

Article

Biogeography and Genetic Diversity of Terrestrial Mites in the Ross Sea Region, Antarctica

Gemma E. Collins ^{1,2,*}, Monica R. Young ³, Peter Convey ^{4,5,6}, Steven L. Chown ⁷, S. Craig Cary ¹, Byron J. Adams ^{8,9}, Diana H. Wall ^{10,11} and Ian D. Hogg ^{1,12,*}

¹ School of Science, University of Waikato, Hamilton 3240, New Zealand

² LOEWE Centre for Translational Biodiversity Genomics, Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

³ Canadian National Collection of Insects Arachnids and Nematodes, Agriculture and AgriFood Canada, Ottawa, ON K1A 0C6, Canada

⁴ British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge CB3 0ET, UK

⁵ Department of Zoology, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa

⁶ Millennium Institute Biodiversity of Antarctic and Subantarctic Ecosystems, BASE, University Austral of Chile, Valdivia 5090000, Chile

⁷ Securing Antarctica's Environmental Future, School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne 3800, Australia

⁸ Department of Biology, Evolutionary Ecology Laboratories, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA

⁹ Monte L. Bean Museum, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602, USA

¹⁰ Department of Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

¹¹ School of Global Environmental Sustainability, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

¹² Canadian High Arctic Research Station, Polar Knowledge Canada, Cambridge Bay, NU X0B 0C0, Canada

* Correspondence: CollinsG@landcareresearch.co.nz (G.E.C.); ian.hogg@polar-polaire.gc.ca (I.D.H.)

† Current address: Landcare Research Manaaki Whenua, Tamaki Campus, Auckland 1142, New Zealand.



Citation: Collins, G.E.; Young, M.R.; Convey, P.; Chown, S.L.; Cary, S.C.; Adams, B.J.; Wall, D.H.; Hogg, I.D. Biogeography and Genetic Diversity of Terrestrial Mites in the Ross Sea Region, Antarctica. *Genes* **2023**, *14*, 606. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14030606>

Academic Editors: Joe Hoffman and Svenja Heesch

Received: 2 February 2023

Revised: 23 February 2023

Accepted: 23 February 2023

Published: 28 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Free-living terrestrial mites (Acari) have persisted through numerous glacial cycles in Antarctica. Very little is known, however, of their genetic diversity and distribution, particularly within the Ross Sea region. To redress this gap, we sampled mites throughout the Ross Sea region, East Antarctica, including Victoria Land and the Queen Maud Mountains (QMM), covering a latitudinal range of 72–85 °S, as well as Lauf Island near Mt. Siple (73 °S) in West Antarctica and Macquarie Island (54°S) in the sub-Antarctic. We assessed genetic diversity using mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I gene sequences (COI-5P DNA barcode region), and also morphologically identified voucher specimens. We obtained 130 sequences representing four genera: *Nanorchestes* (*n* = 30 sequences), *Stereotydeus* (*n* = 46), *Coccorhagidia* (*n* = 18) and *Eupodes* (*n* = 36). Tree-based analyses (maximum likelihood) revealed 13 genetic clusters, representing as many as 23 putative species indicated by barcode index numbers (BINs) from the Barcode of Life Datasystems (BOLD) database. We found evidence for geographically-isolated cryptic species, e.g., within *Stereotydeus belli* and *S. punctatus*, as well as unique genetic groups occurring in sympatry (e.g., *Nanorchestes* spp. in QMM). Collectively, these data confirm high genetic divergence as a consequence of geographic isolation over evolutionary timescales. From a conservation perspective, additional targeted sampling of understudied areas in the Ross Sea region should be prioritised, as further diversity is likely to be found in these short-range endemic mites.

Keywords: speciation; geographic isolation; Acari; Antarctic conservation; DNA barcoding

1. Introduction

Antarctic terrestrial arthropods have persisted for millions of years in microhabitats that are ice-free yet have some liquid water available [1–3]. The extent of these microhabitats, and how they are distributed across the landscape, is largely determined by the underlying geography and millennia-scale ice sheet dynamics [4], although across much

of Antarctica the precise locations of refugia have not been identified. Even during periods of extensive ice cover, such as during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), in some regions, species likely survived either on protruding nunataks [1] or in association with geothermal features [5], although such features do not appear to explain the persistence of most terrestrial arthropod diversity, which is limited to lower-altitude coastal areas [1]. Long-term isolation of arthropod populations across the landscape, with resulting genetic bottlenecks, can ultimately lead to speciation through divergence via genetic drift or mutation [6]. However, as these isolated habitats in Antarctica are often difficult to access and sample, many locally unique genetic lineages are likely to await discovery. Mites are the most taxonomically diverse and widespread microarthropods in Antarctica, with at least 19 species currently recognized from the Ross Sea region alone [7–9] (Table S1). However, estimates of their genetic diversity and levels of divergence among populations remain largely unknown.

Many Antarctic taxa are short-range endemics [10], limited to spatially small geographical areas within the continent. The logistical constraints inherent to remote Antarctic fieldwork, which have limited sampling of spatially isolated habitats, also limit the number of species that can be addressed in a single study. This is unfortunate, because taxonomic breadth is essential for gaining a more comprehensive knowledge of diversity across the landscape and for understanding processes of evolution and speciation. The ice-free terrestrial habitats of continental Antarctica have been classified into several biologically distinct regions (bioregions), known as Antarctic Conservation Biogeographic Regions (ACBRs) [11,12]. Within these bioregions there are also many smaller-scale landscape barriers to dispersal, further structuring the distribution of species or genetic lineages [13,14]. Studies using DNA-based methods for discriminating species, including cryptic species, have now been carried out for several Antarctic terrestrial invertebrate groups including rotifers [15], tardigrades [16,17], mites [18,19] and Collembola [20–23]. However, many of these studies are limited to small spatial scales, covering single bioregions [24].

Studies that incorporate both morphological and genetic data have shown that some Antarctic taxa that were originally thought to be widespread single species often consist of multiple, distinct species. For example, the collembolan *Friesea grisea* Schäffer with its type locality in sub-Antarctic South Georgia was widely reported from both maritime and continental Antarctica [25,26]. Until recently, this was one of the only micro-arthropod species thought to occur in both the latter regions as well as the sub-Antarctic. However, driven by the application of molecular phylogenetic analyses and also supported by detailed micromorphological assessments, this species has now been redescribed as at least five species [21,22,27,28]. Available molecular tools for detecting potential species-level differences include barcode index numbers (BINs), which are based on a clustering algorithm [29] and integrated into the Barcode of Life Datasystems (BOLD) database. Unique BINs can provide evidence to support putative species identifications and can also be used to quantify diversity where morphology is unclear for understudied or morphologically cryptic taxa.

