

Shrub expansion in maritime forest responding to sea level rise

Rheya Sward¹, Abigail Philbrick¹, Jonah Morreale^{2,3}, Cora Johnston Baird², and Keryn Gedan^{1*}

¹ Biological Sciences, George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA

² Coastal Research Center, University of Virginia, Cape Charles, VA, USA

³ School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, USA

*** Correspondence:**

Keryn Gedan

kgedan@gwu.edu

Keywords: shrubification, coastal change, salinization, saltwater intrusion, *Morella cerifera*, *Phragmites australis*, marsh migration

Abstract

Expansion of shrubs has been observed in a number of biomes and in response to diverse global change drivers. Noting shrub expansion in coastal forests affected by sea level rise, we began to monitor shrub populations in a transgressing loblolly pine forest in coastal Virginia. Forest study plots spanned a gradient of salinity and progression towards a ghost forest state, from high forest with a relatively closed canopy, to mid and low forest, where there were few remaining live canopy trees. Shrubs of the species *Morella cerifera* were censused for three years from 2019 to 2021. Shrub distributions were compared to distributions of the invasive grass *Phragmites australis* to test if competition with this invasive species played a role in the observed shrub distribution. Shrubs were most abundant in the mid forest, whereas *P. australis* was most abundant in the low forest, but we did not detect a negative correlation between changes in occupancy of *P. australis* and shrubs. Rapid growth of shrubs in the mid and high forest radically changed the forest understory structure during the study period. Basal area of shrubs in the mid and high forest tripled, and shrub occupancy increased from 45 to 66% in the high forest, with high patchiness between plots. A flooding event salinized the site in late 2019, during the study. Following the flood, soil porewater salinities in the low forest remained above levels known to cause mortality in *M. cerifera* for several months. We postulate that high salinity, rather than competition with *P. australis*, filters *M. cerifera* from the low forest, whereas moderate salinity in the mid and high forest favors *M. cerifera* growth and expansion. The increase in shrubs appears to be a hallmark of salt-affected maritime forest, with the shrub front occurring in advance of other indicators of transgression such as *P. australis* invasion.

1 Introduction

Shrub growth forms are responsive to a variety of global changes in a diversity of ecosystems, from Arctic tundra (Mod and Luoto, 2016; Mekonnen *et al.*, 2021) to dryland grasslands (Van Auken, 2000, 2009) to barrier-island swale communities (Zinnert *et al.*, 2011, 2016; Wood, Hays and Zinnert, 2020). The significant increase in abundance and dominance of shrubs, or shrubification, in these ecosystems occurs for a wide variety of reasons. In Arctic tundra and barrier-island swale

37 communities, shrubification is a result of warmer temperatures that favor shrubs over grasses and
38 herbs (Thompson, Zinnert and Young, 2017; Wood, Hays and Zinnert, 2020). Whereas, in dryland
39 ecosystems, shrubs are increasing due to their resistance to ongoing grazing pressure and an
40 associated reduction in fires as grazers reduce natural fuel loads (Archer *et al.*, 2017). These
41 increases in shrubs have had cascading effects for flora and fauna, as well as ecosystem cycling of
42 carbon and nutrients (Kaarlejärvi *et al.*, 2012), evidence that shrubification can fundamentally change
43 ecosystems.

44 As a growth form, shrubs are severely understudied relative to trees and grasses (Götmark,
45 Götmark and Jensen, 2016). In changing ecosystems, the shrub growth form has unique advantages
46 that enable expansion. Specifically, relative to trees, shrubs invest less resources in structural growth
47 of stems and branches, and can, therefore, allocate greater biomass to roots that can access deeper
48 pools of water and allow persistence through periods of drought or stress (Whittaker and Woodwell,
49 1969). The shrub growth strategy has been described as production of many relatively small, low
50 investment or “throwaway” stems that can be sacrificed in high stress periods or environments
51 (Götmark, Götmark and Jensen, 2016). Shrubs can also engineer a microclimate favorable to the
52 germination and growth of conspecifics (Wood, Hays and Zinnert, 2020). Most shrub seedlings
53 quickly establish relatively high leaf area and biomass. Furthermore, multiple stems of shrubs allow
54 for rapid horizontal growth, and adventitious roots can emerge from stems close to the ground
55 allowing new vertical shoots to develop (Götmark, Götmark and Jensen, 2016). Production of
56 multiple stems is generally recognized as a persistence strategy in woody plants (Bond and Midgley,
57 2001). For these reasons, shrubs may be better positioned than other growth forms to persist in a
58 highly variable environment, such as maritime forest ecosystems periodically salinized by storm
59 events and, increasingly, by rising sea level.

60 Shrubs are an important component of maritime forest understory communities, where they
61 provide climate control, soil stabilization, water balance, and carbon uptake (Götmark, Götmark and
62 Jensen, 2016). Maritime forests are being greatly affected by sea level rise and associated saltwater
63 intrusion into groundwater (Taillie *et al.*, 2019; Tully *et al.*, 2019; Conner *et al.*, 2022). Marsh
64 migration and dead trees at the edge of maritime forests are visible indicators of plant community
65 change resulting from sea level rise (Kirwan and Gedan, 2019; Ury *et al.*, 2021). Tree regeneration is
66 suppressed by flooding and salinity (Fagherazzi *et al.*, 2019; Ury *et al.*, 2020; Woods, Swall and
67 Zinnert, 2020). As maritime forest tree canopies open due to tree stress and mortality, species that
68 were previously shaded out, such as marsh grasses and opportunistic invasive species like the
69 invasive common reed, *Phragmites australis*, establish and spread (Fagherazzi *et al.*, 2019; Taillie *et*
70 *al.*, 2019; Shaw, Jobe and Gedan, 2022). Shrubs are among the growth forms that have been
71 observed to increase in response to tree mortality and light availability. For example, in dying tree
72 islands in the Florida Gulf Coast, the halophytic shrubs *Lycium carolinianum* and *Iva frutescens*
73 increased in abundance over two decades and across a gradient of impact (Langston, Kaplan and
74 Putz, 2017).

