

PAIR CORRELATION OF ZEROS OF $\chi_1(q)$ L-FUNCTIONS

VORRAPAN CHANDEE, KIM KLINGER-LOGAN, AND XIANNAN LI

Abstract. We study the analogue of the Montgomery's pair correlation function $F(\cdot)$ for a family of $\chi_1(q)$ L-functions. Assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we evaluate this analogous pair correlation function in the range $jj < 2$; where the off-diagonal terms also contribute to the main term. As applications, we obtain that more than 93.5% of the low-lying zeros of $\chi_1(q)$ L-functions are simple, and we achieve upper and lower bounds for the number of pairs of zeros which are closer than ϵ times the average spacing apart.

1. Introduction

1.1. Background and main results. In this paper, we examine the pair correlation function of a family of L-functions attached to automorphic forms on $GL(2)$. In the context of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$, Montgomery [19] introduced the function

$$F(\cdot) := \frac{2}{T \log T} \sum_{0 < j, j' \leq T} \chi(j - j') w\left(\frac{j - j'}{T}\right)$$

where $\chi + i\gamma$ and $\chi' + i\gamma'$ are nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, χ is real, $T \geq 2$ and $w(u) = 4/(4 + u^2)$.

Assuming RH, he showed that

$$(1.1) \quad F(\cdot) = T^{-2jj} \log T + jj \quad 1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log T}{\log T}\right)$$

uniformly for $0 \leq jj \leq 1$. Understanding $F(\cdot)$ for wider ranges of $\cdot$ is a deep and difficult problem. In this direction, Montgomery conjectured that $F(\cdot) = 1 + o(1)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in bounded intervals $1 \leq jj \leq b < \infty$ for any constant b .

The function $F(\cdot)$ is closely related to the distribution of the differences $j - j'$. For instance, understanding $F(\cdot)$ in the range $jj \leq 1$ quickly leads to understanding of (1.2)

$$\sum_{0 < j, j' \leq T} \chi(j - j') f\left(\frac{j - j'}{T}\right) \sim \frac{\log T}{2} f(0);$$

for Schwartz class functions f with the Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ supported on $(-1, 1)$. Indeed, understanding the quantity in (1.2) quickly reduces to understanding $F(\cdot)$ by writing f as an inverse transform of its Fourier transform $\hat{f}$. Note that the restriction

Date: May 28, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11M41, 11F11, 11M06,

Key words and phrases. Pair Correlation function, $GL(2)$ L-functions, $\chi_1(q)$ L-functions, simple zeros.

on the support of $f^\wedge$ is the same as on the range of γ in (1.1). Further, (1.2) is visibly related to the quantity

$$(1.3) \quad N(T; \gamma) := \sum_{\substack{0 < \gamma_1 \leq T \\ 0 < \gamma_2 \leq T \\ |\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| \leq \frac{T}{\log T}}} 1;$$

which gives a count of those pairs (γ_1, γ_2) which are closer than $\frac{1}{\log T}$ times the average spacing apart.

Montgomery's work suggested zeros of the Riemann zeta function are vertically distributed like the eigenvalues of a random unitary matrix, and this has led to significant further work. Notably, the quantities introduced above are also intimately connected to other deep arithmetic questions.

For instance, understanding these pair correlation functions is related to the existence of Siegel zeros [7], the distribution of primes in short intervals ([10, 11, 12]), as well as to the Simple Zero conjecture. Indeed, Montgomery used (1.1) to show that at least $2/3$ of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are simple.

In all these applications, it is of great interest to extend our understanding of $F(\gamma)$ to wider ranges of γ , or equivalently, understand (1.2) for test functions f with $f^\wedge$ having larger support. In the context of large families of Dirichlet L-functions, Ozluk [24, 25] and Chandee, Lee, Liu and Radziwiłł [4] extended the range of γ .

In particular, Ozluk's studied the pair correlation of low lying zeros of Dirichlet L-functions $L(s; \chi)$ on average over $\chi \pmod{q}$ for $Q \leq q \leq 2Q$. More recently, Chandee, Lee, Liu and Radziwiłł [4] were able to improve upon Ozluk's results by averaging over primitive characters. This yielded superior results since it avoided the over-counting inherent in Ozluk's work. In these two works, it is apparent that the larger size of the family, compared to the conductor, yields superior ranges of γ . As a consequence of their work, Chandee, Lee, Liu and Radziwiłł [4] improve upon Ozluk's work to get that 91% of the nontrivial zeros of all primitive Dirichlet L-functions are simple assuming GRH. This was later improved by Sono [26] to over 93.22% and by Chirre, Gonçalves and de Laat [6] to over 93.5%.

In this paper, we consider a large family of $GL(2)$ L-functions. To be precise, let k and q be positive integers, and $S_k(\Gamma_0(q); \chi)$ be the space of cusp forms of weight $k \geq 3$ for the group $\Gamma_0(q)$ and the nebentypus character $\chi \pmod{q}$, where as usual,

$$\Gamma_0(q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) : ad - bc = 1; c \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \right\}.$$

Let $S_k(\Gamma_1(q))$ be the space of holomorphic cusp forms for the group

$$\Gamma_1(q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) : ad - bc = 1; c \equiv 0 \pmod{q}; a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{q} \right\}.$$

Note that

$$S_k(\Gamma_1(q)) = \bigcup_{\chi \pmod{q}} S_k(\Gamma_0(q); \chi).$$

Let $H = S_k(\chi_0(q);)$ be an orthogonal basis of $S_k(\chi_0(q);)$ consisting of Hecke cusp forms, normalized so that the first Fourier coefficient is 1. For each $f \in H$, we let $L(f; s)$ be the L-function associated to f , defined for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ as

$$(1.4) \quad L(s; f) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p^s} + \frac{f(p)^2}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right) \\ = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p^s} \right) \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right);$$

where $f_f(n)$ are the Hecke eigenvalues of f . When f is a newform, $L(s; f)$ can be analytically continued to the entire complex plane and satisfies the functional equation

$$(1.5) \quad \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} + s; f\right) = i^k \tau_f \Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} - s; f\right)$$

where the completed L-function $\Lambda(s; f)$ is defined by

$$\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} + s; f\right) = 4^{\frac{k}{2}} \tau_f^{-1} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + s; f\right)$$

and the root number τ_f satisfies $|\tau_f| = 1$.

Suppose for each $f \in H$, we have an associated number τ_f . Then we define the harmonic average of τ_f over H to be

$$\tau_H = \frac{\sum_{f \in H} \tau_f}{\sum_{f \in H} 1} = \frac{\sum_{f \in H} \tau_f}{|H|};$$

where $|H| = \int_{\chi_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}} |f(z)|^2 y^{k-2} dx dy$.

Throughout this paper, we will assume the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for $L(s; f)$ and Dirichlet L-functions. We also assume q is a prime number. Let ϕ be a smooth function which is real and compactly supported in $(a; b)$ with $0 < a < b$, and its Mellin transform is defined to be

$$\hat{\phi}(s) = \int_0^\infty \phi(x) x^{s-1} dx$$

We consider the $\chi_1(q)$ -analogue of the pair correlation function

$$(1.6) \quad F(q) := \frac{2}{N(q)(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi(-1)=1}} \sum_{f \in H} \sum_{\substack{h \in H \\ h(-1)=1}} \sum_{\substack{f, h \in H \\ f, h(-1)=1}} \left(\frac{f(p)}{p} \right)^{i_f} \left(\frac{h(p)}{p} \right)^{i_h};$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad N(q) := \frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi(-1)=1}} \sum_{f \in H} \sum_{\substack{h \in H \\ h(-1)=1}} \left(\frac{f(p)}{p} \right)^2;$$

and $\frac{1}{2} + i_f$ are the non-trivial zeros of $L(s; f)$: Note that $F(q)$ is an even function in q . Our main result is below.

Theorem 1.1. Assume GRH. Let q be a prime number. Then for any $x \geq 0$ and $k \geq 3$,

$$F(q) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{2} f\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) + (q^{-1/2})^2 \log q \frac{1}{2} \int_0^x j(t) j^2 dt + O(q^{-1/2}) \frac{1}{\log q} + (q^{-1/2}) q^{-1/2}$$

holds uniformly for $j \geq 2$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$ where

$$f\left(\frac{x}{q}\right) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^k}{l^k} \frac{x}{q} > 1$$

The implied constant in the error terms depend on k and x :

For the rest of the paper, we will fix the $x \geq 0$ appearing in Theorem 1.1. Our Theorem 1.1 yields results about the statistics of zeros of $L(s; f)$ that support a pair correlation conjecture for $\chi(q)$ L-functions. In the theorem, our acceptable range for x is essentially $(-2; 2)$. This should be compared with the range $(-1; 1)$ in Montgomery's work [19] in the case of the Riemann zeta function. A major difference is that when the range is extended to $(-2; 2)$, the off-diagonal terms contribute to the main term and need to be precisely understood.

Naively, it is natural to assume GRH for the L-functions $L(s; f)$ but the assumption of GRH for Dirichlet L-functions may appear odd at first sight. Actually, the phenomenon that one needs to assume GRH for $GL(1)$ L-functions when studying the vertical distribution of zeros of $GL(2)$ L-functions was previously observed in the context of low lying zeros of certain $GL(2)$ L-functions in the work of Iwaniec, Luo and Sarnak [16]. Note also that this phenomenon closes off an avenue of attack towards the Siegel zero problem (assuming GRH for $GL(2)$ L-functions) by proceeding along similar lines to [7] for instance. From a technical point of view, the necessity of assuming GRH for Dirichlet L-functions arises because of the appearance of Kloosterman sums in the off-diagonal term. This off-diagonal term needs to be understood well when the support of f extends beyond $(-1; 1)$. In our work, this is done by inputting strong information on the distribution of primes in arithmetic progressions, which reduces to GRH for Dirichlet L-functions through standard lines. More structurally, in our family, this phenomenon is related to the observation of Iwaniec and Xiaoqing Li [17] that the $\chi(q)$ harmonics are not perfectly orthogonal. To be more precise, their work showed that $f(n)$ tend to point in the direction of Kloosterman-Bessel products. Practically speaking, this forces us to input information that implies certain coefficients (which, in this case, morally look like $f(n)$) do not correlate with Kloosterman-Bessel products.

As usual, an application of the explicit formula reduces the proof of Theorem 1.1 to studying a mean square of a sum over primes. Indeed, Theorem 1.1 follows quickly from the Proposition below.

