

Plant Receptor-like proteins (RLPs): Structural features enabling versatile immune recognition

Simon Snoeck^{1,2}, Anthony GK Garcia¹, Adam D Steinbrenner^{*1}

1, University of Washington, Department of Biology, Box 351800, Seattle WA 98195

2, Present address: University of Zurich, Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, Zollikerstrasse 107, Zurich CH-8008

*corresponding author, astein10@uw.edu

Abstract

Plant immune recognition of pests and pathogens relies on a germline-encoded repertoire of innate immune receptors. In recent years, many examples of cell surface receptors in the large gene family of receptor-like proteins (RLPs) have been shown to govern recognition specificity. RLPs lack the canonical intracellular kinase domain associated with receptor kinases, but instead transduce immune signaling through interactions with co-receptor and adaptor kinases including BAK1 and SOBIR1. We review recognition and signaling functions mediated by subdomains of leucine-rich repeat (LRR) type RLPs. LRR-RLP extracellular domains, transmembrane motifs, and intracellular tails can mediate ligand binding, co-receptor recruitment, and immune signaling specificity. A recently reported cryo-EM structure of an LRR-RLP, RXEG1, now links domain architecture and sequence features of LRR-RLPs to key molecular functions. Finally, we propose a new motif-based classification of LRR-RLPs based on shared sequence features in the island domain (ID). In both dicot and monocot species, receptors encoding the Y-x₈-KG and the newly defined K-x₅-Y motif in their IDs correspond with conserved, sister clades of LRR-RLP genes. We propose that the conservation of distinct Y-x₈-KG and K-x₅-Y groups of LRR-RLPs implies that the motif is a useful classifier of immunity-related LRR-RLPs, distinguishing them from receptors with similar domain architecture but roles in growth and development. Deeply conserved structural features of LRR-RLPs likely provide a versatile platform for diversification of receptor repertoires and recognition specificities.

30 1. Introduction

Plant health is mediated by successful immune recognition and response against pests and pathogens. Initial recognition is achieved by innate immune receptors which sense non-self or modified-self patterns. Receptors can be divided into two categories based on their localization and types of detected patterns [1,2]. A first set of intracellular receptors in the NOD-like receptor (NLR) family monitors pathogen effector proteins [3,4]. A second set of receptors, termed pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), resides at the plasma membrane and monitors extracellular signals, termed pathogen/pest-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) [5,6].

Canonical PRRs in the receptor kinase (RK) family, such as Flagellin Sensing 2 (FLS2), carry out dual functions: recognition and signal transduction. For recognition, many different extracellular domains can bind PAMPs, but highly variable, proteinaceous PAMPs are typically detected by receptors containing a leucine-rich repeat (LRR) ectodomain [7]. For signal transduction, most PRRs encode an intracellular kinase domain and associate with key small-ectodomain co-receptors in the SERK family (e.g. BAK1), forming a network of homotypic protein-protein interactions [8,9]. In addition to immune sensing functions, RK have diverse roles in abiotic sensing and plant development [10,11].

In contrast to RKs, a second set of PRRs termed Receptor-like Proteins (RLPs) do not encode this simple dual functionality in their domain architecture. RLPs contain an ectodomain, transmembrane, and short cytoplasmic tail, but not an intracellular kinase domain for signal transduction [12,13]. RLPs were among the first disease resistance genes to be cloned [14,15], but mechanisms for recognition and signaling are still far less well understood than for NLRs and RKs. This review focuses on the largest subfamily of RLPs in plants, those containing extracellular leucine-rich repeat (LRRs). Beyond a few examples of LRR-RLPs with roles in development, most LRR-RLPs mediate the detection of various threats such as fungi, parasitic weeds and herbivores [16–20]. LRR-RLPs and their respective ligands have been characterized in diverse species such as Arabidopsis, tomato, wild tobacco, canola and cowpea and detect diverse molecular patterns, ranging from small peptides [16,17] to specific features of protein structures, for example the active site groove of fungal XEG1 and the tertiary fold of bacterial translation initiation factor-1 (IF1) [21,22]. A recent breakthrough description of the cryo-EM structure of the LRR-RLP RXEG1, as well as recent functional studies of RLP42 and INR [21,23,24], motivates a new overview of LRR-RLP structure and function.

A major goal in the field of plant immunobiology is to identify agronomically useful receptors [25,26]. Growing information on immune-related LRR-RLPs now allows us to define sequence motifs of immune-related LRR-RLPs. Unlike RKs and NLRs, which have relatively conserved signaling domains for phylogenetic classification, LRR-RLPs lack a discrete signaling domain, and there is not yet a unified nomenclature for describing LRR-RLP subfamilies [12,13,27]. However, new structural data and comparative genomics reveal that LRR-RLPs involved in PAMP recognition differ from receptors involved in plant development, distinguished by key differences in the functionally critical island domain (ID). Here we define two clades of immunity-related LRR-RLPs, those with Y-x₈-KG and K-x₅-Y IDs. We hope that this nomenclature will facilitate discussion of immunity-related LRR-RLPs in future genomic and functional analyses.

2. LRR-RLP Domain Architecture

75 The extracellular LRR domain of plant transmembrane receptors forms a twisted or superhelical assembly with a β -sheet forming the inner surface of the LRRs [10]. Seven regions, domains A through G, were originally defined in the sequence of Cf-9, the first characterized LRR-RLP [14]. A schematic of domain architecture is shown in Fig. 1. The B- and D- domain are LRR capping domains stabilized by disulfide bonds, which likely shield the hydrophobic core of the LRR domain at respectively the N- and C-terminus [28]. The LRR or C-domain was later divided into three subdomains (C1-C3), with the non-LRR-containing C2 subdomain dividing the fourth and the fifth to last C-terminal LRR [29]. The C2 subdomain was later alternatively named the intervening motif, island region/domain, or carboxy terminal (CT) loopout domain [21,29]. Here we use the term island domain (ID) as in Sun et al. (2022). The capping domain D is followed by domain E, 85 also called the acidic domain due to the strong presence of negatively charged AAs. A hydrophobic transmembrane helix (F-domain) and an unstructured intracellular and mostly basic charged cytoplasmic tail (G-domain) follow at the C-terminus of LRR-RLPs [14]. We will review structure-function relationships for each of domains A-G below.

3. Keeping host plants “in the loop” – A ligand-binding role for the long-neglected N-terminal loopouts in domain B or C1.

The combined cryoEM and crystal structures of RXEG1 recently revealed that “loopouts” in the classical LRR solenoid structure are not restricted to the ID. An 11-AA loopout structure embedded at the N-terminal (NT) of the C1 LRR domain was shown to be crucial for RXEG1 function as it is involved in the interaction with its ligand XEG1 as well as competitive inhibition of 95 XEG1 endoglucanase [21]. An RXEG1 mutant with the middle of the NT loopout removed (RXEG1 Δ ^{90–95}) impaired cell death response after exposure to XEG1 and nearly abolished competitive inhibition of XEG1 [21]. This breakthrough discovery adds to the theme of ligand-binding roles for loopouts in LRR domains of both plant NLRs and human Toll-like receptors [30,31].

100 Here we investigated all identified LRR-RLPs with a known ligand and defined their NT loopout domains by using a standardized cut-off based on an alignment and visual validation based on AlphaFold2 (AF2) structure predictions and the cryoEM structure of RXEG1 (Fig. 1, Suppl. Table 1, Supplemental files 1-3). 17 of 21 investigated LRR-RLPs contain one or more NT loopouts, and these vary in size from 2-19 AA (Fig. 1B). NT loopouts are embedded within either (1) the NT capping domain (B-domain) which is characterized by 2-6 Cys residues, or (2) the N-terminal LRRs, as with RXEG1. Intriguingly, although some LRR-RLPs share conserved portions of their NT loopout sequence, none contain exactly the same NT loopout, even among closely related LRR-RLPs such as Cf-4, Cf-9 and Hcr9-4E. Cys residues within the B-domain were earlier predicted to stabilize the NT cap by forming disulfide bonds [32], and single AA substitutions to Ala of two strongly conserved Cys residues resulted in reduction of Cf-9 function [28]. Additionally, 110 AF2 structure predictions suggest that only 9 of 19 NT loopouts form a secondary structure. Additional LRR-RLP structures are needed to validate AF2 predictions and to draw firm conclusions regarding shared features of loopout structure.

