J. Eur. Math. Soc. 24, 4353–4361 (2022) DOI 10.4171/JEMS/1202



Connor Mooney

# Entire solutions to equations of minimal surface type in six dimensions

Received November 15, 2019; revised May 21, 2020

**Abstract.** We construct nonlinear entire solutions in $\mathbb{R}^6$ to equations of minimal surface type that correspond to parametric elliptic functionals.

**Keywords.** Bernstein problem, entire solutions, parametric elliptic functionals

## 1. Introduction

A well-known theorem of Bernstein says that entire minimal graphs in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are planes. Building on work of Fleming [5], De Giorgi [4], and Almgren [1], Simons [13] extended this result to minimal graphs in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for $n \leq 7$. In contrast, there are nonlinear entire solutions to the minimal surface equation in dimension $n \geq 8$ due to Bombieri, De Giorgi and Giusti [2] and Simon [12].

In this paper we study the Bernstein problem for a more general class of parametric elliptic functionals. These assign to an oriented hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ the value

$$A_\Phi(\Sigma) := \int_\Sigma \Phi(\nu), \tag{1}$$

where $\nu$ is a choice of unit normal to $\Sigma$ and $\Phi \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ is a positive even function. We say $\Phi$ is uniformly elliptic if its one-homogeneous extension to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ has uniformly convex level sets. The case $\Phi = 1$ corresponds to the area functional. In the general case, the minimizers of $A_\Phi$ model crystal surfaces (see [9] and the references therein). Below we assume $\Phi$ is uniformly elliptic unless otherwise specified.

When a critical point of $A_\Phi$ can be written as the graph of a function $u$ on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that $u$ is $\Phi$-minimal. It solves an elliptic equation of minimal surface type (see Section 2). Jenkins [8] proved that global $\Phi$-minimal functions are linear in dimension $n = 2$. Simon [11] extended this result to dimension $n = 3$, using an important

Connor Mooney: Department of Mathematics, University of California Irvine, Rowland Hall, Irvine, CA 92697, USA; mooneycr@math.uci.edu

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2020)*: 35J93, 35B08

regularity theorem of Almgren, Schoen and Simon [10] for minimizers of the parametric problem. He also showed that the result holds up to dimension $n = 7$ when $\Phi$ is close in an appropriate sense to the area integrand.

The purpose of this paper is to construct a nonlinear entire $\Phi$-minimal function on $\mathbb{R}^6$, for an appropriate uniformly elliptic integrand (which is necessarily far from the area integrand). Our main theorem is:

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists a quadratic polynomial $u$ on $\mathbb{R}^6$ that is $\Phi$-minimal for a uniformly elliptic integrand $\Phi \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{S}^6)$.*

Theorem 1.1 settles the Bernstein problem for equations of minimal surface type in dimension $n \geq 6$, leaving open the cases $n = 4, 5$. It also answers the question whether or not there exists a nonlinear polynomial that solves such an equation. It remains an interesting open question whether or not there exists a nonlinear polynomial that solves the minimal surface equation.

Our approach to constructing entire solutions is different from the one taken by Bombieri, De Giorgi and Giusti, which is based on constructing super- and sub-solutions. We instead fix $u$, which reduces the problem to solving a linear hyperbolic equation for $\Phi$. It turns out that in $\mathbb{R}^6$, we can choose a quadratic polynomial $u$ such that the solutions to this hyperbolic equation are given by an explicit representation formula. By prescribing the Cauchy data carefully we obtain an integrand with the desired properties.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we show that the cone over $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^6$ minimizes the functional $A_{\Phi_0}$, where $\Phi_0$ is the restriction of the integrand $\Phi$ from Theorem 1.1 to $\mathbb{S}^6 \cap \{x_7 = 0\}$ (see Remark 3.4). In fact, each level set of the function $u$ from Theorem 1.1 minimizes $A_{\Phi_0}$. (This observation is what guided us to the example). Morgan [9] previously showed that the cone over $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{S}^k$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2k+2}$ minimizes a parametric elliptic functional for each $k \geq 1$, using the method of calibrations.