Proposition 1.2. Assume GRH. Let q be a prime number, $\delta > 0$, $k \geq 3$, and $X = q$. Let

$$(1.8) \quad c_f(n) = \begin{cases} f(p)' + f(p)' & \text{if } n = p' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise:} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq X \\ (n-1) \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{q}}} \frac{X_h}{f(2H)} \frac{X}{n} \frac{(n)c_f(n)(n=X)^2}{p} f' \log q \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ j \neq 0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j(it) j^2 dt \quad b$$

uniformly for $0 \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$. Here (n) is the usual von Mangoldt function, which is $\log p$ when $n = p'$ and 0 otherwise.

For completeness, we provide the standard proof of Theorem 1.1 assuming Proposition 1.2 in detail in Section 10. The bulk of our paper is devoted to proving Proposition 1.2 which is provided in Sections 4 through 9. Before diving into the proof of our main results we discuss two illustrative applications of Theorem 1.1.

1.2. Applications. As with other primitive L-functions, it is hypothesized that all non-real zeros of $L(s; f)$ are simple. Roughly speaking, we can show that more than 93.5% of the zeros of $\chi_1(q)$ L-functions are simple on GRH. To be precise, we show the following.

Theorem 1.3. Assume GRH. Let q be a prime number. The proportion of simple zeros of all $\chi_1(q)$ L-functions is greater than or equal to L in the sense of the inequality

$$\frac{2}{(q)N(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \leq X \\ (n-1) \equiv (-1)^k \pmod{q}}} \frac{X_h}{f(2H)} \frac{X}{n} \frac{(n)c_f(n)(n=X)^2}{p} f' \log q \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ j \neq 0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} j(it) j^2 dt \geq 0.9350 + o(1);$$

where δ is chosen so that $(\delta) = \frac{\sin}{2}$:

Chirre, Gonçalves and De Laat [6] showed that at least 93.5% of zeros of a large family of primitive Dirichlet L-functions are simple, and our result is analogous. In particular, the proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 5 in [6], and we refer the reader there for details. In the approach for deriving such a proportion of simple zeros, one wants to minimize

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{g(0)}{b(0)} + \frac{1}{b(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) (1 - (1 - jxj)_+) dx;$$

where $a_+ = \max\{0, a\}$; g for certain nice test functions g . In [4], the function $g(x)$ is chosen so that $b(u) = \frac{\sin((2-\delta)u)}{(2-\delta)u}$, where δ is a small positive number. Later, Sono [26] considered the family of functions $g(x)$, where $b(x)$ is a non-negative even-valued function in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $b(0) = 1$, and g is compactly supported in $(-\delta; \delta)$. He improves the simple zero proportion by choosing a g satisfying these conditions which minimizes (1.9). Chirre, Gonçalves and de Laat [6] further improve this proportion by studying an

2. Preliminary Results

We collect necessary lemmas in this section. We start with the orthogonality relation for Dirichlet characters (e.g. see [8]) and Petersson's formula (e.g. see [14]). We conclude this section with an asymptotic for $N(q)$ and an explicit formula for $L(s; f)$.

Lemma 2.1. For $q \geq 3$, the orthogonality relation for Dirichlet characters is

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{(m) \\ (1) = (1)^k}}^X (m)(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \equiv n \pmod{q}; \quad (mn; q) = 1 \\ (1)^k & \text{if } m \equiv n \pmod{q}; \quad (mn; q) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise:} \end{cases}$$

Petersson's formula gives

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{f \in H} f(m)f(n) = \delta_{m=n} + (m; n); f \in H$$

where

$$(m; n) = 2i^{-k} \sum_{c \pmod{q}}^X c^{-1} S(m; n; c) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4\pi}{c} \sqrt{mn} \right); \quad c \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$

and S is the Kloosterman sum defined by

$$(2.3) \quad S(m; n; cq) = \sum_{a \pmod{cq}}^X \overline{(a)} e^{\frac{am + na}{cq}}; \quad a \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$$

The next lemma collects some well known properties and formulas for the J-Bessel function.

Lemma 2.2. Let J_{k-1} be the J-Bessel function of order $k-1$. We have J_k

$$J_k(2x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_k^{(j)}(2x)}{j!} e^{-x} x^{j+k-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_k^{(j)}(2x)}{j!} e^{-x} x^{j+k-1}$$

where $W_k^{(j)}(x) = j! W_k^{(j)}(x)$. Moreover,

$$(2.4) \quad J_{k-1}(2x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r}{r!} \frac{x^{2r+k-1}}{(r+k-1)!}$$

and

$$J_{k-1}(x) = \min(x^{-1/2}; x^{k-1});$$

The Mellin integration representation of J_{k-1} is

$$(2.5) \quad J_{k-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^Z \frac{w^{-1}}{2} \frac{\frac{k-w-1}{2}}{\frac{k+w+1}{2}} x^w dw$$

where $0 < \sigma < k-1$.

The proof of the first three claims of Lemma 2.2 can be found in [28], and the statement of the last claim is modified from Equation 16 of Table 17.43 in [13].

The following standard lemma is a slight extension of Lemma 3.5 in [3], with a similar proof.

Lemma 2.3. Assume GRH for $L(s; \chi)$ with $\chi \pmod{q}$. Let ϕ be a smooth function supported in $(a; b)$, where $0 < a < b$. Write $z = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{10}{\log q}$; $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{10}{\log q}$ and t real. If χ is a non-principal character, then

$$\sum_p \frac{(\chi(p) \log(p) - \chi(p=X))}{p^z} \log^2(q + |jt|) \max_{a \leq b} |\chi^{(3)}(x)| j;$$

If χ is the principal character, then

$$\sum_p \frac{(\chi(p) \log(p) - \chi(p=X))}{p^z} \log^2(q + |jt|) \max_{a \leq b} |\chi^{(3)}(x)| j + \frac{p}{(1 + |jt|)^A} \max_{a \leq b} |\chi^{(A)}(x)| j;$$

for any $A > 0$.

Proof. When $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\log q}$, under GRH,

$$L^c(z; \chi) = O(\log^2(q + |jt|))$$

(see Chapter 19 of [8]). By Lemma 2 of [4],

$$\sum_p \frac{(\chi(p) \log(p) - \chi(p=X))}{p^z} = \sum_n \frac{(\chi(n)(n) - \chi(n=X))}{n^z} + O(1)$$

Let χ be 1 if χ is principal, and 0 otherwise.

Recall that

$$(2.6) \quad b(s) = \int_0^1 \chi(x) x^{s-1} dx;$$

By doing integration by parts B times, we have that

$$b(s) = \int_0^1 \chi^{(B)}(x) \frac{x^{s+B-1}}{s(s+1)\cdots(s+B-1)} dx;$$

Thus if $s = \sigma + iv$, where $\sigma > 0$, then

$$(2.7) \quad |b(s)| \leq \frac{1}{|j\sigma + iv| (|j\sigma + iv| + 1)^{B-1}} \max_{a \leq b} |\chi^{(B)}(x)|$$

By (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \frac{(\chi(n)(n) - \chi(n=X))}{n^z} &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^Z b(s) X^s \sum_n \frac{(\chi(n)(n) - \chi(n=X))}{n^{z+s}} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^Z b(s) X^s \frac{L^0(z+s; \chi)}{L(z+s; \chi)} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^Z b(s) X^s \frac{L^c(z+s; \chi)}{L(z+s; \chi)} ds + b(1-z) X^{1-z} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq X} \int_1^{\frac{20}{\log q} + iv} \log^2(q + jtj + jvj) dv + j \int_1^{(1b)} (itj)^p X$$

By choosing $A = 2$ for the first term and $B = A$ for the second term, the above is bounded by

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq X} \frac{1}{\frac{20}{\log q} + iv(jvj + 1)^2} \log^2(q + jtj + jvj) dv + \frac{X^p}{(1 + jtj)^A} \max_{a \leq b} j^{(A)}(x)j$$

$$\log^2(q + jtj) \max_{a \leq b} j^{(3)}(x)j + \frac{X^p}{(1 + jtj)^A} \max_{a \leq b} j^{(A)}(x)j;$$

for any $A > 0$ by the decay of b on vertical lines.

The following lemma provides an asymptotic formula for $N(q)$ as defined in (1.7).

Lemma 2.4. Assume GRH. We have

$$N(q) \sim \frac{\log q}{2} \sum_{R} j(it)j^2 dt$$

as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $N(f; T)$ denote the number of zeros of $L(s; f)$ for $s = \sigma + it$ satisfying $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $T \leq t \leq T + 1$. Assuming GRH, the number of critical zeros of $L(s; f)$ below height T is

$$N(f; T) = \frac{T}{2e} \frac{\log q}{\log \log q} + O_k \frac{\log(q(T + jkj)^2)}{\log \log(q(T + jkj)^2)}$$

uniformly (e.g. see [2]). By integration by parts, we have

$$\sum_{f \leq T} j(it)j^2 = \sum_{0 \leq t \leq T} j(it)j^2 dN(f; t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log q \sum_{1 \leq j \leq X} j(it)j^2 dt + O_k \frac{\log q}{\log \log q};$$

where an implied constant depends on k , and so

$$N(q) := \frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\text{mod } q \\ (1) = (1)^k}} \sum_{f \leq T} \sum_{h \leq X} \sum_{i \leq X} j(it)j^2$$

$$= \frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\text{mod } q \\ (1) = (1)^k}} \sum_{f \leq T} \sum_{h \leq X} \frac{1}{2} \log q \sum_{1 \leq j \leq X} j(it)j^2 dt + O_k \frac{\log q}{\log \log q}$$

$$= \frac{\log q}{2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq X} j(it)j^2 dt + O_k \frac{\log q}{\log \log q} :$$

Finally, we have an explicit formula which relates zeros of $L(s; f)$ with a sum over its prime power coefficients.

Lemma 2.5. Assume GRH for $L(s; f)$. Let $X \geq 1$. Then

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \frac{(n)_f c_f(n)}{n^s} = \frac{X^{s+\frac{1}{2}}}{2i} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(\frac{1}{2} + it)}{L(\frac{1}{2} + it)} X^{it} dt + O(X^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \log \log X)$$

where $c_f(n)$ is defined in (1.8).

