

Quantifying flow velocities in river deltas via remotely sensed suspended sediment concentration

Carmine Donatelli^{1,2,*}, Paola Passalacqua¹, Kyle Wright¹, Gerard Salter³, Michael P. Lamb³,
Daniel Jensen⁴, Sergio Fagherazzi²

Corresponding author: dcarmine@bu.edu

1. Department of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA
2. Department of Earth and Environment, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA
3. Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
4. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

Key Points:

- We propose a novel methodology to compute water fluxes and flow velocities in deltas from maps of suspended sediment concentration.
- Our method quantifies flow velocities based on the distance between streaklines, under the assumption that streaklines act as streamlines.
- Flow velocities obtained via our methodology match well with those derived from a numerical model in a sub-region of the Wax Lake Delta.

Abstract

Deltas are fragile ecosystems threatened by sea-level rise, sediment starvation, and subsidence. Erosional/depositional processes in these systems mainly depend on the sediment supply and the spatial divergence in bed shear stress induced by hydrodynamic forces. Thus, quantifying the spatiotemporal variability of the flow velocity field is essential for forecasting their fate. To calibrate/validate models, field measurements alone are not sufficient because such data only characterize the hydrodynamic conditions in localized areas. Remote sensing has potential to fill this data gap. We developed a methodology to estimate flow velocities from a map of suspended sediment concentration (SSC) measured by the NASA airborne spectrometer AVIRIS-NG within the Wax Lake Delta, Louisiana. We extracted streaklines from remotely sensed SSC estimates, and quantified water fluxes and velocities based on the distance between them. Our study demonstrates that the velocity field in deltas can be estimated by leveraging the synoptic information offered by remote sensing.

Keywords: river deltas, streaklines, remote sensing, water fluxes, flow velocities

49 **Plain Language Summary**

50 River deltas are coastal environments particularly susceptible to sea-level rise. Sediment
51 deposition can counteract land loss from sea-level rise, but we have a poor understanding of
52 where and when land is built through sediment accretion. Improving the accuracy of
53 hydrodynamic models is pivotal to predicting the fate of sediment that rebuilds sinking land, but
54 we lack methods to test these models against observations over large areas. Here, we introduce a
55 new method to measure water discharge, flow direction and speed from remotely sensed data.
56 The method takes advantage of water flow patterns revealed in data collected from a NASA
57 instrument flown on an airplane. Our study shows the utility of remotely sensed data to improve
58 the performance and reliability of models that are needed to predict the fate of river deltas.

59

1. Introduction

River deltas are dynamic coastal environments characterized by distributary channels and deltaic islands. The channel network in these systems is composed by primary and secondary channels, with both playing a fundamental role in carrying water, sediments, and nutrients to deltaic islands [e.g., Syvitski, 2006; Edmonds and Slingerland, 2007; Edmonds et al., 2011; Salter and Lamb, 2022]. The importance of water connectivity, defined by Freeman et al., [2007] as the water-mediated transport of matter, energy and organisms across different elements of a landscape, has been emphasized in several studies [e.g., Tetzlaff et al., 2007; Bracken et al., 2013; Wohl et al., 2018]. For instance, Hiatt & Passalacqua [2015] explored the connectivity through the delta network of channels and islands in the Wax Lake Delta (WLD) in coastal Louisiana, by measuring the hydrological exchange between these two morphological elements. Their field-based observations revealed that roughly 50% of the discharge is distributed from primary channels to islands via secondary channels and overbank flow. Subsequently, this finding was corroborated by other research [e.g., Liang et al., 2015; 2016]. However, assessing how water fluxes vary spatially at larger scales is more difficult to achieve due to lack of synoptic hydrodynamic data. In fact, pursuing field measurements in vast areas of a river delta is time-consuming and costly [e.g., Dong et al., 2020].

Remote sensing can be used to fill this gap [e.g., Alsdorf et al., 2007; Dogliotti et al., 2015; Paris et al., 2016; Altenau et al., 2017], and it could offer a systemwide view of how a certain hydrodynamic quantity changes across the entire landscape. For instance, flow velocities in riverine environments have been estimated from remotely sensed data using Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) [Muste et al., 2008]. The purpose of this study is to evaluate spatial changes in flow velocities within deltas via remote sensing imagery. Specifically, we aim to employ

remotely sensed images of suspended sediment concentration (SSC) to quantify water fluxes and flow acceleration/deceleration within the transition area between laterally confined flow and unchannelized delta front. This transition is particularly important for depositional/erosional patterns that ultimately control the long-term channel extension and delta progradation [e.g., Hiatt & Passalacqua, 2017; Shaw et al., 2018].

