_\eta$ and $({}^p R^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_s$. At η , it is the hard Lefschetz on $H^*(X_{\bar{\eta}})$ proved by Deligne [11]. At s , under Conjecture 1.1.1 of Lefschetz type for $H_\psi^*(X)$, by Theorem 1.3.1, formulae (1.4.1, 1.4.2), we have that

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1} ({}^p R^{i+1} f_{s*} (\mathbb{Q}_\ell)) = H_\psi^i(X), \quad \mathcal{H}^0 ({}^p R^i f_{s*} (\mathbb{Q}_\ell)) = H_\varphi^i(X).$$

Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_\psi^{*-1}(X)[1] \longrightarrow ({}^p R^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_s \longrightarrow H_\varphi^*(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the assumption in the theorem, the hard Lefschetz holds for $H_\psi^{*-1}(X)[1]$ with center $n+1$. Thus the hard Lefschetz for $({}^p R^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_s$ with center $n+1$ is equivalent to the hard Lefschetz for $H_\varphi^*(X)$ with center $n+1$. □

By Beilinson–Bernstein–Deligne–Gabber [3], we have the following:

Corollary 1.4.8. *Assume that S has equal characteristic, then conjectures 1.1.1, 1.4.3, and 1.4.4 all hold.*

Proof. When k is of characteristic 0, these are special cases of [3, Theorem 6.2.5, Theorem 6.2.10]. When k has characteristic p , then the same proof over \mathbb{Q} will reduce the problems to the statements for varieties defined over finite fields: [3, Corollary 5.4.7, and Theorem 5.4.10]. □

Notice that the results over finite fields were first proved by Deligne [11].

1.5 Strict semistable reductions

As one attempt to deduce our extended standard conjectures from Grothendieck's standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2], we have the following general result based on previous work of Bloch–Gillet–Soulé [6] and Künnemann [27]:

Theorem 1.5.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be as in §1.1. Assume that X has strictly semistable reductions and that on each stratum Y_I of dimension $n_I = n + 1 - |I|$, the group $A(Y_I)$ of algebraic cohomology cycles satisfies Grothendieck's standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2]. Then Conjectures 1.1.2, 1.1.3, and 1.1.4 hold.*

Proof. We will use results in [6] where there are different definitions of $A^*(Y)$ and $A_*(Y)$. To avoid confusion, we denote their groups as $A^*(X_s)_{BGS}$ and $A_*(X_s)_{BGS}$. Recall that these groups are defined using Čech complexes as (1.1.2), (1.1.3), (1.1.5) and (1.1.6):

$$0 \longrightarrow A^*(X_s)_{BGS} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i A^*(Y_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \leq j} A^*(Y_{ij}), \quad (1.5.1)$$

$$\bigoplus_{i \leq j} A_*(Y_{ij}) \longrightarrow A_*(Y_i) \longrightarrow A_*(X_s)_{BGS} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1.5.2)$$

where for smooth variety Z over a field of dimension d , $A_*(Z)$ is defined to be $A^{d-*}(Z)$. There are morphisms among various exact sequences:

$$(1.1.5) \twoheadrightarrow (1.5.1) \longrightarrow (1.1.2), \quad (1.1.6) \twoheadrightarrow (1.5.2) \longrightarrow (1.1.3).$$

The composition are the class maps (1.1.4) of Chow groups. Thus there are maps

$$A_*(X_s)_{BGS} \twoheadrightarrow A_*(X_s), \quad A^*(X_s)_{BGS} \xrightarrow{\sim} A^*(X_s). \quad (1.5.3)$$

In the exact sequences (1.5.1) and (1.5.2), by assumption on the Grothendieck standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2], $A^*(Y_i)$ is dual to $A_*(Y_i) = A^{n-i}(Y_i)$ and $A^*(Y_{ij})$ is dual to $A_*(Y_{ij}) = A^{n-1-*}(Y_{ij})$. It follows that the intersection pairing on $A^*(Y_i)$ induces a perfect pairing

$$A^*(X_s)_{BGS} \times A_*(X_s)_{BGS} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Since this pairing is compatible with pairing on $A^*(X_s) \times A_*(X_s)$, we have that $A_*(X_s)_{BGS} \xrightarrow{\sim} A_*(X_s)$. Thus we have proved Conjecture 1.1.2.

For proving the rest of the theorem, we notice that the maps (1.5.3) are compatible with connection map i^*i_* , i.e., the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{n+1-*}(X_s)_{BGS} & \xrightarrow{i^*i_*} & A^*(X_s)_{BGS} \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ A_{n+1-*}(X_s) & \xrightarrow{i^*i_*} & A^*(X_s). \end{array}$$

We define the groups $A_\varphi^*(X_s)_{BGS}$ and $A_\psi^*(X_s)_{BGS}$ as image and cokernel of i^*i_* on the top row analogously. Then there is an isomorphism of two exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A_\varphi^*(X_s)_{BGS} & \longrightarrow & A^*(X_s)_{BGS} & \longrightarrow & A_\psi^*(X_s)_{BGS} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A_\varphi^*(X_s) & \longrightarrow & A^*(X_s) & \longrightarrow & A_\psi^*(X_s) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The main results of Bloch–Gillet–Soulé [6, Theorem 6] and Künnemann [27, Theorem 2.17] are that $A_\psi^*(X_s)_{BGS}$ and $A_\varphi^*(X_s)_{BGS}$ both satisfy Conjectures 1.1.3 and 1.1.4. \square

Remark 1.5.2. Here are some examples where the assumption of the theorem hold:

1. X_η is a curve or a surface;
2. X_η is an abelian variety with totally degenerate fiber: X_s is a union of toric varieties, [27, §3.4];
3. X is the quotient of a Drinfeld upper half space with L induced from the canonical bundle, [23, Proposition 4.4].

Remark 1.5.3. In terms of their group $A^*(X_s)_{BGS}$, the *harmonic decomposition* was already given by Bloch–Gillet–Soulé [6, Theorem 6, part (iii)] using a Laplacian operator.

1.6 Admissible cycles

Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be as in §1.1. Then there is a chain of maps between various cycles:

$$Z_{n+1-*}(X_s) \xrightarrow{i_*} Z_{n+1-*}(X) = Z^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Ch}^*(X) \rightarrow \text{Ch}^*(X_s) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^*(X_s).$$

We want to modify all cycle groups by modulo the images of the kernel of the following map

$$Z_{n+1-*}(X_s) \rightarrow A_{n+1-*}(X_s)$$

to obtain a new chain of maps:

$$A_{n+1-*}(X_s) \xrightarrow{i_*} \widehat{Z}_{n+1-*}(X) = \widehat{Z}^*(X) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} A^*(X_s). \quad (1.6.1)$$

The i^* is called the *curvature map* and denoted it by ω .

Let L be an ample line bundle, and assume that Conjecture 1.1.3 holds. Then by Theorem 1.1.8, and Corollary 1.2.2, there is a decomposition

$$A^*(X_s) = A_\varphi^*(X_s) \oplus \mathcal{A}_\psi^*(X_s), \quad (1.6.2)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\psi^*(X_s)$ is the space of harmonic forms.

We say that a class $x \in \widehat{Z}^*(X)$ (resp. $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$) is *admissible*, if its curvature $\omega(x)$ is harmonic. Let $\overline{Z}^*(X)$ (resp. $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$) denote the group of admissible classes called the *Arakelov group*. It is clear that $A_\varphi^*(X_s)$ is the image i^*i_* in (1.6.1). Thus every class in $\widehat{Z}^*(X)$ can be modified to be admissible by adding a vertical cycle on a special fiber. Denote $A_\psi^*(X_s)$ as the kernel of $i^*i_* : A_*(X_s) \rightarrow A^{n+1-*}(X_s)$. Then there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow i_* A_{n+1-*}^\psi(X_s) \rightarrow \overline{Z}^*(X) \rightarrow Z^*(X_\eta) \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.6.3)$$

Arakelov liftings

We want to define some canonical lifting for the sequence 1.6.3. For any cycle $z \in Z^*(X_\eta)$ with Zariski closure z^{Zar} , an admissible lifting z^{Ara} of z is called *Arakelov lifting* if the difference $z^{\text{Ara}} - z^{\text{Zar}} = i_*g$ for some $g \in A_{n+1-*}(X_s)$ that is perpendicular to the image of $\mathcal{A}_\psi^{n+1-*}(X_s) \subset A^{n+1-*}(X_s)$ in the space of harmonic forms.

Theorem 1.6.1. *Assume either the smoothness of X/S or Conjectures 1.1.2 and 1.1.3. Then for any cycle $z \in Z^*(X_\eta)$, the Arakelov lifting z^{Ara} of z exists and is unique.*

Proof. If X/S is smooth, then we simply take $z^{\text{Ara}} = z^{\text{Zar}}$. So we can assume Conjectures 1.1.2, 1.1.3 in the following. Let's start with the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_{n+1-*}^\psi(X_s) \longrightarrow A_{n+1-*}(X_s) \xrightarrow{i^*i_*} A^*(X_s) \longrightarrow A_\psi^*(X_s) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Conjecture 2.1.2, the above sequence is dual to the same sequence with $*$ replaced by $n+1-*$. The decomposition 1.6.2 implies a dual decomposition

$$A_*(X_s) = A_*^\psi(X_s) \oplus \mathcal{A}_*^\varphi(X_s).$$

The $\mathcal{A}_*^\varphi(X_s)$ is in fact the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{A}_*^\psi(X_s)$.

Now for any $z \in Z^*(X_\eta)$, and any lifting $\bar{z} \in \bar{Z}^*(X_\eta)$, their difference has an expression $\bar{z} - z^{\text{Zar}} = i_*g$ for some $g \in A_{n+1-*}(X_s)$. We can modify this element by adding some element in $A_{n+1-*}^\psi(X_s)$ so that it belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{n+1-*}^\varphi(X_s)$. \square

As an application of Proposition 1.6.1, for two disjoint cycles $z_1 \in Z^i(X_\eta)$ and $z_2 \in Z^j(X_\eta)$ with $i+j = n+1$, we can define their *Arakelov height pairing* as follows:

$$(z_1, z_2)_{\text{Ara}} = z_1^{\text{Ara}} \cdot z_2^{\text{Ara}} = z_1^{\text{Ara}} \cdot z_2^{\text{Zar}}.$$

The archimedean analog of the above construction is classical due to Arakelov, Faltings, and Gillet–Soulé. For a smooth, complex projective variety X with a Kähler form ω , we can extend any cycle $z \in Z^*(X)$ to a Green current g so that $\frac{\partial\bar{\partial}}{\pi i}g = \delta_z - h_z$ where h_z is the harmonic form representing z . This current is unique up to an addition of a harmonic form. We may further normalize this current by requiring that this current is perpendicular to all harmonic forms. The resulting cycle $z^{\text{Ara}} = (z, g)$ is the Arakelov lifting of z . The admissible height pairing of two disjoint cycles z_1, z_2 is then

$$(z_1, z_2)_{\text{Ara}} = \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} g_1 \delta_{z_2}$$

where $z_1^{\text{Ara}} = (z_1, g_1)$.