Proof. We will first examine the integral

$$\frac{1}{2i} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(\frac{1}{2} + it)}{L(\frac{1}{2} + it)} X^{it} dt \quad (3=4)$$

Note that we assume GRH for $L(s; f)$, and $L(s; f)$ is entire. Thus

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{2i} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(\frac{1}{2} + it)}{L(\frac{1}{2} + it)} X^{it} dt = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^{\infty} \frac{f(s)}{L(s)} X^s ds + \sum_{n=1}^X \frac{c_f(n)}{n^s}$$

For the integral on the right hand side along the line $\text{Re}(s) = 1$, we will examine the logarithmic derivative of the functional equation in (1.5). We then have

$$\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{q}{4^2} + \frac{1}{s+\frac{k}{2}} + \frac{L^0}{L} \left(s + \frac{1}{2} \right) f = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{q}{4^2} + \frac{1}{s+\frac{k}{2}} + \frac{L^0}{L} \left(s + \frac{1}{2} \right) f$$

For $s = 1 + it$, $\frac{L^0}{L} \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) f = 1$ and the Gamma terms contribute $\log(jt + \frac{1}{2})$. Thus the integral on the right hand side of (2.8) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^{\infty} \frac{f(s)}{L(s)} X^s ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^{\infty} \log \frac{q}{4^2} X^s ds + O(X^{1+\epsilon} \log \log X) \\ &= \log \frac{q}{4^2} (X - 1) + O(X^{1+\epsilon} \log \log X) \end{aligned}$$

We conclude the proof by expressing the original integral in terms of the series representation for $\frac{L^0}{L}(s; f)$: To be precise, since

$$\frac{L^0}{L}(s; f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n)_f c_f(n)}{n^s}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$, hence

$$\frac{1}{2i} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(\frac{1}{2} + it)}{L(\frac{1}{2} + it)} X^{it} dt = \sum_{n=1}^X \frac{(n)_f c_f(n)}{n^s} + \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^{\infty} \frac{f(s)}{L(s)} X^s ds \quad (3=4)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^X \frac{\chi_1(n) c_f(n)}{p \overline{n}} : \frac{n=1}{X}$$

3. Outline of the proof of Proposition 1.2

Since the proof of Proposition 1.2 is somewhat lengthy and has some delicate points, we provide an outline here to help orient the reader.

The main object to be studied is

$$\sum_{p,r} \sum_{n=1}^X a_p a_r \frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ (\chi-1)=(\chi-1)^k}} \sum_{n=1}^X \chi(n) \chi_h(n) f(p) \overline{f(r)}$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad a_n = \frac{\chi(n) \chi_h(n)}{p \overline{n}} :$$

Applying Petersson's formula, we obtain the diagonal term arising from $p = r$, which is easy to evaluate (see Lemma 4.1), and the o-diagonal terms which are

$$\sum_{p,r} \sum_{n=1}^X a_p a_r \frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ (\chi-1)=(\chi-1)^k}} 2i^k \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ c \neq 0 \pmod q}} c^{-1} S(p; r; c) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4}{c} \sqrt{pr} \right) :$$

Recall that $X = q$. When $1 \leq j \leq 2$, there will be the additional main term from the o-diagonal terms.

By applying orthogonality of Dirichlet characters and rearranging the resulting exponential sum it suces to understand

$$\sum_{s,t} \sum_{qst} \sum_{\substack{x \pmod t \\ (x(x+qs); t)=1}} \sum_{\substack{m \pmod t \\ n \pmod t}} e^{2\pi i \frac{mx + nx + qs}{t}} \sum_{\substack{p,r \\ p \equiv m \pmod t}} a_p a_r e^{2\pi i \frac{p+r}{qst}} J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4}{qst} \sqrt{pr} \right) :$$

We express the congruence conditions $p \equiv m \pmod t$ and $r \equiv n \pmod t$ using Dirichlet characters modulo t . The o-diagonal main contribution comes from the principal characters, and the rest give error terms. In contrast to the diagonal term, the evaluation of the o-diagonal main terms requires careful analysis which is performed in §6.

To illustrate what happens with the error terms, let us consider only the transition region for the Bessel function where $st \ll \frac{X}{q}$. In this region, the factor $e^{2\pi i \frac{p+r}{qst}}$ has small derivatives and can be absorbed as a smooth function. Applying Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and orthogonality relations, we would like to bound the sum of the form

$$\frac{1}{qst} \sum_{\substack{s,t \\ ST \leq \frac{X}{q}}} \sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod t \\ (\chi-1)=(\chi-1)^k}} \frac{1}{(mod t)^{pX}} \sum_{\chi} \log p^2 (p) - p-p$$

This is where we require GRH for Dirichlet L-functions to conclude that the sum over p is very small and in particular bounded by q when χ is a non-principal character. Executing the rest of the sum trivially gives that the error terms are bounded by $\frac{X}{q^2} S_q$ when $X \leq q^2$. This also indicates the barrier of the method, i.e. we cannot evaluate $F(\chi)$ for $j > 2$: The full details of this error term bound can be found in §7 and §8.

4. Initial Setup for the Proof of Proposition 1.2

First, we note that the inner sum in Proposition 1.2 can be expressed as a sum over primes p :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \frac{(n)c_f(n)(n=X)}{p^n} &= \sum_p \frac{(p)c_f(p)(p=X)}{p} + \sum_p \frac{(p^2)c_f(p^2)(p^2=X)}{p^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{p \leq X} \sum_{k \geq 3} \frac{(p^k)c_f(p^k)(p^k=X)}{p^k} \\ &= \sum_p \frac{(p)_f(p)(p=X)}{p} + \sum_p \frac{(p^2)_f(p^2)}{p^2} \frac{(p)(p^2=X)}{p} + O(1): \end{aligned}$$

Since χ has compact support in (a, b) for some $0 < a < b$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p \frac{\log p (p)_f(p^2) (p)(p^2=X)}{p} &= \sum_{p \frac{a}{X} < p < \frac{b}{X}} \frac{\log p}{p} \\ &= \log \frac{b}{a} + O(1) \\ &= O(1): \end{aligned}$$

Thus the asymptotic in Proposition 1.2 is equivalent to

$$\frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\text{mod } q \\ (1) = (1)^k}} \sum_{f \geq 2H} \sum_p \frac{\log(p)_f(p)(p=X)}{p} \frac{2}{f} \log q - \frac{Z}{2} \int_R j(t) j^2 dt:$$

Let a_n be defined as in (3.1). By Petersson's formula (Lemma 2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\text{mod } q \\ (1) = (1)^k}} \sum_{f \geq 2H} \sum_p a_p a_f(p) &= \sum_{p; r} a_p a_r \frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\text{mod } q \\ (1) = (1)^k}} \sum_{f \geq 2H} a_f(p) a_f(r) \\ &= \sum_p a_p^2 + \sum_{p; r} a_p a_r \frac{2}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\text{mod } q \\ (1) = (1)^k}} a_f(p) a_f(r) \\ &=: S_D + S_N: \end{aligned}$$

For the diagonal term, Lemma 3 in [4] shows:

Lemma 4.1. As $X \rightarrow \infty$,

$$S_D = \sum_p \frac{\log^2 p^2(p=X)}{p} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} j(it)^2 dt \log X + O(1):$$

The non-diagonal term S_N will contribute to the main term when $1 < 2$. We evaluate S_N in the following lemma and the proof of Proposition 1.2 follows from this computation.

Lemma 4.2. Assume GRH. Let q be a prime, be dened as in Proposition 1.2, $k \geq 3$, and $X = q$. Then

$$S_N = \begin{cases} < \frac{1}{2} \log X \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} j(it)^2 dt + O(1) & \text{if } 1 < 2 \\ O(1) & \text{if } 0 \leq 1: \end{cases}$$

uniformly for $0 \leq 2$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$. The implied constant depends on χ and k .

The bulk of the work of this paper is in proving Lemma 4.2. This will be done in Sections 5 - 9.

5. The non-diagonal term S_N

First we will extract the main term from S_N . To do this we aim to rewrite the congruence in terms of Dirichlet characters but first we must manipulate the sum. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= \sum_{p,r} \sum_{a_p, a_r} \frac{1}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\text{mod } q \\ (1) = (1)^k}} \chi(p; r) \\ &= \sum_{p,r} \sum_{a_p, a_r} \frac{1}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\text{mod } q \\ (1) = (1)^k}} \sum_{\substack{c \geq 0 \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \chi(p; r; c) J_{k-1} \left(\frac{4}{c} \right) \chi(p; r); \end{aligned}$$

where from (2.3)

$$S(p; r; c) = \sum_{a \pmod{c}} \chi(a) e^{\frac{ap + a}{c}}$$

Let $K_f := i^{-kf} + i^{kf}$. Then by orthogonality of Dirichlet characters in (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\text{mod } q \\ (1) = (1)^k}} S(p; r; c) &= \frac{1}{(q)} \sum_{\substack{\text{mod } q \\ (1) = (1)^k}} \sum_{a \pmod{c}} \chi(a) e^{\frac{ap + a}{c}} \\ &= i^{-kK} \sum_{\substack{a \pmod{c} \\ a \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} e^{\frac{ap + a}{c}} : \end{aligned}$$

Thus, after a change of variable in c , we obtain that

$$S_N = \sum_{p;r} \sum_{a_p a_r} \sum_{\substack{c>0 \\ c \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \frac{1}{c^k} \frac{4p}{c^q} \overline{pr}^{-K} \sum_{\substack{a \pmod{cq} \\ a \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} e^{\frac{ap+a}{cq}} \cdot a^1$$

Now, we follow Iwaniec and Li [17] and set $a = 1 + qy$ with y running modulo c . Let $(y; c) = s$ so that $c = st$ and $a = 1 + qsx$ with x running modulo t . Furthermore, $(x(1 + qsx); t) = 1$: We have

$$\sum_{\substack{a \pmod{cq} \\ a \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} e^{\frac{ap+a}{cq}} = \sum_{\substack{st=c \\ (x(1+qsx); t)=1}} \sum_{x \pmod{t}} e^{\frac{p(1+qsx) + r(1+qsx)}{qst}} :$$

Then we write $\overline{1+u} \equiv 1 - u(1+u) \pmod{t}$ for $u = qsx$: Next, we change x to x and $\{1 + qsx\} \equiv x + qs \pmod{t}$: Thus we have

$$\sum_{\substack{a \pmod{cq} \\ a \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} e^{\frac{ap+a}{cq}} = e^{\frac{p+r}{cq}} \sum_{\substack{s;t \\ st=c}} V_{qs}(p; r; t)$$

where

$$V_d(p; r; t) := \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{t} \\ (x(x+d); t)=1}} e^{\frac{px}{t} - \frac{rx+d}{t}} :$$

Therefore

$$S_N = \sum_{p;r} \sum_{a_p a_r} \sum_{\substack{K \\ s;t}} \frac{2}{qst} e^{\frac{p+r}{qst}} V_{qs}(p; r; t) J_{k-1} \frac{4}{qst} \overline{pr}^{-K} :$$

We now write

$$S_N = M_{\text{off}} + E_{\text{off}};$$

where M_{off} is the contribution from terms $(t; pr) = 1$, and E_{off} is the rest. The purpose is to extract the terms with $(t; pr) = 1$ and later rewrite the congruence condition $(\pmod{t})$ in terms of Dirichlet characters.