115 Although the NT loopout was not defined prior to the elucidation of the RXEG1 structure, three additional studies using chimeric proteins had previously provided functional insights into the role of the NT loopout. First, Cf-9 has an extended version of the Cf-4 NT loopout. Chimeric Cf-4 / Cf-9 receptors revealed that an HR response to Avr4 is weakened by swapping the Cf-9 extended version of the NT loopout in a Cf-4 backbone. In contrast, Cf-9 response to Avr9 is not weakened by swapping in the relatively short Cf-4 NT loopout, implying that Cf-9 specificity for Avr9 resides 120 only in the LRRs [33,34]. Second, a Cys to Ala substitution within the NT loopout of Cf-9 resulted in a slightly reduced activity of Cf-9. Third, an ancestral sequence reconstruction approach applied to functional and non-functional homologues of the LRR-RLP INR pinpointed a single Tyr residue in the NT loopout that is strongly conserved within all identified INR homologues from 11 legume species. An ancestral INR mutant with an AA substitution at this site resulted in a relatively lower 125 ROS burst [24]. In summary, there is evidence for a crucial role of the NT loopout for several LRR-RLPs, and the observed variation across NT loopouts suggests a function in ligand specificity. However, the NT loopout may not be required for ligand binding in all cases, as the predicted protein structures of Cf-2, Cf-5, RLP23 and RLP42 do not contain a clear NT loopout.

4. Recognition roles of C1 LRRs

130 A series of LRRs make up the largest LRR-RLP domain, C1, and abundant evidence points to a role for C1 in recognition specificity. Although Cf-4 and Cf-9 have a high AA similarity of 91.68%, Cf-9 contains two additional LRR (LRR 11-12) in its C1 domain. The addition or deletion of this region to respectively Cf-4 and Cf-9 abolished the function of both receptors [33,34], suggesting the importance of the relative distances of specific AA residues for at least Cf-4 receptor function. 135 Chimeric receptors and PCR-mediated gene shuffling based on Cf-4 and Cf-9 revealed the importance of divergent AA residues within the LRRs 11-14 and LRR 10-16 respectively for Cf-4 and Cf-9 [33–35]. Considering Cf-9, AA L457 in LRR 16 was shown to be essential for Cf-9 function as well as the presence of LRR 11-12, which are absent in Cf-4 [33,34]. Within Cf-4 LRR 11-14, Van der Hoorn *et al.* were able to pinpoint three specific residues W389, G411, and F457 140 which are essential for full Avr4 HR response [34]. Moreover, introduction of these in a Cf-9 backbone in combination with the deletion of LRR 11-12 was sufficient to gain Avr4 HR response. Using a similar approach for Cf-9 and Cf-9B, 4 specific residues in the LRR 13-15 of Cf-9 were identified as specificity determining residues. When incorporated in a Cf-9B backbone, these amino acids were sufficient to gain Avr9 HR response [35].

145 Beyond the *Solanum* LRR-RLPs, truncations of the *Arabidopsis* RLP23 C1 domain revealed that the region spanning LRR1 and LRR3 is crucial for ligand binding and RLP23 function [36], and chimeric receptors of RLP42 and RLP40 implicated the importance of the 12 N-terminal LRRs of RLP42 [23]. Many individual RLP42→RLP40 single AA substitutions located within these LRRs were also sufficient to abolish function. Cowpea INR and INR-like 1 chimeric receptors similarly 150 revealed the necessity of the C1 domain for INR function, and two AA residues in LRR 14 (H404 and R406) were found to be crucial for INR function through an ancestral sequence reconstruction approach [24]. Finally, the RXEG1 cryoEM structure indicates potential interaction between two C1 domain residues, C247 and N674, with the XEG1 ligand, although this was not further analyzed [21].

155 5. Island domain (ID) roles in ligand binding and BAK1 co-receptor association

160 Following C1 in the LRR-RLP domain architecture, a non-LRR loopout termed the island domain (ID) is present in most LRR-RLPs – and is indeed characteristic of PAMP-recognizing LRR-RLPs as we discuss below. The ID is positioned N-terminal to the last set of exactly four LRRs (domain C3). Genetic studies of LRR-RLPs RLP42, INR and RXEG1 provide evidence for the importance of the ID for LRR-RLP function [21,23,24,37]. Chimeric receptors with a non-functional paralog demonstrated a requirement of the ID for maintaining the function of INR [24]. Similarly for Ve1 and RLP42, chimeric receptors showed the requirement of the ID for specificity (Fradin 2014, Zhang 2021). More-
165 over, a mutant with an AA substitution in the ID (E696K) had a reduced ligand binding and impaired RLP42 function [23]. Finally, the crystal structure of RXEG1 revealed multiple potential interactions of the ID with its ligand XEG1, and an ID mutant of RXEG1 (Q786A/K791A) had impaired cell death activation (Sun et al., 2022).

170 The RXEG1 structure now indicates that the role of the ID extends to co-receptor association. Activation of multiple RLPs-SOBIR1 complex was previously shown to require SERK co-receptors such as BAK1 [38–40]. RXEG1 structures now indicate that flexibility or LRR-RLP IDs upon ligand binding mediates inducible association with BAK1 [21]. The combination of two structures, the RXEG1 ligand-free structure and RXEG1 in complex with BAK1 and XEG1, reveals that BAK1
175 contacts the last four LRRs of RXEG1 and the ID but not the ligand XEG1. RXEG1 mutations at contact points either in the ID domain (W806A and K807A) or at residue in the C3 domain, E896A, abolished the interaction with BAK1 *in vivo*. Intriguingly, K807 and E869 are strongly conserved within all LRR-RLPs, as is another BAK1-interacting AA in the C3 domain, RXEG1 Q913 (see alignment positions 1356, 1419, and 1462 in Supplementary file 2). RXEG K807 is also part of
180 the highly conserved K-x₅-Y motif (see section 6). Thus structural data and conservation across LRR-RLPs suggests that these residues are broadly critical for the RXEG1-BAK1 complex formation. Consistent with a role for the ID in co-receptor association but not recognition specificity, both pairs of Cf genes, Cf-2 and Cf-5, and Cf-4 and Cf-9, share the same ID sequence but respond to different effectors [34,41–43]. Since ligand-inducible association of RLPs with
185 SERK co-receptors such as BAK1 has been shown for many LRR-RLPs [16,17,20,44,45], a conserved functional role for the ID is likely.

6. ID sequence motifs define two classes of LRR-RLPs: K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG

190 Similarly to our approach to analyze NT-loopouts, we compiled ID sequences of the same set of immunity-related LRR-RLPs with defined ligands (Supplemental File 1). To define IDs, we used conserved cut-offs in an alignment, which were subsequently validated with AF2 structure predictions (Supplemental Table 1). IDs were earlier defined as long loop structures inserted between two consecutive LRR repeats which may fold into domain-like structures that harbor
195 secondary structural elements. Intriguingly, many of these IDs are considerably larger as initially

reported as they contain AAs which are traditionally identified as parts of the LRRs immediately flanking the ID. The cryoEM structure of RXEG1 and AF2 predictions of other LRR-RLPs visualize the impact of these extra AAs, which give additional structure to the C-terminal loopouts by forming beta-strands (Fig. 1A). All LRR-RLP IDs predicted by AF2 have 2-4 beta-strands. Secondary structure elements in IDs were also observed in the crystal structures of the LRR-RLKs BRI1, PSKR, and RPK2, although structure and function of RK IDs may differ from that of LRR-RLPs [10]. IDs analyzed here have an average ID size of 44 AA, with large variation between receptors. For example, ReMAX (RLP1), I gene, and CuRe1 have a relatively long loopout domain with respectively 63, 64 and 65 AAs. In contrast, the ID of Cf-2 and Cf-5 only consists of 33 AAs.

Using the collection of ID sequences, we searched for conserved motifs (Fig. 1C). Two groups of LRR-RLPs were immediately apparent from two alternative sets of Tyr and/or Gly residues with consistent spacing around the same conserved Lys. We term these motifs Y-x₈-KG or K-x₅-Y. Fritz-Laylin (2005) previously noted the Y-x₈-KG motif in multiple IDs in both Arabidopsis and rice LRR-RLP genes. We also observed this motif in 11/19 immunity-related LRR-RLPs, whereas RLP30 contains the C-terminal residues KG but lacks the N-terminal Y. An alternative motif, K-x₅-Y, which can be found in 7/19 of the investigated LRR-RLPs (Fig. 1C). In RXEG1, a K-x₅-Y type LRR-RLP, the conserved Lys is found at position 807 and mediates BAK1 interaction as described above. No structure of a Y-x₈-KG LRR-RLP is yet available. In summary, characteristic and highly conserved residues appear to be consistently spaced around a conserved, LRR-RLP specific Lys residue used for co-receptor recruitment.