Genetic markers for species-level assessments include the 5' region of the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (COI-5P) gene [30], which is the most commonly used DNA-based marker, particularly for Antarctic taxa such as Collembola [23,31,32]. Unfortunately, this standard “DNA barcoding” region has seen limited sequencing success for mites, e.g., [33], and previous Antarctic studies of the prostigmatid genus *Stereotydeus* have used the COI-3P region as an alternative [18,23,34,35]. Collectively, these studies have provided evidence of local speciation, including two new species descriptions for *S. nunatakis* and *S. ineffabilis* in Victoria Land [36]. However, the difficulty of obtaining specimens from a wider range of remote and isolated locations has limited the geographic coverage of available studies. Further, the absence of COI-5P sequences for Antarctic mites has limited a more widespread comparison with other taxa in Antarctica and elsewhere. Obtaining COI-5P sequences from Antarctic mite taxa would facilitate comparison with

existing databases such as the Barcode of Life Datatypes (BOLD) database [37], as well as assist in identifying potentially distinct species and population genetic structure.

One Antarctic mite taxon that would particularly benefit from further attention is *Nanorchestes* (Endeostigmata), a widespread genus of small-bodied mites that are also found globally [38]. Although yet to be subjected to similar molecular studies, the species *Nanorchestes antarcticus* Strandmann, 1963 (type locality: Observation Hill, Ross Island, Victoria Land) likely provides an analogous example. It is another species that was originally recorded from both the maritime and continental Antarctic [8]. However, Strandmann [39] subsequently described material from the former region as two distinct species, *N. berryi* and *N. gressitti*. Four new species were also described from Macquarie Island [40], and four species from the Ross Sea region [9], all of which were previously referred to as *N. antarcticus*. Considering the widespread geographic distribution of this taxon and its acknowledged morphological variability, it is likely that further species-level divergences will be revealed by the application of modern molecular approaches.

Here, using integrated morphological and molecular approaches, we assessed the species diversity of terrestrial mites collected from the Ross Sea region of Antarctica, including North Victoria Land (NVL), South Victoria Land (SVL) and the Queen Maud Mountains (QMM), covering a regional latitudinal range of 72–85 °S. We also obtained mites from Lauft Island near Mt. Siple (73 °S) in West Antarctica and Macquarie Island (54 °S) in the sub-Antarctic. We used mitochondrial DNA cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I gene sequences (COI-5P DNA barcode region) to assess levels of genetic diversity within and among locations, as well as assist with species-level identifications. Our data provide a framework for ongoing studies as well as initiate a reference library for the molecular identification (DNA barcoding) of Antarctic taxa.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Specimen Collection

Sampling for free-living mites was undertaken during austral summer field seasons between 2008 and 2018 at 16 locations throughout the Ross Sea region (continental Antarctica), spanning latitudes from 72 to 85 °S, and occupying the longitudinal wedge between 160 °E and 160 °W (Figure 1). This region includes three biologically distinct zones, or Antarctic Biogeographic Conservation Regions (ACBRs) *sensu* [11,12], North Victoria Land (NVL), South Victoria Land (SVL) and the Queen Maud Mountains (QMM, part of the Transantarctic Mountains ACBR (TAM)). The Queen Maud Mountains represent some of the southern-most terrestrial ice-free habitats in Antarctica. Further samples were obtained in 2017 from the previously unsampled Lauft Island (unofficial name near Mt. Siple; 73 °S, 127 °W) in West Antarctica and sub-Antarctic Macquarie Island (54 °S, 159 °E). All specimens were collected using modified aspirators [41], pitfall traps [42] or flotation from soil samples [43] and immediately preserved in 100% ethanol.

2.2. Genetic and Morphological Analyses

For genetic analyses, we used DNA sequences from the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I gene (COI-5P DNA barcode region) [30]. On return of the specimens from Antarctica, DNA sequencing was undertaken either at the University of Waikato (UoW), New Zealand or the Canadian Centre for DNA barcoding (CCDB), Canada. For total DNA extraction, a REXtract-Namp kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KGaA, Germany) was used at the UoW, while a glass fibre plate method (AcroPrep, Pall Corp., New York, NY, USA) was used at the CCDB. The COI gene was amplified using the universal primers HCO2198 and LCO1490 [44] at the UoW, and the combination of HCO2198, LCO1490 together with LepF1 and LepR1 [45] at the CCDB. At the UoW, PCR amplifications for each specimen were carried out in 15 µL volumes containing 7.5 µL PCR master mix solution (i-Taq) (Intron Biotechnology), 0.2 µM (0.3 µL) of each primer and 1 µL of DNA extract (unquantified). Thermal cycling conditions were: 94 °C for 5 min followed by 5 cycles (94 °C for 1 min, 48 °C for 1.5 min and 72 °C for 1 min), then 35 cycles (94 °C for 1 min, 52 °C for 1.5 min and

72 °C for 1 min) of denaturation and polymerase amplification, with a final elongation at 72 °C for 5 min. At CCDB, thermal cycling conditions were: 94 °C for 1 min followed by 5 cycles (94 °C for 1 min, 45 °C for 1.5 min and 72 °C for 1.5 min) then 60 cycles (94 °C for 1 min, 50 °C for 1.5 min and 72 °C for 1 min) of denaturation and polymerase amplification, with a final elongation at 72 °C for 5 min. Successful amplification products were cleaned with 0.1 µL exonuclease (EXO) (10 U/µL) and 0.2 µL shrimp alkaline phosphate (SAP) (1 U/µL) (Illustra from GE Healthcare) at 37 °C for 30 min then 80 °C for 15 min at the UoW, or Sephadex at CCDB. Sequencing was carried out in forward and reverse directions using an ABI 3130 at the UoW or an ABI 3730x1 sequencer at the CCDB. Sequences were uploaded to the Barcode of Life Datasystems (BOLD) database. Specimen collection details, photographs, primers used and full sequence data are available from the BOLD database under dataset DS-ANTMIT (dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-ANTMIT, accessed on 17 March 2023).

Following DNA extraction, the exoskeletons for some individual specimens were carefully removed from the extract solution and permanently slide-mounted in polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) mounting medium (BioQuip Products, Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA) for morphological assessment. The slide-mounted specimens were then identified with the aid of a compound microscope using the available taxonomic literature e.g., [8,9,40]. All mounted slides are housed at the Canadian National Collection of Insects, Arachnids and Nematodes (Agriculture and AgriFood Canada, Ottawa, ON Canada) and the remainder of the specimens are housed at the Centre for Biodiversity Genomics (University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada). Identifications were possible for 12 specimens representing five currently recognized species. For any uncertainties with species-level identifications, the more conservative genus-level assignment was used.

