





## Complete Genome Sequence of a Non-Carbapenemase-Producing Carbapenem-Resistant *Providencia rettgeri* Strain Isolated from a Clinical Urine Sample in Arkansas

Zulema Udaondo,<sup>a</sup> Kaleb Z. Abram,<sup>a</sup> Trent Gulley,<sup>b</sup> Kelley Garner,<sup>b</sup> Jennifer Shray,<sup>c</sup> Mary Whisnant,<sup>c</sup> Ashley Harris-Spotts,<sup>c</sup> Maui Crawford,<sup>c</sup> Atul Kothari,<sup>a</sup> Thidathip Wongsurawat,<sup>a</sup> Sun-Hee Moon,<sup>d</sup> En Huang,<sup>d</sup> © Se-Ran Jun<sup>a</sup>

**ABSTRACT** Here, we report the complete genome sequence of *Providencia rettgeri* isolate PROV\_UAMS\_01, which was recovered in 2021 from a urine sample from a hospitalized patient in Arkansas, USA. The genome sequence of *P. rettgeri* isolate PROV\_UAMS\_01 comprises a single chromosomal replicon with a G+C content of 40.51% and a total of 3,887 genes.

ere, we present the complete genome sequence of a *Providencia rettgeri* clinical isolate with a carbapenem-resistant antibiogram that is also resistant to ampicillin, aztreonam, cefazolin, nitrofurantoin, piperacillin and tazobactam, and tetracycline. The isolate was collected from a urine sample from a hospitalized patient in Faulkner County, AK. Culturing of the urine sample on a blood agar plate yielded *P. rettgeri*, which was confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (Bruker Biotyper; Bruker Daltonics, USA). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using the Vitek 2 system (bioMérieux) with the AST-GN card. Detection of carbapenemase production was negative based on the modified carbapenem inactivation method (mCIM) (1), following the CLSI guidelines (2). Culture samples of this strain were submitted to the Arkansas Department of Health directly. Therefore, there was no direct contact with the study participant. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) classified this study as exempt (IRB No. 261022).

Genomic DNA was extracted, purified, and sequenced as described in references 3 and 4. Briefly, genomic DNA was extracted using pure colonies of *P. rettgeri* subcultured for 24 h on blood agar plates. The colonies were resuspended into a DNA/RNA Shield collection and lysis tube. Then, genomic DNA was extracted using the Quick-DNA fungal/bacterial kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) and further purified using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). The DNA concentration was quantified and quality controlled using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer, the Agilent 2200 TapeStation system, and a Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The purified DNA was aliquoted into two tubes for MinION and Illumina sequencing.

An Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) sequencing library was prepared using a PCR-free method of multiplexing samples with the rapid barcoding kit (SQK-RAD004); the library was sequenced using a FLO-MIN106 (R9.4) flow cell for 48 h. The short-read sequencing library was sequenced using the DNBSEQ-G400 platform at BGI Genomics (San Jose, CA), where they followed their standard protocol to construct DNA libraries of 2  $\times$  150-bp paired-end reads. Reads with adapter contamination and low-quality reads with a base quality score of <Q20 were filtered out using SOAPnuke software (5).

Adapter sequences were trimmed from the short paired-end reads using fastp v0.23.2 (6). The quality of the pre- and postprocessed reads was assessed using the FastQC tool v0.11.9 (7). Long-read base calling and demultiplexing were conducted using the model

**Editor** Julie C. Dunning Hotopp, University of Maryland School of Medicine

Copyright © 2022 Udaondo et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

Address correspondence to Se-Ran Jun, sjun@uams.edu.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 9 May 2022 Accepted 11 July 2022 Published 27 July 2022

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas, USA

bHealthcare-Associated Infection Program, Arkansas Department of Health, Little Rock, Arkansas, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Arkansas Public Health Laboratory, Arkansas Department of Health, Little Rock, Arkansas, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas, USA

 TABLE 1 Sequencing summary of Providencia rettgeri isolate PROV\_UAMS\_01

Characteristic	Data
Genome	
Yr of isolation	2021
Source	Arkansas Department of Health
Illumina sequencing	
No. of reads	3,521,034
Size (bp)	528,155,100
Avg coverage ( $\times$ )	150
SRA accession no.	SRR17269190
ONT sequencing	
No. of reads	598,721.0
Size (bp)	2,144,262,328.0
Read N50 (bp)	5,805.0
Median read length (bp)	2,464.0
Avg coverage ( $\times$ )	503
SRA accession no.	SRR17269189
Assembly	
Assembler	Unicycler v0.4.8
No. of scaffolds	1
Total genome size (bp)	4,266,731
Chromosome size (bp)	4,266,731
G+C content (%)	40.51
Total no. of genes	3,887
Total no. of CDSs <sup>a</sup>	3,783
No. of genes (coding)	3,754
No. of CDSs (with protein)	3,754
No. of genes (RNA)	104
No. of rRNAs (5S, 16S, 23S)	8, 7, 7
No. of complete rRNAs (5S, 16S, 23S)	8, 7, 7
No. of tRNAs	78
No. of ncRNAs <sup>b</sup>	4
Total no. of pseudogenes	29
No. of confirmed CRISPRs	0
No. of plasmids	0
GenBank accession no.	CP090005.1
BioSample accession no.	SAMN24180573
BioProject accession no.	PRJNA789997