Finally, we remark that the analogue of the quadratic polynomial $u$ from Theorem 1.1 in dimension $n = 4$ is not $\Phi$-minimal for any uniformly elliptic integrand $\Phi$ (see for instance Remark 3.5). However, it is feasible that our approach could produce entire $\Phi$-minimal functions in the lowest possible dimension $n = 4$, that have sub-quadratic growth (see Remark 3.6).

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Legendre transform

Let $w$ be a smooth function on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and assume that $\nabla w$ is a diffeomorphism with inverse $X$. We define the Legendre transform $w^*$ on the image of $\nabla w$ by

$$w^*(p) := p \cdot X(p) - w(X(p)).$$

Differentiating two times, we obtain

$$\nabla w^*(p) = X(p), \quad D^2 w^*(p) = (D^2 w)^{-1}(X(p)). \tag{2}$$

### 2.2. *Euler–Lagrange equation*

Assume that $\Phi \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ is a positive, uniformly elliptic integrand. Here and below we will identify $\Phi$ with its one-homogeneous extension to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and uniform ellipticity means that $\{\Phi < 1\}$ is uniformly convex.

If $\Sigma$ is the graph of a smooth function $u$ on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ then we can rewrite the variational integral (1) as

$$A_\Phi(\Sigma) = \int_\Omega \varphi(\nabla u)\, dx,$$

where

$$\varphi(p) := \Phi(-p, 1). \tag{3}$$

Thus, if $\Sigma$ is a critical point of $A_\Phi$, then $u$ solves the Euler–Lagrange equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\nabla\varphi(\nabla u)) = \varphi_{ij}(\nabla u)u_{ij} = 0 \tag{4}$$

in $\Omega$. The function $\varphi$ is locally uniformly convex (by the uniform ellipticity of $\Phi$), but the ratio of the minimum to maximum eigenvalues of $D^2\varphi$ degenerates at infinity. Thus equation (4) is a quasilinear degenerate elliptic PDE for $u$, known in the literature as a variational equation of minimal surface or mean curvature type (see e.g. [6, Chapter 16] and the references therein).

Our approach is to rewrite (4) as a linear equation for $\varphi$. Assume that $\nabla u$ is a smooth diffeomorphism. Then using the relations in (2), we can rewrite equation (4) as

$$(u^*)^{ij}(y)\varphi_{ij}(y) = 0 \tag{5}$$

for $y$ in the image of $\nabla u$. Below we will fix $u^*$, and then solve equation (5) for $\varphi$.

**Remark 2.1.** In parametric form, the Euler–Lagrange equation (4) for a critical point $\Sigma$ of $A_\Phi$ is

$$\operatorname{tr}(D^2\Phi(\nu^\Sigma(x)) \cdot \mathrm{II}^\Sigma(x)) = \Phi_{ij}(\nu^\Sigma(x))\mathrm{II}^\Sigma_{ij}(x) = 0, \tag{6}$$

where $\nu^\Sigma$ is the Gauss map of $\Sigma$ and $\mathrm{II}^\Sigma$ is the second fundamental form of $\Sigma$. We note that (6) is invariant under dilations of $\Sigma$. Equation (4) can be viewed as the projection of equation (6) onto a hyperplane.

**Remark 2.2.** The graph $\Sigma$ of an entire solution to (4) is not only a critical point, but a minimizer of $A_\Phi$. One way to see this is to observe that the translations of $\Sigma$ in the $x_{n+1}$ direction foliate either side of $\Sigma$. Another way is to extend the unit normal $\nu$ on $\Sigma$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by letting it be constant in the $x_{n+1}$ direction, and then show that $\nabla\Phi(\nu)$ is a calibration. Indeed, $\nabla\Phi(\nu)$ is divergence-free in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by equation (6), and by viewing $\Phi$ as the support function of the uniformly convex hypersurface $K := \nabla\Phi(\mathbb{S}^n)$ we see that

$$\nabla\Phi(\nu) \cdot \tilde{\nu} \le \Phi(\tilde{\nu})$$

for any $\nu, \tilde{\nu} \in \mathbb{S}^n$, with equality if and only if $\nu = \tilde{\nu}$.