2.3. Data Analyses

In addition to our newly generated sequences, 491 publicly available COI-5P sequences of at least 400 bp in length were downloaded from GenBank in July 2022 for the genera *Nanorchestes*, *Eupodes* and *Rhagidia*. No previous sequences were available for *Stereotydeus* or *Coccorhagidia*. None of the existing public records were from Antarctica or the sub-Antarctic. To simplify the analyses, we reduced these public records to one sequence per unique barcode identification number (BIN) or named species ($n = 26$). The final sequence alignment of 156 sequences, each ranging from 404 to 658 bp in length, included our new sequences ($n = 130$) as well as the 26 representative publicly available sequences ($n = 11$ *Eupodes*, $n = 10$ *Nanorchestes*, $n = 5$ *Rhagidia*). All sequences were aligned in Geneious Prime v2021.2.2 using MUSCLE 3.8.425 and then exported as a PHYLIP file for downstream analyses. A maximum likelihood tree was built using IQ-TREE 2 [46] (-nt AUTO -s alignment.phy -st DNA -m MFP -bb 1000 -bnni -alrt 1000) where the best fit model of TIM+F+R4 chosen according to BIC was inherently applied during the tree-building process. The resulting tree file was then imported to R using read.tree from ggtree v3.1.5.900 [47] for visualisation, along with tidyverse v1.3.1 [48] and treeio v1.17.2 [49]. Table S2 provides collection details, BINs and BOLD codes for each sequence included in the phylogenetic tree. Haplotype networks were created in R for *Stereotydeus* and *Nanorchestes*, using pegas v1.1 [50] and phylotools v0.2.2 [51], and are available as supplementary material (Figures S1 and S2).

Based on the maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree, monophyletic clades were considered as “genetic clusters”, or putative species, if members of the group had already been taxonomically identified to species level (slide-mounted specimens) or otherwise based on location, as there was a clear genetic distinction between regions. A barcode gap analysis [52] was then performed on these putative species groups using ape v5.6.2 [53], spider v1.5.0 [54] and ggplot2 v3.3.5 [55]. Following the genetic analyses, all specimens that occurred within the same BIN as the morphologically identified specimens were also attributed to this taxonomic name following protocols in deWaard et al. [56].




Figure 1. Standard COI barcodes were obtained from 130 individual mites that were collected from 16 sampling locations throughout the Ross Sea region and Lauf Island and Macquarie Island (a), including the Queen Maud Mountains (b) and Victoria Land (c,d).

3. Results

We obtained 130 COI-5P sequences for individual free-living mites collected from 16 locations throughout the Ross Sea region as well as from the Lauf and Macquarie Islands. Each specimen was identified morphologically to at least the genus level (Prostigmata: *Coccorhagidia*, *Eupodes*, *Stereotydeus*; Endeostigmata: *Nanorchestes*), and five taxa (12 specimens) were identified to species level (*Coccorhagidia gressitti*, *C. keithi*, *Eupodes wisei*, *Stereotydeus belli* and *S. punctatus*). A maximum likelihood tree delineated the Antarctic sequences into 13 major monophyletic groups (genetic clusters), 5 of which represented the taxonomically identified species, and none of which contained the publicly available (i.e., non-Antarctic)

COI-5P sequences (Figure 2 and Figure S3). The 13 clusters were each separated by 8–19% sequence divergence (Figure 3; Table 1). Seven of the clusters were represented by a single barcode index number (BIN) and contained < 2.9% sequence divergence, while the remaining six clusters each contained 2–3 BINs and 3.7–14% sequence divergence (Table 1).




Figure 2. Maximum likelihood tree including 26 publicly available COI-5P mite sequences (in grey), as well as our 130 individual mites sequenced from the Ross Sea region that were grouped into 13 genetic clusters (putative species) based on morphological identifications and sequence-based analyses. Each cluster was found in only one of the major biogeographic regions, being restricted to one of the Queen Maud Mountains (QMM), South Victoria Land (SVL) or North Victoria Land (NVL). The Lauf Island population of *Nanorchestes* was most similar to one of the QMM species. See the supplementary figures for a more detailed version of the tree. Created with BioRender.com.




Figure 3. Barcode gap analysis confirmed that our genetic-based clustering into putative species-level groups was appropriate and in agreement with species named in traditional morphological taxonomy (for those specimens that could be identified to species level using available taxonomic keys). See Table 1 and Table S3 for precise intraspecific and interspecific divergence values.

Our genetic data support the taxonomic species designations of *C. keithi* and *E. wisei*, as they were represented by a single BIN each. However, we found multiple BINs (i.e., potential cryptic species) for *C. gressitti*, *S. belli* and *S. punctatus* (Table 1). The three BINs of *C. gressitti* (>6% divergence) were all present at Christie Peak, while the BINs for *S. belli* and *S. punctatus* were geographically isolated. *Stereotydeus belli* and *S. punctatus* each had a unique population found only at Cape Hallett, which was genetically distinct from their respective populations found either at Redcastle Ridge (*S. belli*; 7.8% divergent) or Tombstone Hill (*S. punctatus*; 11.04% divergent).

Three further genetic clusters (*Nanorchestes* sp. 1 and sp. 6, *Stereotydeus* sp. 4), which were identified morphologically to genus level, also included more than one unique BIN. These BINs were distributed differently across the landscape, depending on the taxon. For instance, some taxa had all BINs present at a single location, while for other taxa unique BINs were each found at a different location. All three BINs of *Nanorchestes* sp. 1 (>6% divergence) were found at Ebony Ridge, and the two BINs for *Nanorchestes* sp. 6 (>3% divergence) were found at the same site on Macquarie Island. In contrast, BINs were unique to single locations for three of the four *Stereotydeus* clusters, the exception being Cape Hallett, where two BINs for *S. punctatus* were present in sympatry (Table 1).

Based on the morphological and genetic data, we identified two locations at which four or more putative mite species were present (Table 1). At Cape Hallett in North Victoria Land (72 °S), *C. gressitti*, *E. wisei*, *S. belli* and *S. punctatus* were present in sympatry. Two unique BINs for *S. punctatus* were also found at Cape Hallett, giving a total of five unique mite BINs at this location. At Mount Franke in the Queen Maud Mountain region (84 °S), two genetic clusters each of *Stereotydeus* and *Nanorchestes* were present (five unique BINs in total), representing 4–5 putative species.

Table 1. Geographic distribution of the 13 genetic clusters of Antarctic mites identified in this study; NVL: North Victoria Land, SVL: South Victoria Land, QMM: Queen Maud Mountains, (B): in the vicinity of Beardmore Glacier, (S): Shackleton Glacier.