75 In maritime forests located on the eastern shore of Virginia, the wax myrtle shrub *Morella*
76 *cerifera* (L.) Small (synonymous, *Myrica cerifera*, ITIS, 2022) frequently dominates the understory,
77 but is somewhat limited to the forest edge (Brinson, Christian and Blum, 1995; Duberstein *et al.*,
78 2020). Its geographic range extends to the North Atlantic Coastal Plain of the United States (Shao
79 and Halpin, 1995) and the Caribbean basin, and it is introduced in Hawaii ('GBIF Backbone
80 Taxonomy', no date). *M. cerifera* is an important component of the maritime forest community and
81 increasing in recent decades on barrier islands (Huang *et al.*, 2018; Woods, Tuley and Zinnert, 2021).
82 *M. cerifera* is an actinorhizal, moderately salt-tolerant evergreen shrub (Young, Erickson and

83 Semones, 1994; Tolliver, Martin and Young, 1997; Naumann, Young and Anderson, 2007; Woods,
84 Swall and Zinnert, 2020; i.e. more salt tolerant than co-existing glycophytes but less so than several
85 other woody species in the same system; Anderson *et al.*, 2022) and an early successional species
86 that can form dense thickets (Kurten *et al.*, 2008).

87 We investigated whether sea level rise presents yet another global change factor causing
88 shrubification, in this case, within the herbaceous and relatively open understory communities of
89 maritime pine forest. If shrubs are indeed increasing in abundance or dominance in maritime forest,
90 there may be cascading effects to the ecosystem due to the identity of the shrub, here, an N-fixing
91 and ecosystem engineering species, or due to associated changes in ecosystem stature and structure.
92 Additionally, understanding shrub dynamics is essential to inventories of ecosystem carbon, models
93 of vegetation change at the terrestrial-aquatic interface, and remote sensing interpretation of coastal
94 change. Prior to the study period, we anecdotally observed an increasing number of shrubs in forests
95 that are rapidly transgressing. Therefore, we predicted that shrubs would increase in number, size,
96 and basal area over time in forested areas affected by sea level rise, and that these changes would be
97 correlated with one of two abiotic changes: 1) increasing salinity, or 2) increasing light availability,
98 which results from tree canopy decline. Lastly, we expected to see a decrease in shrub presence
99 where the invasive common reed *P. australis* has increased, due to competition between *M. cerifera*
100 and *P. australis* for light resources.

101 2 Materials and Methods

102 2.1 Study site

103 We tested these hypotheses in a well-characterized Mid-Atlantic maritime forest using three years of
104 shrub census data in plots that span a gradient of salinity stress and state of transition to marsh. The
105 Brownsville Forest, located in Nassawadox, Virginia within the Virginia Coast Reserve Long-Term
106 Ecological Research site, is typical of a Mid-Atlantic maritime forest, with dominant tree cover of
107 mature loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) making up 50 to 75% of basal area ($34 \pm 13 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$). Other
108 common tree species at the site include *Nyssa sylvatica*, *Acer rubrum*, and *Ilex opaca*. Nearer to the
109 coastal forest edge, eastern redcedar trees, *Juniperus virginiana*, are also common, as are *Baccharis*
110 *halimifolia* and *Iva frutescens* shrubs. However, in the forest understory, where our plots were
111 located, *Morella cerifera* was the only common shrub species. The site is owned and managed by
112 The Nature Conservancy. Annually, Nassawadox receives an average of 1200 mm of rainfall and
113 25.5 mm of snowfall, and annual temperature ranges from summer highs averaging around 31° C and
114 winter lows of -0.5° C. Coastal land slope, an important predictor of the rate of forest retreat and
115 marsh migration, is exceedingly low at the site (Molino *et al.*, 2021). Based on hydrologic
116 monitoring on-site, the forest has been subjected to salinity stress from flood events and saltwater
117 intrusion. During the study period, saltwater flooding affected the site in October 2019 and May 2021
118 (Fagherazzi and Nordio, 2022; Nordio *et al.*, 2022). At the coastal forest edge where groundwater
119 salinities are highest, a dying, ghost forest borders the marsh, while further inland where groundwater
120 salinities are lower, a healthier forest, with a comparatively closed canopy, resides.

121 In 2019, twenty-four 20 x 20 m plots were established at three levels spanning the gradient, which
122 were termed "high" (apparently healthy forest, furthest inland, approx. 300 m from the marsh edge),
123 "mid" (stressed forest, approx. 200 m from the marsh edge), and "low" (incipient ghost forest, closest
124 to marsh, 100 m from the marsh edge). The forest levels were designated based on tree species
125 composition and tree condition. In high forest, the canopy was nearly closed and deciduous tree
126 species were present. In the mid forest, there were gaps in the canopy where branches or individual

127 trees had died, and deciduous species were absent from the canopy, and in low forest, canopy cover
128 was lower still, many tree snags were present, and eastern redcedars were more common. In each
129 level, eight plots were marked with T-posts at the plot corners and a PVC pole at the plot center.

130 **2.2 Shrub census**

131 Within each forest plot, a circular plot with a radius of 2 m around the center pole was
132 designated for the shrub census. All shrubs with woody stems (i.e. excluding seedlings) within the
133 circular plot were tagged and included in the shrub census. Annual data was collected during the first
134 half of each summer growing season (mid-June to early-July). To quantify shrub growth over the
135 span of three years (2019-2021), the following characteristics of each shrub (i.e. "individual shrub
136 metrics") were measured annually: height, canopy area, and stem diameter. In 2021, new shrubs were
137 added to the census if they met the woody stem criteria. No new shrubs were added to the census in
138 2020 due to COVID-19 restrictions and limited data collection capacity, however, the census was
139 otherwise conducted normally in 2020.

140 Shrub metrics were measured according to standard protocols (Kauffman *et al.*, 2016). Height was
141 measured from the ground to the highest point of the shrub. Canopy area was estimated as an ellipse
142 by measuring the longest and widest sections of each individual's canopy and multiplying the two
143 canopy radii by pi. A caliper was used to measure stem diameter of the largest stem of each shrub at
144 2 cm above the soil surface. Stem diameter was used to estimate cross-sectional stem area, and the
145 cross-sectional area of all stems within a plot was summed and divided by the plot area to estimate
146 plot-scale shrub basal area. Plot-scale shrub density was calculated as the number of shrub
147 individuals in each plot divided by plot area.

