



Asymptotic stability and sharp decay rates to the linearly stratified Boussinesq equations in horizontally periodic strip domain

Juhi Jang^{1,2} · Junha Kim³

Received: 6 November 2022 / Accepted: 18 March 2023

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract

We consider an initial boundary value problem of the multi-dimensional Boussinesq equations in the absence of thermal diffusion with velocity damping or velocity diffusion under the stress free boundary condition in horizontally periodic strip domain. We prove the global-in-time existence of classical solutions in high order Sobolev spaces satisfying high order compatibility conditions around the linearly stratified equilibrium, the convergence of the temperature to the asymptotic profile, and sharp decay rates of the velocity field and temperature fluctuation in all intermediate norms based on spectral analysis combined with energy estimates. To the best of our knowledge, our results provide first sharp decay rates for the temperature fluctuation and the vertical velocity to the linearly stratified Boussinesq equations in all intermediate norms.

Mathematics Subject Classification 35Q35 · 35Q86 · 76D50

1 Introduction

We consider the Boussinesq equations for buoyant fluids

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v(-\Delta)^\alpha v + (v \cdot \nabla)v = -\nabla p + \rho e_d, \\ \rho_t + \kappa(-\Delta)^\beta \rho + (v \cdot \nabla)\rho = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad \rho(x, 0) = \rho_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Communicated by F.-H. Lin.

✉ Junha Kim
rlawnsqk02@naver.com

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

² Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul, Korea

³ School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, 85 Hoegi-ro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02455, Korea

where v , p , and ρ denote the fluid velocity field, scalar pressure and density (or temperature) respectively. The parameter $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$ represent the strength of dissipation and thermal diffusion, while the parameters $\nu \geq 0$ and $\kappa \geq 0$ stand for the nonnegative constant fluid viscosity and thermal diffusivity, respectively. The d -dimensional vector e_d stands for $(0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$.

The Boussinesq equations (1.1) arise in geophysical fluid dynamics to model and study atmospheric and oceanographic flows [36, 39] and describe interesting physical phenomena such as Rayleigh-Bénard convection [18, 22] and turbulence [10]. From a mathematical point of view, the Boussinesq equations are intimately tied to the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations and they share important features such as the vortex stretching. In fact, the two-dimensional inviscid Boussinesq equations can be viewed as the three-dimensional axisymmetric Euler equations for swirling flows [37]. Due to its physical and mathematical relevance, there have been a lot of works and progress made on the Boussinesq system in the past decades: for instance, see [1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 20, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29–31, 33–35, 41–45] and references therein on the local, global well-posedness and regularity problem.

On the other hand, it is well-known that the system (1.1) has the exact solutions, called hydrostatic equilibrium, with the balance equation

$$v = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} p(x_d) = \rho(x_d).$$

In recent years, the stability around the linearly stratified state $(v_s, \rho_s, p_s) := (0, \dots, 0, x_d, x_d^2/2)$ has been a subject of active research in the presence of dissipation where damping is understood as a limit of fractional diffusion. For $d = 2$, there exist many stability results (see [2, 3] and references therein), while less works are available for other space dimension. Among others, asymptotic stability with velocity damping was studied in $\mathbb{R}^3$ [15], and the stability result has been extended to $\mathbb{R}^d$ with more general initial data in [28].

In this paper, we focus on the domain with boundary, in particular $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^{d-1} \times [-1, 1]$. This type of domain with $\rho = 1$ and $\rho = -1$ fixed on the bottom boundary and top boundary has been used to demonstrate the Rayleigh-Bénard convection [18, 22], which leads to the instability of the solution by a continuously heated bottom fluid. On the contrary, the opposite case where $\rho = -1$ and $\rho = 1$ on the bottom and top boundary respectively stabilizes the system. We will show stabilizing aspects of the latter by analyzing the dynamics near linearly stratified hydrostatic equilibrium $(v_s, \rho_s, p_s) = (0, \dots, 0, x_d, x_d^2/2)$. We consider two cases: $\alpha = 0$ (velocity damping) and $\alpha = 1$ (velocity diffusion) without thermal diffusion ($\kappa = 0$). When $\alpha = 0$, we take the no-penetration boundary condition $v \cdot n = 0$ and when $\alpha = 1$, we impose the stress free boundary condition, also known as the Lions boundary condition $v \cdot n = 0$ and $\text{curl } v \times n = 0$, where the temperature is fixed at $\rho_s = -1$ and $\rho_s = 1$ on the each boundary. Here, n denotes the outward unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$. Let us set

$$\rho(x, t) = x_d + \theta(x, t), \quad p(x, t) = x_d^2/2 + P(x, t).$$

Then, the perturbed system is given by

$$\begin{cases} v_t + (-\Delta)^\alpha v + (v \cdot \nabla)v = -\nabla P + \theta e_d, & \text{div } v = 0, \\ \theta_t + (v \cdot \nabla)\theta = -v_d, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad \theta(x, 0) = \theta_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where the boundary conditions of the velocity field are preserved and θ vanishes on $\partial\Omega$ in each case $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ with $\theta_0|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$.

We now discuss some relevant prior works regarding (1.2) starting with the case $\alpha = 0$. Castro, Córdoba, and Lear [5] showed the asymptotic stability of (1.2) for $d = 2$. In particular, the authors showed that high order compatibility conditions are satisfied for well-prepared data, and introduced proper solution spaces $X^m(\Omega)$, $Y^m(\Omega) \subset H^m(\Omega)$ with orthonormal bases (see Sect. 2.2 for the definitions). For their main result, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 17$, the small data global existence with temporal decay estimate $(1+t)^{\frac{m-7}{8}}(\|v(t)\|_{H^4} + \|\tilde{\theta}(t)\|_{H^4}) \leq C$ was obtained, where $\tilde{\theta}(t) := \theta(t) - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \theta(t, x) dx_1$. It is worth pointing out that the temporal decay rates of H^4 -norm increase as m gets larger, namely the solutions are more regular. Next we consider the case $\alpha = 1$. In $d = 2$, long time behavior was first considered by Doering et al [13] for $v \in H^2$ and $\theta \in H^1$ and explicit decay rates were given in $\mathbb{T}^2$ by Tao et al [40] using the spectral analysis. Recently, Dong and Sun considered the asymptotic stability problem on the infinite flat strip $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times (0, 1)$ for $d = 2$ and 3 in [16, 17] respectively, and Dong [14] obtained the stability result on $\mathbb{T} \times (0, 1)$.

In the aforementioned works, some explicit decay rates were obtained with high regularity index m or global existence (2D) with more general initial data was obtained without explicit decay rates. However, the convergence of the temperature fluctuation and its optimal equilibration rate has remained elusive. The goal of this paper is to establish the global existence in H^m , $m > 2 + \alpha + \frac{d}{2}$ satisfying high order compatibility conditions, the convergence of θ to the asymptotic profile σ , and sharp decay rates of $(v, \theta - \sigma)$ in H^s norms for all $s \in [0, m]$. We now state the main results:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $m > 3 + \frac{d}{2}$. Then there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that if initial data $(v_0, \theta_0) \in \mathbb{X}^m \times X^m(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$, $\int_{\Omega} v_0 dx = 0$, and $\|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m}^2 < \delta^2$, then (1.2) with $\alpha = 1$ possesses a unique global classical solution (v, θ) satisfying*

$$v \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{X}^m(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); \mathbb{X}^{m+1}(\Omega)), \quad \theta \in C([0, \infty); X^m(\Omega))$$

with

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|(v, \theta)(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \int_0^\infty \|\nabla v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 dt + \int_0^\infty \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-2}}^2 dt \leq 4\|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m}^2. \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, there exists a function

$$\sigma(x_d) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \theta_0 dx_h - \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty ((v \cdot \nabla)\theta + v_d) dt dx_h \quad (1.4)$$

such that

$$(1+t)^{\frac{m-s}{4}} \|\theta(t) - \sigma(x_d)\|_{H^s} + (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{m-s}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{H^s} + (1+t)^{1 + \frac{m-s}{4}} \|v_d(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C \quad (1.5)$$

for any $s \in [0, m]$.

Remark 1.2 The assumption $\int_{\Omega} v_0 dx = 0$ is essential for the velocity field v decaying in t (see Lemma 2.1).

Remark 1.3 Indeed for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$t^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{m-s}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{H^{s-\epsilon}} \leq C$$

for any $s \in [0, m+1]$. See Proposition 6.6.

Theorem 1.4 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $m > 2 + \frac{d}{2}$. Then there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that if initial data $(v_0, \theta_0) \in \mathbb{X}^m \times X^m(\Omega)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ and $\|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m}^2 < \delta^2$, then (1.2) with $\alpha = 0$ possesses a unique global classical solution (v, θ) satisfying

$$v \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{X}^m(\Omega)) \cap L^2([0, \infty); \mathbb{X}^m(\Omega)), \quad \theta \in C([0, \infty); X^m(\Omega))$$

with

$$\sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \|(v, \theta)(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \int_0^\infty \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 dt + \int_0^\infty \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 dt \leq 4\|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m}^2. \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, there exists a function $\sigma(x_d)$ defined by (1.4) such that

$$(1+t)^{\frac{m-s}{2}} \|\theta(t) - \sigma(x_d)\|_{H^s} + (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{m-s}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{H^s} + (1+t)^{1 + \frac{m-s}{2}} \|v_d(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C \quad (1.7)$$

for any $s \in [0, m]$.

Remark 1.5 The decay rates for θ and v_d in Theorem 1.1 and 1.4 are sharp (see Sect. 7).

To the best of our knowledge, our results provide the first sharp decay rates for the temperature fluctuation and the vertical velocity in all intermediate norms. In particular, they show the enhanced L^2 decay rate for higher order initial data, while H^m decay rate doesn't change for both velocity damping and velocity diffusion. This is in contrast to parabolic equations for which higher norms enjoy faster decay rates. The regularity index m required in our analysis is higher than the one required for the local existence, but it is still significantly smaller than the ones required in the previous results. Also our results demonstrate that the velocity damping leads to faster decay than the velocity diffusion in the presence of the slip boundary, despite having the Poincaré inequality for the velocity field in hand. This is because of coupling structure between the velocity field and the temperature fluctuation of Boussinesq equations: it causes the temperature to decay much slower than the velocity field and the velocity diffusion weakens the temperature damping in high frequency. Moreover, the method developed in this paper is robust and applicable to the periodic box $\mathbb{T}^d$, and to various partially dissipative PDEs including non-resistive MHD and IPM (cf. [25]).

The main difficulty comes from the non-decaying θ and weak damping in $\nabla_h \theta$, which makes the standard energy estimates alone hard to bootstrap the local theory to global theory and to capture precise decay rates. To establish the results, we employ the spectral analysis using the orthonormal basis associated to our domain with the slip boundary together with energy estimates, first to obtain the global existence and then to prove the decay rates by relying on the already established uniform bounds of the solutions. The relaxed condition for m comes from estimating the key quantities $\int \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt$ and $\int \|\partial_d v_d(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt$ which appear in the energy estimates. The previous works on the stability problem of (1.2) ($d = 2$) were devoted to obtaining the temporal decay estimate for $\|u(t)\|_{H^4}$ or $\|\partial_1 \operatorname{curl} v(t)\|_{H^2}$, which obviously require stronger condition for m (see [5, 14]). Getting decay rates in bounded domains turns out to be more subtle than in the whole space, since θ does not decay, while it decays in the whole space. To prove the sharp decay rates of $(v, \theta - \sigma)$ in H^s norms for all $s \in [0, m]$ in our domain, we adapt Elgindi's splitting scheme of the density first used for the linearly stratified IPM equation in $\mathbb{T}^2$ [19]. In particular, splitting the density into decaying part and non-decay part and using the boundedness of high norms obtained from

the global existence part, the decay of low norms can be obtained through optimizing splitting scale of frequency in spirit of [19]. We refer to Lemma 3.1 for a clear view of the sharp decay estimates for the linearized system of (1.2), and Sect. 6 for controlling the nonlinear terms in (1.2) with the splitting scheme.

The rest of this paper proceeds as follows. In Sect. 2, we give some preliminary results used for the paper and introduce key function spaces $X^m(\Omega)$, $Y^m(\Omega)$, $\mathbb{X}^m(\Omega)$ and their orthonormal bases. Section 3 is devoted to spectral analysis of (1.2) in frequency variables and the proof of linear decay estimates. In Sect. 4, we present the energy-dissipation inequalities for (1.2). In Sect. 5, we extend the local existence to global-in-time result by combining the energy estimates with spectral analysis to estimate key quantities (5.1) appearing in the energy estimates. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of temporal decay estimates based on the spectral analysis and the splitting scheme. In Sect. 7, we argue that the decay rates are sharp by showing that the linear decay rates can't be algebraically improved.

2 Preliminaries

We first introduce some notations that will be used throughout this paper. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the standard inner product on $\mathbb{C}^d$ for any $d \geq 2$. We use γ as a multi-index, and let $v_h := (v_1, \dots, v_{d-1})^T$, $x_h := (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})^T$, and $\nabla_h := (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_{d-1})^T$. For any smooth function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we use the notation

$$\bar{f} := f - \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} f(x) dx_h.$$

Next we investigate the average of the solution (v, θ) over time.

Lemma 2.1 *Let (v, θ) be a smooth solution to (1.2) with $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, there hold*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} v_d(t, x) dx_h = 0, \quad x_d \in [-1, 1] \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \theta(t, x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \theta_0(x) dx \quad (2.2)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover, if $\alpha = 1$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} v_h(t, x) dx = \int_{\Omega} v_h(0, x) dx, \quad (2.3)$$

and if $\alpha = 0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} v_h(t, x) dx = e^{-t} \int_{\Omega} v_h(0, x) dx. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof By the divergence-free condition and the boundary condition $v_d(x_h, -1) = 0$, we have

$$0 = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1} \times [-1, x_d]} \nabla_h \cdot v_h dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1} \times [-1, x_d]} \partial_d v_d dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} v_d(x_h, x_d) dx_h$$

for all $x_d \in [-1, 1]$. From the v_h equation in (1.2), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v_h dx + \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^\alpha v_h dx + \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) v_h dx = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h P dx.$$

Integration by parts and the boundary condition for v_d yield

$$\int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) v_h \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h P \, dx = 0,$$

thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v_h \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta)^{\alpha} v_h \, dx = 0.$$

This gives (2.4) when $\alpha = 0$. In the case of $\alpha = 1$, $\partial_d v_h = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ implies (2.3). Similarly, we can obtain (2.2) by the use of (2.1). This completes the proof. $\square$

2.1 Boundary conditions

In the section, we briefly show in both cases $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ the high compatibility conditions, whose statement is as follows: Let (v, θ) be a global-in-time smooth solution to (1.2) and suppose that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\partial_d^{2k} \theta_0 = 0$ holds on the boundary for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then, we have

$$\partial_d^{2k} v_d = \partial_d^{2k-1+2\alpha} v_h = \partial_d^{2k} \theta = \partial_d^{2k-1} P = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq n$.

When $d = 2$, Castro, Córdoba, and Lear [5] and Dong [14] showed (2.5) for $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ respectively. It is not hard to extend it to the $d \geq 3$ case. Here, we only give details for the case $\alpha = 1$.

