

Quantum edge correspondences and quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras

Michael Brannan¹ | Mitch Hamidi² | Lara Ismert² |
Brent Nelson³ | Mateusz Wasilewski⁴

¹Department of Pure Mathematics and
IQC, University of Waterloo, Waterloo
ON, Canada

²Department of Mathematics,
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University
Prescott, Arizona, United States

³Department of Mathematics, Michigan
State University, East Lansing, Michigan
United States

⁴Institute of Mathematics, Polish
Academy of Sciences, Warszawa, Poland

Correspondence

Brent Nelson, Department of
Mathematics, Michigan State University,
619 Red Cedar Road, C212 Wells Hall, East
Lansing, MI 48824, USA.
Email: brent@math.msu.edu

Funding information

NSERC Discovery Grant; NSF-AWM
Mentoring Travel Grant; AAUW
American Fellowship; FWO; Flemish
Government Methusalem Grant;
European Research Council,
Grant/Award Number: 677120 INDEX;
NSF, Grant/Award Numbers:
DMS-2000331, DMS-1856683

Abstract

Given a quantum graph $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$, we define a C^* -correspondence $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ over the non-commutative vertex C^* -algebra B , called the *quantum edge correspondence*. For a classical graph $\mathcal{G}$, $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the usual graph correspondence spanned by the edges of $\mathcal{G}$. When the quantum adjacency matrix $A: B \rightarrow B$ is completely positive, we show that $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ is faithful if and only if $\ker(A)$ does not contain a central summand of B . In this case, we show that the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ is isomorphic to a quotient of the quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ defined in Brannan, Eifler, Voigt, and Weber (Trans. Am. Math. Soc. Ser. B **9** (2022), 782–826). Moreover, the kernel of the quotient map is shown to be generated by “localized” versions of the quantum Cuntz–Krieger relations, and $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ is shown to be the universal object associated to these local relations. We study in detail some concrete examples and make connections with the theory of Exel crossed products.

MSC 2020

46L05, 46L08 (primary), 46L55 (secondary)

INTRODUCTION

The notion of a quantum graph goes back to the work of Erdős–Katavolos–Shulman [7] and Weaver [24], and was subsequently developed further by Duan–Severini–Winter [6] and Musto–Reutter–Verdon [19]. Quantum graphs play an intriguing role in the study of the graph isomorphism game in quantum information via their connections with quantum symmetries of graphs (see [16] and [1]). Moreover, based on the use of quantum symmetries, fascinating results on the graph-theoretic interpretation of quantum isomorphisms between finite graphs were recently obtained by Mančinska–Roberson [18]. In this paper, we take a finite directed quantum graph to mean a triple (B, ψ, A) consisting of a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra B , a state ψ on B , and a linear map A on B satisfying a quantum Schur idempotent condition. Finite directed quantum graphs generalize classical finite directed graphs (without multiple edges) by encoding a classical graph G in the triple (B, ψ, A) , where $B = C(V)$ is the C^* -algebra of continuous functions on the vertex set V of G , ψ is integration with respect to the uniform probability on V , and A is the adjacency matrix of G .

In [2], given a finite directed quantum graph (B, ψ, A) , Eifler, Voigt, Weber, and first author introduced a novel C^* -algebra $\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(B, \psi, A)$, called the *free quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra*. This generalizes the well-studied Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ arising from classical graphs (or rather a free version of it), where the standard generators are replaced by matrix-valued partial isometries whose matrix sizes are determined by the quantum graph, and the Cuntz–Krieger relations are expressed using the quantum adjacency matrix of the quantum graph in analogy to the scalar case.

Introduced in [4], Cuntz–Krieger algebras have intimate connections with symbolic dynamics, and have been studied intensively in the framework of graph C^* -algebras over the past decades, thus providing a rich supply of interesting examples [9, 10, 21, 23]. The structure of graph C^* -algebras is understood to an impressive level of detail, and many algebraic properties can be interpreted in terms of the combinatorial properties of the underlying graphs. Motivated by this success, the original constructions and results have been generalized in several directions, including higher rank graphs [15], Exel–Laca algebras [8], and ultragraph algebras [22], among others. Recall that, under mild assumptions, the Cuntz–Krieger algebra corresponding to a classical graph is isomorphic to the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra associated to the graph’s edge correspondence [20, Example 2, p. 193]. It is worth mentioning that in the more general setting of quantum graphs, the free quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras seem to be difficult to compute in general, and their isomorphism classes are only known under very strict assumptions (for example, when (B, ψ, A) is *complete* and ψ is an n^2 -form for $n \in \mathbb{N}$; see [2, Theorem 4.5]).

In the present paper we consider a natural unital version of $\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(B, \psi, A)$, which we denote $\mathcal{O}(B, \psi, A)$, and under the assumption that A is completely positive we show that $\mathcal{O}(B, \psi, A)$ quotients onto the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra associated to a C^* -correspondence over B which can be viewed as the quantum analogue of the edge correspondence for a classical graph. This is accomplished by showing that this Cuntz–Pimsner algebra is the universal C^* -algebra associated to “local” versions of the quantum Cuntz–Krieger relations introduced in [2].

In Section 2, we define the quantum edge correspondence E_G for a given quantum graph $G = (B, \psi, A)$ whose associated state is a δ -form. This C^* -correspondence is generated by a generalized version of the Choi–Jamiołkowski matrix ϵ_G associated to the quantum adjacency operator A , and E_G generalizes the usual edge correspondence for a classical graph

(see Example 2.2). Given the role of the element ϵ_G in generating this analog of an edge correspondence, we think of it as the quantum analog of a classical graph's edge matrix. Theorem 2.9 identifies conditions on the quantum adjacency matrix which result in faithfulness and fullness of the quantum edge correspondence, and Proposition 2.7 provides a recognition theorem for when a cyclic C^* -correspondence is the quantum edge correspondence for a quantum graph.

In Section 3, we introduce a natural quotient of the quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}(G)$ by introducing certain “local” relations on the generators, and we call this quotient a *local quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra*. Given a quantum graph G , we show in Theorem 3.6 that the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{E_G}$ constructed from the quantum edge correspondence is precisely the local quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra for that same quantum graph. As mentioned previously, the free quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras (and their unital cousins) seem to be very difficult to describe concretely, except in the most basic cases. This sentiment is emphasized by the fact that we are unable to find an example of a quantum graph G whose local quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra is a *proper* quotient of $\mathcal{O}(G)$.

In Section 4, we focus on examples, beginning with a very special class of quantum graphs called the *complete quantum graphs* $G = K(B, \psi)$. Here we study the associated quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras and Cuntz–Pimsner algebras, and make some connections to Exel's theory of crossed products of C^* -algebras by endomorphisms [12]. In the classical setting, any square $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix $A = [A(x, y)]$ gives rise to the Markov subshift (X_A, σ) , which is the topological dynamical system given by the infinite compact path space $X_A = \{x = (x_i) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1 \ \forall i \geq 1\}$ together with the left shift action $\sigma : X_A \rightarrow X_A$ given by $\sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1}$. From this dynamical system (X_A, σ) , one can associate an *Exel system* $(C(X_A), \alpha, \mathcal{L})$, where $C(X_A)$ is the unital C^* -algebra of continuous functions on X_A , $\alpha : C(X_A) \rightarrow C(X_A)$ is the $*$ -endomorphism defined by $\alpha(f) = f \circ \sigma$, and $\mathcal{L} : C(X_A) \rightarrow C(X_A)$ is a *transfer operator* for α . In [12], Exel builds from this data a crossed product C^* -algebra $C(X_A) \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{N}$, and shows that it is isomorphic to the usual Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$. For any complete quantum graph $K = K(B, \psi)$, we associate a natural choice of (non-commutative) Exel system, and show in Proposition 4.3, that this crossed product is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ on $n = \dim B$ generators. We also show in Proposition 4.1 that the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{E_K}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_n$. These results combined generalize the well-known identifications of Cuntz–Krieger algebras, Cuntz–Pimsner algebras, and Exel crossed products associated to complete graphs.

Finally, in the other subsections of Section 4 of the paper, we study trivial (edgeless) quantum graphs and their two natural generalizations: rank-one quantum graphs and quantum graphs associated to $*$ -automorphic quantum adjacency matrices. We are able to associate a natural choice of (non-commutative) Exel system in these cases as well, except for rank-one quantum graphs. Given one of these types of quantum graphs, we show that the Exel crossed product is isomorphic to the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra for that quantum graph's quantum edge correspondence. See Corollary 4.5, Proposition 4.10, and Corollary 4.13. These examples also mimic the classical setting, where a graph's associated Cuntz–Krieger algebra, Exel crossed product, and Cuntz–Pimsner algebra are all isomorphic.

Let us end this introduction with a remark: For more general quantum graphs $G = (B, \psi, A)$, it is an interesting and natural problem to associate to G a quantum analogue of (functions on) the path space X_A . The construction of an appropriate non-commutative version of $C(X_A)$ seems to be highly non-trivial, and we plan to investigate this in more detail in a follow-up work.

1 | PRELIMINARIES

1.1 | Quantum graphs

In this paper, we consider *finite quantum spaces* (B, ψ) , consisting of a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra B and a distinguished faithful state $\psi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$mm^* = \delta^2 \text{id},$$

where $m : B \otimes B \rightarrow B$ is the multiplication map, m^* is its adjoint with respect to the inner product given by ψ , and $\delta > 0$. States $\psi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the above identity are called δ -forms. Since B is finite dimensional, we have

$$B \cong \bigoplus_{a=1}^d M_{N_a}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The restriction of ψ to the a th summand $M_{N_a}(\mathbb{C})$ appearing in the direct sum decomposition of B will be given by a density matrix with respect to the usual trace, denoted as ρ_a . We may and will assume that each ρ_a is diagonal with the i th diagonal entry being $\psi(e_{ii}^{(a)})$. The condition that ψ is a δ -form is then equivalent to $\text{Tr}(\rho_a^{-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} \psi(e_{ii}^{(a)})^{-1} = \delta^2$ for each $a = 1, \dots, d$.

We utilize the diagonal entries of these density matrices to define *adapted matrix units* for (B, ψ) , given by

$$f_{ij}^{(a)} := \frac{1}{\left[\psi(e_{ii}^{(a)})\psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})\right]^{1/2}} e_{ij}^{(a)} \quad 1 \leq a \leq d, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a,$$

and by [2, Lemma 3.2] they satisfy

$$m^*(f_{ij}^{(a)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_a} f_{ik}^{(a)} \otimes f_{kj}^{(a)}, \quad (1)$$

$$f_{ij}^{(a)} f_{rs}^{(b)} = \delta_{a=b} \frac{1}{\psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})} f_{is}^{(a)}. \quad (2)$$

Given a finite quantum space (B, ψ) , a linear map $A : B \rightarrow B$ is said to be a *quantum adjacency matrix* if

$$m(A \otimes A)m^* = \delta^2 A.$$

In this case, the triple (B, ψ, A) is called a *directed quantum graph*. At times, it will be convenient to express A as an actual matrix $[A_{ija}^{rsb}]_{\substack{1 \leq a, b \leq m \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a \\ 1 \leq r, s \leq N_b}}$ with respect to the basis of adapted matrix units:

$$A(f_{ij}^{(a)}) = \sum_{b=1}^d \sum_{r,s=1}^{N_b} A_{ija}^{rsb} f_{rs}^{(b)}.$$

These coefficients can be used to directly check whether a linear map $A : B \rightarrow B$ is a quantum adjacency matrix (see [2, Lemma 3.4]), but this will not be necessary in the present paper.