Categorization of LRR-RLPs by the presence of the alternative K-x₅-Y or Y-x₈-KG motifs in the ID provides a new method for analyzing diversity and evolution of the gene family. LRR-RLPs containing either motif cluster in phylogenetic analyses into large clades of varying gene number in 4 analyzed species (Fig. 2). For Arabidopsis, the two clades contain the majority of previously annotated LRR-RLPs, but notably not RLP17 (TMM), RLP10 (CLV2), or RLP44, consistent with previous phylogenetic analysis [13]. Intriguingly, representative species from both eudicots and monocots contain members of both K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG clades. LRR-RLPs which contain mixed or neither motif are rare and often lack transmembrane helices, suggesting that they are possibly pseudogenes. The number of genes within the K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG clades seems to vary independently, possibly reflecting cycles of expansion and deletion. The conservation of two distinct classes of LRR-RLPs from monocot to dicot species suggests distinct functional roles for K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG receptors. Previous analyses categorized LRR-RLPs by gene expression patterns (pathogen responsive), by coarse phylogenetic patterns (derived, basal, or species-specific LRR-RLPs), or by relatedness to characterized LRR-RLPs (e.g. Cf-like) [27,29,46,47]. Based on the analysis described above, we propose to instead classify LRR-RLPs as K-x₅-Y type LRR-RLPs, Y-x₈-KG type LRR-RLPs, or outgroup LRR-RLPs lacking these two motifs.

K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG motifs appear to be specific to PRRs and are absent in LRR-RLPs mediating plant development. For example, TMM, RLP44, and CLV2 mediate stomatal patterning, brassinosteroid signaling, and meristem maintenance [48–50]. RLP44 lacks an ID, and the TMM and CLV2 IDs lack either K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG motif (Supplemental Table 1). Consistent with this difference in ID motif, molecular mechanisms of developmental LRR-RLP function differ from immunity-related LRR-RLPs. In contrast to the critical role of the ID of various immunity-related

LRR-RLPs, a CLV2 ID deletion was still functional as it was able to rescue a *clv2-3* mutant [51]. Structural data also support a highly distinct functional mechanism for the developmental LRR-RLP TMM [52]. The TMM ectodomain associates with the RK Erecta (ER) and alters specificity against EPF peptide ligands, but does not directly bind EPF, contrasting with the role of LRR domains A-E of immunity-related LRR-RLPs..

Evolutionary patterns of developmental RLPs are also distinct from immunity related LRR-RLPs. TMM and RLP44 are broadly conserved as single orthologs in distantly related species [53] and are not broadly pathogen inducible [27]. In contrast, immunity-related LRR-RLPs fall in large, species specific groups [46,47], consistent with lineage-specific expansions of LRR-RLP repertoires. Finally, K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG LRR-RLPs show high intraspecies variation. Among Arabidopsis LRR-RLPs for example, all receptors with pangenomic variation classified by Pruitt et al. [54] as either “complex” and “presence/absence” fall within the K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG clades, consistent with complex selective pressures leading to locus-level natural variation. Thus, evolutionary differences compared to non-immunity related receptors further support a distinct classification for the K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG type LRR-RLPs.

Functional differences between K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG clades are not yet explored. Examples of receptors from both categories can mediate cell death, ROS, and/or ethylene responses against diverse PAMPs. Divergent functions may become clear as LRR-RLP signaling mechanisms are explored further. Interestingly, specific mechanistic links between LRR-RLPs and intracellular NLR signaling machinery have recently been defined, providing a potential mechanism for different classes of LRR-RLP to activate distinct defense responses [54–56]. For example, cell death for Solanaceae-specific RLPs Cf-4 and Cf-9 is mediated by NRC3 [56], but it is not clear whether NLR dependence extends to LRR-RLPs from species outside the Solanaceae, which lack the NRC clade of NLRs [57]. Therefore a critical research priority is to understand specificity in LRR-RLP signaling pathways leading to NLR-dependent and NLR-independent defense responses.

7. C-terminal capping domain D

Two Cys residues delimit the boundary of the C and D domains of LRR-RLPs [58], with the second Cys strongly conserved within the C-terminal capping domain D. Van der Hoorn 2005 reported earlier the following motif for domain D: GNxGLCGxPLx₃C. However, our LRR-RLP alignment suggests that only Nx₂LxGx₆C is strongly conserved. Intriguingly, using the same strategy as discussed above for investigating the importance of Cys residues in the B-domain, a single AA substitution to Ala of the strongly conserved Cys residue in domain D did not impact Cf-9 function [28].

8. The acidic or juxtamembrane domain E and transmembrane domain F (Gx₃G) are major players in SOBIR1 association.

A final set of domains C-terminal to LRRs and capping domains show LRR-RLP specific features. A set of acidic residues, domain E, is highly conserved across LRR-RLPs and may mediate interactions with the LRR-RK, SOBIR1. SOBIR1 is an LRR-RK which constitutively associates and is required for functions of multiple LRR-RPs [59,60]. We discuss specific roles of SOBIR1 further in Box 1. The single-pass transmembrane helix contains an LRR-RLP specific motif, Gx₃G [61], and is termed domain F.

Evidence from chimeric and truncated LRR-RLPs have indicated a key role for the C-terminal domains. First, Ve1 still associates with SOBIR1 when it is N-terminally truncated to the second to last LRR of its C2-domain [37]. Second, a chimeric receptor with domain A-E of LRR-RLK EFR and domain F and G (transmembrane and cytoplasmic tail) of the LRR-RLP Cf-9 was shown to associate with SOBIR1, in contrast to EFR itself [62]. Moreover, similar to EFR, this chimeric receptor was able to respond to the EFR ligand elf18C, and silencing of NtSOBIR1/SOBIR1-like severely compromised the cell death response. Third, truncated RLP23 receptors provide evidence that domain E is required for full-strength association with SOBIR1 [36].

Specific mutations in the Gx₃G of LRR-RLPs also support a key role in SOBIR1 association. Gx₃G motifs were earlier characterized as facilitators of protein–protein interactions in animal receptor tyrosine kinases or membrane channels [63], and the majority of LRR-RLPs contain one or several tandemly arranged Gx₃G motifs [58,61]. Of the 19 immunity-related LRR-RLPs analyzed here, 14 have at least one Gx₃G motif. The exceptions contain either degenerate motifs: RXEG1 and EIX2 contain Gx₆Gx₂G, and CuRE1 contains G₅Gx₄G, or lack any of the conserved Gly residues in their predicted transmembrane domains as for I gene and ReMAX (Suppl. Fig 2) [18,60,64–66]. Hence, the strict Gx₃G motif is dispensable and alternative motives must contribute to SOBIR1 association for these specific receptors. These examples are consistent with analysis of RLP42 where the Gx₃Gx₃G can be mutated while maintaining a degree of SOBIR1 association [36]. Presence or absence of transmembrane motifs may tune SOBIR1 association levels, but further research is needed.

9. Cytoplasmic tail domain G

Chimeric and truncated LRR-RLPs suggest a variable role for the cytoplasmic tail (G domain) depending on the specific receptor. Although all identified INR homologs encode a cytoplasmic tail of only 10 AA, swapping the INR cytoplasmic tail to the extended version of INR-like 1 did not affect ligand response [24]. Additionally, RLP42 chimeras replacing the terminal LRR, transmembrane helix, and cytoplasmic tail with those of a non-responsive paralog were still responsive to the pg9(At) elicitor [23]. Additionally, the complete deletion of the intracellular 17-amino-acid tail of RLP23 reduced but did not abolish receptor function [36]. This is consistent with an auxiliary rather than essential function for at least the RLP23 cytoplasmic tail. In contrast, the deletion of the cytoplasmic tail of either Ve1 and RXEG1 (22 and 36 AA respectively) abolishes the recognition function of either receptor [21,37]. Additionally, replacing the Ve1 cytoplasmic tail with the Ve2 version also abolished HR when co-expressed with its ligand Ve1 in tobacco leaves

[37]. Hence, the cytoplasmic tail seems to be at least required for Ve1 and RXEG1 mediated resistance.

