Comptes Rendus

Mathématique

Pietro Corvaja, Julian L. Demeio, Andrei S. Rapinchuk, Jinbo Ren
and Umberto M. Zannier

Bounded Generation by semi-simple elements: quantitative results

Algebraic geometry, Number theory / *Géométrie algébrique, Théorie des nombres*

Bounded Generation by semi-simple elements: quantitative results

Engendrement borné par éléments semi-simples: résultats quantitatifs

Pietro Corvaja[ⓘ] [a], **Julian L. Demeio**[ⓘ] [b], **Andrei S. Rapinchuk**[ⓘ] [c], **Jinbo Ren**[ⓘ] [d] **and Umberto M. Zannier**[ⓘ] [e]

[a] Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Informatiche e Fisiche, via delle Scienze, 206, 33100 Udine, Italy

[b] Departement Mathematik und Informatik, Universität Basel, 4051 Basel, Switzerland

[c] Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904-4137, USA

[d] School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

[e] Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy

E-mails: pietro.corvaja@uniud.it (P. Corvaja), demeiojulian@yahoo.it, asr3x@virginia.edu (A. S. Rapinchuk), jren@ias.edu (J. Ren), umberto.zannier@sns.it (U. M. Zannier)

Abstract. We prove that for a number field F, the distribution of the points of a set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{A}_F^n$ with a purely exponential parametrization, for example a set of matrices boundedly generated by semi-simple (diagonalizable) elements, is of at most logarithmic size when ordered by height. As a consequence, one obtains that a linear group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ over a field K of characteristic zero admits a purely exponential parametrization if and only if it is finitely generated and the connected component of its Zariski closure is a torus. Our results are obtained via a key inequality about the heights of minimal m-tuples for purely exponential parametrizations. One main ingredient of our proof is Evertse's strengthening of the S-Unit Equation Theorem.

Résumé. Nous prouvons que pour un corps de nombres F, la distribution des points d'un ensemble $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{A}_F^n$ admettant une paramétrisation purement exponentielle, par exemple un ensemble de matrices bornément engendré par des éléments semi-simples (diagonalisables), est de taille au plus logarithmique lorsqu'il est ordonné par hauteur. Par conséquent, on obtient qu'un groupe linéaire $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ sur un corps K de caractéristique zéro admet un paramétrisation purement exponentielle si et seulement s'il est de type fini et la composante connexe de sa clôture de Zariski est un tore. Nos résultats sont obtenus via une inégalité sur la hauteur des tuples minimaux d'un polynôme purement exponentiel. Un ingrédient clé de notre démonstration est une version forte par Evertse du théorème sur l'équation en S-unités.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11D75.

1. Statement of Main Results

The purpose of this note is to annouce and sketch certain results in a future paper by the current authors [3].

We start with the notion of bounded generation. An abstract group Γ is said to have the *bounded generation* property (BG) if it can be written in the form

$$\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1 \rangle \ldots \langle \gamma_r \rangle$$

for certain fixed $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r \in \Gamma$, where $\langle \gamma_i \rangle$ is the cyclic subgroup generated by γ_i. We refer the interested readers to the discussion in Section 1 of [4] and the references therein for the motivation for (BG). In [4], it was shown that a linear group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ over a field K of characteristic zero "usually lacks (BG) by semi-simple elements", i.e. (BG) such that all γ_i are diagonalizable. More precisely, it was shown in [4] that if a linear group Γ over a field of characteristic zero consists entirely of semi-simple elements, then Γ has (BG) if and only if it is finitely generated and virtually abelian. In particular, if K is a number field and S is a finite set of places including all infinite ones, then *infinite S-arithmetic* subgroups of absolutely almost simple K-anisotropic groups never have (BG).

The current paper will significantly strengthen the above results by providing some quantitative properties which describe the extent of the absence of (BG) by semi-simple elements. In fact, we will consider the following more flexible notion called purely exponential polynomials (PEP).