Previous studies employed remotely sensed SSC data to study depositional/erosional processes in river deltas [e.g., Jensen et al., 2019]. For instance, Salter et al., [2022] linked changes in SSC along streaklines, i.e. curves defined by the paths of all fluid particles that have passed through a specific spatial location over time [Kundu et al., 2011], to patterns of sediment deposition and erosion. Specifically, they used SSC data from remote sensing to locate streaklines, which were combined with flow velocities derived from a numerical model to evaluate SSC variations along these curves. However, a reliable methodology which enables the computation of the velocity field from the same SSC map is still missing. Such information would allow us to quantify instantaneous sediment fluxes in river deltas using a single remotely sensed image (i.e., without employing numerical models to derive the hydrodynamic field). In addition, this methodology has the potential to provide high-spatial resolution data over the entire river delta, which are needed to calibrate hydrodynamic models and obtain reliable predictions of the impact of sea level rise and engineering projects on these valuable coastal systems.

To the best of our knowledge, few attempts are present in the literature to derive flow velocities from optical remote sensing products [e.g., Bowen et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2008; Muste et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2015]. Ayoub et al. [2018] conducted an airborne L-band synthetic aperture radar (SAR) acquisition campaign to quantify current velocities within the WLD. However, flow speeds were only estimated over the shallow vegetated deltaic islands. In this paper, we want to

fill this gap by providing a methodology that can help compute the flow field for the remainder of the river delta. In particular, we propose a new approach to calculate flow partitioning among different parts of the delta, and related velocities, from maps of remotely sensed suspended sediment concentration derived from images obtained by an airborne hyperspectral instrument.

Our method takes advantage of curvilinear patterns of high and low sediment concentrations, known as streaklines, derived from an AVIRIS-NG image [Salter et al., 2022]. We use the WLD, a river delta with a bifurcating distributary channel network, as a test case. We assume that the flow traced by the streaklines is steady, i.e. its pattern does not change over time [Shaw et al., 2016; Cathcart et al., 2020]. This condition implies that streaklines correspond with streamlines (i.e., curves tangential to the local fluid velocity), and water fluxes and flow velocities can be quantified based on the distance between these curves. To test the reliability of the proposed methodology, the flow velocities computed from remote sensing data are compared with high-resolution numerical modeling results obtained by means of the ANUGA numerical framework [Roberts et al., 2015] and calibrated for the Wax Lake Delta [Wright et al., 2022]. This study shows a generalized approach which utilizes streaklines to compute the velocity field in river deltas. The proposed methodology offers the possibility to evaluate the spatial variability of hydrodynamic conditions within river deltas by leveraging the spatial information available in remotely sensed data.

2. Methods

We use streaklines to compute water discharges and flow velocities within a sub-region of the Wax Lake Delta. First, we extract streaklines from a map of remotely sensed suspended sediment concentration (Figure 1a, see subsection 2.1). Then, to compute water fluxes and related flow velocities from these curves, we assume that streaklines are steady in time (hereinafter called steady streaklines or streamlines) and representative of the depth-averaged flow conditions (see subsection 2.2). These assumptions are discussed in Shaw et al., [2016] and Cathcart et al., [2020], who demonstrate that three-dimensional flow patterns (e.g., due to winds) and unsteady variations (e.g., due to tides) can be neglected under certain conditions in the WLD (e.g., when the water discharge is greater than 2400 m³/s with stable or falling tides). In general, we can assume steady conditions if the hydrodynamic conditions change slowly in time. Assuming that multiple images of SSC are available within a tidal cycle, the validity of this assumption can be assessed by extracting streaklines from each image and checking whether their locations vary over time. If such temporal changes are negligible over one or two hours, then steady conditions can be assumed over that time period. Finally, we compare the flow velocities obtained via remote sensing with numerical modelling results along a streamtube, i.e. a tubular region of fluid surrounded by two streamlines [e.g., Durst, 2022].

2.1 Streaklines extraction

In this subsection, we describe how to extract streaklines via the semi-automated method proposed by Salter et al., [2022]. Curvilinear patterns in AVIRIS-NG (i.e., streaklines) arise from the contrast between waters characterized by low suspended sediment concentration coming from deltaic islands, and waters characterized by high suspended sediment concentration coming

from channels [Salter et al., 2022]. These curves may differ from the streaklines detected via radar and employed in previous studies to estimate flow directions in the WLD [Shaw et al., 2016; Cathcart et al., 2020]. In fact, curvilinear features in radar imagery are related to the presence of biofilms on the water surface. Specifically, such biofilms alter both the emissivity and roughness of the water surface, making them easily detectable via remote sensing.