10. Future Directions

Since their original discovery as resistance genes mediating strain-specific disease resistance, the variety of characterized LRR-RLP functions has expanded to include many different developmental and PAMP sensing roles. Structure-function analyses have begun to delineate specific recognition and signaling roles for the domains described above. An exciting recent development has been structural data which indicate a direct role for a conserved ID motif in co-receptor recruitment. We propose that the two classes of K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG motif LRR-RLPs serve as dedicated immune sensors, distinguishing them from development-related LRR-RLPs. The motifs should serve as useful features for characterization of LRR-RLP repertoires. Additional structures are needed, which may require creative strategies to express and purify often recalcitrant LRR-RLP proteins [67]. Finally, we note that LRR-RLPs are often overlooked in resistance gene prioritization pipelines, and are often identified only through targeted reverse genetic analyses using a defined PAMP elicitor [68]. A renewed focus on LRR-RLP discovery and utilization will complement strategies to stack NLR- and RK-based resistance genes [25,69,70]. The LRR-RLP structure provides a versatile platform for recognition of diverse pathogens and pests, and additional insights into recognition and signaling mechanisms will enable their use for durable disease and pest resistance.

11. Box 1 - SOBIR1 association with LRR-RLPs and potential functional roles

A critical signaling factor required for immunity-related LRR-RLP function is the LRR-RK SUPPRESSOR OF BIR1-1 (SOBIR1). Originally identified as a suppressor of cell death in the *Arabidopsis thaliana bir1* autoimmune background [71], SOBIR1 is now known to constitutively associate with immunity-related RLPs. Previous reviews have summarized the role of SOBIR1 in mediating RLP function [58,72,73]. More recently, constitutive interactions of SOBIR1 with immunity-related LRR-RLPs have been described in species within the Solanaceae, Brassicaceae, and Fabaceae [17,45,59,64,74–77]. SOBIR1 also forms complexes with subfamily VII receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases [54,55], but mechanisms of signal transduction are not well understood.

Importantly, SOBIR1 was secondarily discovered as EVERSLED, a negative regulator of floral organ abscission within a regulatory pathway involving the receptors HAESA and HAESA-LIKE2 [78–80]. SOBIR1 also interacts with the development-related LRR-RLPs CLV2 and TMM [60]. CLV2 canonically acts in meristem maintenance, but its interaction with SOBIR1 may explain alternative immune-related phenotypes in knockout lines [81]. CLV2 is better understood in terms of its interaction with the LRR-RLK CORYNE (CRN) which is known to interact in heteromers with CLV2 to regulate meristem cell identity [82,83]. Thus, both immunity- and development-related LRR-RLPs seem to signal through subfamily XI-2 LRR-RLKs.

SOBIR1 and CRN are homologs and are the only members of the LRR XI-2 subfamily of Pelle RLKs, based on previous classifications of the large RLK/Pelle family of plant protein kinases [84,85]. However, the origin of separate SOBIR1 and CRN genes by potential gene duplication is not clear. To resolve the evolutionary history of SOBIR1 and CRN in land plants, we constructed a phylogeny of SOBIR1 and CRN homologs after mining the genomes of 34 representative species (Fig. 3). The number of identified SOBIR1 homologs was broadly consistent with LRR-RLK XI-2 identification in the iTAK database of RKs [86].

This phylogenetic analysis revealed two well supported clades within the LRR XI-2 subfamily of Pelle RLKs: one containing characterized SOBIR1 homologs and one containing the characterized *Arabidopsis thaliana* CRN. We therefore named these clades “SOBIR1-like” and “CRN-like,”. The full alignment is available in Supplementary File 5. Several independent duplications of SOBIR1 have occurred, for example *Citrus sinensis* (sweet orange) encodes 10 clustered SOBIR1-like genes. We also observed several duplications in the Asterids. Importantly SOBIR1 homologs were found in representatives of the major groups of land plants, including bryophytes such as *Physcomitrella patens*, *Anthoceros punctatus*, and *Marchantia polymorpha*, as well as lycophytes and ferns, consistent with previous studies into SOBIR1 evolution [61]. In contrast, CRN-like homologs were not identified in these non-seed plant lineages. This suggests broad conservation of SOBIR1-like homologs throughout land plant evolution, but roles of early branching homologs in either immunity or development are not clear.

SOBIR1 homologs are known to share motifs critical for RLP association (Supplementary Fig. 1). First, a basic domain located extracellularly near the transmembrane domain of SOBIR1 is hypothesized to interact with a complementary acidic domain found in RLPs [67]. However, as discussed in section 8, EFR-Cf-9 chimeras suggest that this basic juxtamembrane domain may not be a necessity [62]. Second, within the single pass transmembrane helix of SOBIR1, a Gx₃G motif has been shown to be necessary for interactions with RLP23 [61], mirroring the role of this motif in LRR-RLPs (see section 8). These two motifs are conserved in SOBIR1-like homologs to different extents (Supplementary File 5). The basic domain is generally conserved throughout land plants, but varies among lineages. In monocots, this domain is histidine-rich, whereas in gymnosperms and eudicots, this domain is lysine-rich. Considering the potential difference in pH sensitivity, this may help specify monocots and eudicot-specific optima if the juxtamembrane domain is involved in LRR-RLP interactions.

The kinase domain was much more strongly conserved throughout land plants (Supplementary Fig. 1). Specific residues implied in substrate specificity and phosphorylation [87], such as Thr-529 were conserved in all land plant SOBIR1 homologs, but not in CRN. This is consistent with the observation that CRN is an inactive kinase [88]. However, phosphorylation sites are variable which may affect signal decoding in different species.

Investigations into cross-species compatibility between SOBIR1 and RLP homologs remain limited. *Arabidopsis thaliana* SOBIR1 (AtSOBIR1) has been shown to interact with *Vigna unguiculata* INR and *Vigna unguiculata* SOBIR1, and transient expression of either AtSOBIR1 and *Phaseolus vulgaris* SOBIR1 equivalently complement transiently expressed *Phaseolus vulgaris* INR loss-of-function in *Nicotiana benthamiana* *sobir1* loss of function lines [17,89].

Conversely, coexpression of AtSOBIR1 with RLP30 boosts immune signaling output compared to co-expression with SiSOBIR1 of NtSOBIR1, suggesting possible species-specific SOBIR1 and RLP compatibility [90].

400 Acknowledgements

We thank other members of the Steinbrenner lab for helpful conversations about receptor biology. The work was supported by grant IOS-2139986 to ADS.

Figure Legends

405 **Fig. 1 – Comparative analysis of the NT loopouts and IDs of immunity-related LRR-RLPs with an identified PAMP ligand.** a) The general LRR-RLP domain architecture can be found on the left. On the right side of this schematic overview, the AlphaFold2 (AF2) predicted and Cryo-EM (RXEG1) structures of the B- and C-domain of six immunity related LRR-RLPs are depicted. If present, the NT loopouts are colored in red. The island domains (IDs) are highlighted in blue.
410 For all LRR-RLPs besides RXEG1, a protein structure prediction was created using the ColabFold platform (v1.3.0) [91]. The AF2 input sequence alignment was generated through MMseqs2 using the unpaired+paired mode without using templates [92–94]. Three recycles were run for each of the five created models by AF2-ptm. The five resulting models were ranked based on the pLDDT score, and the highest scoring one was visualized using PyMOL (v2.5.2). **b)** Partial visualization of a mafft e-insi alignment of the complete coding sequences. A full version of the alignment can be found in Supplementary file 2. The transition between the B- and C-domain is indicated with a black vertical line. The main two positions of NT loopouts are highlighted with a red frame. Cys residues within the NT loopouts are highlighted in gray. **c)** ID region of the same alignment. The ID is highlighted with a blue frame. Sequence motifs are added below the IDs of both the K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG type (see section 6) and were generated using WebLogo (<https://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi>).
415
420

Fig. 2 – LRR-RLP IDs encode K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG motifs with strong phylogenetic structure in four plant species. RLP42 protein sequence was used as TBLASTN query against predicted coding sequences of a) *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Araport11), b) *Populus trichocarpa* (v.4.1, Phytozome genome ID 533), c) *Nicotiana benthamiana* (v.1.01), or d) *Oryza sativa* (v.7.0, Phytozome genome ID 323). For each species, the top 500 hits were identified and the full protein sequence of any gene hits was collected. Full LRR-RLP protein sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega and a phylogenetic tree was generated using FastTree. A single clade of genes containing no kinase domain and containing either K-x₅-Y or Y-x₈-KG motif IDs was identified for each tree. Numbers indicate bootstrap support separating K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG clades. ID sequences from each species-specific clade (shown as triangles) were manually re-aligned to remove gaps, and sequence logos were generated using WebLogo server (<https://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi>). Phylogenies, alignments, and outgroups can be found in
430
435 Suppl. File 4.