**Remark 2.3.** One can show in the same way as in Remark 2.2 that the graph $\Sigma$ of a solution to (4) on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ minimizes $A_\Phi$ among hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

with boundary $\partial\Sigma$ that are contained in $\overline{\Omega}\times\mathbb{R}$. If in addition $\Omega$ is convex, or more generally, $\Omega=\Omega_1$ can be obtained by starting with a convex domain $\Omega_0$ and continuously deforming through a path of disk-type domains $\Omega_t$ for $t\in[0,1]$ whose boundaries have nonnegative mean curvature with respect to $A_\Phi$ (that is, $\Phi_{ij}(\nu^{\partial\Omega_t})\mathrm{II}^{\partial\Omega_t}_{ij}\geq 0$ where $\nu^{\partial\Omega_t}$ is the inward unit normal) in a way that $\Omega\subset\Omega_t$ for all $t\in[0,1]$, then $\Sigma$ minimizes $A_\Phi$ among all hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the same boundary (see e.g. [7, Theorem C]).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We denote points in $\mathbb{R}^6$ by $(p,q)$, with $p,q\in\mathbb{R}^3$. The polynomial $u$ from Theorem 1.1 is

$$u(p,q):=\frac{1}{2}(|p|^2-|q|^2). \tag{7}$$

We note that $u=u^*$. Below we let $\Box$ denote the wave operator $\partial_x^2-\partial_y^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

**Lemma 3.1.** *To prove Theorem 1.1 it suffices to find an analytic function $\psi(x,y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ that is even in $x$ and $y$, solves the PDE*

$$\Box\psi+2\nabla\psi\cdot\left(\frac{1}{x},-\frac{1}{y}\right)=0 \tag{8}$$

*in the positive quadrant, and satisfies that the one-homogeneous function*

$$\Psi(x,y,z)=|z|\psi\left(\frac{x}{z},\frac{y}{z}\right)$$

*on $\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{z=0\}$ has a continuous extension to $\mathbb{R}^3$ that is positive and locally $C^{2,1}$ on $\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}$, and has uniformly convex level sets.*

*Proof.* Suppose we have found such a function $\psi$, and denote points in $\mathbb{R}^7$ by $(p,q,z)$ with $p,q\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and $z\in\mathbb{R}$. Then the function

$$\Phi(p,q,z):=\Psi(|p|,|q|,z)$$

satisfies the desired regularity and convexity conditions. Furthermore, if we define $\varphi$ by the relation (3), that is,

$$\varphi(p,q):=\Phi(-p,-q,1)=\psi(|p|,|q|),$$

then by the definition (7) of $u$ and equation (8) for $\psi$ we have

$$(u^*)^{ij}\varphi_{ij}=0$$

on $\mathbb{R}^6$. Hence equation (5) holds and the function $u$ is $\Phi$-minimal. ∎

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We note that a function $\psi$ solves (8) in the positive quadrant if and only if

$$\Box(x\ y\ \psi)=0.$$

The general solution to (8) is thus given by the formula

$$\psi(x,y) = \frac{f(x+y) + g(x-y)}{xy}.$$

We will show that the choice

$$f(s) = -g(s) = 2^{-\frac{5}{2}}(2+s^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

gives a function $\psi$ satisfying the remaining conditions of Lemma 3.1.

After rotating the plane by $\frac{\pi}{4}$ (and for ease of notation continuing to denote the coordinates by $x$ and $y$), we have for the above choices of $f$ and $g$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x,y) &= \frac{(1+x^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} - (1+y^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{x^2-y^2} \\ &= \frac{A^2+AB+B^2}{A+B},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A := (1+x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad B := (1+y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence $\psi$ is positive, analytic, and invariant under reflection over the axes and the diagonals. Furthermore, $\psi$ is locally uniformly convex. Indeed, after some calculation (which we omit) we arrive at

$$\det D^2\psi = 3\,(A+B)^{-4}\left(2 + \frac{1}{AB}\right) > 0,$$

and since

$$D^2\psi(0,0) = \frac{3}{4}I,$$

we conclude that $D^2\psi$ is everywhere positive definite.