We use a map of remotely sensed SSC [Jensen et al., 2021] developed by Jensen et al., [2019] from the October 18, 2016 AVIRIS-NG (Airborne Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer-Next Generation) flyover of Wax Lake Delta, Louisiana, that occurred between 15:25 and 15:41 GMT (Figure 1a). This map is based on a collection of paired SSC and remote sensing reflectance values (R_{rs}) measured at the water surface with a field spectrometer. The first derivatives of the in situ R_{rs} values, convolved to AVIRIS-NG's spectral resolution, were used to generate a Partial Least Squares Regression model for SSC. A refined selection of the derivative bands formed the final model inputs based on variable importance scores. The model attained an R^2 of 0.83, with a mean relative error (MRE) of 14.87% and a mean absolute error (MAE) of 6.34 mg/L, calculated from the 2016 AVIRIS-NG SSC products, with additional independent validation sites showing low-error SSC retrievals [Jensen et al. 2019].

First, a Perona-Malik filter is applied to the original data to remove noise (i.e., irregularities at scales smaller than the one of interest) and preserve the original image's sharp-gradient features [Perona & Malik, 2010]. This smoothing is also critical to make the calculations (e.g., derivatives) mathematically well posed [Passalacqua et al., 2010]. Next, we compute the geometric curvature (i.e., divergence of the normalized gradient) of SSC, which is interpreted as the curvature of the SSC contour lines (i.e., lines connecting points of equal SSC). More

specifically, the geometric curvature (κ) of a generic function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be expressed as follows [Minar et al., 2020]:

$$\kappa = \nabla \cdot \frac{\nabla \phi}{|\nabla \phi|} = \frac{\phi_{xx}\phi_y^2 - 2\phi_x\phi_y\phi_{xy} + \phi_{yy}\phi_x^2}{(\phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2)^{3/2}} \quad (1)$$

We then define a cost function (η), which represents the cost of traveling between the streaklines' start and end points in terms of SSC and geometric curvature. In particular, this cost function is defined as in Salter et al., [2022]:

$$\eta = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-k_1\kappa} + e^{-k_2c}, & \text{for high concentration streaks.} \\ 1 - e^{k_1\kappa} + e^{k_2(c-\max(c))}, & \text{for low concentration streaks.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where c is the suspended sediment concentration, while k_1 and k_2 are parameters controlling how well the curves of minimum cost follow the curvature and the concentration fields (these parameters are obtained by trial-and-error). These parameters can be selected at the beginning of the analysis using a few test streaklines; a poor choice of k_1 and k_2 is visually obvious as an extracted streakline that deviates from the real one [Salter et al., 2022]. Once these two parameters have been set, all streaklines are extracted using the same values of k_1 and k_2 . Note that we used a map of suspended sediment concentration in this study, but one could also employ a simple image-derived quantity that highlights spatial differences in water color and could thus serve as a proxy for SSC. However, extracting such a signal from these images would not be trivial and by using SSC we were able to build upon previous work that has already validated the approach.

Finally, we identify the streaklines as geodesic curves (i.e., curves of minimum cost), by computing the geodesic distance of each pixel from the start point and detecting the geodesic

curve by following the steepest descendent path from the end point to the start point. The methodology is semi-automated since the start and end points here are defined manually from an image of curvature. More specifically, streaklines are identified visually from the map of geometric curvature, and endpoints are selected as the points where curvilinear features either terminate or become ambiguous. Further details of the method can be found in Salter et al., [2022].

2.2 Water discharges and flow velocities

Once the streaklines are extracted, we compute flow velocities under steady-state conditions. This assumption implies that streaklines, streamlines and pathlines (i.e., trajectories that individual water parcels follow) coincide with each other. In particular, we quantify flow velocities (and related water discharges) using three fundamental proprieties [e.g., Durst, 2022]: (i) the difference between the values of a stream function (i.e., a scalar function whose derivative with respect to any direction gives the velocity component at right angles to that direction) over two streamlines is proportional to the water flow rate across any section crossing the two curves (e.g., section AB or CD in Figure 1b), (ii) closely-spaced streamlines create impermeable tubes (i.e., the discharge does not change along their length), and (iii) water fluxes are proportional to the distance between streamlines under the assumption of uniform flow.

