

UNIFORM MANIN-MUMFORD FOR A FAMILY OF GENUS 2 CURVES

LAURA DEMARCO, HOLLY KRIEGER, AND HEXI YE

ABSTRACT. We introduce a general strategy for proving quantitative and uniform bounds on the number of common points of height zero for a pair of inequivalent height functions on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. We apply this strategy to prove a conjecture of Bogomolov, Fu, and Tschinkel asserting uniform bounds on the number of common torsion points of elliptic curves in the case of two Legendre curves over \mathbb{C} . As a consequence, we obtain two uniform bounds for a two-dimensional family of genus 2 curves: a uniform Manin-Mumford bound for the family over \mathbb{C} , and a uniform Bogomolov bound for the family over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we use the Arakelov-Zhang intersection of adelicly-metrized line bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ to prove a uniform Manin-Mumford bound for a two-dimensional family of genus 2 curves over \mathbb{C} . The Manin-Mumford Conjecture, proved by Raynaud [Ra], asserts the following: Let X be any smooth complex projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$, $P \in X(\mathbb{C})$ any point, $j_P : X \hookrightarrow J(X)$ the Abel-Jacobi embedding of X into its Jacobian $J(X)$ based at P , and $J(X)^{tors}$ the set of torsion points of the Jacobian. Then

$$(1.1) \quad |j_P(X) \cap J(X)^{tors}| < \infty.$$

In the case of genus $g = 2$, the curve is hyperelliptic, and the fixed points of the hyperelliptic involution provide geometrically natural choices of base point for the Abel-Jacobi map. We show there is a uniform bound on the number of torsion images under such a map, provided the curve is also bielliptic, meaning that it admits a degree-two branched covering to an elliptic curve.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a uniform constant B such that*

$$|j_P(X) \cap J(X)^{tors}| \leq B$$

for all smooth, bielliptic curves X over \mathbb{C} of genus 2 and all Weierstrass points P on X .

The curves satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 form a complex surface \mathcal{L}_2 in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_2 of genus 2 curves. These X are also characterized by the

Date: January 5, 2020.

property that their Jacobians admit real multiplication by the real quadratic order of discriminant 4. Further details on \mathcal{L}_2 are given in Section 9.

Remark 1.2. We do not give an explicit value for the B of Theorem 1.1, but this bound can be made effective by estimating the continuity constants of Section 4. Poonen showed that there are infinitely many curves $X \in \mathcal{L}_2$ for which $|j_P(X) \cap J(X)^{tors}|$ is at least 22, taking P to be a Weierstrass point on X [Po, Theorem 1]. More recently, Stoll found an example with $|j_P(X) \cap J(X)^{tors}| = 34$ for Weierstrass point P on $X \in \mathcal{L}_2$ [St]; the curve X is defined over \mathbb{Q} . We know of no curve $X \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and point $P \in X$ satisfying $|j_P(X) \cap J(X)^{tors}| > 34$.

Remark 1.3. Although Theorem 1.1 provides a uniform bound on the number of torsion points on X in its Jacobian, there cannot be a uniform bound on the *order* of these torsion points. See Proposition 9.2.

The question of uniformity in (1.1) was raised by Mazur in [Ma] who asked if a bound could be given that depends only on the genus g of the curve X . Quantitative bounds on torsion points on curves have been obtained when the curve is defined over a number field, notably by Coleman [Co], Buim [Bu], Hrushovski [Hr], and more recently by Katz, Rabinoff, and Zureick-Brown [KRZB]. By quantifying the p -adic approach to (1.1), these authors achieve bounds for general families of curves; however, these bounds all involve dependence on field of definition or the choice of a prime for the family of curves, so are not uniform for families over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ or \mathbb{C} .

Our new technique which yields Theorem 1.1 is a quantification of the approach of Szpiro, Ullmo, and Zhang [SUZ, Ul, Zh1] to proving (1.1), utilizing adelic equidistribution theory. We first reduce to the setting where the curve is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we build on the proof of the quantitative equidistribution theorem for height functions on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of Favre and Rivera-Letelier [FRL1].

In fact, we deduce Theorem 1.1 from a case of the following conjecture, discussed by Bogomolov and Tschinkel [BT] and stated formally as [BFT, Conjectures 2 and 12], which asserts uniform bounds on common torsion points for pairs of elliptic curves. By a *standard projection* $\pi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of an elliptic curve E over \mathbb{C} , we mean any degree-two quotient that identifies a point P and its inverse $-P$. Note that every standard projection π will have a simple critical point at each of the four elements of the 2-torsion subgroup $E[2]$.

Conjecture 1.4. [BFT] *There exists a uniform constant B such that*

$$|\pi_1(E_1^{tors}) \cap \pi_2(E_2^{tors})| \leq B$$

for any pair of elliptic curves E_i over \mathbb{C} and any pair of standard projections π_i for which $\pi_1(E_1[2]) \neq \pi_2(E_2[2])$.

Note that if $\pi_1(E_1[2]) = \pi_2(E_2[2])$, then E_1 is isomorphic to E_2 and $\pi_1(E_1^{tors}) = \pi_2(E_2^{tors})$. The finiteness of the set $\pi_1(E_1^{tors}) \cap \pi_2(E_2^{tors})$, under the assumption that $\pi_1(E_1[2]) \neq \pi_2(E_2[2])$, follows from the main theorem of Raynaud in [Ra]; indeed, the diagonal in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ lifts to a (singular) curve $C \subset E_1 \times E_2$ via $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ with normalization of genus $g \geq 2$ [BT].

We prove Conjecture 1.4 in the case of maximal overlap of the 2-torsion points; i.e., when

$$|\pi_1(E_1[2]) \cap \pi_2(E_2[2])| = 3.$$

This setting corresponds to the case where the (normalization of the) curve C in $E_1 \times E_2$ has genus 2. By fixing coordinates on \mathbb{P}^1 , it suffices to work with the Legendre family of elliptic curves

$$(1.2) \quad E_t : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)$$

with $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and the standard projection $\pi(x, y) = x$ on E_t . (See Corollary 8.2.)

Theorem 1.5. *There exists a uniform constant B such that*

$$|\pi(E_{t_1}^{tors}) \cap \pi(E_{t_2}^{tors})| \leq B,$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, for the curves E_t defined by (1.2) and projection $\pi(x, y) = x$.

To prove Theorem 1.5, we introduce a general strategy for bounding the number of common height-zero points for any pair of distinct height functions $h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that arise from continuous, semipositive, adelic metrics on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. There is a natural Arakelov-Zhang pairing between any two such heights, given by the intersection number of the associated metrized line bundles. Our heights are normalized so this intersection number, which we denote by $h_1 \cdot h_2$, will satisfy

$$h_1 \cdot h_2 \geq 0 \text{ with equality if and only if } h_1 = h_2.$$

Details on these heights and the pairing are given in Section 2. The value of $h_1 \cdot h_2$ provides a notion of distance between the two heights (as was observed by Fili in [Fi]). It follows from equidistribution [CL1, FRL1, BR] that

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_2(x_n) = h_1 \cdot h_2$$

for any infinite sequence of distinct points $x_n \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $h_1(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, suggesting that large numbers of common zeroes between h_1 and h_2 will imply that h_1 and h_2 are close. However, this measure of closeness between two heights is not generally uniform in families of heights, because the rate of equidistribution is not uniform. Nevertheless, by bounding the height pairing $h_1 \cdot h_2$ from below, we can obtain an upper bound on the number of common zeroes for certain families.

In the context of Theorem 1.5, we consider the family of height functions \hat{h}_t on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ induced from the Néron-Tate canonical height on the elliptic curve E_t , for $t \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$; its zeroes are precisely the elements of $\pi(E_t^{tors})$. We implement this general strategy by proving three bounds on the intersection pairing $\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2}$. We prove a uniform lower bound on the pairing:

Theorem 1.6. *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \geq \delta$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

We also prove an asymptotic lower bound for parameters t_1 and t_2 with large height:

Theorem 1.7. *There exist constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that*

$$\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \geq \alpha h(t_1, t_2) - \beta$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Here $h(t_1, t_2)$ is the naive logarithmic height on $\mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

We find an upper bound that depends on the number of common zeroes of \hat{h}_{t_1} and \hat{h}_{t_2} as well as the heights of the parameters t_1 and t_2 :

Theorem 1.8. *For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \leq \left(\varepsilon + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{N(t_1, t_2)} \right) (h(t_1, t_2) + 1)$$

for all t_1 and t_2 in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, where $N(t_1, t_2) := |\pi(E_{t_1}^{tors}) \cap \pi(E_{t_2}^{tors})|$.

The three theorems combine to give a uniform bound on the number $N(t_1, t_2)$ of common zeroes of \hat{h}_{t_1} and \hat{h}_{t_2} for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 follow from estimates on the local height functions and the local equilibrium measures on the v -adic Berkovich projective line at each place v of a number field containing t_1 and t_2 , computed using the dynamical Lattès map $f_t : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ induced by multiplication by 2 on a Legendre curve E_t . The non-archimedean contributions to $\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2}$ turn out to be straightforward to compute for these heights. Significant technical issues arise when v is archimedean and both parameters t_i are tending to the singularity set $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ for this family; we resolve these issues by appealing to the theory of degenerations of complex dynamical systems on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, in which a family of complex rational maps degenerates to a non-archimedean dynamical system acting on a Berkovich space, as in the work of DeMarco-Faber [DF1] and Favre [Fa], using the formalism of hybrid space as discussed by Boucksom-Jonsson in [BJ].

For Theorem 1.8, we expand upon the quantitative equidistribution results of Favre-Rivera-Letelier [FRL1] and Fili [Fi] to analyze the rates of convergence of measures supported on finite sets of zeroes of a height h to the associated equilibrium measures at each place v . To do so requires control on the modulus of continuity of the local

heights, and again we rely on estimates from the hybrid space to treat the cases where a parameter t is tending to one of the singularities for the family E_t .

Although Theorem 1.6 alone was not enough to prove Theorem 1.5, it implies a uniform bound of a different sort, when combined with Zhang's inequality on the essential minimum of a height function [Zh2]:

Proposition 1.9. *Choose any b satisfying $0 < b < \delta/2$ for the δ of Theorem 1.6. Then the set*

$$S(b, t_1, t_2) := \{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}_{t_1}(x) + \hat{h}_{t_2}(x) \leq b\}$$

is finite for each pair $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

The complete proof of Theorem 1.5, however, gives a much stronger statement: we obtain a uniform bound on the size of the set $S(b, t_1, t_2)$ defined in Proposition 1.9 over all pairs $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$; see Theorem 8.1. This in turn provides a uniform version of the Bogomolov Conjecture for the associated family of genus 2 curves. The Bogomolov Conjecture was proved for each individual curve X over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ in [Ul, Zh1]. To state our result precisely, we fix ample and symmetric line bundles on the family of Jacobians $J(X)$ for the genus 2 curves X defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ that we consider in Theorem 1.1. Specifically, we take $L_X = \Phi^*L_D$ for the isogeny $\Phi : J(X) \rightarrow E_1 \times E_2$ of Proposition 9.1, with L_D the line bundle associated to the divisor $D = \{O_1\} \times E_2 + E_1 \times \{O_2\}$, where O_i is the identity element of E_i .

Theorem 1.10. *There exist constants B and $b > 0$ such that*

$$|\{x \in j_P(X)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}_{L_X}(x) \leq b\}| \leq B$$

for all smooth curves X over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of genus 2 admitting a degree-two map to an elliptic curve and all Weierstrass points P on X , where \hat{h}_{L_X} is the Néron-Tate canonical height on the Jacobian $J(X)$.

Finally, we mention that we implement this general strategy towards uniform boundedness in a follow-up article [DKY] in another setting, providing a uniform bound on the number of common preperiodic points for distinct polynomials of the form $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 1.11. We have chosen to work with the Arakelov-Zhang pairing $\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2}$ to measure proximity of the two height functions, with $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, but there are other choices we could have made. For example, Kawaguchi and Silverman in [KS] study

$$\delta(\hat{h}_{t_1}, \hat{h}_{t_2}) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})} |\hat{h}_{t_1}(x) - \hat{h}_{t_2}(x)|.$$

It turns out that the two quantities are comparable for this family of heights. The upper bound $\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \leq \delta(\hat{h}_{t_1}, \hat{h}_{t_2})$ can be seen as a corollary of arithmetic equidistribution and (1.3), and therefore holds for any pair of normalized heights coming

from continuous, semipositive adelic metrics on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. A lower bound of the form $\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \geq C_1 \delta(\hat{h}_{t_1}, \hat{h}_{t_2}) - C_2$ for positive constants C_1, C_2 , and for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, is a consequence of Theorem 1.7, when combined with [KS, Theorem 1]. However, such a lower bound does not hold for all pairs of heights coming from metrics on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. A comparison of these two pairings is addressed further in [DKY], for the canonical heights associated to morphisms of \mathbb{P}^1 .

Outline of the paper. We fix our notation and provide background in Section 2. Sections 3, 4, and 5 provide the estimates on local height functions and local measures needed to prove all of our theorems. Theorem 1.7 is proved in Section 6, and from it we deduce Theorem 1.6 and Proposition 1.9. A generalization of Theorem 1.8 is proved in Section 7 which treats points of small height, not only of height 0. We prove Theorem 1.5 in Section 8 and finally Theorems 1.1 and 1.10 in Section 9.

Acknowledgements. We thank the American Institute of Mathematics, where the initial work for this paper took place as part of an AIM SQuaRE. Special thanks go to Ken Jacobs, Mattias Jonsson, Curt McMullen, and Khoa Nguyen for helpful discussions. We would also like to thank the anonymous referees for their many suggestions and careful reading of the article. During the preparation of this paper, L. DeMarco was supported by the National Science Foundation (DMS-1600718), H. Krieger was supported by Isaac Newton Trust (RG74916), and H. Ye was partially supported by ZJNSF (LR18A010001) and NSFC (11701508).