Now let

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(x,y,z) &:= |z|\psi\left(\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z}\right) \\ &= \frac{(x^2+z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} - (y^2+z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{x^2-y^2} \\ &= \frac{D^2+DE+E^2}{D+E},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D := (x^2+z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad E := (y^2+z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the local uniform convexity and analyticity of $\psi$ and the one-homogeneity of $\Psi$, we just need to check that $\Psi \in C^{2,1}$ in a neighborhood of the circle $\mathbb{S}^2 \cap \{z=0\}$, and that on this circle the Hessian of $\Psi$ restricted to any plane tangent to $\mathbb{S}^2$ is positive definite.

Restricting $\Psi$ to the plane $\{x=1\}$, we get a function of $y$ and $z$ that is $C^{2,1}$ in a neighborhood of the origin (and analytic away from the origin), and at $(1,0,0)$ we have

$$\Psi_{yy} = 2, \quad \Psi_{yz} = 0, \quad \Psi_{zz} = 3.$$

By the symmetries of $\Psi$ this gives the result in a neighborhood of the points $(\pm 1, 0, 0)$ and $(0, \pm 1, 0)$. We may thus restrict our attention to the region

$$\Omega_\delta := \{|x|, |y| \geq \delta\}$$

for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. In the region $\Omega_\delta \cap \{|z| < \frac{\delta}{2}\}$ the function $\Psi$ is analytic, and has the expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(x, y, z) = &\frac{x^2 + |xy| + y^2}{|x| + |y|} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{z^2}{|x| + |y|} \\ &- \frac{1}{|x| + |y|}\sum_{k\geq 2} a_k \left( \sum_{i=0}^{2k-4} \frac{1}{|x|^{i+1}|y|^{2k-3-i}} \right) z^{2k},\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $a_k$ are the coefficients in the Taylor series of $(1 + s)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ around $s = 0$. Thus, for any unit vector $e \in \{z = 0\}$ we have on $\Omega_\delta \cap \{z = 0\}$ that

$$\Psi_{ez} = 0, \quad \Psi_{zz} = \frac{3}{|x| + |y|}.$$

It only remains to check that the first term in (9) is locally uniformly convex on lines in $\{z = 0\}$ that do not pass through the origin. By its one-homogeneity and symmetry in $x$ and $y$, it suffices to check this on the line $\{x = 1\}$. Since

$$\Psi(1, y, 0) = |y| + \frac{1}{1 + |y|}$$

is locally uniformly convex, we are done. ∎

**Remark 3.2.** The integrand from Theorem 1.1 is given explicitly by the formula

$$\Phi(p, q, z) = \frac{((|p| + |q|)^2 + 2z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} - ((|p| - |q|)^2 + 2z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2^{\frac{5}{2}}|p||q|}, \tag{10}$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Remark 3.3.** The convexity and regularity properties of $\Psi$ can also be efficiently checked using the structure

$$\Psi(x, y, z) = G(\mathbf{w}(x, y, z)),$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}(x, y, z) = (|(x, z)|, |(y, z)|)$$

and

$$G(s, t) = s + t - \frac{st}{s + t}.$$

The key points are the convexity of the components of $\mathbf{w}$, and the convexity and monotonicity properties of $G$ in the positive quadrant.

**Remark 3.4.** Theorem 1.1 implies that the cone $C$ over $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^6$ is a minimizer of $A_{\Phi_0}$, where

$$\Phi_0(p, q) = \frac{\big||p| + |q|\big|^3 - \big||p| - |q|\big|^3}{2^{\frac{5}{2}}|p||q|}$$

is the restriction of $\Phi$ (defined by (10)) to the hyperplane $\{z = 0\}$. In fact, every level set of $u$ is a minimizer of $A_{\Phi_0}$. To show this, it suffices to show that the hypersurfaces $\Sigma_\pm := \{u = \pm 1\}$ are critical points of $A_{\Phi_0}$. Then all of the nonzero level sets of $u$, which are dilations of $\Sigma_+$ or $\Sigma_-$, would be critical points of $A_{\Phi_0}$ that foliate either side of $C = \{u = 0\}$.