Definition.   *Let Σ be a subset of a variety $V \subset \mathbb{A}_K^s$, where K is a field. Then Σ is said to have* Purely Exponential Parametrization *(PEP) in r variables if Σ has the shape*

$$\Sigma = \left\{ (f_1(\mathbf{n}), \ldots, f_s(\mathbf{n})); \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^r \right\},$$

where each $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ is a Purely Exponential Polynomial*, i.e., an expression of the form*

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} a_{i,j} \lambda_1^{l_{i,j,1}(\mathbf{x})} \cdots \lambda_k^{l_{i,j,k}(\mathbf{x})},$$

for certain constants $a_{i,j} \in K^\times$ ($i = 1, \ldots, s$, $j = 1, \ldots, e_i$), $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in K^\times$ and linear forms $l_{i,j,k}(\mathbf{x})$ in r variables whose coefficients are rational integers. *Here we refer to the elements $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ as the* bases *of $\mathbf{f} := (f_1, \ldots, f_s)$, to the linear forms $l_{i,j,k}$ as the* exponents *of $\mathbf{f}$, and to the constants $a_{i,j}$ as the* coefficients *of $\mathbf{f}$.*

Remark 1.   In the definition as above, it is possible that a (PEP) is defined over a smaller field than the one generated by all bases and coefficients of the purely exponential polynomials defining it, e.g. Example 2 below. Also, it is easy to see that any finite union of (PEP) sets is still a (PEP) set.

Example 2.   The classical Pell equations naturally produce (PEP) sets. For example, the set of integer solutions of $x^2 - 2y^2 = 1$, which corresponds to the integer points of the special orthogonal group for the quadratic form $h = x^2 - 2y^2$, is given by

$$\left\{ \left((-1)^m \left(\frac{(3 - 2\sqrt{2})^n + (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^n}{2} \right), \left(\frac{(3 - 2\sqrt{2})^n - (3 + 2\sqrt{2})^n}{2\sqrt{2}} \right) \right); m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Example 3.   Linear groups Γ admitting (BG) by semi-simple elements, which are main study objects of [4], become typical examples of (PEP) sets. In fact, if $\Sigma = \Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ with $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1 \rangle \ldots \langle \gamma_r \rangle$ with the γ_i's semi-simple, then there is a finite field extension K/F and $g_i \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ and $\lambda_{i,j}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$ with

$$g_i^{-1} \gamma_i g_i = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_{i,1}, \ldots, \lambda_{i,n}), \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, r.$$

This implies that every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has the shape

$$\gamma = \prod_{i=1}^{r} g_i \left[\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_{i,1}^{a_i}, \ldots, \lambda_{i,n}^{a_i}) \right] g_i^{-1} \text{ for some } a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Comparing entries of the two sides of the above relation, we realize Σ as a (PEP) set $\subset \mathbb{A}_K^{n^2}$ in r variables with bases equal to those eigenvlues $\lambda_{i,j}$'s.

In the current article, we will provide some sparseness results for (PEP) subsets of affine varieties $V \subset \mathbb{A}_K^n$ over a *number field K*. The language we are using to describe sparseness is the *height function* on the affine space K^n, defined by

$$H_{\text{aff}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := H(1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_n) := \left(\prod_{v \in V_K} \max\{1, \|x_1\|_v, \ldots, \|x_n\|_v\} \right)^{1/[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$$

where V_K is the set of all places of K, and $\|\cdot\|_v$ are normalized v-adic valuations such that the product formula holds. We will also use the corresponding logarithmic height $h_{\text{aff}} := \log H_{\text{aff}}$. Note that the exponent $1/[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ in the definition above makes both H_{aff} and h_{aff} to be well-defined height functions on $\mathbb{A}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}^n$. See [11, §B] or [2] for details about height functions. The first main result of this paper, which is about the distribution of (PEP) sets, can be stated as follows.