Under steady state conditions, the continuity equation in shallow waters reads:

$$\frac{\partial(hu)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(hv)}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (3)$$

where h is the water depth, and the 2D vector (u, v) is the fluid's horizontal flow velocity. This equation can be re-written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial(q_x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(q_y)}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (4)$$

where q_x and q_y represent the discharge per unit width in the x- and y-direction. A scalar function (ψ), called stream function, can be defined over the steady streaklines. In particular, the discharge per unit width (q) in the x- and y-direction can be expressed in terms of the stream function [e.g., Fagherazzi, 2002]:

$$q_x = hu = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y}, \quad q_y = hv = -\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} \quad (5)$$

Next, we consider two closely-spaced streamlines and we quantify the water flux (Q) through section AB (Figure 1b):

$$Q_{AB} = \int_{y1}^{y2} q_x dy = \int_{y1}^{y2} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y} dy \quad (6)$$

Since the total derivative of ψ along AB ($dx = 0$) is equal to:

$$d\psi = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y} dy \quad (7)$$

expression (6) reads:

$$Q_{AB} = \int_{y1}^{y2} d\psi = \psi_{i+1} - \psi_i \quad (8)$$

This means that the difference in the stream function values between two curves gives the water volume flow rate. The same result can be obtained for any other section (e.g., CD in Figure 1b). Since we are interested in knowing how the stream function varies among these curves to obtain water discharges (i.e., $\psi_{i+1} - \psi_i$), we can assume a null value or an arbitrary constant over a

specific curve. After assigning the values of the stream function along the steady streaklines (assuming the existence of a uniform flow downstream of the delta), the discharge Q_i (i.e., $\psi_{i+1} - \psi_i$) can be computed and divided by the streamtube's cross-sectional area to obtain along-streamtube velocities (i.e., average velocities in each cross-section). This derivation is equivalent to writing the conservation of mass along a streamtube, and then computing the velocity magnitude knowing the streamtube's geometry and the velocity across a generic section. Note that prior knowledge of the bathymetry is required to compute flow velocities (bathymetric data for the WLD come from Denbina et al., [2020]). In the absence of bathymetric information, this method can still be applied to characterize the spatial distribution of discharge in the delta (note that discharges have absolute units even if bathymetry is not available).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Computation of flow velocities via remote sensing

The geometric curvature (κ) is computed within a sub-region of the Wax Lake Delta (Figure 2a) and it exhibits positive and negative values. In particular, low concentration curves (troughs) have a positive κ , whereas high concentration curves (peaks) show a negative geometric curvature. Start and end points are manually selected from this, and connected by geodesics (curves of minimum cost). The streaklines overlaying the map of remotely sensed suspended sediment concentration are depicted in Figure 2b. In general, the streamtubes' width can vary due to variations in the velocity magnitude or water depth or both. We follow three steps for quantifying the flow velocity magnitude from the steady streaklines: (i) assign a value of the stream function along each streakline, (ii) compute the water flux (Q) for each streamtube based on the stream function values, and (iii) divide Q by the streamtube's cross-sectional area (assuming a known bathymetry and water surface elevation).

We assume the existence of a uniform flow field downstream of the delta, and we assign a null value of the stream function along the westernmost streakline (Figure 2b). This choice does not impact the results since Q is proportional to changes in stream function's values. Once the stream function is assigned along each steady streakline, a quantity proportional to the water flux is obtained from the difference in the stream function values between these curves. We note that, in general, we cannot compute the exact stream function, but a function which scales with the real one (unless the velocity is known in a certain section). Here, to obtain the exact stream function, we assigned the velocity resulted from the numerical model downstream of the delta. This type of information is not essential, however, because one can derive a velocity field which is proportional to the real one even if such data are not available. The values assumed by the stream

function along four arbitrary curves (dashed curves in Figure 2b and 2c) are depicted in Figure 2c, while the water flow rate for each streamtube is shown in Figure 2d.

At this point, we compute the flow velocities in three different sections along streamtube 5 (i.e., white dashed lines in Figure 2b). The flow velocity magnitude in section a-a is 0.35 m/s, then it decreases to 0.24 m/s in section b-b and finally reaches 0.2 m/s in section c-c. This means that the flow speed within the primary channel decreases as soon as it enters the shallow transition zone between confined and unconfined flow (consequently the streamtube's width increases). When water depths start to increase again (for bathymetric information see Figure 3b), the flow velocity slightly decreases (the streamtube's width decreases within this region since water depths become greater, whereas the flow velocity magnitude remains fairly constant with respect to section b-b). This finding is broadly consistent with Shaw et al., [2016] who found that adverse bed slopes are associated with flow direction divergences, while the flow converges where water depths increase.

3.2 Validation of the methodology

We simulate the hydrodynamics in the WLD by employing the ANUGA numerical model [Roberts et al., 2015], which uses the finite volume method to solve the 2D depth-averaged shallow water equations. The model was calibrated for the WLD in Wright et al., [2022] and it was shown to match observations well. The numerical domain is partitioned by an unstructured mesh of triangular grid cells. Details on the forcing conditions, set up and model validation can be found in Wright et al., [2022].