2. HEIGHTS, MEASURES, AND ENERGIES

This section develops the background and notation needed for the proofs that follow. Throughout, K is a number field and M_K its set of places.

2.1. The canonical height. Fix $t \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let E_t be the Legendre elliptic curve and $\pi : E_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the projection defined by $\pi(x, y) = x$. The multiplication-by-two endomorphism on E_t descends via π to a morphism of degree 4 on \mathbb{P}^1 given by

$$(2.1) \quad f_t(x) = \frac{(x^2 - t)^2}{4x(x - 1)(x - t)}.$$

The canonical height on the elliptic curve

$$\hat{h}_{E_t} : E_t(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

can be defined via the projection π and the iteration of f_t as $h_{E_t}(P) := \frac{1}{2}\hat{h}_t(\pi(P))$ where

$$\hat{h}_t : \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is the dynamical canonical height defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \hat{h}_t(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} h(f_t^n(x)).$$

Here, h is the (logarithmic) Weil height on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Note that $\hat{h}_t(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, and

$$\hat{h}_t(x) = 0 \iff x \in \pi(E_t^{\text{tors}})$$

[Si], [CS].

The height \hat{h}_t has a local decomposition as follows: for any number field K containing t , and for each place $v \in M_K$, there exists a local height function $\lambda_{t,v}$ such that

$$\hat{h}_t(x) = \sum_{v \in M_K} \frac{r_v}{|\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot x|} \sum_{y \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot x} \lambda_{t,v}(y)$$

for all $x \in \overline{K}$, where

$$r_v := \frac{[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

The local heights $\lambda_{t,v}$ can be chosen to extend continuously to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v) \setminus \{\infty\}$, where \mathbb{C}_v is the completion (w.r.t. v) of an algebraic closure of the completion K_v , and to satisfy

$$\lambda_{t,v}(x) = \log |x|_v + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

as $|x|_v \rightarrow \infty$.

2.2. Local heights and escape rates. To compute the local heights, we will often express the maps $f_t : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of (2.1) in homogeneous coordinates, as

$$F_t(z, w) := ((z^2 - tw^2)^2, 4zw(z - w)(z - tw))$$

for z and w in \mathbb{C}_v . As observed in [BR, Chapter 10], its escape-rate function

$$(2.3) \quad G_{F_t,v}(z, w) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \log \|F_t^n(z, w)\|_v,$$

where $\|(z, w)\|_v = \max\{|z|_v, |w|_v\}$, satisfies

$$\hat{h}_t(x) = \sum_{v \in M_K} \frac{r_v}{|\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot \tilde{x}|} \sum_{\tilde{y} \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot \tilde{x}} G_{F_t,v}(\tilde{y})$$

for $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$ and \tilde{x} any choice of lift of x to $\overline{K}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. In particular, we may take

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_{t,v}(x) = G_{F_t,v}(x, 1)$$

as a local height for \hat{h}_t .

The elliptic curves E_t and E_{1-t} and $E_{1/t}$ are isomorphic, with the following transformation formulas for the local heights:

Proposition 2.1. *Fix any number field K and $v \in M_K$. Then, for all $t \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we have*

$$G_{F_{1-t},v}(1-z, 1) = G_{F_t,v}(z, 1) = G_{F_{1/t},v}(z, t) = G_{F_{1/t},v}(z/t, 1) + \log |t|_v.$$

Proof. Let A be the automorphism $A(z, w) = (w - z, w)$. Then

$$A \circ F_t^n = -F_{1-t}^n \circ A$$

for all iterates, proving the first equality. Similarly, let $B(z, w) = (z, tw)$. Then

$$B \circ F_t^n = F_{1/t}^n \circ B$$

for all iterates, proving the second equality. The final equality follows from the logarithmic homogeneity of G . \square

2.3. The Berkovich projective line. Let K be a number field. For each $v \in M_K$, let $\mathbb{A}_v^{1,an}$ denote the Berkovich affine line over \mathbb{C}_v . For non-archimedean v , the points of $\mathbb{A}_v^{1,an}$ come in four types. The Type I points in $\mathbb{A}_v^{1,an}$ are, by definition, the elements of the field \mathbb{C}_v . The Type II points are in one-to-one correspondence with disks $D(a, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}_v : |x - a|_v \leq r\}$ with $r > 0$ rational, and these are the branch points for the underlying tree structure on $\mathbb{A}_v^{1,an}$. The Type III points correspond to disks $D(a, r)$ with r irrational. (We will not need the Type IV points in this article.) A Type II or III point corresponding to $D(a, r)$ will be denoted by $\zeta_{a,r}$. The *Gauss point* $\zeta_{0,1}$ is the Type II point identified with the unit disk. The Berkovich projective line $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an} = \mathbb{A}_v^{1,an} \cup \{\infty\}$ is the one-point compactification of $\mathbb{A}_v^{1,an}$, which is a canonically-defined path-connected compact Hausdorff space containing $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$ as a dense subspace. If v is archimedean, then $\mathbb{C}_v \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

For each $v \in M_K$ there is a distribution-valued Laplacian operator Δ on $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$. The function $\log^+ |z|_v$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$ extends naturally to a continuous real valued function $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, and the Laplacian is normalized such that

$$\Delta \log^+ |z|_v = \omega_v - \delta_\infty$$

on $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$, where $\omega_v = m_{S^1}$ is the Lebesgue probability measure on the unit circle when v is archimedean, and $\omega_v = \delta_G$ is a point mass at the Gauss point of $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$ when v is non-archimedean. A probability measure μ_v on $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$ is said to have *continuous potentials* if $\mu_v - \omega_v = \Delta g$ with $g : \mathbb{P}_v^{1,an} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous. The function g for μ_v is unique up to the addition of a constant. See [BR, Chapter 5] for more details. Note that the Laplacian used here is the negative of the one appearing in [PST] and [BR], but agrees with the usual Laplacian (up to a factor of 2π) at the archimedean places.

For v non-archimedean, we set

$$\mathbb{H} := \mathbb{A}_v^{1,an} \setminus \mathbb{C}_v.$$

The hyperbolic distance d_{hyp} on \mathbb{H} gives it the structure of a metrized \mathbb{R} -tree and satisfies

$$d_{\text{hyp}}(\zeta_{a,r_1}, \zeta_{a,r_2}) = \log(r_1/r_2)$$

for any $a \in \mathbb{C}_v$ and any $r_1 \geq r_2 > 0$. We will say that a probability measure μ_v on \mathbb{H} is an *interval measure* if it is the uniform distribution on an interval $[\zeta_1, \zeta_2] \subset \mathbb{H}$ with respect to the linear structure induced from the hyperbolic metric d_{hyp} .

2.4. Canonical measures and good reduction. For each Legendre elliptic curve E_t with t in a number field K and each $v \in M_K$, the local height $\lambda_{t,v}$ of (2.4) extends to define a continuous and subharmonic function on $\mathbb{A}_v^{1,an}$ with logarithmic singularity at ∞ . We have

$$\Delta \lambda_{t,v} = \mu_{t,v} - \delta_\infty$$

on $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$, where $\mu_{t,v}$ is the canonical probability measure for the dynamical system f_t at v [FRL1], [BR, Theorem 10.2].

For archimedean $v \in M_K$, the measure $\mu_{t,v}$ is the unique f_t -invariant measure on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ achieving the maximal entropy $\log 4$. It is the push-forward of the Haar measure on $E_t(\mathbb{C})$ via the projection π introduced in §2.1. See, for example, [Mi] for a dynamical discussion of the maps f_t on the Riemann sphere.

For non-archimedean $v \in M_K$, if the curve E_t and the map f_t have good reduction, the measure $\mu_{t,v}$ is the point mass δ_G supported on the Gauss point $\zeta_{0,1}$. The map f_t has potential good reduction, meaning that it has good reduction under a suitable change of coordinates on \mathbb{P}^1 , if and only if the measure $\mu_{t,v}$ is supported at a single Type II point in \mathbb{H} . In general, the support of $\mu_{t,v}$ is equal to the Julia set of f_t in $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$.

Recall that the j -invariant of the elliptic curve E_t over \mathbb{C} is given by

$$(2.5) \quad j(t) = \frac{256(1-t+t^2)^3}{(1-t)^2t^2}.$$

For $t \in K$ and non-archimedean $v \in M_K$, the map f_t has potential good reduction at v if and only if the curve E_t has potential good reduction at v . This equivalence can be proved via equidistribution of torsion points on E_t at all places [BP_e, Theorem 1] (thus implying that the measure $\mu_{t,v}$ will also be supported at a single point of $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$) or via a direct calculation showing that the Julia set of f_t is a singleton if and only if $|j(t)|_v \leq 1$.

2.5. The height as an adelic metric. Suppose $t \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$. The height \hat{h}_t on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, introduced in §2.1, is induced from an adelic metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, in the sense of Zhang [Zh2]. Fixing coordinates on \mathbb{P}^1 and a section s of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ with $(s) = (\infty)$, then a metric $\|\cdot\|_{t,v}$ can be defined at each place v of K by setting

$$-\log \|s(z)\|_{t,v} = \lambda_{t,v}(z) = G_{F_{t,v}}(z, 1),$$

for the function $G_{F_t, v}$ of (2.3). The height \hat{h}_t satisfies

$$\hat{h}_t(x) = \sum_{v \in M_K} \frac{r_v}{|\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \cdot x|} \sum_{y \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \cdot x} (-\log \|s(y)\|_{t, v})$$

for all $x \neq \infty$ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. Writing $\lambda_{t, v}(z) = \log |z|_v + c_v + o(1)$ as $|z|_v \rightarrow \infty$ with a constant c_v at each place v of K , we may compute that

$$(2.6) \quad 0 = \hat{h}_t(\infty) = \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v c_v,$$

because ∞ is the projection of the origin of E_t .

2.6. The intersection pairing. For these heights \hat{h}_t coming from the Legendre family of elliptic curves, with $t \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \hat{h}_{t_1} = \hat{h}_{t_2} \iff t_1 = t_2.$$

Indeed, any height coming from an adelic metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ is uniquely determined, up to an additive constant, by the associated curvature distributions; see, for example, the construction of a height function from the measures in [FRL1]. For heights of the form \hat{h}_t , at each archimedean place v of a number field containing t , the curvature distribution $\mu_{t, v}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is the push-forward of the Haar measure on $E_t(\mathbb{C})$ by π ; it therefore has a greater density at the four branch points $\{0, 1, t, \infty\}$ of π , and thus determines t .

There is a well-defined intersection number between any pair of such heights, as in [Zh2] (see also [CL2]); more precisely, it is the arithmetic intersection number of the two associated adelically metrized line bundles. By the non-degeneracy of this height pairing and (2.7),

$$(2.8) \quad \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \geq 0 \text{ with equality if and only if } t_1 = t_2,$$

as computed in [PST].

To define the pairing $\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2}$, we fix sections s and u of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ such that their divisors do not intersect. Given t_1 and t_2 in a number field K , and a place v of K , we set

$$\langle \hat{h}_{t_1}, \hat{h}_{t_2} \rangle_v^{s, u} := \int \log \|s\|_{t_1, v}^{-1} \Delta(\log \|u\|_{t_2, v}^{-1}) = \langle \hat{h}_{t_2}, \hat{h}_{t_1} \rangle_v^{u, s}.$$

The integral is over the Berkovich analytification $\mathbb{P}_v^{1, an}$ of \mathbb{P}^1 , over the field \mathbb{C}_v . The metrics satisfy

$$\Delta(\log \|s\|_{t, v}^{-1}) = \mu_{t, v} - \delta_{(s)},$$

and $\mu_{t, v}$ is the associated curvature distribution.

The height pairing is then defined as

$$(2.9) \quad \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} := \hat{h}_{t_1}((u)) + \hat{h}_{t_2}((s)) + \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \langle \hat{h}_{t_1}, \hat{h}_{t_2} \rangle_v^{s, u}$$

which is independent of the choices of s and u . This pairing is easily seen to be symmetric, and since $\hat{h}_t(\infty) = 0$ for all t , it can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} &= \hat{h}_{t_2}(\infty) + \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \int (\log \|s\|_{t_1, v}^{-1}) d\mu_{t_2, v} = \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \int \lambda_{t_1, v} d\mu_{t_2, v} \\
 (2.10) \quad &= \hat{h}_{t_1}(\infty) + \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \int (\log \|s\|_{t_2, v}^{-1}) d\mu_{t_1, v} = \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \int \lambda_{t_2, v} d\mu_{t_1, v}
 \end{aligned}$$

when $(s) = (\infty)$.