Fig. 3 – Phylogenetic analysis of subfamily XI-2 RKs reveals deep conservation of separate

SOBIR1 and CRN clades. To collect putative homologous sequences, the genomes of representative taxa were searched by TBLASTN using the amino acid sequence of both the SOBIR1 and CRN kinase domains. We used genomes hosted on the Phytozome database, except for a few taxa. *Anthoceros* genomes were accessed from a database hosted by the University of Zurich (<https://www.hornworts.uzh.ch/en/hornwort-genomes.html>). *Salvinia* and *Azolla* genomes were accessed from the Fernbase database (<https://fernbase.org/>). The *Ginkgo biloba* genome was accessed from the GinkgoDB database (<https://ginkgo.zju.edu.cn/genome/>). Finally, the *Helianthus annuus* XQR2.0-SUNRISE genome was accessed from EnsemblPlants (https://plants.ensembl.org/Helianthus_annuus/Info/Annotation/). Results were sorted by e-value and the top ten hits from each of the CRN and SOBIR1 kinase domain TBLASTN searches were collected, for a total of twenty sequences per genome. Duplicate sequences were removed prior to alignment and phylogenetic analysis.

Protein sequences were aligned using the online version of MAFFT [95,96]. A maximum likelihood tree was subsequently generated on the CIPRES web portal using RAXML-HPC2 on XSEDE (v8.2.12) [97,98] with the automatic protein model assignment algorithm using maximum likelihood criterion and 100 bootstrap replicates. The resulting phylogeny was rooted and visualized using MEGA11. The subtree representing the Clade XI-2 LRR RKS was exported and edited in Inkscape.

Supplemental Figure Legends

Supplementary figure 1:

Domain architecture and selected portion of LRR-RK subfamily XI-2 alignment. Description of the alignment can be found in Fig. 3 legend. A, Cartoon of domain architecture for LRR-RK subfamily XI-2. A small ectodomain is followed by a transmembrane domain and kinase domain. B, Subset of the alignment showing acidic domain and Gx₃G motif. C, Subset of the alignment showing SOBIR1-specific loop in the kinase domain.

Supplemental Table Legends

Supplementary table 1:

Characterized LRR-RLPs involved in plant immunity. Excel file which contains the names, annotation, plant species, ligands, pathogen species, certain domain sequences, motif in ID and structure source. NT loopouts and IDs are predicted based on standardized cut-offs in an alignment and visual validation using alphafold2 structure predictions and the cryoEM structure of RXEG1 (Fig. 1, Supplementary file 2, Supplementary file 3). Transmembrane domains were predicted using DeepTMHMM [99].

Supplemental File Legends

Supplementary file 1

Fasta file of the amino acid (AA) sequences of LRR-RLPs involved in plant immunity.

Supplementary file 2

480 Fasta file of the generated alignment of the LRR-RLPs involved in plant immunity. MAFFT 7 alignment using the E-INS-i strategy (Kato et al., 2002).

Supplementary file 3

485 Zip file containing all the pdb files of the LRR-RLP structures discussed in Supplementary table 1.

Supplementary file 4

490 Zip file containing phylogenetic trees (Newick format) and fasta alignments of K-x₅-Y and Y-x₈-KG type RLPs from Fig. 2. A combined alignment and phylogeny is also included. Species-specific alignments and phylogenies include outgroup genes.

Supplementary file 5

Fasta file of aligned LRR-RK subfamily XI-2 genes shown in Fig. 3.

495 References

- [1] B.P.M. Ngou, P. Ding, J.D.G. Jones, Thirty years of resistance: Zig-zag through the plant immune system, *Plant Cell*. 34 (2022) 1447–1478.
- [2] P.N. Dodds, J.P. Rathjen, Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plant–pathogen interactions, *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 11 (2010) 539–548.
- 500 [3] F. Monteiro, M.T. Nishimura, Structural, Functional, and Genomic Diversity of Plant NLR Proteins: An Evolved Resource for Rational Engineering of Plant Immunity, *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 56 (2018) 243–267.
- [4] J. Tamborski, K.V. Krasileva, Evolution of Plant NLRs: From Natural History to Precise Modifications, *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 71 (2020) 355–378.
- 505 [5] I. Albert, C. Hua, T. Nürnberger, R.N. Pruitt, L. Zhang, Surface Sensor Systems in Plant Immunity, *Plant Physiol.* 182 (2020) 1582–1596.
- [6] F. Boutrot, C. Zipfel, Function, Discovery, and Exploitation of Plant Pattern Recognition Receptors for Broad-Spectrum Disease Resistance, *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 55 (2017) 257–286.
- 510 [7] S.H. Shiu, A.B. Bleecker, Expansion of the receptor-like kinase/Pelle gene family and receptor-like proteins in Arabidopsis, *Plant Physiol.* 132 (2003) 530–543.
- [8] E. Smakowska-Luzan, G.A. Mott, K. Parys, M. Stegmann, T.C. Howton, M. Layeghifard, J. Neuhold, A. Lehner, J. Kong, K. Grünwald, N. Weinberger, S.B. Satbhai, D. Mayer, W. Busch, M. Madalinski, P. Stolt-Bergner, N.J. Provart, M.S. Mukhtar, C. Zipfel, D. Desveaux, 515 D.S. Guttman, Y. Belkhadir, An extracellular network of Arabidopsis leucine-rich repeat receptor kinases, *Nature*. 553 (2018) 342–346.
- [9] D. Chinchilla, C. Zipfel, S. Robatzek, B. Kemmerling, T. Nürnberger, J.D.G. Jones, G. Felix, T. Boller, A flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and BAK1 initiates plant defence, *Nature*. 448 (2007) 497–500.
- 520 [10] U. Hohmann, K. Lau, M. Hothorn, The Structural Basis of Ligand Perception and Signal Activation by Receptor Kinases, *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 68 (2017) 109–137.
- [11] A. Dievart, C. Gottin, C. Périn, V. Ranwez, N. Chantret, Origin and Diversity of Plant Receptor-Like Kinases, *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 71 (2020) 131–156.