148 **2.3 Landscape occupancy of shrubs and *Phragmites australis***

149 To document shrub cover at the landscape scale, the 20 x 20 m plots were systematically surveyed
150 for shrub presence or absence. Every 5 m, on five parallel transects spaced 5 m apart, the presence (\geq
151 1 shrub stem) or absence of shrubs within a 1 m radius was observed and recorded in early July of
152 each year. The first and last transects aligned with plot edges. A compass was used to maintain the
153 same orientation of all the transects, and a handheld GPS (Garmin eTrex 22) was used to mark the
154 coordinates of the starting point and to map sample locations within GIS.

155 Using the same survey design, we described the presence and stem density of *Phragmites australis*
156 within the forest plots. The same density scale described in Shaw *et al.* (2022) was used. Specifically,
157 a zero denoted *P. australis* absence, a 1 that one to three stems were present, a 2 that there was a
158 small group of stems, a 3 that there was a sparse stand, and a 4 that there was a fully developed stand.
159 To track landscape occupancy of shrubs and *P. australis* density through time, we calculated the
160 proportion of points with shrubs present and the average density of *P. australis* in each plot in each
161 year. We also used ArcMap to visualize shrub occupancy and *P. australis* density.

162 We expected to see shrub occupancy decrease in locations where *P. australis* increased, which would
163 suggest a competitive relationship between the two species in which *P. australis* can outcompete
164 shrubs for limiting light resources in the understory. We expected this pattern to be most apparent in
165 the low forest where *P. australis* was predicted to be more abundant. We mapped distribution
166 changes to compare sample locations that experienced an increase, no change, or a decrease for both
167 shrubs and *P. australis*.

168 **2.4 Abiotic conditions**

169 Abiotic measurements of porewater salinity and canopy gap fraction were made throughout the study
170 period. Porewater samples were collected opportunistically following rain events from the top 20 cm
171 of soil using a pushpoint sampler (MHE Products). Salinity of the porewater sample was measured
172 with a handheld conductivity and salinity meter (Hach, accuracy +/- 0.1 ppt). On each sampling
173 occasion, three porewater samples from each plot were collected. Each sample was measured
174 individually and the mean of the three values was used as an estimate of plot porewater salinity. The
175 proportion of the canopy composed of light gaps was estimated for each plot using photos collected
176 with a fisheye lens attached to a smartphone. The smartphone was placed on top of the center pole of
177 each plot, with the camera pointed upwards toward the sky. Low hanging vegetation was pushed out
178 of the frame in order to get a clear photo of the tree canopy. Photos were checked for quality and
179 corrected for overexposure. Photos were analyzed in ImageJ software by binarizing the image and
180 recording the proportion of dark pixels (canopy) relative to dark and light pixels (canopy plus sky).
181 Canopy gap portion was calculated as 1 - [canopy proportion]. This process was inspired by the
182 canopy cover index developed by Tichy (2016).

183 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

184 As 97% of shrubs in the census were *Morella cerifera* (n = 324 of 334 shrubs), the remaining n=10
185 individuals, which were tree seedlings of species *Ilex opaca* (n=9) and *Juniperus virginiana* (n=1),
186 were excluded from the data analysis to focus on *M. cerifera* dynamics. For individual shrub metrics
187 (canopy area, height, and stem diameter), mean and standard error values of all individuals within
188 each forest level were plotted for each year. For plot-scale metrics (shrub density, basal area,
189 occupancy of shrubs and *P. australis*, and abiotic variables), mean and standard error values of all
190 plots in a forest level (n=8 per level) were plotted for each year. Annual changes in metrics were
191 calculated relative to the 2019 baseline census to visualize change through time. The effects of forest
192 level (low, mid, and high) and year (2019, 2020, and 2021) and their interaction on individual shrub
193 and plot-scale response variables were tested using two-way repeated measures ANOVA (RM-
194 ANOVA). For individual shrub metrics, only shrubs encountered in all years were included, to
195 accurately quantify shrub growth and to avoid potential bias from missing data. Whereas, in analyses
196 at the plot scale, we included all shrubs found in one or more years to account for recruitment and
197 mortality between censuses.

198 Prior to RM-ANOVA, normality was checked using Quantile-Quantile plots, and when required,
199 response variables were transformed using square root or cube root transformations. The assumption
200 of sphericity was checked using Mauchly's test of sphericity and corrected with the Greenhouse-
201 Geisser correction within the *rstatix* package (Kassambara, 2022). When RM-ANOVAs indicated
202 significant effects (p-value <0.05), pairwise t-tests with Bonferroni corrected p-values were used to
203 identify differences between levels or years.

204 To understand the relationship between the abiotic measures and shrub metrics, we tested for
205 Spearman rank correlations between each plot-scale response variable and the plot-scale abiotic
206 variables of canopy cover, peak salinity in 2019, and the increase in salinity resulting from the
207 flooding event (calculated as the early summer salinity subtracted from the post-event peak salinity in
208 2019). We investigated lag effects by testing correlations between the shrub metrics from each year
209 and the abiotic conditions of all other years, with the expectation, for example, that the salinity
210 associated with the flooding event of 2019 might be observed only in the shrub response of
211 subsequent years.

212 We used a contingency table of observed distribution changes of *M. cerifera* and *P. australis* and a
213 chi-square test of data from each forest level to distinguish if there was a statistically significant
214 relationship between the increase, decrease, or no change in *M. cerifera* and *P. australis* presence
215 between 2019 and 2021, with each survey point as an observation (n = 200 points per forest level).
216 All statistical analysis and data visualization were done in R statistical software (R version 3.6.1,
217 CRAN), and the following packages were used in data manipulation and visualization: *tidyverse*
218 (Wickham and Henry, 2020), *ggplot2* (Wickham, 2016), *stringr* (Wickham and Wickham, 2019), and
219 *lubridate* (Spinu *et al.*, 2018).

220 3 Results

221 3.1 Shrub Census

222 Between 2019 and 2021, there was a rapid increase in all individual shrub metrics (canopy area,
223 height, and stem diameter, Fig. 1a-c) reflecting high growth of shrubs at this site (significant main
224 effect of Year for all individual metrics in Table 1, Fig. S1). Shrub height was the only individual
225 metric to exhibit a significant interactive effect of forest level and year, which was a result of the
226 increasing height of shrubs through time in the mid and high forest but not in the low forest, as well
227 as the initially greater height of shrubs in the mid forest relative to high forest, a difference which
228 disappeared in later years (Fig. 1b).