As $\hat{h}_t \cdot \hat{h}_t = 0$ for all $t \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ from (2.8), note that

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \int \lambda_{t, v} d\mu_{t, v} = 0 = \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v c_v,$$

by combining (2.10) and (2.6). The pairing can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{h}_{t_2}(\infty) + \hat{h}_{t_1}(\infty) + \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \left(\int \lambda_{t_1, v} d\mu_{t_2, v} + \int \lambda_{t_2, v} d\mu_{t_1, v} \right) \right) \\
 (2.12) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \left(\int (\lambda_{t_1, v} - \lambda_{t_2, v}) d\mu_{t_2, v} + \int (\lambda_{t_2, v} - \lambda_{t_1, v}) d\mu_{t_1, v} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The advantage of working with (2.12) is the following local version of the non-degeneracy property (2.8):

Proposition 2.2. [FRL1, Propositions 2.6 and 4.5] *Let K be a number field and $v \in M_K$. For any $t_1, t_2 \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$, the local energy*

$$E_v(t_1, t_2) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\int (\lambda_{t_1, v} - \lambda_{t_2, v}) d\mu_{t_2, v} + \int (\lambda_{t_2, v} - \lambda_{t_1, v}) d\mu_{t_1, v} \right)$$

is non-negative; it is equal to 0 if and only if $\mu_{t_1, v} = \mu_{t_2, v}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $v \in M_K$, and fix $t_1, t_2 \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$. We have*

$$E_v(t_2, t_1) = E_v(t_1, t_2) = E_v(1 - t_1, 1 - t_2) = E_v(1/t_1, 1/t_2).$$

Proof. Given measures $\mu_{t_1, v}$ and $\mu_{t_2, v}$, the local energy $E_v(t_1, t_2)$ can be expressed as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int g d(\mu_{t_1, v} - \mu_{t_2, v})$$

for any continuous potential g of the signed measure $\mu_{t_1, v} - \mu_{t_2, v}$, because $g = \lambda_{t_1, v} - \lambda_{t_2, v} + c$ for some constant c . We have

$$f_{1-t} = \alpha \circ f_t \circ \alpha^{-1}$$

for $\alpha(z) = 1 - z = \alpha^{-1}(z)$, such that $\mu_{1-t, v} = \alpha_* \mu_{t, v}$ and $g = (\lambda_{t_1, v} - \lambda_{t_2, v}) \circ \alpha^{-1}$ is a potential for the measure $\mu_{1-t_1, v} - \mu_{1-t_2, v}$. Therefore, $E_v(1 - t_1, 1 - t_2) = E_v(t_1, t_2)$. Similarly, we have $f_{1/t}(z) = \alpha \circ f_t \circ \alpha^{-1}(z)$ for $\alpha(z) = z/t$, so $E_v(1/t_1, 1/t_2) = E_v(t_1, t_2)$. \square

2.7. Measures and mutual energy. Suppose that ν_1 and ν_2 are signed measures on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ with trace measures $|\nu_i|$ for which the function $\log |z - w| \in L^1(|\nu_1| \otimes |\nu_2|)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \text{Diag}$. The mutual energy of ν_1 and ν_2 is defined in [FRL1] by

$$(2.13) \quad (\nu_1, \nu_2) := - \int_{\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \text{Diag}} \log |z - w| d\nu_1 \otimes d\nu_2.$$

This definition extends to the non-archimedean setting by replacing $|z - w|$ with the Hsia kernel $\delta_v(z, w)$ based at the point at ∞ . In this way, for $v \in M_K^0$, a pairing is defined similarly as

$$(2.14) \quad (\nu_1, \nu_2)_v := - \int_{\mathbb{A}_v^{1,an} \times \mathbb{A}_v^{1,an} \setminus \text{Diag}} \log \delta_v(z, w) d\nu_1 \otimes d\nu_2.$$

See [FRL1, §4.4] and [BR, Chapter 4].

For measures ν_i of total mass 0 with continuous potentials on $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$ we have

$$(\nu_1, \nu_2)_v = - \int g_1 d\nu_2$$

for any choice of continuous potential g_1 for ν_1 . Further, $(\nu_1, \nu_2)_v \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ [FRL1, Propositions 2.6 and 4.5]. Note that Proposition 2.2 is a special case of this fact. Indeed, in this notation, the local energy $E_v(t_1, t_2)$ defined in Proposition 2.2 is given by

$$(2.15) \quad E_v(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{t_1,v} - \mu_{t_2,v}, \mu_{t_1,v} - \mu_{t_2,v})_v$$

at each place v of a number field containing t_1 and t_2 , for the canonical measures introduced in §2.4.

The mutual energy $(\cdot, \cdot)_v$ of (2.13) and (2.14) can also be defined for discrete measures. If $F = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is any finite set in a number field K , and $v \in M_K$, then denote by $[F]_v$ the probability measure supported equally on the elements of $F \subset \mathbb{C}_v$. Then

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_v r_v ([F]_v, [F]_v)_v = \sum_v r_v \frac{1}{|F|^2} \sum_{i \neq j} \log |x_i - x_j|_v = 0$$

by the product formula.

2.8. A metric on the space of adelic heights. The height pairing gives rise to a metric on the space of continuous, semipositive, adelic metrics on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ [Fi, Theorem 1]. Given a number field K and any collection of probability measures $\{\mu_v\}_{v \in M_K}$ on $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$ with continuous potentials for which $\mu_v = \omega_v$ at all but finitely many places (where ω_v is a point mass supported on the Gauss point), then there is a unique metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ with curvature distributions given by $\{\mu_v\}_{v \in M_K}$, normalized such

that its associated height function $h : \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $h \cdot h = 0$ [FRL1]. The height pairing between any two such heights is computed as

$$h_1 \cdot h_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v (\mu_{1,v} - \mu_{2,v}, \mu_{1,v} - \mu_{2,v})_v.$$

Fili observed that a distance between h_1 and h_2 can be defined by

$$\text{dist}(h_1, h_2) := (h_1 \cdot h_2)^{1/2}.$$

Indeed, we have already seen that $h_1 \cdot h_2 = 0$ if and only if $h_1 = h_2$ because of the non-degeneracy of the mutual energy $(\cdot, \cdot)_v$ at each place. Furthermore, $\text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies a triangle inequality: at each place, the mutual energy induces a non-degenerate, symmetric, bilinear form on the vector space of measures of mass 0 with continuous potentials on $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$, and so the triangle inequality for $\text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ follows from an ℓ^2 triangle inequality.

3. NON-ARCHIMEDEAN ENERGY

Throughout this section, we fix a number field K and a non-archimedean place $v \in M_K$, and provide a lower bound on the non-archimedean local energy defined in Proposition 2.2:

Theorem 3.1. *For $t_1, t_2 \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we have*

$$E_v(t_1, t_2) - \frac{4}{3} \log |2|_v \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\log^2 |t_1/t_2|_v}{6 \log \max\{|t_2|_v, |t_1|_v\}} & \text{for } \min\{|t_2|_v, |t_1|_v\} > 1 \\ \frac{\log^2 |t_1/t_2|_v}{-6 \log \min\{|t_2|_v, |t_1|_v\}} & \text{for } \max\{|t_2|_v, |t_1|_v\} < 1 \\ \frac{|\log |t_1/t_2|_v|}{6} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Equality holds for $v \nmid 2$ with $\min\{|t_1 - 1|_v, |t_2 - 1|_v\} \geq 1$.

3.1. Measure and escape rate for $v \nmid 2$.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $t \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $v \nmid 2$. Then f_t has good reduction at v if and only if $|t(t-1)|_v = 1$. If $|t(t-1)|_v \neq 1$, then f_t fails to have potential good reduction at v , and the canonical measure $\mu_{t,v}$ on $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$ of f_t is the interval measure supported on*

$$I = \begin{cases} [\zeta_{0,1}, \zeta_{0,|t|_v}] & \text{for } |t|_v > 1 \text{ or } |t|_v < 1, \\ [\zeta_{0,1}, \zeta_{1,|1-t|_v}] & \text{for } |1-t|_v < 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, it suffices to treat the cases with $|t|_v > 1$. By [FRL2, §5.1], $f_t^{-1}(I) = I$ and the action of f_t on I is by a tent map of degree 2. That is,

$$f_t(\zeta_{0,|t|_v^r}) = \begin{cases} \zeta_{0,|t|_v^{2r-1}} & \text{for } 1/2 \leq r \leq 1, \\ \zeta_{0,|t|_v^{1-2r}} & \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

The proposition follows. \square

We may now compute the local height $\lambda_{t,v}(z) = G_{F_t,v}(z, 1)$ on $\mathbb{A}_v^{1,an}$, which is locally constant away from the interval $[0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{A}_v^{1,an}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose $v \nmid 2$ is non-archimedean and $|t(t-1)|_v \geq 1$. The escape-rate function $G_{F_t,v}$ satisfies*

$$(3.1) \quad G_{F_t,v}(z, 1) = \begin{cases} \log |z|_v & \text{for } |z|_v \geq |t|_v \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\log^2 |z|_v}{\log |t|_v} + \log |t|_v \right) & \text{for } 1 < |z|_v < |t|_v \\ \frac{1}{2} \log |t|_v & \text{for } |z|_v \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_v$.

Proof. Let λ be the continuous extension of the expression on the right hand side of the formula (3.1) to $\mathbb{A}_v^{1,an}$. By Proposition 3.2, $\mu_{t,v}$ is the interval measure corresponding to $[\zeta_{0,1}, \zeta_{0,|t|_v}]$, and a direct computation shows that

$$\Delta\lambda = \mu_{t,v} - \delta_\infty.$$

Thus it suffices to show that $G_{F_t,v}(\cdot, 1)$ and λ agree at a single point. For any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_v$ with $|z_0|_v > |t|_v$, define $(z_n, w_n) := F_t^n(z_0, 1)$, so that

$$(3.2) \quad (z_{n+1}, w_{n+1}) = F_t(z_n, w_n) = ((z_n^2 - tw_n^2)^2, 4z_n w_n (z_n - w_n)(z_n - tw_n)).$$

Inductively,

$$|z_n|_v = |z_0|_v^{4^n} > |t|_v |w_n|_v > |w_n|_v.$$

Consequently,

$$G_{F_t,v}(z_0, 1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \log \|F_t^n(z_0, 1)\|_v = \log |z_0|_v = \lambda(z_0).$$

\square

A similar application of Proposition 3.2 yields

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose $v \nmid 2$ is non-archimedean and $|t|_v < 1$. The escape-rate function $G_{F_t,v}$ satisfies*

$$(3.3) \quad G_{F_t,v}(z, 1) = \begin{cases} \log |z|_v & \text{for } |z|_v \geq 1 \\ -\frac{\log^2 |z|_v}{2 \log |t|_v} + \log |z|_v & \text{for } |t|_v < |z|_v < 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \log |t|_v & \text{for } |z|_v \leq |t|_v \end{cases}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_v$.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1 for $v \nmid 2$. We compute the local energy $E_v(t_1, t_2)$ by cases.

Case (1): $|t_1|_v > 1$ and $|t_2|_v < 1$. Recall the local energy can be expressed as

$$2 E_v(t_1, t_2) = \int_{\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}} (\lambda_{t_1,v} - \lambda_{t_2,v}) d\mu_{t_2,v} + \int_{\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}} (\lambda_{t_2,v} - \lambda_{t_1,v}) d\mu_{t_1,v}.$$

Therefore by Proposition 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} 2E_v(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}} (\lambda_{t_1,v} - \lambda_{t_2,v}) d\mu_{t_2,v} + \int_{\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}} (\lambda_{t_2,v} - \lambda_{t_1,v}) d\mu_{t_1,v} \\ &= \int_{\log |t_2|_v}^0 \left(\frac{\log |t_1|_v}{2} - \left(-\frac{x^2}{2 \log |t_2|_v} + x \right) \right) \frac{dx}{-\log |t_2|_v} \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\log |t_1|_v} \left(x - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x^2}{\log |t_1|_v} + \log |t_1|_v \right) \right) \frac{dx}{\log |t_1|_v} \\ &= \frac{\log |t_1/t_2|_v}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Case (2): $|t_1|_v > 1$ and $|t_2|_v > 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $|t_1|_v = \max\{|t_1|_v, |t_2|_v\}$. By Proposition 3.2 and 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} 2E_v(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}} (\lambda_{t_1,v} - \lambda_{t_2,v}) d\mu_{t_2,v} + \int_{\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}} (\lambda_{t_2,v} - \lambda_{t_1,v}) d\mu_{t_1,v} \\ &= \int_0^{\log |t_2|_v} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x^2}{\log |t_1|_v} + \log |t_1|_v \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x^2}{\log |t_2|_v} + \log |t_2|_v \right) \right) \frac{dx}{\log |t_2|_v} \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\log |t_2|_v} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x^2}{\log |t_2|_v} + \log |t_2|_v \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x^2}{\log |t_1|_v} + \log |t_1|_v \right) \right) \frac{dx}{\log |t_1|_v} \\ &\quad + \int_{\log |t_2|_v}^{\log |t_1|_v} \left(x - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x^2}{\log |t_1|_v} + \log |t_1|_v \right) \right) \frac{dx}{\log |t_1|_v} \\ &= \frac{\log^2 |t_1/t_2|_v}{3 \log \max\{|t_1|_v, |t_2|_v\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case (3): $|t_2(t_2 - 1)|_v = 1$ and $|t_1 - 1|_v \geq 1$. In this case, f_{t_2} has good reduction, so $\mu_{t_2, v}$ is a point mass supported on the Gauss point $\zeta_{0,1}$. Hence

$$2E_v(t_1, t_2) = \frac{|\log |t_1|_v|}{3} = \frac{|\log |t_1/t_2|_v|}{3}.$$

Case (4): The remaining cases reduce to the above three by the symmetry relations of Proposition 2.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1 under the assumption that $v \nmid 2$.

3.3. Measure and escape rate for $v \mid 2$.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose $v \mid 2$ is non-archimedean. The canonical measure $\mu_{t, v}$ on $\mathbb{P}_v^{1, an}$ of f_t is the interval measure corresponding to the interval I with*

$$I = \begin{cases} [\zeta_{0, |t/4|_v}, \zeta_{0, |4|_v}] & \text{for } |t|_v < |16|_v, \\ [\zeta_{0, |1/4|_v}, \zeta_{0, |4t|_v}] & \text{for } |t|_v > 1/|16|_v, \\ [\zeta_{1, |1-t|_v/4|_v}, \zeta_{1, |4|_v}] & \text{for } |1-t|_v < |16|_v. \end{cases}$$

For $|16|_v \leq |t|_v \leq 1/|16|_v$ with $|1-t|_v \geq |16|_v$, $f_t(z)$ has potential good reduction, and $\mu_{t, v}$ is supported on a single point in \mathbb{H} .