From our boundary conditions, we see that

$$v_d(x) = \theta(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_d v_h(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega. \quad (2.6)$$

By (2.6) and the incompressibility, it holds

$$\partial_d^2 v_d(x) = -\nabla_h \cdot \partial_d v_h(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega.$$

Then from the v_d equation in (1.2), we can see

$$-\partial_d P = \partial_t v_d - \Delta v_d + (v \cdot \nabla) v_d - \theta = 0$$

on the boundary. Next, we apply ∂_d to the v_h equation in (1.2) and have

$$\partial_t \partial_d v_h - \Delta \partial_d v_h + \partial_d (v \cdot \nabla) v_h = -\nabla_h \partial_d P.$$

The previous results imply that $\partial_d^3 v_h = 0$ on the boundary. From the θ equation in (1.2), we can see

$$\partial_t \partial_d^2 \theta + \partial_d^2 (v \cdot \nabla) \theta = -\partial_d^2 v_d,$$

hence,

$$\partial_t \partial_d^2 \theta + \partial_d v_d \partial_d^2 \theta + (v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \partial_d^2 \theta = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega.$$

Consider the flow map $\Phi(t, x)$ with $\partial_t \Phi(t, x) = (v_h(t, \Phi(t, x)), 0)$. Then, it holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} \partial_d^2 \theta(t, \Phi(t, x)) + \partial_d v_d(t, \Phi(t, x)) \partial_d^2 \theta(t, \Phi(t, x)) = 0.$$

By the use of Grönwall's inequality, we have

$$\partial_d^2 \theta(t, \Phi(t, x)) = \partial_d^2 \theta_0(x) \exp \left(\int_0^t \partial_d v_d(\tau, \Phi(\tau, x)) d\tau \right).$$

Thus, $\partial_d^2 \theta_0 = 0$ is conserved over time on the boundary, whenever $\partial_d v_d \in L_t^1$. Thus, (2.5) with $k = 1$ is obtained. It is clear that

$$\partial_d^4 v_d(x) = -\nabla_h \cdot \partial_d^3 v_h(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega.$$

Repeating the above processes, we can deduce (2.5) for all $1 \leq k \leq n$.

2.2 Functional spaces and orthonormal bases

To introduce our solution spaces, we define orthonormal sets $\{b_q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{c_q\}_{q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ by

$$b_q(x_d) = \begin{cases} \sin(\frac{\pi}{2} q x_d) & q : \text{even} \\ \cos(\frac{\pi}{2} q x_d) & q : \text{odd} \end{cases} \quad \text{with } x_d \in [-1, 1],$$

$$c_q(x_d) = \begin{cases} -\sin(\frac{\pi}{2} q x_d) & q : \text{odd} \\ \cos(\frac{\pi}{2} q x_d) & q : \text{even} \end{cases} \quad \text{with } x_d \in [-1, 1].$$

Note that each set is orthonormal basis for $L^2([-1, 1])$. Let

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,q}(x) := e^{2\pi i n \cdot x_h} b_q(x_d), \quad (n, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x) := e^{2\pi i n \cdot x_h} c_q(x_d), \quad (n, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Then we have the following relations

$$\nabla_h \mathcal{B}_{n,q} = 2\pi i n \mathcal{B}_{n,q}, \quad \nabla_h \mathcal{C}_{n,q} = 2\pi i n \mathcal{C}_{n,q}, \quad \partial_d \mathcal{B}_{n,q} = \frac{\pi}{2} q \mathcal{C}_{n,q}, \quad \partial_d \mathcal{C}_{n,q} = -\frac{\pi}{2} q \mathcal{B}_{n,q}.$$

Now, we consider the function spaces

$$X^m(\Omega) := \{f \in H^m(\Omega); \partial_d^k f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad k = 0, 2, 4, \dots, m^*\},$$

$$Y^m(\Omega) := \{f \in H^m(\Omega); \partial_d^k f|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad k = 1, 3, 5, \dots, m_*\},$$

where

$$m^* := \begin{cases} m-2, & m : \text{even} \\ m-1, & m : \text{odd} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad m_* := \begin{cases} m-1, & m : \text{even} \\ m-2, & m : \text{odd} \end{cases}.$$

Then, $\{\mathcal{B}_{n,q}\}_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\mathcal{C}_{n,q}\}_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ become orthonormal bases of $X^m(\Omega)$ and $Y^m(\Omega)$ respectively. For the velocity field, we define a d -dimensional vector space $\mathbb{X}^m(\Omega)$ by

$$\mathbb{X}^m(\Omega) := \{v \in H^m(\Omega); v = (v_h, v_d) \in Y^m(\Omega) \times X^m(\Omega)\}.$$

We introduce series expansions of the elements in $X^m(\Omega)$ and $Y^m(\Omega)$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_b f(n, q) := \int_{\Omega} f(x) \overline{\mathcal{B}_{n,q}(x)} dx, \quad \mathcal{F}_c f(n, q) := \int_{\Omega} f(x) \overline{\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x)} dx$$

for each $(n, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $(n, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ respectively. Then for any $f \in X^m(\Omega)$ and $g \in Y^m(\Omega)$, we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_b f(n, q) \mathcal{B}_{n,q}(x), \quad g(x) = \sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \mathcal{F}_c g(n, q) \mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x).$$

We refer to [5, Lemma 3.1] for details.

We give two simple lemmas. The first one implies $fg \in X^m$ when $f \in X^m$ and $g \in Y^m$, and the second one implies $fg \in Y^m$ when $f, g \in X^m$ or $f, g \in Y^m$ for any given $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > d/2$. Since the proofs are elementary, we omit them.

Lemma 2.2 *Let q_e and q_o be even and odd number respectively. Then, there hold*

$$\begin{aligned} -\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q_e x_d\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q_o x_d\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q_e + q_o)x_d\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q_e - q_o)x_d\right) \right), \\ \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q_e x_d\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q'_e x_d\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q_e + q'_e)x_d\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q_e - q'_e)x_d\right) \right), \\ -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q_o x_d\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q'_o x_d\right) &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q'_o + q_o)x_d\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q'_o - q_o)x_d\right) \right), \\ \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q_o x_d\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q_e x_d\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q_o + q_e)x_d\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q_o - q_e)x_d\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 *Let $q - q'$ and $q - q''$ be odd and even number respectively. Then, there hold*

$$\begin{aligned} -\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q x_d\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q'' x_d\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q + q'')x_d\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q - q'')x_d\right) \right), \\ \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q x_d\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q' x_d\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q + q')x_d\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q - q')x_d\right) \right), \\ -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q x_d\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q' x_d\right) &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q' + q)x_d\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q' - q)x_d\right) \right), \\ \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q x_d\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}q'' x_d\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q + q'')x_d\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(q - q'')x_d\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The next proposition provides convolution estimates similar to the Fourier expansion.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $f, f' \in X^m$ and $g, g' \in Y^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > \frac{d}{2}$. Then, there hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}} |\mathcal{F}_b[fg](n, q)| &\leq \left(\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}} |\mathcal{F}_b f(n, q)| \right) \left(\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} |\mathcal{F}_c g(n, q)| \right), \\ \sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} |\mathcal{F}_c[ff'](n, q)| &\leq \left(\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}} |\mathcal{F}_b f(n, q)| \right) \left(\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}} |\mathcal{F}_b f'(n, q)| \right), \\ \sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} |\mathcal{F}_c[gg'](n, q)| &\leq \left(\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} |\mathcal{F}_c g(n, q)| \right) \left(\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} |\mathcal{F}_c g'(n, q)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof We only show the first inequality because the others can be proved similarly. By the series expansions of $f \in X^m(\Omega)$ and $g \in Y^m(\Omega)$, it holds

$$fg(x) = \left(\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_b f(n, q) \mathcal{B}_{n,q}(x) \right) \left(\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \mathcal{F}_c g(n, q) \mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x) \right).$$

By the use of Lemma 2.2, we can see for each $(n, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}$ that

$$|\mathcal{F}_b[fg](n, q)| \leq \sum_{n'+n''=n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q'+q''=q} |\mathcal{F}_b f(n', q')| |\mathcal{F}_c g(n'', q'')| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|q'-q''|=q} |\mathcal{F}_b f(n', q')| |\mathcal{F}_c g(n'', q'')| \right).$$

This estimate infers

$$\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}} |\mathcal{F}_b[fg]| \leq \left(\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}} |\mathcal{F}_b f| \right) \left(\sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} |\mathcal{F}_c g| \right).$$

This finishes the proof. $\square$

3 Spectral analysis

In this section, we give a different form of (1.2) via spectral analysis. Then, we provide temporal decay estimates for the linear operator of (1.2). From now on, we use the notations $\tilde{n} := 2\pi n$ and $\tilde{q} := \frac{\pi}{2}q$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We define two sets

$$I := \{\eta = (\tilde{n}, \tilde{q}); (n, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}, \quad J := \{\eta = (\tilde{n}, \tilde{q}); (n, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We estimate the pressure term first. From the v equation in (1.2), we can see

$$\operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla)v = -\Delta P + \partial_d \theta.$$

Using the basis $\mathcal{C}_{n,q}(x) = \mathcal{C}_\eta(x)$, we have for each $\eta \in I \setminus \{0\}$ that

$$\mathcal{F}_c P(\eta) = \frac{1}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_c[\operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla)v](\eta) - \frac{1}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_c \partial_d \theta(\eta).$$

Since $\nabla_h \mathcal{C}_\eta = i\tilde{n} \mathcal{C}_\eta$ and $\partial_d \mathcal{C}_\eta = -\tilde{q} \mathcal{B}_\eta$, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_c[\operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla)v](\eta) &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h \cdot (v \cdot \nabla)v_h(x) \overline{\mathcal{C}_\eta(x)} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \partial_d(v \cdot \nabla)v_d(x) \overline{\mathcal{C}_\eta(x)} \, dx \\ &= i\tilde{n} \cdot \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla)v_h(x) \overline{\mathcal{C}_\eta(x)} \, dx + \tilde{q} \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla)v_d(x) \overline{\mathcal{B}_\eta(x)} \, dx \\ &= i\tilde{n} \cdot \mathcal{F}_c[(v \cdot \nabla)v_h](\eta) + \tilde{q} \mathcal{F}_b[(v \cdot \nabla)v_d](\eta) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_c \partial_d \theta(\eta) = \tilde{q} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\nabla P(x) = \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \mathcal{F}_c \nabla_h P(\eta) \mathcal{C}_\eta(x), \sum_{\eta \in J} \mathcal{F}_b \partial_d P(\eta) \mathcal{B}_\eta(x) \right)^T,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_c \nabla_h P(\eta) = -\frac{\tilde{n} \otimes \tilde{n}}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_c[(v \cdot \nabla)v_h](\eta) + i \frac{\tilde{q} \tilde{n}}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_b[(v \cdot \nabla)v_d](\eta) - i \frac{\tilde{q} \tilde{n}}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_b \partial_d P(\eta) = -i \frac{\tilde{q}\tilde{n}}{|\eta|^2} \cdot \mathcal{F}_c [(v \cdot \nabla) v_h](\eta) - \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_b [(v \cdot \nabla) v_d](\eta) + \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta).$$

From these formulas, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{F}_c v_h + |\eta|^{2\alpha} \mathcal{F}_c v_h + \left(I - \frac{\tilde{n} \otimes \tilde{n}}{|\eta|^2} \right) \mathcal{F}_c [(v \cdot \nabla) v_h] + i \frac{\tilde{q}\tilde{n}}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_b [(v \cdot \nabla) v_d] \\ - i \frac{\tilde{q}\tilde{n}}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_b \theta = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for $\eta \in I \setminus \{0\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{F}_b v_d + |\eta|^{2\alpha} \mathcal{F}_b v_d + \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{|\eta|^2} \right) \mathcal{F}_b [(v \cdot \nabla) v_d] \\ - i \frac{\tilde{q}\tilde{n}}{|\eta|^2} \cdot \mathcal{F}_c [(v \cdot \nabla) v_h] - \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_b \theta = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{F}_b \theta + \mathcal{F}_b [(v \cdot \nabla) \theta] + \mathcal{F}_b v_d = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for $\eta \in J$. Due to the linear structure of (3.2) and (3.3), we can observe a partially dissipative nature by writing the two equations at once with $\mathbf{u} := (v_d, \theta)^T$.

Let us define an operator $\mathcal{F} : (L^2)^{d-1} \times L^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ by $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}_c, \mathcal{F}_b)$. Then, it follows

$$\partial_t \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u} + M \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u} + \langle \mathbb{P} \mathcal{F} (v \cdot \nabla) v, e_d \rangle e_1 + \mathcal{F}_b [(v \cdot \nabla) \theta] e_2 = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\mathbb{P} := I - \frac{1}{|\eta|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{n} \otimes \tilde{n} & -i\tilde{q}\tilde{n} \\ i\tilde{q}\tilde{n} & \tilde{q}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M := \begin{pmatrix} |\eta|^{2\alpha} - \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} & \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For simplicity, we use the notation

$$N(v, \theta) := \langle \mathbb{P} \mathcal{F} (v \cdot \nabla) v, e_d \rangle e_1 + \mathcal{F}_b [(v \cdot \nabla) \theta] e_2.$$

Since the characteristic equation of M^T is given by

$$\det(M^T - \lambda I) = \lambda^2 - |\eta|^{2\alpha} \lambda + \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2},$$

the two pair of eigenvalue and eigenvector $(\lambda_{\pm}(\eta), \overline{\mathbf{a}_{\pm}(\eta)})$ satisfy

$$\lambda_{\pm}(\eta) = \frac{|\eta|^{2\alpha} \pm \sqrt{|\eta|^{4\alpha} - 4|\tilde{n}|^2/|\eta|^2}}{2}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{a}_{\pm}(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\pm} \\ -\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $M^T \overline{\mathbf{a}_{\pm}(\eta)} = \lambda_{\pm}(\eta) \overline{\mathbf{a}_{\pm}(\eta)}$ holds. We note that there is no pair $\eta \in J$ satisfying $|\eta|^{4\alpha} - 4|\tilde{n}|^2/|\eta|^2 = 0$. Since

$$A := (\overline{\mathbf{a}_+} \ \overline{\mathbf{a}_-}) \quad \text{and} \quad B := \frac{1}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{|\eta|^2}{|\tilde{n}|^2} \lambda_- \\ -1 & -\frac{|\eta|^2}{|\tilde{n}|^2} \lambda_+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_+ \\ \mathbf{b}_- \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfy $BA = I$, it follows by Duhamel's principle

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{a}_{\pm} \rangle \mathbf{b}_{\pm} = e^{-\lambda_{\pm} t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_{\pm} \rangle \mathbf{b}_{\pm} - \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_{\pm}(t-\tau)} \langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_{\pm} \rangle \mathbf{b}_{\pm} d\tau. \quad (3.5)$$

However, using this formula directly can be problematic because of the unboundedness of $|\mathbf{b}_{\pm}|$ around the set $\{|\eta|^{4\alpha} = 4|\tilde{n}|^2/|\eta|^2\}$. For this reason, we employ

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_b\theta(t) &= \sum_{j \in \pm} e^{-\lambda_j t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_j \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_j, e_2 \rangle - \sum_{j \in \pm} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_j(t-\tau)} \langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_j \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_j, e_2 \rangle d\tau \\ &= (e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle + e^{-\lambda_+ t} \mathcal{F}_b \theta_0 \\ &\quad - \int_0^t (e^{-\lambda_-(t-\tau)} - e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)}) \langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle d\tau \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)} \mathcal{F}_b[(v \cdot \nabla)\theta] d\tau,\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

which allows us to get rid of the singularity of $\mathbf{b}_{\pm}$. Here, we note some useful calculations when using (3.6). From the definition of $\lambda_{\pm}$, $\mathbf{a}_{\pm}$, and $\mathbf{b}_{\pm}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|e^{-\lambda_+(\eta)t}| &\leq e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} \frac{t}{2}}, \quad |e^{\lambda_-(\eta)t}| \leq \begin{cases} e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} \frac{t}{2}}, & |\eta|^{2+4\alpha} - 4|\tilde{n}|^2 \leq 0, \\ e^{-\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}} t}, & |\eta|^{2+4\alpha} - 4|\tilde{n}|^2 \geq 0, \end{cases} \\ |\mathbf{a}_-|^2 &= |\lambda_-|^2 + \frac{|\tilde{n}|^4}{|\eta|^4}, \quad |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle|^2 = \frac{|\eta|^4 |\lambda_+|^2}{|\tilde{n}|^4 |\lambda_+ - \lambda_-|^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Thus, it follows

$$|\mathbf{a}_-| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{|\lambda_+ - \lambda_-|}, & |\eta|^{2+4\alpha} - 4|\tilde{n}|^2 \leq 0, \\ \frac{C|\eta|^{2\alpha}}{|\lambda_+ - \lambda_-|}, & |\eta|^{2+4\alpha} - 4|\tilde{n}|^2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the three sets

$$\begin{aligned}D_1 &:= \{\eta \in J; |\eta|^{4\alpha} - \frac{4|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} \leq 0\}, \\ D_2 &:= \{\eta \in J; 0 \leq |\eta|^{4\alpha} - \frac{4|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} \leq \frac{1}{4} |\eta|^{4\alpha}\}, \\ D_3 &:= \{\eta \in J; |\eta|^{4\alpha} - \frac{4|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} \geq \frac{1}{4} |\eta|^{4\alpha}\},\end{aligned}$$

with $J = D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$. Then, for any $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{C}^2$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}|(e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| &\leq C e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} \frac{t}{4}} |\mathbf{f}|, \quad \eta \in D_1, \\ |(e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| &\leq C e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} \frac{t}{4}} |\mathbf{f}|, \quad \eta \in D_2, \\ |(e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| &\leq C e^{-\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}} t} |\mathbf{f}|, \quad \eta \in D_3.\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

For the first and second inequalities, we apply the mean value theorem so that

$$|(e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \leq C \frac{|e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}|}{|\lambda_+ - \lambda_-|} |\mathbf{f}| \leq C t e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} \frac{t}{2}} |\mathbf{f}|$$

for any $\eta \in D_1$ and

$$|(e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \leq C |\eta|^{2\alpha} \frac{|e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}|}{|\lambda_+ - \lambda_-|} |\mathbf{f}| \leq C |\eta|^{2\alpha} t e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} \tau} |\mathbf{f}|, \quad \tau \in \left(\frac{t}{4}, \frac{3t}{4}\right)$$

for any $\eta \in D_2$. One can easily obtain the last inequality in (3.8) by the use of $|\lambda_+ - \lambda_-| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\eta|^{2\alpha}$.