By Theorem 1.5.1, we have the following:

Corollary 1.6.2. *Assume that X/S is strictly semistable and that Grothendieck's standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2] holds. Then the Arakelov height pairings are well-defined for cycles on X .*

Beilinson–Bloch liftings

A cycle $z \in \text{Ch}^*(X_\eta)$ is called homologically trivial if its class in $H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})(*)$ is trivial. For a homologically trivial cycle $z \in \text{Ch}^*(X_\eta)$, a lifting $z^{\mathbb{B}} \in \widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ is called a *Beilinson–Bloch lifting* if $z^{\mathbb{B}}$ has vanishing curvature in $A^*(X_s)$.

Proposition 1.6.3. *Assume either the smoothness of X/S or the standard Conjectures 1.1.2, 1.1.3. An admissible class has curvature 0 if and only if it is homologically trivial. In particular, the Beilinson–Bloch lifting exists for all homologically trivial cycles.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.3.3, the $A_\psi^*(X_s)$ is isomorphic to its image in $H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})(*)$. Thus the curvature map is the following composition of maps:

$$\overline{Z}^*(X) \twoheadrightarrow A^*(X_\eta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_\psi^*(X_s),$$

where $A^*(X_\eta)$ is the image of $Z^*(X_\eta)$ in $H^{2*}(X_{\bar{\eta}})(*)$. \square

As an application of Proposition 1.6.3, for two disjoint cycles $z_1 \in Z^i(X_\eta)$ and $z_2 \in Z^j(X_\eta)$ with $i+j = n+1$ such that z_1 is homologically trivial, we can define their *Beilinson–Bloch local height pairing* as follows:

$$(z_1, z_2)_{\mathbb{B}} = z_1^{\mathbb{B}} \cdot z_2^{\text{Zar}}.$$

The archimedean analog of the above construction is classical due to Arakelov, Faltings, and Gillet–Soulé. For a complex projective variety X with a Kähler form ω , we can extend any homologically trivial cycle $z \in Z^*(X)$ to a Green current g so that $\frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} g = \delta_z$. The Beilinson–Bloch height pairing of two disjoint cycles z_1, z_2 is then defined as follows:

$$(z_1, z_2)_{\mathbb{B}} = \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} g_1 \delta_{z_2}$$

where $z_1^{\mathbb{B}} = (z_1, g_1)$ is an admissible lifting of z_1 .

For non-strictly semistable reduction, we have the following weaker result:

Theorem 1.6.4. *Assume the Grothendieck standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2]. The Beilinson–Bloch lifting exists for every homologically trivial cycle.*

Proof. We need to show that for any homologically trivial cycle $z \in \text{Ch}^*(X_\eta)$, we can find an extension \bar{z} which has vanishing curvature.

Apply de Jong’s theorem [9] to get a morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ such that $f' = f \circ \pi : X' \rightarrow S$ satisfies same property as f with X' having strictly semistable reduction. Let $i' : X'_s \rightarrow X$ denote the inclusion of special fiber of X' . Then $\pi^* z$ is still homologically trivial. Thus there is an extension $\overline{\pi^* z}$ with vanishing curvature. Let $\bar{z} = \pi_! (\overline{\pi^* z})$. Then \bar{z} also has vanishing curvature. \square

Remark 1.6.5. Using Bloch–Gillet–Soulé’s harmonic forms, Künnemann defined an Arakelov group [27, §3.6], and related it to the Beilinson–Bloch height pairing [27, §3.8], under the assumptions of Theorem 1.5.1 with following additional conditions:

1. X/S has a model X_0/S_0 with $k(s_0)$ a finite field, and
2. $H^{2*}(Y_I)(*)$ is generated by algebraic classes, and is semisimple under $\text{Gal}(k/k(s_0))$ for $|I| = 1, 2$

In particular, his work covers the case of abelian varieties over local fields with total degeneration and the case of varieties uniformized by the Drinfeld upper-half spaces.

2 Global cycles

In this section, for arithmetic varieties or algebraic varieties fibered over curves, we define the Arakelov Chow groups of admissible cycles and the decomposition (2.2.1) by using our structure theorems for Lefschetz modules in §A under local and global standard conjectures. We will show that the global standard conjecture for the Arakelov Chow groups is essentially equivalent respectively to the standard conjectures of Gillet–Soulé and Beilinson (2.1.2, 2.3.2).

We can unconditionally define the Arakelov cohomology groups and the decompositions in the function field case. These cohomology groups are isomorphic to the intermediate extensions of the cohomology groups over smooth locus. Still, the decomposition (Theorem 2.4.2) is different than the classical one defined by splitting of cohomology (2.4.8).

For divisors and one cycles, we will give unconditional definitions of admissible cycles and decompositions (Theorems 2.5.6, 2.5.9) using the Hodge index theorem of Faltings [13] and Moriwaki [30]. Thus we obtain an unconditional arithmetic L-liftings for divisors and 0-cycles on the generic fibers. (Corollaries 2.5.7, 2.5.10). We obtain some modular generating series of arithmetic Kudla’s divisors or 0-cycles for Shimura varieties of orthogonal or unitary types.

2.1 Arakelov Chow groups

Arithmetic cycles

Let S be a regular scheme of dimension 1, which is either an arithmetic curve $S = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_K$ for a number field K , or a smooth and projective curve over a field k . We call a place v of K for a point of S or an infinite valuation in the number field case.

Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective and flat morphism from a regular scheme of dimension $n + 1$. We want to define some modified groups of cycles $\widehat{Z}^*(X)$ and $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ as follows.

In the geometric situation, we define $\widehat{Z}^*(X)$ as the quotient of $Z^*(X)$ modulo images of homologically trivial cycles on vertical fibers, and $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ as the image of $\text{Ch}^*(X)$ in $H^{2*}(X_{\bar{k}})(*)$ for some Weil cohomology. Notice that $H^{2*}(X_{\bar{k}})(*)$ is a cohomology group for the variety $X_{\bar{k}}$ rather than $H^{2*}(X_{\bar{K}})(*)$ for its geometric generic fiber $X_{\bar{K}}$.

In the arithmetic situation, we define $\widehat{Z}^*(X)$ and $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ to be the quotients of Gillet–Soulé’s [17] groups of arithmetic cycles $\widehat{Z}^*(X)_{GS}$ and $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)_{GS}$ modulo the images of homologically trivial cycles on vertical fibers.

Let L be an ample line bundle on X . In the arithmetic case, this means that L is a Hermitian line bundle on X as defined by Gillet–Soulé [17] with positive curvature pointwise at archimedean places, and with positive intersections with horizontal cycles, see [39]. As usual, let L be the Lefschetz operator defined by $c_1(L)$. Here is (slightly modified) Gillet–Soulé’s standard conjecture:

Conjecture 2.1.1 (Gillet–Soulé [18]). *Let $i \leq (n+1)/2$.*

1. *We have an isomorphism*

$$\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i} : \widehat{\mathrm{Ch}}^i(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathrm{Ch}}^{n+1-i}(X).$$

2. *For $x \in \widehat{\mathrm{Ch}}^i(X)$, $x \neq 0$, and $\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}x = 0$, we have*

$$(-1)^i(x, \mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}x) > 0.$$

Admissible cycles

Let s be a place of K . If s is a closed point of S , then there is a morphism of schemes:

$$\check{s} := \mathrm{Spec} \check{\mathcal{O}}_{S,s} \longrightarrow S,$$

where $\check{\mathcal{O}}_{S,s}$ denotes the completion of a maximal unramified extension of $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$. This induces a morphism

$$f_{\check{s}} : X_{\check{s}} := X \times_S \check{s} \longrightarrow \check{s}.$$

Then we define

$$A^*(X_s) = A^*(X_{\check{s}})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{s}/s)}.$$

If s is infinite given by an embedding $K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then we have $K_{\bar{s}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ and $K_s = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . With our notation in Corollary 1.2.2, we define

$$A^*(X_s) = A^*(X_{\bar{s}})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{s}/s)}.$$

Now we assume for each closed point s of S that Conjecture 1.1.3 holds for $f_{\check{s}}$, and that Conjecture 1.1.2 holds when $f_{\check{s}}$ is not smooth. Then by Theorem 1.1.8, and Corollary 1.2.2, there is a harmonic decomposition

$$A^*(X_{\bar{s}}) = A_{\varphi}^*(X_{\bar{s}}) \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\psi}^*(X_{\bar{s}}).$$

Taking Galois invariants, we get a decomposition

$$A^*(X_s) = A_{\varphi}^*(X_s) \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\psi}^*(X_s).$$

We say that a class $x \in \widehat{\mathrm{Ch}}^*(X)$ is *admissible* at s , if its curvature $\omega_s(x)$ is harmonic. We say such a class is *admissible* if it is admissible everywhere. Following Gillet–Soulé [17] and Künnemann [27], we define the *Arakelov Chow group* as the group of admissible classes:

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}^*(X) := \left\{ x \in \widehat{\mathrm{Ch}}^*(X) : \omega_s(x) \in \mathcal{A}_{\psi}^*(X_s), \quad \forall s \right\}.$$

We define the group of vertical cycles and the curvature map by

$$\omega_\varphi : \widehat{\text{Ch}}_\varphi^*(X) := \sum_s i_{s*} A_{n+1-s}(X_s) \rightarrow A_\varphi^*(X) := \bigoplus_s A_\varphi^*(X_s).$$

Denote the kernel of this curvature map by $B^*(X)$. Then we have the following identities and exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X) &= \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) + \widehat{\text{Ch}}_\varphi^*(X), & B^*(X) &= \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \cap \widehat{\text{Ch}}_\varphi^*(X). \\ 0 \longrightarrow B^*(X) \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \longrightarrow \text{Ch}^*(X_K) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.3.3, the $A_\psi^*(X_s)$ is isomorphic to its image in $H^{2*}(X_{\bar{K}})(*)$. Thus the curvature map is the following composition

$$\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \rightarrow A^*(X_K) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_\psi^*(X_s),$$

where $A^*(X_K)$ is the image of $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)$ in $H^{2*}(X_{\bar{K}})(*)$. This implies that an admissible class has curvature zero at one place if and only if it is homologically trivial. Thus we have well-defined Beilinson–Bloch height pairing on the group $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0$ of homologically trivial cycles.