- [12] L.K. Fritz-Laylin, N. Krishnamurthy, M. Tör, K.V. Sjölander, J.D.G. Jones, Phylogenomic analysis of the receptor-like proteins of rice and Arabidopsis, *Plant Physiol.* 138 (2005) 611–623.
- [13] G. Wang, U. Ellendorff, B. Kemp, J.W. Mansfield, A. Forsyth, K. Mitchell, K. Bastas, C.-M. Liu, A. Woods-Tör, C. Zipfel, P.J.G.M. de Wit, J.D.G. Jones, M. Tör, B.P.H.J. Thomma, A genome-wide functional investigation into the roles of receptor-like proteins in Arabidopsis, *Plant Physiol.* 147 (2008) 503–517.
- [14] D.A. Jones, C.M. Thomas, K.E. Hammond-Kosack, P.J. Balint-Kurti, J.D. Jones, Isolation of the tomato Cf-9 gene for resistance to *Cladosporium fulvum* by transposon tagging, *Science*. 266 (1994) 789–793.
- [15] B.J. Staskawicz, F.M. Ausubel, B.J. Baker, J.G. Ellis, J.D. Jones, Molecular genetics of plant disease resistance, *Science*. 268 (1995) 661–667.
- [16] I. Albert, H. Böhm, M. Albert, C.E. Feiler, J. Imkamp, N. Wallmeroth, C. Brancato, T.M. Raaymakers, S. Oome, H. Zhang, E. Krol, C. Grefen, A.A. Gust, J. Chai, R. Hedrich, G. Van den Ackerveken, T. Nürnberger, An RLP23-SOBIR1-BAK1 complex mediates NLP-triggered immunity, *Nat Plants*. 1 (2015) 15140.
- [17] A.D. Steinbrenner, M. Muñoz-Amatriáin, A.F. Chaparro, J.M. Aguilar-Venegas, S. Lo, S. Okuda, G. Glauser, J. Dongiovanni, D. Shi, M. Hall, D. Crubagha, N. Holton, C. Zipfel, R. Abagyan, T.C.J. Turlings, T.J. Close, A. Huffaker, E.A. Schmelz, A receptor-like protein mediates plant immune responses to herbivore-associated molecular patterns, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2018415117>.
- [18] V. Hegenauer, P. Slaby, M. Körner, J.-A. Bruckmüller, R. Burggraf, I. Albert, B. Kaiser, B. Löffelhardt, I. Droste-Borel, J. Sklenar, F.L.H. Menke, B. Maček, A. Ranjan, N. Sinha, T. Nürnberger, G. Felix, K. Krause, M. Stahl, M. Albert, The tomato receptor CuRe1 senses a cell wall protein to identify *Cuscuta* as a pathogen, *Nat. Commun.* 11 (2020) 5299.
- [19] J. Nie, W. Zhou, J. Liu, N. Tan, J.-M. Zhou, L. Huang, A receptor-like protein from *Nicotiana benthamiana* mediates VmE02 PAMP-triggered immunity, *New Phytol.* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16995>.
- [20] L. Zhang, I. Kars, B. Essenstam, T.W.H. Liebrand, L. Wagemakers, J. Elberse, P. Tagkalaki, D. Tjoitang, G. van den Ackerveken, J.A.L. van Kan, Fungal endopolygalacturonases are recognized as microbe-associated molecular patterns by the arabidopsis receptor-like protein RESPONSIVENESS TO BOTRYTIS POLYGALACTURONASES1, *Plant Physiol.* 164 (2014) 352–364.
- [21] Y. Sun, Y. Wang, X. Zhang, Z. Chen, Y. Xia, L. Wang, Y. Sun, M. Zhang, Y. Xiao, Z. Han, Y. Wang, J. Chai, Plant receptor-like protein activation by a microbial glycoside hydrolase, *Nature*. 610 (2022) 335–342.
- [22] L. Fan, K. Fröhlich, E. Melzer, R.N. Pruitt, I. Albert, L. Zhang, A. Joe, C. Hua, Y. Song, M. Albert, S.-T. Kim, D. Weigel, C. Zipfel, E. Chae, A.A. Gust, T. Nürnberger, Genotyping-by-sequencing-based identification of Arabidopsis pattern recognition receptor RLP32 recognizing proteobacterial translation initiation factor IF1, *Nat. Commun.* 13 (2022) 1294.
- [23] L. Zhang, C. Hua, R.N. Pruitt, S. Qin, L. Wang, I. Albert, M. Albert, J.A.L. van Kan, T. Nürnberger, Distinct immune sensor systems for fungal endopolygalacturonases in closely related Brassicaceae, *Nat Plants*. 7 (2021) 1254–1263.
- [24] S. Snoeck, B.W. Abramson, A.G.K. Garcia, A.N. Egan, T.P. Michael, A.D. Steinbrenner, Evolutionary gain and loss of a plant pattern-recognition receptor for HAMP recognition, *Elife*. (2022). <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.81050>.
- [25] H.P. van Esse, T.L. Reuber, D. van der Does, Genetic modification to improve disease resistance in crops, *New Phytol.* 225 (2020) 70–86.
- [26] J.L. Dangl, D.M. Horvath, B.J. Staskawicz, Pivoting the plant immune system from dissection to deployment, *Science*. 341 (2013) 746–751.
- [27] C. Steidele, R. Stam, Multi-omics approach highlights differences between functional

575 RLP classes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, (2020) 2020.08.07.240911.
<https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.07.240911>.

[28] R.A.L. van der Hoorn, B.B.H. Wulff, S. Rivas, M.C. Durrant, A. van der Ploeg, P.J.G.M. de Wit, J.D.G. Jones, Structure-function analysis of cf-9, a receptor-like protein with extracytoplasmic leucine-rich repeats, *Plant Cell*. 17 (2005) 1000–1015.

580 [29] D.A. Jones, J.D.G. Jones, The Role of Leucine-Rich Repeat Proteins in Plant Defences, in: J.H. Andrews, I.C. Tommerup, J.A. Callow (Eds.), *Advances in Botanical Research*, Academic Press, 1997: pp. 89–167.

[30] R. Martin, T. Qi, H. Zhang, F. Liu, M. King, C. Toth, E. Nogales, B.J. Staskawicz, Structure of the activated ROQ1 resistosome directly recognizing the pathogen effector XopQ, *Science*. 370 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd9993>.

585 [31] S.-I. Yoon, O. Kurnasov, V. Natarajan, M. Hong, A.V. Gudkov, A.L. Osterman, I.A. Wilson, Structural basis of TLR5-flagellin recognition and signaling, *Science*. 335 (2012) 859–864.

[32] N. Matsushima, H. Miyashita, Leucine-Rich Repeat (LRR) Domains Containing Intervening Motifs in Plants, *Biomolecules*. 2 (2012) 288–311.

590 [33] B.B. Wulff, C.M. Thomas, M. Smoker, M. Grant, J.D. Jones, Domain swapping and gene shuffling identify sequences required for induction of an Avr-dependent hypersensitive response by the tomato Cf-4 and Cf-9 proteins, *Plant Cell*. 13 (2001) 255–272.

[34] R.A. Van der Hoorn, R. Roth, P.J. De Wit, Identification of distinct specificity determinants in resistance protein Cf-4 allows construction of a Cf-9 mutant that confers recognition of avirulence protein Avr4, *Plant Cell*. 13 (2001) 273–285.

595 [35] B.B.H. Wulff, A. Heese, L. Tomlinson-Buhot, D.A. Jones, M. de la Peña, J.D.G. Jones, The major specificity-determining amino acids of the tomato Cf-9 disease resistance protein are at hypervariable solvent-exposed positions in the central leucine-rich repeats, *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact*. 22 (2009) 1203–1213.

600 [36] I. Albert, L. Zhang, H. Bemm, T. Nürnberger, Structure-Function Analysis of Immune Receptor AtRLP23 with its Ligand nlp20 and Coreceptors AtSOBIR1 and AtBAK1, *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact*. (2019) MPMI09180263R.

[37] E.F. Fradin, Z. Zhang, H. Rovenich, Y. Song, T.W.H. Liebrand, L. Masini, G.C.M. van den Berg, M.H.A.J. Joosten, B.P.H.J. Thomma, Functional analysis of the tomato immune receptor Ve1 through domain swaps with its non-functional homolog Ve2, *PLoS One*. 9 (2014) e88208.

605 [38] E.F. Fradin, Z. Zhang, J.C. Juarez Ayala, C.D.M. Castroverde, R.N. Nazar, J. Robb, C.-M. Liu, B.P.H.J. Thomma, Genetic dissection of *Verticillium* wilt resistance mediated by tomato Ve1, *Plant Physiol*. 150 (2009) 320–332.

610 [39] W. Zhang, M. Fraiture, D. Kolb, B. Löffelhardt, Y. Desaki, F.F.G. Boutrot, M. Tör, C. Zipfel, A.A. Gust, F. Brunner, *Arabidopsis* receptor-like protein30 and receptor-like kinase suppressor of BIR1-1/EVERSHED mediate innate immunity to necrotrophic fungi, *Plant Cell*. 25 (2013) 4227–4241.

615 [40] M. Bar, M. Sharfman, M. Ron, A. Avni, BAK1 is required for the attenuation of ethylene-inducing xylanase (Eix)-induced defense responses by the decoy receptor LeEix1, *Plant J*. 63 (2010) 791–800.

[41] M.S. Dixon, D.A. Jones, J.S. Keddie, C.M. Thomas, K. Harrison, J.D. Jones, The tomato Cf-2 disease resistance locus comprises two functional genes encoding leucine-rich repeat proteins, *Cell*. 84 (1996) 451–459.

620 [42] M.S. Dixon, K. Hatzixanthis, D.A. Jones, K. Harrison, J.D. Jones, The tomato Cf-5 disease resistance gene and six homologs show pronounced allelic variation in leucine-rich repeat copy number, *Plant Cell*. 10 (1998) 1915–1925.

[43] C.M. Thomas, D.A. Jones, M. Parniske, K. Harrison, P.J. Balint-Kurti, K. Hatzixanthis, J.D. Jones, Characterization of the tomato Cf-4 gene for resistance to *Cladosporium fulvum*

625

identifies sequences that determine recognitional specificity in Cf-4 and Cf-9, *Plant Cell*. 9 (1997) 2209–2224.