1.2 | C*-correspondences and Cuntz–Pimsner algebras

Given a C*-algebra B , a C*-correspondence over B is a right Hilbert B -module X (with right B -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$) which admits a *-homomorphism $\varphi_X : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$. Here $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denotes the right B -linear adjointable operators on X . The *-homomorphism φ_X induces a left B -action that commutes with the right B -action:

$$x \cdot \xi := \varphi_X(x)\xi \quad x \in B, \xi \in X.$$

One says X is *faithful* if φ_X is faithful, *full* if $\overline{\text{span}}\langle X, X \rangle_B = B$, and *cyclic* if $B \cdot \xi \cdot B$ is dense for some $\xi \in X$. The *compact operators* on X , denoted as $\mathcal{K}(X)$, are generated by $\theta_{\xi, \eta} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, $\xi, \eta \in X$, where

$$\theta_{\xi, \eta}(\zeta) := \xi \cdot \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle_B \quad \zeta \in X.$$

In this paper, we will exclusively consider finite-dimensional C*-correspondences, for which $\mathcal{K}(X) = \mathcal{L}(X)$. The following example is particularly relevant for our purposes.

Example 1.1. Let B be a finite-dimensional C*-algebra and $A : B \rightarrow B$ a completely positive map. Define a B -valued inner product on $B \otimes B$ by

$$\langle a \otimes b, c \otimes d \rangle_B := b^* A(a^* c) d,$$

where the positivity follows from the complete positivity of A . Then after taking a separation,

$$B \otimes_A B := B \otimes B / \{ \xi \in B \otimes B : \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_B = 0 \}$$

defines a C*-correspondence over B . (Since everything is finite-dimensional, we do not need to take a completion.) The usual left and right actions of B on $B \otimes B$,

$$x \cdot (a \otimes b) \cdot y = (xa) \otimes (by), \quad a, b, x, y \in B$$

extend to left and right actions on $B \otimes_A B$.

After [14], a *representation* of a C*-correspondence X over B on a C*-algebra D is a pair (π, t) consisting of a *-homomorphism $\pi : B \rightarrow D$ and a linear map $t : X \rightarrow D$ satisfying:

- (i) $\pi(x)t(\xi) = t(x \cdot \xi)$ for $x \in B$ and $\xi \in X$,
- (ii) $t(\xi)^* t(\eta) = \pi(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_B)$ for $\xi, \eta \in X$.

Using (i), one can also show $t(\xi)\pi(x) = t(\xi \cdot x)$ for $x \in B$ and $\xi \in X$. One can also define a *-homomorphism $\psi_t : \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow D$ by $\psi_t(\theta_{\xi, \eta}) = t(\xi)t(\eta)^*$. A representation is said to be *covariant* if $\pi(x) = \psi_t(\varphi_X(x))$ for all x in the *Katsura ideal* J_X , which is defined by

$$J_X := \{x \in B : \varphi_X(x) \in \mathcal{K}(X) \text{ and } xy = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \ker \varphi_X\}.$$

Note that $J_X = B$ when X is finite-dimensional and faithful, which is the situation we will primarily consider.

The *Cuntz-Pimsner algebra* for a C^* -correspondence X over B is the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_X = C^*(\pi_X(B), t_X(X))$ where (π_X, t_X) is the universal covariant representation of X . That is, given any covariant representation (π, t) of X on a C^* -algebra D , there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\rho: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow D$ satisfying $\pi = \rho \circ \pi_X$ and $t = \rho \circ t_X$.

2 | QUANTUM EDGE CORRESPONDENCES

Let (B, ψ) be a finite quantum space. Since the state $\psi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \subset B$ is completely positive, we can consider the C^* -correspondence $B \otimes_\psi B$ over B from Example 1.1. Note that for $\xi \in B \otimes B$, $\psi(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_B) = \|\xi\|_{\psi \otimes \psi}^2$, and so $B \otimes_\psi B = B \otimes B$ as a vector space. That is, we do not need to take a quotient of $B \otimes B$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form. We define the *quantum edge indicator* to be the element

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} := \frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes A)m^*(1) \in B \otimes_\psi B.$$

The *quantum edge correspondence* of $\mathcal{G}$ is the C^* -correspondence over B defined by

$$E_{\mathcal{G}} := B \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot B = \text{span}\{x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot y : x, y \in B\} \subset B \otimes_\psi B.$$

That is, $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the C^* -subcorrespondence of $B \otimes_\psi B$ generated by the quantum edge indicator $\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Example 2.2. In the case of a classical directed graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathbb{C}(V), \frac{1}{|V|}, A)$, one has

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} = \frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes A)m^*(1) = \frac{1}{|V|}(1 \otimes A) \sum_{v \in V} |V| p_v \otimes p_v = \sum_{w \rightarrow v} p_v \otimes p_w.$$

Hence $\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \in \mathbb{C}(V \times V)$ is the indicator function for the set $\{(v, w) : (w, v) \text{ is an edge}\}$, and the quantum edge correspondence $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the space of functions supported on this set.

2.1 | Properties of quantum edge indicators and correspondences

Before studying the edge correspondence, we note some important properties of the quantum edge indicator $\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}$ which further justify our terminology.

Proposition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph with δ -form ψ , and let $\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} := \frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes A)m^*(1)$ be the quantum edge indicator. Then:

- (1) $A(x) = \delta^2(\psi \otimes 1)(x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}})$ for all $x \in B$.
- (2) $\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \# \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} = \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}$ where $(a \otimes b) \# (c \otimes d) = (ac) \otimes (db)$ for $a, b, c, d \in B$.
- (3) A is completely positive if and only if $(\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}})$ is self-adjoint, where $(\sigma_t^\psi)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ denotes the modular automorphism group of ψ .

Proof.

(1) For $x, y \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta^2(\psi \otimes 1)(x \cdot \epsilon_G), y \rangle_\psi &= \delta^2 \langle \epsilon_G, x^* \otimes y \rangle_{\psi \otimes \psi} \\ &= \langle m^*(1), x^* \otimes A^*(y) \rangle_{\psi \otimes \psi} \\ &= \langle 1, x^* A^*(y) \rangle_\psi \\ &= \langle A(x), y \rangle_\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\delta^2(\psi \otimes 1)(x \cdot \epsilon_G) = A(x)$ as claimed.

(2) Observe that ϵ_G is the image of $1 \otimes 1$ under the following map on $B \otimes B$:

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes A \otimes 1)(m^* \otimes 1).$$

We will show that this map is a left and right B -linear and idempotent. (In fact, E_G is precisely the image of $B \otimes B$ under this map.) Bimodularity comes from the fact that both m and m^* are left and right B -linear. Using the associativity of the multiplication operation (that is, $m(m \otimes 1) = m(1 \otimes m)$), hence also $(m^* \otimes 1)m^* = (1 \otimes m^*)m^*$) and that A is a quantum adjacency matrix (that is, $\frac{1}{\delta^2}m(A \otimes A)m^* = A$), we will show that this map is idempotent. Its square is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\delta^4}(1 \otimes (m(1 \otimes m)))(1 \otimes A \otimes A \otimes 1)((m^* \otimes 1)m^* \otimes 1).$$

Using associativity, we get

$$\frac{1}{\delta^4}(1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes m \otimes 1)(1 \otimes A \otimes A \otimes 1)(1 \otimes m^* \otimes 1)(m^* \otimes 1).$$

In the middle, we recognize the expression $1 \otimes (m(A \otimes A)m^*) \otimes 1$, which is equal to $\delta^2 1 \otimes A \otimes 1$. In the end we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes A \otimes 1)(m^* \otimes 1),$$

which verifies the map is idempotent. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_G \# \epsilon_G &= \epsilon_G \# \frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes A \otimes 1)(m^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes A \otimes 1)(m^* \otimes 1)(\epsilon_G) \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes A \otimes 1)(m^* \otimes 1) \right]^2 (1 \otimes 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes m)(1 \otimes A \otimes 1)(m^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes 1) = \epsilon_G. \end{aligned}$$

(This identity can also be checked directly using the adapted matrix units of (B, ψ) , but this arduous task is left to the skeptical reader.)

- (3) Let $\eta_G \in B \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ be the image of ϵ_G under the map $a \otimes b \mapsto a \otimes b^\circ$. Then $(\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\epsilon_G)$ is self-adjoint if and only if $(\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\eta_G)$ is self-adjoint. Observe that $(\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\eta_G)$ is an idempotent by part (2), and so it is self-adjoint if and only if it is a projection, and hence if and only if it is positive. It therefore suffices to show that A is completely positive if and only if $(\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\eta_G)$ is positive.

Recall that if (M, φ) is a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal linear functional, then $\{\Delta_\varphi^{1/4} x : x \in M_+\}$ is a self-dual cone in $L^2(M, \varphi)$, where Δ_φ is the modular operator with respect to φ . Consequently A is completely positive if and only if for all positive elements $X = (x_{ij}), Y = (y_{ij}) \in M_n(B)^+$ one has

$$\left\langle \Delta_{\psi \otimes \text{Tr}}^{1/4} (A \otimes I_n)(X), \Delta_{\psi \otimes \text{Tr}}^{1/4} Y \right\rangle_{\psi \otimes \text{Tr}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left\langle \Delta_\psi^{1/4} A(x_{ij}), \Delta_\psi^{1/4} y_{ij} \right\rangle_\psi \geq 0.$$

Using part (1), we compute

$$\left\langle \Delta_\psi^{1/4} A(x_{ij}), \Delta_\psi^{1/4} y_{ij} \right\rangle_\psi = \left\langle \delta^2(\psi \otimes 1)(x_{ij} \cdot \epsilon_G), \Delta_\psi^{1/2} y_{ij} \right\rangle_\psi = \delta^2 \left\langle \epsilon_G, x_{ij}^* \otimes (\Delta_\psi^{1/2} y_{ij}) \right\rangle_{\psi \otimes \psi}.$$

Now, $L^2(B \otimes B, \psi \otimes \psi) \ni a \otimes b \mapsto a \otimes [\Delta_\psi^{-1/2} b]^\circ \in L^2(B \otimes B^{\text{op}}, \psi \otimes \psi^{\text{op}})$ is an isometry, so we can continue the above computation with

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \Delta_\psi^{1/4} A(x_{ij}), \Delta_\psi^{1/4} y_{ij} \right\rangle_\psi &= \delta^2 \left\langle (1 \otimes \Delta_\psi^{-1/2}) \eta_G, x_{ij}^* \otimes y_{ij}^\circ \right\rangle_{\psi \otimes \psi^{\text{op}}} \\ &= \delta^2 \left\langle (\Delta_\psi^{1/4} \otimes \Delta_\psi^{-1/4})(\Delta_\psi^{-1/2} \otimes 1) \eta_G, (\Delta_\psi^{1/4} \otimes \Delta_\psi^{-1/4})(x_{ij}^* \otimes y_{ij}^\circ) \right\rangle_{\psi \otimes \psi^{\text{op}}} \\ &= \delta^2 \left\langle \Delta_{\psi \otimes \psi^{\text{op}}}^{1/4} [(\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\eta_G)], \Delta_{\psi \otimes \psi^{\text{op}}}^{1/4} (x_{ij}^* \otimes y_{ij}^\circ) \right\rangle_{\psi \otimes \psi^{\text{op}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left\langle \Delta_\psi^{1/4} A(x_{ij}), \Delta_\psi^{1/4} y_{ij} \right\rangle_\psi &= \delta^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left\langle \Delta_{\psi \otimes \psi^{\text{op}}}^{1/4} [(\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\eta_G)], \Delta_{\psi \otimes \psi^{\text{op}}}^{1/4} (x_{ij}^* \otimes y_{ij}^\circ) \right\rangle_{\psi \otimes \psi^{\text{op}}}. \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $X = W^*W$ and $Y = Z^*Z$ for $W = (w_{ij}), Z = (z_{ij}) \in M_n(B)$. Then