Genus		<i>Coccorhagidia</i>		<i>Eupodes</i>	<i>Nanorchestes</i>					<i>Stereotydeus</i>				Totals	
Putative Species Clusters		<i>gressitti</i>	<i>keithi</i>	<i>wisei</i>	sp. 1	sp. 2	sp. 3	sp. 4	sp. 5	sp. 6	<i>belli</i>	<i>punctatus</i>	sp. 3	sp. 4	13
n sequences		14	4	36	9	7	5	3	2	4	27	7	5	7	130
n BINs		3	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	1	3	23
Max. intra.		6.23%	0.46%	2.58%	6.56%	0.57%	0.00%	2.90%	NA	3.77%	7.80%	11.04%	0.30%	14.13%	
Min. inter.		10.94%	10.94%	13.97%	8.24%	8.24%	19.35%	19.21%	NA	19.13%	11.09%	11.09%	12.10%	14.44%	
Region	Location	Locations where each putative species cluster was found													Total n clusters per location
	Macquarie Is.	[X] **													
	Lauff Island	X *													
NVL	Cape Hallett	X		X							X *	X **			4
NVL	Christie Peak	[X]		X											2
NVL	Luther Vale	X		X											2
NVL	Unnamed Ridge	X													1
NVL	Tombstone Hill						X *					X *			2
NVL	Redcastle Ridge										X *				1
SVL	Cliff Nunatak		X												1
SVL	Mt. Murray		X												1
SVL	Mt. Seuss								X						1
SVL	Taylor Valley								X						1
QMM (B)	Gateway Spur													X *	1
QMM (B)	Ebony Ridge				[X]			X							2
QMM (B)	Harcourt Spur				X										1
QMM (B)	Mt. Kyffin				X										1
QMM (S)	Mt. Franke				X			X					X	X *	4
QMM (S)	Mt. Wasko							X					X	X *	3
Total n locations		4	2	3	4	1	1	3	2	1	2	2	2	3	

[X]: all BINs for this species cluster were found in sympatry at this location; X *: this location harboured a BIN that was found nowhere else; X **: two BINs were found here and nowhere else.

4. Discussion

We assessed the genetic diversity of Antarctic mites from across a wide geographic and taxonomic range in the Ross Sea region. We obtained 130 standard COI-5P DNA barcode sequences *sensu* [30] from individual mites representing the four genera *Nanorchestes*, *Stereotydeus*, *Coccorhagidia* and *Eupodes*. The sequences were grouped into 13 distinct genetic clusters and a total of 23 unique BINs, some of which were found at only a single location. We also identified locations where multiple clusters or BINs occurred in sympatry, highlighting the potential conservation priorities for protecting sites with high levels of diversity, in keeping with the Antarctic Specially Protected Area priorities outlined by the Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty [57].

Nanorchestes was the most genetically diverse and widespread genus in our dataset, with six major genetic clusters distributed across ten locations, covering all three ACBRs in the Ross Sea region [11,12] as well as Lauft Island and Macquarie Island. Although considerable morphological variation was noted in the original description of *Nanorchestes antarcticus* [8], this taxon was subsequently divided into multiple distinct species based on detailed morphology [9,39,40]. Based on the geographic range of each of the genetic clusters we found, it is likely that *Nanorchestes* sp. 3 in NVL is either *N. antarcticus* or *N. lalae*, *Nanorchestes* sp. 5 in SVL could represent either *N. bellus*, *N. lalae* or *N. antarcticus*, and *Nanorchestes* sp. 1 and 4 in the QMM could represent *N. lalae* and *N. brekkeristae*. We also found a genetically distinct cluster (*Nanorchestes* sp. 2) at Lauft Island near Mt. Siple, a location from where microarthropods including *Nanorchestes* had not previously been sampled or described. The individuals collected from Lauft Island were genetically most similar to the *Nanorchestes* found in the QMM region (8% sequence divergence), and we speculate that refugial locations in the QMM may have potentially acted as a source habitat for Lauft Island. Based on available physical modelling and biological evidence [58,59] areas in the QMM were likely to have remained ice-free during the last glacial maximum (LGM). In the absence of the present-day Ross Ice Shelf during warmer periods [59], individuals could have dispersed (e.g., via rafting on ocean currents) from the QMM and populated any suitable habitats near Lauft Island until the Ross ice sheet reformed [60]. Future morphological and multi-gene analyses focused on individuals from both Lauft Island and the QMM would help distinguish putative species and the evolutionary relationships between the *Nanorchestes* populations at these two locations.

Stereotydeus belli and *S. punctatus* are currently known only from the North Victoria Land region, with *S. punctatus* reported from only a single location, Crater Cirque [34]. However, we found genetic variants of *S. punctatus* (three BINs) from two additional locations in NVL, suggesting that even further genetic diversity may exist within this species. *Stereotydeus belli* and *S. punctatus* were each represented by multiple BINs and some of these BINs were geographically isolated. Both species had a unique population found only at Cape Hallett and a genetically divergent population (7–11% sequence divergence) at either Tombstone Hill or Redcastle Ridge. Both Redcastle Ridge and Tombstone Hill are located towards the inner end of the Edisto Inlet, presumably isolated from Cape Hallett by local marine currents. Indeed, the isolation of Cape Hallett from other NVL sites has already been recognised for *S. belli* [34].

Patterns of genetic variability among sites in the QMM differed depending on the taxon considered. We found two genetic clusters of *Stereotydeus* in the QMM and it is plausible that at least one of these is *S. shoupi*, the only *Stereotydeus* species currently described and recorded from this region [8]. Demetras et al. [18] previously addressed the genetic diversity of *S. shoupi* from the QMM using the alternative COI-3P gene region and found 8% genetic divergence between the Darwin Glacier (79 °S) and Beardmore Glacier (83 °S). We also found high levels of genetic divergence in this region, of up to 14% between populations at Beardmore Glacier and the more southerly Shackleton Glacier (84 °S), for *Stereotydeus* sp. 4 (which also could be *S. shoupi*). Such high levels of genetic variation between the Beardmore and Shackleton Glaciers have also been found for Collembola, with over 13% COI-5P sequence divergence in *Antarctophorus subpolaris*

between these two locations [58]. In contrast, *Nanorchestes* had shared BINs between the Beardmore and Shackleton Glacier sites. One possible explanation is that a smaller body size and greater tolerance of lower environmental temperatures for *Nanorchestes* relative to *Stereotydeus* [61] facilitated increased levels of dispersal among habitats. However, further work *sensu* [42,62,63] would be required to test this hypothesis.

Stereotydeus sp. 3 was found only in the vicinity of the Shackleton Glacier, and could represent a more southern population for a northern species of *Stereotydeus*. This has previously been found for the collembolan *Gomphiocephalus hodgsoni*, a common SVL species that was only recently reported from the QMM region [58]. Alternatively, *Stereotydeus* sp. 3 may represent a previously undescribed species unique to the area. Similar to *Stereotydeus* sp. 3, *Tullbergia mediantarctica* (Collembola) is also only known from a small area near the mouth of the Shackleton Glacier in the QMM and has previously been identified as potentially vulnerable to extinction due to this narrow distributional range [58]. We suggest that some of the mite taxa we have identified with narrow geographic distributions may also be vulnerable under future climate scenarios [64].