Lemma 2.1.2. *Assume the standard conjectures 1.1.3, 1.1.4 for $A_\varphi^*(X_s)$, $A_\psi^*(X_s)$ for every place s of K . Then the standard conjecture 2.1.1 for $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ is equivalent to the standard conjecture for $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ of Lefschetz and Hodge types. Moreover there is an orthogonal decomposition:*

$$\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X) = \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \oplus A_\varphi^*(X).$$

Proof. There is an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \longrightarrow A_\varphi^*(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The truth of the standard conjecture for any two of three terms in the above sequence will imply the truth for the third one. \square

Filtrations

We consider a 3-step filtration $F^* \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ by

$$F^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) = \begin{cases} \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)^0 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ B^*(X) & \text{if } i = 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)^0 := \text{Ker}(\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \longrightarrow H^{2*}(X_{\bar{K}})(*))$. This filtration has graded quotients given by

$$G^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) = \begin{cases} A^*(X_K) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ B^*(X) & \text{if } i = 2, \end{cases}$$

where $A^*(X_K)$ and $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0$ are respectively the image and the kernel of the following map

$$\text{Ch}^*(X_K) \longrightarrow H^{2*}(X_{\bar{K}})(*).$$

Notice that the intersection pairing on $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ induces the Beilinson–Bloch height pairing on $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} G^1 \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X_K)$. Let $\epsilon \in B^1(X)$ denote a class of degree 1: $\epsilon = \pi^* \epsilon_K$ for $\epsilon_K \in \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(K)$ with degree 1. Then the intersection with ϵ on $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ factors through $A^*(X_K)$ with the image in $B^*(X)$.

2.2 Decompositions

Applying Theorem A.2.1 and Proposition A.3.1, we have a canonical splitting of this filtration with respect to operator L and adjoint Λ .

Theorem 2.2.1. *Assume standard Conjectures 1.1.3, 1.1.4, and 2.1.1. There is a unique splitting of filtered \mathbb{R} modules*

$$\alpha = (\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \alpha^2) : G^0 \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \oplus G^1 \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \oplus G^2 \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$$

such that α^1 is L -linear, and α^0 is L -linear modulo $\text{Im} \alpha^2$ and Λ -linear modulo $\text{Im} \alpha^1$. Moreover we have the following properties for this splitting:

1. α^1 is isometric when $G^1 \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0$ is equipped with the Beilinson–Bloch height pairing;
2. $\text{Im} \alpha^0$ is isotropic;
3. there is an L -linear isomorphism $\beta : G^0 \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \longrightarrow G^2 \overline{\text{Ch}}^{*+1}(X)$ such that α translates the $\mathbb{R}[\mathsf{L}, \Lambda]$ -module structure on $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ to a structure on $\bigoplus_i G^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ defined as follows: for $(x^0, x^1, x^2) \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 G^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$,

$$\mathsf{L} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{L} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathsf{L} & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & \mathsf{L} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 & \beta^{-1} \\ 0 & \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 2.2.2. It is clear that $\alpha^0[X_K] = [X]$, and $\beta[X_K] = cX_\epsilon$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We compute c as follows:

$$c_1(L)^{n+1} = \deg(\mathsf{L}^{n+1} \alpha^0[X]) = (n+1) \deg(c_1(L)^n \alpha^2 \beta[X_K]) = (n+1) c_1(L_K)^n \beta([X_K]).$$

It follows that

$$\beta([X_K]) = \frac{c_1(L)^{n+1}}{(n+1)c_1(L_K)^n} X_\epsilon = h_L(X_K) X_\epsilon.$$

Then the lifting of $c_1(L_K)^i \in A^i(X_K)$ under α^0 can be defined as

$$\alpha^0 \mathsf{L}^i [X_K] = \mathsf{L}^i \alpha^0 [X_K] - i \mathsf{L}^{i-1} \beta [X_K] = c_1(L)^i - i h_L(X) c_1(L)^{i-1} X_\epsilon = c_1(L_0)^i,$$

where $L_0 := L(-h_L(X))$ is the unique rescaling of L such that $c_1(L_0)^{n+1} = 0$.

Remark 2.2.3 (Triple products). As one application of Theorem 2.2.1, we consider the following symmetric triple product of cycles :

$$\overline{\text{Ch}}^i(X) \times \overline{\text{Ch}}^j(X) \times \overline{\text{Ch}}^k(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad i + j + k = n + 1, \quad (x, y, z)_{\mathbb{T}} = \widehat{\deg}(x \cdot y \cdot z).$$

When one of i, j, k is zero, this pairing is completely determined by the Beilinson–Bloch height pairing on $\text{Ch}^*(X)^0$ and by the intersection pairing on $A^*(X_K)$.

In the general case, this pairing is more complicated to understand. If we take x, y, z in the graded pieces of these groups with degree $\ell \leq m \leq n$, we expect $x \cdot y \cdot z = 0$ if $\ell + m + n \geq 3$. This is clear if $n = 2$, and is conjectured by Beilinson if $\ell = m = n = 1$. Also when $\ell = 0, m = n = 1$ this is essentially the Beilinson–Bloch height pairing:

$$(x, y, z)_{\mathbb{T}} = (x, yz)_{\mathbb{B}}.$$

It remains two interesting cases: $(\ell, m, n) = (0, 0, 1)$ or $(0, 0, 0)$.

If $(\ell, m, n) = (0, 0, 1)$, by the perfectness of the Beilinson–Bloch pairing on $\text{Ch}^*(X)^0$ we obtain a map

$$A^i(X_K) \times A^j(X_K) \longrightarrow \text{Ch}^{i+j}(X_K)^0.$$

It is an exciting question to construct this pairing directly.

If $\ell = m = n = 0$, then there is a triple product for $A^*(X_K)$:

$$A^i(X_K) \times A^j(X_K) \times A^k(X_K) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad i + j + k = n + 1, \quad (x, y, z)_{\mathbb{T}} = \widehat{\deg}(x \cdot y \cdot z).$$

This pairing has been used when X_K is the product of two curves in our previous work on Gross–Schoen cycles [40] and triple product L -series [37].

L- liftings

Using the decomposition in Theorem 2.2.1, we obtain an isomorphism:

$$(\text{Im}\alpha^0)^\perp = \text{Im}\alpha^0 + \text{Im}\alpha^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ch}^*(X_K).$$

The inverse of this map defines a canonical admissible lifting called L- *lifting*:

$$\text{Ch}^*(X_K) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X) : z \mapsto z^L.$$

Then we can define an intersection pairing called L- *pairing* on $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)$ by

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_L : \text{Ch}^i(X_K) \times \text{Ch}^{n+1-i}(X_K) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (z_1, z_2)_L = \deg(z_1^L \cdot z_2^L).$$

This pairing has a close relation with Beilinson–Bloch’s height pairing. If we assume the standard conjecture for $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0$ and $A^*(X_K)$, then there is a unique splitting of L-modules:

$$\text{Ch}^*(X_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^*(X_K) \oplus \text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0, \quad z \mapsto (z^{\text{cl}}, z^0).$$

Thus,

$$(z_1, z_2)_L = (z_1^0, z_2^0)_{\mathbb{B}}.$$

This identity follows that $\text{Im}\alpha^0$ is isotropic and perpendicular to $\text{Im}\alpha^1$.

2.3 Arithmetic standard conjectures

In the following, we want to compare three arithmetic standard conjectures: Gillet–Soulé’s standard Conjecture 2.1.1, the standard conjecture on its subgroup $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ of admissible cycles, and the following conjecture by Beilinson on the group $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0$ of homologically trivial cycles:

Conjecture 2.3.1 (Beilinson). *Let $i \leq (n+1)/2$.*

1. *We have an isomorphism*

$$\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i} : \text{Ch}^i(X_K)^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ch}^{n+1-i}(X_K)^0.$$

2. *For $x \in \text{Ch}^i(X_K)^0$, $x \neq 0$, and $\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}x = 0$, we have*

$$(-1)^i(x, \mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}x) > 0.$$

One of our main results in this section is the following:

Theorem 2.3.2. *Assume Grothendieck’s standard conjectures [20, Conjectures 1, 2] for $A^*(X_K)$, the standard conjectures 1.1.3 and 1.1.4 for $A_\varphi^*(X_s)$, $A_\psi^*(X_s)$ for every place s of K , and the perfectness of the pairing*

$$B^*(X) \times A^{n+1-*}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Then the following statements hold:

1. *The standard conjecture 2.1.1 for $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ is equivalent to the standard conjecture for $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$;*
2. *The standard conjecture for $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ implies Beilinson’s standard conjecture 2.3.1.*
3. *Beilinson’s standard conjecture 2.3.1 implies the standard conjecture 2.1.1 for any polarization of the form $L \otimes \pi^*(c)$, where $c \in \widehat{\text{Pic}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with $\deg c$ sufficiently large.*

Proof. The part one is implies by Lemma 2.1.2. For the other two parts, we apply Theorem A.4.3. \square

2.4 Cohomology cycles

In the following, we want to consider the group $H^*(X)$ of cohomology cycles in the function field case. For simplicity, we assume that $k = \bar{k}$. Then for each closed point s of S , we have maps:

$$H_{X_s}^*(X) \xrightarrow{i_{s*}} H^*(X) \xrightarrow{i_s^*} H^*(X_s). \quad (2.4.1)$$

Using Corollary 1.4.8 and Theorem 1.1.7, we get a unique decomposition as $\mathbb{Q}[\mathsf{L}]$ -modules:

$$H^*(X_s) = H_\varphi^*(X_s) \oplus \mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X_s). \quad (2.4.2)$$

Notice that $H_\varphi^*(X_s) \neq 0$ only if X_s is singular. We define the group $\overline{H}^*(X)$ of *admissible cohomological group* $\overline{H}^*(X)$ as the class with harmonic curvatures in $\mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X_s)$ for all s :

$$\overline{H}^*(X) := \{\alpha \in H^*(X) : i_s^* \alpha \in \mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X_s), \forall s \in S\}.$$

Then we get a decomposition

$$H^*(X) = H_\varphi^*(X) + \overline{H}^*(X), \quad H_\varphi^*(X) := \sum_{s \in S} i_{s*} H_{X_s}^*(X_s).$$

We define a tree-step filtration on $\overline{H}^*(X)$ by

$$F^i \overline{H}^*(X) = \begin{cases} \overline{H}^*(X) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \text{Ker}(\overline{H}^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X_K)) & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \text{Ker}(\overline{H}^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X_K)) & \text{if } i = 2 \end{cases} \quad (2.4.3)$$

where $H^*(X_K) := \varinjlim_U H^*(X_U)$ where U runs through non-empty open subsets of S . Let $G^i \overline{H}^*(X)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) denote the graded pieces.

