

Z-Trisubstituted α,β -Unsaturated Esters and Acid Fluorides through Stereocontrolled Catalytic Cross-Metathesis

Can Qin, Tobias Koenigter, Fengyue Zhao, Yucheng Mu, Fang Liu,* K. N. Houk,* and Amir H. Hoveyda*



Cite This: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2023, 145, 3748–3762



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Catalytic cross-metathesis (CM) reactions that can generate trisubstituted alkenes in high stereoisomeric purity are important but remain limited in scope. Here, CM reactions are introduced that generate Z-trisubstituted α -methyl, α,β -unsaturated, alkyl and aryl esters, thiol esters, and acid fluorides. Transformations are promoted by a Mo bis-aryloxide, a monoaryloxide pyrrolide, or a monoaryloxide chloride complex; air-stable and commercially available paraffin tablets containing a Mo complex may also be used. Alkyl, aryl, and silyl carboxylic esters as well as thiol esters and acid fluoride reagents are either purchasable or can be prepared in one step. Products were obtained in 55–95% yield and in 88:12–>98:2 Z/E ratio (typically >95:5). The applicability of the approach is highlighted by a two-step conversion of citronellol to an isomint lactone precursor (1.7 g, 73% yield, and 97:3 Z/E) and a single-step transformation of lanosterol acetate to 3-*epi*-anwuweizic acid (72% yield and 94:6 Z/E). Included are the outcomes of DFT studies, regarding several initially puzzling catalyst activity trends, providing the following information: (1) it is key that a disubstituted Mo alkylidene, generated by a competing homo-metathesis (HM) pathway, can re-enter the productive CM cycle. (2) Whereas in a CM cycle the formation of a molybdacyclobutane is likely turnover-limiting, the collapse of related metallacycles in a HM cycle is probably rate-determining. It is therefore the relative energy barrier required for these steps that determines whether CM or HM is dominant with a particular complex.



1. INTRODUCTION

Innumerable bioactive natural products contain an α,β -unsaturated carbonyl moiety, and a key subset within this class is composed of Z-trisubstituted α -methyl enoates; examples include the anti-inflammatory agent polycerasoidol,¹ the anticancer agent gambogic acid,² the HNE inhibitor nigranoic acid ester,³ and the HDAC inhibitor manwuweizic acid⁴ (Scheme 1a). Enoates are regularly used in regio-, diastereo-, and/or enantioselective transformations as well.⁵ A Z-trisubstituted α -methyl enoate may be synthesized through reaction between a Z-trisubstituted alkenyl halide and a carbonyl-containing compound. For instance, in one case (Scheme 1b), an alkenyl iodide, generated in two steps from propargyl alcohol, was converted to a trisubstituted Z-enoate by catalytic cross-coupling (CO in MeOH; 41% overall yield, >98:2 Z/E).⁶ Another reported sequence begins with the formation of an E-trisubstituted α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acid by the Wittig process, with the ensuing bromide addition and elimination delivering a Z-alkenyl bromide; subsequent conversion to a Z-enoate by Li/Br exchange (*t*-BuLi at -78°C) was followed by trapping of the organolithium intermediate with CO_2 [50% yield (three steps), >98:2 Z/E].⁷


Wittig-type protocols are a popular way of accessing α -methyl-trisubstituted enoates (Scheme 1c). As an example, when a less functionalized enoate was needed for total synthesis


of *ent*-phaeosphaeride A (Scheme 1c),⁸ a polyfluorophosphate reagent was used to convert an aldehyde to a Z- α -methyl carboxylic ester, which was then subjected to catalytic dihydroxy addition. A common way of accessing an aldehyde, which may be used for such reactions, is by oxidative cleavage of the trisubstituted alkene within a naturally occurring feedstock compound; the approaches involving geraniol and citronellol en route to transtaganolide B,⁹ isomint lactone,¹⁰ and kadcocnic acid triester¹¹ are representative (Scheme 1c).

Synthesis of Z-trisubstituted α -methyl enoates—directly by catalytic stereoretentive cross-metathesis (CM)¹²—not only represents a distinct retrosynthetic disconnection, in many cases it would be a more efficient alternative; it would entail reaction between a trisubstituted olefin, including naturally occurring prenyl-bearing compounds, and a commercially available or easily accessible enoate (Scheme 2). Unlike an alkene-to-aldehyde conversion and a Wittig- or Horner–Emmons-type reaction, CM would not require oxidation-state adjustment or

Received: December 13, 2022

Published: January 31, 2023




Scheme 1. Z-Trisubstituted α -Methyl Enoates^a^aImportance and existing methods of preparation.

strongly basic or oxidizing conditions and could be performed at ambient temperature. Transformations with similarly abundant monosubstituted olefins or *E*- or *Z*-disubstituted alkenes, either purchasable or easily synthesized by catalytic cross-coupling, would further enhance the appeal of such an approach. Nonetheless, whereas CM methods for the preparation of *E*-trisubstituted enoates are well established, those that can deliver the corresponding *Z* isomers are unknown.¹³ Here, we describe

the results of investigations regarding the development of catalytic CM reactions that can be used to generate Z- α -methyl-trisubstituted α,β -unsaturated alkyl, phenyl,¹⁴ or silyl carboxylic esters¹⁵ and thiol esters,¹⁶ in addition to acid fluorides. Each of these products have marked utility in chemical synthesis, particularly the emerging acid fluorides.¹⁷

Scheme 2. Direct Access to Z-Trisubstituted Enoic Acid Derivatives



2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Mechanistic Considerations. The efficiency of CM between a Mo-alkylidene and an α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compound, both containing a strongly polarized π -bond, hinges on the extent to which, in the pivotal metallacyclobutane (mcb) formation step, electronic or steric factors dominate (Scheme 3). A catalytic cycle would likely commence with reaction with the more electron-rich alkene (vs an enoate). If electronic factors in a catalyst–enoate complex (i) were to dictate the outcome, the reaction would proceed via **mcb-i**, an entity stabilized on account of a Mo-bound ester-substituted $C\alpha$. Cycloreversion of **mcb-i** would generate a relatively unreactive alkylidene not only because of electronic stabilization (ii) but also owing to the internal coordination between the Lewis basic carbonyl oxygen and the Mo center (iii).¹⁸ Alternatively, if the enoates were to approach the catalyst, as in **iv**, the transformation would proceed via **mcb-ii**, collapse of which would

furnish the CM product and the catalytically more competent **v** (Scheme 3). Unfavorable stereoelectronic factors must therefore be overcome for a CM product to be generated.


We hoped that the pathway proceeding via **iv** and **mcb-ii**, where $C\beta$, a less congested site, is fully substituted (vs $C\alpha$, as in **mcb-i**) would be preferred.¹⁹ As the carbonyl-bearing substrate becomes more polarized, it would be more difficult to counter the electronic factors. Considering the distinct electronic attributes of an alkyl, a thiol ester, or an acid fluoride, it remained to be seen if different types of substrates would present varying CM efficiency levels.