- [44] J. Postma, T.W.H. Liebrand, G. Bi, A. Evrard, R.R. Bye, M. Mbengue, H. Kuhn, M.H.A.J. Joosten, S. Robatzek, Avr4 promotes Cf-4 receptor-like protein association with the BAK1/SERK3 receptor-like kinase to initiate receptor endocytosis and plant immunity, *New Phytol.* 210 (2016) 627–642.
- [45] J. Du, E. Verzaux, A. Chaparro-Garcia, G. Bijsterbosch, L.C.P. Keizer, J. Zhou, T.W.H. Liebrand, C. Xie, F. Govers, S. Robatzek, E.A.G. van der Vossen, E. Jacobsen, R.G.F. Visser, S. Kamoun, V.G.A.A. Vleeshouwers, Elicitin recognition confers enhanced resistance to *Phytophthora infestans* in potato, *Nat Plants*. 1 (2015) 15034.
- [46] P.A. Jamieson, L. Shan, P. He, Plant cell surface molecular cypher: Receptor-like proteins and their roles in immunity and development, *Plant Sci.* 274 (2018) 242–251.
- [47] A.D. Steinbrenner, The evolving landscape of cell surface pattern recognition across plant immune networks, *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 56 (2020) 135–146.
- [48] J.M. Kayes, S.E. Clark, CLAVATA2, a regulator of meristem and organ development in *Arabidopsis*, *Development*. 125 (1998) 3843–3851.
- [49] M. Yang, F.D. Sack, The too many mouths and four lips mutations affect stomatal production in *Arabidopsis*, *Plant Cell*. 7 (1995) 2227–2239.
- [50] S. Wolf, D. van der Does, F. Ladwig, C. Sticht, A. Kolbeck, A.-K. Schürholz, S. Augustin, N. Keinath, T. Rausch, S. Greiner, K. Schumacher, K. Harter, C. Zipfel, H. Höfte, A receptor-like protein mediates the response to pectin modification by activating brassinosteroid signaling, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111 (2014) 15261–15266.
- [51] G. Wang, Y. Long, B.P.H.J. Thomma, P.J.G.M. de Wit, G.C. Angenent, M. Fiers, Functional analyses of the CLAVATA2-like proteins and their domains that contribute to CLAVATA2 specificity, *Plant Physiol.* 152 (2010) 320–331.
- [52] G. Lin, L. Zhang, Z. Han, X. Yang, W. Liu, E. Li, J. Chang, Y. Qi, E.D. Shpak, J. Chai, A receptor-like protein acts as a specificity switch for the regulation of stomatal development, *Genes Dev.* 31 (2017) 927–938.
- [53] J. Cammarata, M.J. Scanlon, A functionally informed evolutionary framework for the study of LRR-RLKs during stem cell maintenance, *J. Plant Res.* 133 (2020) 331–342.
- [54] R.N. Pruitt, F. Locci, F. Wanke, L. Zhang, S.C. Saile, A. Joe, D. Karelina, C. Hua, K. Fröhlich, W.-L. Wan, M. Hu, S. Rao, S.C. Stolze, A. Harzen, A.A. Gust, K. Harter, M.H.A.J. Joosten, B.P.H.J. Thomma, J.-M. Zhou, J.L. Dangl, D. Weigel, H. Nakagami, C. Oecking, F.E. Kasmi, J.E. Parker, T. Nürnberger, The EDS1–PAD4–ADR1 node mediates *Arabidopsis* pattern-triggered immunity, *Nature*. (2021) 1–5.
- [55] H. Tian, Z. Wu, S. Chen, K. Ao, W. Huang, H. Yaghmaiean, T. Sun, F. Xu, Y. Zhang, S. Wang, X. Li, Y. Zhang, Activation of TIR signalling boosts pattern-triggered immunity, *Nature*. 598 (2021) 500–503.
- [56] J. Kourelis, M.P. Contreras, A. Harant, H. Pai, D. Lüdke, H. Adachi, L. Derevnina, C.-H. Wu, S. Kamoun, The helper NLR immune protein NRC3 mediates the hypersensitive cell death caused by the cell-surface receptor Cf-4, *PLoS Genet.* 18 (2022) e1010414.
- [57] C.-H. Wu, A. Abd-El-Halim, T.O. Bozkurt, K. Belhaj, R. Terauchi, J.H. Vossen, S. Kamoun, NLR network mediates immunity to diverse plant pathogens, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114 (2017) 8113–8118.
- [58] A.A. Gust, G. Felix, Receptor like proteins associate with SOBIR1-type of adaptors to form bimolecular receptor kinases, *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 21 (2014) 104–111.
- [59] A.M. van der Burgh, J. Postma, S. Robatzek, M.H.A.J. Joosten, Kinase activity of SOBIR1 and BAK1 is required for immune signalling, *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 20 (2019) 410–422.
- [60] T.W.H. Liebrand, G.C.M. van den Berg, Z. Zhang, P. Smit, J.H.G. Cordewener, A.H.P. America, J. Sklenar, A.M.E. Jones, W.I.L. Tameling, S. Robatzek, B.P.H.J. Thomma, M.H.A.J. Joosten, Receptor-like kinase SOBIR1/EVR interacts with receptor-like proteins in

plant immunity against fungal infection, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110 (2013) 10010–10015.

- [61] G. Bi, T.W.H. Liebrand, R.R. Bye, J. Postma, A.M. van der Burgh, S. Robatzek, X. Xu, M.H.A.J. Joosten, SOBIR1 requires the GxxxG dimerization motif in its transmembrane domain to form constitutive complexes with receptor-like proteins, *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 17 (2016) 96–107.
- [62] J. Wu, I.-B. Reca, F. Spinelli, D. Lironi, G. De Lorenzo, P. Poltronieri, F. Cervone, M.H.A.J. Joosten, S. Ferrari, A. Brutus, An EFR-Cf-9 chimera confers enhanced resistance to bacterial pathogens by SOBIR1- and BAK1-dependent recognition of elf18, *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 20 (2019) 751–764.
- [63] W.P. Russ, D.M. Engelman, The GxxxG motif: a framework for transmembrane helix-helix association, *J. Mol. Biol.* 296 (2000) 911–919.
- [64] Y. Wang, Y. Xu, Y. Sun, H. Wang, J. Qi, B. Wan, W. Ye, Y. Lin, Y. Shao, S. Dong, B.M. Tyler, Y. Wang, Leucine-rich repeat receptor-like gene screen reveals that *Nicotiana RXEG1* regulates glycoside hydrolase 12 MAMP detection, *Nat. Commun.* 9 (2018) 594.
- [65] A.K. Jehle, M. Lipschis, M. Albert, V. Fallahzadeh-Mamaghani, U. Fürst, K. Mueller, G. Felix, The receptor-like protein ReMAX of *Arabidopsis* detects the microbe-associated molecular pattern eMax from *Xanthomonas*, *Plant Cell.* 25 (2013) 2330–2340.
- [66] A.-M. Catanzariti, H.T.T. Do, P. Bru, M. de Sain, L.F. Thatcher, M. Rep, D.A. Jones, The tomato I gene for *Fusarium* wilt resistance encodes an atypical leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein whose function is nevertheless dependent on SOBIR1 and SERK3/BAK1, *Plant J.* 89 (2017) 1195–1209.
- [67] U. Hohmann, M. Hothorn, Crystal structure of the leucine-rich repeat ectodomain of the plant immune receptor kinase SOBIR1, *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol.* 75 (2019) 488–497.
- [68] A. Schultink, A.D. Steinbrenner, A playbook for developing disease-resistant crops through immune receptor identification and transfer, *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 62 (2021) 102089.
- [69] S. Lacombe, A. Rougon-Cardoso, E. Sherwood, N. Peeters, D. Dahlbeck, H.P. van Esse, M. Smoker, G. Rallapalli, B.P.H.J. Thomma, B. Staskawicz, J.D.G. Jones, C. Zipfel, Interfamily transfer of a plant pattern-recognition receptor confers broad-spectrum bacterial resistance, *Nat. Biotechnol.* 28 (2010) 365–369.
- [70] M. Luo, L. Xie, S. Chakraborty, A. Wang, O. Matny, M. Jugovich, J.A. Kolmer, T. Richardson, D. Bhatt, M. Hoque, M. Patpour, C. Sørensen, D. Ortiz, P. Dodds, B. Steuernagel, B.B.H. Wulff, N.M. Upadhyaya, R. Mago, S. Periyannan, E. Lagudah, R. Freedman, T. Lynne Reuber, B.J. Steffenson, M. Ayliffe, A five-transgene cassette confers broad-spectrum resistance to a fungal rust pathogen in wheat, *Nat. Biotechnol.* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-00770-x>.
- [71] M. Gao, X. Wang, D. Wang, F. Xu, X. Ding, Z. Zhang, D. Bi, Y.T. Cheng, S. Chen, X. Li, Y. Zhang, Regulation of cell death and innate immunity by two receptor-like kinases in *Arabidopsis*, *Cell Host Microbe.* 6 (2009) 34–44.
- [72] Z. Zhang, B.P.H.J. Thomma, Structure-function aspects of extracellular leucine-rich repeat-containing cell surface receptors in plants, *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 55 (2013) 1212–1223.
- [73] T.W.H. Liebrand, H.A. van den Burg, M.H.A.J. Joosten, Two for all: receptor-associated kinases SOBIR1 and BAK1, *Trends Plant Sci.* 19 (2014) 123–132.
- [74] A.-M. Catanzariti, G.T.T. Lim, D.A. Jones, The tomato I-3 gene: a novel gene for resistance to *Fusarium* wilt disease, *New Phytol.* 207 (2015) 106–118.
- [75] V. Hegenauer, U. Fürst, B. Kaiser, M. Smoker, C. Zipfel, G. Felix, M. Stahl, M. Albert, Detection of the plant parasite *Cuscuta reflexa* by a tomato cell surface receptor, *Science.* 353 (2016) 478–481.
- [76] E. Domazakis, D. Wouters, R.G.F. Visser, S. Kamoun, M.H.A.J. Joosten, V.G.A.A. Vleeshouwers, The ELR-SOBIR1 Complex Functions as a Two-Component Receptor-Like