Theorem 4 (First Main Theorem: quantitative result). *Let $\mathbb{A}_K^n$ be an affine space over a number field K, then for any (PEP) set $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{A}_K^n$ in r variables, we have*

$$\left| \{ P \in \Sigma; H_{\text{aff}}(P) \le H \} \right| = O((\log H)^r) \text{ when } H \to \infty.$$

In other words, any (PEP) set has at most logarithmic-to-the-r *growth in terms of the height.*

Remark 5. In order to interpret Theorem 4 as a *sparseness* result, it should be emphasized that there is a highly involved but also well-developed topic about "counting lattice points in Lie groups". In particular, [12, Corollary 1.1] (see also [10, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 7.4]) informs us that points in any lattice of a non-compact semi-simple Lie group $\mathscr{G}$ with finite center have growth rate $cH^d(\log H)^e, H \to \infty$ for certain $c, d > 0, e \ge 0$ in terms of an Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n^2} \supset \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \supset \mathscr{G}$. As a consequence, we see that for a semi-simple algebraic group $G \subset \operatorname{GL}_n$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ of non-compact type, Theorem 4 provides sparseness, in terms of the height, for all (PEP) subsets of $\Gamma := G(\mathbb{Z}) := \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cap G(\mathbb{R})$. As a more explicit example, according to [6, Example 1.6], the size of the set $\{\mathbf{s} \in \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}); H_{\text{aff}}(\mathbf{s}) \le H\}$ is of order cH^{n^2-n} for some $c > 0$, therefore any (PEP) set in $\Gamma = \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, which has only logarithmic growth, is sparse in terms of the height. Verification of sparseness for (PEP) subsets of many other S-arithmetic groups, following strategies developed in [10] and [9], will be available in [3].

If we apply Theorem 4 to the particular situation of (BG) by semi-simple elements, we acquire the following consequence.

Corollary 6. *Let $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{GL}_n(K)$ be a linear group over a number field K, then for any semi-simple elements $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r \in \Gamma$, we have*

$$\left| \{ P \in \langle \gamma_1 \rangle \ldots \langle \gamma_r \rangle; H_{\text{aff}}(P) \le H \} \right| = O((\log H)^r) \text{ when } H \to \infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 4 relies crucially on a key statement about the so-called "minimal m-tuples" with respect to a (PEP) set which seems to be of independent interest.

Definition 7. *Given a vector $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_s)$ of exponential polynomials in r variables, i.e. each f_j is an exponential polynomial in r variables, an element $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ is called $\mathbf{f}$-minimal (or minimal with respect to $\mathbf{f}$) if for all $\mathbf{n}' = (n_1', \ldots, n_r') \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ with $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{n}') = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{n})$ (i.e. $f_j(\mathbf{n}') = f_j(\mathbf{n})$ for all j), we have $\|\mathbf{n}'\|_\infty := \max\{|n_1'|, \ldots, |n_r'|\} \ge \max\{|n_1|, \ldots, |n_r|\} =: \|\mathbf{n}\|_\infty$.*

Theorem 8 (Primary Height Inequality). *Let $\mathbf{f}$ be a vector of purely exponential polynomials in r variables, then there exists a constant $C = C(\mathbf{f}) > 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{f}$-minimal vectors $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we have*

$$h_{\mathrm{aff}}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{n})) \geq C \cdot \|\mathbf{n}\|_\infty \tag{1}$$

except on some set of the form $\mathbf{f}^{-1}(A)$ with A finite.

It should be emphasized that the constant C above will be explicitly computable, while the cardinality of the set A in Theorem 8 is non-effective in general, see Remark 13.

The first main Theorem, i.e. Theorem 4, being quantitative itself, leads us to the following qualitative theorem which fully describes all linear groups admitting (BG) by semi-simple elements (or (PEP)). It is worth pointing out that, thanks to a specialization argument, the following result works for linear groups over *arbitrary* fields of characteristic zero.