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ij}^* \otimes y_{ij}^\circ = \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^n w_{kj}^* w_{ki} \otimes (z_{\ell i}^* z_{\ell j})^\circ = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n w_{kj} \otimes (z_{\ell j}^*)^\circ \right)^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_{ki} \otimes (z_{\ell i}^*)^\circ \right)$$

is positive. Also note that every positive element of $B \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ can be presented this way. Indeed,

$$\left(\sum_{d=1}^m w_d \otimes z_d^\circ \right)^* \left(\sum_{d=1}^m w_d \otimes z_d^\circ \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m x_{ij}^* \otimes y_{ij}^\circ$$

if $X = W^*W, Y = Z^*Z \in M_m(B)$ where $(W)_{ij} = \delta_{i=1} w_j$ and $(Z)_{ij} = \delta_{i=1} z_i^*$. It follows from (3) and these observations that A is completely positive if and only if $(\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\eta_G)$ is positive. $\square$

Remark 2.4. At this point it is worth remarking on the connection to Weaver's notion of a quantum graph [24, 25]. Recall that there is an algebra isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : B \otimes B^{\text{op}} &\rightarrow {}_{B'}CB_{B'}(B(L^2(B, \psi))) \\ (a \otimes b^\circ) &\mapsto (T \mapsto aTb), \end{aligned}$$

where ${}_{B'}CB_{B'}(B(L^2(B, \psi)))$ denotes the space of completely bounded $B'-B'$ -bimodule maps on $B(L^2(B, \psi))$. When a quantum adjacency matrix A is completely positive, the projection $p = (\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\eta_G) \in B \otimes B^{\text{op}}$ induces a $B'-B'$ -bimodule projection $\pi(p)$ on $B(L^2(B, \psi))$ whose range $S \subseteq B(L^2(B, \psi))$ is a $B'-B'$ -bimodule. Such bimodules S are exactly what Weaver refers to as (directed, non-reflexive) quantum graphs on $B \subseteq B(L^2(B, \psi))$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $G = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form and A is completely positive. Then for $x, y \in B$ one has

$$\langle x \cdot \epsilon_G, y \cdot \epsilon_G \rangle_B = \frac{1}{\delta^2} A(x^*y),$$

where $\epsilon_G = \frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes A)m^*(1)$ is the quantum edge indicator. In particular, $A(x) = \delta^2 \langle \epsilon_G, x \cdot \epsilon_G \rangle_B$ for all $x \in B$.

Proof. Write $\epsilon_G = \sum_\alpha p_\alpha \otimes q_\alpha$ for $p_\alpha, q_\alpha \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \cdot \epsilon_G, y \cdot \epsilon_G \rangle_B &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle xp_\alpha \otimes q_\alpha, yp_\beta \otimes q_\beta \rangle_B \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \psi(p_\alpha^* x^* yp_\beta) q_\alpha^* q_\beta \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \psi(x^* yp_\beta \sigma_{-i}^\psi(p_\alpha^*)) q_\alpha^* q_\beta \\ &= (\psi \otimes 1)[x^* y \cdot \epsilon_G \# (\sigma_{-i}^\psi \otimes 1)(\epsilon_G^*)] \\ &= (\psi \otimes 1)(x^* y \cdot \epsilon_G \# \epsilon_G) \\ &= (\psi \otimes 1)(x^* y \cdot \epsilon_G) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} A(x^*y), \end{aligned}$$

where the last three equalities follow from the three parts of Proposition 2.3 (in reverse order). $\square$

Recalling the definition of $B \otimes_A B$ from Example 1.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form and A is completely positive. Then $E_{\mathcal{G}} \cong B \otimes_A B$ as C^* -correspondences over B via the map $x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot y \mapsto \frac{1}{\delta}(x \otimes y)$.*

The above corollary is somewhat surprising in that a priori $B \otimes_A B$ appears to be completely independent of ψ . But of course the dependence is hidden in the fact that A is a quantum adjacency matrix for (B, ψ) . We also remark that while $B \otimes_A B$ is more easily defined, $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ has the advantage of not requiring us to quotient by any null spaces.

Given a finite quantum space (B, ψ) such that ψ is a δ -form, Theorem 2.5 tells us every completely positive adjacency matrix is of the form $A(x) = \delta^2 \langle \xi, x \cdot \xi \rangle_B$ for some $\xi \in B \otimes_{\psi} B$. Thus it is natural to ask for which elements $\xi \in B \otimes_{\psi} B$ is the map $B \ni x \mapsto \delta^2 \langle \xi, x \cdot \xi \rangle_B$ a (necessarily completely positive) quantum adjacency matrix? Suppose $\xi \# \xi = \xi$ and $(\sigma_{i/2}^{\psi} \otimes 1)(\xi)$ is self-adjoint, and define $A_{\xi}(x) := \delta^2 \langle \xi, x \cdot \xi \rangle_B$, then the same computation as in the above theorem implies

$$A_{\xi}(x) = \delta^2 \langle \xi, x \cdot \xi \rangle_B = \delta^2 (\psi \otimes 1)(x \cdot \xi).$$

Consequently, for each $1 \leq a \leq d$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq N_a$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\delta^2} m(A_{\xi} \otimes A_{\xi}) m^*(f_{ij}^{(a)}) &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_k A_{\xi}(f_{ik}^{(a)}) A_{\xi}(f_{kj}^{(a)}) \\ &= \delta^2 \sum_k (\psi \otimes 1)(f_{ik}^{(a)} \cdot \xi) (\psi \otimes 1)(f_{kj}^{(a)} \cdot \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\xi = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \otimes q_{\alpha}$, we can continue the above with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\delta^2} m(A_{\xi} \otimes A_{\xi}) m^*(f_{ij}^{(a)}) &= \delta^2 \sum_{k, \alpha, \beta} \left\langle f_{ki}^{(a)}, p_{\alpha} \right\rangle_{\psi} \left\langle f_{jk}^{(a)}, p_{\beta} \right\rangle_{\psi} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta} \\ &= \delta^2 \sum_{k, \alpha, \beta} \left\langle f_{ki}^{(a)}, p_{\alpha} \right\rangle_{\psi} \left\langle f_{ik}^{(a)}, \psi(e_{ii}^{(a)}) f_{ij}^{(a)} p_{\beta} \right\rangle_{\psi} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta} \\ &= \delta^2 \sum_{k, \alpha, \beta} \left\langle \left\langle \psi(e_{ii}^{(a)}) f_{ij}^{(a)} p_{\beta}, f_{ik}^{(a)} \right\rangle_{\psi} f_{ki}^{(a)}, p_{\alpha} \right\rangle_{\psi} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta} \\ &= \delta^2 \sum_{k, \alpha, \beta} \left\langle \left\langle \Delta_{\psi} f_{ki}^{(a)}, \psi(e_{ii}^{(a)}) p_{\beta}^* f_{ji}^{(a)} \right\rangle_{\psi} f_{ki}^{(a)}, p_{\alpha} \right\rangle_{\psi} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta} \\ &= \delta^2 \sum_{k, \alpha, \beta} \psi(e_{kk}^{(a)}) \left\langle \left\langle f_{ki}^{(a)}, p_{\beta}^* f_{ji}^{(a)} \right\rangle_{\psi} f_{ki}^{(a)}, p_{\alpha} \right\rangle_{\psi} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the fact that $\{\psi(e_{rr}^{(b)})^{1/2} f_{rs}^{(b)} : 1 \leq b \leq d, 1 \leq r, s \leq N_b\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(B)$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\delta^2} m(A_{\xi} \otimes A_{\xi}) m^*(f_{ij}^{(a)}) &= \delta^2 \sum_{r, s, b, \alpha, \beta} \left\langle \left\langle \psi(e_{rr}^{(b)})^{1/2} f_{rs}^{(b)}, p_{\beta}^* f_{ji}^{(a)} \right\rangle_{\psi} \psi(e_{rr}^{(b)})^{1/2} f_{rs}^{(b)}, p_{\alpha} \right\rangle_{\psi} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta} \\ &= \delta^2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left\langle p_{\beta}^* f_{ji}^{(a)}, p_{\alpha} \right\rangle_{\psi} q_{\alpha} q_{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \delta^2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (\psi \otimes 1)(f_{ij}^{(a)} \cdot (p_\beta \otimes q_\beta) \# (p_\alpha \otimes q_\alpha)) \\
&= \delta^2 \sum_{\alpha} (\psi \otimes 1)(f_{ij}^{(a)} \cdot (p_\alpha \otimes q_\alpha)) = A_\xi(f_{ij}^{(a)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus A_ξ is a quantum adjacency matrix for (B, ψ) . Moreover, by a similar computation one can show that $\frac{1}{\delta^2}(1 \otimes A_\xi)m^*(1) = \xi$ and so there is in fact a one-to-one correspondence between completely positive quantum adjacency matrices for (B, ψ) and the set

$$\{\xi \in B \otimes_\psi B : \xi \# \xi = \xi, (\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\xi)^* = (\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\xi)\}.$$

More generally, we have the following recognition result for the quantum edge correspondence.

Proposition 2.7. *Let (B, ψ) be a finite quantum space such that ψ is a δ -form, and let $X = B \cdot \xi \cdot B$ be a cyclic C^* -correspondence over B . If the map $A : B \rightarrow B$ defined by $A(x) = \delta^2 \langle \xi, x \cdot \xi \rangle_B$ is a quantum adjacency matrix for (B, ψ) , then $x \cdot \xi \cdot y \mapsto x \cdot \epsilon_{(B, \psi, A)} \cdot y$ extends to a C^* -correspondence isomorphism from X to the quantum edge correspondence $E_{(B, \psi, A)}$.*

Proof. If we denote $\epsilon := \epsilon_{(B, \psi, A)}$, then Theorem 2.5 implies

$$\left\langle e_{ij}^{(a)} \cdot \xi, e_{rs}^{(b)} \cdot \xi \right\rangle_B = \delta_{a=b} \delta_{i=r} \left\langle \xi, e_{js}^{(a)} \cdot \xi \right\rangle_B = \delta_{a=b} \delta_{i=r} \frac{1}{\delta^2} A(e_{js}^{(a)}) = \left\langle e_{ij}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon, e_{rs}^{(b)} \cdot \epsilon \right\rangle_B$$

for all $1 \leq a, b \leq d$, $1 \leq i, j \leq N_a$, and $1 \leq r, s \leq N_b$. It follows that for all $x, y, z, w \in B$ that

$$\langle x \cdot \xi \cdot y, z \cdot \xi \cdot w \rangle_B = y^* \langle x \cdot \xi, z \cdot \xi \rangle_B w = y^* \langle x \cdot \epsilon, z \cdot \epsilon \rangle_B w = \langle x \cdot \epsilon \cdot y, z \cdot \epsilon \cdot w \rangle_B.$$

Therefore $\sum_i x_i \cdot \xi \cdot y_i \mapsto \sum_i x_i \cdot \epsilon \cdot y_i$ is a well-defined, inner product preserving (and hence injective), B -bilinear map from $B \cdot \xi \cdot B = X$ onto $B \cdot \epsilon \cdot B = E_G$. In other words, it is a C^* -correspondence isomorphism. $\square$

It is straightforward to check that any homomorphism $A : B \rightarrow B$ is a quantum adjacency matrix for a quantum space (B, ψ) (independent, in fact, of ψ). Furthermore, every homomorphism of a C^* -algebra that is completely positive must necessarily be $*$ -preserving, so it is natural to ask if the one-to-one correspondence in Proposition 2.7 restricts to a one-to-one correspondence between $*$ -homomorphisms and a subset of $\{\xi \in B \otimes_\psi B : \xi \# \xi = \xi, (\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\xi)^* = (\sigma_{i/2}^\psi \otimes 1)(\xi)\}$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let (B, ψ) be a finite quantum space, and suppose that $A : B \rightarrow B$ is a completely positive quantum adjacency matrix for (B, ψ) . The following are equivalent.*

- (i) A is a homomorphism.
- (ii) For all $x, y \in B$, we have $(xy) \cdot \epsilon_G = x \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot A(y)$.