Four genetic clusters were found at Mount Franke, near the Shackleton glacier in the QMM region, probably representing two species of *Stereotydeus* and two of *Nanorchestes*. While reductions in biodiversity are often observed with increasing latitude, we found no evidence of this occurring in the Ross Sea region, as a similar level of diversity was found at both QMM and NVL (four genetic clusters each). Caruso et al. [65] and Colesie et al. [66] also concluded that levels of diversity were more likely to have been influenced by microhabitat water availability rather than macroclimatic temperature changes associated with latitude in this region. For example, we found six *Nanorchestes* species clusters, each with different distributional ranges, which could be due to different habitat preferences, a feature already hypothesised among other species of this genus from the Antarctic Peninsula region [67]. Notably, some soils that were exposed during the LGM may provide less suitable habitats today owing to salt accumulation [68–70]. While abiotic factors are usually considered the predominant factor shaping biological communities across continental Antarctica [71], it is possible that additional biotic interactions have remained undetected [72,73].

For the five species that were taxonomically verified, we found 0.46% to 11.04% sequence divergence among populations. Previous genetic studies of Antarctic mites have suggested that they may have differing levels of genetic divergence relative to sympatric Collembola. Possible explanations include ecological factors, such as mites being able to colonize and tolerate newly available habitats before Collembola (and hence longer potential divergence time) or that they have differing rates of evolution [18,23,35]. The Dry Valleys are the most accessible and widely studied ice-free areas in the Ross Sea region. However, at the time of those studies, only *Stereotydeus mollis* was known from this area. With the recent description of an additional species in the same area, *S. ineffabilis* [36], we suggest the possibility that the “intraspecific diversity” described for *S. mollis* by McGaughan et al. [35] and Stevens and Hogg [23] may be the result of genetic differences between *S. mollis* and *S. ineffabilis*. Furthermore, all existing mite studies that include DNA-based methods [18,23,34,35] have used the alternative COI-3P gene region. The COI-3P gene region is more suitable for resolving more deeply-rooted phylogenetic relationships and is more reliably amplified and sequenced than the standard COI-5P region, which has a particularly low success rate (<50%) in mites [74]. Unfortunately, direct comparisons of genetic divergence for mites and Collembola are only likely to be accurate when the same gene region is used. The COI-5P sequences we provide here can now be used as a reference for future comparisons of divergence rates.

In conclusion, based on sequencing of the COI-5P gene region, we found between 13 and as many as 23 putative species representing four mite genera, far exceeding previously recognized diversity from this geographic region. Further mite diversity is likely to remain undetected and ongoing and targeted sampling initiatives, e.g., [75] will advance this field. Understudied areas that should be specifically targeted include the area south of the Drygalski Ice Tongue (76 °S) in NVL, around the Darwin Glacier (80 °S) in the Transantarctic

Mountains and in the vicinity of the Byrd Glacier (82 °S) in the QMM. We highlight the value of DNA barcoding for providing initial evidence of species-level diversity, and encourage combined morphological and molecular approaches as part of a more rigorous assessment of distinct species. The standardized DNA sequences (barcodes) we provide serve as a baseline reference library for mites in the Antarctic and will facilitate future DNA-based studies, including comparative studies among locations and taxa. Future studies are likely to benefit from multi-gene or meta-genomic approaches. Depending on the quality of DNA preservation, future studies may be able to use existing sample collections and limit the need for repeat visits to previously visited locations, thereby helping to reduce the costs and carbon footprint of conducting research in Antarctica [76]. However, ongoing fieldwork will be required for fresh specimens (non-degraded) that may not have yet been analysed for DNA variability (e.g., *Stereotydeus villosus* from the maritime Antarctic) or for targeting specific taxa that may not yet have been collected, such as the oribatid mite *Maudheimia petronia* from NVL or *Protereunetes maudae* (Eupodidae) from QMM [8].

Overall, our data suggest that the combination of highly isolated locations and long evolutionary timescales have resulted in high levels of genetic differentiation and local speciation for mites throughout the Ross Sea region. A fuller understanding of the locally unique and endemic mite taxa of Antarctica, which occupy the most southern terrestrial habitats on Earth, will provide valuable insights into the evolutionary history of the Antarctic landscape.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/genes14030606/s1>, Table S1: List of 19 mite species known from the Ross Sea region and from which area they occur: North Victoria Land (NVL), South Victoria Land (SVL) or the Queen Maud Mountains (QMM); Table S2: Extended collection information for each sequence in the phylogenetic tree, including BOLD codes used in the ANTMIT dataset; Table S3: Results from the barcode gap analysis; Figure S1: Haplotype network for *Stereotydeus* specimens; Figure S2: Haplotype network for *Nanorchestes* specimens; Figure S3: Full maximum likelihood tree with additional collection information included in the tip labels, and bootstrap support values.

Author Contributions: Conceptualisation: I.D.H., B.J.A. and D.H.W.; methodology: G.E.C., M.R.Y., B.J.A., S.C.C., D.H.W. and I.D.H.; formal analysis and investigation: G.E.C. and M.R.Y.; writing—original draft preparation: G.E.C., I.D.H., P.C. and M.R.Y.; writing—review and editing: G.E.C., M.R.Y., P.C., S.L.C., S.C.C., B.J.A., D.H.W. and I.D.H.; funding acquisition: I.D.H., S.L.C., S.C.C., B.J.A. and D.H.W.; resources: S.L.C., S.C.C., B.J.A., D.H.W. and I.D.H.; supervision: I.D.H. and P.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Funding and/or logistic support were provided by: New Zealand Antarctic Research Institute (NZARI) and Antarctica New Zealand (AntNZ) to IDH for work in South Victoria Land (SVL) and the Queen Maud Mountains (QMM); PC was supported by NERC core funding to the BAS “Biodiversity, Evolution and Adaptation” Team; SCC and the work in North Victoria Land (NVL) were supported by a grant from NZARI and a Catalyst Award from the New Zealand Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment (MBIE); we thank AntNZ, the Korea Polar Research Institute (KOPRI) and staff at Jan Bogo Station for support of the work in NVL; the United States National Science Foundation (ANT-1341736, OPP-1637708) for support of D.H.W., I.D.H. and B.J.A. in S.V.L. and Q.M.M.; and the Antarctic Circumpolar Expedition (ACE) for funding to SLC (for Mt. Siple) and the Australian Antarctic Program for funding to SLC (for Macquarie Island).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All original photographs, specimen and sequence data are available online from the Barcode of Life DataSystems (BOLD) database (www.boldsystems.org (accessed on 26 February 2023)) under dataset DS-ANTMIT (dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-ANTMIT (accessed on 17 March 2023)).