Now, we are ready to show temporal decay estimates of solutions to the linearized system of (3.4):

$$\partial_t \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u} + M \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u} = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3.1 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbf{u}_0 \in X^m(\Omega)$. Then, there exists a unique smooth global smooth global solution $\mathbf{u} = (v_d, \theta)$ to (3.9) such that*

$$\|v_d(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq C e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\dot{H}^s} + C(1+t)^{-(1+\frac{m-s}{2(1+\alpha)})} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\dot{H}^m} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\|\bar{\theta}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq C e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\dot{H}^s} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{m-s}{2(1+\alpha)}} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\dot{H}^m} \quad (3.11)$$

for all $s \in [0, m]$.

Proof We recall

$$\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u} = (e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \mathbf{b}_- + e^{-\lambda_+ t} \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0$$

and prove (3.11) first. We can see

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{\dot{H}^s} &\leq \left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2s} |(e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2s} |e^{-\lambda_+ t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, e_2 \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7) it is clear that

$$\left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2s} |e^{-\lambda_+ t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, e_2 \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, (3.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2s} |(e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \left(\sum_{\{\tilde{n} \neq 0\} \cap D_3} e^{-\frac{2|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}} t} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{2|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}} t} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 &\leq \left(\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}} t \right)^{-\frac{m-s}{1+\alpha}} \left(\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}} t \right)^{\frac{m-s}{1+\alpha}} e^{-\frac{2|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}} t} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \\ &\leq C t^{-\frac{m-s}{1+\alpha}} |\eta|^{2m} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$e^{-\frac{2|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}}t} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \leq |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2,$$

it follows

$$\left(\sum_{\{\tilde{n} \neq 0\} \cap D_3} e^{-\frac{2|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}}t} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{m-s}{2(1+\alpha)}} \left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2m} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Collecting the above estimates, we deduce (3.11).

It remains to show (3.10). We can see

$$\|v_d\|_{\dot{H}^s} \leq \left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2s} |(e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_1 \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_1 \rangle = \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\lambda_+ + |\eta|^2} \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle$, using $\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\lambda_+ + |\eta|^2} \leq C$ for $\eta \in D_1 \cup D_2$ and $\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\lambda_+ + |\eta|^2} \leq 2 \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}}$ for $\eta \in D_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2s} |(e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_1 \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C e^{-\frac{t}{4}} \left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \left(\sum_{\{\tilde{n} \neq 0\} \cap D_3} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^4}{|\eta|^{4+4\alpha}} e^{-\frac{2|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}}t} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimating as in (3.12), we deduce

$$\left(\sum_{\{\tilde{n} \neq 0\} \cap D_3} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^4}{|\eta|^{4+4\alpha}} e^{-\frac{2|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2+2\alpha}}t} |\eta|^{2s} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1+t)^{-(1+\frac{m-s}{2(1+\alpha)})} \left(\sum_{\tilde{n} \neq 0} |\eta|^{2m} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

from which we obtain (3.10). This completes the proof. $\square$

4 Energy estimates

In this section, we provide the energy estimates which specify the quantities that should be computed via the spectral analysis. We start with the following standard local existence result. For the proof, we refer to [5, 37].

Proposition 4.1 (Local well-posedness) *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > 1 + \frac{d}{2} - \alpha$ and an initial data $\theta_0 \in X^m$ and $v_0 \in \mathbb{X}^m$. Then there exists a $T > 0$ such that there exists a unique classical solution (v, θ) to the stratified Boussinesq equations (1.2) satisfying*

$$v \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{X}^m(\Omega)), \quad \theta \in L^\infty(0, T; X^m(\Omega)).$$

Let $T^* \in (0, \infty]$ be the maximal time of existence. Moreover, if $T^* < \infty$, then it holds

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T^*} (\|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}^2) = \infty.$$

We will frequently use the following result on the product estimates (see [21] for the proof).

Lemma 4.2 *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any subset $D \subset \{\gamma; |\gamma| = m\}$, there exists a constant $C = C(m) > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in D} \partial^\gamma (fg) \right\|_{L^2} \leq C (\|f\|_{H^m} \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{H^m})$$

for all $f, g \in H^m(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover, if $m > \frac{d}{2}$, then it holds

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in D} \partial^\gamma (fg) \right\|_{L^2} \leq C \|f\|_{H^m} \|g\|_{H^m}.$$

Let us use the notations for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$E_k(t) := (\|v(t)\|_{H^k}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^k}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$A_k(t) := \sum_{|\gamma|=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma v_d(t) \partial^\gamma \theta(t) \, dx.$$

Note that Young's inequality implies

$$|A_k(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} E_k(t)^2. \quad (4.1)$$

Proposition 4.3 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 2 + \frac{d}{2}$. Assume that (v, θ) is a smooth global solution to (1.2) with $\alpha = 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (E_m(t)^2 - A_{m-1}(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-2}}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} (\|\nabla v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-2}}^2) + C (\|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2) \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for all $t > 0$.

Proof From the system (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}^2) + \|\nabla v\|_{H^m}^2 \\ & \leq - \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v \partial^\gamma v \, dx - \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We only consider the $|\gamma| = m$ case because the others can be treated similarly. It is clear by the divergence-free condition and the boundary condition that

$$\int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma v) \partial^\gamma v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta) \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx = 0, \quad |\gamma| = m.$$

Thus, Lemma 4.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| - \sum_{|\gamma|=m} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v \partial^\gamma v \, dx - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla v \cdot \partial^\gamma \nabla \theta) \cdot \partial^\gamma \nabla \theta \, dx \right| \\ & \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} (\|v\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2). \end{aligned}$$

For estimating the remainder term

$$\left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma} (\Delta v \cdot \nabla \theta) \partial^{\gamma} \Delta \theta \, dx \right|,$$

we use a simple formula $\Delta v \cdot \nabla \theta = \Delta v_h \cdot \nabla_h \theta + \Delta v_d \partial_d \theta$. We can infer from Hölder's inequality with Sobolev embeddings that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma} (\Delta v_h \cdot \nabla_h \theta) \partial^{\gamma} \Delta \theta \, dx \right| \\ & \leq \|\Delta v_h \cdot \nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}} \|\theta\|_{H^m} \\ & \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{H^m} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}} \|\theta\|_{H^m} + \|\Delta v\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}} \|\theta\|_{H^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $m \geq 2 + d/2$ implies

$$\|\Delta v\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} + C \|\nabla v\|_{H^m},$$

it follows

$$\left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma} (\Delta v_h \cdot \nabla_h \theta) \partial^{\gamma} \Delta \theta \, dx \right| \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{H^m} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}} \|\theta\|_{H^m} + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2.$$

Otherwise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma} (\Delta v_d \partial_d \theta) \partial^{\gamma} \Delta \theta \, dx \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-3} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma} \nabla_h (\Delta v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \partial^{\gamma} \Delta \nabla_h \theta \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} (\partial_d^2 v_d \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Here, we need to estimate carefully with the boundary conditions. The first integral on the right-hand side is bounded by

$$\left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-3} \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial^{\gamma} \nabla_h (\Delta v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \partial_d \partial^{\gamma} \nabla_h \theta \, dx_h \right| + \left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma} \nabla_h (\Delta v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \partial^{\gamma} \nabla_h \theta \, dx \right|.$$

Since $v_d \in X^{m+1}(\Omega)$ and $\theta \in X^m(\Omega)$ for a.e. $t > 0$, the boundary term vanishes. Lemma 4.2 implies

$$\left| \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma} \nabla_h (\Delta v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \partial^{\gamma} \nabla_h \theta \, dx \right| \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{H^m} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}} \|\theta\|_{H^m}.$$

On the other hand, we write the second integral in (4.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} (\partial_d^2 v_d \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \right| & \leq \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} \nabla_h \cdot (\partial_d v_h \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} (\partial_d v_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown by Hölder's inequalities and Sobolev embeddings that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} (\partial_d v_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \right| &\leq (\|\partial_d^{m-3} (\partial_d^2 v_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d \theta)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_d v_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d^{m-1} \theta\|_{L^2}) \|\partial_d^m \theta\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla v\|_{H^m} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}} \|\theta\|_{H^m} + C \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_d v_h \partial_d \theta \in X^{m-1}$ and $\theta \in X^m$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} \nabla_h \cdot (\partial_d v_h \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \right| &= \left| \sum_{\eta \in J} \tilde{q}^{m-2} i \tilde{n} \cdot \mathcal{F}_b(\partial_d v_h \partial_d \theta)(\eta) \overline{\tilde{q}^m \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\eta \in J} \tilde{q}^{m-1} \mathcal{F}_b(\partial_d v_h \partial_d \theta)(\eta) \cdot \overline{i \tilde{n} \tilde{q}^{m-1} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)} \right| \quad (4.4) \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-1} (\partial_d v_h \partial_d \theta) \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d^{m-1} \theta \, dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-1} (\partial_d v_h \partial_d \theta) \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d^{m-1} \theta \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} (\partial_d v_h \partial_d^m \theta) \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d^{m-1} \theta \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} (\partial_d^2 v_h \partial_d \theta) \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d^{m-1} \theta \, dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (\partial_d v_h \partial_d^m \theta) \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d^{m-1} \theta \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-1} (\partial_d^2 v_h \partial_d \theta) \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d^{m-2} \theta \, dx \right| \\ &\leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2 + C \|\nabla v\|_{H^m} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}} \|\theta\|_{H^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}^2) + \|\nabla v\|_{H^m}^2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} (\|v\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2) + C \|\nabla v\|_{H^m} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}} \|\theta\|_{H^m}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Now we claim that

$$\frac{3}{2} \|\nabla v\|_{H^m}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}}^2 - \frac{d}{dt} A_{m-1}(t) - C E_m(t) \|\nabla v\|_{H^{m-2}}^2. \quad (4.6)$$

We recall the v_d equation in (1.2)

$$\partial_t v_d - \Delta v_d + \langle \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla) v, e_d \rangle = \langle \mathbb{P} \theta e_d, e_d \rangle. \quad (4.7)$$

We first take $-\Delta$ on the both sides of (4.7). Since the definition of $\mathbb{P}$ implies

$$-\Delta \langle \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla) v, e_d \rangle = -\Delta(v \cdot \nabla) v_d + \partial_d \nabla \cdot (v \cdot \nabla) v$$

and

$$-\Delta \langle \mathbb{P} \theta e_d, e_d \rangle = -\Delta_h \theta,$$

it follows

$$\partial_t (-\Delta) v_d + (-\Delta)^2 v_d - \Delta(v \cdot \nabla) v_d + \partial_d \nabla \cdot (v \cdot \nabla) v = -\Delta_h \theta.$$

Then, we have for $|\gamma| = m - 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla(-\Delta) \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v \partial_d \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_h \partial^\gamma \theta|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have from the θ equation in (1.2)

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_t \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \partial^\gamma v_d|^2 \, dx = 0.$$

Adding these two equalities gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla(-\Delta) \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v \partial_d \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta + \nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d) \, dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \partial^\gamma v_d|^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_h \partial^\gamma \theta|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have by Lemma 4.2 and the divergence-free condition that

$$\left| - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v \partial_d \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx \right| \leq C \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \|\theta\|_{H^m}.$$

From $\partial_d v_d = -\nabla_h \cdot v_h$ and the cancellation property, we deduce

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla(-\Delta) \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx \right| \leq \|\Delta \partial^\gamma \nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\partial^\gamma \nabla_h \theta\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta \partial^\gamma \nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial^\gamma \nabla_h \theta\|_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta + \nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d) \, dx \right| \leq C \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \|\theta\|_{H^m}$$

respectively. The above estimates yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\nabla v_d\|_{H^{m-2}}^2 + C \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \|\theta\|_{H^m} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can repeat the above procedure for the lower order derivatives. Then, (4.6) is obtained.

We multiply (4.5) by 2

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}^2) + 2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^m}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} (\|v\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2) + C \|\nabla v\|_{H^m} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}} \|\theta\|_{H^m}, \end{aligned}$$

and recall (4.6)

$$-\frac{3}{2} \|\nabla v\|_{H^m}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-2}}^2 - \frac{d}{dt} A_{m-1}(t) \leq C E_m(t) \|\nabla v\|_{H^{m-2}}^2.$$

Adding these two inequality, we obtain (4.2). This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 4.4 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > 1 + \frac{d}{2}$. Assume that (v, θ) is a smooth global solution to (1.2) with $\alpha = 0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (E_m(t)^2 - A_m(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} (\|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-1}}^2) \\ & \quad + C \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^3 + C (\|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2) \|\partial_d v_d(t)\|_{L^\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

for all $t > 0$.

