

ON BLOWUP OF SECANT VARIETIES OF CURVES

LAWRENCE EIN

Department of Mathematics, University Illinois at Chicago
851 South Morgan St., Chicago, IL 60607, USA

WENBO NIU

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Arkansas
Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA

JINHYUNG PARK

Department of Mathematics, Sogang University
35 Beakbeom-ro, Mapo-gu, Seoul 04107, Republic of Korea

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show that for a nonsingular projective curve and a positive integer k , the k -th secant bundle is the blowup of the k -th secant variety along the $(k - 1)$ -th secant variety. This answers a question raised in the recent paper of the authors on secant varieties of curves.

1. Introduction. Throughout the paper, we work over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{k}$ of characteristic zero. Let C be a nonsingular projective curve of genus $g \geq 0$, and L be a very ample line bundle on C . The complete linear system $|L|$ embeds C into a projective space $\mathbb{P}^r := \mathbb{P}(H^0(C, L))$. For an integer $k \geq 0$, the k -th secant variety

$$\Sigma_k = \Sigma_k(C, L) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$$

of C in $\mathbb{P}^r$ is the Zariski closure of the union of $(k + 1)$ -secant k -planes to C .

Assume that $\deg L \geq 2g + 2k + 1$. Then the k -th secant variety Σ_k can be defined by using the secant sheaf $E_{k+1,L}$ and the secant bundle $B^k(L)$ as follows. Denote by C_m the m -th symmetric product of C . Let

$$\sigma_{k+1}: C_k \times C \longrightarrow C_{k+1}$$

be the morphism sending (ξ, x) to $\xi + x$, and $p: C_k \times C \rightarrow C$ the projection to C . The secant sheaf $E_{k+1,L}$ on C_{k+1} associated to L is defined by

$$E_{k+1,L} := \sigma_{k+1,*} p^* L,$$

which is a locally free sheaf of rank $k + 1$. Notice that the fiber of $E_{k+1,L}$ over $\xi \in C_{k+1}$ can be identified with $H^0(\xi, L|_\xi)$. The secant bundle of k -planes over C_{k+1} is

$$B^k(L) := \mathbb{P}(E_{k+1,L})$$

equipped with the natural projection $\pi_k: B^k(L) \rightarrow C_{k+1}$. We say that a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on a variety X separates $m + 1$ points if the natural restriction map $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(\xi, \mathcal{L}|_\xi)$ is surjective for any effective zero-cycle $\xi \subseteq X$ with $\text{length}(\xi) = m + 1$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14N07, 14C05.

Key words and phrases. Secant variety, secant sheaf, secant bundle.

J. Park was partially supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (NRF-2021R1C1C1005479).

Notice that a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is globally generated if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ separates 1 point, and $\mathcal{L}$ is very ample if and only if $\mathcal{L}$ separates 2 points. Since $\deg L \geq 2g + k$, it follows from Riemann–Roch that L separates $k + 1$ points. Then the tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}_{B^k(L)}(1)$ is globally generated. We have natural identifications

$$H^0(B^k(L), \mathcal{O}_{B^k(L)}(1)) = H^0(C_{k+1}, E_{k+1,}) = H^0(C, L),$$

and therefore, the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{B^k(L)}(1)|$ induces a morphism

$$\beta_k: B^k(L) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r = \mathbb{P}(H^0(C, L)).$$

The k -th secant variety $\Sigma_k = \Sigma_k(C, L)$ of C in $\mathbb{P}^r$ can be defined to be the image $\beta_k(B^k(L))$. Bertram proved that $\beta_k: B^k(L) \rightarrow \Sigma_k$ is a resolution of singularities (see [1, Section 1]).

It is clear that there are natural inclusions

$$C = \Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \Sigma_{k-1} \subseteq \Sigma_k \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r.$$

The preimage of Σ_{k-1} under the morphism β_k is actually a divisor on $B^k(L)$. Thus there exists a natural morphism from $B^k(L)$ to the blowup of Σ_k along Σ_{k-1} . Vermeire proved that $B^1(L)$ is indeed the blowup of Σ_1 along $\Sigma_0 = C$ ([3, Theorem 3.9]). In the recent work [2], we showed that $B^k(L)$ is the normalization of the blowup of Σ_k along Σ_{k-1} ([2, Proposition 5.13]), and raised the problem asking whether $B^k(L)$ is indeed the blowup itself ([2, Problem 6.1]). The purpose of this paper is to give an affirmative answer to this problem by proving the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let C be a nonsingular projective curve of genus g , and L be a line bundle on C . If $\deg L \geq 2g + 2k + 1$ for an integer $k \geq 1$, then the morphism $\beta_k: B^k(L) \rightarrow \Sigma_k(C, L)$ is the blowup of $\Sigma_k(C, L)$ along $\Sigma_{k-1}(C, L)$.*