229 At the plot-scale, density differed by forest level, with the mid forest having the highest shrub density
230 ($22,978 \pm 5,722$ shrubs/ha, mean \pm s.e. across all years), then the high forest ($6,864 \pm 2,450$
231 shrubs/ha), followed by the low forest (846 ± 199 shrubs/ha), and there was no change in shrub
232 density over the course of the study (Fig. 1d). In contrast to density, basal area increased dramatically
233 during the study (Fig. 1e), driven by the growth in individual stem diameter. Basal area roughly
234 tripled in the high and mid forest, from 0.6 ± 0.4 and 2.4 ± 0.9 m^2/ha , respectively, in 2019, to $1.7 \pm$
235 0.9 and 6.5 ± 2.0 m^2/ha in 2021 (Fig. 1).

236 Change in the mid and high levels was approximately linear between 2019 and 2021, with both years
237 showing substantial increases in individual shrub growth and basal area (Fig. 1). Contrastingly, the
238 low forest experienced far less change in all metrics, and far greater variability, due to the low
239 density of shrubs and resulting small sample size. There were only seven individuals in the low
240 forest, one of which was not found in 2020 and two others of which were very large and considered
241 statistical outliers.

242

243 3.2 Landscape occupancy of shrubs and *Phragmites australis*

244 Shrub occupancy was consistently highest ($> 80\%$) in the mid forest plots over the three-year period
245 (main effect of Level, Table 1, Fig. 2). The significant interactive effect between forest level and year
246 on shrub occupancy (Table 1) was driven by increasing differences between the mid and low forest
247 levels through time (Fig. 2, S2, S3). Interestingly, while the low and high forest levels never differed
248 significantly in shrub occupancy, they tended toward greater difference through time (2019: low vs.
249 high, $t(7) = -0.104$, $p = 1.000$; 2020: $t(7) = -2.84$, $p = 0.076$; 2021: $t(7) = -2.89$, $p = 0.070$). In 2019,
250 both low and high forest plots had approximately 45% shrub occupancy ($44 \pm 0.04\%$ in low and $45 \pm$
251 0.12% in high forest), but in 2020, there was a sharp increase in shrub occupancy in the high forest
252 (to $66 \pm 0.12\%$) and a slight decrease in the low forest (to $39 \pm 0.05\%$), both of which persisted into
253 2021 (Fig. 2a).

254 As opposed to shrub occupancy, *P. australis* density peaked in the low forest (Fig. 2, main effect of
255 Level). *Phragmites australis* density at the site increased across all forest levels from 2019 to 2020
256 (2019 vs. 2020, $t(23) = -3.03$, $p = 0.018$), but showed a more stagnant trend from 2020 and 2021
257 (2020 vs. 2021, $t(24) = -0.77$, $p = 1.000$). Average *P. australis* density, using the index described,
258 increased in the low forest from an average of 2.6 ± 0.5 , or a handful of stems, in 2019 to 3.1 ± 0.3 , a
259 sparse stand, in 2021. *P. australis* increased in the mid forest from 1.5 ± 0.4 in 2019 to 1.9 ± 0.5 in
260 2021 and in the high forest from 0.5 ± 0.2 in 2019 to 0.7 ± 0.4 in 2021 (Fig. 2, S4, S5).

261 **3.3 Relationship between shrubs and *Phragmites australis* occupancy across the landscape**

262 While there were more points in the low forest than mid or high forest levels that exhibited the
263 expected “*P. australis* increase, shrub decrease” relationship (9.5% of points), the strongest pattern
264 across all levels was overwhelmingly “no change, no change” (Figure 2c). Chi-square tests were non-
265 significant for all levels (Table 2), indicating independence between distribution changes of *P.*
266 *australis* and shrubs.

267 **3.4 Abiotic conditions and their effect on shrub growth**

268 Porewater salinity peaked in October 2019, due to a flooding event caused by Tropical Storm
269 Melissa, which passed offshore during October 11-14, 2019 and elevated the spring tide series by
270 about 1 m (Nordio *et al.*, 2022). Although the coarse temporal coverage of porewater sampling may
271 have missed other smaller flooding and saltwater intrusion events, independent high frequency
272 sampling from several of the same forest plots (Fagherazzi and Nordio, 2022) suggests that the data
273 presented here accurately represent the system. In all time points, porewater salinity was significantly
274 higher in the low forest, where it ranged from 4 to 16 ppt, than in the high forest, where it ranged
275 from 1 to 10 ppt (Level effect, Table 3, Fig. 3). In two of the four collection dates (on 2019-10-29
276 and 2021-08-08), porewater salinity in the low forest was also significantly higher than in the mid
277 forest (Date:Level effect, Table 3), suggesting that the forest levels represented a consistent gradient
278 in salinity stress, even as salinity stress varied considerably between sampling occasions (Date effect,
279 Table 3). Similarly, canopy gap portion reflected a consistent stress gradient (Level effect, Table 3).
280 There were significantly higher gap portions in the low forest (0.66 ± 0.02) than in the high ($0.45 \pm$
281 0.03 , $t(23) = -8.20$, $p < 0.0001$) and in the low forest than the mid forest (0.55 ± 0.02 , $t(23) = 3.03$, $p =$
282 0.018). Canopy gap portion was not statistically significantly different between time points, though
283 there appeared to be a slight increase in the gap portion in the low forest from 2019 to 2020 (Fig. 3b)
284 that might represent a response of canopy trees to the 2019 flooding event. Relatedly, we observed a
285 significant negative correlation between peak salinity with tree canopy cover and change in salinity
286 with tree canopy cover (Fig. 3c).

287 We detected no significant correlation between peak salinity or change in salinity and any of the plot-
288 scale shrub metrics (Fig. 3c). However, there was a significant positive correlation of tree canopy
289 cover with shrub density and shrub basal area in all years (Fig. 3c).

290 **4 Discussion**

291 In this three-year period, we observed rapid growth of shrubs throughout the understory of the
292 Brownsville Forest, particularly in the mid and high levels of forest, where the effects of sea level
293 rise and saltwater intrusion are less visually apparent than in the low forest. Basal area of shrubs in
294 the mid and high forest levels approximately tripled, as existing shrubs grew rapidly in stem
295 diameter. Shrub landscape occupancy in the high forest increased from 45 to 66% between 2019 and

296 2020. The structure of the forest understory in the high forest plots, which began this short study as
297 relatively open and with low vegetative cover, was transformed by *Morella cerifera* expansion.