Proposition 2.4.1. *The $\overline{H}^*(X)$ has a Lefschetz module structure with center $n+1$, and each $G^i \overline{H}^*(X)$ has a Lefschetz module structure with center $n+i$. More precisely, let $j : U \hookrightarrow S$ be any non-empty open subscheme of S over which f is smooth. Then we have the following isomorphisms $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[\mathsf{L}]$ -modules,*

$$G^i \overline{H}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(S, j_* R^{*-i} f_{U*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell),$$

where the action of L on $H^i(S, j_* R^{*-i} f_{U*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is induced from its action on the sheaves $R^i f_{U*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

Applying Theorem A.2.1, we will get a decomposition analogous to Theorem 2.2.1:

Theorem 2.4.2. *There is a unique splitting of filtered \mathbb{Q}_ℓ modules*

$$\alpha = (\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \alpha^2) : G^0 \overline{H}^*(X) \oplus G^1 \overline{H}^*(X) \oplus G^2 \overline{H}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{H}^*(X)$$

such that α^1 is L -linear, and α^0 is L -linear modulo $\text{Im} \alpha^2$ and Λ -linear modulo $\text{Im} \alpha^1$.

To prove Proposition 2.4.1, we need to reinterpret the cohomology $\overline{H}^*(X)$ and its filtration in terms of decomposition theorems for the complex $Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ on S in [3, Theorem 5.4.5, 5.4.6] in characteristic p and [3, Theorem 5.4.5, 5.4.6] in characteristic 0. More precisely, we will compare $Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ with intermediate complex $\overline{R}f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell := j_{!*} R_{U*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ which has cohomology $\overline{R}^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell = j_* R_{U*}^i \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Our first step is to write down some decompositions.

First of all, the analog Lemma 1.4.1 holds for sheaves $D_c^b(S)$ with the same proof. Thus there is a (non-canonical) decomposition:

$$Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} {}^p R^m f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell K[-m]. \quad (2.4.4)$$

Secondly, the global analogue of the Conjecture 1.4.3 holds for over S : there is a splitting of complexes:

$${}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^0({}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-1}({}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)[1], \quad (2.4.5)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}({}^pR^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} j_* R^{i-1} f_{*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell = \overline{R}^{i-1} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell. \quad (2.4.6)$$

Finally, the global analogue of Conjecture 1.4.4 holds: for any $i \leq n+1$, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{L}^i : {}^pR^{n+1-i} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^pR^{n+1+i} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(i). \quad (2.4.7)$$

Now we want to translate these isomorphisms in terms of usual cohomology: The first isomorphisms (2.4.4), (2.4.5), and (2.4.6) gives a single isomorphism:

$$Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell = \bigoplus_m (\Phi^m \oplus \overline{R}^m f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)[-m], \quad \Phi^m := \text{Ker}(R^m f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \overline{R}^m f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

It is clear that each Φ^m is a complex of sheaves supported on $S \setminus U$. The last isomorphism (2.4.7) gives two isomorphisms:

$$\mathsf{L}^i : \Phi^{n+1-i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi^{n+1+i}(i), \quad \mathsf{L}^i : \overline{R}^{n-i} f_{*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{R}^{n+i} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell(i).$$

Applying Proposition A.1.1 to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi^* \rightarrow R^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \overline{R}^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain a unique decomposition of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[\mathsf{L}]$ modules:

$$R^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi^* \oplus \overline{R}^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

Thus we have proved the following:

Lemma 2.4.3. *There is a unique decomposition of the form (2.4.4) respecting the action by Lefschetz operator L . More precisely, there are canonical splittings of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[\mathsf{L}]$ modules:*

$$Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi^* \oplus \overline{R} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell,$$

$$\overline{R} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_m \overline{R}^m f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell[-m].$$

At each closed point $s \in S$, Lemma 2.4.3 gives a splitting $\mathbb{Q}[\mathsf{L}]$ modules

$$H^*(X_s) = \Phi_s^* \oplus (\overline{R}^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_s.$$

This must be coincides with decomposition (2.4.2). Thus we have

$$\Phi_s^* = H_\varphi^*(X_s), \quad (\overline{R}^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_s = \mathcal{H}_\psi^*(X_s).$$

Over S , Lemma 2.4.3 implies the following identities:

$$H^*(X) = H^*(S, Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell), \quad H_\varphi^*(X) = H^*(S, \Phi^*), \quad \overline{H}^*(X) = H^*(S, \overline{R} f_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

In particular, there is a splitting of cohomology:

$$\overline{H}^*(X) = H^*(S, \overline{R}f_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 H^i(S, \overline{R}^{*-i}f_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell). \quad (2.4.8)$$

This splitting is compatible with the filtration $F^i\overline{H}^*(X)$ defined as in (2.4.3). Thus we have $G^i\overline{H}^*(X) = H^i(S, \overline{R}^{*-i}f_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ which are Lefschetz modules with center $n+i$. This completes the proof of the proposition.

Remark 2.4.4. Notice that the decomposition in Theorem 2.4.2 depends on the Lefschetz operator L while the decomposition in (2.4.8) does not. More precisely modulo the Tate twists, write $L = L_\varphi + L_0 + L_1 + L_2$ with respect to the decomposition

$$H^2(X) = H_\varphi^2(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 H^i(S, \overline{R}^{*-i}f_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Then on the decomposition (2.4.8), $\overline{H}^*(X)$, L_φ acts trivially, L_j acts by its action on $\overline{R}^{*-i}f_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell$,

$$L_j H^i(S, \overline{R}^{*-i}f_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \subset H^{i+j}(S, \overline{R}^{*-i-j}f_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell), \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

This shows that the two decompositions in Theorem 2.4.2 and (2.4.8) are different if $L_1 \neq 0$.

Remark 2.4.5. As in Remark 2.2.3, there is a triple product on groups $\overline{H}^*(X)$. It would be interesting to construct such a triple pairing directly.

Remark 2.4.6 (ℓ -adic height pairings). Recall from the last section, the group $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ of “arithmetic Chow cycles” is defined as the image of $\text{Ch}^*(X)$ in $H^{2*}(X)(*)$. If we assume local standard conjectures, then we can define the subgroup $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ of admissible classes which is in fact the intersection $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^*(X) \cap \overline{H}^{2*}(X)(*)$. Furthermore the filtrations on $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$ and on $\overline{H}^{2*}(X)(*)$ are compatible. Thus two Theorems 2.2.1 and 2.4.2 give the same decomposition for $\overline{\text{Ch}}^*(X)$.

Without local standard conjectures, we can use Theorem 2.4.2 to lift cycles in $A^*(X_K)$ and $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0$ to $\overline{H}^{2*}(X)(*)$ by embeddings

$$A^*(X_K) \hookrightarrow G^0 \overline{H}^{2*}(X)(*), \quad \text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0 \hookrightarrow G^1 \overline{H}^{2*}(X)(*).$$

In particular, there is a well-defined ℓ -adic height pairing on $\text{Ch}^*(X_K)^0$ which has been defined by Beilinson [2].

2.5 Divisors and 0-cycles

Local decomposition

Let s be a place of K and $i = n$ or 1 . We want to define unconditionally a splitting for the group $A_i(X_s)$ of i -vertical cycles. We start with an intersection pairing and a power of Lefschetz operator:

$$(x, y) : A_1(X_s) \otimes A_n(X_s) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad (x, y) = \deg(i^* i_* x \cup y).$$

$$\mathsf{L}^{n-1} : A_n(X_s) \longrightarrow A_1(X_s).$$

The following is the classical local index theorem:

Lemma 2.5.1. *For $x \in A_1(X_s)$ we have*

$$(x, \mathsf{L}^{n-1}x) \leq 0.$$

The equality holds if and only if $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot [X_s]$.

Corollary 2.5.2. *Let $i = 1$ or n . There is a decomposition*

$$A_i(X_s) = A_i^\psi(X_s) \oplus \mathcal{A}_i^\varphi(X_s).$$

Here $A_i^\psi(X_s)$ and $\mathcal{A}_i^\varphi(X_s)$ are defined as follows:

1. If $i = n$, we take

$$A_n^\psi(X_s) := \mathbb{Q} \cdot [X_s], \quad \mathcal{A}_n^\varphi(X_s) := \{x \in A_n(X_s), \deg \mathsf{L}^n x = 0\}.$$

2. If $i = 1$, we take

$$A_1^\psi(X_s) := \{x \in A_1(X_s) : (x, y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in A_n(X_s)\}, \quad \mathcal{A}_1^\varphi(X_s) := \mathsf{L}^{n-1} \mathcal{A}_n^\varphi.$$

Admissible cycles

For $i = 1, n$, we want to define the Arakelov Chow group $\overline{\text{Ch}}^i(X)$ of admissible cycles. First we want to do some analysis on vertical cycles for each place s of S . Let $i_s : X_s \longrightarrow X$ be the embedding. Then there are maps

$$i_{s*} : A_{n+1-i}(X_s) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ch}}^i(X).$$

We define $A_\varphi^i(X)$ (resp $B^i(X)$) as the sum of $i_{s*} \mathcal{A}_{n+1-i}^\varphi(X_s)$ (resp. $i_{s*} A_{n+1-i}^\psi(X_s)$), and $\overline{\text{Ch}}^i(X)$ to be the orthogonal complements of $A_\varphi^{n+1-i}(X)$. Then there is a decomposition and an exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{Ch}}^i(X) &= A_\varphi^i(X) \oplus \overline{\text{Ch}}^i(X), \\ 0 \longrightarrow B^i(X) \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Ch}}^i(X) \longrightarrow \text{Ch}^i(X) &\longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of curvatures, the subgroup $\overline{\text{Ch}}^i(X)$ of $\widehat{\text{Ch}}^i(X)$ consists of elements x such that the volume form $\mathsf{L}^{n-i}x$ (as a functional over $\sum_s i_* \text{Ch}_n(X_s)$) is proportional to $c_1(L)^n$.