To validate our approach, we compare the instantaneous discharges and flow velocities computed from the map of SSC with those obtained from the numerical model ANUGA [Wright et al., 2022]. Discharge correspondences between the model and the estimates are depicted in Figure 2d. The velocity magnitude at the time of the AVIRIS-NG flight is shown in Figure 3a. We also reported the two steady streaklines delimiting streamtube 5 (Figure 3a) and the bathymetry of the selected streamtube (Figure 3b) in Figure 3. Along-streamtube velocities (i.e., average velocities in each cross-section) are computed from the numerical model results (Figure 3a). These velocities match well those derived with our streamtube method (root-mean-square error, $\epsilon_{rms} = 0.06$ m/s), although the velocity profiles do not overlap exactly (Figure 3c, see also Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). We explain this discrepancy and the limitations of our approach in the next subsection.

3.3 Strengths and limitations of the proposed methodology

This study presents a novel methodology to measure water discharge, flow direction and speed from remotely sensed data. The described approach can provide high-spatial resolution hydrodynamic data, which would allow us to test numerical models against observations over large areas of river deltas. More specifically, the velocity field obtained from remotely sensed data can be utilized to constrain crucial model parameters, and improve the accuracy and performance of numerical simulations. This is needed to achieve reliable estimations of fluxes of water (and its constituents), and predict the fate of sediment that rebuilds sinking land. Furthermore, our methodological framework can be applied to other systems worldwide where maps of suspended sediment concentration and bathymetric information are available, although bathymetric data are only needed to compute flow velocities.

We assumed steady-state conditions to apply the streamlines' theory. This assumption was tested and discussed in Cathcart et al., [2020], where in-situ flow direction measurements were compared with remotely sensed flow directions estimated through the use of steady streaklines. Ayoub et al. [2018] and Cathcart et al. [2020] showed that this assumption holds in the WLD, although differences between measurements and remotely sensed values vary as a function of the forcing conditions. Specifically, the best match between steady streaklines and in-situ measurements was obtained during large discharges with stable or falling tides, whereas the largest mismatch took place during rising tides at a rate greater than 0.07 m/hr [Cathcart et al., 2020]. In fact, Cathcart et al., [2020] argue that when the rate of tidal change becomes large, the assumption of steady state conditions does not hold and differences between streaklines and flow velocity patterns increase.

During the October 18, 2016 AVIRIS-NG flight tides were falling and water discharge was 1900 m³/s. Such hydraulic conditions are not optimal to assume a steady flow, however results may be still acceptable (the agreement between streakline-derived flow and measurements ranges between fair to optimal for water discharges at Calumet between 1000 m³/s to 7000 m³/s, and a rate of tidal change between -0.075 m/hr to 0.03 m/hr) [Cathcart et al., 2020]. This implies that the streaklines might not be perfectly tangential to the flow direction (i.e., the tubes detected by these curves are not perfectly impermeable) during the studied period, which in turn could affect the velocity magnitude computed via our method (Figure 3c). In fact, the suspended sediment concentration becomes more uniform downstream of the delta suggesting that water masses are exchanged among streamtubes within this part of the domain (Figure 2c). In addition, our approach does not consider secondary currents due to baroclinic effects and/or winds which may alter the velocity profile along the vertical direction [e.g., Valle-Levinson, 2010; Gerkema,

2019]. This point was extensively discussed in Shaw et al., [2016], who found that the flow direction at the top layer of the water column and the depth-averaged flow direction measured by acoustic doppler current profiler (ADCP) were aligned in the Wax Lake Delta during the period of observation characterized by high discharge conditions. Furthermore, Cathcart et al., [2020] found no clear relationship between wind direction and streakline direction which suggests that the streakline-derived flow is representative of the depth-averaged flow conditions also during wind events.

Finally, it is worth highlighting that our results represent a snapshot of the flow characteristics in the selected streamtube. A sequence of streaklines obtained with several images of suspended sediment concentration at different instants could provide information on the temporal variability of the flow, if the SSC images have a sufficient resolution to capture the streaklines. The presence of streaklines also depends on hydrodynamic conditions (e.g., waves, tides) and gradients in sediment concentration. Short-term events (e.g., storms) can dramatically alter water movements and the amount of sediment in suspension in the system [e.g., Duran-Matute et al., 2016; Donatelli et al., 2022a, b] which can inhibit the presence of streaklines [Salter et al., 2022].