Proof. We proceed as in the computations of [FRL2, §5.1], though the authors had assumed for simplicity that the residue characteristic of their field is not 2. If $|t|_v > 1/|16|_v$, the interval $[\zeta_{0, |1/4|_v}, \zeta_{0, |4t|_v}]$ is totally invariant by f_t , and

$$f_t(\zeta_{0, |4t|_v |16t|_v^{-r}}) = \zeta_{0, |4t|_v |16t|_v^{-2r}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_t(\zeta_{0, |4t|_v |16t|_v^{r-1}}) = \zeta_{0, |4t|_v |16t|_v^{-2r}}$$

for $r \in [0, 1/2]$. Thus $\mu_{t, v}$ is the interval measure on $[\zeta_{0, |1/4|_v}, \zeta_{0, |4t|_v}]$. The cases $|t|_v < |16|_v$ or $|1-t|_v < |16|_v$ can then be deduced from Proposition 2.1.

For all $|16|_v \leq |t|_v \leq 1/|16|_v$ with $|1-t|_v \geq |16|_v$, we have $|j(t)|_v \leq 1$, so f_t has potential good reduction. \square

Following the proofs of Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, from Proposition 3.5 we obtain

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose $v \mid 2$ is non-archimedean. We have*

$$(3.4) \quad G_{F_{t, v}}(z, 1) = \begin{cases} \log |z|_v & \text{for } |z|_v \geq |4t|_v \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\log^2 |4z|_v}{\log |16t|_v} + \log |t|_v \right) & \text{for } 1/|4|_v < |z|_v < |4t|_v \\ \frac{1}{2} \log |t|_v & \text{for } |z|_v \leq 1/|4|_v \end{cases}$$

for t with $|t|_v \geq 1/|16|_v$, and

$$(3.5) \quad G_{F_t,v}(z, 1) = \begin{cases} \log |z|_v & \text{for } |z|_v \geq |4|_v \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\log^2 |4z/t|_v}{\log |16/t|_v} + \log |t|_v \right) & \text{for } |4|_v < |z|_v < |t/4|_v \\ \frac{1}{2} \log |t|_v & \text{for } |z|_v \leq |t/4|_v \end{cases}$$

for t with $|t|_v \leq |16|_v$.

3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.1 for $v \mid 2$. We compute as in the case where $v \nmid 2$.

Case (1): $\{t_1, t_2\}$ with $\min\{|t_1|_v, |t_2|_v\} \geq 1/|16|_v$ and $\max\{|t_1|_v, |t_2|_v\} > 1/|16|_v$. Proposition 3.6 yields

$$2 E_v(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\log^2 |t_1/t_2|_v}{3 \log \max\{|16t_1|_v, |16t_2|_v\}} \geq \frac{\log^2 |t_1/t_2|_v}{3 \log \max\{|t_1|_v, |t_2|_v\}}.$$

Case (2): $|t_1|_v \geq 1/|16|_v$ and $|t_2|_v \leq |16|_v$. Again by Proposition 3.6,

$$2 E_v(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\log |16t_1|_v - \log |t_2/16|_v}{3} - \log |16|_v \geq \frac{\log |t_1/t_2|_v}{3}.$$

Case (3): $|t_1|_v > 1/|16|_v$, $|16|_v \leq |t_2|_v \leq 1/|16|_v$ and $|1 - t_2|_v \geq |16|_v$. Let $\zeta_{t_2} \in \mathbb{H}$ be the support of $\mu_{t_2,v}$. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}_v$ with $|z|_v > 1/|4|_v$,

$$|f_{t_2}(z)|_v = \frac{|(z^2 - t_2)^2|_v}{|4z(z-1)(z-t_2)|_v} > |z|_v.$$

Hence $\zeta_{0,1/|4|_v} \in [\zeta_{t_2}, \infty)$. Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_v$ with $|z_0|_v > 1/|4|_v$, and let $(z_n, w_n) := F_{t_2}^n(z_0, 1)$. From the recursive formula (3.2), inductively we have $|z_n| = |z_0|_v^{4^n} > |w_n|_v/|4|_v$. Consequently

$$\lambda_{t_2,v}(z) = G_{F_{t_2},v}(z, 1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \|F_{t_2}^n\|_v}{4^n} = \log |z|_v$$

for z with $|z|_v > 1/|4|_v$, and then $\lambda_{t_2,v}(\zeta_{0,r}) = \log r$ for $r \geq 1/|4|_v$. Moreover, as $\Delta \lambda_{t_2,v} = \delta_{\zeta_{t_2}} - \delta_\infty$, the function $\lambda_{t_2,v}$ is increasing at a constant rate along the ray $[\zeta_{t_2}, \infty)$, with respect to the hyperbolic metric. Therefore $\lambda_{t_2,v}(\zeta_{t_2}) \leq \lambda_{t_2,v}(\zeta_{0,1/|4|_v}) =$

$-\log |4|_v$. Hence by Propositions 3.5 and 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned}
2 E_v(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}} (\lambda_{t_1,v} - \lambda_{t_2,v}) d\mu_{t_2,v} + \int_{\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}} (\lambda_{t_2,v} - \lambda_{t_1,v}) d\mu_{t_1,v} \\
&= (\lambda_{t_1,v}(\zeta_{t_2}) - \lambda_{t_2,v}(\zeta_{t_2})) \\
&\quad + \int_{\log |1/4|_v}^{\log |4t_1|_v} \left(x - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(x + \log |4|_v)^2}{\log |16t_1|_v} + \log |t_1|_v \right) \right) \frac{dx}{\log |16t_1|_v} \\
&\geq \frac{\log |16t_1|_v}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $\lambda_{t_2,v}(\zeta_{t_2}) \leq -\log |4|_v$ and $\lambda_{t_1,v}(\zeta_{t_2}) = \frac{1}{2} \log |t_1|_v$ for the last inequality.

Of course, for $|16|_v \leq |t_i|_v \leq 1/|16|_v$ and $|1 - t_i|_v \geq |16|_v$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$2 E_v(t_1, t_2) \geq 0 \geq \frac{|\log |t_1/t_2||_v}{3} + \frac{8}{3} \log |2|_v.$$

Case (4): The remaining cases reduce to the above three by the symmetry relations of Proposition 2.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4. ARCHIMEDEAN PLACES AND THE HYBRID SPACE

In this section, we provide some of the estimates we need to control the archimedean contributions to the height pairings. Throughout this section, we assume our parameter $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is complex. We let μ_t denote the probability measure on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ which is the push forward of the Haar measure on the Legendre elliptic curve $E_t(\mathbb{C})$ via $\pi(x, y) = x$. This measure is also the unique measure of maximal entropy for the dynamical system defined by the Lattès map

$$f_t(z) = \frac{(z^2 - t)^2}{4z(z - 1)(z - t)},$$

as noted in [Mi, §7]. We study degenerations of the probability measures μ_t and their potentials as $t \rightarrow 0$. (The cases of $t \rightarrow 1$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$ are similar.) To this end, we consider the action of f_t sending (t, z) to $(t, f_t(z))$ on the complex surface $X = \mathbb{D}^* \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, where \mathbb{D}^* is the punctured unit disk. We make use of the hybrid space X^{hyb} , in which the Berkovich projective line over the field of formal Laurent series $\mathbb{C}((t))$ creates a central fiber of X over $t = 0$ in the unit disk \mathbb{D} . We appeal to the topological description of the hybrid space from [BJ] and the associated dynamical degenerations described in [Fa].

4.1. The family of Lattès maps and their escape rates. In homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{C}^2 , recall that the maps f_t may be presented as

$$F_t(z, w) := ((z^2 - tw^2)^2, 4zw(z - w)(z - tw)),$$

for $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. They have escape-rate functions

$$(4.1) \quad G_{F_t}(z, w) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \log \|F_t^n(z, w)\|,$$

as in (2.3).

View the families f_t and F_t as maps $f = f_T$ and $F = F_T$ defined over the field $k = \mathbb{C}(T)$, and consider the non-archimedean absolute value $|\cdot|_0$ on k satisfying $|g(T)|_0 = e^{-\text{ord}_0 g}$. Let $k_0 = \mathbb{C}((T))$ denote the completion of $\mathbb{C}(T)$ with respect to this absolute value. Let \mathbb{L} denote a (minimal) complete and algebraically closed field containing k_0 . The non-archimedean escape rate \hat{G}_F on \mathbb{L}^2 is defined as in (2.3). Since $|T|_0 < 1$, it is given for $x \in \mathbb{L}$ by the following formula, exactly as in Proposition 3.4:

$$(4.2) \quad \hat{g}_f(x) := \hat{G}_F(x, 1) = \begin{cases} \log |x|_0 & \text{for } |x|_0 \geq 1 \\ \log |x|_0 - \frac{(\log |x|_0)^2}{2 \log |T|_0} & \text{for } |T|_0 < |x|_0 < 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \log |T|_0 & \text{for } |x|_0 \leq |T|_0 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} -a & \text{for } |x|_0 = |T|_0^a \text{ with } a \leq 0 \\ -a + \frac{1}{2} a^2 & \text{for } |x|_0 = |T|_0^a \text{ with } 0 \leq a \leq 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \text{for } |x|_0 = |T|_0^a \text{ with } a \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

The function \hat{g}_f extends naturally to the Berkovich space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1,an}$; away from the point at ∞ , it is a continuous potential for the equilibrium measure $\hat{\mu}_f$ of f .

The potential \hat{g}_f and the measure $\hat{\mu}_f$ are invariant under the action of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/k_0)$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1,an}$. They descend to define a function and probability measure – that we will also denote by \hat{g}_f and $\hat{\mu}_f$ – on the quotient Berkovich line $\mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1,an}$ (see [Be, §4.2] for details on this quotient map). As computed in Proposition 3.2, the measure $\hat{\mu}_f$ is supported on the interval $[\zeta_{0,|T|_0}, \zeta_{0,1}]$, and it is uniform with respect the linear structure from the hyperbolic metric.

4.2. Convergence of measures. The family f_t acts on the product space $\mathbb{D}^* \times \mathbb{P}^1$ sending (t, z) to $(t, f_t(z))$. It extends meromorphically to $X_0 := \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, or indeed to any model complex surface $X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}^* \times \mathbb{P}^1$ over \mathbb{D}^* and has a simple normal crossings divisor as its central fiber.

Fixing a surface $X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and letting $t \rightarrow 0$, the degeneration of the measures μ_t of maximal entropy for f_t – or indeed for any meromorphic family of rational maps on \mathbb{P}^1 – to the central fiber of X is now well understood. In [DF1, DF2], the limit of the measures μ_t is computed for any choice of model X , and a relation is shown

between these limits and the non-archimedean measure $\hat{\mu}_f$. In particular, if we define the annulus

$$A_t(a, b, C) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : C^{-1}|t|^a \leq |z| \leq C|t|^b\}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{D}^*$, $C > 1$, and real numbers $a \geq b$, then

$$(4.3) \quad \mu_t(A_t(a, b, C)) \rightarrow \hat{\mu}_f([\zeta_{0,|T|_0^a}, \zeta_{0,|T|_0^b}]) = \text{length}_{\mathbb{R}}([0, 1] \cap [b, a])$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. This follows from [DF1, Theorem B] (allowing for changes of coordinates on \mathbb{P}^1 and base changes, passing to covers of the punctured disk \mathbb{D}^*) or from the computations described in [DF2, Theorem D] (taking Γ to be a vertex set in the interval $[\zeta_{0,1}, \zeta_{0,|T|_0}]$). Another proof is described below in §4.3. In particular, this convergence implies:

Lemma 4.1. *Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ and integer $n \geq 1$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{n} - \varepsilon < \mu_t(\{|t|^{(i+1)/n} \leq |z| \leq |t|^{i/n}\}) < \frac{1}{n} + \varepsilon$$

for all $0 < |t| < \delta$ and $i = 0, \dots, n-1$.

Taking $\varepsilon = 1/n^2$ in Lemma 4.1, we observe that for any given n , there is a $\delta > 0$ such that we also have

$$(4.4) \quad \mu_t\left(\{|z| \geq 1\} \cup \{|z| \leq |t|\}\right) < \frac{1}{n}$$

for all $0 < |t| < \delta$.

4.3. Convergence in the hybrid space. In [Fa], Favre gives an alternate proof of (4.3) by showing that

$$(4.5) \quad \mu_t \rightarrow \hat{\mu}_f$$

weakly in the hybrid space X^{hyb} [Fa, Theorem B]. The hybrid space consists of replacing the central fiber in the models X above with the Berkovich line $\mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1,an}$, carrying an appropriate topology. The convergence of measures follows from the convergence of their potentials to the potential of the measure $\hat{\mu}_f$ in the Berkovich line. We describe this convergence here, as we will use it for proving our main result.