2.2. Reactions with Trisubstituted Alkene Substrates.



2.2.1. Catalyst Screening. We made several noteworthy observations during the investigations involving methyl angelate (**Z-1a**, Table 1) and benzyl citronellol (**2a**). The monoaryloxide pyrrolide (MAP) complex **Mo(MAP)-1** (entry 1), which contains a large aryloxide ligand,²⁰ and had emerged as optimal in previous CM transformations that afford trisubstituted alkenes, proved to be ineffective here (<5% conv). In contrast, there was 77% conversion to the trisubstituted enoate **3a** (71% yield, 93:7 Z/E) with **Mo(MAP)-2**²¹ (entry 2), which has a sterically less demanding aryloxide. When **Mo(MAP)-3** (entry 3), bearing a 2,3,5,6-tetraphenyl aryloxide,^{19,22} was employed, formation of **3a** was more efficient and more stereoretentive (81% yield and 97:3 Z/E). A more striking trend involved the monoaryloxide chloride (MAC) complexes [entries 4–6, Table 1; $B(C_6F_5)_3$ is to help dissociate the stabilizing pyridine ligand]. Whereas, akin to **Mo(MAP)-1**, there was <5% conversion to **3a** when **Mo(MAC)-1** was used,²³ with **Mo(MAC)-2**, which contains the same aryloxide as **Mo(MAP)-2**, efficiency improved while stereoretentivity diminished considerably (92% conv, 25:75 Z/E). CM with tetraphenylaryloxide-bearing **Mo(MAC)-3** was efficient and highly stereoretentive (78% yield, 94:6 Z/E ratio; entry 6).

Another noteworthy observation was the lower Z/E ratio in the reaction with **Mo(MAC)-2** compared to that when a **Mo(MAP)** complex, bearing the same aryloxide, was used (25:75 vs 93:7 Z/E; entries 5 and 2, respectively). We viewed

Scheme 3. Mechanistic Factors Impacting the Efficiency of CM Reactions that Generate a Trisubstituted Enoate^a



^aSee the Supporting Information for details.


Table 1. Identification of Effective Catalysts for Reactions Involving *Z*-Trisubstituted Methyl Esters^a

entry	Mo complex	time (h)	conv (%) ^b ; conv to 3a (%) ^b	yield (3a , %) ^c	<i>Z</i> / <i>E</i> ^b
1	Mo(MAP)-1	6	<5; na	na	na
2	Mo(MAP)-2	6	81; 77	71	93:7
3	Mo(MAP)-3	6	89; 85	81	97:3
4	Mo(MAC)-1 ^d	4	<5; na	na	na
5	Mo(MAC)-2 ^d	4	94; 92	nd	25:75
6	Mo(MAC)-3 ^d	4	91; 82	78	94:6
7	Mo(bisAr)-1	6	95; 93	91	95:5
8	Mo(bisAr)-2	6	97; 89	nd	88:12
9	Mo(bisAr)-3	6	56; 51	nd	84:16

^aReactions performed under N_2 . Use of mild vacuum led to slightly better results (e.g., for entry 3 at ambient pressure, 12 h: 85% conv, 83% CM, 79% yield, 96:4 *Z*/*E*). ^bConversion values (disappearance of **2a**) and *Z*/*E* ratios were determined by analysis of ^1H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures ($\pm 2\%$). ^cYields of purified products ($\pm 5\%$). ^dPerformed in the presence of 6.0 mol % $\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3$. See the [Supporting Information](#) for details. na, not applicable; nd, not determined.

this as a key characteristic that can shed light on the origins of variations in stereoretentivity as a function of catalyst structure. Based on the formerly noted differences in mcb transition-state energies,¹⁹ it is unlikely that problem arises from low kinetic selectivity. The more probable scenario would entail pre-metathesis isomerization to form **E-1a** (via **mcb-iii**), the CM through which **E-3a** is formed (Scheme 4a), and an adventitious process that would be facilitated by the especially active and comparatively uncongested Mo-chloride catalyst. Post-metathesis isomerization, namely, conversion of **Z-3a** to **E-3a** via **mcb-iv** and **mcb-v** (Scheme 4b), is possible because, as the transformation progresses, the concentration of **Z-1a** and the isomerized **E-1a** becomes more comparable to that of the CM product (**Z-3a**). Time-dependent studies (Scheme 4c) revealed

that within just ~15 s, the reaction with **Z-1a** proceeds to 44% of **Z-3a** in a 96:4 *Z*/*E* ratio. At the same time, 36 and 85% of **Z-1a** had isomerized to **E-1a** after 1 and 4 h, respectively. This suggests that, early in the transformation, pre-metathesis isomerization plays a more significant role (isomeric purity from 96:4 to 84:16 *Z*/*E*), whereas post-metathesis isomerization is probably the reason why there is additional diminution of *Z*/*E* ratio (from 84:16 to 25:75 *Z*/*E*). Moreover, upon re-subjection of stereoisomerically pure **Z-3a** to in situ generated ethylidene **v**, there was further diminution of stereoisomeric purity: **3a** was isolated as a 72:28 *Z*/*E* mixture after 1 h. Addition of 50 mol % **E-1a** led to still more *Z*-to-*E* isomerization: 65:35 after 1 h and 35:65 after 4 h, an observation that is consistent with the proposed post-metathesis isomerization pathway (Scheme 4b):

Scheme 4. : Regarding Diminished Stereoretentivity due to Pre- vs Post-metathesis Isomerization^a

^aReactions performed under N₂. Conversion (disappearance of 2a) and Z:E ratios were determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures (±2%). Yields of purified products (±5%). See the Supporting Information for details. nd, not determined.

with excess E-1a, mcb-v formation becomes more favored, causing enhanced product isomerization.

What was needed then was a Mo alkylidene that would deliver higher efficiency (see Scheme 3), but not to the degree that would allow (unfavorable) electronic effects to become dominant. We were thus led to probe the effectiveness of Mo-bisaryloxides (bisAr),²⁴ which, difficult-to-predict electronic differences notwithstanding, are more sterically demanding compared to MAP and MAC systems. In the event, with Mo(bisAr)-1 (entry 7, Table 1), under otherwise identical conditions, 3a was obtained in 91% yield and 95:5 Z/E ratio.

Two additional points merit note: (1) Reaction with Mo(bisAr)-2 afforded 3a with similar efficiency but with lower stereoretentivity (89% CM, 88:12 Z/E ratio; entry 8, Table 1). A somewhat subtle alteration,²⁵ a *p*-bromo-aryloxide substituent, can tip the balance in favor of mcb-iii (Scheme 4a), diminishing stereocontrol. Even so, as will be discussed (see Section 2.2.4), a complex bearing a *p*-bromo-aryloxide can perform better at a superior level under other circumstances. (2) Reaction with Mo(bisAr)-3 was less effective (51% conv to 3a; entry 9). Although enoate isomerization was minimal (<5% E-1a recovered), the trisubstituted alkene product was formed in lower stereoisomeric purity [84:16 vs 95:5 Z/E for Mo(bisAr)-

1], underlining the importance of the C3 and C5 phenyl groups. The larger moieties are likely to restrict the conformational mobility of C2 and C6 phenyl groups, increasing steric pressure in the preferred mcb (compare mcb-ii' with mcb-ii"; Figure 1).

2.2.2. Z-Trisubstituted Methyl Esters. Different trisubstituted olefins, including those containing a carboxylic ester (Z-3b; Table 1), a phthalimide (Z-3c), a benzyl ether (Z-3d), an indole (Z-3e), or an acetal (Z-3f), were transformed to desired products (Scheme 5a). Reactions proceeded to 79–97% conversion, 76–94% of which was the CM product; yields ranged from 74 to 91% and Z/E ratios from 96:4 to 98:2. Homoallylic boronate Z-3g and allylic benzyl ether Z-3h, notably formed through CM between two electron-deficient olefins, were isolated in 72 and 75% yields and 95:5 and >98:2 Z/E, respectively.