Lemma 5.1. For $k \geq 3$, we have

$$E_{\text{off}} := \sum_{p;r} \sum_{a_p a_r} \sum_{\substack{K \\ s;t \\ (t;pr)=1}} \frac{2}{qst} e^{\frac{p+r}{qst}} V_{qs}(p; r; t) J_{k-1} \frac{4}{qst} \overline{pr}^{-K} q^{-1} :$$

Proof. We have

$$E_{\text{off}} = \sum_{\substack{p;r \\ p \neq r}} \sum_{\substack{a_p a_r \\ p \neq r}} \sum_{\substack{K \\ s;t \\ p \nmid t}} \frac{2}{qst} e^{\frac{p+r}{qst}} V_{qs}(p; r; t) J_{k-1} \frac{4}{qst} \overline{pr}^{-K}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{p;r} \chi_p \chi_r a_p a_r K \sum_{s;t} \chi_s \chi_t \frac{2}{qst} e^{\frac{p}{qst}} \frac{r}{qst} V_{qs}(p; r; t) J_{k-1} \frac{p}{qst} \frac{r}{qst} \\
 & + \sum_{p} \chi_p a_p K \sum_{s;t} \chi_s \chi_t \frac{2}{qst} e^{\frac{2p}{qst}} V_{qs}(p; p; t) J_{k-1} \frac{4}{qst} \frac{p}{qst} \\
 & =: E_1 + E_2 + E_3:
 \end{aligned}$$

We will focus on bounding E_1 . The bound for E_2 and E_3 follows similarly.

By the change of variable $t \rightarrow tp$,

$$E_1 = \sum_{p;r} \chi_p \chi_r a_p a_r K \sum_{s;t} \chi_s \chi_t \frac{2}{qst} e^{\frac{p}{qst}} \frac{r}{qst} V_{qs}(p; r; tp) J_{k-1} \frac{p}{qst} \frac{r}{qst}$$

Using trivial bound for V_{qs} , the bound in Lemma 2.2, which is

$$J_{k-1} \frac{4}{qst} \frac{p}{qst} \frac{r}{qst} - \frac{4}{qst} \frac{p}{qst} \frac{r^{k-1}}{qst}$$

$\chi_s \chi_t$ and $k \geq 3$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E & \leq \sum_{aX < p; r < bX} \chi_p \chi_r \frac{\log p \log r}{p-r} \sum_s \chi_s \frac{1}{qs} \sum_t \chi_t \frac{4}{qst} \frac{p}{qst} \frac{r^{k-1}}{qst} \\
 & \leq \sum_{aX < p; r < bX} \chi_p \chi_r \frac{\log p}{p^{k-2}} (\log r) r_k^{k-1} \sum_s \chi_s \frac{1}{q^k s^k} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{q^k} \sum_{p;rX} \chi_p \chi_r \log p \log r \\
 & \leq \frac{X}{q^k} q^{-\epsilon}
 \end{aligned}$$

upon choosing ϵ small enough. By the same arguments, we can show that $E_2 \ll q^{-1}$, and $E_3 \ll q^{-4}$:

Therefore

$$S_N = M_{\text{off}} + O(q^{-1});$$

where

$$M_{\text{off}} = K \sum_{s;t} \chi_s \chi_t \frac{2}{qst} \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ n \bmod t}} \chi_m \chi_n V_{qs}(m; n; t) \sum_{\substack{p;r \\ pm \bmod t \\ rn \bmod t}} \chi_p \chi_r a_p a_r e^{\frac{p+r}{qst}} J_{k-1} \frac{4}{qst} \frac{p}{qst} \frac{r}{qst} :$$

Now we express the condition $p \equiv m \pmod t$ and $r \equiv n \pmod t$ using Dirichlet characters. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\text{off}} &= K \sum_{s;t} \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ n \bmod t}} \frac{2}{qst} \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ n \bmod t}}^* V_{qs}(m; n; t) \frac{1}{(t)^2} \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ n \bmod t}}^* X_0(m)(n)^{0 \bmod t} \\
&= K \sum_{s;t} \frac{2}{qst(t)^2} \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ (pr;t)=1}}^* V_{qs}(m; n; t) \sum_{\substack{p;r}} X_0(p)(r) a_p a_r e^{\frac{p}{qst} \frac{r}{t} j_{k-1}} \frac{4}{qst} \frac{p}{pr} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ 0 \bmod t \\ =0; 0=0}} X_0(m)(n) \sum_{\substack{p;r}} X_0(p)(r) a_p a_r e^{\frac{p}{qst} \frac{r}{t} j_{k-1}} \frac{4}{qst} \frac{p}{pr} \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{\substack{(p;t)=1 \bmod t \\ =0}} X_0(p)(r) a_p a_r e^{\frac{p}{qst} \frac{r}{t} j_{k-1}} \frac{4}{qst} \frac{p}{pr} \\
&=: S_1 + S_2 + S_3.
\end{aligned}$$

When $1 < 2$, S_1 contributes to the main term while S_2 and S_3 are always subsumed in the error term. We will treat S_1 , S_2 and S_3 in Section 6, 7 and 8, respectively.

6. Main Term S_1

In this section, our goal is to prove the following.

Lemma 6.1. Assume RH. Let q be a prime, be dened as in Proposition 1.2, $k \geq 3$, and $X = q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \begin{cases} < \frac{8}{2} \log \frac{R}{X} \frac{1}{q} j(it)^2 dt + O(1) & \text{if } 1 < 2 \\ O(1) & \text{if } 0 \leq 1 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $0 \leq 2$ as $q \rightarrow 1$. The implied constant depends on ϵ and k .

6.1. Preliminary lemmas for evaluating S_1 . We will collect necessary lemmas to calculate S_1 in this section. The first lemma taken from [5], and its proof can be found there.

Lemma 6.2. Let $(a; c) = 1$: We have

$$f(c; c') := \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{c'} \\ xa \pmod{c}}}^* 1 = c^Y \sum_{\substack{p|c \\ p \nmid c'}} \frac{1}{p} = (c)^Y \sum_{\substack{p|c' \\ p \nmid c}} \frac{1}{p} :$$

The next lemma is a slight modification of Lemma 7.2 in [5]. The proof follows by the same arguments.

Lemma 6.3. Let m, n be positive integers satisfying $a \chi(m; n) \leq b \chi$ and $q \leq X$. We recall that $X = q$, where $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Define

$$T = T(q; m; n) := \sum_{k=1}^X \frac{1}{q^{k-1}} \frac{m^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} \frac{n^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}}$$

Further, let $L = \frac{Xq}{q}$ and w be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with $w(x) = 1$ if $0 \leq x \leq 1$, and $w(x) = 0$ if $x > 2$: Then for any $A > 0$, we have

$$T = 2 \sum_{k=1}^X w\left(\frac{k}{L}\right) \frac{1}{L^{k-1}} \frac{m^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} \frac{n^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} + O_A(q^{-A}):$$

Our next lemma is similar to Lemma 7.3 in [5], and we will include the proof here for completeness.

Lemma 6.4. Assume RH. Let w be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with $w(x) = 1$ if $0 \leq x \leq 1$; and $w(x) = 0$ if $x > 2$: Also we let $L > 0$ be any real parameter. Then for $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$, where ϵ is a small positive real number, and $0 < \epsilon < 1$,

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^X w\left(\frac{k}{L}\right) \frac{1}{L^{k-1}} \frac{m^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} \frac{n^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} = O(L^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} (1 + j\text{Im}(s))) :$$

Moreover,

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^X w\left(\frac{k}{L}\right) \frac{1}{L^{k-1}} \frac{m^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} \frac{n^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} = (1 + O(L^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + j\text{Im}(s)))):$$

Proof. Let $\psi(z)$ be the Mellin transform of w ; defined by

$$\psi(z) = \int_0^\infty w(t) \frac{t^z}{t} dt:$$

By integration by parts as in Lemma 7.3 of [5], $\psi(z)$ can be analytically continued to $\text{Re } z > -1$ except a simple pole at $z = 0$ with residue $w(0) = 1$. For (6.1), let $\epsilon > 1 - a + \epsilon_0$ so we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^X w\left(\frac{k}{L}\right) \frac{1}{L^{k-1}} \frac{m^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} \frac{n^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} = \sum_{k=1}^X \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\epsilon_0}^{\epsilon} \psi(z) L^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \frac{m^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} \frac{n^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} dz = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\epsilon_0}^{\epsilon} \psi(z) L^{\frac{z-1}{2}} (z + a) dz:$$

Then we shift the contour to $\text{Re}(z) = \frac{1}{2} - a + \epsilon$, picking up the simple pole of $(z + a)$ at $z = 1 + s - a$: Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^X w\left(\frac{k}{L}\right) \frac{1}{L^{k-1}} \frac{m^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} \frac{n^{k-1}}{q^{k-1}} = \psi(1 + s - a) L^{1+s-a} + \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-a+\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \psi(z) L^{\frac{z-1}{2}} (z + a) dz:$$

From the definition of ψ ,

$$\psi(1+s-a)L^{1+s-a} = \int_0^1 w \frac{z^{-s-a}}{L} dz.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(z-s+a) = \frac{1}{2}$ when $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{1}{2}-a+0$, and for any $\epsilon > 0$, under RH,

$$(6.3) \quad (1+it)(jt+1)$$

uniformly for $\frac{1}{2}-1 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ (e.g. see [27]), we obtain (6.1).

For (6.2), let $\sigma > 0$; we have

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{\sigma-1}^{\sigma} w \frac{z^{-s-a}}{L} dz = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)} \psi(z)L^z(z+1-s) dz:$$

Shifting the contour to $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{1}{4}$, we pass through poles at $z = s$ and $z = 0$. Thus (6.4) is

$$(1-s) + \int_0^1 w \frac{z^{-s-a}}{L} dz + \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1/4)} \psi(z)L^z(z+1-s) dz:$$

Equation (6.2) follows from the bound in (6.3).

6.2. Proof of Lemma 6.1. We recall that

$$S_1 = K \sum_{s;t} \frac{2}{qst(t)^2} \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ n \bmod t}} V_{qs}(m; n; t) \sum_{\substack{p, r \\ (pr; t)=1}} a_p a_r e^{\frac{p+r}{qst}} J_{k-1} \frac{4}{qst} \frac{p}{pr}:$$

We would like to apply Lemma 6.3 to the sum over s in S_1 but some manipulation must be done first to fit the assumptions. After applying Lemma 6.3, we shift the resulting contours and use Lemma 6.4 to extract the main term and bound the error (see the proof of Lemma 6.6).