To see, e.g., that $\Sigma_+$ is a critical point of $A_{\Phi_0}$, we first note that $Ru$ is $\Phi$-minimal for all $R > 0$ by the invariance of equation (4) under the rescalings $u \to R^{-1}u(Rx)$ and the 2-homogeneity of $u$. Let $\mathrm{II}^R$ and $\nu^R$ denote the second fundamental form and upper unit normal to the graph of $Ru$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and let $\mathrm{II}^{\Sigma_+}$ denote the second fundamental form and $\nu^{\Sigma_+} = -\nabla u/|\nabla u|$ the unit normal to $\Sigma_+$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. At any point on $\Sigma_+$, we may choose a system of coordinates where $e_n = \nu^{\Sigma_+}$, so that an orthonormal basis of the tangent plane in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to the graph of $Ru$ over this point contains the vectors $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$. With respect to this basis we have

$$\mathrm{II}^R_{ij} = O(R^{-1}) + \begin{cases} \mathrm{II}^{\Sigma_+}_{ij}, & i, j < n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We also have that

$$\nu^R = (\nu^{\Sigma_+}, 0) + O(R^{-1}).$$

One concludes by writing equation (6) for the graph of $Ru$ over points in $\Sigma_+$, and passing to the limit as $R \to \infty$, that $\Sigma_+$ is a critical point of $A_{\Phi_0}$. This argument can in fact be used to show that the level sets of any homogeneous entire solution to (4) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are critical points of $A_{\Phi_0}$.

**Remark 3.5.** The analogue of the quadratic polynomial (7) in $\mathbb{R}^4$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^2$, is not $\Phi$-minimal for any uniformly elliptic integrand $\Phi$ on $\mathbb{S}^4$. To see this, we first note that by the invariance of $u$ under rotations in $p$ and in $q$, we may assume after averaging over these rotations that $\Phi$ depends only on $|p|, |q|$ and $z$. By the argument in Remark 3.4, it thus suffices to show that the level set $\{u = \frac{1}{2}\}$ is not a critical point of $A_{\Phi_0}$ for any uniformly elliptic integrand $\Phi_0$ on $\mathbb{S}^3$ that depends only on $|p|$ and $|q|$. When we fix $\Sigma = \{u = \frac{1}{2}\}$, equation (6) reduces to an ODE for $\Phi_0$. By analyzing this ODE, one can show that one eigenvalue of $D^2\Phi_0$ will tend to infinity on the Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

More explicitly, we can write $\Sigma = \{|p| = \sigma(|q|)\}$, where

$$\sigma(t) := \sqrt{1 + t^2},$$

and let

$$\varphi(s) := \Phi_0(1, s).$$

When $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, equation (6) reduces to the ODE

$$\frac{\varphi''}{(\sigma^*)''} + k\frac{s\varphi' - \varphi}{s(\sigma^*)' - \sigma^*} + k\frac{\varphi'}{(\sigma^*)'} = 0 \tag{11}$$

on $(-1, 1)$, where

$$\sigma^*(s) = -\sqrt{1 - s^2}$$

is the Legendre transform of $\sigma$. In the case $k = 2$ one checks directly that the even solutions to (11) are multiples of

$$\varphi(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{3}s^2.$$

This agrees with our construction from Theorem 1.1. However, in the case $k = 1$ one can see, e.g., by expanding $\varphi$ in a Taylor series that $\varphi''$ blows up near $s = \pm 1$. (In fact, for all even $k$, the even solutions to (11) are polynomials, and when $k \geq 2$ the second derivatives are bounded up to the endpoints.)

The cone over $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is known to minimize a parametric elliptic functional (see [9]). It is natural to ask for a proof of this fact by foliation, and this remark shows that one cannot use level sets of the quadratic polynomial $u$, unlike in higher dimensions. However, it seems feasible that one can use surfaces that behave like level sets of functions that are homogeneous of degree smaller than two (see Remark 3.6). We intend to investigate this in future work.