Theorem 9 (Second Main Theorem: qualitative result). *Let K be a field of characteristic zero and let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be a linear group. Then the following three properties are equivalent.*

  (1) Γ *has (PEP).*
  (2) Γ *consists only of semi-simple elements and has (BG).*
  (3) Γ *is finitely generated and the connected component G° of the Zariski closure G of Γ is a torus (in particular, Γ is virtually abelian).*

This result serves as an extension of one main Theorem in [4, Theorem 1.1] which claims that if a linear group over a field of characteristic zero has (BG) by semi-simple elements, then it is virtually solvable. More importantly, Theorem 9 gives a *complete* answer to the Questions asked in [4, p. 3].

2. Brief outline of proofs

It is straightforward to verify that Theorem 8 implies Theorem 4. For simplicity of argument, we only sketch the proof of Theorem 8 for $\mathbf{f} = f$ being a single purely exponential polynomial. The sketch we give here follows the lines of the proof in the general case, and already includes all the main ideas and ingredients in the counterpart in [3].

Sketch of proof of Theorem 8. The proof goes by induction on r, the number of variables in $\mathbf{n}$. The base case when $r = 0$ is trivial, now let $r \geq 1$. We write:

$$f(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{e} a_i u_i(\mathbf{n}),$$

where $\lambda_j, a_i \in K^*$ and $u_i(\mathbf{n}) = \lambda_1^{l_{1,i}(n_1,\ldots,n_r)} \ldots \lambda_k^{l_{k,i}(n_1,\ldots,n_r)}$ are purely exponential monomials.

Some non-trivial but routine manipulations enable one to reduce to the case where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are multiplicatevely independent, i.e. $\lambda_1^{\theta_1} \ldots \lambda_k^{\theta_k} = 1 (\theta_j \in \mathbb{Z}) \iff \theta_1 = \ldots \theta_k = 0$, and where the linear forms $l_{i,j}$ span the dual space of $\mathbb{Q}^r$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

We need the following crucial height inequality which can be derived from a result of Evertse [7, Theorem 6.1.1] (which is itself a consequence of the Schlickewei–Schmidt Subspace Theorem, cf. [5, Theorem 2.2]).

Theorem 10 (Evertse). *Let S be a finite set of places of a number field K containing all archimedean ones. Then there exists an effective $C > 0$ such that the inequality*

$$h_{\mathrm{aff}}(s_1 + \cdots + s_e) < C \cdot (h_{\mathrm{aff}}(s_1) + \cdots + h_{\mathrm{aff}}(s_e)) \text{ with } s_i \in \mathscr{O}_S^\times$$

has only finitely many solutions such that the sum $s_1 + \cdots + s_e$ is non-degenerate.

Here *non-degenerate* refers to the fact that $\sum_{i \in I} s_i \neq 0$ for any nonempty proper subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, e\}$.

Using Theorem 10 by taking the set S of places such that all bases λ_i and coefficients a_j of f are S-units, we obtain that for certain $C' > 0$, the inequality:

$$h_{\text{aff}}(f(\mathbf{n})) \geq C' \cdot (h_{\text{aff}}(u_1(\mathbf{n})) + \cdots + h_{\text{aff}}(u_e(\mathbf{n}))) \tag{2}$$

holds for all but finitely many $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that the sum defining $f(\mathbf{n})$ is non-degenerate.

Recall the following standard fact [17, p. 118, Eq. (3.12)]:

Proposition 11. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_e) : \mathbb{Z}^r \to (K^*)^e$ be an injective group homomorphism. Then there are constants $C_2 > C_1 > 0$ such that for every $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$C_1 \|\mathbf{n}\|_\infty \leq h_{\text{aff}}(\phi_1(\mathbf{n})) + \cdots + h_{\text{aff}}(\phi_e(\mathbf{n})) \leq C_2 \|\mathbf{n}\|_\infty.$$

Using the proposition above with $\phi = (u_1, \ldots, u_e)$, which is injective because of the assumption that the linear forms $l_{i,j}$ span $(\mathbb{Q}^r)^\vee$ and that those λ_j's are multiplicatively independent, one deduces that the right hand side of (2) is $\asymp \|n\|_\infty$. This completes the argument for the non-degenerate case.