CM is less efficient with a trisubstituted olefin that has the following features: (1) contains a larger homoallylic aryl moiety [compared to a B(pin) in the case of Z-3i]; (2) bears a relatively strong Lewis basic site, such as Z-3j or Z-3k-1 where the substrate might serve as a bidentate ligand; and (3) carries a relatively large allylic ether (Z-3m in contrast to benzyl ether Z-3h; Scheme 5b; 34 vs 83% conv, 25 vs 78% conv to the desired product). With a sizeable substituent or a Lewis basic unit, the




Figure 1. Importance of the tetraphenylaryloxide to kinetic selectivity (stereoretentivity).


reaction between **Mo(bisAr)-1** and a trisubstituted alkene probably becomes too slow, resulting in lower concentration of the catalytically active Mo-alkylidene.

To delve further, we allowed CM with **2k** to proceed for 4 h, which led to the formation of generating ~32% **3k** (Scheme 5c). The mixture was then charged with 10 mol % *Z*-hex-3-ene, based on the expectation that this would help initiate **Mo(bisAr)-1** completely. After allowing the mixture to stir for an additional 4


h, there was indeed further conversion to **Z-3k** (69%; 96:4 *Z/E*). When the slower CM processes were performed with 10 mol % *Z*-hex-3-ene (Scheme 5b), **Z-3i–m** were isolated in 63–87% yield (65–89% CM) and 96:4 to >98:2 *Z/E* ratio.

More demanding cases still remained, among which were trisubstituted alkenes with a branched homoallylic site, including an allylic boronate (Scheme 6), which may be used for catalytic diastereo- and/or enantioselective additions to widely used electrophiles.²⁶ Regarding **Z-3n** and **Z-3o**, although the addition of 10 mol % *Z*-hex-3-ene increased the efficiency (<5% conv otherwise), there was only 34 and 53% conversion to the CM products, respectively. In contemplating ways to increase the efficiency, we noted that, while **Mo(bisAr)-1** was optimal for linear alkenes (**2a–m**), **Mo(MAP)-3** and **Mo(MAC)-3** were not far behind (entries 3, 6, and 7, Table 1). We reasoned that because MAP and MAC complexes contain smaller ligands compared to bis-aryloxides (bisArs), they should more easily associate with a more hindered alkene, and, as it turned out, with **Mo(MAP)-3**, there was 70 and 80% conversion to **Z-3n** and **Z-3o** (58 and 71% yield, respectively; Scheme 6). The situation was more demanding with the trisubstituted allylboronate, precursor to **Z-3p**: under the aforementioned conditions, efficiency was low (35% conv), leading us to turn to the most diminutive MAC complex. With **Mo(MAC)-3**, there was indeed 76% conversion to **Z-3p**, which was isolated in 69% yield as a single stereoisomer (92:8 *Z/E* before purification); with 2.5 mol % catalyst, the reaction was completed after 2 h (vs 6 h with 5.0 mol % in previous cases). The lower *Z/E* ratios (95:5–90:10) might be on account of diminished competitiveness between the formation of **mcb-ii** and **mcb-ii'**, as the alkene


Scheme 5. : Catalytic CM Reactions that Afford *Z*-Trisubstituted Methyl Enoates I^a



^aReactions performed under N₂. Conversion (disappearance of **2**) and *Z/E* ratios were determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures (±2%). Yields of purified products (±5%). See the Supporting Information for details. CM, cross-metathesis; nd, not determined.

Scheme 6. Z-Trisubstituted Methyl Enoates II^a

^aReactions performed under N₂. Conversion (disappearance of **2**) and Z/E ratios were determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures ($\pm 2\%$). Yields of purified products ($\pm 5\%$). See the Supporting Information for details. nd = not determined. CM, cross-metathesis.

Scheme 7. CM with Different Types of Z-Trisubstituted Enoates^a


^aReactions performed under N₂. Conversion (disappearance of **2a**) and Z/E ratios were determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures ($\pm 2\%$). Yields of purified products ($\pm 5\%$). See the Supporting Information for details. CM, cross-metathesis.

substituent (G) becomes larger.¹⁹ Steric pressure between G and the more sizeable methyl ester substituent (Sterimol L and B1 values: 4.73 and 1.64)²⁷ in **mcb-ii'** can be alleviated, wherein a smaller Me group (Sterimol L and B1 values: 2.87 and 1.52) is syn to G and the other is oriented toward the aryloxy.


2.2.3. Aryl, Silyl, and Thiol Esters. The CM method was thus used for the synthesis of *i*-butyl, 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxymethyl (SEM) or benzyl esters (**Z-4a-c**, Scheme 7), silyl esters (**Z-4d**), aryl esters (**Z-5a**), as well as thiol esters (**Z-6a**). The requisite reagents are either purchasable or were easily prepared in one step. Reactions performed under 100 Torr (6 h) or ambient

pressure (12 h) delivered nearly identical results (except for thiol esters; see the Supporting Information for details).

2.2.4. Acid Fluorides. We then evaluated the possibility of accessing α -methyl Z-trisubstituted acyl fluorides,¹⁷ a set of CM reactions about which little is known. Making matters more tenuous, the presence of a highly electrophilic acyl unit has been found to accelerate catalyst decomposition.²⁸ CM leading to acid fluorides did in fact prove to be difficult (Scheme 8a). There was minimal conversion when **Z-1h** and **2a** were subjected to 7.5 mol % **Mo(MAP)-3** or **Mo(bisAr)-1** (12 h, 22 $^\circ\text{C}$). With 10 mol % Z-hex-3-ene included, CM with **Mo(bisAr)-1** afforded **Z-7a**, albeit less efficiently, despite the higher catalyst loading (vs with

Scheme 8. Z-Trisubstituted CM Reactions Involving Acid Fluorides^a


^aReactions were performed under a N₂ atmosphere. Conversion values (disappearance of **2**) and Z:E ratios were determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures ($\pm 2\%$). Yields of purified products ($\pm 5\%$). See the Supporting Information for details. CM, cross-metathesis; HM, homo-metathesis.

Scheme 9. CM with Monosubstituted Alkenes to Generate Z-Trisubstituted Enoates^a

^aReactions were performed under a N₂ atmosphere. Conversion values (disappearance of **8a**) and Z:E ratios were determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures ($\pm 2\%$). Yields of purified products ($\pm 5\%$). See the Supporting Information for details. CM, cross-metathesis; HM, homo-metathesis.