Proof. Suppose for all $x, y \in B$, we have $(xy) \cdot \epsilon_G = x \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot A(y)$. Proposition 2.7 implies

$$A(xy) = \langle \epsilon_G, (xy) \cdot \epsilon_G \rangle_B = \langle \epsilon_G, x \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot A(y) \rangle_B = \langle \epsilon_G, x \cdot \epsilon_G \rangle_B A(y) = A(x)A(y)$$

for all $x, y \in B$. Conversely, suppose that A is a homomorphism, and fix $x, y \in B$. For any $a, b \in B$,

$$\langle a \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot b, (xy) \cdot \epsilon_G \rangle_B = b^* A(a^* xy) = b^* A(a^* x) A(y) = \langle a \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot b, x \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot A(y) \rangle_B.$$

As elements of the form $a \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot b$ span E_G , for any $\xi \in E_G$,

$$\langle \xi, (xy) \cdot \epsilon_G - x \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot A(y) \rangle_B = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in B.$$

In particular, $\xi_o := (xy) \cdot \epsilon_G - x \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot A(y)$ is an element of E_G , so $\langle \xi_o, \xi_o \rangle_B = 0$. By positive definiteness of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B$, we may conclude $\xi_o = 0$. Thus, $xy \cdot \epsilon_G = x \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot A(y)$ for all $x, y \in B$. $\square$

When A is a $*$ -automorphism, the quantum edge correspondence E_G arising from a quantum graph $\mathcal{G} := (B, \psi, A)$ is the span of $B \cdot \epsilon_G$ or the span of $\epsilon_G \cdot B$. Indeed, given $x \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot y \in E_G$ for some $x, y \in B$, Proposition 2.8 implies that the maps $E_G \rightarrow E_G$ given by $x \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot y \mapsto x A^{-1}(y) \cdot \epsilon_G$ and $x \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot y \mapsto \epsilon_G \cdot A(x)y$ are both the identity map on E_G .

2.2 | Faithfulness and fullness of the quantum edge correspondence

Recall the definitions of faithful and full for C^* -correspondences from Section 1.2. The next theorem shows that these features for a quantum edge correspondence E_G are determined by the quantum adjacency matrix A .

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form and A is completely positive, and let E_G be the quantum edge correspondence. Then*

$$\{x \in B : x \cdot \xi = 0 \forall \xi \in E_G\} = (BA^*(B)B)^\perp$$

and

$$\overline{\text{span}}\langle E_G, E_G \rangle_B = B \cdot A(B) \cdot B,$$

the two-sided ideal of B generated by the range of A . In particular, E_G is faithful if and only if $\ker(A)$ does not contain a central summand of B , and E_G is full if and only if $A(B)$ is not orthogonal to a central summand of B .

Proof. Suppose $x \cdot \xi = 0$ for all $\xi \in E_G$. This means precisely that for all $a, b \in B$ we have $xa\epsilon_G b = 0$, which, on the other hand, is equivalent to $\langle c\epsilon_G d, xa\epsilon_G b \rangle = 0$ for all $c, d \in B$. This expression is equal to $\delta^{-2} d^* A(c^* xa) b = 0$, so it is equal to zero if and only if $A(c^* xa) = 0$. This time using the inner product on B , we see that this is equivalent to $0 = \langle y, A(c^* xa) \rangle = \langle cA^*(y)a^*, x \rangle$ for all $y \in B$. Therefore $x \in (BA^*(B)B)^\perp$.

Next, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{span}}\langle E_G, E_G \rangle_B &= \overline{\text{span}}\{\langle a \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot b, c \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot d \rangle_B : a, b, c, d \in B\} \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\{\delta^{-2} b^* A(a^* c) d : a, b, c, d \in B\} \\ &= B \cdot A(B) \cdot B. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 2.10. In the case of a classical directed graph $\mathcal{G} = (C(V), \psi, A)$, the central summands of $C(V)$ are indexed by V . A central summand belongs to $\ker(A)$ when the corresponding vertex is a source (that is, has no edges into it), and it is orthogonal to $A(C(V))$ when the corresponding vertex is a sink (that is, has no edges out of it). Hence $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ is faithful when A has no zero columns and is full when A has no zero rows.

The previous remark motivates the following definitions.

Definition 2.11. Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form and A is completely positive. A *quantum sink* in $\mathcal{G}$ is a central summand of B that is orthogonal to the range of A . A *quantum source* in $\mathcal{G}$ is a central summand of B that lies in the kernel of A .

In the next section we will examine the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ associated to the quantum edge correspondence. Consequently, it is important to understand how to express the left B -action in terms of compact operators $\mathcal{K}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$.

Theorem 2.12. Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form and A is completely positive, and let $E_{\mathcal{G}} = B \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot B$ be the quantum edge correspondence. If $\{f_{ij}^{(a)} : 1 \leq a \leq d, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a\}$ are the adapted matrix units for (B, ψ) , then

$$f_{ij}^{(a)} \cdot \xi = \sum_{k=1}^{N_a} \theta_{f_{ik}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}, f_{jk}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}}(\xi) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in E_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Proof. Since both sides are right B -linear in ξ , it suffices to prove the equality for $\xi = e_{rs}^{(b)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}$, $1 \leq b \leq d$, and $1 \leq r, s \leq N_b$. Write $\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} = \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} \otimes y_{\alpha}$. Then using Proposition 2.3.(2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij}^{(a)} \cdot (e_{rs}^{(b)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}) &= \delta_{a=b} [\psi(e_{ii}^{(a)}) \psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})]^{-1/2} e_{is}^{(b)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \\ &= \delta_{a=b} [\psi(e_{ii}^{(a)}) \psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})]^{-1/2} e_{is}^{(b)} \cdot (\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \# \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}) \\ &= \delta_{a=b} [\psi(e_{ii}^{(a)}) \psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})]^{-1/2} \sum_{k, \alpha, \beta} (e_{is}^{(b)} x_{\alpha} e_{kk}^{(a)} x_{\beta}) \otimes (y_{\beta} y_{\alpha}) \\ &= \delta_{a=b} [\psi(e_{ii}^{(a)}) \psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})]^{-1/2} \sum_{k, \alpha, \beta} (x_{\alpha})_{sk}^{(a)} (e_{ik}^{(a)} x_{\beta}) \otimes (y_{\beta} y_{\alpha}) \\ &= \delta_{a=b} [\psi(e_{ii}^{(a)}) \psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})]^{-1/2} \sum_{k, \alpha, \beta} \frac{1}{\psi(e_{kk}^{(a)})} \psi(e_{ks}^{(a)} x_{\alpha}) (e_{ik}^{(a)} x_{\beta}) \otimes (y_{\beta} y_{\alpha}) \\ &= \delta_{a=b} [\psi(e_{ii}^{(a)}) \psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})]^{-1/2} \sum_k \frac{1}{\psi(e_{kk}^{(a)})} e_{ik}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot (\psi \otimes 1)(e_{ks}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using Proposition 2.3(1) and Theorem 2.5 we see that

$$(\psi \otimes 1)(e_{ks}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} A(e_{ks}^{(a)}) = \left\langle \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}, e_{ks}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \right\rangle_B = \left\langle e_{jk}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}, e_{js}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \right\rangle_B.$$

So continuing our computation above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{ij}^{(a)} \cdot (e_{rs}^{(b)} \cdot \epsilon_G) &= \delta_{a=b} [\psi(e_{ii}^{(a)}) \psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})]^{-1/2} \sum_k \frac{1}{\psi(e_{kk}^{(a)})} e_{ik}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot \langle e_{jk}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_G, e_{js}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_G \rangle_B \\
 &= \sum_k f_{ik}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot \langle f_{jk}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_G, e_{rs}^{(b)} \cdot \epsilon_G \rangle_B \\
 &= \sum_k \theta_{f_{ik}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_G, f_{jk}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_G} (e_{rs}^{(b)} \cdot \epsilon_G),
 \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. $\square$

The following corollary is a rephrasing of Theorems 2.5 and 2.12 in terms of linear maps, which will be useful in the next section. We leave the proof to the reader.

Corollary 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form and A is completely positive, and let $E_G = B \cdot \epsilon_G \cdot B$ be the quantum edge correspondence. If (π, t) is a covariant representation of E_G on a C^* -algebra D , then the linear map $T : B \rightarrow D$ defined by $T(x) := t(x \cdot \epsilon_G)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_D(T^* \otimes T) &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} \pi A m, \\
 \mu_D(T \otimes T^*) m^* &= \psi_t,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_D : D \otimes D \rightarrow D$ is the multiplication map, $T^*(x) := T(x^*)^*$, and $\psi_t : \mathcal{K}(E_G) \rightarrow D$ is the $*$ -homomorphism induced by t .

3 | QUANTUM CUNTZ–KRIEGER ALGEBRAS AND LOCAL RELATIONS

In this section we recall the quantum Cuntz–Krieger relations and define local quantum Cuntz–Krieger relations. The former differ slightly from those appearing in [2, Section 3.2] (see Remark 3.3). We will see in Theorem 3.6 below that the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra for a faithful quantum edge correspondence plays the role of the universal C^* -algebra generated by local quantum Cuntz–Krieger relations. This in turn allows us to deduce that such Cuntz–Pimsner algebras are quotients of quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras (see Corollary 3.7).

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph. We define a *quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family* in a unital C^* -algebra D to be a linear map $s : B \rightarrow D$ such that:

- (i) $\mu_D(\mu_D \otimes 1)(s \otimes s^* \otimes s)(m^* \otimes 1)m^* = s, \quad (\text{QCK1})$
- (ii) $\mu_D(s^* \otimes s)m^* = \mu_D(s \otimes s^*)m^* A, \quad (\text{QCK2})$
- (iii) $\mu_D(s \otimes s^*)m^*(1_B) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} 1_D, \quad (\text{QCK3})$

where $\mu_D : D \otimes D \rightarrow D$ is the multiplication map for D and $s^*(b) = s(b^*)^*$ for $b \in B$. Then the *quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra* associated to $\mathcal{G}$ is the universal unital C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ generated by the image of a quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family $S : B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$.

We show that Definition 3.1 gives the classical Cuntz–Krieger algebra when $\mathcal{G}$ is a classical graph.