Now consider those $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ such that the sum defining $f(\mathbf{n})$ is degenerate. Then we may take a proper subset $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_t\} \subset \{1, \ldots, e\} (t < e)$ with $a_{i_1} u_{i_1}(\mathbf{n}) + \cdots + a_{i_t} u_{i_t}(\mathbf{n}) = 0$.

We are now in a position to use Laurent's theorem [7, Theorem 10.10.1], which can also be deduced from Theorem 10.

Theorem 12 (Laurent). *Let K be a number field, $\Gamma \subseteq (K^*)^t$ be a finitely generated subgroup, and let X be a subvariety of $(\mathbb{G}_m)^t$. Then the Zariski closure of $\Gamma \cap X$ is a finite union of cosets of $(\mathbb{G}_m)^t$.*

Employing Laurent's theorem on the subgroup $\Gamma = \text{im}(\phi = (u_{i_1}, \ldots, u_{i_t}) : \mathbb{Z}^r \to (K^*)^t)$ and the hyperplane $X : a_{i_1} x_{i_1} + \cdots + a_{i_t} x_{i_t} = 0$, and letting I go through all proper subsets of $\{1, \ldots, e\}$ (finitely many possibilities), we deduce that the set of such $\mathbf{n}$ is contained in a finite union of cosets of $\mathbb{Z}^r$. Moreover, due to the assumption that the linear forms $l_{i,j}$ span $(\mathbb{Q}^r)^\vee$, we may assume these cosets are all translates of subgroups of rank $< r$.

Taking the restriction of f to each of the above proper cosets, and composing it with a suitable affine transformation, we produce finitely many (PEP) sets whose parametrizations all involve $< r$ *variables*. Applying the induction hypothesis to these new (PEP) sets, the proof is completed. $\square$

Remark 13 (effectiveness). Taking more care in the proof above, one can actually make the constant C in Theorem 8 to be effectively computable in terms of $\mathbf{f}$.

However, our approach can say little about the effectiveness of the exceptional set A (and even less about the effectiveness of $f^{-1}(A)$) of Theorem 8, not even its cardinality. As a consequence, in the context of Theorem 4, we are unable to explicitly compute a constant $a > 0$ such that $\left| \{P \in \Sigma; H_{\text{aff}}(P) \leq H\} \right| < a \cdot (\log H)^r$ for sufficiently large H.

This is in sharp contrast with the situation of S-unit equations, e.g. $x_1 + \cdots + x_s = 1, x_i \in \mathscr{O}_S^*$, whose *number* of non-degenerate solutions can be effectively boundable from above, cf. the seminal paper [8] and its refinement [1], see also [16] for another approach.

In fact, we prove in [3], roughly speaking, that an effective bound for the cardinality of A in Theorem 8 would yield an explicit bound for the *size* of non-degenerate solutions to an arbitrary S-unit equation, which is still an open problem. Thus, the non-effectiveness of the exceptional set A of Theorem 8 lies deeply in the openness of the difficult effectiveness problem of the Schlickewei–Schmidt subspace theorem.

We now turn to the discussion of the second main result, Theorem 9. The proof of Theorem 9, being non-trivial though, is roughly analogous to that of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 of [4]. In

particular, the theory of generic elements, cf. [13], [14], [15], will be needed again. We will omit the full verification here for simplicity of presentation. In the following we will only highlight two new ingredients in the proof of Theorem 9 and postpone detailed arguments in [3].

The first one is a consequence of Theorem 4.

Corollary 14. *Let K be a number field, $\Sigma \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be a (PEP) subset, and let $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be a non-semi-simple matrix. Then there is an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left| \{ n \in \mathbb{N}; n \leq N \text{ and } g^n \in \Sigma \} \right| = O(\log^m N)$ as $N \to \infty$.*

Proof. Write $g = g_u g_s$ for the Jordan decomposition of g with g_u unipotent, g_s semisimple and $[g_u, g_s] = 1$. Note that the condition $g^n = (g_u g_s)^n \in \Sigma$ implies that $g_u^n \in \Sigma \cdot \langle g_s \rangle$, and that the subset $\Sigma' = \Sigma \cdot \langle g_s \rangle \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ is also a (PEP) set. So, we reduce to proving the result for g_u. We may, therefore, assume that g is unipotent.