Proof From (1.2), we can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}^2) + \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \\ & \leq - \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v \partial^\gamma v \, dx - \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We only estimate $|\gamma| = m$ case because the others can be treated similarly. The first integral on the right-hand side can be estimated by lemma 4.2 and the divergence-free condition that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v \partial^\gamma v \, dx \right| \leq C \|v\|_{H^m}^3.$$

To estimate the remainder term, we consider the case $\partial^\gamma \neq \partial_d^m$ first. As estimating the first one, we can see

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx \right| \leq C \|v\|_{H^m} \|R_h \theta\|_{H^m} \|\theta\|_{H^m}.$$

In the case of $\partial^\gamma = \partial_d^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^m (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \partial_d^m \theta \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-1} (\partial_d v_h \cdot \nabla_h \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-1} (\partial_d v_d \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \\ &\leq C \|v\|_{H^m} \|R_h \theta\|_{H^m} \|\theta\|_{H^m} + C \|\partial_d v_d\|_{L^\infty} \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} (\partial_d^2 v_d \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} (\partial_d^2 v_d \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} \nabla_h \cdot (\partial_d v_h \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} (\partial_d v_h \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \\ &\leq - \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} \nabla_h \cdot (\partial_d v_h \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \\ &\quad + C \|v\|_{H^m} \|R_h \theta\|_{H^m} \|\theta\|_{H^m}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4), we can deduce

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} \nabla_h \cdot (\partial_d v_h \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \right| \leq C \|v\|_{H^m} \|R_h \theta\|_{H^m} \|\theta\|_{H^m},$$

thus,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-2} (\partial_d^2 v_d \partial_d \theta) \partial_d^m \theta \, dx \right| \leq C \|v\|_{H^m} \|R_h \theta\|_{H^m} \|\theta\|_{H^m}.$$

Collecting the above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}^2) + \|v\|_{H^m}^2 &\leq C \|v\|_{H^m}^3 + C \|v\|_{H^m} \|R_h \theta\|_{H^m} \|\theta\|_{H^m} \\ &+ C \|\partial_d v_d\|_{L^\infty} \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

As estimating (4.6), we can show

$$\frac{3}{2} \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 - \frac{d}{dt} A_m(t) - C E_m(t) \|v\|_{H^m}^2. \quad (4.10)$$

We only consider the highest derivative case. We can see from the v_d equation in (1.2)

$$\partial_t(-\Delta)v_d + (-\Delta)v_d - \Delta(v \cdot \nabla v_d) + \partial_d \nabla \cdot (v \cdot \nabla)v = -\Delta_h \theta.$$

Thus, we have for $|\gamma| = m - 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla v_d) \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx \\ - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v_d \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_h \partial^\gamma \theta|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the θ equation in (1.2), it follows

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_t \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla \theta) \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \partial^\gamma v_d|^2 \, dx = 0.$$

Combining the two above gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v_d \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla v_d) \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta + \nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla \theta) \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \partial^\gamma v_d|^2 \, dx \\ = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_h \partial^\gamma \theta|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

The divergence-free condition and Lemma 4.2 imply

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) v_d \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx \right| \leq C \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \|\theta\|_{H^m}.$$

We also have with the divergence-free condition that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx \right| \leq \|\partial^\gamma \nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\partial^\gamma \nabla_h \theta\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial^\gamma \nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial^\gamma \nabla_h \theta\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The cancellation property yields

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla v_d) \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta + \nabla \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla \theta) \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d) \, dx \right| \leq C \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \|\theta\|_{H^m}.$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$\sum_{|\gamma|=m-1} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \partial^\gamma v_d \cdot \nabla \partial^\gamma \theta \, dx + \frac{3}{2} \|v\|_{H^m}^2 + C \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \|\theta\|_{H^m} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-1}}^2,$$

which implies (4.10).

Multiplying (4.9) by 2 and adding (4.10) gives (4.8). This completes the proof. $\square$

5 Global-in-time existence

In this section, we prove the global existence part of Theorem 1.1 and 1.4. It remains to estimate the key quantities in Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, namely,

$$\int \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int \|\partial_d v_d(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt \quad (5.1)$$

respectively. For this purpose, we recall the notations introduced in Sect. 3. From now on, we use the notations

$$R_h f = \nabla_h \Lambda^{-1} f = \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} \frac{i\tilde{n}}{|\eta|} \mathcal{F}_b f(\eta) \mathcal{B}_\eta(x), \quad R_h g = \nabla_h \Lambda^{-1} g = \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} \frac{i\tilde{n}}{|\eta|} \mathcal{F}_c g(\eta) \mathcal{C}_\eta(x),$$

for $f \in X^m(\Omega)$ and $g \in Y^m(\Omega)$.

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.1: Global-in-time existence part

Here, we fix $\alpha = 1$. We show the two propositions that provide proper upper-bound of the key quantity. Then combining with Proposition 4.3, we finish the proof.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $m > 1 + \frac{d}{2}$. Assume that (v, θ) is a smooth global solution to (1.2) with $\alpha = 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta \in I} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| dt \leq C \|v_0\|_{H^m} \\ & + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(t)\|_{H^m} \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| dt \right) \\ & + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| dt \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

for all $T > 0$.

Proof We first note that the divergence-free condition implies

$$|\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta)| \leq C |\tilde{n}| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(\eta)| + C |\tilde{n}| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta)|. \quad (5.3)$$

Thus, it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\tilde{n}||\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \, dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\tilde{n}||\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta)| \, dt \leq C \|v_0\|_{H^m} \\ & + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(t)\|_{H^m} \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \, dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| \, dt \right) \\ & + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

We only estimate the first term on the left-hand side because the other can be treated similarly. Applying Duhamel's principle to (3.1), we can have

$$\sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\tilde{n}||\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \, dt \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\tilde{n}||\eta| e^{-|\eta|^2 t} |\mathcal{F} v_0| \, dt, \\ I_2 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \int_0^t |\tilde{n}||\eta| e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\mathcal{F}_c[(v \cdot \nabla) v_h](\tau)| \, d\tau \, dt, \\ I_3 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \int_0^t |\tilde{n}||\eta| e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\mathcal{F}_b[(v \cdot \nabla) v_d](\tau)| \, d\tau \, dt, \\ I_4 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \int_0^t |\tilde{n}||\eta| e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} \frac{|\tilde{n}|}{|\eta|} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\tau)| \, d\tau \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

We have used that $|\mathcal{F} \mathbb{P} f| \leq |\mathcal{F} f|$ for I_2 and I_3 . It is clear that

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 e^{-|\eta|^2 t} |\mathcal{F} v_0| \, dt \leq \sum_{\eta \in I} |\mathcal{F} v_0| \leq C \|v_0\|_{H^m}.$$

We can see by Fubini's theorem that

$$I_4 \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \, dt.$$

Similarly,

$$I_2 \leq C \sum_{\eta \in I} \int_0^T |\mathcal{F}_c[(v \cdot \nabla) v_h](t)| \, dt.$$

Using $(v \cdot \nabla)v_h = (v_h \cdot \nabla_h)v_h + v_d \partial_d v_h$ and Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_0^T \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\mathcal{F}_c \nabla_h v_h(t)| \right) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\mathcal{F}_b \partial_d v_h(t)| \right) dt \\ &\leq C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|v(t)\|_{H^m} \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way with the above, we can have the same upper-bound for I_3 . Collecting the estimates for I_1 , I_2 , I_3 , and I_4 , we obtain the claim. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 5.2 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $m > 3 + \frac{d}{2}$. Assume that (v, θ) is a smooth global solution to (1.2) with $\alpha = 1$, and $\int_{\Omega} v_0 dx$ be satisfied. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| dt &\leq C \| (v_0, \theta_0) \|_{H^m} + C \int_0^T \|\nabla v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 dt + C \int_0^T \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-2}}^2 dt \\ &\quad + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\|v(t)\|_{H^m} + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}) \left(\int_0^T \sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| dt + \int_0^T \sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| dt \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

for all $T > 0$.

Proof We recall (3.6) and have

$$\sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| dt \leq I_5 + I_6 + I_7 + I_8,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} (e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) |\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| dt, \\ I_6 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T e^{-\lambda_+ t} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta_0| dt, \\ I_7 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \int_0^t \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} (e^{-\lambda_-(t-\tau)} - e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)}) |\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| d\tau dt, \\ I_8 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)} |\mathcal{F}_b [(v \cdot \nabla)\theta](\tau)| d\tau dt. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate I_6 and I_8 first. By (3.7) we have

$$I_6 \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 e^{-|\eta|^2 \frac{t}{2}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta_0| dt \leq C \|\theta_0\|_{H^m}.$$

With Fubini's theorem, we also have

$$I_8 \leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\mathcal{F}_b[(v \cdot \nabla)\theta](t)| \, dt.$$

Due to (2.1) and (2.3), Poincaré inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} I_8 &\leq C \int_0^T \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\mathcal{F}(v - \int_{\Omega} v \, dx)(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b\theta(t)| \right) \, dt \\ &\leq C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} \left(\int_0^T \sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \, dt + \int_0^T \sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| \, dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate I_5 and I_7 . To apply (3.8), we consider $\eta \in D_2$ and $\eta \in D_3$ separately. Note that $D_1 = \emptyset$ when $\alpha = 1$. In the former case, as the previous estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\eta \in D_2} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} (e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) |\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \, dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T e^{-|\eta|^2 \frac{t}{4}} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0| \, dt \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{H^m} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\eta \in D_2} \int_0^T \int_0^t \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} (e^{-\lambda_-(t-\tau)} - e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)}) |\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \, d\tau \, dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |N(v, \theta)(t)| \, dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T (|\mathcal{F}[(v \cdot \nabla)v](t)| + |\mathcal{F}_b[(v - \int_{\Omega} v \, dx) \cdot \nabla]\theta](t)|) \, dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \left\{ \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\mathcal{F}v(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}v(t)| \right) + \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}v(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b\theta(t)| \right) \right\} \, dt \\ &\leq C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\|v(t)\|_{H^m} + \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}) \left(\int_0^T \sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \, dt + \int_0^T \sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| \, dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the latter case,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\eta \in D_3} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} (e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) |\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \, dt \leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} e^{-\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^4} t} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0| \, dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0| \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{H^m}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we similarly have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta \in D_3} \int_0^T \int_0^t \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} (e^{-\lambda_-(t-\tau)} - e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)}) |\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| d\tau dt \\ & \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |N(v, \theta)(t)| dt \\ & \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}(v \cdot \nabla)v(t)| dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b(v \cdot \nabla)\theta(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{n}' + \tilde{n}'' = \tilde{n}$ and $\tilde{q}' + \tilde{q}'' = \tilde{q}$. Then, it holds

$$|\eta|^2 = |\tilde{n}|^2 + |\tilde{q}|^2 \leq 2|\tilde{n}'|^2 + 2|\tilde{q}'|^2 + 2|\tilde{n}''|^2 + 2|\tilde{q}''|^2 = 2|\eta'|^2 + 2|\eta''|^2.$$

Similarly, for $\tilde{n}' + \tilde{n}'' = \tilde{n}$ and $|\tilde{q}' - \tilde{q}''| = \tilde{q}$, we can see

$$|\eta|^2 \leq 2|\eta'|^2 + 2|\eta''|^2.$$

They imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 (|\mathcal{F}(v \cdot \nabla)v(t)| dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \left\{ \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}v(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}v(t)| \right) + \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\mathcal{F}v(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta|^3 |\mathcal{F}v(t)| \right) \right\} dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \|\nabla v\|_{H^m}^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, with $\mathcal{F}_b(v \cdot \nabla)\theta = \mathcal{F}_b(v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\theta + \mathcal{F}_b[v_d \partial_d \theta]$ it follows for $m > 3 + d/2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b(v \cdot \nabla)\theta(t)| dt \\ & \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b(v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\theta(t)| dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b[v_d \partial_d \theta](t)| dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \left\{ \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\tilde{n}| |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^2 |\tilde{n}| |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \right) \right\} dt \\ & \quad + C \int_0^T \left\{ \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \right) + \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^3 |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \right) \right\} dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\tilde{n}| |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \right) dt \\ & \quad + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} \left(\int_0^T \sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| dt + \int_0^T \sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\eta \in J} |\tilde{n}| |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| = \sum_{\eta \in J} |\mathcal{F}_b \nabla_h \theta(t)| \leq C \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-2}}$$

and

$$\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \leq C \|\nabla v(t)\|_{H^m},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_0^T \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\tilde{n}| |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \right) dt &\leq C \int_0^T \|\nabla v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 dt \\ &+ C \int_0^T \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-2}}^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the estimates for I_5 , I_6 , I_7 , and I_8 , we complete the proof. $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove the global existence part of Theorem 1.1. Let $T^* > 0$ and (v, θ) be the maximal time of existence and the local solution given in Proposition 4.1 respectively. We define

$$B_m(T) := \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E_m(t)^2 + \int_0^T \|\Lambda^\alpha v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 dt + \int_0^T \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-1-\alpha}}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.5)$$

Then, from (5.2) and (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\eta \in I} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| dt &\leq C \|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m} \\ &+ C_1 B_m(T) \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| dt \right) + C_1 B_m(T)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_1 > 0$. For a while, we assume that $C_1 B_m(T) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $T \in (0, T^*)$. Then, we have

$$\sum_{\eta \in I} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| dt \leq C \|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m} + B_m(T).$$

On the other hand, we recall (4.2) and integrate it on the interval $[0, T]$. Then by (4.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} B_m(T)^2 \leq \frac{3}{2} \|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m}^2 + C B_m(T)^3 + C B_m(T)^2 \int_0^T \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt.$$

Since

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h| + \sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b v_d|,$$

it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} B_m(T)^2 &\leq \frac{3}{2} \|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m}^2 + C B_m(T)^3 + C B_m(T)^2 (\|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m} + B_m(T)) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2} \|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m}^2 + C_2 \|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m} B_m(T)^2 + C_2 B_m(T)^3 \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_2 > 0$. If we assume $C_2 \|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m} \leq \frac{1}{16}$ and $C_2 B_m(T) \leq \frac{1}{16}$, then

$$B_m(T)^2 \leq 4 \|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m}^2 \leq 4\delta^2. \quad (5.6)$$

Here, we take $\delta > 0$ such that $C_1(2\delta) < \frac{1}{2}$ and $C_2(2\delta) < \frac{1}{16}$. By the above estimates, we can deduce that (5.6) holds for all $T \in (0, T^*)$, hence, $T^* = \infty$. Thus, (1.3) is obtained. This completes the proof.

5.2 Proof of Theorem 1.4: Global-in-time existence part

In this subsection, we fix $\alpha = 0$. We only provide two propositions counterparts of Proposition 5.1 and 5.2, because the rest of the proof is similar with that of theorem 1.1.

Proposition 5.3 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $m > 2 + \frac{d}{2}$. Assume that (v, θ) is a smooth global solution to (1.2) with $\alpha = 0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| dt \leq C \|v_0\|_{H^m} + C \int_0^T \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| dt \quad (5.7)$$

for all $T > 0$.

Proof From (3.2) and Duhamel's principle, we can have

$$\sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| dt \leq J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| e^{-t} |\mathcal{F}_b v_0| dt, \\ J_2 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \int_0^t |\eta| e^{-(t-\tau)} |\mathcal{F}_b [(v \cdot \nabla) v_d](\tau)| d\tau dt, \\ J_3 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \int_0^t |\eta| e^{-(t-\tau)} |\mathcal{F}_c [(v \cdot \nabla) v_h](\tau)| d\tau dt, \\ J_4 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\tau)| d\tau dt. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily show

$$J_1 \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_0| \leq C \|v_0\|_{H^m}$$

and

$$J_4 \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \, dt.$$

Fubini's theorem and Proposition 2.4 gives

$$J_2 \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b[(v \cdot \nabla)v_d](t)| \, dt \leq C \int_0^T \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 \, dt.$$

Similarly, we can estimate J_3 and have

$$J_3 \leq C \int_0^T \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 \, dt.$$

From the estimates for J_1 , J_2 , J_3 , and J_4 , we deduce (5.7). This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 5.4 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $m > 2 + \frac{d}{2}$. Assume that (v, θ) is a smooth global solution to (1.2) with $\alpha = 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \, dt &\leq C \|u_0\|_{H^m} + C \int_0^T \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 \, dt + C \int_0^T \|\nabla_h \theta(t)\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 \, dt \\ &\quad + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

for all $T > 0$.