First we see that

$$\sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ n \bmod t}} V_{qs}(m; n; t) = \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ (x+qs; t)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n \bmod t \\ (x+qs; t)=1}} e^{\frac{mx}{t}} e^{\frac{ny}{t}} e^{\frac{qs}{t}} = \frac{2^2(t)}{qst(t)^2} \sum_{\substack{x \bmod t \\ (x+qs; t)=1}} 1$$

since the sums over m and n are Ramanujan sums, each equal to $\phi(t)$: Next we can remove the coprimality condition $(pr; t) = 1$ by the same arguments as bounding E_{off} in Lemma 5.1. Moreover, we can express the coprimality condition $(x+qs; t) = 1$ as $\sum_{d|(x+qs; t)} \mu(d)$. Thus up to $O(q^{-1})$,

$$S = \sum_{s;t} \frac{2^2(t)}{qst(t)^2} \sum_{\substack{x \bmod t \\ (x+qs; t)=1}} \sum_{\substack{p, r \\ (pr; t)=1}} a_p a_r K e^{\frac{p+r}{qst}} J_{k-1} \frac{4}{qst} \frac{p}{pr}$$

$$E_{\text{high power}} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j_1; j_2} X^{\log X}_{\max f_{j_1; j_2} g_2} X^{X}_{pX^{1=j_1}; rX^{1=j_2}} \frac{\log p \log r}{p^{j_1=2} r^{j_2=2}} X_d \frac{1}{d^2(d)}_t \frac{1}{t(t)}_s \frac{1}{s} -$$
$$\min : \frac{8}{p^{j_1} r^{j_2}} \frac{!}{qstd}^{1=2}; \frac{p^{j_1} r^{j_2}}{qstd}^{!k-1} \frac{9}{!}$$
$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{j_1; j_2} X^{\log X}_{\max f_{j_1; j_2} g_2} X^{X}_{pX^{1=j_1}; rX^{1=j_2}} \frac{\log p \log r}{p^{j_1=2} r^{j_2=2}} X_d \frac{1}{d^2(d)}_t \frac{1}{t(t)}$$
$$X_p \frac{1}{s} \frac{p^{j_1} r^{j_2}}{qstd}^{!1=2} + X_p \frac{1}{s} \frac{p^{j_1} r^{j_2}}{qstd}^{!k-1}$$
$$s < \frac{p^{j_1} r^{j_2}}{qstd} \quad s \frac{p^{j_1} r^{j_2}}{qstd}$$

$$S_{d^2(d)} = \frac{4^2}{h} X_{(d;q)=1} \frac{(d)X}{t(t)}_{\underline{x}} \frac{(h)X}{L}_{hd} \frac{2(t)X}{L}_0^{t < t_0^{dh}=1} \frac{1, Z_1}{w-1}, \dots, \frac{t; small}{w-d,q}$$

(6.6)

$$\begin{matrix} X & X \\ m; & n \\ a_m & a_n J_{k-1} \end{matrix} \quad \frac{m'}{qtdh} J_{k-1} \quad \frac{n'}{qtdh} :$$
$$S_{t;small} = \frac{4^2}{q} \frac{1}{(2i)^4} \frac{Z}{(s_1+2_1)} \frac{Z}{(s_2+2_1)} \frac{Z}{(1)} \frac{Z}{(1)} \frac{(s_1)(s_2)2^{w_1} 2^{w_2} 2^{-\frac{k+w_1-1}{2}} \frac{k w_1 1}{2} \frac{k w_2 1}{2}}{(4)^{w_1+w_2} X^{s_1+s_2} X^d \frac{(d)}{d^{1+\frac{w_1}{2}+\frac{w_2}{2}2}(d)} X^{hjd} \frac{(h)}{h^{1+\frac{w_1}{2}+\frac{w_2}{2}}(t)} X^{2(t)} \frac{(m)}{m^{1+s_1} \frac{w_1}{2} \frac{1}{n_2+s_2} \frac{w_2}{2}} \frac{(n)}{n^{1+s_2} \frac{w_1}{2} \frac{1}{n_2+s_2} \frac{w_2}{2}} =$$
$$D(L; s) = \sum_{l=1}^X w_l \frac{1}{L} e^{s_l} + \sum_{l=0}^{Z-1} w_l \frac{1}{L} e^{s'_l}.$$

We move the contour in w_1 to the right to $\text{Re}(w_1) = 6_1$ and pick up a simple pole at $w_1 = 2s_1 - 1$. The residue of the pole is $\frac{1}{2}$ because $-\frac{1}{2} + s_1 - \frac{w_1}{2} = \frac{1}{w} \frac{2s_1 - 1}{(2s_1 - 1)} +$

and

(6.9)

$$C_2 := \frac{8^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} (2i)^3} \frac{Z}{(\frac{1}{2}+2s_1)} \frac{Z}{(\frac{1}{2}+2s_1)} \frac{Z}{(6_1)} \frac{(s_1)(s_2)2^{2s_1-w_2-1}}{(4)^{2s_1+w_2-1} X^{s_1+s_2}} \frac{(d)}{q^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{w_2}{2}}} \frac{(h)}{d^{\frac{1}{2}+s_1+\frac{w_2}{2}}} \frac{(t)}{h^{\frac{1}{2}+s_1+\frac{w_2}{2}}} \frac{2(t)}{t^{\frac{1}{2}+s_1+\frac{w_2}{2}}} \frac{1}{(d;q)=1} \frac{1}{(t;d)=1} \frac{1}{hjd} \frac{1}{t < \frac{x}{qdh}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{w_2}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{w_2}{2} dw_2 ds_1 ds_2;$$

Now we consider C_1 in (6.7). We move contour integration in w_2 to the right to $\text{Re}(w_2) = 6_1$, and we encounter the pole at $w_2 = 2s_2 - 1$ with residue equal to -2 . Thus we obtain that

$$C_1 = C_3 + C_4;$$

where

$$C_3 := \frac{8^2}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} (2i)^3} \frac{Z}{(\frac{1}{2}+2s_1)} \frac{Z}{(\frac{1}{2}+2s_1)} \frac{Z}{(6_1)} \frac{(s_1)(s_2)2^{w_1-2s_2-1}}{(4)^{w_1+2s_2-1} X^{s_1+s_2}} \frac{(d)}{q^{\frac{w_1}{2}+s_2}} \frac{(h)}{d^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{w_1}{2}+s_2}} \frac{(t)}{h^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{w_1}{2}+s_2}} \frac{2(t)}{t^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{w_1}{2}+s_2}} \frac{1}{(d;q)=1} \frac{1}{(t;d)=1} \frac{1}{hjd} \frac{1}{t < \frac{x}{qdh}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{w_1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{w_1}{2} dw_1 ds_1 ds_2;$$

and

(6.10)

$$C_4 := \frac{4^{-2}}{q (2i)^4} \frac{Z}{(\frac{1}{2}+2s_1)} \frac{Z}{(\frac{1}{2}+2s_1)} \frac{Z}{(6_1)} \frac{Z}{(6_1)} \frac{(s_1)(s_2)2^{w_1-w_2-2}}{(4)^{w_1+w_2} X^{s_1+s_2}} \frac{(d)}{q^{\frac{w_1}{2}+\frac{w_2}{2}}} \frac{(h)}{d^{1+\frac{w_1}{2}+\frac{w_2}{2}}} \frac{(t)}{h^{1+\frac{w_1}{2}+\frac{w_2}{2}}} \frac{2(t)}{t^{1+\frac{w_1}{2}+\frac{w_2}{2}}} \frac{1}{(d;q)=1} \frac{1}{(t;d)=1} \frac{1}{hjd} \frac{1}{t < \frac{x}{qdh}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{w_1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{w_2}{2} dw_1 dw_2 ds_1 ds_2;$$

Therefore

$$(6.11) \quad S_{t; \text{small}} = M S_1 + C_2 + C_3 + C_4;$$

To prove Lemma 6.1 for $X = q$, where $1 < 2$; it suces to show the following lemma.

Lemma 6.6. Let $X = q$, where $1 < 2$: With notation as above, we have

$$(6.12) \quad MS_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{q}{X} \int_0^Z j(t) j^2 dt + O(1);$$

$$(6.13) \quad C_2; C_3 q^{1/4};$$

and

$$(6.14) \quad C_4 q^{1/4};$$

where the implied constants depend on n and k .

The lemma above along with (6.5) and (6.11) completes the proof of Lemma 6.1.

Proof of (6.12) { the main term MS_1 . Now we focus on calculating MS_1 in (6.8). We move the line integration over s_i to $\text{Re}(s_i) = 1$ so

$$MS_1 = \frac{1}{(2i)^2} \int_0^Z \int_0^Z \frac{4 X^{s_1+s_2}}{q} (s_1)(s_2) \frac{k-2s_1}{2} \frac{k-2s_2}{2} \frac{k+2s_1}{2} \frac{k+2s_2}{2} \times \prod_{(d;q)=1} \frac{s(d)}{d^{s_1+s_2}} \prod_{hjd} \frac{(h)}{h^{s_1+s_2}} \prod_{\substack{t < \frac{X}{(t;d)=1}}} \frac{2(t)}{t^{s_1+s_2}} D(L; s_1 + s_2 - 1) ds_1 ds_2$$

From (6.2) in Lemma 6.4,

$$D(L; s_1 + s_2 - 1) = (1 - s_1 - s_2) + O \left(\frac{X q^{0 \frac{1}{4}}}{q t d h} (1 + j \text{Im}(s_1 + s_2) j) \right) ;$$

so

$$MS_1 = M_1 + ES_1;$$

where M_1 is the contribution from $(1 - s_1 - s_2)$ and ES_1 is the contribution of the rest.