Acknowledgments: We are very grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful and supportive comments and to L. Gerrish for producing Figure 1. We thank C. Beet, D. Cowan, U. Nielsen, L. Sancho, A. Green, P. Roudier, M. Stevens, H. Morgan, B. Storey, M. Shaver-Adams, N. Fierer, M. Diaz and G. Schellens for assistance and company in the field and/or laboratory.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Convey, P.; Biersma, E.M.; Casanova-Katny, A.; Maturana, C.S. Refuges of Antarctic diversity. In *Past Antarctica*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; pp. 181–200. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Hogg, I.D.; Stevens, M.I.; Wall, D.H. Invertebrates. In *Antarctic Terrestrial Microbiology*; Cowan, D.A., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2014; Chapter 4.
3. Hogg, I.D.; Stevens, M.I. Soil fauna of Antarctic Coastal Landscapes. In *Geoecology of Antarctic Ice-free Coastal Landscapes. Ecological Studies Analysis and Synthesis*; Beyer, L., Boelter, M., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2002; Volume 154, Chapter 15. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Caruso, T.; Hogg, I.D.; Bargagli, R. Identifying appropriate sampling and modelling approaches for analysing distributional patterns of Antarctic terrestrial arthropods along the Victoria Land latitudinal gradient. *Antarct. Sci.* **2010**, *22*, 742–748. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Fraser, C.I.; Terauds, A.; Smellie, J.; Convey, P.; Chown, S.L. Geothermal activity helps life survive glacial cycles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, 5634–5639. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
6. Coyne, J.A.; Orr, H.A. Speciation: A catalogue and critique of species concepts. In *Philosophy of Biology: An Anthology*; Rosenberg, A., Arp, R., Eds.; Wiley-Blackwell: Oxford, UK, 2004; Chapter 19.
7. Pugh, P. A synonymic catalogue of the Acari from Antarctica, the sub-Antarctic Islands and the Southern Ocean. *J. Nat. Hist.* **1993**, *27*, 323–421. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Strandtmann, R.W. Terrestrial Prostigmata (trombidiform mites). In *Entomology of Antarctica*; Antarctic Research Series; American Geophysical Union: Washington, DC, USA, 1967; Volume 10, pp. 51–80.
9. Strandtmann, R.W. Notes on *Nanorchestes*. II. Four species from Victoria Land, Antarctica (Acari: Nanorchestidae). *Pacific Insects* **1982**, *24*, 60–68.
10. Pugh, P.J.A.; Convey, P. Surviving out in the cold: Antarctic endemic invertebrates and their refugia. *J. Biogeogr.* **2008**, *35*, 2176–2186. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Terauds, A.; Chown, S.L.; Morgan, F.; Peat, H.J.; Watts, D.J.; Keys, H.; Convey, P.; Bergstrom, D.M. Conservation biogeography of the Antarctic. *Divers. Distrib.* **2012**, *18*, 726–741. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Terauds, A.; Lee, J. Antarctic biogeography revisited: Updating the Antarctic Conservation Biogeographic Regions. *Divers. Distrib.* **2016**, *22*, 836–840. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Collins, G.E.; Hogg, I.D.; Convey, P.; Barnes, A.D.; McDonald, I.R. Spatial and Temporal Scales Matter When Assessing the Species and Genetic Diversity of Springtails (Collembola) in Antarctica. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **2019**, *7*. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Fanciulli, P.P.; Summa, D.; Dallai, R.; Frati, F. High levels of genetic variability and population differentiation in *Gressittacantha terranova* (Collembola, Hexapoda) from Victoria Land, Antarctica. *Antarct. Sci.* **2001**, *13*, 246–254. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Iakovenko, N.S.; Smykla, J.; Convey, P.; Kašparová, E.; Kozeretska, I.A.; Trokhymets, V.; Dykyy, I.; Plewka, M.; Devetter, M.; Duriš, Z.; et al. Antarctic bdelloid rotifers: Diversity, endemism and evolution. *Hydrobiologia* **2015**, *761*, 5–43. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Kagoshima, H.; Imura, S.; Suzuki, A.C. Molecular and morphological analysis of an Antarctic tardigrade, *Acutuncus antarcticus*. *J. Limnol.* **2013**, *72*, 15–23. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Short, K.; Sands, C.; McInnes, S.; Pisani, D.; Stevens, M.; Convey, P. An ancient, Antarctic-specific species complex: Large divergences between multiple Antarctic lineages of the tardigrade genus *Mesobiotus*. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol.* **2022**, *170*, 107429. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
18. Demetras, N.J.; Hogg, I.D.; Banks, J.C.; Adams, B.J. Latitudinal distribution and mitochondrial DNA (COI) variability of *Stereotydeus* spp. (Acari: Prostigmata) in Victoria Land and the central Transantarctic Mountains. *Antarct. Sci.* **2010**, *22*, 749–756. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. van Vuuren, B.J.; Lee, J.E.; Convey, P.; Chown, S.L. Conservation implications of spatial genetic structure in two species of oribatid mites from the Antarctic Peninsula and the Scotia Arc. *Antarct. Sci.* **2018**, *30*, 105–114. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Bennett, K.R.; Hogg, I.D.; Adams, B.J.; Hebert, P.D.N. High levels of intraspecific genetic divergences revealed for Antarctic springtails: Evidence for small-scale isolation during Pleistocene glaciation. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **2016**, *119*, 166–178. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Carapelli, A.; Cucini, C.; Fanciulli, P.; Frati, F.; Convey, P.; Nardi, F. Molecular Comparison among Three Antarctic Endemic Springtail Species and Description of the Mitochondrial Genome of *Friesia gretae* (Hexapoda, Collembola). *Diversity* **2020**, *12*, 450. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Carapelli, A.; Greenslade, P.; Nardi, F.; Leo, C.; Convey, P.; Frati, F.; Fanciulli, P.P. Evidence for Cryptic Diversity in the “Pan-Antarctic” Springtail *Friesia antarctica* and the Description of Two New Species. *Insects* **2020**, *11*, 141. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