To prove the theorem, we utilize several line bundles defined on symmetric products of the curve. Let us recall the definitions here and refer the reader to [2] for further details. Let

$$C^{k+1} = \underbrace{C \times \cdots \times C}_{k+1 \text{ times}}$$

be the $(k + 1)$ -fold ordinary product of the curve C , and $p_i: C^{k+1} \rightarrow C$ be the projection to the i -th component. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{k+1}$ acts on $p_1^*L \otimes \cdots \otimes p_{k+1}^*L$ in a natural way: a permutation $\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ sends a local section $s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{k+1}$ to $s_{\mu(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{\mu(k+1)}$. Then $p_1^*L \otimes \cdots \otimes p_{k+1}^*L$ is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_{k+1}$, so it descends to a line bundle $T_{k+1}(L)$ on the symmetric product C_{k+1} via the quotient map $q: C^{k+1} \rightarrow C_{k+1}$. We have $q^*T_{k+1}(L) = p_1^*L \otimes \cdots \otimes p_{k+1}^*L$. Define a divisor δ_{k+1} on C_{k+1} such that the associated line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{C_{k+1}}(\delta_{k+1}) = \det(\sigma_{k+1,*}(\mathcal{O}_{C_k \times C}))^*$. Let

$$A_{k+1,L} := T_{k+1}(L)(-2\delta_{k+1})$$

be a line bundle on C_{k+1} . When $k = 0$, we use the convention that $T_1(L) = E_{1,L} = L$ and $\delta_1 = 0$.

The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to study the positivity of the line bundle $A_{k+1,L}$. Some partial results and their geometric consequences have been discussed in [2, Lemma 5.12 and Proposition 5.13]. Along this direction, we establish the following proposition to give a full picture in a general result describing the positivity of the line bundle $A_{k+1,L}$. This may be of independent interest.

Proposition 1.2. *Let C be a nonsingular projective curve of genus g , and L be a line bundle on C . If $\deg L \geq 2g + 2k + \ell$ for integers $k, \ell \geq 0$, then the line bundle $A_{k+1,L}$ on C_{k+1} separates $\ell + 1$ points.*

In particular, if $\deg L \geq 2g + 2k$, then $A_{k+1,L}$ is globally generated, and if $\deg L \geq 2g + 2k + 1$, then $A_{k+1,L}$ is very ample.

2. Proof of the main theorem. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. We begin with showing Proposition 1.2.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. We proceed by induction on k and ℓ . If $k = 0$, then $A_{1,L} = L$ and $\deg L \geq 2g + \ell$. It immediately follows from Riemann–Roch that L separates $\ell + 1$ points. If $\ell = 0$, then $\deg L \geq 2g + 2k$. By [2, Lemma 5.12], $A_{k+1,L}$ separates 1 point.

Assume that $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 1$. Let z be a length $\ell + 1$ zero-dimensional subscheme of C_{k+1} . We aim to show that the natural restriction map

$$r_{z,k+1,L}: H^0(C_{k+1}, A_{k+1,L}) \longrightarrow H^0(z, A_{k+1,L}|_z)$$

is surjective. We can choose a point $p \in C$ such that X_p contains a point in the support of z , where X_p is the divisor on C_{k+1} defined by the image of the morphism $C_k \rightarrow C_{k+1}$ sending ξ to $\xi + p$. Let $y := z \cap X_p$ be the scheme-theoretic intersection, and $\mathcal{I}_x := (\mathcal{I}_z : \mathcal{I}_{X_p})$, which defines a subscheme x of z in C_{k+1} , where $\mathcal{I}_z$ and $\mathcal{I}_{X_p}$ are ideal sheaves of z and X_p in C_{k+1} , respectively. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_x(-X_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_z & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_z \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_p} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{C_{k+1}}(-X_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{C_{k+1}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X_p} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_x(-X_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_z & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_y \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

where all rows and columns are short exact sequences. By tensoring with $A_{k+1,L}$ and taking the global sections of last two rows, we obtain the commutative diagram with exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(A_{k+1,L}(-X_p)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(A_{k+1,L}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(A_{k+1,L}|_{X_p}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow r_{x,k+1,L(-p)} & & \downarrow r_{z,k+1,L} & & \downarrow r_{y,k+1,L(-2p)} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^0(A_{k+1,L}(-X_p)|_x) & \longrightarrow & H^0(A_{k+1,L}|_z) & \longrightarrow & H^0(A_{k+1,L}|_y) \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

in which we use the fact that $H^1(A_{k+1,L}(-X_p)) = 0$ (see the proof of [2, Lemma 5.12]). Note that $A_{k+1,L}(-X_p) = A_{k+1,L}(-p)$ and $A_{k+1,L}|_{X_p} \cong A_{k,L}(-2p)$, where we identify $X_p = C_k$.