Again, there is an intersection pairing

$$\overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X) \otimes \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $C^i(X)$ be the null space of this pairing. Also there is a power of Lefschetz operator

$$\mathsf{L}^{n-1} : \widehat{\text{Ch}}^1(X) \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Ch}}^n(X).$$

We have the following Hodge index theorem deduced from the local index theorem 2.5.1 and the global Hodge index theorem of Faltings [13], Hriljac and Moriwaki [30].

Theorem 2.5.3 (Hodge index theorem). *For any non-zero $x \in \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X)$ with $\mathsf{L}^n x = 0$, then*

$$(x, \mathsf{L}^{n-1}x) < 0.$$

Corollary 2.5.4. *The morphism L^{n-1} is injective, $C^1(X) = 0$, and*

$$\overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X) = C^n(X) \oplus \mathsf{L}^{n-1} \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X).$$

Remark 2.5.5. It is conjectured that $C^n(X) = 0$ or equivalently, $\overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X) = \mathsf{L}^{n-1} \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X)$. In fact, by the non-degeneracy of the Neron–Tate height pairing, $C^n(X)$ is isomorphic to the kernel of the Abel–Jacobi map $\text{Ch}^n(X_K)^0 \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Decomposition of arithmetic divisors

Consider a 3-step filtration for Arakelov group of divisors $\overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X)$ by

$$F^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X) = \begin{cases} \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X), & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X)^0, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ B^1(X), & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X)^0 = \text{Ker}(\overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X) \rightarrow H^2(X_{\bar{K}})(1))$. This filtration has graded quotients given by

$$G^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X) = \begin{cases} A^1(X_K), & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \text{Ch}^1(X_K)^0, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ B^1(X), & \text{if } i = 2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$A^1(X_K) = \text{NS}(X_K) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{Ch}^1(X_K) = \text{Pic}(X_K) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{Ch}^1(X_K)^0 = \text{Pic}^0(X_K) \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 2.5.6. *There is a unique splitting of the filtration on $\overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X)$:*

$$\alpha = (\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \alpha^2) : G^0 \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X) \oplus G^1 \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X) \oplus G^2 \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X).$$

with following properties:

- α^2 is the embedding of $B^1(X)$;
- α^1 is the lifting $\xi \in \text{Ch}^1(X_K)^0$ to a class $\alpha^1 \xi \in F^1 \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X)$ such that $\deg c_1(L)^n \alpha^1 \xi = 0$;
- α^0 is the lifting which takes a class $\xi \in A^1(X_K)$ to a class $\alpha^0(\xi) \in \overline{\text{Ch}}^1(X)$ with following two properties:
 1. on X_K , $c_1(L_K)^{n-1} \alpha^0 \xi_K \in \text{Ch}^n(X_K)$ is proportional to $c_1(L_K)^n$;
 2. the intersection number $c_1(L_0)^n \alpha^0 \xi = 0$, where $L_0 = L - h_L(X_K)X_{\epsilon}$.

Corollary 2.5.7 (\mathbb{L} - liftings for divisors). *For any line bundle M there is a unique arithmetic line bundle $M^{\mathbb{L}}$ extending M with the following two conditions:*

1. $M^{\mathbb{L}}$ is admissible in the sense that the volume form $c_1(M^{\text{an}}) \cdot c_1(L)^{n-1}$ is proportional to $c_1(L)^n$ at every place s of K .
2. $\deg \widehat{c}_1(L_0)^n \widehat{c}_1(M^{\mathbb{L}}) = 0$.

Remark 2.5.8. In the case $X = C \times C$ a self-product of a curve and $L = p_1^* \xi + p_2^* \xi$ for an ample line bundle ξ . Such a lifting has been constructed using adelic line bundles and used to study heights of Gross–Schoen cycles and triple product L -series, see [40, 37].

Decomposition of 1-cycles

In the following we want to give a decomposition of 1-cycles for a modified group

$$\overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)' = \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)/(B^n(X) \cap C^n(X)), \quad B^n(X)' = B^n(X)/(B^n(X) \cap C^n(X)).$$

Recall that $B^n(X) \cap C^n(X)$ is the null space for the pairing $B^n(X) \times A^1(X_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then we still have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B^n(X)' \rightarrow \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)' \rightarrow \text{Ch}^n(X_K) \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider a 3-step filtration for Arakelov group of 1-cycles $\overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)$ by

$$F^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)' = \begin{cases} \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)', & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)^0, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ B^n(X), & \text{if } i = 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)^0 := \text{Ker}(\overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)' \rightarrow H^{2n}(X_{\bar{K}})(n))$. This filtration has graded quotients given by

$$G^i \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)' = \begin{cases} A^n(X_K), & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \text{Ch}^n(X_K)^0, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ B^n(X)', & \text{if } i = 2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$A^n(X_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R} \cdot c_1(L_K)^n, \quad \text{Ch}^n(X_K)^0 = \ker \deg.$$

Theorem 2.5.9. *There is a unique splitting of the filtration on $\overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)$*

$$\alpha = (\alpha^0, \alpha^1, \alpha^2) : G^0 \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)' \oplus G^1 \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)' \oplus G^2 \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)' \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\text{Ch}}^n(X)'.$$

with the following properties:

1. α^2 is the given embedding of $B^n(X)'$,

2. α^1 is the unique lifting so that $\text{Im}\alpha^1$ is perpendicular to $\alpha^0(A^1(X_K))$,
3. α^0 on $A^n(X_K) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \cdot \mathsf{L}^n[X_s]$ to take $\mathsf{L}^n[X_s]$ to $c_1(\bar{L}_0)^n$.

Corollary 2.5.10 (L - liftings for 0-cycles). *For any $\xi \in \text{Ch}^n(X_K)$, there is a unique lifting $\xi^{\mathsf{L}} \in \widehat{\text{Ch}}^n(X)$ modulo $B^n(X) \cap C^n(X)$ with the following two conditions:*

1. ξ^{L} is admissible in the sense that its curvature form is proportional to $c_1(L)^n$ at every place s of K .
2. $\deg \alpha^0(x)\xi^{\mathsf{L}} = 0$ for all $x \in \text{NS}(X_K)$.

Modularity of arithmetic Kudla's generating series

Consider a Shimura variety X defined by an orthogonal (resp. hermitian space) V over a totally real (resp. CM) field F of signature $(n, 2), (n+2, 0), \dots (n+2, 0)$ (resp. $(n, 1), (n+1, 0), \dots (n+1, 0)$). Then there is a projective system of Shimura varieties X_U of dimension n over F indexed by open and compact subgroups of $\text{GSpin}(\widehat{V})$ ($\text{GU}(\widehat{V})$). For each integer between 0 and n and each Bruhat–Schwartz function $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{V}^r)$ there are generating series of Kudla cycles Z_ϕ of codimension r special cycles, see [24, 36, 29].

In [24], Kudla conjectured that these series of spaces are modular for symplectic groups GSp_r (resp. unitary group $U(r, r)$) over F . In his thesis [42], Wei Zhang proved such modularity under the condition that these series are convergent; see also extensions in [36, 29]. For unconditional modularity, there are the case of divisors in [36, 29], and the case $F = \mathbb{Q}$ by work of Bruinier and Westerholt-Raum [7].

Let L be an arithmetic ample line bundle over an integral model of X . Then using our conditional L - lifting, we get generating series Z_ϕ^{L} . As the lifting is unique, the modularity of Z_ϕ will imply the modularity of Z_ϕ^{L} . In particular, when $r = 1$ or $F = \mathbb{Q}$ we have a modular generating series of arithmetic cycles Z_ϕ^{L} .

A Lefschetz modules

In this appendix, we prove some results about splittings of Lefschetz modules with filtrations of 2 or 3 steps. We will start with an easy result about two-step filtrations with centers $(n+1)/2$ and $n/2$ for their graded quotients, which will be used almost everywhere in the paper. Then we will study the significantly more complicated case of filtrations with three steps that will only be used in §2 for global cycles.

Let E be a field of characteristic 0. We will consider the abelian category \mathbb{M} of graded vector spaces $V^* = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V^i$ over E with a linear operator L of degree 1: $\mathsf{L} : V^* \rightarrow V^{*+1}$ such that $V^i = 0$ if $|i| >> 0$.

By a *Lefschetz module* with center $n/2$, for n a non-negative integer, we mean an object $V^* \in \mathbb{M}$ such that for any integer $i \leq n/2$, there is an isomorphism:

$$\mathsf{L}^{n-2i} : V^i \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{n-i}.$$

Define the *primitive part* by

$$V_0^i := \text{Ker}(\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}|V^i), \quad i \leq n/2.$$

Then there is a Lefschetz decomposition:

$$V^i = \sum_{j \leq \min(n/2, i)} \mathsf{L}^{i-j} V_0^j.$$

It is well known that for any Lefschetz structure V^* with center $n/2$, there is a unique operator Λ on V^* with degree -1 such that $[\Lambda, \mathsf{L}]|V^i = n - 2i$.

For the uniqueness of Λ , we notice that any two such Λ 's will have a difference operator Δ with degree -1 and commuting with L . It follows that for any $j \leq n/2$,

$$\mathsf{L}^{n+1-j} \Delta V_0^j = \Delta \mathsf{L}^{n+1-j} V_0^j = 0.$$

Thus $\Delta V_0^j = 0$ since L^{n+1-j} is bijective on V^{j-1} . Thus $\Delta = 0$ on V as it commutes with L .

For the existence of Λ , we notice that the above primitive decomposition realize V as a direct sum of simple modules of forms $V(n, j) := \bigoplus_{i=j}^{n-j} Ee_i$ with $j \leq n/2$ and

$$\mathsf{L}e_i = (n - j - i)e_{i+1}, \quad \Lambda e_i = (i - j)e_{i-1},$$

where we treat $e_{j-1} = e_{n-j+1} = 0$.

A.1 Two-step filtrations

Proposition A.1.1. *Let U^* and W^* be Lefschetz modules with centers $m/2$ and $n/2$ respectively. Then in the category \mathbb{M} , the following hold:*

1. if $m > n$, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{M}}(W, U) = 0$;
2. if $m = n + 1$, then $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{M}}^1(W, U) = 0$;

Proof. For the first one, let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{M}}(W, U)$. Then for any $i \leq n/2 < m/2$,

$$\mathsf{L}^{m-2i} \varphi(W_0^i) = \mathsf{L}^{m-n-1} \varphi(\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i} W_0^i) = 0.$$

This implies that $\varphi(W_0^i) = 0$. Thus $\varphi = 0$.