23. Stevens, M.I.; Hogg, I.D. Contrasting levels of mitochondrial DNA variability between mites (Penthalodidae) and springtails (Hypogastruridae) from the Trans-Antarctic Mountains suggest long-term effects of glaciation and life history on substitution rates, and speciation processes. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2006**, *38*, 3171–3180. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Greenslade, P. Collembola from the Scotia Arc and Antarctic Peninsula including descriptions of two new species and notes on biogeography. *Polskie Pismo Entomologiczne* **1995**, *64*, 1–4.
25. Liu, X.P.; Duffy, G.A.; Pearman, W.S.; Pertierra, L.R.; Fraser, C.I. Meta-analysis of Antarctic phylogeography reveals strong sampling bias and critical knowledge gaps. *Ecography* **2022**, *2022*, e06312. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Torricelli, G.; Frati, F.; Convey, P.; Telford, M.; Carapelli, A. Population structure of *Friesea grisea* (Collembola, Neanuridae) in the Antarctic Peninsula and Victoria Land: Evidence for local genetic differentiation of pre-Pleistocene origin. *Antarct. Sci.* **2010**, *22*, 757–765. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Greenslade, P. An antarctic biogeographical anomaly resolved: The true identity of a widespread species of Collembola. *Polar Biol.* **2018**, *41*, 969–981. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Stevens, M.I.; Greenslade, P.; D’Haese, C.A. Species diversity in *Friesea* (Neanuridae) reveals similar biogeographic patterns among Antarctic Collembola. *Zool. Scr.* **2021**, *50*, 647–657. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Ratnasingham, S.; Hebert, P.D.N. A DNA-Based Registry for All Animal Species: The Barcode Index Number (BIN) System. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e66213. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
30. Hebert, P.D.N.; Cywinska, A.; Ball, S.L.; Dewaard, J.R. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B Biol. Sci.* **2003**, *270*, 313–321. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Beet, C.R.; Hogg, I.D.; Collins, G.E.; Cowan, D.A.; Wall, D.H.; Adams, B.J. Genetic diversity among populations of Antarctic springtails (Collembola) within the Mackay Glacier ecotone. *Genome* **2016**, *59*, 762–770. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
32. McGaughan, A.; Torricelli, G.; Carapelli, A.; Frati, F.; Stevens, M.I.; Convey, P.; Hogg, I.D. Contrasting phylogeographical patterns for springtails reflect different evolutionary histories between the Antarctic Peninsula and continental Antarctica. *J. Biogeogr.* **2009**, *37*, 103–119. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. Lv, J.; Wu, S.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Feng, C.; Yuan, X.; Jia, G.; Deng, J.; Wang, C.; Wang, Q.; et al. Assessment of four DNA fragments (COI, 16S rDNA, ITS2, 12S rDNA) for species identification of the Ixodida (Acari: Ixodida). *Parasites Vectors* **2014**, *7*, 93. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
34. Brunetti, C.; Siepel, H.; Convey, P.; Fanciulli, P.P.; Nardi, F.; Carapelli, A. Overlooked Species Diversity and Distribution in the Antarctic Mite Genus *Stereotydeus*. *Diversity* **2021**, *13*, 506. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
35. McGaughan, A.; Hogg, I.D.; Stevens, M.I. Patterns of population genetic structure for springtails and mites in southern Victoria Land, Antarctica. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol.* **2008**, *46*, 606–618. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
36. Brunetti, C.; Siepel, H.; Fanciulli, P.; Nardi, F.; Convey, P.; Carapelli, A. Two New Species of the Mite Genus *Stereotydeus* Berlese, 1901 (Prostigmata: Penthalodidae) from Victoria Land, and a Key for Identification of Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic Species. *Taxonomy* **2021**, *1*, 116–141. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
37. Ratnasingham, S.; Hebert, P.D.N. BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System (<http://www.barcodinglife.org>). *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **2007**, *7*, 355–364. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
38. Uusitalo, M. Terrestrial species of the genus *Nanorchestes* (Endeostigmata: Nanorchestidae) in Europe. In *Trends in Acarology, Proceedings of the 12th International Congress*; Springer: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2010; pp. 161–166.
39. Strandtmann, R.W. Notes on *Nanorchestes*. V. Two new species of *Nanorchestes* (Acari: Nanorchestidae) from the Antarctic Peninsula and South Atlantic islands. *Pacific Insects* **1982**, *24*, 252–258.
40. Strandtmann, R.W. Notes on *Nanorchestes*. IV. Four new species from Macquarie Island, Australia (Acari: Endeostigmatides: Nanorchestidae). *Pacific Insects* **1982**, *24*, 171–178.
41. Stevens, M.I.; Hogg, I.D. Expanded distributional records of Collembola and Acari in southern Victoria Land, Antarctica. *Pedobiologia* **2002**, *46*, 485–495. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
42. McGaughan, A.; Hogg, I.D.; Convey, P. Extended ecophysiological analysis of *Gomphiocephalus hodgsoni* (Collembola): Flexibility in life history strategy and population response. *Polar Biol.* **2011**, *34*, 1713–1725. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
43. Freckman, D.W.; Virginia, R.A. Extraction of nematodes from Dry Valley Antarctic soils. *Polar Biol.* **1993**, *13*, 483–487. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
44. Folmer, O.; Black, M.; Hoeh, W.; Lutz, R.; Vrijenhoek, R. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol.* **1994**, *3*, 294–299. [\[PubMed\]](#)
45. Hebert, P.D.N.; Penton, E.H.; Burns, J.M.; Janzen, D.H.; Hallwachs, W. Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astraptes fulgerator*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2004**, *101*, 14812–14817. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
46. Minh, B.Q.; Schmidt, H.A.; Chernomor, O.; Schrempf, D.; Woodhams, M.D.; von Haeseler, A.; Lanfear, R. IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2020**, *37*, 1530–1534. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
47. Yu, G.; Smith, D.K.; Zhu, H.; Guan, Y.; Lam, T.T.Y. ggtree: An R package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **2017**, *8*, 28–36. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
48. Wickham, H.; Averick, M.; Bryan, J.; Chang, W.; McGowan, L.D.A.; François, R.; Grolemund, G.; Hayes, A.; Henry, L.; Hester, J.; et al. Welcome to the Tidyverse. *J. Open Source Softw.* **2019**, *4*, 1686. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
49. Wang, L.-G.; Lam, T.T.-Y.; Xu, S.; Dai, Z.; Zhou, L.; Feng, T.; Guo, P.; Dunn, C.W.; Jones, B.R.; Bradley, T.; et al. Treeio: An R Package for Phylogenetic Tree Input and Output with Richly Annotated and Associated Data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2019**, *37*, 599–603. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)