Since $\text{length}(y) \leq \text{length}(z) = \ell + 1$ and $\deg L(-2p) \geq 2g + 2(k - 1) + \ell$, the induction hypothesis on k implies that $r_{y,k,L(-2p)}$ is surjective. On the other hand,

if $x = \emptyset$, which means that z is a subscheme of X_p , then trivially $r_{x,k+1,L(-p)}$ is surjective. Otherwise, suppose that $x \neq \emptyset$. By the choice of X_p , we know that y is not empty, and therefore, we have $\text{length}(x) \leq \text{length}(z) - 1 = \ell$. Now, $\deg L(-p) \geq 2g + 2k + (\ell - 1)$, so the induction hypothesis on ℓ implies that $L(-p)$ separates ℓ points. In particular, $r_{x,k+1,L(-p)}$ is surjective. Hence $r_{z,k+1,L}$ is surjective as desired. $\square$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite surjective morphism between two varieties. If $\varphi^{-1}(q)$ is scheme theoretically a reduced point for each closed point $q \in Y$, then φ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Note that φ is proper, injective, and unramified. Then it is indeed a classical result that φ is an isomorphism. Here we give a short proof for reader's convenience. The problem is local. We may assume that $X = \text{Spec } B$ and $Y = \text{Spec } A$ for some rings A, B . We may regard A as a subring of B . For any $q \in Y$, let $p := \varphi^{-1}(q) \in X$. It is enough to show that the localizations $A' := A_{\mathfrak{m}_q}$ and $B' := B_{\mathfrak{m}_p}$ are isomorphic. Let $\mathfrak{m}'_q, \mathfrak{m}'_p$ be the maximal ideals of the local rings A', B' , respectively. The assumption says that $\mathfrak{m}'_q B' = \mathfrak{m}'_p$. We have

$$B'/A' \otimes_{A'} A'/\mathfrak{m}'_q = B'/(\mathfrak{m}'_q B' + A') = B'/(\mathfrak{m}'_p + A') = 0.$$

By Nakayama lemma, we obtain $B'/A' = 0$. $\square$

We keep using the notations used in the introduction. Recall that C is a non-singular projective curve of genus $g \geq 0$, and L is a very ample line bundle on C . Consider $\xi_k \in C_k$ and $x \in C$, and let $\xi := \xi_k + x \in C_{k+1}$. The divisor ξ_k spans a k -secant $(k-1)$ -plane $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\xi_k, L|_{\xi_k}))$ to C in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(C, L))$, and it is naturally embedded in the $(k+1)$ -secant k -plane $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\xi, L|_{\xi}))$ spanned by ξ . This observation naturally induces a morphism

$$\alpha_{k,1}: B^{k-1}(L) \times C \longrightarrow B^k(L).$$

To see it in details, we refer to [1, p.432, line -5]. We define the *relative secant variety* $Z = Z_{k-1}$ of $(k-1)$ -planes in $B^k(L)$ to be the image of the morphism $\alpha_{k,1}$. The relative secant variety Z is a divisor in the secant bundle $B^k(L)$, and it is the preimage of $(k-1)$ -th secant variety Σ_{k-1} under the morphism β_k . It plays the role of transferring the codimension two situation (Σ_k, Σ_{k-1}) into the codimension one situation $(B^k(L), Z)$. We collect several properties of Z .

Proposition 2.2 ([2, Proposition 3.15, Theorem 5.2, and Proposition 5.13]). *Recall the situation described in the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \hookrightarrow & B^k(L) \xrightarrow{\beta_k} \Sigma_k \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r = \mathbb{P}(H^0(C, L)) \\ & & \downarrow \pi_k \\ & & C_{k+1}. \end{array}$$

Let H be the pull back of a hyperplane divisor of $\mathbb{P}^r$ by β_k , and let $I_{\Sigma_{k-1}|\Sigma_k}$ be the ideal sheaf on Σ_k defining the subvariety Σ_{k-1} . Then one has

1. $\mathcal{O}_{B^k(L)}((k+1)H - Z) = \pi_k^* A_{k+1,L}$.
2. $R^i \beta_{k,*} \mathcal{O}_{B^k(L)}(-Z) = \begin{cases} I_{\Sigma_{k-1}|\Sigma_k} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 0. \end{cases}$
3. $I_{\Sigma_{k-1}|\Sigma_k} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{B^k(L)} = \mathcal{O}_{B^k(L)}(-Z)$.