298 Compared to the mid and high forest, the shrub layer of the low forest had few shrubs and changed
299 little over time. While shrubs expanded in mid and high forest plots, the low forest experienced a
300 slight decrease in shrub occupancy. Low forest plots were instead characterized as having a higher
301 density of *P. australis*, which increased gradually through time at all forest levels. Spread of *P.*
302 *australis* at the trailing edge of retreating forest has now been observed at a number of sites
303 throughout the Mid-Atlantic (Smith, 2013; Shaw, Jobe and Gedan, 2022). We observed that, relative
304 to the dominance of *P. australis* in the low forest, shrub expansion is occurring in deeper areas of
305 coastal forest, where forest dieback in response to salinity is less apparent. The shrub front is
306 advancing ahead of the ghost forest edge.

307 That neither tree canopy cover nor porewater salinity had the predicted effect on shrub metrics is
308 likely an outcome of the relatively short timescale of our study. Forest canopy gap portion did not
309 significantly change during the study period; a longer time period is necessary to observe directional
310 change across the site. Other studies of coastal vegetation change that spanned decadal timescales
311 have detected effects of tree canopy cover decline and salinity increase on vegetation change (Taillie
312 *et al.*, 2019; Woods, Tuley and Zinnert, 2021; Anderson *et al.*, 2022). Instead, we observed a positive
313 correlation of tree canopy cover with shrub basal area and shrub canopy area that reflects spatial
314 autocorrelation of greater shrub dominance in the deeper forest levels where canopy cover is also
315 greater.

316 As is typical of the coastal forest ecotone (Brinson, Christian and Blum, 1995; Taillie *et al.*, 2019;
317 Tully *et al.*, 2019; Conner *et al.*, 2022), salinity stress was highly dynamic during this study, with a
318 pulse in soil porewater salinity attributable to a major storm surge event early in the study period
319 (Nordio *et al.*, 2022). The porewater salinity levels reached 16 ppt in the low forest. In comparison,
320 salinities in the mid and high forest levels ranged from 2 to 12 ppt and 1 to 10 ppt, respectively.
321 Tolliver *et al.* (1997) observed 60% mortality in *M. cerifera* that were experimentally exposed to 10
322 ppt for 30 days, and 100% mortality in individuals exposed to 20 ppt. Seawater of 10 ppt can reduce
323 germination probability in *M. cerifera* by about 50% relative to a freshwater control, and salinity of
324 20 ppt prevents germination entirely (Woods, Swall and Zinnert, 2020). Among coastal shrubs, *M.*
325 *cerifera* was ranked as more sensitive to salinity than *Baccharis halimifolia* and *Iva frutescens*
326 (Young, Erickson and Semones, 1994). But *M. cerifera* is more salt-tolerant than many forest
327 understory species, due to its adaptations to tolerate salinity stress, such as closing its stomata
328 gradually to prevent damage to the photosynthetic apparatus (Naumann, Anderson and Young, 2008)
329 and using its wide canopy to gather scarce freshwater resources from rain (Allen and Conner, 2021).
330 This moderate salinity tolerance of *Morella cerifera* explains why the species appears to be thriving
331 in the lower salinity zones of the mid and high forest, but is less common and exhibits slower rates of
332 growth in the low forest, where salinity levels have breached its tolerance threshold.

333 Our hypothesis that the reduced density and growth of shrubs in the low forest might be explained by
334 competition with *P. australis* was not supported, as we were unable to detect a negative correlation
335 between *M. cerifera* and *P. australis* that would have been indicative of competitive exclusion.
336 Instead, the lower density, slower growth, and decline in occupancy of *M. cerifera* in the low forest is
337 better explained by a direct effect of high salinity. However, other indirect interactions may shape the
338 distribution of *M. cerifera* in the coastal forests and possibly even favor *M. cerifera* expansion in the
339 mid and high forest. For example, more negative effects of moderate salinity stress on trees than
340 shrubs could mediate changes in water availability within soils which favor shrub expansion. Bird

341 dispersal of *M. cerifera* (USDA, 2022) may also contribute to *M. cerifera* expansion in the mid and
342 high forest, where perching trees offer habitat to birds, and gradual opening in the tree canopy
343 provides enough sunlight at the forest floor for *M. cerifera* to thrive. Shrub-shrub positive feedbacks
344 have been observed in barrier island swale plant communities, in which shrubs create a microclimate
345 that enhances shrub recruitment (Wood, Hays and Zinnert, 2020). Lastly, *M. cerifera* has a symbiotic
346 relationship with *Frankia* sp., an actinorhizal nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Kurten *et al.*, 2008). Other
347 studies have found that *Frankia* populations flourished in soils high in organic matter (Wijnholds and
348 Young, 2000; Young *et al.*, 1992). If mid and high forest soil provides higher quality resources for
349 *Frankia* populations, this might benefit *M. cerifera*.

350 4.1 Implications of shrub expansion for forest ecosystems undergoing transgression

351 N-fixing shrubs in the Myricaceae have been known to radically alter ecosystem nutrient cycling
352 (Vitousek *et al.*, 1987). The effects of the invasion of *Morella faya* (formerly, *Myrica faya*) in the
353 Hawaiian Islands are well-known (Vitousek *et al.*, 1987); it alters successional processes through
354 nitrogen enrichment and significantly increases the resource base for the entire ecosystem. Somewhat
355 lesser known, where *M. cerifera* is introduced in the Hawaiian Islands, it also makes nitrogen more
356 available to surrounding biota (Kurten *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, *M. cerifera* can exert strong ecological
357 effects in the maritime forest. A study on the barrier islands of Virginia's Eastern Shore found that
358 soil nitrogen content was highest in soil under *M. cerifera* thickets (Young *et al.*, 1992). For this
359 reason, we predict that the expansion of *M. cerifera* is likely to increase the supply of biologically
360 available nitrogen in transitioning coastal forest.