4. Conclusions

In this study, we provide a general framework to compute discharge and flow velocities via remote sensing imagery in river deltas. The main conclusions of this paper are:

1. the spatial distribution of discharge can be computed from a map of remotely sensed sediment concentration. We extracted the steady streaklines via a semi-automated method, and we estimated water fluxes based on the distance between these curves under the assumption of uniform flow. The described methodology can be applied to other river deltas worldwide if the sediment maps are available. Note that for computing the discharge, bathymetric data are not necessary.
2. If bathymetric data are available, flow velocities can be derived as the ratio between discharge per unit length and water depth.
3. The proposed approach was tested with high-resolution numerical modeling results carried out by means of the numerical model ANUGA. We found that the results computed via remote sensing match well with those obtained through the numerical model along a streamtube.
4. The present study highlights the importance of remote sensing to understand river deltas' dynamics at large scales, since this methodology has the potential to offer high-resolution hydrodynamic data over vast regions. These data are important to comprehend water movements in these systems.
5. The velocity field obtained from a map of remotely sensed suspended sediment concentration can be used to improve the accuracy of numerical models, and precisely quantify fluxes of water, sediments and nutrients that are essential to determining the resilience of river deltas to environmental change.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Editor and the two anonymous Reviewers for their comments. This work within NASA Delta-X project, is funded by the Science Mission Directorate's Earth Science Division through the Earth Venture Suborbital-3 Program NNH17ZDA001N-EVS3. S.F. was also partly funded by the USA National Science Foundation awards 2224608 (PIE LTER), 1832221 (VCR LTER),

Open Research

SSC data can be downloaded from the ORNL DAAC (<https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1822>). Bathymetric data and flow velocities can be downloaded from <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7504497>

Figure captions

Figure 1. (a) Map of remotely sensed suspended sediment concentration over Wax Lake Delta, Louisiana. Data from Jensen et al. (2019, 2021), based on AVIRIS-NG flyover from October 18, 2016 between 15:25 and 15:41 GMT. (b) Schematic representation of two closely-spaced steady streaklines.

Figure 2. (a) Geometric curvature (κ) of remotely sensed suspended sediment concentration. (b) Extracted streaklines overlaying the map of SSC. (c) Stream function values along four steady streaklines (dashed curves). (d) Discharge correspondences between the model and the estimates for each streamtube.

Figure 3. (a) Instantaneous velocity magnitude at the time of the AVIRIS-NG flight computed with the numerical model ANUGA. The streamtube 5 is reported in the same figure. (b) Bathymetry of streamtube 5. (c) Remote sensed and simulated velocities along streamtube 5. For this plot the root-mean-square error (rmse) is indicated.

References

- Alsdorf, D., Bates, P., Melack, J., Wilson, M., and Dunne, T., (2007), Spatial and temporal complexity of the Amazon flood measured from space, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L08402, doi:10.1029/2007GL029447
- Altenau, E.H., Pavelsky, T.M., Moller, D., Lion, C., Pitcher, L.H., Allen, G. H., Smith, L. C., (2017). Airswot measurements of river water surface elevation and slope: Tanana River, AK. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 181– 189. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071577>
- Ayoub, F., Jones, C.E., Lamb, M.P., Holt, B., Shaw, J.B., Mohrig, D., Wagner, W., (2018) Inferring surface currents within submerged, vegetated deltaic islands and wetlands from multi-pass airborne SAR. *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 212, 148–160
- Bowen, M.M., Emery, W.J., Wilkin, J.L., Tildesley, P.C., Barton, I. J., and Knewton, R., (2002). Extracting multiyear surface currents from sequential thermal imagery using the maximum cross-correlation technique, *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 19, 10.
- Bracken, L., Wainwright, J., Ali, G., Tetzlaff, D., Smith, M., Reaney, S., et al. (2013). Concepts of hydrological connectivity: Research approaches, pathways and future agendas. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 119, 17–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.02.001>

414

415 Cathcart, C., Shaw, J., and Amos, M., (2020). Validation of streaklines as recorders of synoptic
416 flow direction in a deltaic setting. *Remote Sensing*, 12(1), 148.

417

418 Chapman, R.D., Gotwols, B.L., and Sterner II, R.E., (1994). On the statistics of the phase of
419 microwave backscatter from the ocean surface. *J. Geophys. Res.* 99 (C8), 16,293–16,301

420

421 Chen, W., Mied, R.P., and Shen, C.Y., (2008). Near-surface ocean velocity from infrared
422 images: global optimal solution to an inverse model, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113,
423 C004747. doi:/10.1029/2008JC004747.

424

425 Denbina, M., Simard, M., Pavelsky, T., Christensen, A., Liu, K., and C. Lyon (2020), Pre-Delta-
426 X: Channel Bathymetry of the Atchafalaya Basin, LA, USA, 2016, ORNL DAAC

427

428 Dogliotti, A.I., Ruddick, K.G., Nechad, B., Doxaran, D., Knaeps, E., (2015). A single algorithm
429 to retrieve turbidity from remotely-sensed data in all coastal and estuarine waters. *Remote Sens.*
430 *Environ.*, 156, 157–168.