Let m_1 denote the Lebesgue measure on the unit circle in \mathbb{C} , normalized to have total length 1. Let $\Phi_t(z)$ denote a continuous potential on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ for the measure $\mu_t - m_1$. Explicitly, in local coordinates $z \in \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$, we can take

$$(4.6) \quad \Phi_t(z) = G_{F_t}(z, 1) - \log^+ |z|$$

with G_{F_t} as in (4.1). In [Fa], Favre proves that the function

$$(4.7) \quad \varphi(t, z) := \frac{\Phi_t(z)}{\log |t|^{-1}}$$

extends to define a continuous function on X^{hyb} , taking the values of a potential of the limiting measure $\hat{\mu}_f - \omega_0$ on the central fiber. Here ω_0 is the delta mass on the Gauss point $\zeta_{0,1}$ of the Berkovich line $\mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1,an}$. More precisely, we consider the function

$$(4.8) \quad \hat{\varphi}_f(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |x|_0 \geq 1 \\ \log |x|_0 - \frac{(\log |x|_0)^2}{2 \log |T|_0} & \text{for } |T|_0 < |x|_0 < 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \log |T|_0 & \text{for } |x|_0 \leq |T|_0 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |x|_0 = |T|_0^a \text{ with } a \leq 0 \\ -a + \frac{1}{2} a^2 & \text{for } |x|_0 = |T|_0^a \text{ with } 0 \leq a \leq 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \text{for } |x|_0 = |T|_0^a \text{ with } a \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{L}$, similar to the formula for \hat{g}_f in (4.2). This function $\hat{\varphi}_f$ extends continuously to all of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^{1,an}$; it is Galois invariant over k_0 ; and it descends to the quotient $\mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1,an}$. Favre's theorem implies that the function φ of (4.7) extends continuously to X^{hyb} , coinciding with $\hat{\varphi}_f$ over $t = 0$:

Proposition 4.2. *Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that*

$$|\varphi(t, z) - \hat{\varphi}_f(\zeta_{0,|T|_0^a})| < \varepsilon$$

for all $0 < |t| < \delta$, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and all z for which

$$\left| \frac{\log |z|}{\log |t|} - a \right| < \delta.$$

Proof. Recall that the absolute value $|\cdot|_0$ on \mathbb{L} induces a continuous function on the Berkovich space that we will also denote by $|\cdot|_0 : \mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1,an} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$. We use the standard absolute value $|\cdot|$ on \mathbb{C} , extended to a continuous function $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$.

The topology on $\mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1,an}$ is such that annuli of the form

$$A(r_1, r_2) := \{x \in \mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1,an} : r_1 < |x|_0 < r_2\}$$

are open for any choice of $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq \infty$, as are the Berkovich disks of the form

$$D_0(r) := \{x \in \mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1,an} : |x|_0 < r\} \text{ and } D_\infty(r) := \{x \in \mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1,an} : |x|_0 > r\}$$

for any $0 < r < \infty$. The topology on X^{hyb} is such that an annular set of the form

$$\{(t, z) \in \mathbb{D}^* \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) : |t|^{a+\delta} < |z| < |t|^{a-\delta} \text{ and } 0 < |t| < \delta\} \cup A(|T|_0^{a+\delta}, |T|_0^{a-\delta})$$

is an open neighborhood of $\zeta_{0,|T|_0^a}$ on the central fiber for any a and any $\delta > 0$. Similarly, the disk-like sets

$$\{(t, z) \in \mathbb{D}^* \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) : |z| < |t|^a \text{ and } 0 < |t| < \delta\} \cup D_0(|T|_0^a)$$

and

$$\{(t, z) \in \mathbb{D}^* \times \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) : |z| > |t|^a \text{ and } 0 < |t| < \delta\} \cup D_\infty(|T|_0^a)$$

are open for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and allowing a and δ to vary provides open neighborhoods at 0 and ∞ respectively in the central fiber. See [BJ, §2.2 and Definition 4.9] for details on the hybrid topology. Note in particular that the hybrid topology restricted to the central fiber induces the usual (weak) Berkovich topology.

By the continuity statement of [Fa, Theorem 2.10] and exhibiting φ as a uniform limit of model functions ([Fa, Section 4.3] provides the details in the dynamical case) the function φ extends to define a continuous function on X^{hyb} , taking the values of $\hat{\varphi}_f$ on the central fiber. Let L denote the closed segment in $\mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1,an}$ between 0 and ∞ . We may by compactness cover L by finitely many neighborhoods on which $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq \epsilon$. As the values of $\hat{\varphi}_f$ depend only on the values of φ on L , each open neighborhood of a point in the interior of L contains an open interval in L , and $\hat{\varphi}$ is constant near 0 and ∞ , we may assume these neighborhoods are annular or disk-like as defined above. Thus we obtain a uniform δ as claimed. \square

As $\Phi_t(z) = G_{F_t}(z, 1) - \log^+ |z|$, we also have a uniform continuity statement for G when $|z|$ is bounded from above:

Proposition 4.3. *Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M > 1$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \frac{G_{F_t}(z, 1)}{\log |t|^{-1}} - \hat{g}_f(\zeta_{0, |T|_0^a}) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for all $0 < |t| < \delta$, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and all $|z| \leq M$ for which

$$\left| \frac{\log |z|}{\log |t|} - a \right| < \delta.$$

4.4. Discrete measures and regularizations. Let F be any finite set in \mathbb{C} . Denote by $[F]$ the probability measure supported equally on the elements of F , and for $r > 0$, denote by $[F]_r$ the probability measure supported equally and uniformly on circles of radius r about each element of F .

Proposition 4.4. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $c = c(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$|(\mu_t, [F]) - (\mu_t, [F]_r)| < \varepsilon \max\{\log |t|^{-1}, \log |t - 1|^{-1}, \log |t|, 1\}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and any finite set F in \mathbb{C} and any

$$r \leq c \min\{|t|^2, |t - 1|^2, |t|^{-2}\}.$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and any $r > 0$, let $m_{x,r}$ be the probability measure supported on the circle of radius r around x . Recall that

$$(\rho, \sigma) := - \iint_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta} \log |z - w| \, d\rho(z) \, d\sigma(w).$$

For each fixed t , the function $G_{F_t}(\cdot, 1)$ is a potential for μ_t in \mathbb{C} , and therefore, there exists a constant C_t such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \log |z - w| d\mu_t(z) = G_{F_t}(w, 1) + C_t.$$

Now let F be any finite set in \mathbb{C} . Then, assuming $r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_t, [F]_r) - (\mu_t, [F]) &= \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \left(G_{F_t}(x, 1) - \int G_{F_t}(\zeta, 1) dm_{x,r}(\zeta) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{\{x \in F: |x| > 2\}} \left(\Phi_t(x) - \int \Phi_t(\zeta) dm_{x,r}(\zeta) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{\{x \in F: |x| \leq 2\}} \left(G_{F_t}(x, 1) - \int G_{F_t}(\zeta, 1) dm_{x,r}(\zeta) \right) \end{aligned}$$

because the function $\log^+ |z|$ is harmonic away from the unit circle on \mathbb{C} .

By Proposition 4.3, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(4.9) \quad \left| \frac{G_{F_t}(z, 1)}{\log |t|^{-1}} - \hat{g}_f(\zeta_{0,|T|_0^a}) \right| < \varepsilon/2$$

for all $|z| \leq 2$ satisfying

$$\left| \frac{\log |z|}{\log |t|} - a \right| < \delta$$

and all $|t| < \delta$ and any $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Shrinking δ if needed, we have

$$(4.10) \quad |\varphi(t, z)| < \varepsilon/2$$

for $|z| \geq 1$ and all $|t| < \delta$, by Proposition 4.2.

Let C_δ be the compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ consisting of all t with $|t| \geq \delta$ and $|t - 1| \geq \delta$ and $|1/t| \geq \delta$. Over $C_\delta \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the family of potentials $\{\Phi_t\}$ is uniformly continuous. So there exists $c_1 = c_1(\delta)$ such that

$$|\Phi_t(z) - \Phi_t(z')| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $\text{dist}(z, z') < c_1$ and for all $t \in C_\delta$. Here, dist represents the chordal distance on \mathbb{P}^1 . Furthermore, we may take c_1 such that we also have

$$|G_{F_t}(z, 1) - G_{F_t}(z', 1)| < \varepsilon$$

for all $|z - z'| < c_1$ with $|z| \leq 2$, and all $t \in C_\delta$. Thus

$$|(\mu_t, [F]_r) - (\mu_t, [F])| < \varepsilon$$

for any choice of finite set F , $t \in C_\delta$, and $r < c_1$.

Now assume that $|t| < \delta$. We will consider three cases. First, suppose $|t|^{1+\delta} \leq |z| \leq 2$. Choose any $c_2 = c_2(\delta)$ such that

$$(4.11) \quad |\log(1 \pm c_2 \delta^{1-\delta})| < \delta(\log \delta^{-1}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\log |z'/z|| &= \left| \log \left| \frac{z' - z}{z} + 1 \right| \right| \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \log \left(1 + \left| \frac{z' - z}{z} \right| \right), \left| \log \left(1 - \left| \frac{z' - z}{z} \right| \right) \right| \right\} \\
&\leq \max \left| \log \left(1 \pm \frac{c_2 |t|^2}{|z|} \right) \right| \\
&\leq \max |\log (1 \pm c_2 \delta^{1-\delta})| \\
&< \delta (\log \delta^{-1}) \leq \delta \log |t|^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $|t| < \delta$. This is equivalent to

$$(4.12) \quad \left| \frac{\log |z|}{\log |t|} - \frac{\log |z'|}{\log |t|} \right| < \delta$$

for all $|z - z'| < c_2 |t|^2$ with $|t|^{1+\delta} \leq |z| \leq 2$. Combined with (4.9) and setting $a = (\log |z|)/(\log |t|)$, this implies that

$$|G_{F_t}(z, 1) - G_{F_t}(z', 1)| < \varepsilon \log |t|^{-1}$$

for such pairs z and z' .

Second, suppose that $|z| \leq |t|^{1+\delta}$. By shrinking c_2 further if necessary, we have $c_2 < (1 - \delta^\delta)/\delta$, and therefore if $|z| \leq |t|^{1+\delta}$ and $|z - z'| < c_2 |t|^2$, with $|t| < \delta$, we also have $|z'| \leq |t|$. Applying the convergence (4.9) where $\hat{g}_f = -1/2$, for all $|z| \leq |t|^{1+\delta}$ we have

$$|G_{F_t}(z, 1) - G_{F_t}(z', 1)| < \varepsilon \log |t|^{-1}$$

for z' satisfying $|z - z'| < c_2 |t|^2$ and for all $|t| < \delta$.

Third, for $|z| \geq 2$, by the convergence (4.10),

$$|\Phi_t(z) - \Phi_t(z')| < \varepsilon \log |t|^{-1}$$

for all $|z| \geq 2$ and $|z - z'| < c_2 |t|^2$ and $|t| < \delta$.

Together these three cases yield

$$|(\mu_t, [F]_r) - (\mu_t, [F])| < \varepsilon \log |t|^{-1}$$

for any choice of finite set F and all $|t| < \delta$, with $r < c_2 |t|^2$.

If $|t - 1| < \delta$, the arguments above go through by replacing z with $1 - z$, as

$$G_{F_{1-t}}(1 - z, 1) = G_{F_t}(z, 1)$$

by Proposition 2.1. It follows that

$$|(\mu_t, [F]_r) - (\mu_t, [F])| < \varepsilon \log |t - 1|^{-1}$$

for any choice of finite set F , $|t - 1| < \delta$, and $r < c_2 |t - 1|^2$, with δ and c_2 as above.

For t near ∞ , more care is needed, as

$$G_{F_t}(z, 1) = G_{F_{1/t}}(z, t) = G_{F_{1/t}}(z/t, 1) + \log |t|$$

by Proposition 2.1. Setting $s = 1/t$,

$$\frac{G_{F_t}(z, 1)}{\log |t|} = \frac{G_{F_s}(s z, 1) - \log |s|}{\log |s|^{-1}} = \frac{G_{F_s}(s z, 1)}{\log |s|^{-1}} + 1.$$

From (4.9), we have

$$\left| \frac{G_{F_s}(s z, 1)}{\log |s|^{-1}} - \hat{g}_f(\zeta_{0, |T|_0^{1-a}}) \right| < \varepsilon/2$$

for $|sz| \leq 2$, $|s| < \delta$, and

$$\left| \frac{\log |sz|}{\log |s|} - (1 - a) \right| < \delta,$$

for any choice of $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus,

$$(4.13) \quad \left| \frac{G_{F_t}(z, 1)}{\log |t|} - \left(\hat{g}_f(\zeta_{0, |T|_0^{1-a}}) + 1 \right) \right| < \varepsilon/2$$

for all $|z| \leq 2|t|$ satisfying

$$\left| \frac{\log |z|}{\log |t|} - a \right| < \delta$$

with $|t| > \delta^{-1}$ and any $a \in \mathbb{R}$. As in (4.10), we also have

$$|\varphi(t, z)| < \varepsilon/2$$

for $|z| \geq |t|$ and $|t| > \delta^{-1}$, because $\hat{g}_f(\zeta_{0, |T|_0^{1-a}}) + 1 = a$ for all $a \geq 1$, from the formula given in (4.2). The choice of c_2 in (4.11) is similar. It follows that

$$|(\mu_t, [F]_r) - (\mu_t, [F])| < \varepsilon \log |t|$$

for any choice of finite set F and all $|t| > 1/\delta$, with $r < c_2 |t|^{-2}$.

Let $c := \min\{c_1, c_2\}$ to complete the proof. \square

5. ARCHIMEDEAN ENERGY

As in Section 4, assume $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is a complex parameter, with μ_t on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ the push-forward of the Haar measure on $E_t(\mathbb{C})$, and $\lambda_t(z) = G_{F_t}(z, 1)$ a potential for $\mu_t - \delta_\infty$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. In this section we provide estimates on the archimedean local energy (introduced in Proposition 2.2)

$$E_\infty(s, t) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\int (\lambda_s - \lambda_t) d\mu_t + \int (\lambda_t - \lambda_s) d\mu_s \right),$$

for $s, t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ as one or both of the parameters tends to 0, 1, or ∞ . We treat three cases separately: where only one parameter escapes into a cusp, where both parameters escape into a cusp, and where the two parameters head to two different

cusps. By the symmetry established in Proposition 2.3, we focus on the case where s tends to 0.