First we consider ES_1 . By Stirling's formula and writing $s_i = 1 + it_i$, we have

$$\frac{k-2s_i}{k+2s_i} = (j t_i j + 1)^{-2i_i/2}$$

Thus

(6.15)

$$ES_1 = \sum_q \frac{\chi(q)^{2_1}}{q^{2_1}} \sum_{d|h} \frac{1}{d^{2_1}} \sum_{j|d} \frac{1}{h^{2_1}} \sum_{t < \frac{x}{qdh}} \frac{(\chi(t))^{2_1}}{t^{2_1}} \frac{\chi(q)^{0_1}}{q^{0_1}} \frac{1}{qtdh} (1 + jt_1 + t_2j) dt_1 dt_2$$

$$q^{0_1} = 4.$$

Next we consider M_1 : First we add back terms corresponding to large t and note that their contribution is small

$$EM_1 := \frac{1}{(2i)^2} \sum_{(d;q)=1} \sum_{h|d} \frac{4}{q} \sum_{s_1+s_2} \frac{\chi(s_1)(s_2)}{h^{s_1+s_2}} \frac{\chi(t)}{t^{s_1+s_2}} (1 + s_1 + s_2) ds_1 ds_2$$

$$\sum_d \frac{1}{d^{s_1+s_2}} \sum_{h|d} \frac{1}{h^{s_1+s_2}} \sum_{t > \frac{x}{qdh}} \frac{(\chi(t))^{2_1}}{t^{2_1}} \frac{\chi(q)^{2_1}}{q^{2_1}}$$

since χ has a rapid decay on vertical lines and by the bound (6.3) for χ . Therefore

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{(2i)^2} \sum_{(d;q)=1} \sum_{h|d} \frac{4}{q} \sum_{s_1+s_2} \frac{\chi(s_1)(s_2)}{h^{s_1+s_2}} \frac{\chi(t)}{t^{s_1+s_2}} (1 + s_1 + s_2) ds_1 ds_2 + O(1);$$

On the other hand, by multiplicativity the sum over t is

$$\sum_{(t;d)=1} \frac{(\chi(t))^{2_1}}{t^{s_1+s_2}} = (1 + s_1 + s_2)G(s_1 + s_2)B_d(s_1 + s_2);$$

where

$$G(z) := \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p(p-1)} p^z \right) \frac{1}{p(p-1)p^{2z}}$$

$$B_d(z) := \prod_{p|d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)p^z} \right) :$$

Note that $G(z)$ is absolutely convergent when $\operatorname{Re}(z) > -1/2$, and $G(0) = 1$: Therefore $M_1 + O(1)$ is

$$\frac{1}{(2i)^2} \int_{(1)}^Z \frac{1}{q} \frac{4}{q} X^{s_1+s_2} (s_1)(s_2)(1+s_1+s_2)G(s_1+s_2) \frac{(d)}{d^{s_1+s_2+2}} \frac{(h)}{h^{s_1+s_2}} B_d(s_1+s_2) \frac{s_1+\frac{k}{2}+s_2+\frac{k}{2}}{s_1+\frac{k}{2}+s_2+\frac{k}{2}} ds_2 ds_1$$

Recall that $X = q$, where $1 < 2$: We shift the contour of s to the left to $\operatorname{Re}(s_2) = -1/2$, picking up the contribution of the double poles at $s_2 = -s_1$ from $(1-s_2)(1+s_1+s_2)$. Thus we write that $M_1 + O(1)$ is

$$R_M + \frac{1}{(2i)^2} \int_{(1)}^Z \frac{1}{q} \frac{4}{q} X^{s_1+s_2} (s_1)(s_2)(1+s_1+s_2)G(s_1+s_2) \frac{(d)}{d^{s_1+s_2+2}} \frac{(h)}{h^{s_1+s_2}} B_d(s_1+s_2) \frac{s_1+\frac{k}{2}+s_2+\frac{k}{2}}{s_1+\frac{k}{2}+s_2+\frac{k}{2}} ds_2 ds_1$$

where R_M denotes the residue at $s_2 = -s_1$. We note that the contour integral above is

$\frac{X}{q}$ 1. Further, write

$$R_M = \log \frac{X}{q} \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^Z (s_1)(s_2)G(0) \frac{(d)}{d^{s_1+s_2+2}} \frac{(h)}{h^{s_1+s_2}} B_d(0) ds_1 + E_R$$

where

$$E_R = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^Z (s_1) \frac{s_1+\frac{k}{2}+s_2+\frac{k}{2}}{s_1+\frac{k}{2}+s_2+\frac{k}{2}} \frac{(d)}{d^{s_1+s_2+2}} \frac{(h)}{h^{s_1+s_2}} B_d(s_1+s_2) \frac{s_1+\frac{k}{2}+s_2+\frac{k}{2}}{s_1+\frac{k}{2}+s_2+\frac{k}{2}} ds_1 (d;q)=1$$

$$= O(1):$$

Since $G(0) = 1$ and $\frac{P}{hjd}(h) = 0$ unless $d = 1$, we obtain that

$$R_M = \log \frac{X}{q} \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^Z (s_1)(s_2) ds_1 + O(1) = \log_q 2$$

$$= \log \frac{X}{q} \frac{1}{2} \int_1^Z (it)(Xit) dt + O(1):$$

Thus

$$(6.16) \quad M_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \chi \frac{q}{X} \int_R^Z j(t) j^2 dt + O(1):$$

Combining (6.15) and (6.16) gives (6.12) in Lemma 6.6.

Proof of (6.13) { error terms C_2, C_3 . Due to symmetry of C_2 and C_3 , it is sufficient to prove the bound for C_2 . Recall that C_2 is defined in (6.9).

First, we move the lines of integration in s_1 and s_2 to $\text{Re}(s_1) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\text{Re}(s_2) = \frac{1}{4}$, respectively. Under RH, no poles are encountered when moving the lines of integration. We write $\text{Im}(s_i) = t_i$ and $\text{Im}(w_2) = y_2$. By (6.1) in Lemma 6.4 for $a = \frac{1}{2}$ and $s = s_1 + \frac{w_2}{2}$ we have that

$$(6.17) \quad D(L; s_1, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{w_2}{2}) \ll L^{4_1} (1 + jt_1j + jy_2j)$$

Under RH, we also have the bound

$$(6.18) \quad \frac{1}{t} \log^2(jt_1j + 2);$$

uniformly when $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{Z_1} + \frac{1}{Z_1} + \frac{1}{Z_1} + \frac{1}{Z_1}$ (e.g. see [8]), and so we derive that

$$C_2 \ll \frac{1}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_1^{\frac{1}{q}} j(t_1 + it_1)(4_1 + it_2)j(1 + jt_1j)^{-2_1}(1 + jy_2j)^{-1-6_1} \\ \times \frac{X^{5_1}}{q^{4_1}} \times \frac{1}{d^{\frac{1}{2}+4_1}2(d)} \times \frac{1}{h^{\frac{1}{2}+4_1}} \times \frac{2(t)}{t^{\frac{1}{2}+4_1}(t)} \times \frac{Xq^0}{qtdh}^{4_1} \\ (1 + jt_1j + jy_2j) \log^2(jt_2j + jy_2j + 2) dy_2 dt_1 dt_2 \\ \frac{X^{9_1}q^{4_0}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}+8_1}} q^{-4_1};$$

upon choosing small enough ϵ_0 :

Proof of (6.14) { error term C_4 . For C_4 as in (6.10), we move the integral in s_i to $\text{Re}(s_i) = \frac{1}{4}$. We encounter no poles under RH. We use the bounds in (6.1) in Lemma 6.4 for $a = 0$ and (6.18). Thus we obtain that

$$C_4 \ll \frac{1}{q} \int_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_1^{\frac{1}{q}} j(t_1 + it_1)(4_1 + it_2)j(1 + jy_1j)^{-1-6_1}(1 + jy_2j)^{-1-6_1} \\ \times \frac{X^{8_1}}{q^{6_1}} \times \frac{1}{d^{1+6_1}2(d)} \times \frac{(h)}{h^{1+6_1}} \times \frac{2(t)}{t^{1+6_1}(t)} \times \frac{Xq^0}{qtdh}^{\frac{1}{2}+6_1} \\ (1 + jy_1j + jy_2j) \log^2(jt_1j + jy_1j + 2) \log^2(jt_2j + jy_2j + 2) dy_1 dy_2 dt_1 dt_2 \\ \frac{1}{q} \times \frac{X^{\frac{1}{2}+14_1}q^{0(1=2+6_1)}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}+12_1}} q^{-4_1};$$

upon choosing small enough ϵ_1 and ϵ_0 .

7. Error Term S_2

Let us recall the definition of S_2 :

$$S_2 = K \sum_{s,t} \frac{1}{qst(t)^2} \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ n \bmod t}}^* V_{qs}(m; n; t) \sum_{\substack{p \bmod t \\ r \bmod t \\ =0; 0=0}}^* \overline{0(m)(n)} \\ \sum_{p;r} 0(p)(r) a_p a_r e^{\frac{p}{qst} J_{k-1}} \frac{4}{qst} p \overline{pr} :$$

In what follows we will bound S_2 by q^{-4} . Note that this is the same that was used in Section 1.1 so that $j \geq 2$.

Lemma 7.1. With notation as above, we have

$$S_2 \ll q^{-4}.$$

We will consider sums over s and t in dyadic intervals of the form $T \leq t < 2T$ and $S \leq s < 2S$ denoted by $t \in T$ and $s \in S$ respectively. Thus in order to prove Lemma 7.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$(7.1) \quad D(S; T) \ll q^{-2};$$

where

$$D(S; T) := \sum_{s \in S; t \in T} \frac{1}{qst(t)^2} \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ n \bmod t}}^* V_{qs}(m; n; t) \sum_{\substack{p \bmod t \\ r \bmod t \\ =0; 0=0}}^* \overline{0(m)(n)} \\ \sum_{p;r} 0(p)(r) a_p a_r e^{\frac{p}{qst} J_{k-1}} \frac{4}{qst} p \overline{pr} :$$

We will apply the power series expansion for J -Bessel function when T is large, but when T is small, we will use an argument of Deshouillers and Iwaniec [9] to separate variables in the J -Bessel function. Thus the claimed bound for $D(S; T)$ follows from the following lemmas.

Lemma 7.2. Let $T \geq \frac{30bX}{qS}$. Then

$$D(S; T) \ll q^{-2};$$

Lemma 7.3. Let $T \leq \frac{30bX}{qS}$. Then

$$D(S; T) \ll q^{-2};$$

7.1. Proof of Lemma 7.2 { small T . First note that we have $aX < p; r \leq bX$ so the sum over p and r remains unchanged if a smooth weight $(\frac{p}{qst})$ is attached to each term provided that

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in (a; b] \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin (\frac{a}{2}, 2b) \end{cases}.$$

From Lemma 2.2,

$$J_{k-1} \frac{4^p p r}{qst} = -\frac{p}{2} \frac{qst}{p r} \operatorname{Re} W \frac{4^p p r}{qst} e^{\frac{2^p p r}{qst}} \frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{8} :$$

Now we write

$$(7.2) \quad (y) W \frac{4^p p r}{qst} e^{\frac{yX}{qst}} = \frac{2yX}{2i} \int_{(1)}^Z M_1(s) y^{-s} ds$$

and

$$(7.3) \quad (y) e^{\frac{yX}{qst}} = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{(1)}^Z M_2(s) y^{-s} ds$$

where

$$M_1(1+iv) = \int_{Z^0}^Z (y) W \frac{4^p p r}{qst} e^{\frac{yX}{qst}} y^{iv} dy M_2(1+iv) = \int_0^1 (y) e^{\frac{yX}{qst}} y^{iv} dy :$$

Moreover,

$$(7.4) \quad M_i(1+iv) \begin{cases} (1+jv)^{-1/2} & \text{if } |jv| \leq \frac{c_i X}{qst} \\ v^{-2} & |jv| > \frac{c_i X}{qst} \end{cases}$$

for some absolute constant c_i . The bound in (7.4) follows by the same arguments as in [9]. From (7.4), for $s \leq S$ and $t \leq T$, we have

$$(7.5) \quad \int_{(1)}^Z |M_i(z)| dz \leq \frac{X^{1/2}}{qST}$$

since $\frac{X}{qS} \leq 1$ for this case.