361 Shrubification in other biomes has had many ecosystem-level effects beyond soil nutrient
362 availability. The expansion of shrubs throughout the forest understory can strongly influence
363 biodiversity, through changes in vegetation structure and soil properties (Archer *et al.*, 2017). In
364 other, more arid systems, woody plant encroachment has been shown to alter hydrologic cycles by
365 changing soil infiltration rates, with effects on soil fertility and plant species richness (Archer *et al.*,
366 2017).

367 For the case of *M. cerifera* expansion in the maritime forest, we expect that shifts in shrub-tree
368 interactions will be a consequential outcome of shrubification. In *M. cerifera* thickets on barrier
369 islands, recruitment of *Pinus taeda* seedlings was inhibited by shading (Tolliver, Colley and Young,
370 1995). Lack of forest regeneration has been noted as a shift in *P. taeda*-dominated forests affected by
371 salinity (Kearney, Fernandes and Fagherazzi, 2019). Therefore, shrub expansion and inhibition of
372 tree recruitment may play a critical role in forest transition in this region. Shrub dominance has been
373 suggested to be an intermediate or alternative successional pathway during forest transition (Woods,
374 Tuley and Zinnert, 2021). The enhanced growth and colonization of shrubs that we observed and this
375 alternative trajectory may explain the large increase in scrub-shrub dominated areas during forest
376 transition (Ury *et al.*, 2021).

377 5 Conclusion

378 We observed encroachment by shrubs, specifically *Morella cerifera*, in the understory of maritime
379 forest, which we suggest is an indicator of transgression in advance of *Phragmites australis* and the
380 coastal forest treeline. Over the span of our study period, shrub metrics (canopy area, height, stem
381 diameter, basal area, and occupancy) increased most dramatically in the mid and high forest. The
382 dominance of *M. cerifera* in the understory of maritime forest may serve as an important indicator of
383 ecosystem transition from healthy forest to ghost forest and eventually marsh. In this study, we add

384 maritime forest to the list of ecosystems in which shrubification is occurring, and identify the likely
385 driver as salinity stress related to sea level rise and saltwater intrusion.

386 **6 Author Contributions**

387 KG conceived of the study and KG and AP designed the survey protocols. RS, AP, and KG collected
388 data in 2019 and 2021, and CB and JM collected data during the 2020 season when the Covid
389 pandemic made field work difficult. RS analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript.
390 All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

391 **7 Funding**

392 This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. LTER #1832221.

393 **8 Acknowledgments**

394 We are grateful to J. Zinnert for feedback on an earlier draft of the manuscript, to the following
395 individuals who assisted with data collection in the field: A. Bollinger, J. MacGregor, and R.
396 Mohammadi, and to J. Porter for his assistance in data management and accessibility.

397 **9 Supplementary Material**

398 Supplementary Figures 1-5 contain individual shrub metric and plot change data and maps of shrub
399 occupancy and *Phragmites australis* stem density.

400 **10 Data Availability Statement**

401 The datasets generated and analyzed in this study are published in the Virginia Coast Reserve Data
402 Catalog (<http://www.vcrlter.virginia.edu/cgi-bin/browseData.cgi>). Shrub and *Phragmites* data can be
403 found within Gedan et al. (2022b, doi:10.6073/pasta/f5d6779021676538649c445dc3a4fbf7) and
404 abiotic data within Gedan et al. (2022a, doi:10.6073/pasta/126bf89e433dd6cb8671c4a4d173b8f3).

405 **11 References**

406 Allen, S.T. and Conner, W.H. (2021) 'Localized Augmentation of Net Precipitation to Shrubs: A
407 Case Study of Stemflow Funneling to Hummocks in a Salinity-Intruded Swamp', *Frontiers in
408 Forests and Global Change*, 4. Available at:
409 <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ffgc.2021.691321> (Accessed: 10 February 2023).

410 Anderson, S.M. et al. (2022) 'Salinity thresholds for understory plants in coastal wetlands', *Plant
411 Ecology*, 223(3), pp. 323–337.

412 Archer, S.R. et al. (2017) 'Woody plant encroachment: causes and consequences', in *Rangeland
413 systems*. Springer, Cham, pp. 25–84.

414 Bond, W.J. and Midgley, J.J. (2001) 'Ecology of sprouting in woody plants: the persistence niche',
415 *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 16(1), pp. 45–51.

416 Brinson, M.M., Christian, R.R. and Blum, L.K. (1995) 'Multiple states in the sea-level induced
417 transition from terrestrial forest to estuary', *Estuaries*, 18(4), pp. 648–659.

418 Conner, W. *et al.* (2022) 'Changes within a South Carolina Coastal Wetland Forest in the Face of
419 Rising Sea Level', *Forests*, 13(3), p. 414.

420 Duberstein, J.A. *et al.* (2020) 'Small gradients in salinity have large effects on stand water use in
421 freshwater wetland forests', *Forest Ecology and Management*, 473, p. 118308.

422 Fagherazzi, S. *et al.* (2019) 'Sea level rise and the dynamics of the marsh-upland boundary',
423 *Frontiers in environmental science*, 7, p. 25.

424 Fagherazzi, S. and Nordio, G. (2022) 'Groundwater, soil moisture, light and weather data in
425 Brownsville forest, Nassawadox, VA, 2019-2022'. Environmental Data Initiative. Available at:
426 <https://doi.org/10.6073/PASTA/942A5A981E6E986C5FA1A9A9CD2EB8B7>.

427 'GBIF Backbone Taxonomy' (no date). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.15468/39omei>.

428 Gedan, K. *et al.* (2022a) 'Forest Transition Experiment - Soil Pore Water Salinity in a Coastal
429 Virginia Forest'. Environmental Data Initiative. Available at:
430 <https://doi.org/10.6073/PASTA/126BF89E433DD6CB8671C4A4D173B8F3>.

431 Gedan, K. *et al.* (2022b) 'Forest Transition Experiment - Vegetation Monitoring on a Coastal
432 Virginia Forest, 2019-2021'. Environmental Data Initiative. Available at:
433 <https://doi.org/10.6073/PASTA/F5D6779021676538649C445DC3A4FBF7>.

434 Götmark, F., Götmark, E. and Jensen, A.M. (2016) 'Why be a shrub? A basic model and hypotheses
435 for the adaptive values of a common growth form', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, p. 1095.