Throughout, we work in hybrid space and make use of the convergence of potentials to \hat{g}_f and measures to $\hat{\mu}_f$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, as proved in [Fa, Theorem B] and explained in §4.3.

5.1. A single escaping parameter.

Theorem 5.1. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and any compact set $C \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{1}{6} - \varepsilon\right) \log |s|^{-1} \leq E_\infty(s, t) \leq \left(\frac{1}{6} + \varepsilon\right) \log |s|^{-1}$$

for all s satisfying $0 < |s| < \delta$ and all $t \in C$.

Proof. Recall that for any $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we have defined $\Phi_s(z) = G_{F_s}(z, 1) - \log^+ |z|$ in (4.6) and $\varphi(s, z) = \Phi_s(z)/(\log |s|^{-1})$. For any pair $s, t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, the local energy $E_\infty(s, t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E_\infty(s, t)}{\log |s|^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2 \log |s|^{-1}} \left(\int (\Phi_s - \Phi_t) d\mu_t + \int (\Phi_t - \Phi_s) d\mu_s \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int \left(\varphi(s, z) - \frac{\Phi_t}{\log |s|^{-1}} \right) d\mu_t + \int \frac{\Phi_t}{\log |s|^{-1}} d\mu_s - \int \varphi(s, z) d\mu_s \right). \end{aligned}$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and suppose that $C \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is compact. The Φ_t functions are uniformly bounded for all $t \in C$ and all $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, so there is a δ such that

$$\left| \int \frac{\Phi_t}{\log |s|^{-1}} d\mu_s \right| < \varepsilon$$

for all $|s| < \delta$ and all $t \in C$. We can also find a small $r = r(C)$ such that

$$\mu_t(\{|z| \leq r\}) < \varepsilon$$

for all $t \in C$. By Proposition 4.2, (shrinking δ if needed)

$$|\varphi(s, z)| < \varepsilon$$

for all $|z| > r$ and $|s| < \delta$, and

$$|\varphi(s, z)| < 1$$

for all z and all $|s| < \delta$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \left(\varphi(s, z) - \frac{\Phi_t}{\log |s|^{-1}} \right) d\mu_t \right| &\leq \int_{\{|z| \leq r\}} |\varphi(s, z)| d\mu_t + \int_{\{|z| > r\}} |\varphi(s, z)| d\mu_t \\ &\quad + \int \left| \frac{\Phi_t}{\log |s|^{-1}} \right| d\mu_t \\ &< 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by the weak convergence of $\mu_s \rightarrow \hat{\mu}_f$ and convergence of $\varphi(s, z)$ to $\hat{\varphi}_f$, we can shrink δ again such that

$$\left| \int \varphi(s, z) d\mu_s - \int \hat{\varphi}_f d\hat{\mu}_f \right| < \varepsilon$$

for all $|s| < \delta$. Recalling the formula for $\hat{\varphi}_f$ from (4.8), we have

$$\int \hat{\varphi}_f d\hat{\mu}_f = \int_0^1 \left(-a + \frac{a^2}{2} \right) da = -\frac{1}{3},$$

since the measure $\hat{\mu}_f$ is the uniform distribution on the interval $[0, 1]$ in the a coordinates, as described in §4.1. Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{6} - 4\varepsilon \right) \log |s|^{-1} \leq E_\infty(s, t) \leq \left(\frac{1}{6} + 4\varepsilon \right) \log |s|^{-1}$$

for all $|s| < \delta$ and all $t \in C$. □

5.2. Both parameters escaping to the same cusp.

Theorem 5.2. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{1}{6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b} \right)^2 - \varepsilon \right) \log |s|^{-1} \leq E_\infty(s, t) \leq \left(\frac{1}{6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b} \right)^2 + \varepsilon \right) \log |s|^{-1}$$

for all s, t satisfying $0 < |s| \leq |t| < \delta$, where $b = (\log |s|)/(\log |t|) \geq 1$.

For each real number $b \geq 1$, consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_b(x) &:= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |x|_0 \geq 1 \\ \log |x|_0 - \frac{(\log |x|_0)^2}{2b \log |T|_0} & \text{for } |T|_0^b \leq |x|_0 \leq 1 \\ \frac{b}{2} \log |T|_0 & \text{for } |x|_0 \leq |T|_0^b \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |x|_0 = |T|_0^a \text{ with } a \leq 0 \\ -a + a^2/(2b) & \text{for } |x|_0 = |T|_0^a \text{ with } 0 \leq a \leq b \\ -b/2 & \text{for } |x|_0 = |T|_0^a \text{ with } a \geq b \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{L}$. Note that $\hat{\varphi}_1 = \hat{\varphi}_f$ from (4.8). As with $\hat{\varphi}_1$, each $\hat{\varphi}_b$ extends naturally to a function on the Berkovich projective line $\mathbb{P}_{k_0}^{1, an}$ and is a potential of the measure $\hat{\mu}_b - \delta_G$, where $\hat{\mu}_b$ is interval measure on $[\zeta_{0, |T|_0^b}, \zeta_{0, 1}]$ and δ_G is the delta-mass at the Gauss point $\zeta_{0, 1}$.

For each $b \geq 1$, the non-archimedean local energy $E_0(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_b)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_b) &:= \frac{1}{2} \left(\int (\hat{\varphi}_1 - \hat{\varphi}_b) d\hat{\mu}_b + \int (\hat{\varphi}_b - \hat{\varphi}_1) d\hat{\mu}_1 \right) \\ &= \frac{(\log(|T|_0/|T|_0^b))^2}{-6 \log \min\{|T|_0, |T|_0^b\}} \\ &= \frac{(b-1)^2}{6b}, \end{aligned}$$

as computed in Theorem 3.1 (in the case $v \nmid 2$).

For s and t in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, if both s and t are close to one of the three cusps, we can estimate the archimedean local energy $E_\infty(s, t)$ in terms of the non-archimedean pairing using the degeneration description in hybrid space. We first prove a special case of Theorem 5.2:

Proposition 5.3. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $B > 2$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$(E_0(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_b) - \varepsilon) \log |t|^{-1} \leq E_\infty(s, t) \leq (E_0(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_b) + \varepsilon) \log |t|^{-1}$$

for all s, t satisfying $0 < |t|^B \leq |s| \leq |t| < \delta$, where $b = (\log |s|)/(\log |t|) \leq B$.

This proposition is an immediate consequence of the weak convergence of measures $\mu_t \rightarrow \hat{\mu}_1$ in the hybrid space, and the convergence of potentials as described in §4.3. We give the details to clarify how the bound $b \leq B$ is used.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $B > 2$.

For s and t in the punctured unit disk \mathbb{D}^* , and for any $1 \leq b \leq B$, consider

$$(5.1) \quad \varphi(t, z) := \frac{\Phi_{F_t}(z, 1)}{\log |t|^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad b\varphi(s, z) = \frac{b\Phi_{F_s}(z, 1)}{\log |s|^{-1}} = \frac{\Phi_{F_s}(z, 1)}{\log |t|^{-1}},$$

viewed as functions on the fiber $\{t\} \times \mathbb{C}$ in the hybrid space. By Proposition 4.2, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad |\varphi(t, z) - \hat{\varphi}_1(\zeta_{0, |T|_0^a})| < \varepsilon/(4B)$$

for all $|t| < \delta_1$, $a \geq 0$, and all $|t|^{a+\delta_1} < |z| < |t|^{a-\delta_1}$. In particular,

$$|\varphi(t, z)| < \varepsilon/(4B)$$

for all $|z| \geq 1$ and all $|t| < \delta_1$. It follows that

$$b\varphi(s, z) \rightarrow \hat{\varphi}_b(\zeta_{|T|_0^a})$$

in the hybrid space as s and t tend to 0 with $|s| = |t|^b$ and $(\log |z|)/(\log |t|) \rightarrow a$, uniformly in b for $1 \leq b \leq B$. This is because the annulus

$$A_t(a, \delta) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |t|^{a+\delta} < |z| < |t|^{a-\delta}\}$$

for each fixed $t \in \mathbb{D}^*$, $\delta > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, can be written in terms of s as

$$A_t(a, \delta) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |s|^{a/b+\delta/b} < |z| < |s|^{a/b-\delta/b}\}$$

whenever $|s| = |t|^b$. Therefore,

$$(5.3) \quad |b\varphi(s, z) - \hat{\varphi}_b(\zeta_{0,|T|_0^a})| = \left| \frac{b\Phi_{F_s}(z, 1)}{\log|s|^{-1}} - b\hat{\varphi}_1(\zeta_{0,|T|_0^{a/b}}) \right| < b\varepsilon/(4B) < \varepsilon/4$$

for all $z \in A_t(a, \delta_1)$, as a consequence of (5.2). In particular,

$$|b\varphi(s, z)| < \varepsilon/4$$

for all $|z| \geq 1$ and all $|t| < \delta_1$.

Recall that the measures μ_t on the fiber over t converge weakly in X^{hyb} to the measure $\hat{\mu}_1$ on the central fiber. For each s with $|s| = |t|^b$, let μ_t^s denote the measure associated to f_s but viewed in the fiber $\{t\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The measures μ_t^s converge to the measure $\hat{\mu}_b$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ with $|s| = |t|^b$, and this convergence can also be made uniform in b with $b \leq B$. That is, by Lemma 4.1, for any n there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} < \mu_t(\{|t|^{(i+1)/n} \leq |z| \leq |t|^{i/n}\}) < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}$$

for all $|t| < \delta_2$ and each $i = 0, \dots, n$. Note that this implies that

$$\mu_t(\{|z| \leq |t|\} \cup \{|z| \geq 1\}) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Therefore, we also have

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} < \mu_t^s(\{|t|^{b(i+1)/n} \leq |z| \leq |t|^{bi/n}\}) < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2}$$

and

$$\mu_t^s(\{|z| \leq |t|^b\} \cup \{|z| \geq 1\}) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

for all $|t| < \delta_2$. Thus, the measure $\hat{\mu}_b$ on small sub-annuli of the annulus $\{|t|^b \leq |z| \leq 1\}$ is controlled uniformly for all $1 \leq b \leq B$.

Putting all the pieces together,

$$\frac{E_\infty(s, t)}{\log|t|^{-1}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int (b\varphi(s, z) - \varphi(t, z)) d\mu_t + \int (\varphi(t, z) - b\varphi(s, z)) d\mu_t^s \right)$$

is within ε of

$$E_0(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_b) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int (\hat{\varphi}_b - \hat{\varphi}_1) d\hat{\mu}_1 + \int (\hat{\varphi}_1 - \hat{\varphi}_b) d\hat{\mu}_b \right) = \frac{(b-1)^2}{6b}$$

for all t sufficiently small and all s with $|s| = |t|^b$, for any $1 \leq b \leq B$. \square

Here is an equivalent restatement of Theorem 5.2, expressed in terms of the growth of $|t|$:

Theorem 5.4. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{(b-1)^2}{6b} - b\varepsilon \right) \log |t|^{-1} \leq E_\infty(s, t) \leq \left(\frac{(b-1)^2}{6b} + b\varepsilon \right) \log |t|^{-1}$$

for all s, t satisfying $0 < |s| \leq |t| < \delta$, where $b = (\log |s|)/(\log |t|) \geq 1$.

Comparing Theorem 5.4 to the statement of Proposition 5.3, we see that we lose the ability to bound the energy within a uniform ε when b becomes large.

Proof of Theorems 5.4 and 5.2. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$.

As in the proof of Proposition 5.3, we make use of the weak convergence of measures $\mu_t \rightarrow \hat{\mu}_1$ and convergence of the potentials $\varphi(t, z) \rightarrow \hat{\varphi}_1$ in the hybrid space as $t \rightarrow 0$. Recalling the formula for $\hat{\varphi}_f$ from (4.8), we have

$$\int \hat{\varphi}_f d\hat{\mu}_f = \int_0^1 \left(-a + \frac{a^2}{2} \right) da = -\frac{1}{3},$$

since the measure $\hat{\mu}_f$ is the uniform distribution on the interval $[0, 1]$ in the a coordinates, as described in §4.1.

Choose r satisfying $0 < r < \varepsilon/100$. There is a δ_2 such that

$$\mu_t(\{|z| \leq |t|\}) < \varepsilon/50$$

and

$$|\varphi(t, z)| < \varepsilon/50 \text{ for } |z| \geq |t|^r$$

and

$$|\varphi(t, z)| < \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon/50 \text{ for all } z$$

for all $|t| < \delta_2$. Thus, for $s \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with $|s| = |t|^b$ and $b > 1/r$, we have

$$|b\varphi(s, z)| < b\varepsilon/50 \text{ for } |z| \geq |t|$$

and

$$|b\varphi(s, z)| < \frac{b}{2} + b\varepsilon/50 \text{ for all } z$$

for all $|t| < \delta_2$. By shrinking δ_2 further if necessary, we appeal to the weak convergence of measures $\mu_t \rightarrow \hat{\mu}_1$ in the hybrid space to deduce that

$$(5.6) \quad \left| \int \varphi(t, z) d\mu_t + \frac{1}{3} \right| = \left| \int \varphi(t, z) d\mu_t - \int \hat{\varphi}_1 d\hat{\mu}_1 \right| < \varepsilon/10$$

for all $|t| < \delta_2$.