For the second part, consider an extension V of W by U in \mathbb{M} :

$$0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2k} : U^k \longrightarrow U^{n+1-k}$ is an isomorphism, there is a canonical lifting of primitive parts:

$$V_0^i \xrightarrow{\sim} W_0^i, \quad V_0^i := \text{Ker}(\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2k}|V^i), \quad i \leq n/2.$$

Thus we can define a lifting of W^i by

$$W_{\mathsf{L}}^i := \sum_{k \leq \min(n/2, i)} \mathsf{L}^{i-k} V_0^k.$$

The uniqueness of this lifting follows from part 1. \square

A.2 Three-step filtrations

Theorem A.2.1. *Let V^* be a Lefschetz module over E with center $(n+1)/2$ with a three step filtration in objects in \mathbb{M} :*

$$0 \hookrightarrow F^2 V^* \hookrightarrow F^1 V^* \hookrightarrow F^0 V^* = V^*$$

such that their graded quotients $G^i V := V^i / V^{i+1}$ are Lefschetz modules with center $(n+i)/2$. Then there is a unique splitting of graded E -modules

$$\alpha : G^0 V^* \oplus G^1 V^* \oplus G^2 V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} V^*$$

such that the following hold for the restrictions $\alpha^i = \alpha|_{G^i V^} : G^i V^* \rightarrow F^i V^*$:*

1. $\alpha^1 : G^1 V^* \rightarrow V^*$ is L -linear;
2. $\alpha^0 : G^0 V^* \rightarrow V^*$ is L -linear modulo $\text{Im} \alpha^2$ and Λ -linear modulo $\text{Im} \alpha^1$.

Moreover there is an isomorphism $\beta : G^0 V^ \rightarrow G^2 V^{*+1}$ of L -modules such that α -translates the $E[\mathsf{L}, \Lambda]$ -module structure on V^* into an $E[\mathsf{L}, \Lambda]$ -module structure on $\bigoplus G^i V^*$ as follows: for $x^i \in G^i V^*$,*

$$\mathsf{L} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{L} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathsf{L} & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & \mathsf{L} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda & 0 & \beta^{-1} \\ 0 & \Lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, let us reduce the proof to the case $G^1 V^* = 0$. Apply Proposition A.1.1 to the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow F^2 V^* \rightarrow F^1 V^* \rightarrow G^1 V^* \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow G^1 V^* \rightarrow V^* / F^2 V^* \rightarrow G^0 V^* \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

to obtain unique L -linear liftings

$$\alpha^1 : G^1 V^* \rightarrow F^1 V^*, \quad v : G^0 V^* \rightarrow V^* / F^2 V^*.$$

Let \tilde{V}^* be the preimage of $v(G^0 V^*)$ under the projection $V^* \rightarrow V^* / F^2 V^*$. Then there is a direct sum of L -modules:

$$V^* = \alpha^1(G^1 V^*) \oplus \tilde{V}^*.$$

Since both V^* and $G^1 V^*$ have Lefschetz structures with center $(n+1)/2$, so does \tilde{V}^* . Thus, we reduce the proof of Theorem A.2.1 to the case $G^1 V^* = 0$. In this case, we rewrite the theorem as follows:

Proposition A.2.2. *Let $0 \rightarrow U^* \xrightarrow{\epsilon} V^* \xrightarrow{\eta} W^* \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of graded vector spaces over E with an action by L of degree 1. Assume that for some integer n , L induces Lefschetz structures on U^* , V^* , and W^* with centers of symmetry $(n+2)/2$, $(n+1)/2$, and $n/2$ respectively. Then there is a unique section $\alpha : W^* \rightarrow V^*$ for η such that α is Λ -linear. Moreover, there is a unique L -isomorphism β of $W^* \rightarrow U^{*+1}$ such that the operators L and Λ on V^* are given by*

$$\mathsf{L}(\epsilon x + \alpha y) = \epsilon(\mathsf{L}x + \beta y) + \alpha \mathsf{L}y, \quad \Lambda(\epsilon x + \alpha y) = \epsilon \Lambda x + \alpha(\beta^{-1}x + \Lambda y).$$

The proof of this proposition uses several lemmas.

Lemma A.2.3. *Under the assumption of Proposition A.2.2, there is a lifting $\alpha : W^* \rightarrow V^*$, and an L -isomorphism $\beta : W^* \rightarrow U^{*+1}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

$$\mathsf{L}\alpha = \alpha\mathsf{L} + \epsilon\beta.$$

Proof. Let us consider the primitive class of V_0^i for $i \leq (n+1)/2$, i.e., the kernel of L^{n+2-2i} on V^i . Since this operator gives an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i} : U^i \xrightarrow{\sim} U^{n+2-i},$$

there is an isomorphism:

$$\eta : V_0^i \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i} : W^i \rightarrow W^{n+2-i}) = \mathsf{L}W_0^{i-1} + W_0^i.$$

This defines a lifting $\alpha_i : W_0^i \rightarrow V_0^i$.

Next we claim that there is a unique E -linear isomorphism $\beta_i : W_0^i \rightarrow U_0^{i+1}$ such that

$$\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}\alpha_i(x) = (n+1-2i)\epsilon\mathsf{L}^{n-2i}\beta_i(x), \quad \forall x \in W_0^i.$$

This equation has a unique solution $\beta_i(x) \in U_0^{i+1}$, because the left hand side belongs to $\epsilon U^{n+1-i} = \epsilon\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2(i+1)}U^{i+1}$. Since $\alpha_i(x) \in U_0^i$, $\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}\alpha_i(x) = 0$, thus $\beta_i(x) \in U_0^{i+1}$. It follows that β_i is a linear map $W_0^i \rightarrow U_0^{i+1}$. We claim that β_i is bijective. If $\beta_i(x) = 0$, then $\alpha_i(x) = 0$ since $\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}|V^i$ is bijective. Thus $x = 0$. For surjectivity, let $y \in U_0^{i+1}$, then there is a $z \in V^i$ such that $\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}z = \epsilon\mathsf{L}^{n-2i}y$. Then z is primitive since $\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}z = \epsilon\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}y = 0$. Thus $\eta z = \mathsf{L}u + x$ with $u \in W_0^{i-1}$, $x \in W_0^i$. Since $\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}\eta z = \eta\epsilon\mathsf{L}^{n-2i}y = 0$, we see that $u = 0$. Thus $z = \alpha_i x$ and $y = \beta_i x$.

Now we define β from β_i using the commutativity with L , and define α from α_i and β using equation

$$\alpha\mathsf{L}^j(x) = \mathsf{L}^j\alpha_i(x) - j\epsilon\mathsf{L}^{j-1}\beta_i(x), \quad x \in W_0^i, \quad j \leq n-2i.$$

To check this is well defined, we notice that $\mathsf{L}^j(x) = 0$ implies either $x = 0$ or $j = n-2i$. It is clear that α and β satisfy the condition of the Lemma. \square

Lemma A.2.4. *For the lifting α in Lemma A.2.3, the two equations in Proposition A.2.2 hold. In particular, α is Λ linear.*

Proof. It is clear that the first equation holds by construction. For the second equation, let Λ' denote the right hand side of the second equation in Proposition A.2.2

$$\Lambda'(\epsilon x + \alpha y) = \epsilon\Lambda x + \alpha(\beta^{-1}x + \Lambda y).$$

We need only show that Λ' satisfies the same equation as Λ on V^* :

$$[\Lambda', \mathsf{L}]|_{V^i} = n+1-2i.$$

This can be checked easily. \square

Lemma A.2.5. *The lifting α in Lemma A.2.3 is the unique Λ -equivariant lifting.*

Proof. If there is another Λ -equivariant lifting α' , then the difference is given by

$$\alpha' - \alpha = \epsilon\delta$$

with $\delta : W^* \rightarrow U^*$ a linear map such that $\epsilon\delta$ is equivariant with Λ :

$$\epsilon\delta\Lambda = \Lambda\epsilon\delta = \epsilon\Lambda\delta + \alpha\beta^{-1}\delta.$$

Thus $\epsilon\beta^{-1}\delta \in \text{Im}\alpha \cap \text{Im}\epsilon = 0$ so is $\delta = 0$. \square

A.3 Symmetric pairings

Let notation be as in Theorem A.2.1. In the following, we assume that V^* has a symmetric, non-degenerate intersection pairing:

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : V^* \otimes V^{n+1-*} \rightarrow E,$$

such that F^2V^* and F^1V^* are orthogonal complements to each other, and that the pairing is L -adjoint in the sense

$$(\mathsf{L}x, y) = (x, \mathsf{L}y), \quad \forall x \in V^*, y \in V^{n-*}.$$

This induces a non-degenerate L -adjoint pairing as follows:

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_{i,2-i} : G^iV^* \otimes G^{2-i}V^{n+1-*} \rightarrow E.$$

Using the isomorphism $\beta : G^0V^* \rightarrow G^2V^{*+1}$, we have the following a non-degenerate L -adjoint pairings $(\cdot, \cdot)_{i,i}$ on G^iV^* for $i = 0, 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\cdot, \cdot)_{i,i} : G^iV^* \otimes G^{i+i-*} \rightarrow E, \\ &(x, y)_{0,0} := (x, \beta y)_{0,2}, \quad \forall (x, y) \in G^0V^* \times G^0V^{n-*}, \\ &(u, v)_{2,2} := (\beta^{-1}u, v)_{0,2}, \quad \forall (u, v) \in G^2V^* \times G^2V^{n+2-*}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition A.3.1. *With the assumption and notation as above, we have the following assertions:*

1. *For each i , the pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_{i,i}$ is symmetric, non-degenerate, and L -adjoint.*
2. *The $\text{Im}\alpha^0$ is perpendicular to $\text{Im}\alpha^1 + \text{Im}\alpha^0$.*

Thus the pairing (\cdot, \cdot) is transformed by α to the following pairing on $\bigoplus G^iV^$:*

$$((x, y, z), (x', y', z')) = (x, z')_{0,2} + (y, y')_{1,1} + (z, x')_{2,0}$$

$$\forall (x, x') \in G^0V^* \times G^0V^{n+1-*}, \quad \forall (y, y') \in G^1V^* \times G^1V^{n+1-*}, \quad \forall (z, z') \in G^2V^* \times G^2V^{n+1-*}.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $(\cdot, \cdot)_{1,1}$ is symmetric, non-degenerate, and L -adjoint, and that the isomorphism α in Theorem A.2.1 restricting on G^1V^* is an isometry. Thus the quotient $V^*/\alpha G^1V^*$ is isomorphic to the orthogonal complement of $\text{Im}\alpha_1$ with induced two-step filtration. So we have reduced the proof to the case $G^1V^* = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{D}(V)^*$ denote the dual Lefschetz structure for V^* with

$$\mathcal{D}(V)^i = \text{Hom}(V^{n+1-i}, E), \quad \mathsf{L}\psi = \psi \circ \mathsf{L}, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(V)^*$$

Then the pairings (\cdot, \cdot) and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{2,0}$ induce isomorphisms of Lefschetz modules

$$V^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(V)^*, \quad G^0V^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(F^2V)^*.$$

These isomorphisms must be Λ -equivariant.