436 Huang, H. *et al.* (2018) 'Non-linear shift from grassland to shrubland in temperate barrier islands',
437 *Ecology*, 99(7), pp. 1671–1681.

438 ITIS (2022) 'Integrated Taxonomic Information System'. Available at: www.itis.gov,
439 <https://doi.org/10.5066/F7KH0KBK>.

440 Kaarlejärvi, E. *et al.* (2012) 'Effects of Warming on Shrub Abundance and Chemistry Drive
441 Ecosystem-Level Changes in a Forest–Tundra Ecotone', *Ecosystems*, 15(8), pp. 1219–1233.
442 Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-012-9580-9>.

443 Kassambara, A. (2022) 'rstatix: Pipe-Friendly Framework for Basic Statistical Tests'. Available at:
444 <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rstatix> (Accessed: 30 January 2023).

445 Kauffman, J.B. *et al.* (2016) *Protocols for the measurement, monitoring, and reporting of structure,
446 biomass, carbon stocks and greenhouse gas emissions in tropical peat swamp forests*. Center for
447 International Forestry Research.

448 Kearney, W.S., Fernandes, A. and Fagherazzi, S. (2019) 'Sea-level rise and storm surges structure
449 coastal forests into persistence and regeneration niches', *PLoS one*, 14(5), p. e0215977.

450 Kirwan, M.L. and Gedan, K.B. (2019) 'Sea-level driven land conversion and the formation of ghost
451 forests', *Nature Climate Change*, 9(6), pp. 450–457.

452 Kurten, E.L. *et al.* (2008) 'Morella cerifera invasion and nitrogen cycling on a lowland Hawaiian lava
453 flow', *Biological Invasions*, 10(1), pp. 19–24.

454 Langston, A.K., Kaplan, D.A. and Putz, F.E. (2017) 'A casualty of climate change? Loss of
455 freshwater forest islands on Florida's Gulf Coast', *Global Change Biology*, 23(12), pp. 5383–5397.

456 Mekonnen, Z.A. *et al.* (2021) 'Arctic tundra shrubification: a review of mechanisms and impacts on
457 ecosystem carbon balance', *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(5), p. 053001.

458 Mod, H.K. and Luoto, M. (2016) 'Arctic shrubification mediates the impacts of warming climate on
459 changes to tundra vegetation', *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(12), p. 124028.

460 Molino, G.D. *et al.* (2021) 'Quantifying Slopes as a Driver of Forest to Marsh Conversion Using
461 Geospatial Techniques: Application to Chesapeake Bay Coastal-Plain, United States', *Frontiers in
462 Environmental Science*, 9, p. 149.

463 Naumann, J.C., Anderson, J.E. and Young, D.R. (2008) 'Linking physiological responses,
464 chlorophyll fluorescence and hyperspectral imagery to detect salinity stress using the physiological
465 reflectance index in the coastal shrub, *Myrica cerifera*', *Remote sensing of environment*, 112(10), pp.
466 3865–3875.

467 Naumann, J.C., Young, D.R. and Anderson, J.E. (2007) 'Linking leaf chlorophyll fluorescence
468 properties to physiological responses for detection of salt and drought stress in coastal plant species',
469 *Physiologia Plantarum*, 131(3), pp. 422–433. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2007.00973.x>.

471 Nordio, G. *et al.* (2022) 'Frequent storm surges affect the groundwater of coastal ecosystems',
472 *Geophysical Research Letters* [Preprint].

473 Shao, G. and Halpin, P.N. (1995) 'Climatic controls of eastern North American coastal tree and shrub
474 distributions', *Journal of Biogeography*, pp. 1083–1089.

475 Shaw, P., Jobe, J.G.D.I. and Gedan, K.B. (2022) 'The invasive common reed *Phragmites australis* in
476 subcanopy forest environments and implications for marsh migration', *Estuaries and Coasts*, 45, pp.
477 539–550.

478 Smith, J.A. (2013) 'The Role of *Phragmites australis* in Mediating Inland Salt Marsh Migration in a
479 Mid-Atlantic Estuary', *PloS one*, 8(5), p. e65091.

480 Spinu, V. *et al.* (2018) 'Lubridate: Make dealing with dates a little easier', *R package version*, 1(4).

481 Taillie, P.J. *et al.* (2019) 'Decadal-scale vegetation change driven by salinity at leading edge of rising
482 sea level', *Ecosystems*, 22(8), pp. 1918–1930.

483 Thompson, J.A., Zinnert, J.C. and Young, D.R. (2017) 'Immediate effects of microclimate
484 modification enhance native shrub encroachment', *Ecosphere*, 8(2), p. e01687.

485 Tichy, L. (2016) 'Field test of canopy cover estimation by hemispherical photographs taken with a
486 smartphone', *Journal of vegetation science*, 27(2), pp. 427–435.

487 Tolliver, K.S., Colley, D.M. and Young, D.R. (1995) 'Inhibitory effects of *Myrica cerifera* on *Pinus*
488 *taeda*', *American Midland Naturalist*, pp. 256–263.

489 Tolliver, K.S., Martin, D.W. and Young, D.R. (1997) 'Freshwater and saltwater flooding response
490 for woody species common to barrier island swales', *Wetlands*, 17(1), pp. 10–18.

491 Tully, K. *et al.* (2019) 'The invisible flood: The chemistry, ecology, and social implications of
492 coastal saltwater intrusion', *BioScience*, 69(5), pp. 368–378.

493 Ury, E.A. *et al.* (2020) 'Succession, regression and loss: does evidence of saltwater exposure explain
494 recent changes in the tree communities of North Carolina's Coastal Plain?', *Annals of Botany*,
495 125(2), pp. 255–264. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz039>.

496 Ury, E.A. *et al.* (2021) 'Rapid deforestation of a coastal landscape driven by sea-level rise and
497 extreme events', *Ecological Applications*, 31(5), p. e02339. Available at:
498 <https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2339>.

499 USDA (2022) *Morella cerifera*, *USDA Plants Database*. Available at:
500 <https://plants.usda.gov/home/plantProfile?symbol=MOCE2> (Accessed: 22 August 2022).

501 Van Auken, O.W. (2000) 'Shrub invasions of North American semiarid grasslands', *Annual review
502 of ecology and systematics*, pp. 197–215.