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a classical graph, that is, $B = C(V)$ is the finite-dimensional commutative C^* -algebra arising from a simple finite directed graph $G = (V, E)$ on $N = |V|$ vertices, ψ is the normalized trace on B , and A is defined by the adjacency matrix A_G on G in the usual way. If $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ is the canonical basis of minimal projections in B , it is easy to see that $\psi(e_i) = 1/N$, $m(e_i \otimes e_j) = \delta_{i=j} e_j$, and $m^*(e_i) = N e_i \otimes e_i$ for all i, j . Moreover, ψ is a δ -form with $\delta^2 = N$.

Let $S : B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ be a universal quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family and define $S_i = NS(e_i) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$. It was shown in [2, Proposition 4.1] that the S_i are partial isometries satisfying $S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A_G(i, j) S_j S_j^*$ since $S : B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfies (QCK1) and (QCK2). One can see that the S_i have mutually orthogonal range projections by observing that they sum to the identity in $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ since S satisfies (QCK3). Explicitly, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N S_i S_i^* &= N^2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^N S(e_i) S^*(e_i) \right] \\ &= N \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})}(S \otimes S^*) m^*(e_i) \right] \\ &= N \left[\frac{1}{\delta^2} 1_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})} \right] = 1_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the S_i form a Cuntz–Krieger A_G -family, which induces a $*$ -homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{A_E}$ onto $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$.

Conversely, given a universal Cuntz–Krieger A_G family $\{S_i\}$, we can define $s : B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A_G}$ via $s(e_i) = \frac{1}{N} S_i \in \mathcal{O}_{A_G}$. As mentioned in [2, Proposition 4.1], one can check that s satisfies (QCK1) and (QCK2). To see that s satisfies (QCK3), consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathcal{O}_{A_G}}(s \otimes s^*) m^*(1_B) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_{\mathcal{O}_{A_G}}(s(N e_i) \otimes s^*(e_i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N S_i S_i^* \\ &= \frac{1}{N} 1_{\mathcal{O}_{A_G}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, s is a quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family, which induces a $*$ -homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ onto $\mathcal{O}_{A_G}$. Checking that this map is the inverse of the previously induced $*$ -homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{A_G}$ onto $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ yields $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{A_G}$.

Remark 3.3. In [2], a notion of quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras was introduced without the relation (QCK3) (see [2, Definition 3.7]), which gives potentially non-unital, non-nuclear C^* -algebras denoted as $\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$. As discussed in [2, Section 4.1], when $\mathcal{G}$ is a classical graph $\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ is a free Cuntz–Krieger algebra (see [2, Definition 2.5]), whereas by the above example $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ is a Cuntz–Krieger algebra. Thus we will generally refer to $\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ as the free quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra, and reserve the terminology “quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra” for $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$.

Using adapted matrix units, one can produce a more explicit presentation of the quantum Cuntz–Krieger relations. This is essentially the content of [2, Proposition 3.9]. More precisely, if $s_{ij}^{(a)} := s(f_{ij}^{(a)})$, where $\{f_{ij}^{(a)} : 1 \leq a \leq d, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a\}$ are the adapted matrix units for (B, ψ) , then $s : B \rightarrow D$ is a quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family if and only if the following relations hold:

$$\sum_{k, \ell=1}^{N_a} s_{ik}^{(a)} (s_{\ell k}^{(a)})^* s_{\ell s}^{(a)} = s_{is}^{(a)} \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{N_a} (s_{\ell i}^{(a)})^* s_{\ell j}^{(a)} = \sum_{c=1}^d \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^{N_c} A_{ija}^{\ell mc} s_{\ell n}^{(c)} (s_{mn}^{(c)})^* \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{c=1}^d \sum_{\ell, m=1}^{N_c} \psi(e_{\ell, \ell}^{(c)}) s_{\ell m}^{(c)} (s_{\ell m}^{(c)})^* = \frac{1}{\delta^2} 1_D. \quad (6)$$

We now introduce localized versions of the above quantum Cuntz–Krieger relations.

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form. We define a *local quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family* in a unital C^* -algebra D to be a linear map $s : B \rightarrow D$ such that

$$(i) \quad \mu_D(\mu_D \otimes 1)(s \otimes s^* \otimes s)(m^* \otimes 1) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} sm, \quad (\text{LQCK1})$$

$$(ii) \quad \mu_D(s^* \otimes s) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mu_D(s \otimes s^*) m^* A m, \quad (\text{LQCK2})$$

$$(iii) \quad \mu_D(s \otimes s^*) m^* (1_B) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} 1_D, \quad (\text{LQCK3})$$

where $\mu_D : D \otimes D \rightarrow D$ is the multiplication map for D , $s^*(b) = s(b^*)^*$ for $b \in B$, and m^* is the adjoint of m with respect to the inner product given by ψ .

Once again, using adapted matrix units, one can produce a more explicit presentation of the local quantum Cuntz–Krieger relations. This is the content of the following proposition whose proof is omitted as it is very similar to the proof of [2, Proposition 3.9].

Proposition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form, and let $s : B \rightarrow D$ be a linear map into a unital C^* -algebra. Denote $s_{ij}^{(a)} := s(f_{ij}^{(a)})$, where $\{f_{ij}^{(a)} : 1 \leq a \leq d, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a\}$ are the adapted matrix units for (B, ψ) . Then s is a local quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family if and only if the following relations hold:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N_a} s_{ik}^{(a)} (s_{jk}^{(a)})^* s_{rs}^{(b)} = \delta_{a=b} \frac{1}{\delta^2 \psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})} s_{is}^{(a)} \quad (7)$$

$$(s_{ij}^{(a)})^* s_{rs}^{(b)} = \delta_{a=b} \frac{1}{\delta^2 \psi(e_{ii}^{(a)})} \sum_{c=1}^d \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^{N_c} A_{jsa}^{\ell mc} s_{\ell n}^{(c)} (s_{mn}^{(c)})^* \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{c=1}^d \sum_{\ell, m=1}^{N_c} \psi(e_{\ell, \ell}^{(c)}) s_{\ell m}^{(c)} (s_{\ell m}^{(c)})^* = \frac{1}{\delta^2} 1_D \quad (9)$$

for all $1 \leq a, b \leq d, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a$, and $1 \leq r, s \leq N_b$.

Just as in the case of the quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras above, one can also define, for any quantum graph $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$, a corresponding *local quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra*. The local quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra is the C^* -algebra generated by a universal local quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family. The following theorem is the main result of this section. It shows that local quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras are in fact familiar objects — under rather mild assumptions, they are precisely the Cuntz–Pimsner algebras of quantum edge correspondences.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form and A is completely positive, and let $E_{\mathcal{G}} = B \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot B$ be its quantum edge correspondence. Let $(\pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}, t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}})$ denote the universal covariant representation of $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ on the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$. Assume that $\mathcal{G}$ has no quantum sources. Then $S : B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ defined by $S(x) := \frac{1}{\delta} t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}})$ is a local quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family whose image generates $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$. Moreover, given any local quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family $s : B \rightarrow D$ in a unital C^* -algebra D there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}} \rightarrow D$ such that $s = \rho \circ S$.*

Proof. We begin by showing that S is a local quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family. Let $\psi_{t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}} : \mathcal{K}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ be the $*$ -homomorphism induced by $t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$, and let $\mu : \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ denote the multiplication map. Define a linear map $T : B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ by $T(x) := t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}})$, so that $S = \frac{1}{\delta} T$. Note that the quantum edge correspondence $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ is faithful by Theorem 2.9 and finite dimensional so that the Katsura ideal $J_{E_{\mathcal{G}}} = B$. Moreover, faithfulness allows us to identify $B \subset \mathcal{L}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) = \mathcal{K}(E_{\mathcal{G}})$, and under this identification we have $\psi_{t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}}|_B = \pi$. With this observation in hand, we can readily verify the local quantum Cuntz–Krieger relations.

(LQCK1): The second equation in Corollary 2.13 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mu \otimes 1)(S \otimes S^* \otimes S)(m^* \otimes 1) &= \frac{1}{\delta^3} \mu(\mu \otimes 1)(T \otimes T^* \otimes T)(m^* \otimes 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^3} \mu(\psi_{t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}} \otimes T) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^3} \mu(\pi \otimes T) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^3} Tm = \frac{1}{\delta^2} Sm, \end{aligned}$$

where the second-to-last equality follows from the relation $\pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(x)t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(\xi) = t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(x \cdot \xi)$ for $x \in B$ and $\xi \in E_{\mathcal{G}}$.

(LQCK2): Using both equations in Corollary 2.13 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(S^* \otimes S) &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mu(T^* \otimes T) = \frac{1}{\delta^4} \pi Am = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \psi_{t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}} Am \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^4} \mu(T \otimes T^*)m^* Am = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mu(S \otimes S^*)m^* Am. \end{aligned}$$

(LQCK3): Using the second equation in Corollary 2.13 we have

$$\mu(S \otimes S^*)m^*(1_B) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mu(T \otimes T^*)m^*(1_B) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(1_B) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} 1.$$

Note that $\pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ is necessarily unital since $1 \cdot \xi = \xi$ for all $\xi \in E_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Thus S is a local quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family. We also have $C^*(S(B)) = \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$. Indeed, $\pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(B) \subset C^*(S(B))$ by Corollary 2.13, and $t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(\xi)\pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(b) = t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(\xi \cdot b)$ implies $t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(E_{\mathcal{G}}) \subset C^*(S(B))$.

Now, suppose $s : B \rightarrow D$ is a local quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family in a unital C^* -algebra D . To obtain the desired homomorphism, we will construct a covariant representation of $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ on D and invoke the universal property of the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$. Define a linear map $\pi : B \rightarrow D$ by

$$\pi := \delta^2 \mu_D(s \otimes s^*)m^*,$$

where $\mu_D : D \otimes D \rightarrow D$ is the multiplication map. Then π is unital by (LQCK3), $\pi^* = \pi$ in light of how the multiplication maps interact with the adjoint, and (LQCK1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_D(\pi \otimes \pi) &= \delta^4 \mu_D(\mu_D \otimes \mu_D)(s \otimes s^* \otimes s \otimes s^*)(m^* \otimes m^*) \\ &= \delta^4 \mu_D([\mu_D(\mu_D \otimes 1)(s \otimes s^* \otimes s)(m^* \otimes 1)] \otimes s^*)(1 \otimes m^*) \\ &= \delta^2 \mu_D((sm) \otimes s^*)(1 \otimes m^*) \\ &= \delta^2 \mu_D(s \otimes s^*)m^*m = \pi m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence π is a $*$ -homomorphism.