Now fix $B > 1/r$, and recall that $r < \varepsilon/100$, so that

$$(5.7) \quad \left| \frac{(b-1)^2}{6b} - \frac{b}{6} \right| < b\varepsilon/50$$

for all $b \geq B$. For this B , we can find a $\delta_B > 0$ such that Proposition 5.3 is satisfied for all $0 < |s| = |t|^b \leq |t| < \delta_B$ with $1 \leq b \leq B$. Choose any $\delta \leq \min\{\delta_B, \delta_2\}$, and we obtain the theorem for $b \leq B$.

Now suppose $b \geq B$. We will estimate

$$\frac{E_\infty(s, t)}{\log |t|^{-1}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int (b\varphi(s, z) - \varphi(t, z)) d\mu_t + \int (\varphi(t, z) - b\varphi(s, z)) d\mu_t^s \right)$$

for all $|t| < \delta$ and any s with $|s| = |t|^b$ by estimating the two integrals separately.

As shown above,

$$|b\varphi(s, z) - \varphi(t, z)| \leq 1/2 + \varepsilon/50 + b\varepsilon/50 < b\varepsilon/10$$

for all $|z| \geq |t|$ and $0 < |s| = |t|^b \leq |t| < \delta$ with $b \geq B$, and

$$|b\varphi(s, z) - \varphi(t, z)| \leq 1/2 + \varepsilon/50 + b/2 + b\varepsilon/50$$

for all z and $0 < |s| = |t|^b \leq |t| < \delta$. Writing the first integral as

$$\int (b\varphi(s, z) - \varphi(t, z)) d\mu_t = \int_{|z| \geq |t|} (b\varphi(s, z) - \varphi(t, z)) d\mu_t + \int_{|z| \leq |t|} (b\varphi(s, z) - \varphi(t, z)) d\mu_t,$$

it follows that

$$\left| \int (b\varphi(s, z) - \varphi(t, z)) d\mu_t \right| \leq b\varepsilon/10 + (1/2 + \varepsilon/50 + b/2 + b\varepsilon/50)(\varepsilon/50) < b\varepsilon/5$$

for all $b \geq B$ and $0 < |s| = |t|^b \leq |t| < \delta$.

Write the second integral as

$$\int (\varphi(t, z) - b\varphi(s, z)) d\mu_t^s = \int \varphi(t, z) d\mu_t^s - \int b\varphi(s, z) d\mu_t^s.$$

As $|\varphi(t, z)|$ is bounded by $1/2 + \varepsilon/50$, we have

$$\left| \int \varphi(t, z) d\mu_t^s \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon/50 < b\varepsilon/25$$

for all $b \geq B$. On the other hand, we have

$$\int b\varphi(s, z) d\mu_t^s = b \int \varphi(s, z) d\mu_s$$

so that

$$\left| \int b\varphi(s, z) d\mu_t^s + \frac{b}{3} \right| < b\varepsilon/10$$

for all $0 < |s| = |t|^b \leq |t| < \delta$ from (5.6).

We conclude that

$$\left| \frac{E_\infty(s, t)}{\log |t|^{-1}} - \frac{b}{6} \right| < b\varepsilon/2$$

for all b sufficiently large and all $|t| < \delta$. On the other hand, we also have

$$\left| \frac{b}{6} - \frac{(b-1)^2}{6b} \right| < b\varepsilon/50$$

for all $b \geq B$ by our choice of B , so the theorem is proved. \square

5.3. Parameters escaping to different cusps.

Theorem 5.5. *Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \frac{1}{b} \right) - \varepsilon \right) \log |s|^{-1} \leq E_\infty(s, t) \leq \left(\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \frac{1}{b} \right) + \varepsilon \right) \log |s|^{-1}$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|t| > 1/\delta$ and $0 < |s| \leq 1/|t|$, where $b = -(\log |s|)/(\log |t|)$.

Proof. The proof is nearly identical to that of Theorem 5.2, working in the hybrid space over a unit disk that we will parameterize by $u \in \mathbb{D}$. For fixed $b \geq 1$, $t = 1/u$ and any s satisfying $|s| = |u|^b$, consider the functions

$$g_u(z) = \frac{G_{F_{1/u}}(z, 1)}{\log |u|^{-1}} = \frac{G_{F_t}(z, 1)}{\log |t|}$$

and

$$bg_s(z) = b \frac{G_{F_s}(z, 1)}{\log |s|^{-1}}$$

in the fiber $\{u\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

As computed in Proposition 3.3, the limit of $g_u(z)$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ with $|z| = |u|^a$ is

$$\hat{g}_\infty(a) := \begin{cases} -a & \text{for } a \leq -1 \\ (a^2 + 1)/2 & \text{for } -1 \leq a \leq 0 \\ 1/2 & \text{for } a \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

As $u \rightarrow 0$, the measures $\mu_{1/u}$ on $\{u\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ will converge to the canonical measure $\hat{\mu}_\infty$ for the map $f = f_{1/U}$ on the Berkovich projective line, working over the field $\mathbb{C}((U))$; the measure $\hat{\mu}_\infty$ is uniformly distributed on the interval $[\zeta_{0,1}, \zeta_{0,|U|^{-1}}]$.

As $s \rightarrow 0$ with $|s| = 1/|t|^b = |u|^b$, $b \geq 1$, we have

$$bg_s(z) \rightarrow \hat{g}_b(\zeta_{0,|U|_0^b})$$

for $|z| = |u|^a$, exactly as in (5.3). The non-archimedean local energy is computed in Theorem 3.1 as

$$E(\hat{\mu}_\infty, \hat{\mu}_b) = \frac{b+1}{6}.$$

We conclude as in the proof of Theorem 5.2 that, for all given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{b+1}{6} - b\varepsilon \right) \log |t| \leq E_\infty(s, t) \leq \left(\frac{b+1}{6} + b\varepsilon \right) \log |t|$$

for all $|t| > 1/\delta$ and $|s| = 1/|t|^b$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.5. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.6 AND 1.7

In this section, we first prove Theorem 1.7, which states there exist constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that

$$\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \geq \alpha h(t_1, t_2) - \beta$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. We then use this lower bound to prove Theorem 1.6 and Proposition 1.9.

6.1. Balancing local contributions. Fix any r such that

$$0 < r \leq 1/16.$$

Fix $t_1, t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and let K be any number field containing t_1 and t_2 . We split the places M_K into “good” and “bad” subsets, depending on the pair t_1, t_2 and the choice of r . Let $M_{\text{good}}(t_1, t_2)$ be the set of places $v \in M_K$ with

$$|\log |t_2/t_1|_v| \geq r \cdot \max\{|\log |t_2|_v|, |\log |t_1|_v|\};$$

and set $M_{\text{bad}}(t_1, t_2) = M_K \setminus M_{\text{good}}(t_1, t_2)$. We further decompose $M_{\text{good}}(t_1, t_2)$ into its archimedean (M_{good}^∞) and non-archimedean (M_{good}^0) places.

Lemma 6.1. *There exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$6 E_v(t_1, t_2) \geq \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_1/t_2|_v| - C_0$$

for any choice of t_1 and t_2 in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and for all $v \in M_{\text{good}}^\infty(t_1, t_2)$.

Proof. Let

$$\epsilon = \frac{r^2}{24}$$

and let δ_1 be the minimum of the δ 's from Theorems 5.2 and 5.5 for this choice of ϵ . Let δ_2 be the δ of Theorem 5.1 for the compact set

$$\{t \in \mathbb{C} : \delta_1 \leq |t| \leq 1/\delta_1 \text{ and } |t - 1| \geq \delta_1\}$$

in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let δ_0 be the minimum of δ_1 and δ_2 , and let C_0 be any real number larger than $\log(1/\delta_0)$.

Now fix t_1, t_2 and any number field K containing t_1 and t_2 , and fix a place $v \in M_{\text{good}}^\infty(t_1, t_2) \subset M_K$. If $\delta_0 \leq |t_i|_v \leq 1/\delta_0$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$6 E_v(t_1, t_2) \geq 0 \geq \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_1/t_2|_v| - C_0.$$

As $v \in M_{\text{good}}^\infty$, if $|t_2|_v \leq |t_1|_v < 1$, then

$$|t_2|_v = |t_1|_v^b < |t_1|_v \text{ for } \frac{b-1}{b} \geq r$$

and therefore, by Theorem 5.2, if additionally $|t_1|_v < \delta_1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 6 E_v(t_1, t_2) &\geq \left(\frac{(b-1)^2}{b^2} - 6\epsilon \right) |\log |t_1|_v^b| \\ &\geq \left(\frac{(b-1)r}{b} - \frac{r^2}{4} \right) |\log |t_1|_v^b| \\ &\geq \frac{3r}{4} \frac{b-1}{b} |\log |t_1|_v^b| = \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_1/t_2|_v|. \end{aligned}$$

If $|t_1|_v < \delta_1$ and $|t_2|_v = 1/|t_1|_v^b$ for some $b \geq 1$, then by Theorem 5.5

$$6 E_v(t_1, t_2) \geq \left(\frac{(b+1)}{b} - 6\epsilon \right) |\log |t_1|_v^b| \geq \frac{3(b+1)r}{4} |\log |t_1|_v| = \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_1/t_2|_v|;$$

if $\delta_1 \leq |t_1|_v \leq 1/\delta_1$, $|t_1 - 1|_v \geq \delta_1$ and $|t_2|_v < \delta_0$, we have by Theorem 5.1 that

$$6 E_v(t_1, t_2) \geq (1 - 6\epsilon) |\log |t_2|_v| \geq \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_1/t_2|_v| - C_0.$$

Combining the above inequalities with the symmetry relations of Proposition 2.3, we obtain

$$6 E_v(t_1, t_2) \geq \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_1/t_2|_v| - C_0.$$

□

Lemma 6.2. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{v \in M_{\text{good}}(t_1, t_2)} 3r_v E_v(t_1, t_2) \geq \frac{3r}{4} h(t_2/t_1) - \frac{3r^2}{2} h(t_1, t_2) - C$$

for any $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Proof. Fix t_1 and t_2 and any number field K containing them. For the non-archimedean places $v \in M_{\text{good}}^0(t_1, t_2)$, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$6E_v(t_1, t_2) \geq r \cdot |\log |t_2/t_1|_v| - 8 \log^+ |1/2|_v,$$

and thus

$$(6.1) \quad \sum_{v \in M_{\text{good}}^0(t_1, t_2)} 6r_v E_v(t_1, t_2) \geq \sum_{v \in M_{\text{good}}^0(t_1, t_2)} r_v \left(r \cdot |\log |t_2/t_1|_v| - 8 \log^+ |1/2|_v \right).$$

Now choose any integer N_0 so that $\log N_0$ is larger than the C_0 of Lemma 6.1, for each archimedean $v \in M_{\text{good}}^\infty(t_1, t_2)$. We have

$$6E_v(t_1, t_2) \geq \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_2/t_1|_v| - \log N_0$$

for all $v \in M_{\text{good}}^\infty(t_1, t_2)$. With h the naive logarithmic height on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we set

$$C = 4h(2) + \frac{1}{2} h(N_0) = \frac{1}{2} \log(2^8 N_0).$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \in M_{\text{good}}(t_1, t_2)} 6r_v E_v(t_1, t_2) &= \sum_{v \in M_{\text{good}}^\infty(t_1, t_2)} 6r_v E_v(t_1, t_2) + \sum_{v \in M_{\text{good}}^0(t_1, t_2)} 6r_v E_v(t_1, t_2) \\
&\geq \sum_{v \in M_{\text{good}}(t_1, t_2)} r_v \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_2/t_1|_v| - \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v (8 \log^+ |2|_v + \log^+ |N_0|_v) \\
&= \sum_{v \in M_{\text{good}}(t_1, t_2)} r_v \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_2/t_1|_v| - 2C \\
&= \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_2/t_1|_v| - \sum_{v \in M_{\text{bad}}(t_1, t_2)} r_v \frac{3r}{4} |\log |t_2/t_1|_v| - 2C \\
&\geq \sum_{v \in M_K} \frac{3r_v r}{4} |\log |t_2/t_1|_v| - \sum_{v \in M_{\text{bad}}(t_1, t_2)} \frac{3r^2 r_v}{4} \max\{|\log |t_2|_v|, |\log |t_1|_v|\} - 2C \\
&\geq \frac{3r}{4} 2h(t_2/t_1) - \frac{3r^2}{4} \cdot 4h(t_2, t_1) - 2C
\end{aligned}$$

For the last inequality, we use the facts that $2h(x) = \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v |\log |x|_v|$ for nonzero $x \in K$ and

$$\sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \max\{|\log |t_2|_v|, |\log |t_1|_v|\} \leq 2(h(t_2) + h(t_1)) \leq 4h(t_2, t_1).$$

□

6.2. Proof of Theorem 1.7. We begin with a standard lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *There is a constant $C > 0$, such that*

$$h\left(\frac{t_2}{t_1}, \frac{1-t_2}{1-t_1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} h(t_1, t_2) - C$$

for $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Here the h is the naive logarithmic height on $\mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Proof. Consider the birational transformation $g : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ defined in affine coordinates by $g(x_1, x_2) = (x_2/x_1, (1-x_2)/(1-x_1))$, with inverse

$$g^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = \left(\frac{1-y_2}{y_1-y_2}, \frac{y_1(1-y_2)}{y_1-y_2} \right)$$

of degree $d = 2$. There exists a constant C such that

$$h(g^{-1}(x : y : z)) \leq (\deg g^{-1}) h(x : y : z) + C = 2h(x : y : z) + C$$

outside of the indeterminacy set for g^{-1} in \mathbb{P}^2 [HS, Theorem B.2.5]. The indeterminacy set for g^{-1} is $\{(0 : 1 : 0), (1 : 0 : 0), (1 : 1 : 1)\}$. Therefore, letting $(t_1 : t_2 : 1) = g^{-1}(x : y : 1)$ for some point $(x : y : 1)$, we obtain

$$h(t_1, t_2) \leq 2h(g(t_1, t_2)) + C$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. In other words,

$$h\left(\frac{t_2}{t_1}, \frac{t_2-1}{t_1-1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} h(t_1, t_2) - \frac{1}{2} C.$$