Now Lemma 2.2 and (7.2) give

$$D(S; T) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{tT} \frac{1}{qst(t)} \frac{p}{qst} \frac{X}{m \bmod t} \frac{X}{n \bmod t} V_{qs}(m; n; t) \frac{X}{m \bmod t} \frac{X}{n \bmod t} \overline{0(m)(n)}_{ss} \\ \frac{X}{p} \frac{X}{r} \frac{p+r}{r} \frac{X^{2^{1/4}}}{p} \frac{p;r}{p;r} \\ (p)(r) a_p a_r e \frac{p}{qst} \frac{r}{p}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{2i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z} M_1(z) \frac{p}{X} \frac{z}{pr} dz e^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{8}} \#$$

To prove Lemma 7.2, it suces to bound

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(S; T) &:= \sum_{t|T} \sum_{m \bmod t} \sum_{n \bmod t} \frac{1}{qst(t)^2} \frac{p}{X} \frac{z}{pr} M_1(z) V_{qs}(m; n; t) \overline{0(m)(n)}_{ss} \\ &= \sum_{sS; tT} \sum_{m \bmod t} \sum_{n \bmod t} \frac{1}{qst(t)^2} \frac{p}{X} \frac{z}{pr} M_1(z) T_1(x; z) T_2(x; z) dz \\ &\quad \text{where } T_1(x; z) := \sum_{m \bmod t} e^{\frac{mx}{t}} s_1(m); \quad T_2(x; z) := \sum_{n \bmod t} e^{\frac{nx + qs}{t}} s_1(n); \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(7.6) \quad s_1(m) = \sum_{\substack{p \bmod t \\ =0}} \frac{1}{X} \frac{z}{pr} e^{\frac{mx}{t}} s_1(m);$$

and

$$(7.7) \quad D_1(S; T) \leq \sum_{sS} \sum_{t|T} \frac{1}{t(t)^2} \int_1^{\infty} j M_1(1 + iv) j (S_1 S_2)^{1/2} dv;$$

By setting $z = 1 + iv$ and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we obtain that

$$(7.7) \quad D_1(S; T) \leq \sum_{sS} \sum_{t|T} \frac{1}{t(t)^2} \int_1^{\infty} j M_1(1 + iv) j (S_1 S_2)^{1/2} dv;$$

where

$$S_1 := \sum_{\substack{x \bmod t \\ (x(x+qs); t)=1}} j T_1(x; 1 + iv) j^2; \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 := \sum_{\substack{x \bmod t \\ (x(x+qs); t)=1}} j T_2(x; 1 + iv) j^2;$$

Now we bound S_1 . By a change of variables and completing the sum over x ,

$$S_1 = \sum_{\substack{x \bmod t \\ (x(x+qs); t)=1}} \sum_{m \bmod t} e^{\frac{mx}{t}} s_1(m)^2 \sum_{x \bmod t} \sum_{m \bmod t} e^{\frac{xm}{t}} s_1(m)^2;$$

and

$$S_2 = \sum_{\substack{x \bmod t \\ (x(x+qs); t)=1}} \sum_{n \bmod t} e^{\frac{nx + qs}{t}} s_1(n)^2 \sum_{x \bmod t} \sum_{n \bmod t} e^{\frac{xn}{t}} s_1(n)^2;$$

Opening up the square and applying orthogonality, we obtain that

$$\sum_{t \leq T} \sum_{m \pmod t} \chi_1(m) e^{\frac{2\pi i m}{t}} = \sum_{t \leq T} \sum_{m \pmod t} \chi_1(m) j^2 \frac{m}{t} \pmod t$$

Now from the definition of $\chi_1(m)$, we open up the square and write $z = 1 + iv$ to get

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} S_i &= \sum_{t \leq T} \sum_{m \pmod t} \chi_1(m) \sum_{p \leq \frac{t}{m}} \frac{\log(p)}{p^{1+iv}} e^{\frac{2\pi i m}{t}} \\ &= \sum_{t \leq T} \sum_{m \pmod t} \chi_1(m) \sum_{p \leq \frac{t}{m}} \frac{\log(p)}{p^{1+iv}} e^{\frac{2\pi i m}{t}} \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of a_p .

In the above, we have written $\chi_1(y) = (y)y^{3/4}$ so that χ_1 is a bounded real smooth function supported in $(a; b)$. Since $\frac{p}{qST} \ll 1$, we do not absorb the exponential factor into the smooth function. Instead, we will use Mellin transform in (7.3). Let $\chi_2(y) = y^{-1/4} \chi_1(y)$. Again χ_2 is a smooth function with compact support in $(a; b)$. Also note that the sum over p does not change by introducing the smooth weight $(p=X)$ attached to each term. Therefore, using (7.3) the sum over p in (7.8) is

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq \frac{t}{m}} \frac{\log(p)}{p^{1+iv}} e^{\frac{2\pi i m}{t}} &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{-R}^R M_2(z) \frac{\log(p)}{p^{1+iv}} \frac{p^z}{X} \frac{p}{X} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_{-R}^R M_2(1+iv+j) \frac{\log(p)}{p^{1+iv}} \frac{p}{X} \frac{p}{X} dw \\ &\quad + \int_{-R}^R M_2(1+iv+j) \log^2(q+jv) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{qST} \log^2(q+jv) \end{aligned}$$

by applying Lemma 2.3 and the bound (7.5). Hence from (7.8), we have

$$S_i \leq t^2(t) \sum_{q \leq T} \frac{1}{q} \log^4(q+jv):$$

Then from the above bound, (7.7) and applying (7.5),

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(S; T) &\leq \sum_{q \leq T} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{s \leq T} \frac{1}{s} \sum_{t \leq T} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{m \pmod t} \chi_1(m) \sum_{p \leq \frac{t}{m}} \frac{\log(p)}{p^{1+iv}} \frac{p}{X} \frac{p}{X} \\ &\leq \sum_{q \leq T} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{s \leq T} \frac{1}{s} \sum_{t \leq T} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{m \pmod t} \chi_1(m) \sum_{p \leq \frac{t}{m}} \frac{\log(p)}{p^{1+iv}} \frac{p}{X} \frac{p}{X} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{q^X}{q^2} \frac{X}{q} = 2$$

upon choosing small enough δ , which gives us the desired bound for $D(S; T)$.

7.2. Proof of Lemma 7.3 { big T. Note that $\frac{q^X}{q^2} \frac{p}{q} \frac{r}{q} = 2$. We now use the power series expansion for the J-Bessel function (2.4) to separate variables. To be precise, we have

$$D(S; T) = \sum_{t|T} \sum_{\substack{m \bmod t \\ n \bmod t}} \frac{1}{qst(t)^2} \sum_{\substack{p \bmod t \\ r \bmod t}} V_{qs}(m; n; t) \sum_{\substack{p \bmod t \\ r \bmod t}} \frac{X^p}{qst} \frac{X^r}{qst} \frac{1}{(m)(n)} \frac{1}{s^2} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{p \bmod t \\ r \bmod t}} \frac{X^p}{qst} \frac{X^r}{qst} \frac{1}{(m)(n)} \frac{1}{s^2} \frac{1}{t(t)^2} (W_1 W_2)^{1=2};$$

where

$$W_{1,\delta} := \sum_{\substack{x \bmod t \\ (x(x+qs);t)=1}} jT_3(x; z)j^2; \quad \text{and} \quad W_{2,\delta} := \sum_{\substack{x \bmod t \\ (x(x+qs);t)=1}} jT_4(x; z)j^2;$$

Moreover,

$$T_3(x; z; \delta) := \sum_{m \bmod t} e^{mx} \frac{1}{t} s_{2,\delta}(m); \quad T_4(x; z; \delta) := \sum_{n \bmod t} e^{nx} \frac{1}{t} s_{2,\delta}(n);$$

and

$$(7.10) \quad s_{2,\delta}(m) = \sum_{\substack{p \bmod t \\ =0}} \frac{X^p}{(m)} \frac{X^p}{p} (p) a_p e^{\frac{p}{qst} \frac{r}{p} \frac{1}{bX} \frac{1}{2'+k-1}};$$

By the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 7.2, we derive that

$$W_{i,\delta} t(t) = \sum_{\substack{p \bmod t \\ =0}} \frac{X^p}{(m)} \frac{X^p}{p} (p) \log p \frac{p^2}{p}; \quad \frac{1}{X}$$

where

$$= (y) e^{\frac{yX}{b} \frac{r}{y} \frac{1}{2'+k-1}} \frac{1}{qst} \frac{1}{(y)};$$

Note that δ is also a smooth function which is compactly supported in $(a; b)$ with $0 < a < b$.

By Lemma 2.3, the sum over p is $\log^2 q \max_{a \leq x \leq b} j^{(3)}(x)j$, so we have $W_{i,\delta} \leq$

$$t(t)^2 (\log q)^{2/3} \frac{1}{2}.$$

We will then separate p and r in the J -Bessel function $J_{k-1} \frac{4}{qst} p \overline{pr}$. Similar to Section 7, we do it in two ways depending on the size of T . The bound of $D_2(S; T)$ will follow from the followings lemmas.