Kinase to Mount Defense Against *Phytophthora infestans*, *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* (2018) MPMI09170217R.

- 730 [77] L. Ma, M.H. Borhan, The receptor-like kinase SOBIR1 interacts with *Brassica napus* LepR3 and is required for *Leptosphaeria maculans* AvrLm1-triggered immunity, *Front. Plant Sci.* 6 (2015) 933.
- [78] M.E. Leslie, M.W. Lewis, J.-Y. Youn, M.J. Daniels, S.J. Liljegren, The EVERSLED receptor-like kinase modulates floral organ shedding in *Arabidopsis*, *Development*. 137 (2010) 467–476.
- 735 [79] C.M. Gubert, S.J. Liljegren, HAESA and HAESA-LIKE2 activate organ abscission downstream of NEVERSHED and EVERSLED in *Arabidopsis* flowers, *Plant Signal. Behav.* 9 (2014) e29115.
- [80] O.R. Patharkar, J.C. Walker, Floral organ abscission is regulated by a positive feedback loop, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112 (2015) 2906–2911.
- 740 [81] L. Pan, S. Lv, N. Yang, Y. Lv, Z. Liu, J. Wu, G. Wang, The Multifunction of CLAVATA2 in Plant Development and Immunity, *Front. Plant Sci.* 7 (2016) 1573.
- [82] R. Müller, A. Bleckmann, R. Simon, The receptor kinase CORYNE of *Arabidopsis* transmits the stem cell-limiting signal CLAVATA3 independently of CLAVATA1, *Plant Cell*. 20 (2008) 934–946.
- 745 [83] A. Bleckmann, S. Weidtkamp-Peters, C.A.M. Seidel, R. Simon, Stem cell signaling in *Arabidopsis* requires CRN to localize CLV2 to the plasma membrane, *Plant Physiol.* 152 (2010) 166–176.
- [84] M.D. Lehti-Shiu, S.-H. Shiu, Diversity, classification and function of the plant protein kinase superfamily, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 367 (2012) 2619–2639.
- 750 [85] P.-L. Liu, L. Du, Y. Huang, S.-M. Gao, M. Yu, Origin and diversification of leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase (LRR-RLK) genes in plants, *BMC Evol. Biol.* 17 (2017) 47.
- [86] Y. Zheng, C. Jiao, H. Sun, H.G. Rosli, M.A. Pombo, P. Zhang, M. Banf, X. Dai, G.B. Martin, J.J. Giovannoni, P.X. Zhao, S.Y. Rhee, Z. Fei, iTAK: A Program for Genome-wide Prediction and Classification of Plant Transcription Factors, Transcriptional Regulators, and Protein Kinases, *Mol. Plant*. 9 (2016) 1667–1670.
- 755 [87] X. Wei, Y. Wang, S. Zhang, T. Gu, G. Steinmetz, H. Yu, G. Guo, X. Liu, S. Fan, F. Wang, Y. Gu, F. Xin, Structural analysis of receptor-like kinase SOBIR1 reveals mechanisms that regulate its phosphorylation-dependent activation, *Plant Communications*. (2022) 100301.
- 760 [88] Z.L. Nimchuk, P.T. Tarr, E.M. Meyerowitz, An evolutionarily conserved pseudokinase mediates stem cell production in plants, *Plant Cell*. 23 (2011) 851–854.
- [89] A.G.K. Garcia, A.D. Steinbrenner, Bringing Plant Immunity to Light: A Genetically Encoded, Bioluminescent Reporter of Pattern Triggered Immunity in *Nicotiana benthamiana*, *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-07-22-0160-TA>.
- 765 [90] Y. Yang, C.E. Steidele, B. Löffelhardt, D. Kolb, T. Leisen, W. Zhang, C. Ludwig, G. Felix, M.F. Seidl, T. Nürnberger, M. Hahn, B. Gust, H. Gross, R. Hüchelhoven, A.A. Gust, Convergent evolution of plant pattern recognition receptors sensing cysteine-rich patterns from three microbial kingdoms, *bioRxiv*. (2022) 2022.10.06.511083. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.06.511083>.
- 770 [91] M. Mirdita, K. Schütze, Y. Moriwaki, L. Heo, S. Ovchinnikov, M. Steinegger, ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all, *Nat. Methods*. 19 (2022) 679–682.
- [92] M. Mirdita, M. Steinegger, J. Söding, MMseqs2 desktop and local web server app for fast, interactive sequence searches, *Bioinformatics*. 35 (2019) 2856–2858.
- 775 [93] M. Mirdita, L. von den Driesch, C. Galiez, M.J. Martin, J. Söding, M. Steinegger, Uniclust databases of clustered and deeply annotated protein sequences and alignments, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45 (2017) D170–D176.

- 780 [94] J. Jumper, R. Evans, A. Pritzel, T. Green, M. Figurnov, O. Ronneberger, K.
Tunyasuvunakool, R. Bates, A. Žídek, A. Potapenko, A. Bridgland, C. Meyer, S.A.A. Kohl,
A.J. Ballard, A. Cowie, B. Romera-Paredes, S. Nikolov, R. Jain, J. Adler, T. Back, S.
Petersen, D. Reiman, E. Clancy, M. Zielinski, M. Steinegger, M. Pacholska, T. Berghammer,
S. Bodenstein, D. Silver, O. Vinyals, A.W. Senior, K. Kavukcuoglu, P. Kohli, D. Hassabis,
Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold, *Nature*. 596 (2021) 583–589.
- 785 [95] S. Kuraku, C.M. Zmasek, O. Nishimura, K. Katoh, aLeaves facilitates on-demand
exploration of metazoan gene family trees on MAFFT sequence alignment server with
enhanced interactivity, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41 (2013) W22–8.
- [96] K. Katoh, J. Rozewicki, K.D. Yamada, MAFFT online service: multiple sequence
alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization, *Brief. Bioinform.* 20 (2019) 1160–
790 1166.
- [97] M.A. Miller, W. Pfeiffer, T. Schwartz, Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for
inference of large phylogenetic trees, in: 2010 Gateway Computing Environments Workshop
(GCE), 2010: pp. 1–8.
- 795 [98] A. Stamatakis, RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies, *Bioinformatics*. 30 (2014) 1312–1313.
- [99] J. Hallgren, K.D. Tsirigos, M.D. Pedersen, J.J.A. Armenteros, P. Marcatili, H. Nielsen, A.
Krogh, O. Winther, DeepTMHMM predicts alpha and beta transmembrane proteins using
deep neural networks, *bioRxiv*. (2022) 2022.04.08.487609.
<https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.08.487609>.