Proof We recall (3.6) and have

$$\sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \, dt \leq J_5 + J_6 + J_7 + J_8,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_5 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} (e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) |\langle \mathcal{F}_b u_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \, dt, \\ J_6 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\tilde{n}| e^{-\lambda_+ t} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta_0| \, dt, \\ J_7 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \int_0^t \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} (e^{-\lambda_-(t-\tau)} - e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)}) |\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \, d\tau \, dt, \\ J_8 &:= \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \int_0^t |\tilde{n}| e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)} |\mathcal{F}_b[(v \cdot \nabla)\theta](\tau)| \, d\tau \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear by (3.7)

$$J_6 \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T e^{-\frac{t}{2}} |\mathcal{F}_b \nabla_h \theta_0| \, dt \leq C \|\theta_0\|_{H^m}.$$

Similarly, we have with Proposition 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} J_8 &\leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\mathcal{F}_b[\nabla_h(v \cdot \nabla)\theta]| \, dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \left\{ \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b \nabla_h \theta| \right) + \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b \partial_d \theta| \right) \right\} \, dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \, dt + C \int_0^T \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 \, dt + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d| \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate J_5 and J_7 with (3.8), we consider $\eta \in D_1 \cup D_2$ and $\eta \in D_3$ separately. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\eta \in D_1 \cup D_2} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} (e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) |\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \, dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\tilde{n}| e^{-\frac{t}{4}} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0| \, dt \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{H^m} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\eta \in D_1 \cup D_2} \int_0^T \int_0^t \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} (e^{-\lambda_-(t-\tau)} - e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)}) |\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \, d\tau \, dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\tilde{n}| |N(v, \theta)(t)| \, dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T (|\mathcal{F}[\nabla_h(v \cdot \nabla)v](t)| + |\mathcal{F}_b[\nabla_h(v \cdot \nabla)\theta](t)|) \, dt \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \, dt + C \int_0^T \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 \, dt + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d| \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\eta \in D_3} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} (e^{-\lambda_- t} - e^{-\lambda_+ t}) |\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \, dt &\leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} e^{-\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} t} |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0| \, dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0| \\ &\leq C \|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m}. \end{aligned}$$

We can see

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\eta \in D_3} \int_0^T \int_0^t \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|} (e^{-\lambda_-(t-\tau)} - e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)}) |\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_- \rangle| |\langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| \, d\tau \, dt \\ &\leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |N(v, \theta)(t)| \, dt \\ &\leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}(v \cdot \nabla)v(t)| \, dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b(v \cdot \nabla)\theta(t)| \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

As estimating I_7 on the set D_3 , we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| (|\mathcal{F}(v \cdot \nabla)v(t)|) \, dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \left\{ \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}v(t)| \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\mathcal{F}v(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}v(t)| \right) \right\} \, dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \|v(t)\|_{H^m}^2 \, dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b(v \cdot \nabla)\theta(t)| \, dt \\ & \leq \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b(v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\theta(t)| \, dt + \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b[v_d \partial_d \theta](t)| \, dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \left\{ \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\tilde{n}| |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \right) + \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\mathcal{F}_c v_h(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\tilde{n}| |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \right) \right\} \, dt \\ & \quad + C \int_0^T \left\{ \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \right) + \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\mathcal{F}_b v_d(t)| \right) \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^2 |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(t)| \right) \right\} \, dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \, dt + C \int_0^T \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 \, dt + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} \sum_{\eta \in J} \int_0^T |\eta| |\mathcal{F}_b v_d| \, dt \end{aligned}$$

for $m > 2 + d/2$. Collecting the estimates for J_5 , J_6 , J_7 , and J_8 , we obtain (5.8). This completes the proof. $\square$

6 Proof of temporal decay estimates

In this section, let (v, θ) be a smooth global-in-time solution to (1.2). In addition, we assume that (1.6) or (1.3) holds in each case with

$$\|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{H^m} \leq \delta \quad (6.1)$$

for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. The next three propositions are for the temporal decay estimates of $\|\bar{\theta}(t)\|_{L^2}$, $\|v(t)\|_{L^2}$, and $\|v_d(t)\|_{L^2}$ in both cases $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$. After that, we prove (1.7) and (1.5) combining with the temporal decay estimates for $\|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}$ and $\|v_d(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}$.

Proposition 6.1 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > 1 + \frac{d}{2} + \alpha$ and (v, θ) be a smooth global solution to (1.2) with (1.3) or (1.6). Suppose that (6.1) be satisfied. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\bar{\theta}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}. \quad (6.2)$$

Proof From the v equations in (1.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 \, dx = -\|\Lambda^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\Omega} v_d \theta \, dx.$$

On the other hand, we have from (2.1) and the θ equation in (1.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\bar{\theta}|^2 dx &= - \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla)(\tilde{\theta} + \bar{\theta}) \cdot \bar{\theta} dx - \int_{\Omega} v_d \bar{\theta} dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot \bar{\theta} dx - \int_{\Omega} v_d \theta dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\theta} := \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \theta(x) dx_h.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2) = -\|\Lambda^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot \bar{\theta} dx.$$

We can deduce from (3.2), (3.3), and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \partial_t v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \bar{\theta} dx - \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \theta \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d dx \\ &\leq \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-2\alpha} \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} + \|(v \cdot \nabla)\theta\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|\Lambda^\alpha v_d\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta dx \right) &\leq -\frac{1}{4} (\|\Lambda^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C \|v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} + C \|v\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot \bar{\theta} dx. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the integral on the right-hand side, we note

$$\left| - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot \bar{\theta} dx \right| \leq \left| - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot -\Delta_h (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} dx \right| + \left| - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot -\partial_d^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} dx \right|.$$

We consider $\alpha = 0$ case first. The right-hand side is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v_d\|_{L^2} \|\partial_d \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{L^2} + \left| - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_h \cdot v_h) \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot \partial_d (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} dx - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d^2 \tilde{\theta} \cdot \partial_d (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} dx \right| \\ &\leq \|v_d\|_{L^2} \|\partial_d \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{L^2} + \|v_h\|_{L^2} \|\partial_d \tilde{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} \|R_h \theta\|_{L^2} + \left| - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d^2 \tilde{\theta} \cdot \partial_d (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using $v_d = -(\Delta_h)(-\Delta)^{-1} v_d + \partial_d \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} v_h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d^2 \tilde{\theta} \cdot \partial_d (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| - \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} v_d \partial_d^2 \tilde{\theta} \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d (-\Delta)^{-1} v_h \partial_d^2 \tilde{\theta} \cdot \nabla_h \partial_d (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} v\|_{L_{x_h}^2 L_{x_d}^\infty} \|\partial_d^2 \tilde{\theta}\|_{L^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|v\|_{L^2} \|\theta\|_{H^m} \|R_h \theta\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha = 1$, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} \left| - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot -\Delta_h (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} \, dx \right| &= \left| - \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h v_d \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\nabla_h v_d\|_{L^2} \|\partial_d \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^{-1} R_h \theta\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot -\partial_d^2 (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_h \cdot \partial_d v_h) \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} \, dx \right| + 2 \left| \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_h \cdot v_h) \partial_d^2 \tilde{\theta} \cdot (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} \, dx \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d^3 \tilde{\theta} \cdot (-\Delta)^{-1} \bar{\theta} \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\partial_d v_h\|_{L^2} \|\partial_d \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^{-1} R_h \theta\|_{L^2} + 2 \|v_h\|_{L^2} \|\partial_d^2 \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|\Lambda^{-1} R_h \theta\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla (-\Delta)^{-1} v\|_{L_{x_h}^2 L_{x_d}^\infty} \|\partial_d^3 \tilde{\theta}\|_{L^2} \|(-\Delta)^{-1} \theta\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_d = -(\Delta_h)(-\Delta)^{-1} v_d + \partial_d \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} v_h$ also used here. Hence, we can deduce

$$\left| - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d \tilde{\theta} \cdot \bar{\theta} \, dx \right| \leq C \|\Lambda^\alpha v\|_{L^2} \|\theta\|_{H^m} \|\Lambda^{-\alpha} R_h \theta\|_{L^2}$$

in both cases. Combining the above and using (6.1), we can have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \\ &\leq -\left(\frac{1}{4} - C(\|v\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2)\right) (\|\Lambda^\alpha v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) + C \|v\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{H^m} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{8} (\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) + C \|v\|_{H^m}^2 \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $M \geq 1$ which will be specified later. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{M} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{M} - \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \right) |\mathcal{F}\theta(\eta)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \leq \frac{1}{M}, |\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\mathcal{F}\theta(\eta)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M^{1+\frac{m-1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha}}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M^{\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx \right| \leq \|v_d\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-2\alpha} \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (6.3)$$

it holds

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{8}(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) &\leq -\frac{1}{8M} \left(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{16M} \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{M} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{16M} \left(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) + \frac{1}{8M^{\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \\ \leq -\frac{1}{16M} \left(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) + \frac{1}{8M^{\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2 + C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $M = 1 + \frac{t}{8^{\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}}$ and multiplying both terms by $2M^{\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}$, we obtain by (6.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 + \frac{t}{8^{\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \left(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \right) \\ \leq C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2 + C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \left(1 + \frac{t}{8^{\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \left(\|v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using Grönwall's inequality, we obtain (6.2). This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 6.2 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > 1 + \frac{d}{2} + \alpha$ and (v, θ) be a smooth global solution to (1.2) with (1.3) or (1.6). Suppose that (6.1) be satisfied. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}. \quad (6.5)$$

Proof From the v equations in (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 \, dx &\leq -\|\Lambda^{\alpha} v\|_{L^2}^2 + C \left(\sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\eta|^{2\alpha} |\mathcal{F} v(\eta)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 + C \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since Duhamel's principle implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq e^{-t} \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)|^2 \, d\tau \\ &\leq e^{-t} \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau)^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} (1+\tau)^{-(1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})} \, d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau)^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \left(\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau)^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \quad (6.6)$$

On the other hand, from (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\eta \in J} |\mathcal{F}_b \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d(\eta)|^2 &\leq -\|v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^2} \|\Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\eta \in J} |\mathcal{F}_b R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta(\eta)|^2 \leq -\int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx - \sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta)$$

respectively. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) &\leq -\|v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^2} \|v_d\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we can deduce from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx &= -\int_{\Omega} \partial_t v_d R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \theta R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} v_d \, dx \\ &\leq \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^2} \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} + \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} v_d \, dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|v_d\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) &\leq -\frac{1}{4} (\|v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + C \|v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} (\|v_d\|_{L^2} + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}) - \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta (R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By $(v \cdot \nabla) \theta = (v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \theta + v_d \partial_d \theta$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| -\int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta (R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \|v_h\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{L^\infty} (\|v_d\|_{L^2} + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}) + C (\|v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \|\partial_d \theta\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^{m-\alpha}(\Omega)$, (6.1), and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \\ &\leq -\left(\frac{1}{4} - C \|\theta\|_{H^m}\right) (\|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v_d\|_{L^2}^2) + C \|v\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{L^\infty}) (\|v_d\|_{L^2} + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{8} (\|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v_d\|_{L^2}^2) + C \|v\|_{L^2}^2 (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $M \geq 1$ which will be specified later. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{M} \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{\eta \in J} \left(\frac{1}{M} - \frac{|\tilde{\eta}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \right) |\mathcal{F} R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta(\eta)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{|\tilde{\eta}|^2 \\ |\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \leq \frac{1}{M}, |\tilde{\eta}| \neq 0} |\mathcal{F} R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta(\eta)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

together with

$$\left| - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx \right| \leq \|\Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|R_h \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2, \quad (6.7)$$

we can have as estimating (6.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{8} (\|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v_d\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{16M} \left(\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v_d\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) + \frac{1}{8M^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{16M} \left(\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8M^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2 + C \|v\|_{L^2}^2 (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

We take $M = 1 + \frac{t}{8(1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}$. Then, we can have with (6.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 + \frac{t}{8(1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})} \right)^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \left(\|\Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \right) \\ &\leq C \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{8(1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})} \right)^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \left(\|\Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2) \\ &\quad + C (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

We integrate it over time and use (6.7) with

$$\int_0^\infty (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2) \, dt \leq C.$$

Then, for

$$f(t) := \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{8(1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})} \right)^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \left(\|\Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \right),$$

it holds

$$f(t) \leq C + \int_0^t f(\tau) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2 + \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty}) \, d\tau.$$

Applying Grönwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{8(1 + \frac{m}{1+\alpha})}\right)^{1 + \frac{m}{1+\alpha}} (\|\Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C.$$

With (6.6), we deduce (6.5). This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 6.3 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > 2 + \frac{d}{2} + \alpha$ and (v, θ) be a smooth global solution to (1.2) with (1.3) or (1.6). Suppose that (6.1) be satisfied. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|v_d(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-(2 + \frac{m}{1+\alpha})}. \quad (6.8)$$

Proof Recalling the definition of $\mathbf{b}_{\pm}$, we can verify that

$$\mathcal{F}_b v_d = \frac{1}{\lambda_+} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} \mathcal{F}_b \theta + \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_-} - \frac{1}{\lambda_+} \right) \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_+, e_2 \rangle, \quad \eta \in J.$$

We note that

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda_+|} \leq \frac{|\eta|}{|\tilde{n}|} \leq \frac{2}{|\eta|^{2\alpha}}, \quad \eta \in D_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|\lambda_+|} \leq \frac{2}{|\eta|^{2\alpha}}, \quad \eta \notin D_1.$$

Together with

$$\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_-} - \frac{1}{\lambda_+} \right) \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_+, e_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\lambda_+} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle,$$

we have

$$\|v_d(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta(t)\|_{L^2} + C \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{4\alpha}} |\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (6.9)$$

We show

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{4\alpha}} |\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq (1+t)^{-(1 + \frac{m}{2(1+\alpha)})} (C + C\delta \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau)^{1 + \frac{m}{2(1+\alpha)}} \|v_d(\tau)\|_{L^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

where δ is a small constant in (6.1). Then by taking δ small enough, we obtain

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau)^{1 + \frac{m}{2(1+\alpha)}} \|v_d(\tau)\|_{L^2} \leq C + C \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau)^{1 + \frac{m}{2(1+\alpha)}} \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}. \quad (6.11)$$

We recall (3.5) and have

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle = e^{-\lambda_+ t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle - \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)} \langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle d\tau.$$

Since $|e^{-\lambda_+ t}| \leq e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} \frac{t}{2}}$ for $\eta \in J$, it follows by the Minkowski inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{4\alpha}} |\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{4\alpha}} e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} t} |\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_0^t \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{4\alpha}} e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} (t-\tau)} |\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From the simple fact $|\mathbf{a}_+|^2 = |\lambda_+|^2 + \frac{|\tilde{n}|^4}{|\eta|^4} \leq C|\eta|^{4\alpha}$ with (6.1), we have

$$\left(\sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{4\alpha}} e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} t} |\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C e^{-t} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-(1+\frac{m}{2(1+\alpha)})}.$$

We note that

$$|\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle| \leq |\mathcal{F}(v \cdot \nabla)v| |\lambda_+| + |\mathcal{F}_b(v \cdot \nabla)\theta| \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2}. \quad (6.12)$$

Thus, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{4\alpha}} e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} (t-\tau)} |\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} (t-\tau)} |\mathcal{F}(v \cdot \nabla)v|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau + \int_0^t \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} (t-\tau)} \frac{1}{|\eta|^{4\alpha}} |\mathcal{F}_b(v \cdot \nabla)\theta|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} (\|(v \cdot \nabla)v(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|(v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\bar{\theta}(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|v_d \partial_d \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We have used

$$(v \cdot \nabla)\theta = (v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\bar{\theta} + v_d \partial_d \theta$$

in the last inequality. We note by $H^{m-2-\alpha} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^2} & \leq \|v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|v\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{1+\alpha}{m}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{m}{m}}}^{1-\frac{1+\alpha}{m}}, \\ \|(v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} & \leq \|v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h \bar{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|v\|_{L^2} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+\alpha}{m}} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{m}{m}}}^{1-\frac{1+\alpha}{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|v_d \partial_d \theta\|_{L^2} \leq \|v_d\|_{L^2} \|\partial_d \theta\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|v_d\|_{L^2} \|\theta\|_{H^m}.$$

Combining (6.5), (6.2) and our assumptions, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+\tau)^{1+\frac{m}{2(1+\alpha)}} (\|(v \cdot \nabla)v(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|(v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\bar{\theta}(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|v_d \partial_d \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq C + C \delta \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau)^{1+\frac{m}{2(1+\alpha)}} \|v_d(\tau)\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where δ is a small constant in (6.1). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} (\|(v \cdot \nabla)v(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|(v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\bar{\theta}(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|v_d \partial_d \theta\|_{L^2}) \, d\tau \\ & \leq C(1+t)^{-(1+\frac{m}{2(1+\alpha)})} (C + C\delta \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} (1+\tau)^{1+\frac{m}{2(1+\alpha)}} \|v_d(\tau)\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above estimates, we obtain (6.10) and (6.11).