Z-1a; 37% CM vs 93% conv to **Z-3a**, Table 1, entry 7); there were also appreciable amounts of the homo-metathesis (HM) product (18% conv). CM with **Mo(MAP)-3** was less effective (29% conv, 28% HM, <2% to **Z-7a**). Efficiency improved when

Mo(MAC)-3 was used together with BPh₃, a milder Lewis acid than B(C₆F₅)₃, which was introduced to activate the catalyst and prevent acyl fluoride decomposition: there was 69% conversion to **Z-7a** (92:8 Z/E selectivity), which was isolated in 59% yield as

Scheme 10. Two-Stage Conversion of Monosubstituted Alkenes to *Z*-Trisubstituted Enoates^a

^aReactions were performed under a N₂ atmosphere. Conversion values (disappearance of monosubstituted alkene) and *Z*:*E* ratios were determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures (±2%). Yields of purified products (±5%). See the Supporting Information for details. nd = not determined. CM, cross-metathesis; HM, homo-metathesis.

the pure *Z* isomer (no *Z*-hex-3-ene additive). Further screening led us to find that *p*-bromo-substituted **Mo(MAC)-4** is more optimal: there was 81% conversion to **Z-7a**, formed in 90:10 *Z*/*E* ratio and isolated in 75% yield (pure *Z*). These data suggest that the *p*-bromo substituent can enhance the catalyst activity while slightly compromising the degree of stereoretentivity. Other *Z*- α -methyl-trisubstituted acid fluorides were prepared (Scheme 8b), represented by β -aryl-substituted (**Z-7b**), an acetal-containing (**Z-7c**), and the one that bears a homoallylic boronate (**Z-7d**; 65–73% yield, 88:12–91:9 *Z*/*E*). Substrates with an allylic substituent still proved problematic as complex mixtures of byproducts were generated.

Improved CM with **Mo(MAC)-4** may be rationalized as follows. After the Mo neophylidene is converted to alkylidene **vi** (Scheme 8c), it may deliver the desired acid fluoride via **mcb-vi** or be transformed to **mcb-vii** and then the HM byproduct and the disubstituted alkylidene **vii**. Whereas the latter process is dominated by steric effects, due to a more congested *C α* in **mcb-vii**, CM can occur if the **mcb** was to be stabilized as a result of diminished trans influence.^{23a,24a} Thus, CM with **Z-1h** is facilitated by introducing a *p*-bromo-aryloxide ligand.

2.3. Reactions with Monosubstituted Alkenes. Mono-substituted alkenes are an important substrate class, particularly for the preparation of trisubstituted enoates with a tertiary allylic carbon. Attempts at promoting CM with the trisubstituted olefin corresponding to **8a** (Scheme 9a) with different Mo complexes and *Z*-hex-3-ene did not afford any desired enoate (<2% conv).

2.3.1. Complex Screening. We began by probing the ability of the Mo-MAP and Mo-bisAr complexes; Mo-MAC systems were not included as they readily decompose in the presence of a terminal alkene.²³ Preliminary results, while encouraging, were puzzling. One unexpected finding was that with **Mo(MAP)-3**

and **Mo(bisAr)-1**, formerly found to be optimal, only HM occurred (90 and 98% HM, respectively; Scheme 9a). On the other hand, there was complete consumption of **8a** with **Mo(MAP)-1** and 69% conversion to **Z-3q** (>98:2 *Z*/*E*). With the larger **Mo(MAP)-4**, the CM efficiency improved: **8a** reacted completely with 86% conversion to the desired enoate (84% yield, >98% *Z*). The trend of a more hindered catalyst performing better did not extend to the larger **Mo(MAP)-5**, however, as there was just 16% conversion to the HM byproduct (see below, Section 2.7.2, for mechanistic analysis).

2.3.2. Scope. CM of α -branched monosubstituted alkenes generally proceeded with reasonable efficiency with 5.0 mol % **Mo(MAP)-4** (Scheme 9b). Trisubstituted enoates **Z-3r-t** were isolated in 74–84% yield with selectivities ranging from 91:9 *Z*/*E* for **Z-3r** to 96:4 *Z*/*E* for **Z-3t**; silica gel chromatography afforded **Z-3r** as a single isomer. Attempts to generate a sterically demanding α -branched homoallylic silyl ether **Z-3u** were not successful (<5% CM). Whereas there was only 38% conversion to epoxide **Z-3v** when a trisubstituted alkene and **Mo(bisAr)-1** were used, with the terminal olefin and **Mo(MAP)-4**, there was 83% conversion to the desired product (77% yield, 96:4 *Z*/*E*). Enoates **Z-3w-x** were obtained in 95 and 94% yield, respectively, and 96:4 *Z*/*E* selectivity.

It was curious that an unhindered monosubstituted alkene (i.e., without a branched allylic or homoallylic carbon) was efficiently converted to a trisubstituted enoate. We surmised that, although HM occurs, the resulting Mo-methylidene might be sufficiently long-lived, allowing it to convert a 1,2-disubstituted olefin to the desired trisubstituted enoate. We chose to investigate these issues further because if efficient CM of a wide range of monosubstituted olefins was possible, a wider

range of α -methyl *Z*-trisubstituted enoates would become accessible.

2.4. Merging HM and CM for the More Challenging Substrates. 2.4.1. CM with More Challenging Cross Partners.

We first investigated several representative reactions (Scheme 10a). CM between methyl enoate **Z-1a** and allyl-B(pin) (**8b**) with 5.0 mol % **Mo(bisAr)-1** led to complete allyl-B(pin) consumption, but there was only 45% conversion to **Z-3p** and no stereocontrol (45:55 *Z/E*). The outcome was nearly the same with **Mo(MAP)-4** and **8c**, bearing a potential catalyst deactivating the nitrile (>98% conv, 38% conv to prod). CM involving phenyl enoate **Z-1f** and thiol ester **Z-1g** was similar and less inefficient, respectively. Further, the mixture contained ~10% 1,1-disubstituted enoates (**9a–c**), byproducts originating from reaction between enoate reagents and ethylene, generated by terminal alkene HM. As demonstrated previously,¹⁹ CM with 1,1-disubstituted olefins was inefficient and minimally stereoselective. The HM byproducts suggested that they might be suitable substrates because formation of ethylene, Mo-methylidenes, and 1,1-disubstituted enoates can be avoided. Further, because there would be fewer side reactions to compete with CM, lower amounts of the enoate reagent might suffice (i.e., <8.0 equiv).

2.4.2. Two-Stage Protocol Involving a Monosubstituted Alkene. Based on the above considerations, we envisaged a protocol consisting of HM (Scheme 10b), ethylene removal in vacuo, and CM, all performed in a single vessel. In addition to the aforementioned advantages, such a route would allow the use of a Mo(MAC) complex, entities that possess unique reactivity profiles but swiftly decompose in the presence of terminal alkenes. Screening studies indicated that, depending on the alkene and enoate, different blends of HM and CM catalysts are optimal (HM-Mo and CM-Mo, respectively). Notably, the aforementioned (see Scheme 6) allylboronate **Z-3p** as well as nitrile-containing **Z-3y** were isolated in 72% and 79% yields, respectively, by a combination of **Mo(bisAr)-1**/**Mo(MAP)-1** and **Mo(MAC)-3**. A different pairing, **Mo(MAP)-1** and **Mo(bisAr)-1**, was the most effective for the synthesis of phenylenoate **Z-5b** and thiol ester **Z-6b** (71 and 91% yields, respectively). Nonetheless, in certain cases, the same complex may prove to be suitable for both functions: with only **Mo(MAP)-1** (total of 3.0 mol %), **Z-3z** was isolated in 91% yield.²⁹ In all cases, 2 equiv of the enoate were used, and CM products were isolated in the pure *Z* form.

Application of the two-stage protocol to the preparation of trisubstituted acid fluorides turned out to be more challenging because reactions with HM-derived alkenes were inefficient (<20% conv), underlining a key advantage of using trisubstituted olefins as substrates. Nonproductive processes are more prevalent with 1,2-disubstituted olefins (Figure 2), rendering CM with acid fluorides less competitive. Therefore, conversion of monosubstituted alkene to a trisubstituted olefin should lead to a more favorable outcome (Scheme 10b): treatment of a terminal alkene with 2-methyl-2-butene (methylidene capping)³⁰ in the presence of 1.0 mol % **Mo(MAP)-1**, removal of excess of latter substrate in vacuo, followed by the addition of **Z-1h** afforded **Z-7b** in 57% yield (>98:2 *Z/E*).