431

432 Donatelli, C., Duran-Matute, M., Gräwe, U., Gerkema, T., (2022a). Residual circulation and
 433 freshwater retention within an event-driven system of intertidal basins, *Journal of Sea Research*,
 434 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2022.102242>

435

436 Donatelli, C., Duran-Matute, M., Gräwe, U., Gerkema, T., (2022b). Statistical detection of
 437 spatio-temporal patterns in the salinity field within an inter-tidal basin, *Estuaries and Coasts*,
 438 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-022-01089-3>

439

440 Dong, T.Y., McElroy, J.A.N.B., Il'icheva, E., Pavlov, M., Ma, H., Moodie, A.J., et al. (2020).
 441 Predicting water and sediment partitioning in a delta channel network under varying discharge
 442 conditions. *Water Resources Research*, 56(11), e2020WR027199.
 443 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR027199>

444

445 Duran-Matute, M., Gerkema, T., and Sassi, M.G., (2016), Quantifying the residual volume
 446 transport through a multiple-inlet system in response to wind forcing: The case of the western
 447 Dutch Wadden Sea, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 121, 8888–8903, doi:10.1002/ 2016JC011807

448

449 Durst, F., (2022). *Fluid Mechanics: An Introduction to the Theory of Fluid flows*, Springer
 450 Berlin Heidelberg, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-63915-3>

451

452 Edmonds, D.A., Slingerland, R.L., (2007). Mechanics of river mouth bar formation: implications
453 for the morphodynamics of delta distributary networks. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.* 112,
454 F02034. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000574>

455

456 Edmonds, D.A., Paola, C., Hoyal, D.C.J.D., and Sheets, B.A., (2011). Quantitative metrics that
457 describe river deltas and their channel networks: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 116,
458 F04022, doi:10.1029/2010JF001955.

459

460 Fagherazzi, S., (2002). Basic flow field in a tidal basin. *Geophysical Research Letters* 29 (8).
461 doi:10.1029/2001GL013787.

462

463 Freeman, M.C., Pringle, C.M., and Jackson, C.R., (2007). Hydrologic connectivity and the
464 contribution of stream headwaters to ecological integrity at regional scales. *Journal of the*
465 *American Water Resources Association* 43: 5–14

466

467 Gerkema, T., (2019). *An Introduction to Tides*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
468 <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316998793>

469

470 Graber, H.C., Thompson, D.R., Carande, R.E., (1996). Ocean surface features and currents
 471 measured with synthetic aperture radar interferometry and HF radar. *J. Geophys. Res.* 101 (C11)
 472 (25 813–25 832)

473

474 Hiatt, M., Passalacqua, P., (2015). Hydrological connectivity in river deltas: the first-order
 475 importance of channel-island exchange. *Water Resources*
 476 *Research* **51**: 2264– 2282. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR016149>

477

478 Hiatt, M., Passalacqua, P., (2017). What controls the transition from confined to unconfined
 479 flow? Analysis of hydraulics in a coastal river delta. *Journal of Hydraulic*
 480 *Engineering* **143**(6). [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)HY.1943-7900.0001309](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HY.1943-7900.0001309)

481

482 Jensen, D., Simard, M., Cavanaugh, K., Sheng, Y., Fichot, C.G., Pavelsky, T., and Twilley, R.,
 483 (2019). Improving the transferability of suspended solid estimation in wetland and deltaic waters
 484 with an empirical hyperspectral approach. *Remote Sensing*, 11 . doi: 10.3390/RS11131629

485

486 Jensen, D.J., Simard, M., Fichot, C.G., and Pavelsky, T.M., (2021). Pre-Delta-X: AVIRIS-derived
 487 Total Suspended Solids Maps for MRD, LA, USA, 2015-2016. ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge,
 488 Tennessee, USA. <https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAAC/1822>

489

490 Kundu, P.K., Cohen, I.M., and Dowling, D., (2011). Fluid Mechanics 5th ed., Elsevier Academic
 491 Press, Amsterdam.

492

493 Liang, M., Geleynse, N., Edmonds, D. A., and Passalacqua, P., (2015). A reduced-complexity
 494 model for river delta formation—Part 2: Assessment of the flow routing scheme. *Earth Surf.*
 495 *Dynam.*, 3(1), 87–104.

496

497 Liang, M., Van Dyk, C., and Passalacqua, P., (2016). Quantifying the patterns and dynamics of
 498 river deltas under conditions of steady forcing and relative sea level rise. *J. Geophys. Res.: Earth*
 499 *Surf.*, 121(2), 465–496.