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> CDSs, coding sequences.

dna\_r9.4.1\_450bps in Guppy v4.5.4 (8), with min\_qscore set to 9. Adapters were trimmed from the Nanopore long reads using Porechop v0.2.4 (https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop). Nanopore read quality control was performed using NanoFilt v2.3 and NanoStat v1.5.0 from NanoPack (9). A *de novo* hybrid assembly was built using Unicycler v0.4.8 (10), with the ONT long reads and paired-end short reads as the input, which resulted in one circular chromosome without any identified plasmids. The absence of plasmid replicons was further confirmed using the KMA algorithm in PlasmidFinder v2.0.1 against the *Enterobacterales* plasmid database v2021-11-29 (11). Default parameters were used for all software unless otherwise specified.

The complete circular chromosome was rotated with Unicycler, using the *dnaA* gene as the starting gene, and annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v5.3 (12). A BLAST alignment of the seven complete 16S rRNA genes (1,528 bp) identified in isolate PROV\_UAMS\_01 against the NCBI nonredundant/nucleotide (nr/nt) database showed 99.93 to 100% sequence similarity with the 16S rRNA genes from other *P. rettgeri* strains. Assignment of PROV\_UAMS\_01 to the species *P. rettgeri* was further confirmed as in reference 13 using Mash v2.3 (14) to calculate the genomic distance of isolate PROV\_UAMS\_01 against a local database of all *Providencia* genomes available in GenBank (220 genomes as of 13 March 2021). A summary of the whole-genome sequencing data and the main genomic features of isolate PROV\_UAMS\_01 are listed in Table 1.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>it b}$  ncRNAs, non-coding RNAs.

**Data availability.** The complete genome assembly of *P. rettgeri* isolate PROV\_UAMS\_01 was deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession number CP090005.1. The long and short reads are available in the NCBI SRA database under the accession numbers SRR17269189 and SRR17269190, respectively.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The project described was supported by the Translational Research Institute (grant UL1 TR003107) through the NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under award number OIA-1946391, and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID) under Award No. R21AI169138.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation, the NIH, or the Arkansas Department of Health.

## REFERENCES

- Pierce VM, Simner PJ, Lonsway DR, Roe-Carpenter DE, Johnson JK, Brasso WB, Bobenchik AM, Lockett ZC, Charnot-Katsikas A, Ferraro MJ, Thomson RB, Jenkins SG, Limbago BM, Das S. 2017. Modified carbapenem inactivation method for phenotypic detection of carbapenemase production among Enterobacteriaceae. J Clin Microbiol 55:2321–2333. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00193-17.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). 2021. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 30th ed. CLSI supplement M100-S28. CLSI, Wayne, PA.
- Udaondo Z, Jenjaroenpun P, Wongsurawat T, Meyers E, Anderson C, Lopez J, Mohan M, Tytarenko R, Walker B, Ussery D, Kothari A, Jun S-R. 2020. Two cases of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium bacteremia with development of daptomycin-resistant phenotype and its detection using Oxford Nanopore sequencing. Open Forum Infect Dis 7:ofaa180. https://doi .org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa180.
- Udaondo Z, Wongsurawat T, Jenjaroenpun P, Anderson C, Lopez J, Mohan M, Tytarenko R, Walker B, Nookaew I, Ussery D, Kothari A, Jun S-R. 2019. Draft genome sequences of 48 vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium strains isolated from inpatients with bacteremia and urinary tract infection. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e00222-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00222-19.
- Chen Y, Chen Y, Shi C, Huang Z, Zhang Y, Li S, Li Y, Ye J, Yu C, Li Z, Zhang X, Wang J, Yang H, Fang L, Chen Q. 2018. SOAPnuke: a MapReduce acceleration-supported software for integrated quality control and preprocessing of high-throughput sequencing data. Gigascience 7:1–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/gix120.
- Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 34:i884–i890. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ bty560.

- Andrews S. 2010. FASTQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
- Wick RR, Judd LM, Holt KE. 2019. Performance of neural network basecalling tools for Oxford Nanopore sequencing. Genome Biol 20:129. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1727-y.
- De Coster W, D'Hert S, Schultz DT, Cruts M, Van Broeckhoven C. 2018. Nano-Pack: visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. Bioinformatics 3434:2666–2669. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty149.
- Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol 13:e1005595. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595.
- 11. Carattoli A, Hasman H. 2020. PlasmidFinder and in silico pMLST: identification and typing of plasmid replicons in whole-genome sequencing (WGS). Methods Mol Biol 2075:285–294. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9877-7\_20.
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569.
- Abram K, Udaondo Z, Bleker C, Wanchai V, Wassenaar TM, Robeson MS, Jr, Ussery DW. 2021. Mash-based analyses of Escherichia coli genomes reveal 14 distinct phylogroups. Commun Biol 4:117. https://doi.org/10 .1038/s42003-020-01626-5.
- Ondov BD, Treangen TJ, Melsted P, Mallonee AB, Bergman NH, Koren S, Phillippy AM. 2016. Mash: fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash. Genome Biol 17:132. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016 -0997-x.