**Remark 3.6.** If we take

$$u^*(p, q) = \frac{1}{m}(|p|^m - |q|^m) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(p, q) = \psi(|p|, |q|)$$

with $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, then equation (5) is equivalent to the hyperbolic PDE

$$\frac{1}{m-1}x^{2-m}\psi_{xx} + k\, x^{1-m}\psi_x = \frac{1}{m-1}y^{2-m}\psi_{yy} + k\, y^{1-m}\psi_y$$

for $\psi$ in the positive quadrant. The Cauchy problem for this equation can be solved in terms of certain hypergeometric functions (see [3] and the references therein). In special cases the representation formula is particularly simple, e.g. when $k = m = 2$ (treated above), or when $k = 1$ and $m = 4$, in which case the general solution is

$$\psi(x, y) = \frac{f(x^2 + y^2) + g(x^2 - y^2)}{x^2 y^2}.$$

The corresponding integrand $\Phi$ (constructed as in the proof of Lemma 3.1) is not uniformly elliptic for any choice of $f$ and $g$, because the maximum and minimum principal curvatures of the graph of

$$u = \frac{3}{4}\big(|p|^{\frac{4}{3}} - |q|^{\frac{4}{3}}\big)$$

are not of comparable size near $\{|p|\,|q| = 0\}$. However, it is feasible that for a judicious choice of $f$ and $g$, one could make a small perturbation of the corresponding integrand and then use the method of super- and sub-solutions to construct an entire solution to a variational equation of minimal surface type in $\mathbb{R}^4$ that grows at the same rate as $u$.

*Acknowledgments.* The author is grateful to Richard Schoen, Brian White, and Yu Yuan for inspiring discussions on topics related to this research. He would also like to thank the referee for useful comments related to Remarks 2.3, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5.

*Funding.* This research was supported by NSF grant DMS-1854788.

## References


[1] Almgren, F. J., Jr.: Some interior regularity theorems for minimal surfaces and an extension of Bernstein's theorem. Ann. of Math. (2) **84**, 277–292 (1966) Zbl 0146.11905 MR 200816

[2] Bombieri, E., De Giorgi, E., Giusti, E.: Minimal cones and the Bernstein problem. Invent. Math. **7**, 243–268 (1969) Zbl 0183.25901 MR 250205

[3] Copson, E. T.: On the Riemann-Green function. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **1**, 324–348 (1958) Zbl 0081.08901 MR 97620

[4] De Giorgi, E.: Una estensione del teorema di Bernstein. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) **19**, 79–85 (1965) Zbl 0168.09802 MR 178385

[5] Fleming, W. H.: On the oriented Plateau problem. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **11**, 69–90 (1962) Zbl 0107.31304 MR 157263

[6] Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N. S.: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 224, Springer, Berlin, 2nd ed. (1983) Zbl 0562.35001 MR 737190

[7] Hardt, R., Lau, C. P., Lin, F.-H.: Nonminimality of minimal graphs. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **36**, 849–855 (1987) Zbl 0637.49008 MR 916746

[8] Jenkins, H. B.: On two-dimensional variational problems in parametric form. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **8**, 181–206 (1961) Zbl 0143.14804 MR 0151906

[9] Morgan, F.: The cone over the Clifford torus in $\mathbf{R}^4$ is $\Phi$-minimizing. Math. Ann. **289**, 341–354 (1991) Zbl 0725.49013 MR 1092180

[10] Schoen, R., Simon, L., Almgren, F. J., Jr.: Regularity and singularity estimates on hypersurfaces minimizing parametric elliptic variational integrals. I, II. Acta Math. **139**, 217–265 (1977) Zbl 0386.49030 MR 467476

[11] Simon, L.: On some extensions of Bernstein's theorem. Math. Z. **154**, 265–273 (1977) Zbl 0388.49026 MR 448225

[12] Simon, L.: Entire solutions of the minimal surface equation. J. Differential Geom. **30**, 643–688 (1989) Zbl 0687.53009 MR 1021370

[13] Simons, J.: Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds. Ann. of Math. (2) **88**, 62–105 (1968) Zbl 0181.49702 MR 233295