By writing $g = \mathrm{id} + g_N$ with g_N nilpotent, and considering the binomial expansion of $g^n = (\mathrm{id} + g_N)^n$, it is easy to check that the height of the coefficients of g^n has polynomial growth in n. Due to Theorem 4, the elements of height $\leq H$ in the (PEP) set Σ grow at most as some power of $\log H$ as $H \to \infty$. This proves the corollary. $\qquad\qquad \square$

The following second requires a not entirely trivial argument which uses the finiteness of non-degenerate solutions to S-unit equations (cf. [3]).

Lemma 15. *Let $f : \mathbb{Z}^r \to K^*$ be a (PEP). If its image is a multiplicative subgroup of K^*, then this subgroup is finitely generated.*

Details of the proofs in this section as well as relevant examples and remarks will appear in [3].

References

[1] F. Amoroso, E. Viada, "Small points on subvarieties of a torus", *Duke Math. J.* **150** (2009), no. 3, p. 407-442.

[2] E. Bombieri, W. Gubler, *Heights in Diophantine geometry*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 4, Cambridge University Press, 2006, xvi+652 pages.

[3] P. Corvaja, J. L. Demeio, A. S. Rapinchuk, J. Ren, U. M. Zannier, "Purely Exponential Parametrizations and their Group-theoretic Applications", in preparation, 2022.

[4] P. Corvaja, A. S. Rapinchuk, J. Ren, U. M. Zannier, "Non-virtually abelian anisotropic linear groups are not boundedly generated", *Invent. Math.* **227** (2022), no. 1, p. 1-26.

[5] P. Corvaja, U. M. Zannier, *Applications of Diophantine approximation to integral points and transcendence*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 212, Cambridge University Press, 2018, x+198 pages.

[6] W. Duke, Z. Rudnick, P. Sarnak, "Density of integer points on affine homogeneous varieties", *Duke Math. J.* **71** (1993), no. 1, p. 143-179.

[7] J.-H. Evertse, K. Győry, *Unit equations in Diophantine number theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 146, Cambridge University Press, 2015, xv+363 pages.

[8] J.-H. Evertse, H. P. Schlickewei, W. M. Schmidt, "Linear equations in variables which lie in a multiplicative group", *Ann. Math.* **155** (2002), no. 3, p. 807-836.

[9] A. Gorodnik, A. Nevo, "Counting lattice points", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **663** (2012), p. 127-176.

[10] A. Gorodnik, B. Weiss, "Distribution of lattice orbits on homogeneous varieties", *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **17** (2007), no. 1, p. 58-115.

[11] M. Hindry, J. H. Silverman, *Diophantine geometry. An introduction*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 201, Springer, 2000, xiv+558 pages.

[12] F. Maucourant, "Homogeneous asymptotic limits of Haar measures of semisimple linear groups and their lattices", *Duke Math. J.* **136** (2007), no. 2, p. 357-399.

[13] G. Prasad, A. S. Rapinchuk, "Existence of irreducible $\mathbb{R}$-regular elements in Zariski-dense subgroups", *Math. Res. Lett.* **10** (2003), no. 1, p. 21-32.

[14] ——— , "Generic elements in Zariski-dense subgroups and isospectral locally symmetric spaces", in *Thin groups and superstrong approximation*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, vol. 61, Cambridge University Press, 2014, p. 211-252.

[15] ——— , "Generic elements of a Zariski-dense subgroup form an open subset", *Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc.* **78** (2017), p. 299-314.

[16] G. Rémond, "Sur les sous-variétés des tores", *Compos. Math.* **134** (2002), no. 3, p. 337-366.

[17] U. M. Zannier, *Lecture notes on Diophantine analysis*, Appunti. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (Nuova Serie), vol. 8, Edizioni della Normale, 2009, With an appendix by Francesco Amoroso, xvi+237 pages.