2.5. Utility and Practicality of the Approach. CM reactions may be carried out on the gram scale efficiently and stereoretentively under ambient pressure (e.g., **Z-3aa** in 93% yield and 96:4 *Z/E* ratio; Scheme 11a), and unreacted **Z-1a** can be recovered and reused. Transformations can be carried out with Mo complexes packaged in the form of air-stable and





Figure 2. There is more unproductive olefin metathesis with disubstituted alkenes.


commercially available paraffin tablets;³¹ synthesis of **Z-3v** in 61% yield and as a single stereoisomer is a case in point (Scheme 11b). Because the corresponding *Z* isomers react faster (Scheme 11c), the enoate reagent need not be stereoisomerically pure, although those used here were either purchased or could be prepared easily. CM with substrates containing an acidic proton were performed in a single vessel by adopting the traceless protection protocol³² (Scheme 11d). Equally notable, not only trisubstituted and monosubstituted alkenes can be used, the corresponding disubstituted variants (*Z* or *E*) are applicable as well (see the Supporting Information).

2.6. Representative Applications. As noted earlier, a key application relates to CM of commonly occurring prenyl side chains. An example is the multi-gram conversion of silyl ether **10** (Scheme 12a), accessed in a single step from citronellol, to **Z-11**, precursor to isomint lactone,¹⁰ in 73% yield (1.7 g) and 97:3 *Z/E* ratio. Even though the focus of this study has been the synthesis of *Z*-trisubstituted enoates, the corresponding *E* isomers can be generated with similar ease by the use of purchasable **E-1a**. An example is the preparation of 1.8 g of **E-11** (77% yield, 3:97 *Z/E*), precursor to mint lactone; 1.0 mol % catalyst loading sufficed for these gram-scale CM reactions. The catalytic approach can be used for accessing the derived enoic acids, as highlighted by the one-pot transformation of lanosterol acetate to 3-*epi*-anwuweizic acid (Scheme 12b), precursor to other bioactive triterpenoids, including manwuweizic acid (see Scheme 1a).^{3b,4}

2.7. Mechanistic Analysis. We made several observations indicating notable variations in the reactivity profiles of different Mo complexes. As better appreciation of the origins of these distinctions is key to future applications as well as design of new olefin metathesis catalysts, density functional theory (DFT) studies were performed to shed light on two key trends.

Scheme 11. Regarding Practicality of the Approach^a

^aReactions were performed under a N₂ atmosphere. Conversion values (disappearance of monosubstituted alkene) and Z:E ratios were determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures (±2%). Yields of purified products (±5%). See the Supporting Information for details. CM, cross-metathesis.

Scheme 12. Representative Applications^a

^aReactions were performed under a N₂ atmosphere. Conversion values (disappearance of monosubstituted alkene) and Z:E ratios were determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures (±2%). Yields of purified products (±5%). See the Supporting Information for details. CM, cross-metathesis.

(Geometry and energy optimizations were performed with ω B97X-D/def2tzvpp, SMD (benzene) involving solvation.)

2.7.1. Catalyst Reactivity Trends in CM with Acid Fluorides.

One set of puzzling data related to the unique ability of catalytically active alkylidenes derived from a Mo chloride complex, and especially **Mo(MAC)-4**, to promote CM reactions between acid fluoride **Z-1h** and various trisubstituted olefins (Scheme 8). In contrast, MAP and bisAr variants proved to be much less efficient at generating the desired product (**Z-7a**), affording appreciable amounts of HM byproducts.


To find an answer, the energy values for CM and HM cycles pertaining to the reaction between **Z-1h** and **2a** and three representative complexes, **Mo(MAP)-3**, **Mo(bisAr)-1**, and **Mo(MAC)-4**, were obtained through DFT studies (Scheme 13). The resulting data reveal the following key points:

- (1) Only with **Mo(MAC)-4**, a more electronically activated complex that contains a smaller chloride ligand,²³ is the formation of **Z-7a** via **mcb-vi** energetically viable ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 14.6$ kcal/mol; turnover-limiting). With **Mo(MAP)-3**,


generation of **mcb-vi** is not feasible at ambient temperature ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 30.3$ kcal/mol), and the energy barrier is still relatively high (25.2 kcal/mol) in the case of **Mo(bisAr)-1**. These findings are in line with the experimental data: with **Mo(MAC)-4**, there was 81% conversion to **Z-7a**, while with **Mo(bisAr)-1** or **Mo(MAP)-3**, CM was minimal (without **Z-hex-3-ene**).

- (2) Because the HM byproduct was generated when **Mo(MAC)-4** or **Mo(MAC)-3** were used (see Scheme 8), we decided that the competitive HM pathway also warrants computational analysis. HM likely proceeds via disubstituted alkylidene **vii**, which is less reactive than a monosubstituted complex, such as **v**. How easily **vii** re-enters a catalytic cycle impacts the overall CM efficiency. Based on the DFT data, although the HM byproduct can be formed through **mcb-vii** ($\Delta \Delta G^\ddagger = 2.3$ kcal/mol, vs **vi** $\rightarrow$ **mcb-vi** $\rightarrow$ **v**), the resulting alkylidene **vii** can readily react with **2a** to regenerate **vi** via **mcb-xi** ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 17.1$ kcal/mol).³³ That is, for **Mo(MAC)-4**, disubstituted alkylidene **vii** is

Scheme 13. Regarding why Mo(MAC) Catalysts Are Superior in CM Reactions of Acid Fluorides^a



^aComputational studies were performed with ω B97X-D/def2tzvp,SMD(benzene)//B3LYP-D3/def2svp. See the [Supporting Information](#) for details. CM, cross-metathesis; HM, homo-metathesis.

Scheme 14. Regarding the Mechanism of CM Reactions Involving α -Branched Monosubstituted Alkenes^a

Reactions were performed under a N₂ atmosphere. Conversion values (disappearance of **8a**) determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified product mixtures (±2%). Computational studies were performed with ωB97X-D/def2tzvpp,SMD(benzene)//B3LYP-D3/def2svp. See the [Supporting Information](#) for details. CM, cross-metathesis; HM, homo-metathesis.

not the resting state, as it can be turned over readily (e.g., activation barriers for **Mo(MAP)-3** and **Mo(bisAr)-1** are 37.9 and 43.4 kcal/mol, respectively). Accordingly, CM

was efficient with **Mo(MAC)-4** (81% conv to **Z-7a**) and there was just 4% HM byproduct observed.