As a direct consequence of the above proposition, we have an identification

$$H^0(C_{k+1}, A_{k+1,L}) = H^0(\Sigma_k, I_{\Sigma_{k-1}|\Sigma_k}(k+1)).$$

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let

$$b: \tilde{\Sigma}_k := \text{Bl}_{\Sigma_{k-1}} \Sigma_k \longrightarrow \Sigma_k$$

be the blowup of Σ_k along Σ_{k-1} with exceptional divisor E . As $I_{\Sigma_{k-1}|\Sigma_k} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{B^k(L)} = \mathcal{O}_{B^k(L)}(-Z)$ (see Proposition 2.2), there exists a morphism α from $B^k(L)$ to the blowup $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$ fitting into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^k(L) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \tilde{\Sigma}_k \\ & \searrow \beta_k & \downarrow b \\ & & \Sigma_k. \end{array}$$

We shall show that α is an isomorphism.

Write $V := H^0(\Sigma_k, I_{\Sigma_{k-1}|\Sigma_k}(k+1))$. As proved in [2, Theorem 5.2], $I_{\Sigma_{k-1}|\Sigma_k}(k+1)$ is globally generated by V . This particularly implies that on the blowup $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$ one has a surjective morphism $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}_k} \rightarrow b^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_k}(k+1)(-E)$, which induces a morphism

$$\gamma: \tilde{\Sigma}_k \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V).$$

On the other hand, one has an identification $V = H^0(C_{k+1}, A_{k+1,L})$ by Proposition 2.2. Recall from Proposition 1.2 that $A_{k+1,L}$ is very ample. So the complete linear system $|V| = |A_{k+1,L}|$ on C_{k+1} induces an embedding

$$\psi: C_{k+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V).$$

Also note that $\alpha^*(b^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_k}(k+1)(-E)) = \beta_k^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_k}(k+1)(-Z) = \pi_k^* A_{k+1,L}$ by Proposition 2.2. Hence we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^k(L) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \tilde{\Sigma}_k \\ \pi_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ C_{k+1} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{P}(V). \end{array}$$

Take an arbitrary closed point $x \in \tilde{\Sigma}_k$, and consider its image $x' := b(x)$ on Σ_k . There is a nonnegative integer $m \leq k$ such that $x' \in \Sigma_m \setminus \Sigma_{m-1} \subseteq \Sigma_k$. In addition, the point x' uniquely determines a degree $m+1$ divisor $\xi_{m+1,x'}$ on C in such a way that $\xi_{m+1,x'} = \Lambda \cap C$, where Λ is a unique $(m+1)$ -secant m -plane to C with $x' \in \Lambda$ (see [2, Definition 3.12]). By [2, Proposition 3.13], $\beta_k^{-1}(x') \cong C_{k-m}$ and $\pi_k(\beta_k^{-1}(x')) = \xi_{m+1,x'} + C_{k-m} \subseteq C_{k+1}$. Consider also $x'' := \gamma(x)$ which lies in the image of ψ . As ψ is an embedding, we may think x'' as a point of C_{k+1} . Now, through forming fiber products, we see scheme-theoretically

$$\alpha^{-1}(x) \subseteq \pi_k^{-1}(x'') \cap \beta_k^{-1}(x').$$

However, the restriction of the morphism π_k on $\beta_k^{-1}(x')$ gives an embedding of C_{k-m} into C_{k+1} . This suggests that $\pi_k^{-1}(x'') \cap \beta_k^{-1}(x')$ is indeed a single reduced point, and so is $\alpha^{-1}(x)$. Finally by Lemma 2.1, α is an isomorphism as desired. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bertram, Moduli of rank-2 vector bundles, theta divisors, and the geometry of curves in projective space, *J. Differential Geom.*, **35**, (1992), 429–469.
- [2] L. Ein, W. Niu and J. Park, [Singularities and syzygies of secant varieties of nonsingular projective curves](#), *Invent. Math.*, **222** (2020), 615–665.
- [3] P. Vermeire, [Some results on secant varieties leading to a geometric flip construction](#), *Compositio Math.*, **125** (2001), 263–282.

Received for publication March 2021; early access July 2021.

E-mail address: ein@uic.edu

E-mail address: wenboniu@uark.edu

E-mail address: parkjh13@sogang.ac.kr