503 Van Auken, O.W. (2009) 'Causes and consequences of woody plant encroachment into western
504 North American grasslands', *Journal of environmental management*, 90(10), pp. 2931–2942.

505 Vitousek, P.M. *et al.* (1987) 'Biological invasion by *Myrica faya* alters ecosystem development in
506 Hawaii', *Science*, 238(4828), pp. 802–804.

507 Whittaker, R.H. and Woodwell, G. (1969) 'Structure, production and diversity of the oak-pine forest
508 at Brookhaven, New York', *The Journal of Ecology*, pp. 155–174.

509 Wickham, H. (2016) *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer.

510 Wickham, H. and Henry, L. (2020) 'Tidyr: Tidy messy data', *R package version*, 1(2), p. 397.

511 Wickham, H. and Wickham, M.H. (2019) 'Package "stringr"', *Website*: <http://stringr.tidyverse.org>,
512 <https://github.com/tidyverse/stringr> [Preprint].

513 Wijnholds, A.E. and Young, D.R. (2000) 'Interdependence of *Myrica cerifera* seedlings and the
514 nodule forming actinomycete, *Frankia*, in a coastal environment', *Journal of Coastal Research*, pp.
515 139–144.

516 Wood, L.K., Hays, S. and Zinnert, J.C. (2020) 'Decreased temperature variance associated with
517 biotic composition enhances coastal shrub encroachment', *Scientific reports*, 10(1), pp. 1–10.

518 Woods, N.N., Swall, J.L. and Zinnert, J.C. (2020) 'Soil Salinity Impacts Future Community
519 Composition of Coastal Forests', *Wetlands*, pp. 1–9.

520 Woods, N.N., Tuley, P.A. and Zinnert, J.C. (2021) 'Long-Term Community Dynamics Reveal
521 Different Trajectories for Two Mid-Atlantic Maritime Forests', *Forests*, 12(8), p. 1063.

522 Young, D.R., Erickson, D.L. and Semones, S.W. (1994) 'Salinity and the small-scale distribution of
523 three barrier island shrubs', *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 72(9), pp. 1365–1372. Available at:
524 <https://doi.org/10.1139/b94-167>.

525 Young, D.R., Sande, E. and Peters, G.A. (1992) 'Spatial relationships of Frankia and Myrica cerifera
526 on a Virginia, USA Barrier Island', *Symbiosis* [Preprint].

527 Zinnert, J.C. *et al.* (2011) 'Woody vegetative cover dynamics in response to recent climate change on
528 an Atlantic coast barrier island: a remote sensing approach', *Geocarto International*, 26(8), pp. 595–
529 612.

530 Zinnert, J.C. *et al.* (2016) 'Spatial–temporal dynamics in barrier island upland vegetation: the
531 overlooked coastal landscape', *Ecosystems*, 19(4), pp. 685–697.

532

533

534 **Tables**535 **Table 1.** Repeated Measures ANOVA for individual shrub and plot-scale metrics. Significant p-
536 values (<0.05) are bolded. Values are Greenhouse-Geisser corrected for sphericity where necessary.

Response variable	Factor	df	F	p-value
Canopy Area	Level	2, 242	0.703	0.496
	Year	1.78, 429.77	32.805	p<0.0001
	Year:Level	3.55, 429.77	0.333	0.834
Height	Level	2, 264	0.921	0.400
	Year	1.57, 415.06	26.784	p<0.0001
	Year:Level	3.14, 415.06	3.168	0.0220
Stem Diameter	Level	2, 259	2.362	0.0960
	Year	1.86, 481.02	22.031	p<0.0001
	Year:Level	3.71, 481.02	1.839	0.1250
Density	Level	2, 21	7.981	0.003
	Year	1, 21	0.614	0.442
	Year:Level	2, 21	1.663	0.214
Basal Area	Level	2, 21	11.347	0.0005
	Year	1.26, 26.43	33.594	p<0.0001
	Year:Level	2.52, 26.43	10.613	0.0002
Shrub Occupancy	Level	2, 21	8.202	0.0020
	Year	1.59, 33.38	5.123	0.0170
	Year:Level	3.18, 33.38	6.195	0.0020
<i>Phragmites</i> Occupancy	Level	2, 21	10.813	0.0006
	Year	1.43, 30.11	8.632	0.0030
	Year:Level	2.87, 30.11	1.291	0.2950

537

538

539

540 **Table 2.** Statistical summary table for Chi-square tests of the relationship between shrub presence
541 and *Phragmites australis* presence in high, mid, and low forest plots.

Forest level	Chi-squared	df	p-value
High forest	1.8371	4	0.7657
Mid forest	4.4722	4	0.3459
Low forest	6.4939	4	0.1652

542

543

544 **Table 3.** Repeated Measures ANOVA for abiotic variables. Significant p-values (<0.05) are bolded
 545 and values are Greenhouse-Geisser corrected for sphericity.

Response variable	Factor	df	F	p-value
Salinity	Level	2, 21	59.611	p<0.0001
	Date	1.75, 36.84	416.038	p<0.0001
	Date:Level	3.51, 36.84	5.825	0.0010
Canopy gap portion	Level	2, 21	7.933	0.0030
	Date	1.28, 26.87	3.479	0.0640
	Date:Level	2.56, 26.87	1.997	0.1460

546

547

548 **12 Figures**

549 **Figure 1.** Individual shrub metrics of a) canopy area, b) height, and c) stem diameter and plot-scale
550 shrub metrics of d) shrub density and e) shrub basal area for the study years of 2019-2021. Asterisks
551 denote p-value thresholds of factors of forest level, year, and their interaction in RM-ANOVA.

552 **Figure 2.** a) Shrub occupancy, b) *Phragmites australis* occupancy, and c) a contingency table
553 showing the proportion of points with increases, no change, and decreases in *P. australis* density or
554 shrub occupancy in each of the forest levels. In c), warmer colors represent higher proportions, with
555 the highest proportion of points showing no change in both metrics.

556 **Figure 3.** Abiotic variables of a) porewater salinity sampled on four occasions, b) canopy gap portion
557 measured annually, and c) correlations between abiotic variables and shrub metrics. In c), only
558 significant correlations are depicted; blank cells indicate non-significant relationships and values are
559 correlation coefficients. Red colors indicate negative correlations and blue colors indicate positive
560 correlations.

561