2.7.2. Catalyst Reactivity Trends in CM with α -Branched Monosubstituted Alkenes. Another perplexing trend emerged regarding the CM between **Z-1a** and the α -branched monosubstituted alkene **8a** (Scheme 9). The more sizeable Mo-MAP complexes were more efficient at delivering a trisubstituted olefin via **mcb-ii** (Scheme 14a). It was unclear why, contrary to what might be initially expected, when the aryloxy ligand in the central Mo alkylidene **viii** (Scheme 14b) is smaller [e.g., in the case of **Mo(MAP)-3**], the less substituted **mcb-xii** and the HM byproduct would be more favored (vs **mcb-ii**). DFT studies suggest that in the HM cycle, collapse of the less substituted **mcb-xii** is turnover-limiting, whereas in the CM cycle, formation of the more substituted **mcb-ii** is the slow step (Scheme 14c). With a more hindered complex, the energy of both transition states is raised (compare **ts-1** and **ts-4** for the more sizeable **Mo(MAP)-1** with **ts-1** and **ts-4** for **Mo(MAP)-3**). Computational investigations show that in the case of **Mo(MAP)-1**, the energy gap separating **ts-1** and **ts-4** is larger than in the case of **Mo(MAP)-3** ($\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger = -0.7$ and 1.3 kcal/mol, respectively), and CM is consequently more favored for **Mo(MAP)-1** than **Mo(MAP)-3**.

3. CONCLUSIONS

The advances described here represent a key addition to the limited list of kinetically controlled catalytic CM reactions that can deliver Z-trisubstituted olefins in high stereoisomeric purity.¹⁹ An assortment of Z-trisubstituted α,β -unsaturated aryl and thiol esters can, for the first time, be directly accessed by CM. Such reactive moieties may be used in combination with common nucleophiles, a noteworthy example being reactions with primary amines to form secondary amide bonds. The ability to synthesize various acid fluorides, an intriguing category of compounds that continues to be at the center of a number of methodological studies, is another important outcome of this work. In light of the preponderance of bioactive compounds with a Z-trisubstituted α,β -unsaturated acid or ester and those bearing a tri- or monosubstituted alkene, the advances described here are likely to be of utility in chemical synthesis and drug development. This was highlighted by direct catalytic transformation of a citronellol derivative to an isomintactone precursor and a one-step catalytic conversion of lanosterol acetate to 3-*epi*-anwuweizic acid.

These studies continue to underline the importance of catalyst diversity to development of synthesis methods that are maximally broad in scope. Thus, whereas a Mo-bisaryloxy emerged as optimal for CM reactions afford Z-trisubstituted α,β -unsaturated alkyl, aryl, and silyl esters with an unhindered alkyl group (Schemes 5 and 7), Mo-MAP and Mo-MAC complexes proved to be most effective with the more sterically demanding substrates (Scheme 6). What proved to be optimal for preparing Z-trisubstituted acid fluorides was still a different Mo-MAC (Scheme 8). Furthermore, abundant and inexpensive monosubstituted alkenes were found to be effective substrates (Scheme 9). At the two-step protocol, designed to facilitate CM of more demanding cases was also developed (e.g., an allyl boronate). This entailed HM of monosubstituted alkene and then using the resulting 1,2-disubstituted olefin in a CM reaction to generate the desired enoate (Scheme 10). Depending on the type of the product, different combinations of Mo-bisAr, Mo-MAP, and Mo-MAC joined forces to deliver the best result.

Finally, the present work sheds new light on the inner workings of Mo-based olefin metathesis catalysts. In one instance (Scheme 13), DFT studies revealed that CM efficiency

can be strongly impacted by whether a catalyst can promote transformation with a less reactive disubstituted alkylidene, generated by a competing HM cycle, and whether a HM byproduct can re-enter the catalytic cycle. Otherwise, the fully substituted and less reactive species can become the resting state, reducing efficiency. On another occasion (Scheme 14), computational studies illustrated that, whereas formation of highly substituted mcbs might be turnover-limiting, with a less substituted variant, it is a metallocycle's collapse that can be the most energetically demanding. Whether CM or HM is the dominant pathway, therefore, depends on the barrier to mcb formation in the CM cycle being higher or lower in energy than the transition-state energy for mcb cleavage in the HM route.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c13245>.

Experimental details for all reactions and analytic details for all products (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Fang Liu — College of Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China; Email: acialiu@njau.edu.cn

K. N. Houk — Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-8387-5261; Email: hok@chem.ucla.edu

Amir H. Hoveyda — Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467, United States; Supramolecular Science and Engineering Institute, University of Strasbourg, CNRS, 67000 Strasbourg, France; orcid.org/0000-0002-1470-6456; Email: hoveyda@bc.edu, ahoveyda@unistra.fr

Authors

Can Qin — Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467, United States; Supramolecular Science and Engineering Institute, University of Strasbourg, CNRS, 67000 Strasbourg, France

Tobias Koenigter — Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467, United States

Fengyue Zhao — College of Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China

Yucheng Mu — Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-1493-2880

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.2c13245>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (GM-130395). K.N.H. is grateful to the NSF (grant CHE-1764328) for funding. T.K. acknowledges the support as a LaMattina Family Graduate Fellow in Chemical Synthesis.

REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Gonzalez, M. C.; Serrano, A.; Zafra-Polo, M. C.; Cortes, D.; Rao, K. S. Polycerasoidin and polycerasoidol, two new prenylated benzopyran derivatives from *Polyalthia cerasoides*. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1995**, *58*, 1278–1284. (b) Bermejo, A.; Barrachina, I.; El Aouad, N.; Franck, X.; Chahboune, N.; Andreu, I.; Figadère, B.; Vila, L.; Hennuyer, N.; Staels, B.; Dacquet, C.; Caignard, D. H.; Sanz, M.-J.; Cortes, D.; Cabedo, N. Synthesis of benzopyran derivatives as PPAR α and/or PPAR γ activators. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2019**, *27*, 115162.
- (2) (a) Zhang, H.-Z.; Kasibhatla, S.; Wang, Y.; Herich, J.; Guastella, J.; Tseng, B.; Drewe, J.; Cai, S. X. Discovery, characterization and SAR of gambogic acid as a potent apoptosis inducer by a HTS assay. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *12*, 309–317. For review articles, see: (b) Banik, K.; Harsha, C.; Bordoloi, D.; Sailo, B. L.; Sethi, G.; Leong, H. C.; Arfuso, F.; Mishra, S.; Wang, L.; Kumar, A. P.; Kunnumakkara, A. B. Therapeutic potential of gambogic acid, a caged xanthone, to target cancer. *Cancer Lett.* **2018**, *416*, 75–86. (c) Chantarasiwong, O.; Batova, A.; Chavasiri, W.; Theodorakis, E. A. Chemistry and biology of the caged garcinia xanthonoids. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 9944–9962. (d) Liu, Y.; Chen, Y.; Lin, L.; Li, H. Gambogic acid as a candidate for cancer therapy: A review. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2020**, *15*, 10385–10399.
- (3) (a) Huang, G.; Feng, L.; Liu, B.; He, Y.; Li, Y.; Chen, Y. Synthesis and biological evaluation of nigranoic acid esters as novel human neutrophil elastase inhibitors. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2015**, *29*, 1650–1656. For more triterpenoids that contain this Z-enoic acid moiety, see: (b) Xiao, W.-L.; Li, R.-T.; Huang, S.-X.; Pu, J.-X.; Sun, H.-D. Triterpenoids from the Schisandraceae family. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2008**, *25*, 871–891.
- (4) (a) Liu, J.-S.; Huang, M.-F.; Tao, Y. Anwuweizonic acid and manwuweizic acid, the putative anticancer active principle of *Schisandra propinqua*. *Can. J. Chem.* **1988**, *66*, 414–415. (b) Liu, J.-S.; Tao, Y. Synthesis of manwuweizic acid, an anticancer triterpenoid. *Tetrahedron* **1992**, *48*, 6793–6798. (c) Ni, D.-X.; Wang, Q.; Li, Y.-M.; Cui, Y.-M.; Shen, T.-Z.; Li, X.-L.; Sun, H.-D.; Zhang, X.-J.; Zhang, R.; Xiao, W.-L. Synthesis of nigranoic acid and manwuweizic acid derivatives as HDAC inhibitors and anti-inflammatory agents. *Bioorg. Chem.* **2021**, *109*, 104728.
- (5) (a) Bernardi, A.; Cardani, S.; Scolastico, C.; Villa, R. Catalytic osmylation of electron poor allylic alcohols and ethers. *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 491–502. (b) Wu, X.-Y.; She, X.; Shi, Y. Highly enantioselective epoxidation of α,β -unsaturated esters by chiral dioxirane. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 8792–8793. (c) Lu, Z.; Shen, M.; Yoon, T. P. [3+2] Cycloadditions of aryl cyclopropyl ketones by visible light photocatalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 1162–1164. (d) Woodmansee, D. H.; Müller, M.-A.; Tröndlin, L.; Hörmann, E.; Pfaltz, A. Asymmetric hydrogenation of α,β -unsaturated carboxylic esters with chiral Iridium N,P ligand complexes. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 13780–13786. (e) Li, J.-Q.; Quan, X.; Andersson, P. G. Highly enantioselective Iridium-catalyzed hydrogenation of α,β -unsaturated esters. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 10609–10616. (f) Lin, L.; Romano, C.; Mazet, C. Palladium-catalyzed long-range deconjugative isomerization of highly substituted α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 10344–10350. (g) Zuo, Y.-J.; Zhong, Z.; Fan, Y.; Li, X.; Chen, X.; Chang, Y.; Song, R.; Fu, X.; Zhang, A.; Zhong, C.-M. Copper-catalyzed diastereoselective synthesis of β -boryl- α -quaternary carbon carboxylic esters. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2018**, *16*, 9237–9242.
- (6) (a) Lautens, M.; Paquin, J.-F. Diastereoselective palladium-catalyzed formate reduction of allylic carbonates as a new entry into propionate units. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 3391–3394. (b) Corey, E.; Kirst, H.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A stereospecific total synthesis of α -santalol. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 6314–6320.
- (7) (a) Kim, H.; Lee, S.-K.; Lee, D.; Cha, J. K. A practical, stereoselective synthesis of (E)- and (Z)-2-bromo-2-penten-3-ones. *Synth. Commun.* **1998**, *28*, 729–735. (b) Jeffery, D. W.; Perkins, M. V.; White, J. M. Synthesis of the putative structure of tridachiahydropyrone. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 1581–1584.
- (8) Kobayashi, K.; Kobayashi, Y.; Nakamura, M.; Tamura, O.; Kogen, H. Establishment of relative and absolute configurations of phaeosphaeride A: total synthesis of ent-phaeosphaeride A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 1243–1248.
- (9) Nelson, H. M.; Gordon, J. R.; Virgil, S. C.; Stoltz, B. M. Total syntheses of (–)-transtaganolide A, (+)-transtaganolide B, (+)-transtaganolide C, and (–)-transtaganolide D and biosynthetic implications. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 6699–6703.
- (10) Wang, X.; Wang, H.; Wu, X.; Yu, T.; Gao, W.; Shi, T.; Peng, X.; He, D.; Wang, Z. Total synthesis of (+)-mintlactone and (–)-isomintlactone via SmI₂-induced radical cyclization. *Synlett* **2017**, *28*, 1660–1662.
- (11) Trost, B. M.; Zhang, G.; Gholami, H.; Zell, D. Total synthesis of kadcoccinic acid A trimethyl ester. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 12286–12293.
- (12) For overviews regarding the development of kinetically controlled stereoselective olefin metathesis and their applications in organic synthesis, see: (a) Hoveyda, A. H.; Malcolmson, S. J.; Meek, S. J.; Zhugralin, A. R. Catalytic enantioselective olefin metathesis in natural product synthesis. Chiral metal-based complexes that deliver high enantioselectivity and more. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 34–44. (b) Fürstner, A. Teaching metathesis “simple” stereochemistry. *Science* **2013**, *341*, 1357–1364. (c) Shahane, S.; Bruneau, C.; Fischmeister, C. Z Selectivity: recent advances in one of the current major challenges of olefin metathesis. *ChemCatChem* **2013**, *5*, 3436–3459. (d) Hoveyda, A. H. Evolution of catalytic stereoselective olefin metathesis: from ancillary transformation to purveyor of stereochemical identity. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 4763–4792. (e) Hoveyda, A. H.; Khan, R. K. M.; Torker, S.; Malcolmson, S. J. Catalyst-controlled stereoselective olefin metathesis. In *Handbook of Metathesis*; Grubbs, R. H., O’Leary, D. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2015; Vol. 2, pp 503–562. (f) Montgomery, T. P.; Ahmed, T. S.; Grubbs, R. H. Stereoretentive olefin metathesis: an avenue to kinetic selectivity. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 11024–11036. (g) Dawood, K. M.; Nomura, K. Recent developments in Z-selective olefin metathesis reactions by molybdenum, ruthenium, and vanadium catalysts. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2021**, *363*, 1970–1997.
- (13) For example, see: (a) Chatterjee, A. K.; Morgan, J. P.; Scholl, M.; Grubbs, R. H. Synthesis of functionalized olefins by cross and ring-closing metatheses. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 3783–3784. (b) Bilel, H.; Hamdi, N.; Zagrouba, F.; Fischmeister, C.; Bruneau, C. Cross-metathesis transformations of terpenoids in dialkyl carbonate solvents. *Green Chem.* **2011**, *13*, 1448–1452. (c) Ruiz, J.; Oger, P.; Soullère, L.; Popowycz, F. Straightforward synthesis of chiral terpenoid building blocks by Ru-catalyzed enantioselective hydrogenation. *J. Org. Chem.* **2021**, *86*, 9396–9406. (d) Nouaille, A.; Pannecoucke, X.; Poisson, T.; Couve-Bonnaire, S. Access to trisubstituted fluoroalkenes by ruthenium-catalyzed cross-metathesis. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2021**, *363*, 2140–2147.
- (14) Takise, R.; Muto, K.; Yamaguchi, J. Cross-coupling of aromatic esters and amides. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 5864–5888.
- (15) Protection for the carboxyl group. *Greene’s Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, New Jersey, 2014; pp 686–836.
- (16) (a) Fukuyama, T.; Lin, S. C.; Li, L. Facile reduction of ethyl thiol esters to aldehydes: application to a total synthesis of (+)-neothramycin A methyl ether. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 7050–7051. (b) Tokuyama, H.; Yokoshima, S.; Yamashita, T.; Fukuyama, T. A novel ketone synthesis by a palladium-catalyzed reaction of thiol esters and organozinc reagents. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3189–3192. (c) Liebeskind, L. S.; Srogl, J. Thiol ester–boronic acid coupling. A mechanistically unprecedented and general ketone synthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 11260–11261. (d) Kanda, Y.; Fukuyama, T. Total synthesis of (+)-leinamycin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 8451–8452. (e) Morita, A.; Kuwahara, S. Enantioselective total synthesis of litseaverticillols A and B. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 1613–1616. (f) Prokopová, H.; Kappe, C. O. Copper-catalyzed C–C coupling of thiol esters and boronic acids under aerobic conditions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3674–3676.
- (17) For selected reviews, see: (a) Prabhu, G.; Narendra, N.; Basavaprabhu, B.; Panduranga, V.; Sureshbabu, V. V. Amino acid

fluorides: viable tools for synthesis of peptides, peptidomimetics and enantiopure heterocycles. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 48331–48362. (b) Levens, A.; Lupton, D. W. All-carbon (4+2) annulations catalysed by N-heterocyclic carbenes. *Synlett* **2017**, *28*, 415–424. (c) Blanchard, N.; Bizet, V. Acid fluorides in transition-metal catalysis: a good balance between stability and reactivity. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 6814–6817. (d) Ogiwara, Y.; Sakai, N. Acyl fluorides in late-transition-metal catalysis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 574–594. (e) Tian, T.; Chen, Q.; Li, Z.; Nishihara, Y. Recent advances in C–F bond activation of acyl fluorides directed toward catalytic transformation by transition metals, N-heterocyclic carbenes, or phosphines. *Synthesis* **2022**, *54*, 3667–3697.