Next define a linear map $t : E_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow D$ by

$$t(x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot y) := \delta s(x)\pi(y) \quad x, y \in B.$$

We will see below that $t(\xi)^*t(\eta) = \pi(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_B)$ for $\xi, \eta \in B$, which in particular will show that this map is well defined. By (LQCK1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_D(\mu_D \otimes 1)(\pi \otimes s \otimes \pi) &= \delta^2 \mu_D(\mu_D \otimes 1)(\mu_D \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(s \otimes s^* \otimes s \otimes \pi)(m^* \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \\ &= \mu_D(s \otimes \pi)(m \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $x, y, z \in B$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x)t(y \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot z) &= \delta \mu_D(\mu_D \otimes 1)(\pi \otimes s \otimes \pi)(x \otimes y \otimes z) \\ &= \delta \mu_D(s \otimes \pi)(m \otimes 1)(x \otimes y \otimes z) = \delta s(xy)\pi(z) = t(xy \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot z), \end{aligned}$$

and so $\pi(x)t(\xi) = t(x \cdot \xi)$ for all $x \in B$ and $\xi \in E_{\mathcal{G}}$. Using (LQCK2) and the definition of π , we have

$$\mu_D(s^* \otimes s) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \mu_D(s \otimes s^*)m^*Am = \frac{1}{\delta^4} \pi Am.$$

Thus for $x, x', y, y' \in B$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} t(x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot y)^*t(x' \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot y') &= \delta^2(\mu_D \otimes \mu_D)(1 \otimes \mu_D \otimes 1)(\pi^* \otimes s^* \otimes s \otimes \pi)(y^* \otimes x^* \otimes x' \otimes y') \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^2}(\mu_D \otimes \mu_D)(\pi \otimes (\pi A) \otimes \pi)(1 \otimes m \otimes 1)(y^* \otimes x^* \otimes x' \otimes y') \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^2} \pi(y^*A(x^*x')y') \\ &= \pi(y^*\langle x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}, x' \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \rangle_B y') = \pi(\langle x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot y, x' \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot y' \rangle_B), \end{aligned}$$

where the second-to-last equality follows from Theorem 2.5. Thus (π, t) is a representation of $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ on D , and Theorem 2.12 implies that it is covariant:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_t(f_{ij}^{(a)}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{N_a} \psi_t \left(\theta_{f_{ik}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}, f_{jk}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N_a} t(f_{ik}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}) t(f_{jk}^{(a)} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}})^* \\ &= \delta^2 \mu_D(s \otimes s^*) m^*(f_{ij}^{(a)}) = \pi(f_{ij}^{(a)}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the universal property for the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ implies that there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}} \rightarrow D$ satisfying $\pi = \rho \circ \pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ and $t = \rho \circ t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$. In particular, we have

$$s(x) = \frac{1}{\delta} t(x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}) = \frac{1}{\delta} \rho \circ t_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}(x \cdot \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}) = \rho(S(x)).$$

Hence $s = \rho \circ S$. □

Observe that any local quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family is a (non-local) quantum Cuntz–Krieger $\mathcal{G}$ -family. Indeed, since ψ is a δ form, one has $mm^* = \delta^2 \text{id}$. Thus if $s : B \rightarrow D$ satisfies (LQCK1) and (LQCK2), then applying m^* to the right-hand sides of these relations yields (QCK1) and (QCK2), respectively. Also (LQCK3) and (QCK3) are identical. Hence the universal property for $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ yields a unique $*$ -homomorphism onto $C^*(s(D))$. In particular, if $\ker(A)$ does not contain a central summand of B , then the previous theorem yields the following.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{G} = (B, \psi, A)$ be a directed quantum graph such that ψ is a δ -form and A is completely positive, and let $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ be its quantum edge correspondence. Assume that $\ker(A)$ does not contain a central summand of B . Then $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}} \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})/\mathcal{I}$ where $\mathcal{I} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{G})$ is the closed two-sided ideal generated by the relations (LQCK1), (LQCK2), and (LQCK3).*

3.1 | Behavior of $\mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}}}$ under quantum graph isomorphisms

In this section we briefly examine the relationship between the Cuntz–Pimsner algebras of quantum edge correspondences associated to quantum isomorphic quantum graphs. We begin by recalling the notion of quantum isomorphism from [1, 2]. Let $\mathcal{G}_i = (B_i, \psi_i, A_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be directed quantum graphs. We say that $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ are quantum isomorphic if there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism

$$\theta_1 : B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \otimes B(\mathcal{H}),$$

which satisfies the following ψ_i and A_i covariance conditions

$$(\psi_2 \otimes \text{id})\theta_1 = \psi_1(\cdot)1_{B(\mathcal{H})},$$

$$(A_2 \otimes \text{id})\theta_1 = \theta_1 \circ A_1.$$

Note that the general theory guarantees that whenever a morphism $\theta_1 : B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$ exists, there automatically exists a corresponding morphism $\theta_2 : B_2 \rightarrow B_1 \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$ with analogous covariance conditions to those for θ_1 . In particular, the notion of quantum isomorphism is symmetric in $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$.

Quantum isomorphisms between quantum graphs can be seen as relaxations (or generalizations) of the notion of an isomorphism between quantum graphs. Indeed, in the special case where $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$, a quantum isomorphism between $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ defines an ordinary isomorphism of quantum graphs.

The following theorem should be compared with [2, Theorem 6.13], which is a similar result in the context of (free) quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras. Note, however, that the conclusion of the following theorem is slightly stronger in that one gets *injective* morphisms Θ_i between Cuntz–Pimsner algebras below (compare with [2, Remark 6.14]).

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{G}_i = (B_i, \psi_i, A_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be directed quantum graphs. If $\mathcal{G}_1$ is quantum isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_2$ with $*$ -homomorphisms*

$$\theta_1 : B_1 \rightarrow B_2 \otimes B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_2 : B_2 \rightarrow B_1 \otimes B(\mathcal{H}),$$

as above, then there exist injective $$ -homomorphisms*

$$\Theta_1 : \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}_1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}_2}} \otimes B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_2 : \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}_2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_{\mathcal{G}_1}} \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$$

satisfying

$$\Theta_1 \pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}_1}} = (\pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}_2}} \otimes 1) \theta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_2 \pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}_2}} = (\pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}_1}} \otimes 1) \theta_2.$$

Proof. Using Corollary 2.6, we can work with $B_i \otimes_{A_i} B_i$ rather than $E_{\mathcal{G}_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. Denote $\tilde{A}_2 := A_2 \otimes \text{id}_{B(\mathcal{H})}$, which is a completely positive map, and $X := (B_2 \otimes B(\mathcal{H})) \otimes_{\tilde{A}_2} (B_2 \otimes B(\mathcal{H}))$. Note that $X \cong (B_2 \otimes_{A_2} B_2) \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$, and so $\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_{B_2 \otimes_{A_2} B_2} \otimes B(\mathcal{H})$ by [17, Example 6.4].

Now, for $x, y \in B_1$ and $\xi \in B_1 \otimes B_1$ we have

$$(\tilde{A}_2 \otimes 1)((\theta_1 \otimes \theta_1)(\xi)^*(\theta_1 \otimes \theta_1)(\xi)) = (\theta_1 \otimes \theta_1)(A_1 \otimes 1)(\xi^* \xi).$$

It follows that $\theta_1 \otimes \theta_1$ induces a linear map $T : B_1 \otimes_{A_1} B_1 \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$T(x \cdot \xi \cdot y) = \theta_1(x) \cdot T(\xi) \cdot \theta_1(y) \quad x, y \in B_1, \xi \in B_1 \otimes_{A_1} B_1,$$

and

$$\langle T(\xi), T(\eta) \rangle_{B_2 \otimes B(\mathcal{H})} = \theta_1(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{B_1}) \quad \xi, \eta \in B_1 \otimes_{A_1} B_1.$$

Thus if (π_X, t_X) is the universal covariant representation of X (note that we can take $\pi_X := \pi_{E_{\mathcal{G}_2}} \otimes 1$ and $t_X := t_{E_{\mathcal{G}_2}} \otimes 1$), then $(\pi_X \circ \theta_1, t_X \circ T)$ is a covariant representation of $B_1 \otimes_{A_1} B_1$ on $\mathcal{O}_X$. One easily checks that this representation is injective and admits a gauge action, and so [14, Theorem 6.4] implies that there is an injective $*$ -homomorphism $\Theta_1 : \mathcal{O}_{B_1 \otimes_{A_1} B_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. Reversing the roles of B_1 and B_2 yields Θ_2 . $\square$

4 | EXAMPLES

In this section we consider three common types of quantum graphs and determine the isomorphism classes of the Cuntz–Pimsner algebras associated to their quantum edge correspondences. Notably, several of these examples can be realized as Exel crossed products associated to natural Exel systems. We refer the reader to [11]–[13] for details but provide a brief summary of the construction of Exel crossed products below.

Let C be a C^* -algebra and $\alpha : C \rightarrow C$ be $*$ -endomorphism. A *transfer operator* for (C, α) is a positive linear map on C such that $\mathcal{L}(\alpha(f)g) = f\mathcal{L}(g)$ for all $f, g \in C$. The Exel crossed product is obtained by first constructing a Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T}(C, \alpha, \mathcal{L})$ which is the universal C^* -algebra generated by a copy of C along with an element S such that $Sf = \alpha(f)S$ and $\mathcal{L}(f) = S^*fS$ for all $f \in C$. Two elements $f, k \in \mathcal{T}(C, \alpha, \mathcal{L})$ form a *redundancy* if $f \in C, k \in \overline{CSS^*C}$, and $fgS = kgS$ for all $g \in C$. The *Exel crossed product* $C \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{N}$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{T}(C, \alpha, \mathcal{L})$ by the ideal generated by differences $f - k$ of redundancies (f, k) .

Given an $n \times n \{0, 1\}$ -matrix A , one can construct the Markov subshift space of infinite paths in the graph associated to A , denoted by X_A . X_A is a compact space, and admits a natural shift action $\sigma : X_A \rightarrow X_A$ given by $\sigma(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$. A nice class of commutative Exel systems $(C(X_A), \alpha, \mathcal{L})$ arises from this construction, where $\alpha(f) = f \circ \sigma$ for $f \in C(X_A)$ and

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(x) = |\{y \in X_A \mid \sigma(y) = x\}|^{-1} \left(\sum_{\{y \in X_A \mid \sigma(y) = x\}} f(y) \right).$$

It is known that the Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ is isomorphic to the Exel crossed product $C(X_A) \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{N}$. Below, we explore the analogous relationship between non-commutative Exel systems and local quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras.

4.1 | Complete quantum graphs

Given a finite quantum space (B, ψ) with δ -form ψ , we denote by $K(B, \psi)$ the *complete quantum graph* (B, ψ, A) , whose quantum adjacency matrix A is given by the rank-one map $A = \delta^2 \psi(\cdot) 1_B$ [1, 2]. The quantum edge correspondence for $K(B, \psi)$ is $E_K = B \otimes B$ since an adapted matrix unit computation yields that the quantum edge indicator is $\epsilon_K = 1_B \otimes 1_B$. The quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}(K(B, \psi))$ is generated by $\{S_{ij}^{(a)} : 1 \leq a \leq d, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a\}$ according to the relations

$$(S^{(a)})^* S^{(a)} = 1 \quad \text{in } M_{N_a} \otimes \mathcal{O}(K(B, \psi)) \quad (1 \leq a \leq d) \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{ars} \delta^2 \psi(e_{rr}^{(a)}) S_{rs}^{(a)} S_{rs}^{(a)*} = 1 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{O}(K(B, \psi)). \quad (11)$$

In [2, Theorem 4.5], the authors establish that their definition of a quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra associated to $K(B, \psi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\dim B}$ whenever $\delta^2 \in \mathbb{N}$. It is unknown if this also holds for $\delta^2 \notin \mathbb{N}$. The following proposition resolves this for local quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras.