Since F^2V^* is isotropic in V^* , we have the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha^0 x + \alpha^2 z, \alpha^0 x' + \alpha^2 z') &= (x, \beta^{-1} z')_{0,0} + (x', \beta^{-1} z)_{0,0} + (\alpha^2 z, \alpha^2 z'), \\ \forall (x, x') \in G^0V^* \times G^0V^{n+1-*}V, \quad \forall (z, z') \in G^2V^* \times G^2V^{n+1-*}V^*. \end{aligned}$$

Now apply the adjoint property of Λ to obtain the following equality:

$$(\Lambda(\alpha^0 x + \alpha^2 z), \alpha^0 x' + \alpha^2 z') = (\alpha^0 x + \alpha^2 z, \Lambda(\alpha^0 x' + \alpha^2 z')).$$

By Theorem A.2.1, this means the following equality:

$$(\alpha^0 \Lambda x + \alpha^2 \Lambda z + \alpha^0 \beta^{-1} z, \alpha^0 x' + \alpha^2 z') = (\alpha^0 x + \alpha^2 z, \alpha^0 \Lambda x' + \alpha^2 \Lambda z' + \alpha^0 \beta^{-1} z').$$

We apply this equation to each of the following cases

1. $x = x' = 0$: $(z', z)_{2,2} = (z, z')_{2,2}$;
2. $z = x' = 0$: $(\Lambda x, z')_{0,2} = (x, \Lambda z')_{0,2} + (\alpha^0 x, \alpha^0 \beta^{-1} z')$;
3. $z = z' = 0$: $(\alpha^0 \Lambda x, \alpha^0 x') = (\alpha^0 x, \alpha^0 \Lambda x')$.

The first case shows that $(\cdot, \cdot)_{2,2}$ is symmetric, and so is $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,0}$ as

$$(x, y)_{0,0} = (x, \beta y)_{0,2} = (\beta x, \beta y)_{2,2}, \quad \forall x \in G^0V^*, y \in G^0V^{n-*}.$$

The second case then shows that $(\alpha^0 z, \alpha^0 x') = 0$ for all $(x, x') \in G^0V^* \times G^0V^{n+1-*}$. Thus $\text{Im}\alpha^0$ is isotropic. The third case is trivial. The rest of the proposition is straightforward. \square

A.4 Standard conjectures

In this section, we work on $E = \mathbb{R}$.

Definition A.4.1 (Standard conjecture). *Let M be a $\mathbb{R}[\mathsf{L}]$ -module with a symmetric pairing with center $n/2$:*

$$M^* \times M^{n-*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that L is self-adjoint in the sense

$$(\mathsf{L}x, y) = (x, \mathsf{L}y), \quad \forall x, y \in V^*.$$

We say that the standard conjecture holds for $(M, (\cdot, \cdot))$ with center $n/2$, if the following two conditions hold:

1. Hard Lefschetz theorem: M is a Lefschetz module with center $n/2$;
2. Hodge index theorem: the pairing $(-1)^i(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive definite on the primitive component $M_0^i = \text{Ker}(\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}|M^i)$.

Proposition A.4.2. *With setting as in Theorem A.2.1, the Hodge index theorem for $(V^*, (\cdot, \cdot)_V)$ is equivalent to the Hodge index theorem for $(G^1V^*, (\cdot, \cdot)_{1,1})$ and $(G^0V^*, (\cdot, \cdot)_{0,0})$*

Proof. By Proposition A.3.1, it is easy to reduce the proof to the case $G^1V^* = 0$. So let's assume $G^1V^* = 0$ and let $\alpha^0x + \alpha^2z$ be a primitive element in V^i . Then $x \in G^0V^i, z \in G^2V^i$ with $i \leq (n+1)/2$ and

$$0 = \mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}(\alpha^0x + \alpha^2z) = \alpha^0\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}x + \alpha^2(\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}z + (n+2-2i)\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}\beta x).$$

This implies $x = x_i + \mathsf{L}x_{i-1}$ with x_i, x_{i-1} primitives, and that $z = -(n+2-2i)\beta x_{i-1}$, where $x_i = 0$ if $i = (n+1)/2$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}(\alpha^0x + \alpha^2z) &= \alpha^0\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}x + \alpha^2(\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}z + (n+1-2i)\mathsf{L}^{n-2i}\beta x) \\ &= \alpha^0\mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}x_{i-1} + \alpha^2(-\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}\beta x_{i-1} + (n+1-2i)\mathsf{L}^{n-2i}\beta x_i). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha^0x + \alpha^2z, \mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}(\alpha^0x + \alpha^2z)) \\ &= (\beta^{-1}z, \mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}x_{i-1})_{0,0} + (-\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}x_{i-1} + (n+1-2i)\mathsf{L}^{n-2i}x_i, x)_{0,0} \\ &= -(n+3-2i)(x_{i-1}, \mathsf{L}^{n+2-2i}x_{i-1})_{0,0} + (n+1-2i)(x_i, \mathsf{L}^{n-2i}x_i)_{0,0}. \end{aligned}$$

The positivity of the last quantity is equivalent to the Hodge index theorem for G^0V^* . \square

In the rest of this section, we let $V^* \in \mathbb{M}$ be a L -module over \mathbb{R} with following structures:

1. A three-step filtration for V^* in objects in \mathbb{M} :

$$0 \hookrightarrow F^2V^* \hookrightarrow F^1V^* \hookrightarrow F^0V^* = V^*$$

with graded quotients $G^iV := V^i/V^{i+1}$. Assume that G^2V^* is finite-dimensional.

2. a symmetric intersection pairing on V^* :

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : V^* \otimes V^{n+1-*} \longrightarrow E,$$

such that F^2V^* and F^1V^* are orthogonal complements to each other, and that the pairing is L -adjoint in the sense

$$(\mathsf{L}x, y) = (x, \mathsf{L}y), \quad \forall x \in V^*, y \in V^{n-*}.$$

3. an operator $\epsilon \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{M}}(V^*, V^{*+1})$ such that $\text{Im}(\epsilon) \subset F^2V^*$, $\text{Ker}(\epsilon) \supset F^1V^*$, and that

$$(\epsilon x, y) = (x, \epsilon y), \quad \forall x \in V^*, y \in V^{n-*}.$$

The induced map $G^0V^* \longrightarrow F^2V^{*+1}$ is still denoted by ϵ .

Notice that at this point, we do not assume that G^iV are Lefschetz modules and that (\cdot, \cdot) is non-degenerate on V^* . These structures allows us to define the following objects:

1. New operators $\mathsf{L}(c) = \mathsf{L} + c\epsilon$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. A symmetric pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ on G^0V^* by

$$(x, y)_0 = (x, \epsilon y).$$

3. A symmetric pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_1$ on G^1V^* :

$$(x, y)_1 = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \quad x \in G^1V^*, y \in G^1V^{n+1-*}$$

where $\bar{x} \in F^1V^*$, $\bar{y} \in F^1V^{n+1-*}$ are any liftings of x, y .

The main result in this section is the following:

Theorem A.4.3. *Assume the standard conjecture for G^0V^* . Then the following statements hold:*

1. *The standard conjecture for V^* implies the standard conjecture for G^1V^* .*
2. *The standard conjecture for G^1V^* implies the standard conjecture for V^* for any Lefschetz operator of the form $\mathsf{L}(c) = \mathsf{L} + c\epsilon$ with $c \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently large.*

Let us start with part 1 of Theorem A.4.3.

Lemma A.4.4. *Assume the standard conjectures for G^0V^* and V^* . Then G^1V^* satisfies the standard conjecture. More precisely, the following statements hold:*

1. $\epsilon : G^0V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} F^2V^{*+1}$ as an L -modules.
2. *The submodule $C^* := F^2V^* + \Lambda F^2V^{*+1}$ is stable under L and Λ .*

3. The C^* satisfies the standard conjecture with center $(n + 1)/2$, and the projection to G^2V^* induces an isomorphism $\Lambda F^2V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} G^0V^*$.

4. Let D^* denote the orthogonal complement of C^* in V^* . Then

$$F^1V^* = F^2V^* + D^*, \quad G^1V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} D^*.$$

5. The standard conjecture holds for $G^1V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} D^*$.

Proof. By the standard conjecture for V^* , the intersection pairing on V^* is non-degenerate. Since F^2V^* is perpendicular to F^1V^* in V^* , the intersection pairing induces maps:

$$F^2V^* \hookrightarrow (G^0V^{n+1-*})^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} G^0V^{*-1},$$

where the last isomorphism follows from the standard conjecture for G^0V^* . Combining this with the map $\epsilon : G^0V^{*-1} \rightarrow F^2V^*$ we obtain a chain of maps:

$$G^0V^{*-1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} F^2V^* \hookrightarrow G^0V^{*-1}.$$

The composition is the identity map. Thus all these maps are bijective. This proves part 1.

For part 2, the stability of C^* under L is easy as $\mathsf{L}\Lambda = [\mathsf{L}, \Lambda] + \Lambda\mathsf{L}$. For Λ , we need to show that $\Lambda^2 F^2V^*$ is included into C^* . Since by part 1, every element in F^2V^* can be written as a linear combination of elements of the form $\mathsf{L}^i \epsilon x$ with x a primitive element in G^0V^* , thus we need only show that $\Lambda^2 \mathsf{L}^i \epsilon x \in C^*$ for all $x \in G^0V^*$. It is easy to see that

$$\Lambda \mathsf{L}^i \epsilon x = \mathsf{L}^i \Lambda \epsilon x \pmod{F^2V^*}, \quad \Lambda^2 \mathsf{L}^i \epsilon x \equiv \mathsf{L}^i \Lambda^2 \epsilon x \pmod{C^*}.$$

Thus it suffices to show $\Lambda^2 \epsilon x = 0$. Set $j = \deg x \leq n/2$. Then

$$\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2j} \epsilon x = \epsilon \mathsf{L}^{n+1-2j} x = 0.$$

Since $\deg \epsilon x = j + 1$, this follows that $\epsilon x = \mathsf{L}x_1 + x_2$ with x_1, x_2 primitive in V^* . This shows that $\Lambda^2 \epsilon x = 0$. This proves part 2.