(18) Yu, E. C.; Johnson, B. M.; Townsend, E. M.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. Synthesis of linear (Z)- α,β -unsaturated esters by catalytic cross-metathesis. The influence of acetonitrile. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 13210–13214.

(19) Nguyen, T. T.; Koh, M. J.; Mann, T. J.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. Synthesis of E- and Z-trisubstituted alkenes by catalytic cross-metathesis. *Nature* **2017**, *552*, 347–354.

(20) Koh, M. J.; Nguyen, T. T.; Zhang, H.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. Direct synthesis of Z-alkenyl halides through catalytic cross-metathesis. *Nature* **2016**, *531*, 459–465.

(21) Nguyen, T. T.; Koh, M. J.; Shen, X.; Romiti, F.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. Kinetically controlled E-selective catalytic olefin metathesis. *Science* **2016**, *352*, 569–575.

(22) Mu, Y.; Hartrampf, F. W. W.; Yu, E. C.; Lounsbury, K. E.; Schrock, R. R.; Romiti, F.; Hoveyda, A. H. E- and Z-trisubstituted macrocyclic alkenes for natural product synthesis and skeletal editing. *Nat. Chem.* **2022**, *14*, 640–649.

(23) (a) Koh, M. J.; Nguyen, T. T.; Lam, J. K.; Torker, S.; Hyvl, J.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. Molybdenum chloride catalysts for Z-selective olefin metathesis reactions. *Nature* **2017**, *542*, 80–85. (b) Mu, Y.; Nguyen, T. T.; Koh, M. J.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. E- and Z-, di- and tri-substituted alkenyl nitriles through catalytic cross-metathesis. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 478–487.

(24) (a) Wang, C.; Haeflner, F.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. Molybdenum-based complexes with two aryloxides and a pentafluoroimido ligand: catalysts for efficient Z-selective synthesis of a macrocyclic trisubstituted alkene by ring-closing metathesis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 1939–1943. (b) Yu, M.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. Catalyst-controlled stereoselective olefin metathesis as a principal strategy in multistep synthesis design: a concise route to (+)-neopeltolide. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 215–220. (c) Townsend, E. M.; Hyvl, J.; Forrest, W. P.; Schrock, R. R.; Müller, P.; Hoveyda, A. H. Synthesis of molybdenum and tungsten alkylidene complexes that contain sterically demanding arenethiolate ligands. *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 5334–5341.

(25) Ferreira, M. A. B.; De Jesus Silva, J.; Grosslight, S.; Fedorov, A.; Sigman, M. S.; Copéret, C. Noncovalent interactions drive the efficiency of molybdenum imido alkylidene catalysts for olefin metathesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 10788–10800.

(26) For example, see: (a) Morrison, R. J.; Hoveyda, A. H. γ -, Diastereo-, and enantioselective addition of MEMO-substituted allylboron compounds to aldimines catalyzed by organoboron-ammonium complexes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 11654–11661. (b) Xu, S.; Del Pozo, J.; Romiti, F.; Fu, Y.; Mai, B. K.; Morrison, R. J.; Lee, K.; Hu, S.; Koh, M. J.; Lee, J.; Li, X.; Liu, P.; Hoveyda, A. H. Diastereo- and enantioselective synthesis of compounds with a trifluoromethyl- and fluoro-substituted carbon centre. *Nat. Chem.* **2022**, *14*, 1459–1469.

(27) (a) Liljefors, T.; Krosgaard-Larsen, P.; Madsen, U. *Textbook of Drug Design and Discovery*; CRC Press, 2002; pp 133–135. For a representative study, see: (b) Harper, K. C.; Bess, E. N.; Sigman, M. S. Multidimensional steric parameters in the analysis of asymmetric catalytic reactions. *Nat. Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 366–374.

(28) Bazan, G. C.; Schrock, R. R.; O'Regan, M. B. Synthesis and kinetics of decomposition of Tungsten(VI) and Molybdenum(VI) 2-oxametalacyclobutane complexes and the x-ray structure of trans-

Mo[CH(tert-Bu)CH(C₆F₅)O](NAr)(O-t-Bu)₂. *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 1062–1067.

(29) The addition of a second catalyst batch is needed for high conversion likely because of the considerable loss, owing to generation of the unstable methyldiene at the initial stage.

(30) Xu, C.; Shen, X.; Hoveyda, A. H. In situ methylene capping: a general strategy for efficient stereoretentive catalytic olefin metathesis. The concept, methodological implications, and applications to synthesis of biologically active compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 10919–10928.

(31) Ondi, L.; Nagy, G. M.; Czirok, J. B.; Bucsa, A.; Frater, G. E. From box to bench: air-stable molybdenum catalyst tablets for everyday use in olefin metathesis. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2016**, *20*, 1709–1716.

(32) Mu, Y.; Nguyen, T. T.; van der Mei, F. W.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. Traceless protection for more broadly applicable olefin metathesis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 5365–5370.

(33) We were unable to secure unambiguous evidence (¹H NMR or mass spectrometry) regarding the formation of tetramethylethylene. However, we were able to characterize the HM product, which is, in all likelihood, generated together with tetramethylethylene. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one report of a CM affording a tetrasubstituted alkene. See: Liang, Y.; Raju, R.; Le, T.; Taylor, C. D.; Howell, A. R. Cross-metathesis of α -methylene- β -lactams: the first tetrasubstituted alkenes by CM. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 1020–1022.

Recommended by ACS

Catalytic Cross-Metathesis Reactions That Afford E- and Z-Trisubstituted Alkenyl Bromides: Scope, Applications, and Mechanistic Insights

Tobias Koengeter, Amir H. Hoveyda, et al.

FEBRUARY 01, 2023

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

READ 

Biomimetic Cationic Cyclopropanation Enables an Efficient Chemoenzymatic Synthesis of 6,8-Cycloodesmanes

Phillip S. Grant, Nuno Maulide, et al.

FEBRUARY 28, 2023

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

READ 

Synthesis of Arynes via Formal Dehydrogenation of Arenes

Riley A. Roberts, David R. Stuart, et al.

FEBRUARY 02, 2023

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

READ 

Substrate-Directed Enantioselective Aziridination of Alkenyl Alcohols Controlled by a Chiral Cation

Alexander Fanourakis, Robert J. Phipps, et al.

MARCH 24, 2023

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

READ 

Get More Suggestions >