Proposition 4.1. $\mathcal{O}_{E_K}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ where $n = \dim B$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.5, the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{E_K}$ is a quotient of the quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}(K(B, \psi))$ subject to relations (7), which remains the same, and (8), which in this case becomes:

$$(S_{ij}^{(a)})^*(S_{rs}^{(b)}) = \delta_{a=b} \frac{1}{\delta^2 \psi(e_{ii}^{(a)})} \sum_{i=r} \sum_{j=s} \left[\delta^2 \delta_{\ell=m} \psi(e_{\ell\ell}^{(c)}) \sum_n S_{\ell n}^{(c)} (S_{mn}^{(c)})^* \right]. \quad (12)$$

Simplifying Equation (12) using the unit relation (9), we get

$$(S_{ij}^{(a)})^*(S_{rs}^{(b)}) = \frac{\delta_{a=b} \delta_{i=r} \delta_{j=s}}{\delta^2 \psi(e_{ii}^{(a)})} \left(\sum_{c \in \ell_n} \delta^2 \psi(e_{\ell\ell}^{(c)}) S_{\ell n}^{(c)} (S_{\ell n}^{(c)})^* \right) = \frac{\delta_{a=b} \delta_{i=r} \delta_{j=s}}{\delta^2 \psi(e_{ii}^{(a)})} (1_{\mathcal{O}(E_K)}).$$

Thus, $\{\delta \psi(e_{ii}^{(a)})^{1/2} S_{ij}^{(a)} : 1 \leq a \leq d, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a\}$ are generating isometries for $\mathcal{O}_{E_K}$ whose range projections sum to the identity, and it follows that $\mathcal{O}_{E_K}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\dim B}$. $\square$

Here, we define a particular non-commutative Exel system $(B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}, \alpha, \mathcal{L})$ and show that the Exel crossed product $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{N}$ is the Cuntz algebra on $\dim B$ generators. Define $\alpha : B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\alpha(f) := 1_B \otimes f \quad (f \in B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}).$$

Then $\mathcal{L} : B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}(f_1 \otimes g) := \psi(f_1)g, \quad (f_1 \in B, g \in B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}),$$

is a transfer operator for the dynamical system $(B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}, \alpha)$. In the rest of this section, we let 1 denote the identity in $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ and continue to denote the identity in B by 1_B . For each $1 \leq a \leq d$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq N_a$, define $E_{ij}^{(a)} := e_{ij}^{(a)} \otimes 1$, and let S denote the operator which arises in the construction of $\mathcal{T}(B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}, \alpha, \mathcal{L})$. We will show that this particular Exel crossed product is $\mathcal{O}_{\dim B}$, generated by $\{v_{ij}^{(a)} : 1 \leq a \leq d, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a\}$, where

$$v_{ij}^{(a)} := \frac{1}{\psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})^{-1/2}} E_{ij}^{(a)} S.$$

The $v_{ij}^{(a)}$ should be regarded as an analog of the characteristic function of words in X_A that “begin with the quantum letter $e_{ij}^{(a)} \in B$.”

Lemma 4.2. *For all $1 \leq a \leq d, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a$, the pair $(E_{ij}^{(a)}, \sum_p v_{ip}^{(a)} v_{jp}^{(a)*})$ is a redundancy.*

Proof. Fix $a \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N_a\}$. For $e_{k\ell}^{(b)} \otimes f \in B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$, note $v_{jp}^{(a)*} (e_{k\ell}^{(b)} \otimes f) = 0$ unless $a = b$ and $j = k$. Thus, it suffices to consider elements of the form $e_{j\ell}^{(a)} \otimes f$ in $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$. Observe:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(e_{pp}^{(a)}) (v_{ip}^{(a)} v_{jp}^{(a)*}) (e_{j\ell}^{(a)} \otimes f) S &= E_{ip}^{(a)} S S^* [E_{pj}^{(a)} (e_{j\ell}^{(a)} \otimes f)] S \\ &= E_{ip}^{(a)} S [S^* (e_{p\ell}^{(a)} \otimes f) S] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E_{ip}^{(a)} S \mathcal{L}(e_{p\ell}^{(a)} \otimes f) \\
&= \psi(e_{p\ell}^{(a)}) E_{ip}^{(a)} S f \\
&= \psi(e_{p\ell}^{(a)}) E_{ip}^{(a)} \alpha(f) S \\
&= \psi(e_{p\ell}^{(a)}) E_{ip}^{(a)} (1_B \otimes f) S \\
&= \psi(e_{pp}^{(a)}) \delta_{p=\ell} (e_{i\ell}^{(a)} \otimes f) S \\
&= \psi(e_{pp}^{(a)}) \delta_{p=\ell} (e_{ij}^{(a)} e_{j\ell}^{(a)} \otimes f) S \\
&= \psi(e_{pp}^{(a)}) \delta_{p=\ell} E_{ij}^{(a)} (e_{j\ell}^{(a)} \otimes f) S.
\end{aligned}$$

As elements of the form $e_{k\ell}^{(b)} \otimes f$ span $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$, for all $g \in B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$, we have

$$\left(\sum_p v_{ip}^{(a)} v_{jp}^{(a)*} \right) g S = \sum_p \left(v_{ip}^{(a)} v_{jp}^{(a)*} g S \right) = \sum_p \left(\delta_{p\ell} E_{ij}^{(a)} g S \right) = E_{ij}^{(a)} g S.$$

By definition, $\left(E_{ij}^{(a)}, \sum_p v_{ip}^{(a)} v_{jp}^{(a)*} \right)$ is a redundancy. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *The Exel crossed product $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{N}$ is isomorphic to the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ where $n = \dim B$.*

Proof. We first show that the C^* -algebra generated by $\{v_{ij}^{(a)} : 1 \leq a \leq d, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a\}$ is $\mathcal{O}_n$, where $n = \dim B$. Observe that each $v_{ij}^{(a)}$ is an isometry in $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{N}$:

$$v_{ij}^{(a)*} v_{ij}^{(a)} = \frac{1}{\psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})} S^* E_{ji}^{(a)} E_{ij}^{(a)} S = \frac{1}{\psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})} S^* E_{jj}^{(a)} S = \frac{1}{\psi(e_{jj}^{(a)})} \mathcal{L}(E_{jj}^{(a)}) = 1.$$

By Lemma 4.2, $\sum_p v_{ip}^{(a)} v_{ip}^{(a)*} = E_{ii}^{(a)}$, and thus, summing over $1 \leq a \leq d$ and $1 \leq i \leq N_a$ yields the identity. Therefore, each $v_{ij}^{(a)}$ is a Cuntz isometry, and the collection $\{v_{ij}^{(a)} : 1 \leq a \leq d, 1 \leq i, j \leq N_a\}$ generates the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$ where $n = \dim B$.

To verify that this copy of $\mathcal{O}_{\dim B}$ inside $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{N}$ is actually all of $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{N}$, note that each $E_{ij}^{(a)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\dim B}$ by Lemma 4.2. Further, since $S = 1_B S = (\sum_{a,i} E_{ii}^{(a)}) S$, we also know that S belongs to this copy of $\mathcal{O}_{\dim B}$. We claim that elements of the form $E_{ij}^{(a)}$ along with S generate all of $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$. Recall from [12] that $(\alpha(f), S f S^*)$ is a redundancy for all $f \in B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$, so for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\underbrace{1_B \otimes \dots \otimes 1_B}_r \otimes e_{ij}^{(a)} \otimes 1 = \alpha^r(E_{ij}^{(a)}) = S^r E_{ij}^{(a)} (S^*)^r \in \mathcal{O}_{\dim B}.$$

As elements of the above form generate the C^* -algebra $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ and are contained in $\mathcal{O}_{\dim B}$, we may conclude that $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{O}_{\dim B}$. Therefore, $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_n$ where $n = \dim B$. $\square$

As a result of Theorem 4.3, the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{E_K}$ for the quantum edge correspondence of the complete quantum graph can be realized as the Exel crossed product $B^{\otimes \mathbb{N}} \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{L}} \mathbb{N}$, whose underlying Exel system was a natural choice for the complete quantum graph. We may also conclude that the Cuntz algebra on $\dim B$ generators is always a quotient of the quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}(K(B, \psi))$ for the complete quantum graph.

4.2 | Trivial quantum graphs

Denote by $T(B, \psi)$ the *trivial quantum graph* (B, ψ, id) ; in the following discussion B and ψ will be fixed, so we will simply denote it by T . Using the adapted matrix units, we will now identify the edge correspondence and prove that it is isomorphic to the trivial B -correspondence, which will allow us to explicitly compute its Cuntz–Pimsner algebra. Recall the definition of the edge correspondence from Definition 2.1. It is generated, as a B -bimodule by the idempotent $\epsilon_T := \frac{1}{\delta^2}(\text{id} \otimes \text{id})m^*(1) = \frac{1}{\delta^2}m^*(1)$. As m^* is a B -bimodule map, we get $x \cdot \epsilon_T \cdot y = \frac{1}{\delta^2}m^*(xy)$; hence, the edge correspondence is equal as a vector space to the image of m^* . We will actually show that the map $\frac{1}{\delta}m^*$ identifies B and the edge correspondence E_T as C^* -correspondences.

Proposition 4.4. *Let B be viewed as a C^* -correspondence, where $\langle a, b \rangle_B = a^*b$ and the left and right actions are the usual ones. Then $\frac{1}{\delta}m^* : B \rightarrow E_T$ is an isomorphism of C^* -correspondences.*

Proof. We will use Proposition 2.7. The vector $\xi := \frac{1}{\delta}1$ is cyclic for B and $\delta^2 \langle \xi, x \cdot \xi \rangle = x$ is the quantum adjacency matrix of the trivial quantum graph. Therefore by Proposition 2.7 the assignment $\xi \mapsto \epsilon_T$ extends to an isomorphism of correspondences B and E_T . This map is precisely equal to $\frac{1}{\delta}m^*$. $\square$

Corollary 4.5. *The Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{O}_{E_T}$ is isomorphic to $B \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$.*

Proof. From the previous proposition, E_T is isomorphic to B . By [20, Example 3, p. 193], the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra of B is isomorphic to the crossed of B by the trivial action of $\mathbb{Z}$, that is, $B \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. $\square$

Consider the trivial Exel system $(B, \alpha, \mathcal{L})$ where $\alpha = \mathcal{L} = \text{id}$. Recall that $\mathcal{T}(B, \alpha, \mathcal{L})$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by B and an element U subject to the relations $Ux = \alpha(x)U$ and $U^*xU = \mathcal{L}(x)$ for all $x \in B$. In this case, $Ux = xU$, which implies that U is an isometry and commutes with every element of B .

Proposition 4.6. *The Exel crossed product $B \rtimes_{\text{id}, \text{id}} \mathbb{N}$ is isomorphic to $B \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$*

Proof. Recall that $B \rtimes_{\text{id}, \text{id}} \mathbb{N}$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{T}(B, \text{id}, \text{id})$ by the ideal of generated by the set of redundancies, $\{a - k : a \in B, k \in \overline{BUU^*B}, agU = kgU \ \forall g \in B\}$. Consider $k = UU^*$ and $a = 1$. Then because U is isometric and commutes with all elements of B , we have $UU^*gU = Ug = gU$ for all $g \in B$. Therefore UU^* and 1 form a redundancy, so the quotient consists of a copy of B and a unitary that commutes with B . Therefore, $B \rtimes_{\text{id}, \text{id}} \mathbb{N} \cong B \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. $\square$

Remark 4.7. Note that the above proposition provides a second example of the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra for the quantum edge correspondence being implemented by a natural choice of Exel crossed product.

4.3 | Rank-one quantum graphs

In the special case when $B = M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and ψ is a properly normalized trace, there is a nice correspondence between quantum adjacency matrices, projections in $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})^{\text{op}}$, and subspaces of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Just as before, a projection in $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})^{\text{op}}$ can be viewed as the Choi matrix of a quantum adjacency matrix, but also as an orthogonal projection onto a subspace of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, when we identify the algebra of bounded operators on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ (viewed as a Hilbert space) with $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})^{\text{op}}$ via the left–right action.