Lemma 8.2. Let $T \leq \frac{30bX}{qS}$. Then

$$D_2(S; T) \ll q^{-4}.$$

Lemma 8.3. Let $T > \frac{30bX}{qS}$. Then

$$D_2(S; T) \ll q^{-4}.$$

8.1. Proof of Lemma 8.2 for small T . We will apply the Mellin transform in equation (7.2), and by similar reasoning as in Section 7.1, it is sufficient to bound

$$D_3(S; T) = \sum_{s \leq T} \sum_{t \leq T} \frac{1}{qst(t)^2} \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{t} \\ (x+qs; t)=1}} \frac{p}{qst} \frac{Z}{(1)} \sum_{\substack{n \pmod{t} \\ (x+qs; t)=1}} \sum_{\substack{r \pmod{t} \\ (p; t)=1}} \frac{X}{r} \frac{X}{r} \frac{1=4+z=2}{(p; t)=1} \sum_{\substack{a_p \pmod{t} \\ (p; t)=1}} \frac{p}{qst} \frac{X}{p} \frac{1=4+z=2}{(p; t)=1} \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{n(x+qs)}{t}} dz$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$(8.2) \quad D_3(S; T) \ll \frac{1}{qS} \frac{r}{qST} \sum_{t \leq T} \frac{1}{t(t)^2} \int_0^1 |M_1(1+iv)|^2 |U_1 U_2|^{1=2} dv;$$

where

$$U_1 := \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{t} \\ (x(x+qs); t)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n \pmod{t} \\ (x+qs; t)=1}} \frac{1}{t} e^{-\frac{n(x+qs)}{t}} s_1(n)^2$$

for $s_1(n)$ defined in (7.6), and

$$(8.3) \quad U_2 := \sum_{\substack{x \pmod{t} \\ (x(x+qs); t)=1}} \sum_{\substack{r \pmod{t} \\ (p; t)=1}} \frac{p}{qst} \frac{p}{X} \frac{3=4-iv=2}{(p; t)=1} :$$

By the same argument as bounding S_2 in Section 7.1,

$$(8.4) \quad U_1 \ll t^2(t) \sum_{q \leq T} \frac{q}{T} X$$

For U_2 , we need a somewhat different treatment. For clarity, we first prove the following technical lemma.

Lemma 8.4.

$$(8.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{j M_1(1+iv) M_2(1+iw) j}{(1+jv=2+wj)^B} dw dv \ll q;$$

for M_i satisfying (7.4) and any $B \geq 2$.

Proof. By (7.4), we note that the contribution of the ranges $|vj| > c_1 \frac{X}{qst}$ or $|wj| > c_2 \frac{X}{qst}$ is $O(1)$. Hence we will assume that $|vj|, |wj| \leq \frac{X}{qst}$. Further, we write $u = \frac{v}{2} + w$, so that the left side of (8.5) is

$$(8.6) \quad \int_R \int_R \frac{jM_1(1+iv)M_2(1+iw)}{(1+jv+2+wj)^B} dv dw \\ \int_{\frac{X}{qst}}^{\frac{X}{2}} \int_{\frac{X}{qst}}^{\frac{X}{2}} \frac{1}{(1+jv)^{1=2}(1+jw)^{1=2}(1+jv+2+wj)^B} dv dw \\ \int_{\frac{X}{qst}}^{\frac{X}{2}} \int_{\frac{X}{qst}}^{\frac{X}{2}} \frac{1}{(1+2ju+2+wj)^{1=2}(1+jw)^{1=2}(1+ju)^B} du dw \\ \log \frac{X}{qst},$$

where the last line follows by considering the cases $|ju - w| > |wj|/2$ and $|ju - w| \leq |wj|/2$ separately.

Similarly to (7.9), we write the inner sum of U_2 as

$$\sum_X a e^{\frac{p}{qst}} \sum_{\substack{(x \pmod{qst}) \\ (x+qst) \pmod{t}=1}} \sum_{\substack{(x \pmod{qst}) \\ (x+qst) \pmod{t}=1}} \frac{\log p}{p^{1=2+iv+2+iw}} dw \frac{p}{X} \\ \sum_R jM_2(1+iw)j \log^2(q+jv+2+wj) + \frac{p}{(1+jv+2+wj)^{20}} dw$$

by Lemma 2.3. The contribution from the term $\log^2(q+jv+2+wj)$ is $\frac{q}{qst} \log^2(q+jv)$ as in (7.9). The bound for this portion then proceeds similarly as when bounding D_1 . It follows that

$$U_2 \leq \sum_{\substack{(x \pmod{qst}) \\ (x+qst) \pmod{t}=1}} \sum_R jM_2(1+iw)j \frac{p}{(1+jv+2+wj)^{20}} dw \\ \sum_t \sum_R jM_2(1+iw)j \frac{p}{(1+jv+2+wj)^{20}} dw$$

and it remains to bound the contribution of

$$\sum_R jM_2(1+iw)j \frac{p}{(1+jv+2+wj)^{20}} dw$$

to $D_3(S; T)$.

$$\frac{p_x}{q} \chi^{-1} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} \frac{2b\chi^{2+k-1}}{qST} \quad q^{-4}$$

as desired.

9. Conclusion for S_N

From Section 5, we have that

$$S_N = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + O(q^{-2})$$

where $S_1 = MS_1 + O(q^{-4})$ from Section 6, $S_2 = q^{-4}$ from Section 7, and $S_3 = q^{-8}$ from Section 8. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= MS_1 + O(1) \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \log \chi \frac{q}{R} \int_R^{\infty} |j(it)|^2 dt + O(1) \quad \text{if } 1 < 2 \\ &= : O(1) \quad \text{if } 0 < 1: \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of Proposition 1.2.

10. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof follows similarly to that of Theorem 2 in Section 4 of [4].

As mentioned earlier, $F(q)$ is an even function in χ . So we prove it for $\chi = 1$: By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 2.5,

$$F(q) = M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + O\left(\frac{p}{M_1 M_2}\right) + O\left(\frac{p}{M_1 M_3}\right) + O\left(\frac{p}{M_2 M_3}\right);$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &:= \frac{1}{N(q)^2} \sum_{\substack{f \in H \\ f \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \chi(f) \sum_{n \in H} \chi(n) \frac{1}{(n)^2} \frac{1}{(n)^2} \chi(n)^2; \\ M_2 &:= \frac{2}{N(q)(q)} \sum_{\substack{f \in H \\ f \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} \chi(f) \sum_{n \in H} \chi(n) \log \frac{q}{4^2} \frac{1}{(n)^2} \chi(n)^2; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M_3 = O\left(\chi^{-2} \log^2 jk \int_R^{\infty} |j(it)|^2 dt\right)$$

By Proposition 1.2,

$$M_1 = f(\chi)$$

for $0 < 2$: Also, by Lemma 2.4,

$$M_2 = \frac{1}{N(q)} \chi^{-1} \log \frac{q}{4^2} \int_R^{\infty} |j(it)|^2 dt \frac{1}{2} :$$

Finally

$$M_3 + O\left(\frac{p}{M_1 M_2}\right) + O\left(\frac{p}{M_1 M_3}\right) + O\left(\frac{p}{M_2 M_3}\right)$$

$$= O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \frac{1}{f(t) \log q} + O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log q :$$

Therefore we have

$$F(q) = (1 + o(1)) f(t) + (q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 \log q \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 j(t) j^2 dt \\ + O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \frac{1}{f(t) \log q} + O(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log q :$$

Acknowledgement

K. K-L. acknowledges support from NSF Grant number DMS-2001909. V.C. and X.L. acknowledge support from a Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant for Mathematicians. V.C. is also supported by NSF grant DMS-2101806 and an AMS-Simons Travel Grant.

The authors would also like to thank the reviewer for their careful reading and thoughtful suggestions.

References

- [1] E. Carneiro, V. Chandee, F. Littmann, and M. B. Milinovich, Hilbert spaces and the pair correlation of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 725 (2017), 143 - 182.
- [2] E. Carneiro, V. Chandee and M.B. Milinovich. A note on the zeros of zeta and L-functions, *Math. Z.* 281 (2015), 315 - 332.
- [3] V. Chandee and Y. Lee, n-level density of the low lying zeros of primitive Dirichlet L-functions, *Adv. Math.* (2020) 369, available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2020.107185>.
- [4] V. Chandee, Y. Lee, S-C. Liu and M. Radziwill, Simple zeros of primitive Dirichlet L-functions and the asymptotic large sieve, *Q. J. Math.* 65 (1), pp. 63{87, 2014.
- [5] V. Chandee and Xiannan Li, The sixth moment of automorphic L-functions, *Algebra & Number Theory* 11.3 (2017), 583-633.
- [6] A. Chirre, F. Goncalves, and D. de Laat, Pair correlation estimates for the zeros of the zeta function via semidefinite programming, *Adv. Math* 361 (2020), 22 pages.
- [7] B. Conrey and H. Iwaniec, Spacing of zeros of Hecke L-functions and the class number problem. *Acta Arith.* 103 (2002), no. 3, 259-312.
- [8] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, vol.74, Springer-Verlag (GTM), New York, 2000.
- [9] J.-M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec, Kloosterman sums and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, *Invent. Math.* 70 (1982), 219-288.
- [10] P. X. Gallagher and J. H. Mueller, Primes and zeros in short intervals, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 303/304 (1978), 205 - 220.
- [11] D. A. Goldston, On the pair correlation conjecture for zeros of the Riemann zeta-function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 385 (1988), 24 - 40
- [12] D. A. Goldston, S. M. Gonek, and H. L. Montgomery, Mean values of the logarithmic derivative of the Riemann zeta function with applications to primes in short intervals, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 537 (2001), 105 - 126.
- [13] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Edited by A. Jerrey and D. Zwillinger. Academic Press, New York, 7th edition, 2007.
- [14] H. Iwaniec, *Topics in classical automorphic forms*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 17 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997).
- [15] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Rhode Island, 2004.

- [16] H. Iwaniec, W. Luo and P. Sarnak Low lying zeros of families of L-functions. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 91 (2000), 55-131 (2001).
- [17] H. Iwaniec and Xiaoqing Li, The orthogonality of Hecke eigenvalues, Compos. Math. 143 (2007), p.541-565.
- [18] N. Katz and P. Sarnak, Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 45. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [19] H.L. Montgomery, The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function, Analytic number theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIV, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo. 1972), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1973), 181-193.
- [20] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, & J. VanderKam, Molliciation of the fourth moment of automorphic L-functions and arithmetic applications. Invent. math. 142, 95-151 (2000).
- [21] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, The analytic rank of $J_0(q)$ and zeros of automorphic L-functions. Duke Math. J. 100 (1999), no. 3, 503-542.
- [22] M. Rubinstein, Low-lying zeros of L-functions and random matrix theory, Duke Math. J., 109, (2001), no. 1, 147-181.
- [23] F. Oberhettinger, Tables of Bessel transforms, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [24] A.E. Ozluk, On the q-analogue of the pair correlation conjecture, J. Number Theory, 59 (1996), no. 2, 319-351.
- [25] A.E. Ozluk, Pair Correlation of Zeros of Dirichlet L-functions, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, 1982.
- [26] K. Sono, A note on simple zeros of primitive Dirichlet L-functions, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 93 (2016), 19-30.
- [27] E.C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- [28] G. N. Watson, A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1944.

Mathematics Department, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66503
 Email address: chandee@ksu.edu

Mathematics Department, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854
 Email address: kim.klingerlogan@rutgers.edu (corresponding author)

Mathematics Department, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66503
 Email address: xiannan@math.ksu.edu