Now, we show

$$\|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-(1+\frac{m}{2(1+\alpha)})}. \quad (6.13)$$

Since we have from (3.2) and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\eta \in J} |\mathcal{F} R_h \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d(\eta)|^2 \\ & \leq -\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^2} \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} + \sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^4}{|\eta|^{4(1+\alpha)}} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\eta \in J} |\mathcal{F} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta(\eta)|^2 \leq - \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta R_h^4 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx - \sum_{\eta \in J} \frac{|\tilde{n}|^4}{|\eta|^{4(1+\alpha)}} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta)$$

respectively, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|R_h \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq -\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^2} \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} - \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta R_h^4 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We can infer from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta \, dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \partial_t v_d R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \theta R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d \, dx \\ &\leq \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^2} \|R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} + \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d \, dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|R_h \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{4} (\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \quad + \|v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} (\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} + \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}) \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta (R_h^4 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the last integral with $(v \cdot \nabla)\theta = (v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\theta + v_d \partial_d \theta$. Hölder's inequality implies

$$\left| - \int_{\Omega} (v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\theta (R_h^4 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d) dx \right| \leq C \|v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{L^p} (\|R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d\|_{L^q} + \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta\|_{L^q}),$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}$. We take $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{d}$. Then for $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ with $m > 2 + \frac{d}{2} + \alpha + 2\epsilon$, we can see

$$\|\nabla_h \theta\|_{L^p} \leq C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{1+\frac{d}{2}+\epsilon}} \leq C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\epsilon}}, \quad \alpha = 0,$$

and

$$\|\nabla_h \theta\|_{L^p} \leq C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}+\epsilon}} \leq C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3-\epsilon}}, \quad \alpha = 1,$$

together with $\|R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d\|_{L^q} + \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta\|_{L^q} \leq C \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} + C \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}$, we have

$$\left| - \int_{\Omega} (v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\theta (R_h^4 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d) dx \right| \leq C \|v\|_{L^2} \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}} (\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} + \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}).$$

On the other hand, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\Omega} v_d \partial_d \theta (R_h^4 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d) dx = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h (v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} (R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d) dx \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_h v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} (R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d) dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} (v_d \partial_d \nabla_h \theta) \cdot \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} (R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The second integral on the right-hand side is bounded by

$$\left| - \int_{\Omega} (v_d \partial_d \nabla_h \theta) \cdot \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} (R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d) dx \right| \leq C \|v_d\|_{L^2} \|\partial_d \nabla_h \theta\|_{L^\infty} (\|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}).$$

We note

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_h v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} (R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d) dx \right| \\ & = \left| \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_h \Delta (-\Delta)^{-1} v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} (R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4\alpha} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} v_d) dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

When $\alpha = 0$, with the integration by parts, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_h \Delta (-\Delta)^{-1} v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} (R_h^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^2 v_d) dx \right| \\ & \leq \|R_h v_d\|_{L^2} (\|\partial_d \nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_d \theta\|_{L^\infty}) (\|R_h^3 \theta\|_{L^2} + \|R_h v_d\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq C \|\theta\|_{H^m} (\|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} (\nabla_h \Delta (-\Delta)^{-1} v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \nabla_h (-\Delta)^{-1} (R_h^2 \Lambda^{-4} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-6} v_d) dx \right| \\ & \leq C \|R_h \Lambda^{-1} v_d\|_{L^2} (\|\Delta \partial_d \theta\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \partial_d \theta\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_d \theta\|_{L^\infty}) (\|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3} \theta\|_{L^2} + \|R_h \Lambda^{-1} v_d\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq C \|\theta\|_{H^m} (\|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-1} v_d\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| - \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta (R_h^4 \Lambda^{-4} \theta - \frac{1}{2} R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6} v_d) dx \right| \\ & \leq C \|v\|_{L^2} \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}} (\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} + \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3} \theta\|_{L^2}) \\ & \quad + C \|v_d\|_{L^2} \|\partial_d \nabla_h \theta\|_{L^\infty} (\|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3} \theta\|_{L^2} + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}) \\ & \quad + C \|\theta\|_{H^m} (\|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

With Young's inequality and (6.1) we can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|R_h \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta dx \right) \\ & \leq -\left(\frac{1}{4} - C \|\theta\|_{H^m}\right) (\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \quad + C \|v\|_{L^2} (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}} + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}}) (\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} + \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}) \\ & \quad + C \|v_d\|_{L^2} \|\partial_d \nabla_h \theta\|_{L^\infty} (\|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{8} (\|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) + C \|v\|_{L^2}^2 (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}}^2) \\ & \quad + C \|v_d\|_{L^2}^2 \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $M \geq 1$ which will be specified later. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{M} \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{M} - \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \right) |\mathcal{F} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta(\eta)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{|\tilde{n}|^2 \\ |\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \leq \frac{1}{M}, |\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\mathcal{F} R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta(\eta)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M^{2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta dx \right| &\leq \|R_h \Lambda^{-3\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2} \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{8} \left(\|R_h^3 \Lambda^{-3\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{16M} \left(\|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{8M^{2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|R_h \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{16M} \left(\|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta \, dx \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{8M^{2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2 \\ & \quad + C \|v\|_{L^2}^2 (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}}^2) + C \|v_d\|_{L^2}^2 \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We take $M = 1 + \frac{t}{8(2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}$ and multiply $2M^{2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}}$ both sides. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 + \frac{t}{8(2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}\right)^{2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} (\|R_h \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta \, dx) \right) \\ & \leq C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2 \\ & \quad + C \left(1 + \frac{t}{8(2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}\right)^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \left(1 + \frac{t}{8(2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}\right) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}}^2) \\ & \quad + C \left(1 + \frac{t}{8(2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}\right)^{2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \|v_d\|_{L^2}^2 \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the interpolation inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}} + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}} & \leq \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^{1-\frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m}} \\ & \quad + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m}} \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^{1-\frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have from (6.5)

$$\begin{aligned} & C \left(1 + \frac{t}{8(2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}\right)^{1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \left(1 + \frac{t}{8(2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}\right) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1-\alpha-\epsilon}}^2) \\ & \leq C (1+t)^{1-\frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m}(1+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})} (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2)^{1-\frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m}} \\ & \leq C (1+t)^{-\frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m}-\frac{\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2)^{1-\frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (6.11), it holds

$$\left(1 + \frac{t}{8(2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}\right)^{2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \|v_d\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C + C \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{8(2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha})}\right)^{2+\frac{m}{1+\alpha}} \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Then, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 + \frac{t}{8(2 + \frac{m}{1+\alpha})} \right)^{2 + \frac{m}{1+\alpha}} (\|R_h \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d R_h^4 \Lambda^{-6\alpha} \theta \, dx) \right) \\ & \leq C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m} - \frac{\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2)^{1 - \frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m}} \\ & \quad + C \left(\sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{8(2 + \frac{m}{1+\alpha})} \right)^{2 + \frac{m}{1+\alpha}} (\|R_h \Lambda^{-2\alpha} v_d\|_{L^2}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2\alpha} \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \right) \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since we can verify

$$\int_0^\infty \left(C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2 + C(1+t)^{-\frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m} - \frac{\epsilon}{1+\alpha}} (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-\alpha} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-\alpha}}^2)^{1 - \frac{1+\alpha+\epsilon}{m}} \right) dt \leq C,$$

using Grönwall's inequality and (6.14), (6.13) is obtained. Then, (6.8) follows from (6.11). This completes the proof. $\square$

6.1 Proof of Theorem 1.4: Temporal decay part

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.4. For this purpose, we suppose (6.15) and (6.16) hold true, which will be proved in the following proposition. From (6.5) and (6.15), we obtain

$$(1+t)^{1+m-s} \|v(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C.$$

On the other hand, (6.8) and (6.16) imply

$$(1+t)^{2+m-s} \|v_d(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C.$$

Hence, it suffices to prove

$$(1+t)^m \|\theta(t) - \sigma\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C$$

because of (1.6). We recall (1.4) and use (6.2) to have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta - \sigma\|_{L^2} & \leq \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \int_t^\infty ((v \cdot \nabla) \theta + v_d) \, d\tau \, dx_h \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{m}{2}} + \int_t^\infty \|(v \cdot \nabla) \theta + v_d\|_{L^2} \, d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|(v \cdot \nabla) \theta + v_d\|_{L^2} & \leq \|(v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \theta\|_{L^2} + \|v_d \partial_d \theta\|_{L^2} + \|v_d\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \|v\|_{L^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{H^m} + C \|v_d\|_{L^2} \|\theta\|_{H^m} + \|v_d\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C(1+\tau)^{-(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{m}{2})} \|R_h \theta\|_{H^m} + C(1+\tau)^{-(1 + \frac{m}{2})} \end{aligned}$$

by (6.5) and (6.8), we can deduce

$$\int_t^\infty \|(v \cdot \nabla) \theta + v_d\|_{L^2} \, d\tau \leq C \left\| (1+\tau)^{-(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{m}{2})} \right\|_{L^2(t, \infty)} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{m}{2}}.$$

This completes the proof.

Proposition 6.4 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha = 0$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > 2 + \frac{d}{2}$ and (v, θ) be a smooth global solution to (1.2) with (1.6). Suppose that (6.1) be satisfied. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \quad (6.15)$$

and

$$\|v_d(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}. \quad (6.16)$$

Proof From the v equations in (1.2), it follows

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2m} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta).$$

Using (5.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| - \sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2m} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta) \right| &\leq C \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2m} |\mathcal{F}_b R_h \theta(\eta)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2m} |\mathcal{F}_b v(\eta)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (6.1) with (1.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq -\left(\frac{3}{4} - C \|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2\right) \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq -\frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2.$$

Then, applying Duhamel's principle shows

$$\|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq e^{-t} \|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + C \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 d\tau,$$

thus,

$$\|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \left(\|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau) \|R_h \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \right). \quad (6.17)$$

Since (5.3) implies $\|v_d(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C \|R_h v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2$, we can similarly obtain

$$\|v_d(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2} \left(\|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau)^2 \|R_h^2 \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \right). \quad (6.18)$$

We omit the details.

Now, we show that

$$\|R_h^2 \theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}. \quad (6.19)$$

Since this implies

$$\|R_h \theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq \|R_h^2 \theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|\theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m} \leq C(1+t)^{-1},$$

(6.15) and (6.16) follow by (6.17) and (6.18) respectively. Since $H^m(\Omega)$ is a Banach algebra, we can show from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 &\leq C \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\tilde{n}|^4 |\eta|^{2m-4} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.3),

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx - \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\tilde{n}|^4 |\eta|^{2m-4} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \right) &+ \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \\ &\leq C \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx = \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} (K_1 + K_2 + K_3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h^2 v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx, \\ K_2 &= \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v \cdot \nabla) \partial_h \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx, \\ K_3 &:= \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) \partial_h^2 \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

The integration by parts and $(v \cdot \nabla) \theta = (v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \theta + v_d \partial_d \theta$ show

$$K_1 + K_2 = - \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^3 \theta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^3 \theta \, dx.$$

Again using the integration by parts with the continuous embedding $L^\infty(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^{m-1}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$|K_1 + K_2| \leq C \|R_h v_h\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} + C \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|\theta\|_{H^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}.$$

On the other hand, due to the cancellation property, we can have

$$\begin{aligned} |K_3| &\leq C \|v_h\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} + C \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-4} (\partial_d^2 v_d \partial_d \partial_h^2 \theta) \cdot \partial_d^{m-2} \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

The divergence-free condition and the integration by parts imply

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-4} (\partial_d^2 v_d \partial_d \partial_h^2 \theta) \cdot \partial_d^{m-2} \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_d^{m-4} (\partial_d \nabla_h \cdot v_h \partial_d \partial_h^2 \theta) \cdot \partial_d^{m-2} \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx \right| \\ &\leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|K_3| \leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} + C \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2.$$

From the above estimates, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \right) + \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \\ & \leq C (\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}) (\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}) \\ & \quad + C \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|\theta\|_{H^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} + C \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (3.2) and

$$-\Delta \langle \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla)v, e_d \rangle = -\Delta(v \cdot \nabla)v_d + \partial_d \nabla \cdot (v \cdot \nabla)v, \quad (6.20)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\Omega} \partial_t v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla)v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \partial_d \nabla \cdot ((v \cdot \nabla)v) (-\Delta)^{m-1} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx - \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla)v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx + C \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since (3.3) yields

$$-\int_{\Omega} \partial_t \theta (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 v_d \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla)\theta (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 v_d \, dx + \|R_h^2 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla)v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla)\theta (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 v_d \, dx \\ &\quad + C \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} - \frac{1}{2} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla)v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla)\theta (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 v_d \, dx = \sum_{|\gamma|=m-2} (K_4 + K_5 + K_6),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_4 &:= \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h^2 v \cdot \nabla)v_d \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h^2 v \cdot \nabla)\theta \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 v_d \, dx, \\ K_5 &:= \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v \cdot \nabla)\partial_h v_d \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v \cdot \nabla)\partial_h \theta \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 v_d \, dx, \\ K_6 &:= \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla)\partial_h^2 v_d \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla)\partial_h^2 \theta \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 v_d \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

We can see

$$\begin{aligned} |K_4| &\leq C \|R_h^2 v_h\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} + C \|R_h^2 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \\ &\quad + C \|R_h^2 v_h\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^2 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} + C \|R_h^2 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \|\theta\|_{H^m} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|K_5| \leq C \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} + C \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^2 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}.$$

Due to the cancellation property, we have

$$|K_6| \leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^2 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}.$$

Collecting the above estimates gives

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \\ &+ C (\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}) (\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \\ &+ \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}) + C \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \|\theta\|_{H^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we arrived at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} &\left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right) \\ &\leq -\left(\frac{1}{4} - C \|\theta\|_{H^m}\right) (\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2) \\ &+ C (\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}) (\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \\ &+ \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}) + C \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality and (6.1), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} &\left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right) \leq -\frac{1}{8} (\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2) \\ &+ C (\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

We consider $M \geq 1$ which will be specified later. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{M} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 &= \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{M} - \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} \right) |\eta|^{2m} |\mathcal{F} R_h^2 \theta(\eta)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{|\tilde{n}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{M}, \\ |\eta|^2 \neq 0}} |\eta|^{2m} |\mathcal{F} R_h^2 \theta(\eta)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right| \leq \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2, \quad (6.21)$$

it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{8} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \right) \leq -\frac{1}{8M} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{16M} \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{8M^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \frac{1}{16M} \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx \\
 & \leq -\frac{1}{16M} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right) + \frac{1}{8M^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right) \\
 & \leq -\frac{1}{16M} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right) + \frac{1}{8M^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \\
 & \quad + C(\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2)(\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, we take $M = 1 + \frac{t}{16}$. Then multiplying the both sides by $2M^2$ and using (6.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 + \frac{t}{16}\right)^2 (\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^m R_h^4 \theta \, dx) \right) \\
 & \leq C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + C v_0 \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty}) \\
 & \quad + C \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{16}\right)^2 (\|R_h v_d(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We integrate it over time and use (6.21) and

$$\int_0^\infty (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty}) \, dt \leq C.$$

Then, for

$$f(t) := \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{16}\right)^2 (\|R_h v_d(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2),$$

it holds

$$f(t) \leq C + \int_0^t f(\tau) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty}) \, d\tau.$$

By Grönwall's inequality, we obtain (6.19). This completes the proof. $\square$

6.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1: Temporal decay part

Now, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 assuming (6.22) and (6.23), which are given in Proposition 6.5. We also provide Proposition 6.6 for improved temporal estimates. From (6.5) and (6.22), we obtain

$$(1+t)^{1+\frac{m-s}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C.$$

On the other hand, (6.8) and (6.23) imply

$$(1+t)^{2+\frac{m-s}{2}} \|v_d(t)\|_{H^s}^2 \leq C.$$

It suffices to prove

$$(1+t)^{\frac{m}{2}} \|\theta(t) - \sigma\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C$$

due to (1.3). Recalling (1.4), we can estimate from (6.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta - \sigma\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} + \left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \int_t^\infty ((v \cdot \nabla)\theta + v_d) \, d\tau \, dx_h \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{m}{2}} + \int_t^\infty \|(v \cdot \nabla)\theta + v_d\|_{L^2} \, d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|(v \cdot \nabla)\theta + v_d\|_{L^2} &\leq \|(v_h \cdot \nabla_h)\theta\|_{L^2} + \|v_d \partial_d \theta\|_{L^2} + \|v_d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|v\|_{L^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{H^{m-1}} + C\|v_d\|_{L^2} \|\theta\|_{H^m} + \|v_d\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(1+\tau)^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{m}{4})} \|R_h \theta\|_{H^{m-1}} + C(1+\tau)^{-(1+\frac{m}{4})} \end{aligned}$$

by (6.5) and (6.8), it holds

$$\int_t^\infty \|(v \cdot \nabla)\theta + v_d\|_{L^2} \, d\tau \leq C \left\| (1+\tau)^{-(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{m}{4})} \right\|_{L^2(t,\infty)} + C(1+t)^{-\frac{m}{4}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{m}{4}}.$$

This completes the proof.