By part 2, we see that C^* is a Lefschetz submodule of V^* with center $(n + 1)/2$. The standard conjecture for V^* applies to C^* . Thus the induced pairing on C^* is perfect. Since the F^2V^* is isotropic under the intersection pairing, the pairing

$$F^2V^* \times \Lambda F^2V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is non-degenerate on F^2V^* ; and thus it is perfect as $\dim \Lambda F^2V^* \leq \dim F^2V^*$. It follows that the projection $C^* \rightarrow G^2V^*$ induces a bijection $\Lambda F^2V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} G^0V^*$. This proves part 3.

By part 3, D^* is a Lefschetz module with center $(n + 1)/2$ and satisfies the Hodge index theorem. As the F^2V^* is the orthogonal complement of F^1V^* , we see that F^1V^* is the orthogonal complement of F^2V^* . Thus the following identities hold:

$$F^1V^* = F^2V^* + D^*, \quad G^1V^* = D^*.$$

Thus we have shown that G^1V^* satisfies the standard conjecture. \square

Now we want to prove the second part of Theorem A.4.3. Notice that the action of $\mathsf{L}(c)$ is same as that of L on F^1V^* . By Proposition A.4.2, we need only prove the following:

Lemma A.4.5. *With assumption as in Theorem A.4.3, part 2, then there is a $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with the following properties:*

1. V^* is a Lefschetz module with center $(n+1)/2$ for $\mathsf{L}(c)$;
2. G^1V^* satisfies the Hodge index theorem.

Proof. The assumption on the non-degeneracy of $F^2V^* \times G^0V^*$ implies that F^2V^* is a Lefschetz module with center $(n+1)/2$. Combining with a standard conjecture for G^1V^* , we also have the non-degeneracy of the pairing on V^* .

Now we apply Proposition A.1.1 to the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow F^2V^* \longrightarrow F^1V^* \longrightarrow G^1V^* \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain a splitting $\alpha : G^1V^* \longrightarrow F^1V^*$ of L modules. Let C^* denote the orthogonal complement of $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ in V^* . Then C^* is an $\mathsf{L}(c)$ module with a non-degenerate intersection and sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F^2V^* \longrightarrow C^* \longrightarrow G^0V^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

We want to show that C^* is a $\mathsf{L}(c)$ module with center $(n+1)/2$.

For any $i < (n+1)/2$, consider the map

$$\mathsf{L}(c)^{n+1-2i} : C^i \longrightarrow C^{n+1-i}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathsf{L}(c)^{n+1-2i} = \mathsf{L}^{n+1-i} + (n+1-i)c\mathsf{L}^{n-2i}\epsilon.$$

Since C^i and C^{n+1-i} have the same dimension say d_i , the set S_i of $c \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $\mathsf{L}(c)^{n+1-2i}$ is not injective is the set of roots of a polynomial equation $P_i = 0$ of degree $\leq d_i$. We need only show that $P_i \neq 0$. Notice that $P_i = 0$ is equivalent to that two operators L^{n+1-2i} and $\mathsf{L}^{n-2i}\epsilon$ have a common null vector $x \in C^i$. Then $\epsilon x \in G^2V^{i+1}$. Since F^2V^* is a Lefschetz module with center $(n+2)/2$, the equation $\mathsf{L}^{n-2i}\epsilon x = 0$ implies that $\epsilon x = 0$. Thus $x \in G^2V^i$. Then the equation $\mathsf{L}^{n+1-2i}x = 0$ implies that $x = 0$. This finishes the proof that V^* is a Lefschetz module with center $(n+1)/2$, and thus the first part of Lemma.

For the second part of Lemma, we notice that the lifting α^0 for $\mathsf{L}(c)$ does not depend on the choice of c because of explicit formulae for L and Λ in Theorem A.2.1. Thus we can use the same formula to obtain

$$\beta(c) = \beta + c\epsilon : G^0V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} F^2V^{*+1}.$$

It follows that the induced pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_{0,0}(c)$ on G^0V^* has the form

$$(x, y)_{0,0}(c) = (x, y)_{0,0} + c(x, y)_0.$$

Now for an $i \leq n/2$, and the pairing on the primitive part $G^0V_0^i$ multiplied by $(-1)^i$ has the form

$$(-1)^i(x, y)_{0,0}(c) = (-1)^i(x, y)_{0,0} + c(-1)^i(x, y)_0.$$

Since $(-1)^i(\cdot, \cdot)_0$ is positive definite, the above pairing is positive definite for c sufficiently large. \square

References

- [1] S. Arakelov, *An intersection theory for divisors on an arithmetic surface*. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 38 (1974), 1179-1192.
- [2] A. Beilinson, *Height pairing between algebraic cycles*. K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984-1986), 1-25, Lecture Notes in Math., 1289, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [3] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*. (French) [Perverse sheaves] Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), 5-171, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [4] S. Bloch, *Height pairings for algebraic cycles*. Proceedings of the Luminy conference on algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983). J. Pure Appl. Algebra 34 (1984), no. 2-3, 119-145.
- [5] S. Bloch, H. Gillet, and C. Soulé, *Non-Archimedean Arakelov theory*. J. Algebraic Geom. 4 (1995), no. 3, 427-485.
- [6] S. Bloch, H. Gillet, and C. Soulé, *Algebraic cycles on degenerate fibers*. Arithmetic geometry (Cortona, 1994), 45-69, Sympos. Math., XXXVII, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [7] J. Bruinier and M. Westerholt-Raum, *Kudla's modularity conjecture and formal Fourier-Jacobi series*. Forum Math. Pi 3 (2015), e7, 30 pp.
- [8] T. Chinburg and R. Rumely, *The capacity pairing*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 434 (1993), 1-44.
- [9] J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability, and alterations*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 83 (1996), 51-93.
- [10] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. I*. (French) Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome 1, pp. 425-430.
- [11] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil. II*. (French) [Weil's conjecture. II] Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 52 (1980), 137-252.
- [12] P. Deligne, *Le déterminant de la cohomologie*. (French) [The determinant of the cohomology] Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985), 93-177, Contemp. Math., 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [13] G. Faltings, *Calculus on arithmetic surfaces*. Ann. of Math. (2) 119 (1984), no. 2, 387-424.

- [14] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. xi+470 pp
- [15] W. T. Gan, B. Gross, and D. Prasad, *Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L-values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups*, In Sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad, Astérisque 346 (2012).
- [16] H. Gillet, and C. Soulé, *Intersection sur les variétés d’Arakelov*. (French) [Intersection on Arakelov varieties] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 299 (1984), no. 12, 563–566.
- [17] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, *Arithmetic intersection theory*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 72 (1990), 93–174 (1991).
- [18] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, *Arithmetic analogs of the standard conjectures*. Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), 129–140, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 55, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [19] Gross, B. H.; Schoen, C. *The modified diagonal cycle on the triple product of a pointed curve*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 45 (1995), no. 3, 649–679.
- [20] A. Grothendieck, *Standard conjectures on algebraic cycles*. 1969 Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968) pp. 193–199 Oxford Univ. Press, London
- [21] P. Hriljac, *Heights and Arakelov’s intersection theory*. Amer. J. Math. 107 (1985), no. 1, 23–38.
- [22] T. Ito, *Weight-monodromy conjecture over equal characteristic local fields*. Amer. J. Math. 127 (2005), no. 3, 647–658.
- [23] T. Ito, *Weight-monodromy conjecture for p -adically uniformized varieties*. Invent. Math. 159 (2005), no. 3, 607–656.
- [24] S. Kudla, *Special cycles and derivatives of Eisenstein series, in Heegner points and Rankin L-series*. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 49, 243–270, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004
- [25] K. Künnemann, *Arakelov Chow groups of abelian schemes, arithmetic Fourier transform, and analogs of the standard conjectures of Lefschetz type*. Math. Ann. 300 (1994), no. 3, 365–392.
- [26] K. Künnemann, *Some remarks on the arithmetic Hodge index conjecture*. Compositio Math. 99 (1995), no. 2, 109–128.
- [27] K. Künnemann, *The Kähler identity for bigraded Hodge-Lefschetz modules and its application in non-Archimedean Arakelov geometry*. J. Algebraic Geom. 7 (1998), no. 4, 651–672.

- [28] K. Künnemann, *Projective regular models for abelian varieties, semistable reduction, and the height pairing*. Duke Math. J. 95 (1998), no. 1, 161–212.
- [29] Y. Liu, *Arithmetic theta lifting and L-derivatives for unitary groups, I*. Algebra Number Theory 5 (2011), no. 7, 849–921.
- [30] A. Moriwaki, *Hodge index theorem for arithmetic cycles of codimension one*. Math. Res. Lett. 3 (1996), no. 2, 173–183.
- [31] M. Rapoport and T. Zink, *Über die lokale Zetafunktion von Shimuravarietäten. Monodromiefiltration und verschwindende Zyklen in ungleicher Charakteristik*. (German) [On the local zeta function of Shimura varieties. Monodromy filtration and vanishing cycles in unequal characteristic] Invent. Math. 68 (1982), no. 1, 21–101.
- [32] R. Rumely, *Capacity theory on algebraic curves*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1378. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. iv+437 pp. ISBN: 3-540-51410-4
- [33] M. Saito, *Modules de Hodge polarisables*. (French) [Polarizable Hodge modules] Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 24 (1988), no. 6, 849–995 (1989).
- [34] P. Scholze, *Perfectoid spaces*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 116 (2012), 245–313.
- [35] J. Steenbrink, *Limits of Hodge structures*. Invent. Math. 31 (1975/76), no. 3, 229–257.
- [36] X. Yuan, S. Zhang, and W. Zhang, *The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem over totally real fields*. Compos. Math. 145 (2009), no. 5, 1147–1162.
- [37] X. Yuan, S. Zhang, and W. Zhang, *Triple product L-series and Gross–Kudla–Schoen cycles*. In preparation.
- [38] S. Zhang, *Admissible pairing on a curve*. Invent. Math. 112 (1993), no. 1, 171–193.
- [39] S. Zhang, *Positive line bundles on arithmetic varieties*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1995), no. 1, 187–221.
- [40] S. Zhang, *Gross-Schoen cycles and dualising sheaves*. Invent. Math. 179 (2010), no. 1, 1–73.
- [41] S. Zhang *Height pairings for algebraic cycles on the product of a curve and a surface*. Manuscript 2021, 18 pages, [arXiv:2105.13587](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.13587)
- [42] W. Zhang, *Modularity of generating functions of special cycles on Shimura varieties*. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Columbia University. 2009.
- [43] W. Zheng, Private communication.