For the trivial quantum graph, the corresponding subspace of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the span of the identity matrix. It is therefore tempting to investigate other rank one subspaces. If such a subspace is spanned by a (suitably normalized) operator T , then the corresponding quantum adjacency matrix is equal to $A(x) := TxT^*$. This is the inspiration for the following definition. Recall that the density matrices $\{\rho_a : 1 \leq a \leq d\}$ associated to a δ -form ψ on B are invertible and satisfy $\text{Tr}(\rho_a^{-1}) = \delta^2$.

Definition 4.8. Let $T \in B$ satisfy $\text{Tr}(\rho_a^{-1}T^*T) = \delta^2$ for all $1 \leq a \leq d$. We call $A(x) := TxT^*$ a quantum adjacency matrix of *rank one*.

Before we proceed any further, we should first check that rank one quantum adjacency matrices are in fact quantum adjacency matrices. Because one can check the conditions separately on each matrix direct summand, we may assume that B is a matrix algebra, so we can forget about the index a .

Lemma 4.9. If $T \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies $\text{Tr}(\rho^{-1}T^*T) = \delta^2$, where ρ is the density matrix of a δ -form ψ , then $A(x) := TxT^*$ is a quantum adjacency matrix.

Proof. We have to check that $m(A \otimes A)m^*(f_{ij}) = \delta^2 A(f_{ij})$ for all adapted matrix units f_{ij} . The left-hand side is equal to $\sum_k T f_{ik} T^* T f_{kj} T^*$. We will check that $\sum_k f_{ik} S f_{kj} = \text{Tr}(\rho^{-1}S)f_{ij}$ and this will end the proof. First, we can write the matrix S as $S = \sum_{pt} S_{pt} e_{pt} = \sum_{pt} S_{pt} \sqrt{\psi(e_{pp})\psi(e_{tt})} f_{pt}$. We have $f_{ik} f_{pt} f_{kj} = \delta_{pk} \delta_{tk} \frac{1}{\psi(e_{kk})^2} f_{ij}$, so $f_{ik} S f_{kj} = \frac{S_{kk}}{\psi(e_{kk})} f_{ij}$. We obtain

$$\sum_k f_{ik} S f_{kj} = \left(\sum_k \frac{S_{kk}}{\psi(e_{kk})} \right) f_{ij} = \text{Tr}(\rho^{-1}S)f_{ij}.$$

□

Proposition 4.10. Let A be a rank one quantum adjacency matrix, given by $A(x) = TxT^*$. Recall that T is of the form $\bigoplus_a T^{(a)}$ and we assume that each $T^{(a)} \neq 0$. Then the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra of the edge correspondence is isomorphic to $B \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$.

Proof. We will once again resort to Proposition 2.7 to show that the edge correspondence is isomorphic to the trivial correspondence B . By our assumption on T , the element $\xi := \frac{1}{\delta} T^*$ is cyclic for

the trivial correspondence and we have $\delta^2\langle\xi, x\xi\rangle = TxT^* = A(x)$. Therefore by Proposition 2.7 the edge correspondence is isomorphic to the trivial correspondence by a bimodular extension of the assignment $\xi \mapsto \epsilon$. We conclude as in the proof of Corollary 4.5 that the corresponding Cuntz–Pimsner algebra is isomorphic to $B \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$. $\square$

Remark 4.11. If it happens that $T^{(a)} = 0$ for some indices a , then the same proof shows that the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra is isomorphic to $B' \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$, where B' is the direct sum of matrix algebras, but only over the indices a , for which $T^{(a)} \neq 0$.

4.4 | Quantum adjacency matrices arising from $*$ -automorphisms

Another way to generalize the trivial quantum graph is to let α be a $*$ -homomorphism of a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra B . As α is multiplicative, we have $\alpha \circ m = m \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$. It follows that $m(\alpha \otimes \alpha)m^* = \alpha mm^* = \delta^2\alpha$, that is, α is a quantum adjacency matrix. Then $\mathcal{G}_\alpha := (B, \psi, \alpha)$ is a quantum graph, and the associated quantum edge indicator for $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ is

$$\epsilon_\alpha := \frac{1}{\delta^2}(\text{id} \otimes \alpha)m^*(1) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{aij} \psi(e_{ii}^{(a)})f_{ij}^{(a)} \otimes \alpha(f_{ji}^{(a)}).$$

Let $E_\alpha = B \cdot \epsilon_\alpha \cdot B$ denote the quantum edge correspondence for $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$, and define B_α to be B as a C^* -correspondence over itself with $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$, left action given by $x \cdot \eta := \alpha(x)\eta$, and right action given by right multiplication.

Lemma 4.12. $E_\alpha \cong B_\alpha$ as C^* -correspondences.

Proof. The element $\xi := \frac{1}{\delta}1$ is cyclic for B_α and $\delta^2\langle\xi, x \cdot \xi\rangle = \alpha(x)$. By Proposition 2.7 the edge correspondence is isomorphic to B_α . $\square$

Corollary 4.13. When α is a $*$ -automorphism, the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra of E_α is isomorphic to $B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. This follows from Pimsner’s work [20, Example 3, p. 193]. $\square$

We can make this crossed product a bit more explicit. First, recall that if we have an automorphism α on a direct sum $B_1 \oplus B_2$, preserving the summands, then the corresponding crossed product splits as a direct sum as well, that is, $(B_1 \oplus B_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \simeq (B_1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \oplus (B_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$. Second, automorphisms of direct sums of matrix algebras are of a very special form. We can first collect all the matrix algebras of the same size and such a subalgebra has to be preserved by any automorphism. In this case we are dealing with an algebra of the form $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ and then any automorphism comes from a permutation of the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$ followed by *inner* automorphisms on individual matrix algebras. Because crossed products are insensitive to inner perturbations ([3, II.10.3.17]), we may assume that we are just dealing with a permutation automorphism. Moreover any permutation decomposes as a disjoint sum of cycles, so we can treat those separately. To sum up, any crossed product $B \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ will decompose as a direct sum of crossed products of the form $(M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^k) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ acts by a cycle on $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and as identity on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Since it acts as identity on the matrix algebra, the resulting crossed product is isomorphic to $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes (\mathbb{C}^k \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \cong M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T})$ ([5, Section VIII.3, p. 230].

Again, there is a natural Exel system, we may associate to the quantum graph $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Consider (B, α, α^{-1}) , where α^{-1} plays the role of the transfer operator. As in the example of the complete quantum graph, the Exel crossed product for this system, $B \rtimes_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}} \mathbb{N}$, is isomorphic to the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra $B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ for the quantum edge correspondence E_α .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Michael Brannan was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-2000331 and an NSERC Discovery Grant. Brent Nelson was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-1856683. Lara Ismert was partially supported by the NSF-AWM Mentoring Travel Grant and an AAUW American Fellowship. Mateusz Wasilewski was partially supported by the Research Foundation — Flanders (FWO) through a Postdoctoral Fellowship, by long-term structural funding — Methusalem grant of the Flemish Government — and by the European Research Council Starting Grant 677120 INDEX.

JOURNAL INFORMATION

The *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* is wholly owned and managed by the London Mathematical Society, a not-for-profit Charity registered with the UK Charity Commission. All surplus income from its publishing programme is used to support mathematicians and mathematics research in the form of research grants, conference grants, prizes, initiatives for early career researchers and the promotion of mathematics.

REFERENCES

1. M. Brannan, A. Chirvasitu, K. Eifler, S. Harris, V. Paulsen, X. Su, and M. Wasilewski, *Bigalois extensions and the graph isomorphism game*, Comm. Math. Phys. **375** (2020), no. 3, 1777–1809. MR 4091496
2. M. Brannan, K. Eifler, C. Voigt, and M. Weber, *Quantum Cuntz–Krieger algebras*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. Ser. B **9** (2022), 782.
3. B. Blackadar, *Operator algebras*, Theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator algebras and non-commutative geometry, III, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 122, Springer, Berlin, 2006. MR 2188261
4. J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains*, Inventiones mathematicae **56** (1980), no. 3, 251–268.
5. K. R. Davidson, *C^* -algebras by example*, Fields Institute Monographs, vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. MR 1402012
6. R. Duan, S. Severini, and A. Winter, *Zero-error communication via quantum channels, noncommutative graphs, and a quantum Lovász number*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **59** (2013), no. 2, 1164–1174. MR 3015725
7. J. A. Erdos, A. Katavolos, and V. S. Shulman, *Rank one subspaces of bimodules over maximal abelian selfadjoint algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **157** (1998), no. 2, 554–587.
8. R. Exel and M. Laca, *Cuntz–Krieger algebras for infinite matrices*, J. Reine. Angew. Math. **1999** (1999), no. 512, 119–172.
9. S. Eilers, G. Restorff, E. Ruiz, and A. P. W. Sørensen, *Geometric classification of graph C^* -algebras over finite graphs*, Canad. J. Math. **70** (2018), no. 2, 294–353. MR 3759003
10. S. Eilers, G. Restorff, E. Ruiz, and A. P. W. Sørensen, *The complete classification of unital graph C^* -algebras: geometric and strong*, Duke Math. J. **170** (2021), no. 11, 2421–2517. MR 4302548
11. R. Exel, *Crossed-products by finite index endomorphisms and KMS states*, J. Funct. Anal. **199** (2003), no. 1, 153–188. MR 1966826
12. R. Exel, *A new look at the crossed-product of a C^* -algebra by an endomorphism*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **23** (2003), no. 6, 1733–1750. MR 2032486
13. R. Exel, *KMS states for generalized gauge actions on Cuntz–Krieger algebras (an application of the Ruelle–Perron–Frobenius theorem)*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **35** (2004), no. 1, 1–12. MR 2057042
14. T. Katsura, *On C^* -algebras associated with C^* -correspondences*, J. Funct. Anal. **217** (2004), no. 2, 366–401. MR 2102572

15. A. Kumjian and D. Pask, *Higher rank graph C^* -algebras*, N. Y. J. Math. **6** (2000), 1–20.
16. M. Lupini, L. Mančinska, and D. E. Roberson, *Nonlocal games and quantum permutation groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **279** (2020), no. 5, 108592, 44. MR 4097284
17. A. Morgan, *Cuntz-Pimsner algebras associated to tensor products of C^* -correspondences*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **102** (2017), no. 3, 348–368. MR 3650962
18. L. Mančinska and D. Roberson, *Quantum isomorphism is equivalent to equality of homomorphism counts from planar graphs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.06958 (2019).
19. B. Musto, D. Reutter, and D. Verdon, *The Morita theory of quantum graph isomorphisms*, Commun. Math. Phys. **365** (2019), no. 2, 797–845.
20. M. V. Pimsner, *A class of C^* -algebras generalizing both Cuntz-Krieger algebras and crossed products by $\mathbf{Z}$, Free probability theory (Waterloo, ON, 1995)*, Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 189–212. MR 1426840
21. I. Raeburn, *Graph algebras*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 103, Conference Board of Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, American Mathematical Society, 2005.
22. M. Tomforde, *A unified approach to Exel-Laca algebras and C^* -algebras associated to graphs*, J. Oper. Theory **50** (2003), no. 2, 345–368.
23. M. Tomforde, *Classification of graph algebras: a selective survey*, *Operator algebras and applications—the Abel Symposium 2015*, Abel Symp., vol. 12, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 303–325. MR 3837603
24. N. Weaver, *Quantum relations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **215** (2012), no. 1010, v–vi, 81–140. MR 2908249
25. N. Weaver, *Quantum graphs as quantum relations*, J. Geom. Anal. **31** (2021), no. 9, 9090–9112. MR 4302212