Proposition 6.5 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > 3 + \frac{d}{2}$ and (v, θ) be a smooth global solution to (1.2) with (1.3). Suppose that (6.1) be satisfied. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-1} \theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-1}, \quad (6.22)$$

and

$$\|v_d(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-1} v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2} \theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}. \quad (6.23)$$

Proof From the v equations in (1.2), it follows

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m+1}}^2 \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 - \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\eta|^{2m} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta).$$

Using (5.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| - \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\eta|^{2m} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta) \right| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\eta|^{2(m-1)} |\mathcal{F}_b R_h \theta(\eta)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\eta|^{2(m+1)} |\mathcal{F}_b v(\eta)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m+1}}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-1} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (6.1) and (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 &\leq -\left(\frac{3}{4} - C\|(v_0, \theta_0)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2\right) \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m+1}}^2 + C\|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + C\|R_h \Lambda^{-1} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying Duhamel's principle shows

$$\|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq e^{-t} \|v_0\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + C \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 d\tau.$$

Thus, from

$$(1+\tau)\|R_h \Lambda^{-1} \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq (1+\tau)\|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2} \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m} \sup_{\tau \in [0, \infty)} \|\theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m},$$

it follows

$$\|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-1} \left(C + \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau)^2 \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2} \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \right). \quad (6.24)$$

Recalling from the v equations in (1.2) that

$$\partial_t R_h v + (-\Delta) R_h v + R_h(v \cdot \nabla) v = R_h \mathbb{P} \theta e_d.$$

and using (6.1), we can see

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 &\leq -\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + C\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Duhamel's principle,

$$\|R_h v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 \leq \left(C + \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1+\tau)^2 \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2} \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \right). \quad (6.25)$$

Now, we show that

$$\|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2} \theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-2}. \quad (6.26)$$

We can show from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|R_h \Lambda^{-2} v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \Lambda^{-1} v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \\ &= - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-3} \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h \partial^\gamma \langle \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla) v, e_d \rangle \cdot \nabla_h \partial^\gamma v_d dx + \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\tilde{n}|^4 |\eta|^{2(m-4)} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 &= - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-4} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\tilde{n}|^4 |\eta|^{2(m-4)} \mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta) \mathcal{F}_b v_d(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|R_h \Lambda^{-2} v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2} \theta\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \right) + \|R_h \Lambda^{-1} v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \\ & \leq - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-4} \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h \partial^\gamma \langle \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla) v, e_d \rangle \cdot \nabla_h \partial^\gamma (-\Delta) v_d \, dx \\ & \quad - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-4} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| - \sum_{|\gamma|=m-4} \int_{\Omega} \nabla_h \partial^\gamma \langle \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla) v, e_d \rangle \cdot \nabla_h \partial^\gamma (-\Delta) v_d \, dx \right| \\ & \leq C (\|v_h \cdot \nabla_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} + \|\nabla_h (v_d \partial_d v)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-4}}) \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \\ & \leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} + C \|v\|_{H^m} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\sum_{|\gamma|=m-4} \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 (v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx = \sum_{|\gamma|=m-4} (K_7 + K_8 + K_9),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_7 &= \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h^2 v \cdot \nabla) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx, \\ K_8 &= \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v \cdot \nabla) \partial_h \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx, \\ K_9 &:= \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) \partial_h^2 \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

The integration by parts and $(v \cdot \nabla) \theta = (v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \theta + v_d \partial_d \theta$ show

$$K_7 + K_8 = - \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h R_h^2 (-\Delta) \theta \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h R_h^2 (-\Delta) \theta \, dx.$$

By the use of the integration by parts, we can estimate the second integral on the right-hand side as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| - \int_{\Omega} \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h R_h^2 (-\Delta) \theta \, dx \right| = \left| - \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta) \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v_d \partial_d \theta) \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h R_h^2 \theta \, dx \right| \\ & \leq C \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|\theta\|_{H^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the first one is bounded by

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta) \partial^\gamma (\partial_h v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \theta \cdot \partial^\gamma \partial_h R_h^2 \theta \, dx \right| \leq C \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}.$$

Thus,

$$|K_7 + K_8| \leq C \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|\theta\|_{H^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} + C \|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}.$$

Due to the cancellation property, we have for $|\gamma'| = 1$ that

$$K_9 = \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma-\gamma'} (\partial^{\gamma'} v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \partial_h^2 \theta \cdot \partial^{\gamma} \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma-\gamma'} (\partial^{\gamma'} v_d \partial_d \partial_h^2 \theta) \cdot \partial^{\gamma} \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx.$$

By integration by parts and the calculus inequality, it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma-\gamma'} (\partial^{\gamma'} v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \partial_h^2 \theta \cdot \partial^{\gamma} \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma-\gamma'} (\partial^{\gamma'} \nabla_h \cdot v_h \partial_h^2 \theta) \cdot \partial^{\gamma} \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma-\gamma'} (\partial^{\gamma'} v_h \partial_h^2 \theta) \cdot \nabla_h \partial^{\gamma} \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma-\gamma'} (\partial^{\gamma'} \partial_d v_d \partial_h^2 \theta) \cdot \partial^{\gamma} \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx \right| & \leq C (\|v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \|\partial_h^2 \theta\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \quad + \|\nabla \partial_d v_d\|_{L^p} \|\partial^{\gamma-\gamma'} \partial_h^2 \theta\|_{L^q}) \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \\ & \leq C \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{2}{d} + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{m-2}{d}) \frac{2}{m-2}$. On the other hand, the integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\gamma-\gamma'} (\partial^{\gamma'} v_h \partial_h^2 \theta) \cdot \nabla_h \partial^{\gamma} \partial_h^2 \theta \, dx \right| \\ & = \left| \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta) \partial^{\gamma-\gamma'} (\partial^{\gamma'} v_h \partial_h^2 \theta) \cdot \partial^{\gamma} \partial_h R_h^2 \theta \, dx \right| \\ & \leq C (\|\nabla v_h\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \|\partial_h^2 \theta\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v_h\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}) \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \\ & \leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|K_9| \leq C \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} + C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}.$$

By the above estimates, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 \right) + \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 \\ & \leq C (\|v\|_{H^m} + \|\theta\|_{H^m}) (\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2) \\ & \quad + C (\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} + \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \\ & \quad + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}) (\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} + \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (3.2) and (6.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \int_{\Omega} \partial_t v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \partial_d \nabla \cdot ((v \cdot \nabla) v) (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta) v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx - \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}^2 \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \\
 &\quad + C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (3.3) yields

$$- \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \theta (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 v_d \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 v_d \, dx + \|R_h^2 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}^2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 v_d \, dx \\
 &\quad + C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} - \frac{1}{2} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is clear

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right| &\leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \|v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \\
 &\leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^{m-3}(\Omega)$ is banach algebra, we can see

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \int_{\Omega} (v \cdot \nabla) \theta (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 v_d \, dx \right| \\
 &\leq \|(v_h \cdot \nabla_h) \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \|R_h^4 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} + C \|v_d \partial_d \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \|R_h^4 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \\
 &\leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \|\nabla_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \|R_h^4 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} + C \|v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \|\partial_d \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \|R_h^4 v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \\
 &\leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} + C \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above estimates gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx &\leq - \frac{1}{2} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 \\
 &\quad + C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \\
 &\quad + C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} + C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \\
 &\quad + C \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^m} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we arrived at

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right) \\
 & \leq -\left(\frac{1}{4} - C(\|v\|_{H^m} + \|\theta\|_{H^m})\right) \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}^2 \right) \\
 & \quad + C(\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} + \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}})(\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}})(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \\
 & \quad + \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}) \\
 & \leq -\frac{1}{8} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}^2 \right) \\
 & \quad + C(\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2)(\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have used Young's inequality and (6.1) in the last inequality. We consider $M \geq 1$ which will be specified later. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{M} \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 - \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}^2 &= \sum_{|\tilde{n}| \neq 0} \left(\frac{1}{M} - \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^4} \right) |\eta|^{2(m-2)} |\mathcal{F} R_h^2 \theta(\eta)|^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\substack{|\tilde{n}|^2 \\ |\eta|^4} \leq \frac{1}{M}, |\tilde{n}| \neq 0} |\eta|^{2(m-2)} |\mathcal{F} R_h^2 \theta(\eta)|^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{M^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right| \leq \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}^2, \quad (6.27)$$

it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{8} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \|R_h^3 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-3}}^2 \right) \leq -\frac{1}{8M} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{16M} \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{8M^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 - \frac{1}{16M} \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \\
 & \leq -\frac{1}{16M} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right) + \frac{1}{8M^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right) \\
 & \leq -\frac{1}{16M} \left(\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx \right) + \frac{1}{8M^2} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 \\
 & \quad + C(\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2)(\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, we take $M = 1 + \frac{t}{16}$. Then multiplying the both sides by $2M^2$ and using (6.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 + \frac{t}{16}\right)^2 (\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx) \right) &\leq C \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 \\ &+ C \left(1 + \frac{t}{16}\right)^2 (\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

From (6.25), it is clear

$$C \left(1 + \frac{t}{16}\right)^2 (\|R_h v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2) \leq C + C \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1 + \tau)^2 \|R_h^2 \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2.$$

Using (6.5), (6.24) and the interpolation inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{t}{16}\right)^2 \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{t}{16}\right)^2 \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{m}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^{2-\frac{4}{m}} \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{1-\frac{2}{m}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^{2-\frac{4}{m}} \\ &\leq C + C \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1 + \tau)^2 \|R_h^2 \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 + \frac{t}{16}\right)^2 (\|R_h v_d\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 - \int_{\Omega} v_d (-\Delta)^{m-3} R_h^4 \theta \, dx) \right) \\ \leq \left(C + C \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1 + \frac{\tau}{16})^2 (\|R_h v_d(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2) \right) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

We integrate it over time and use (6.27) with

$$\int_0^\infty (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}}^2) \, dt \leq C.$$

Then, for

$$f(t) := \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} (1 + \frac{\tau}{16})^2 (\|R_h v_d(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2 + \|R_h^2 \theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}^2),$$

it holds

$$f(t) \leq C + \int_0^t f(\tau) (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|\nabla v_d\|_{L^\infty}) \, d\tau.$$

By Grönwall's inequality, we obtain (6.26).

Now, we prove that

$$\|v_d\|_{\dot{H}^m} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}. \quad (6.28)$$

As showing (6.9), we can have

$$\|v_d(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m} \leq C \|R_h^2 \Lambda^{-2} \theta(t)\|_{\dot{H}^m} + C \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2(m-2)} |\langle \mathcal{F} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show

$$\left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2(m-2)} |\langle \mathcal{F} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(1+t)^{-1}. \quad (6.29)$$

We can see from (3.5) that

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle = e^{-\lambda_+ t} \langle \mathcal{F} \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle - \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_+(t-\tau)} \langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle d\tau.$$

Due to $|e^{-\lambda_+ t}| \leq e^{-\frac{|\eta|^2}{2} t}$ for $\eta \in J$, it follows by the Minkowski inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2(m-2)} |\langle \mathcal{F} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2(m-2)} e^{-|\eta|^2 t} |\langle \mathcal{F} \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2(m-2)} e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

From the simple fact $|\mathbf{a}_+|^2 = |\lambda_+|^2 + \frac{|\tilde{n}|^4}{|\eta|^4} \leq C|\eta|^4$ with (6.1), we have

$$\left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2(m-2)} e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} t} |\langle \mathcal{F} \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C e^{-t} \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{H^m}.$$

By (6.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} |\eta|^{2(m-2)} e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\langle N(v, \theta)(\tau), \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^t \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\eta|^{2m} |\mathcal{F}(v \cdot \nabla)v|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \left(\sum_{\eta \in J} e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\eta|^{2(m-2)} |\mathcal{F}_b(v \cdot \nabla)\theta|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} (\|(v \cdot \nabla)v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|(v \cdot \nabla)\theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We note

$$\|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{\dot{H}^m} \leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m+1}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \leq C \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m+1}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^{1-\frac{2}{m}} \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{m}}$$

and

$$\|(v \cdot \nabla)\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \leq \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}} \|\theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \leq \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^{1-\frac{2}{m}} \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{m}} \|\theta\|_{H^m}.$$

Combining (6.5) and (6.22), we can see

$$(1 + \tau) (\|(v \cdot \nabla)v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|(v \cdot \nabla)\theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}) \leq C (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^{m+1}} + \|\theta\|_{H^m}).$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} (\|(v \cdot \nabla)v(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^m} + \|(v \cdot \nabla)\theta(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^{m-2}}) d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{-1}.$$

Collecting the above estimates, we obtain (6.29), which implies (6.28). This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 6.6 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha = 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > 3 + \frac{d}{2}$ and (v, θ) be a smooth global solution to (1.2) with (1.3). Suppose that (6.1) be satisfied. Then, for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\Lambda^{-\epsilon} v(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{m}{4})} \quad (6.30)$$

and

$$\|\Lambda^{-\epsilon} v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{m+1}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (6.31)$$

Proof Since (6.8) implies $\|\Lambda^{-\epsilon} v_d(t)\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{-(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{m}{4})}$, it suffices to show that

$$\|\Lambda^{-\epsilon} v_h(t)\|_{L^2} \leq Ct^{-(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{m}{4})}.$$

Applying Duhamel's principle to (3.1) with (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta|^{-2\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_c v_h|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq e^{-t} \|v_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^2} d\tau + \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \left| \int_0^t e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} \frac{|\tilde{n}|}{|\eta|^{1+\epsilon}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)| d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. We clearly have by (6.5) and (6.22) that

$$\int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)} \|v\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{H^m}^2 d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{-(2+\frac{m}{2})}.$$

On the other hand, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} \frac{|\tilde{n}|}{|\eta|^{1+\epsilon}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)| d\tau \right|^2 \\ & \leq C \left| e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)| d\tau \right|^2 + C \left| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{-(1-\frac{\epsilon}{4})} \frac{|\tilde{n}|}{|\eta|^{3+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)| d\tau \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \left| \int_0^t e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} \frac{|\tilde{n}|}{|\eta|^{1+\epsilon}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)| \, d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq C \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \left| e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)| \, d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + C \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \left| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{-(1-\frac{\epsilon}{4})} \frac{|\tilde{n}|}{|\eta|^{3+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)| \, d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \leq C t e^{-\frac{t}{2}} + C \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{-(1-\frac{\epsilon}{4})} \|R_h \Lambda^{-(2+\frac{\epsilon}{2})} \theta\|_{L^2} \, d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

We can infer from (6.5) and (6.8) that

$$\|R_h \Lambda^{-(2+\frac{\epsilon}{2})} \theta\|_{L^2} \leq \|R_h^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{4}} \Lambda^{-(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{4})} \theta\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\epsilon}{4}+\frac{m}{2})}.$$

Since this implies

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-\tau)^{-(1-\frac{\epsilon}{4})} \|R_h \Lambda^{-(2+\frac{\epsilon}{2})} \theta\|_{L^2} \, d\tau \leq C(1+t)^{-(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{m}{2})},$$

combining the above estimates gives (6.30).