50. Paradis, E. *pegas*: An R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular approach. *Bioinformatics* **2010**, *26*, 419–420. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
51. Zhang, J.; Pei, N.; Mi, X.; Zhang, M.J. Package ‘Phylotools’. Dimension, 12. 2017. Available online: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/phylotools/phylotools.pdf> (accessed on 26 February 2023).
52. Čandek, K.; Kuntner, M. DNA barcoding gap: Reliable species identification over morphological and geographical scales. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **2014**, *15*, 268–277. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
53. Paradis, E.; Schliep, K. *ape 5.0*: An environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* **2018**, *35*, 526–528. [CrossRef]
54. Brown, S.D.J.; Collins, R.A.; Boyer, S.; Lefort, M.; Malumbres-Olarte, J.; Vink, C.; Cruickshank, R.H. Spider: An R package for the analysis of species identity and evolution, with particular reference to DNA barcoding. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **2012**, *12*, 562–565. [CrossRef]
55. Wickham, H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2016.
56. Dewaard, J.R.; Levesque-Beaudin, V.; Dewaard, S.L.; Ivanova, N.V.; McKeown, J.T.; Miskie, R.; Naik, S.; Perez, K.H.; Ratnasingham, S.; Sobel, C.N.; et al. Expedited assessment of terrestrial arthropod diversity by coupling Malaise traps with DNA barcoding. *Genome* **2019**, *62*, 85–95. [CrossRef]
57. ATCM. Annex V to the Protocol on Environmental Protection to the Antarctic Treaty. Area Protection and Management. Antarctic Treaty Secretariat, Buenos Aires. 1991. Available online: https://ats.aq/documents/keydocs/vol_1/vol1_9_AT_Protocol_Annex_V_e.pdf (accessed on 26 February 2023).
58. Collins, G.E.; Hogg, I.D.; Convey, P.; Sancho, L.G.; Cowan, D.A.; Lyons, W.B.; Adams, B.J.; Wall, D.H.; Green, T.G.A. Genetic diversity of soil invertebrates corroborates timing estimates for past collapses of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2020**, *117*, 22293–22302. [CrossRef]
59. DeConto, R.M.; Pollard, D. Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise. *Nature* **2016**, *531*, 591–597. [CrossRef]
60. Pollard, D.; DeConto, R.M. Modelling West Antarctic ice sheet growth and collapse through the past five million years. *Nature* **2009**, *458*, 329–332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
61. Fitzsimons, J.M. Temperature and three species of Antarctic arthropods. *Pac. Insects Monogr.* **1971**, *25*, 127–135.
62. Hawes, T.C.; Bale, J.S.; Worland, M.R.; Convey, P. Plasticity and superplasticity in the acclimation potential of the Antarctic mite *Halozetes belgicae* (Michael). *J. Exp. Biol.* **2007**, *210*, 593–601. [CrossRef]
63. Hawes, T.C.; Worland, M.R.; Bale, J.S.; Convey, P. Rafting in Antarctic Collembola. *J. Zool.* **2007**, *274*, 44–50. [CrossRef]
64. Lee, J.R.; Terauds, A.; Carwardine, J.; Shaw, J.D.; Fuller, R.A.; Possingham, H.P.; Chown, S.L.; Convey, P.; Gilbert, N.; Hughes, K.A.; et al. Threat management priorities for conserving Antarctic biodiversity. *PLoS Biol.* **2022**, *20*, e3001921. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
65. Caruso, T.; Hogg, I.D.; Carapelli, A.; Frati, F.; Bargagli, R. Large-scale spatial patterns in the distribution of Collembola (Hexapoda) species in Antarctic terrestrial ecosystems. *J. Biogeogr.* **2009**, *36*, 879–886. [CrossRef]
66. Colesie, C.; Green, T.G.A.; Türk, R.; Hogg, I.D.; Sancho, L.; Büdel, B. Terrestrial biodiversity along the Ross Sea coastline, Antarctica: Lack of a latitudinal gradient and potential limits of bioclimatic modeling. *Polar Biol.* **2014**, *37*, 1197–1208. [CrossRef]
67. Convey, P.; Quintana, R. The terrestrial arthropod fauna of Cierva Point SSSI, Danco Coast, northern Antarctic Peninsula. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* **1997**, *33*, 19–29.
68. Lyons, W.B.; Deuerling, K.; Welch, K.A.; Michalski, G.; Walters, W.W.; Nielsen, U.; Wall, D.H.; Hogg, I.; Adams, B.J. The Soil Geochemistry in the Beardmore Glacier Region, Antarctica: Implications for Terrestrial Ecosystem History. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 26189. [CrossRef]
69. Diaz, M.A.; Gardner, C.B.; Welch, S.A.; Jackson, W.A.; Adams, B.J.; Wall, D.H.; Hogg, I.D.; Fierer, N.; Lyons, W.B. Geochemical zones and environmental gradients for soils from the central Transantarctic Mountains, Antarctica. *Biogeosciences* **2021**, *18*, 1629–1644. [CrossRef]
70. Franco, A.L.C.; Adams, B.J.; Diaz, M.A.; Lemoine, N.P.; Dragone, N.B.; Fierer, N.; Lyons, W.B.; Hogg, I.; Wall, D.H. Response of Antarctic soil fauna to climate-driven changes since the Last Glacial Maximum. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2021**, *28*, 644–653. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
71. Hogg, I.D.; Cary, S.; Convey, P.; Newsham, K.K.; O'Donnell, A.G.; Adams, B.; Aislabie, J.; Frati, F.; Stevens, M.I.; Wall, D.H. Biotic interactions in Antarctic terrestrial ecosystems: Are they a factor? *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2006**, *38*, 3035–3040. [CrossRef]
72. Caruso, T.; Hogg, I.D.; Nielsen, U.N.; Bottos, E.M.; Lee, C.K.; Hopkins, D.W.; Cary, S.C.; Barrett, J.E.; Green, T.G.A.; Storey, B.C.; et al. Nematodes in a polar desert reveal the relative role of biotic interactions in the coexistence of soil animals. *Commun. Biol.* **2019**, *2*, 63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
73. Lee, C.K.; Laughlin, D.C.; Bottos, E.M.; Caruso, T.; Joy, K.; Barrett, J.E.; Brabyn, L.; Nielsen, U.N.; Adams, B.J.; Wall, D.H.; et al. Biotic interactions are an unexpected yet critical control on the complexity of an abiotically driven polar ecosystem. *Commun. Biol.* **2019**, *2*, 62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
74. Otto, J.C.; Wilson, K. Assessment of the usefulness of ribosomal 18S and mitochondrial COI sequences in Prostigmata phylogeny. In *Acarology: Proceedings of the 10th International Congress*; CSIRO Publishing: Melbourne, Australia, 2001; Volume 100.

75. TAM. 2019. Available online: <https://tamcamp.org/camp/proposed> (accessed on 26 February 2023).
76. Scientific Committee for Antarctic Research Strategic Plan 2023–2028. Urgent Messages from the South: Antarctic and Southern Ocean Science and Policy. Available online: <https://www.scar.org/scar-library/other-publications/strategic-plans/5912-scar-strategic-plan-2023-2028/> (accessed on 26 February 2023).

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.