500

501 Minar, J., Evans, I.S., and Jenco, M., (2020). A comprehensive system of definitions of land
 502 surface (topographic) curvatures, with implications for their application in geoscience modelling
 503 and prediction. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 103414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103414>

504

505 Muste, M., Fujita, I., Hauet, A., (2008). Large-scale particle image velocimetry for
 506 measurements in riverine environments. *Water Resources Research* 44: W00D19.

507

508 Paris, A., Paiva, R.C., Silva, J.S., Moreira, D.M., Calmant, S., Garambois, P.A., Collischonn, W.,
 509 Bonnet, M.P., and Seyler, F., (2016). Stage-discharge rating curves based on satellite altimetry

510 and modeled discharge in the Amazon basin, *Water Resour. Res.*,25(16), 3447–3459,
 511 doi:10.1002/2014WR015716
 512
 513 Passalacqua, P., Trung, T.D., Foufoula-Georgiou, E., Sapiro, G., and Dietrich, W.E., (2010). A
 514 geometric framework for channel network extraction from lidar: Nonlinear diffusion and
 515 geodesic paths. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115 . doi: 10.1029/2009jf001254
 516
 517 Perona, P., and Malik, J., (1990). Scale-space and edge detection using anisotropic diffusion.
 518 *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 12 (7), 629–639. doi:
 519 10.1109/34.56205
 520
 521 Roberts, S., Nielsen, O., Gray, D., Sexton, J., and Davies, G., (2015). *ANUGA User Manual*, 1176
 522 Geoscience Australia.
 523
 524 Romeiser, R., Breit, H., Eineder, M., Runge, H., Flament, P., de Jong, K., Vogelzang, J., (2005).
 525 Current measurements by SAR along-track interferometry from a space shuttle. *IEEE Trans.*
 526 *Geosci. Remote Sens.* 43, 2315–2324
 527
 528 Salter, G., Passalacqua, P., Wright, K., Feil, S., Jensen, D., Simard, M., and Lamb, M.P., (2022).
 529 Spatial patterns of deltaic deposition/erosion revealed by streaklines extracted from remotely-

530 sensed suspended sediment concentration. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49, e2022GL098443.

531 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL098443>

532

533 Salter, G., and Lamb, M.P., (2022). Autocyclic secondary channels stabilize deltaic islands

534 undergoing relative sea level rise. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49, e2022GL098885.

535 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL098885>

536

537 Shaw, J.B., Estep, J.D., Whaling, A.R., Sanks, K.M., and Edmonds, D.A., (2018). Measuring

538 subaqueous progradation of the Wax Lake Delta with a model of flow direction divergence. *Earth*

539 *Surface Dynamics*, 6(4), 1155– 1168.

540

541 Shaw, J.B., Ayoub, F., Jones, C.E., Lamb, M.P., Holt, B., Wagner, R.W., Coffey, T.S.,

542 Chadwick, J.A., and Mohrig, D., (2016). Airborne radar imaging of subaqueous channel

543 evolution in Wax Lake Delta, Louisiana, USA, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(10), 5035–

544 5042, doi:10.1002/2016GL068770.

545

546 Syvitski, J.P.M., (2006). The morphodynamics of deltas and their distributary channels, in

547 Parker, G., and García, M., eds., *River, Coastal and Estuarine Morphodynamics: RCEM 2005:*

548 London, Taylor & Francis Group, p. 143–150.

549

550 Tetzlaff, D., Soulsby, C., Bacon, P.J., Youngson, A.F., Gibbins, C., and Malcolm, I.A., (2007).
 551 Connectivity between landscapes and riverscapes — a unifying theme in integrating hydrology
 552 and ecology in catchment science? *Hydrological Processes* 21(10), 1385–
 553 1389. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.6701>
 554
 555 Valle-Levison, A., (2010). *Contemporary Issues in Estuarine Physics*, Cambridge University
 556 Press.
 557
 558 Wohl, E., Brierley, D.,
 559 Cadol, T.J., Coulthard, T., Covino, K.A., Fryirs, G., Grant, R.G., Hilton, S.N., Lane, F.J., Magilli
 560 gan, K.M., Meitzen, P., Passalacqua, R.E., Poepl, S.L., Rathburn, L.S., Sklar, (2018).
 561 Connectivity as an Emergent Property of Geomorphic Systems *Earth Surf. Process.*
 562 *Landf.* (2018), 10.1002/esp.4434
 563
 564 Wright, K., Passalacqua, P., Simard, M., and Jones, C.E., (2022). Integrating connectivity into
 565 hydrodynamic models: An automated open-source method to refine an unstructured mesh using
 566 remote sensing. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 14,
 567 e2022MS003025. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022MS003025>
 568

569 Yang, H., Arnone, R., and Jolliff, J., (2015). Estimating advective near-surface currents from
570 ocean color satellite images, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 158,
571 doi:/10.1016/j.rse.2014.11.010.