Using (2.3) and (2.1), we can infer from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} |\eta|^{2(m+1-\epsilon)} |\mathcal{F} v|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \leq \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} e^{-|\eta|^2 t} |\eta|^{2(m+1-\epsilon)} |\mathcal{F} v_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \left| \int_0^t e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\eta|^{m+1-\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}(v \cdot \nabla) v| \, d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & \quad + \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \left| \int_0^t e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\tilde{n}| |\eta|^{m-\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)| \, d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. We can see

$$\left(\sum_{\eta \in I} e^{-|\eta|^2 t} |\eta|^{2(m+1-\epsilon)} |\mathcal{F} v_0|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C t^{-\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \|v_0\|_{H^m}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \left| \int_0^t e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\eta|^{m+1-\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}(v \cdot \nabla)v| \, d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{2-\epsilon}{2}} e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}} \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \, d\tau \\ & \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{2-\epsilon}{2}} e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^m}^2 \, d\tau \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \left| \int_0^t e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\tilde{n}| |\eta|^{m-\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)| \, d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{2-\epsilon}{2}} e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{2}} \|R_h \theta\|_{\dot{H}^{m-1}} \, d\tau,$$

we have by (6.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \left| \int_0^t e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\eta|^{m+1-\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}(v \cdot \nabla)v| \, d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \left(\sum_{\eta \in I} \left| \int_0^t e^{-|\eta|^2(t-\tau)} |\tilde{n}| |\eta|^{m-\epsilon} |\mathcal{F}_b \theta(\eta)| \, d\tau \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above estimates gives (6.31). This completes the proof. $\square$

7 Sharpness of decay rates

In this section, we prove that the decay rates in Theorem 1.1 and 1.4 are sharp in the following sense. We recall the linearized system of (3.4):

$$\partial_t \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u} + M \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad M := \begin{pmatrix} |\eta|^{2\alpha} - \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} & \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.1)$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (v_d, \theta)^T$. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the linear operator are previously given by

$$\lambda_{\pm}(\eta) = \frac{|\eta|^{2\alpha} \pm \sqrt{|\eta|^{4\alpha} - 4|\tilde{n}|^2/|\eta|^2}}{2}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{a}_{\pm}(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{\pm} \\ -\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and it holds

$$\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u} = \sum_{j=\pm} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{a}_j \rangle \mathbf{b}_j = \sum_{j=\pm} e^{-\lambda_j t} \langle \mathcal{F} \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_j \rangle \mathbf{b}_j, \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_+ \\ \mathbf{b}_- \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{|\eta|^2}{|\tilde{n}|^2} \lambda_- \\ -1 & -\frac{|\eta|^2}{|\tilde{n}|^2} \lambda_+ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that If we consider $\mathbf{u}_0$ such that $\mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0 = 0$ for $\eta \notin D_3$, then $|\mathbf{a}_\pm| |\mathbf{b}_\pm| \leq C$ for some $C > 0$ not depending on η . Now, we are ready to provide the sharpness of the decay rates.

Proposition 7.1 *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in X^m(\Omega)$ such that the solution $\mathbf{u} = (v_d, \theta)$ to (7.1) satisfies*

$$\|\bar{\theta}(t)\|_{H^s} \geq C t^{-\frac{m-s}{2(1+\alpha)}-\epsilon} \quad (7.3)$$

and

$$\|v_d(t)\|_{H^s} \geq C t^{-1-\frac{m-s}{2(1+\alpha)}-\epsilon} \quad (7.4)$$

for any $s \in [0, m]$ and $t \geq C$.

Proof Let $\epsilon > 0$ and

$$\mathbf{u}_0 := (0, \sum_{\eta \in J} \mathcal{F}_b \theta_0(\eta) \mathcal{B}_\eta(x))^T,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_b \theta_0(\eta) := |q|^{-(m+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)}$ for $\eta \in D_3 \cap \{|n| = 1\}$, $\mathcal{F}_b \theta_0(\eta) = 0$ for $\eta \notin D_3 \cap \{|n| = 1\}$. For simplicity, we use the notation $A := D_3 \cap \{|n| = 1\}$. We show (7.3) first. From (7.2), we can see

$$\|\bar{\theta}\|_{H^s} \geq \|e^{-\lambda_- t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle\|_{H^s} - \|e^{-\lambda_+ t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_+, e_2 \rangle\|_{H^s}.$$

Due to $|e^{-\lambda_+ t}| \leq e^{-|\eta|^{2\alpha} \frac{t}{2}} \leq e^{-\frac{t}{2}}$ it is clear that

$$\|e^{-\lambda_+ t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_+ \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_+, e_2 \rangle\|_{H^s} \leq C e^{-\frac{t}{2}}. \quad (7.5)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|e^{-\lambda_- t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle| = C |e^{-\lambda_- t} \mathcal{F}_b \theta| \geq C e^{-\frac{2|\bar{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} t} |q|^{-(m+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-\lambda_- t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle\|_{H^s} &\geq C \left(\sum_{\eta \in A} e^{-\frac{4|\bar{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} t} |q|^{-(2(m-s)+1+2\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq C \left(\sum_{|q| \geq C_1} e^{-\frac{Ct}{q^{2(1+\alpha)}}} |q|^{-(2(m-s)+1+2\epsilon)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq C t^{-\frac{m-s}{2(1+\alpha)}-\frac{1+2\epsilon}{4(1+\alpha)}} \left(\sum_{|q| \geq C_1} e^{-\frac{Ct}{q^{2(1+\alpha)}}} \left(\frac{Ct}{|q|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \right)^{\frac{m-s}{1+\alpha}+\frac{1+2\epsilon}{2(1+\alpha)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_1 > 0$. Let

$$f(\tau) := e^{-\frac{Ct}{|\tau|^{2(1+\alpha)}}} \left(\frac{Ct}{|\tau|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \right)^{\frac{m-s}{1+\alpha}+\frac{1+2\epsilon}{2(1+\alpha)}}.$$

Then, we can verify that there exists $C_2 \geq C_1$ not depending on t such that $f(\tau)$ is decreasing on the interval $(C_2 t^{\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)}}, \infty)$. Thus, it holds for $t \geq 1$ that

$$\sum_{|q| \geq C_1} e^{-\frac{Ct}{|q|^{2(1+\alpha)}}} \left(\frac{Ct}{|q|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \right)^{\frac{m-s}{1+\alpha}+\frac{1+2\epsilon}{2(1+\alpha)}} \geq \int_{|\tau| \geq C_2 t^{\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)}}} f(\tau) d\tau.$$

By the change of variable $\tilde{\tau} = \tau t^{-\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)}}$, we can see

$$\int_{|\tau| \geq C_2 t^{\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)}}} f(\tau) d\tau = t^{\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)}} \int_{|\tilde{\tau}| \geq C_2} e^{-\frac{1}{|\tilde{\tau}|^{2(1+\alpha)}}} |\tilde{\tau}|^{-(2(m-s)+1+2\epsilon)} d\tilde{\tau} \geq C$$

for some $C > 0$. Combining the above yields

$$\|e^{-\lambda-t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_2 \rangle\|_{H^s} \geq C t^{-\frac{m-s+\epsilon}{2(1+\alpha)}}.$$

Therefore, (7.3) is obtained.

The proof of (7.4) is similar with the previous one. By (7.2) and (7.5), it holds

$$\|v_d\|_{H^s} \geq \|e^{-\lambda-t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_1 \rangle\|_{H^s} - C e^{-\frac{t}{2}}.$$

Note that

$$|e^{-\lambda-t} \langle \mathcal{F}_b \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{a}_- \rangle \langle \mathbf{b}_-, e_1 \rangle| = \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} |e^{-\lambda-t} \mathcal{F}_b \theta| \geq \frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} e^{-\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \frac{t}{2}} |q|^{-(m+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)}.$$

Using that $\frac{|\tilde{n}|^2}{|\eta|^{2(1+\alpha)}} \geq \frac{C}{|q|^{2(1+\alpha)}}$ for all $\eta \in A$, repeating the above procedures, we obtain (7.4). This completes the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgements J. Jang's research is supported in part by the NSF DMS-grant 2009458. J. Kim is supported by a KIAS Individual Grant (MG086501) at Korea Institute for Advanced Study.

Data Availability Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Competing Interests The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

References

- Abidi, H., Hmidi, T.: On the global well-posedness for Boussinesq system. *J. Differ. Equ.* **233**(1), 199–220 (2007)
- Bianchini, R., Natalini, R.: Asymptotic behavior of 2D stably stratified fluids with a damping term in the velocity equation. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **27**(43), 16 (2021)
- Bianchini, R., Crin-Barat, T., Paicu, M.: Relaxation approximation and asymptotic stability of stratified solutions to the IPM equation. [arXiv:2210.02118](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.02118)
- Cao, C., Jiahong, W.: Global regularity for the two-dimensional anisotropic Boussinesq equations with vertical dissipation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **208**(3), 985–1004 (2013)
- Castro, Á., Córdoba, D., Lear, D.: On the asymptotic stability of stratified solutions for the 2D Boussinesq equations with a velocity damping term. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **29**(7), 1227–1277 (2019)
- Chae, D.: Global regularity for the 2D Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity terms. *Adv. Math.* **203**(2), 497–513 (2006)
- Chae, D., Nam, H.-S.: Local existence and blow-up criterion for the Boussinesq equations. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A* **127**(5), 935–946 (1997)
- Chae, D., Kim, S.-K., Nam, H.-S.: Local existence and blow-up criterion of Hölder continuous solutions of the Boussinesq equations. *Nagoya Math. J.* **155**, 55–80 (1999)
- Chen, J., Hou, T.Y.: Stable nearly self-similar blowup of the 2D Boussinesq and 3D Euler equations with smooth data. [arXiv:2210.07191](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.07191)
- Constantin, P., Doering, C.R.: Heat transfer in convective turbulence. *Nonlinearity* **9**(4), 1049–1060 (1996)
- Danchin, R.: Remarks on the lifespan of the solutions to some models of incompressible fluid mechanics. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **141**(6), 1979–1993 (2013)

12. Desjardins, B., Lannes, D., Saut, J.-C.: Normal mode decomposition and dispersive and nonlinear mixing in stratified fluids. *Water Waves* **3**(1), 153–192 (2021)
13. Doering, C.R., Wu, J., Zhao, K., Zheng, X.: Long time behavior of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations without buoyancy diffusion. *Phys. D* **376**(377), 144–159 (2018)
14. Dong, L.: On stability of Boussinesq equations without thermal conduction. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **72**, 128 (2021)
15. Dong, L.: On Asymptotic Stability of the 3D Boussinesq equations with a velocity damping term. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **24**(23), 25 (2022)
16. Dong, L., Sun, Y.: Asymptotic stability of the 2D Boussinesq equations without thermal conduction. *J. Differ. Equ.* **337**, 507–540 (2022)
17. Dong, L., Sun, Y.: On asymptotic stability of the 3D Boussinesq equations without thermal conduction. [arXiv:2107.10082](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.10082)
18. Weinan, E., Shu, C.-W.: Small-scale structures in Boussinesq convection. *Phys. Fluids* **6**(1), 49–58 (1994)
19. Elgindi, T.M.: On the asymptotic stability of stationary solutions of the inviscid incompressible porous medium equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **225**(2), 573–599 (2017)
20. Elgindi, T.M., Widmayer, K.: Sharp decay estimates for an anisotropic linear semigroup and applications to the surface quasi-geostrophic and inviscid Boussinesq systems. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **47**(6), 4672–4684 (2015)
21. Ferrari, A.B.: On the blow-up of solutions of the 3-D Euler equations in a bounded domain. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **155**(2), 277–294 (1993)
22. Getling, A. V.: Rayleigh–Bénard convection, Structures and dynamics. Advanced Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, 11. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge (1998)
23. Hou, T.Y., Li, C.: Global well-posedness of the viscous Boussinesq equations. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **12**(1), 1–12 (2005)
24. Hu, Weiwei, Kukavica, I., Ziane, M.: On the regularity for the Boussinesq equations in a bounded domain. *J. Math. Phys.* **54**(8), 081507 (2013)
25. Jo, M.J., Kim, J.: Quantitative asymptotic stability of the quasi-linearly stratified densities in the IPM equation on the three fundamental domains. [arXiv:2210.11437](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.11437)
26. Jo, M.J., Kim, J., Lee, J.: The quasi-geostrophic approximation for the rotating stratified Boussinesq equations. [arXiv:2209.02634](https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.02634)
27. Ning, J.: Global regularity and long-time behavior of the solutions to the 2D Boussinesq equations without diffusivity in a bounded domain. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **19**(1), 105–121 (2017)
28. Kim, J., Lee, J.: Stratified Boussinesq equations with a velocity damping term. *Nonlinearity* **35**(6), 3059–3094 (2022)
29. Kiselev, A., Park, J., Yao, Y.: Small scale formation for the 2D Boussinesq equation. [arXiv:2211.05070](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.05070)
30. Kukavica, I., Wang, W.: Long time behavior of solutions to the 2D Boussinesq equations with zero diffusivity. *J. Dynam. Differ. Equ.* **32**(4), 2061–2077 (2020)
31. Lai, M.-J., Pan, R., Zhao, K.: Initial boundary value problem for two-dimensional viscous Boussinesq equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **199**(3), 739–760 (2011)
32. Lai, S., Jiahong, W., Zhong, Y.: Stability and large-time behavior of the 2D Boussinesq equations with partial dissipation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **271**, 764–796 (2021)
33. Larios, A., Lunasin, E., Titi, E.S.: Global well-posedness for the 2D Boussinesq system with anisotropic viscosity and without heat diffusion. *J. Differ. Equ.* **255**(9), 2636–2654 (2013)
34. Lee, S., Takada, R.: Dispersive estimates for the stably stratified Boussinesq equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **66**(6), 2037–2070 (2017)
35. Liu, X., Wang, M., Zhang, Z.: Local well-posedness and blowup criterion of the Boussinesq equations in critical Besov spaces. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **12**(2), 280–292 (2010)
36. Majda, A.: Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 9. New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences (2003)
37. Majda, A.J., Bertozzi, A.L.: Vorticity and Incompressible Flow. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, 27. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002)
38. Ma, T., Wang, S.: Dynamic bifurcation and stability in the Rayleigh–Bénard convection. *Commun. Math. Sci.* **2**(2), 159–183 (2004)
39. Pedlosky, J.: Geophysical Fluid Dynamics. Springer-Verlag, New York (1987)
40. Tao, L., Jiahong, W., Zhao, K., Zheng, X.: Stability near hydrostatic equilibrium to the 2D Boussinesq equations without thermal diffusion. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **237**(2), 585–630 (2020)
41. Takada, R.: Strongly stratified limit for the 3D inviscid Boussinesq equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **232**(3), 1475–1503 (2019)

42. Takada, R.: Long time solutions for the 2D inviscid Boussinesq equations with strong stratification. *Manuscripta Math.* **164**(1–2), 223–250 (2021)
43. Wan, R.: Global well-posedness for the 2D Boussinesq equations with a velocity damping term. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **39**(5), 2709–2730 (2019)
44. Zhai, Xiaoping: On some large solutions to the damped Boussinesq system. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **111**, Paper No. 106621, MR4126358 (2021)
45. Widmayer, K.: Convergence to stratified flow for an inviscid 3D Boussinesq system. *Commun. Math. Sci.* **16**(6), 1713–1728 (2018)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.