□

Now fix $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. From Lemma 6.2, we know there is a constant C (independent of t_1 and t_2) such that

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} &= 3 \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v E_v(t_1, t_2) \\ &\geq 3 \sum_{v \in M_{\text{good}}(t_1, t_2)} r_v E_v(t_1, t_2) \\ (6.2) \quad &\geq \frac{3r}{4} h(t_2/t_1) - \frac{3r^2}{2} h(t_2, t_1) - C \end{aligned}$$

for any $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Replacing t_i in inequality (6.2) with $1 - t_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, we also have

$$3 \hat{h}_{1-t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{1-t_2} \geq \frac{3r}{4} h\left(\frac{1-t_2}{1-t_1}\right) - \frac{3r^2}{2} h(1-t_2, 1-t_1) - C.$$

Combining this with Proposition 2.3, we find that

$$(6.3) \quad 3 \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \geq \frac{3r}{4} h\left(\frac{1-t_2}{1-t_1}\right) - \frac{3r^2}{2} h(1-t_2, 1-t_1) - C.$$

Consequently, by adding the inequalities (6.2) and (6.3), we have

$$6 \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \geq \frac{3r}{4} \left(h(t_2/t_1) + h\left(\frac{1-t_2}{1-t_1}\right) \right) - \frac{3r^2}{2} (h(t_2, t_1) + h(1-t_2, 1-t_1)) - 2C.$$

Observe that there is a constant $C' > 0$ from Lemma 6.3 such that

$$h(t_2/t_1) + h\left(\frac{1-t_2}{1-t_1}\right) \geq h\left(\frac{t_2}{t_1}, \frac{1-t_2}{1-t_1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot h(t_1, t_2) - C'.$$

Since $|h(1-t_2, 1-t_1) - h(t_1, t_2)|$ is uniformly bounded over all pairs $t_1, t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, we may combine the above inequality with the previous to conclude that

$$6 \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \geq \frac{3r}{8} h(t_1, t_2) - 3r^2 \cdot h(t_1, t_2) - 6C'',$$

In other words,

$$\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \geq (r/16 - r^2/2) h(t_1, t_2) - C'',$$

and the proof of Theorem 1.7 is complete by taking $\alpha = r/16 - r^2/2$ and $\beta = C''$. □

Remark 6.4. If we set $r = 1/16$, the constant $\alpha > 0$ in Theorem 1.7 can be taken to be $\alpha = 1/512$.

6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6. We will use Theorem 1.7 to deduce a uniform lower bound on the height pairing $\hat{h}_s \cdot \hat{h}_t$ for all $s \neq t$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Suppose there exist parameters $s_n \neq t_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$\hat{h}_{s_n} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For each n , choose a number field K_n containing s_n and t_n . By assumption and non-negativity of the local energies E_v (Proposition 2.2), there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$, the archimedean contribution to the pairing is less than ϵ ; that is, for $n > N$,

$$\sum_{v \in M_{K_n}^\infty} r_v E_v(s_n, t_n) < \epsilon,$$

recalling that $r_v = \frac{[K_n v : \mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K_n : \mathbb{Q}]}$ now depends on n .

Let M_n be the set of archimedean places v in $M_{K_n}^\infty$ such that $E_v(s_n, t_n) < 2\epsilon$, noting that for $n > N$, we have

$$\sum_{v \in M_n} r_v \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Recall that the local energy $E_v(s, t)$ is continuous in s and t , and it vanishes if and only if $s = t$. So there exists a δ , depending only on ϵ , so that, for each $n > N$ and for each place $v \in M_n$, one of the following must hold:

- (1) $|t_n - s_n|_v < \delta$
- (2) $\min\{|s_n|_v, |t_n|_v\} < \delta$
- (3) $\min\{|s_n - 1|_v, |t_n - 1|_v\} < \delta$
- (4) $\max\{|s_n|_v, |t_n|_v\} > 1/\delta$

Note that we can take $\delta \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We may then, for each $n > N$, choose a subset M'_n of M_n for which s_n and t_n satisfy the same one of the four conditions at all places $v \in M'_n$, and such that

$$\sum_{v \in M'_n} r_v \geq \frac{1}{8}.$$

We conclude by the product formula that

$$\max\{h(s_n - t_n), h(s_n, t_n), h(s_n - 1, t_n - 1)\} > \frac{1}{8} \log \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

It then follows from the triangle inequality, combined with shrinking our choice of ϵ , that we have $h(s_n, t_n) \rightarrow \infty$. The inequality of Theorem 1.7 implies that $\hat{h}_{s_n} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_n} \rightarrow \infty$ as well, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

6.4. Proof of Proposition 1.9. Fix a number field K , and fix $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $K \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_i$ denote the adelic metric on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ associated to the height \hat{h}_{t_i} . Let \bar{L} denote the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ equipped with the metric $(\|\cdot\|_1 \cdot \|\cdot\|_2)^{1/2}$; its associated height function is

$$h_{\bar{L}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{h}_{t_1}(x) + \hat{h}_{t_2}(x) \right).$$

Zhang's inequality on the essential minimum of a height function implies that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\bar{L}}(x_n) \geq (h_{\bar{L}} \cdot h_{\bar{L}}) / (2 \deg L) = \frac{1}{2} (h_{\bar{L}} \cdot h_{\bar{L}})$$

along any infinite sequence of distinct points $x_n \in \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ [Zh2, Theorem 1.10]. In particular, the set

$$\{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}_{t_1}(x) + \hat{h}_{t_2}(x) \leq b\}$$

is finite for any choice of $b < h_{\bar{L}} \cdot h_{\bar{L}}$.

By the linearity of the intersection pairing, we see that

$$h_{\bar{L}} \cdot h_{\bar{L}} = \frac{1}{4} \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_1} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} + \frac{1}{4} \hat{h}_{t_2} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2}.$$

Therefore, we may choose any $b < \delta/2$ for the δ of Theorem 1.6, and the proposition is proved.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.8

Fix any $b \geq 0$ such that $b < \delta/2$ for the δ of Theorem 1.6. Recall from Proposition 1.9 that the set

$$(7.1) \quad S(b, t_1, t_2) := \{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}_{t_1}(x) + \hat{h}_{t_2}(x) \leq b\}$$

is finite for every pair $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Note that $\{0, 1, \infty\} \subset S(0, t_1, t_2)$ so that $|S(b, t_1, t_2)| \geq 3$ for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and all $b \geq 0$. In this section, we prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.8.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $b \geq 0$ be chosen so that $b < \delta/2$ for the δ of Theorem 1.6. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon)$ so that*

$$\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \leq 4b + \left(\varepsilon + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{|S(b, t_1, t_2)|} \right) (h(t_1, t_2) + 1),$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, for the set $S(b, t_1, t_2)$ defined by (7.1).

Note that Theorem 1.8 follows from Theorem 7.1 by setting $b = 0$.

7.1. Adelic measures and heights associated to a finite set. Fix a number field K , and suppose that F is a finite set in \overline{K} which is $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant. Let η be a collection of positive real numbers

$$\eta := \{\eta_v\}_{v \in M_K}$$

with $\eta_v = 1$ for all but finitely many $v \in M_K$. For archimedean $v \in M_K$ and $x \in F$, we let $m_{x,v}$ denote the Lebesgue probability measure on the circle of radius η_v centered at the point $x \in F$. We then set

$$m_{F,\eta,v} = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} m_{x,v}.$$

Similarly, for each non-archimedean $v \in M_K$, we let $m_{F,\eta,v}$ denote the probability measure distributed uniformly on the points ζ_{x,η_v} in $\mathbb{A}_v^{1,an}$ over all $x \in F$. Then $m_{F,\eta} = \{m_{F,\eta,v}\}_{v \in M_K}$ is an adelic measure in the sense of [FRL1]. It gives rise to a unique height $h_{F,\eta}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ associated to a continuous and semipositive adelic metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ with curvature distributions given by $m_{F,\eta,v}$ and satisfying

$$(7.2) \quad h_{F,\eta} \cdot h_{F,\eta} = 0.$$

Its local heights are given by

$$\lambda_{F,\eta,v}(z) = \alpha_v + \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \log \max\{|z - x|_v, \eta_v\},$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_v$ and suitable constants α_v ; taking

$$\alpha_v = -\frac{1}{2|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \int \log \max\{|z - x|_v, \eta_v\} dm_{F,\eta,v}$$

gives (7.2).

Remark 7.2. The height $h_{F,\eta}$ will generally not admit sequences of “small” points, meaning sequences $x_n \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ with $h_{F,\eta}(x_n) \rightarrow 0$. In fact, for any choices of F and η such that $\sum_v r_v \alpha_v \neq 0$, the essential minimum of $h_{F,\eta}$ is positive.

7.2. An upper bound on the height pairing. Now suppose that t_1 and t_2 lie in $K \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Recall that μ_t and \hat{h}_t respectively denote the measure and height associated to the curve E_t . By the triangle inequality for the distance function of §2.8, we have

$$(7.3) \quad (\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2})^{1/2} \leq (\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot h_{F,\eta})^{1/2} + (\hat{h}_{t_2} \cdot h_{F,\eta})^{1/2}$$

for any choice of F and η . By symmetry and bilinearity of the mutual energy,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{t_i} \cdot h_{F,\eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v (\mu_{t_i,v} - m_{F,\eta,v}, \mu_{t_i,v} - m_{F,\eta,v})_v \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v ((\mu_{t_i,v}, \mu_{t_i,v})_v - 2(m_{F,\eta,v}, \mu_{t_i,v})_v + (m_{F,\eta,v}, m_{F,\eta,v})_v) \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. For fixed i , writing the local height for \hat{h}_{t_i} as $\lambda_{t_i,v} = \log |z|_v + c_v + o(1)$ for $|z|_v \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\sum_v r_v (\mu_{t_i,v}, \mu_{t_i,v})_v = - \sum_v r_v \int (\lambda_{t_i,v} - c_v) d\mu_{t_i,v} = 0$$

from (2.11). Therefore,

$$\hat{h}_{t_i} \cdot h_{F,\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v (-2(\mu_{t_i,v}, m_{F,\eta,v})_v + (m_{F,\eta,v}, m_{F,\eta,v})_v).$$

Recall from §2.7 that $[F]_v$ is the probability measure on $\mathbb{P}_v^{1,an}$ distributed equally on the elements of F for each $v \in M_K$. By [FRL1, Lemma 4.11] and [Fi, Lemma 12], we have

$$(m_{F,\eta,v}, m_{F,\eta,v})_v \leq ([F]_v, [F]_v)_v + \frac{-\log \eta_v}{|F|}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{t_i} \cdot h_{F,\eta} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \cdot \left(-2(\mu_{t_i,v}, m_{F,\eta,v})_v + ([F]_v, [F]_v)_v + \frac{-\log \eta_v}{|F|} \right) \\ (7.4) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \cdot \left(-2(\mu_{t_i,v}, m_{F,\eta,v})_v + \frac{-\log \eta_v}{|F|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with the final equality following from (2.16).

Proposition 7.3. *Suppose $t \neq 0, 1$ lies in a number field K . Assume that F is a finite, $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -invariant set of points. Then*

$$\hat{h}_t \cdot h_{F,\eta} \leq \hat{h}_t(F) + \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v \left(-(\mu_{t,v}, m_{F,\eta,v})_v + (\mu_{t,v}, [F]_v)_v + \frac{-\log \eta_v}{2|F|} \right).$$

for any choice of $\eta = \{\eta_v\}_v$ with $\eta_v = 1$ for all but finitely many $v \in M_K$.

Proof. The height of F is computed as

$$\hat{h}_t(F) = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \hat{h}_t(x) = \hat{h}_t(\infty) - \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v (\mu_{t,v}, [F]_v)_v = - \sum_{v \in M_K} r_v (\mu_{t,v}, [F]_v)_v,$$

and therefore we may add $\hat{h}_t(F) + \sum_v r_v (\mu_{t,v}, [F]_v)_v$ to the right hand side of (7.4). \square

7.3. Proof of Theorem 7.1. Fix $0 \leq b < \delta/2$ so that Proposition 1.9 is satisfied for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Now fix $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and a number field K containing t_1 and t_2 . Set

$$F = \{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}_{t_1}(x) + \hat{h}_{t_2}(x) \leq b\} \setminus \{\infty\} = S(b, t_1, t_2) \setminus \{\infty\},$$

so F is a finite, $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -invariant set with

$$\hat{h}_{t_i}(F) \leq b$$

for $i = 1, 2$. At each non-archimedean place v of K , we set

$$\eta_v := \min\{1, |t_1(t_1 - 1)|_v, |t_2(t_2 - 1)|_v\}.$$

Now fix $\varepsilon' > 0$. For each archimedean v , we set

$$\eta_v := c(\varepsilon') \min_{i=1,2} \min\{|t_i|_v^2, |t_i - 1|_v^2, |t_i|_v^{-2}\}$$

where the constant $c(\varepsilon')$ is from Proposition 4.4. Let $\eta = \{\eta_v\}_v$; observe that $\eta_v = 1$ for all but finitely many v , and

$$(7.5) \quad \sum_{v \in M_K} -r_v \log \eta_v \leq 2(h(t_1) + h(1 - t_1) + h(t_2) + h(1 - t_2)) - \frac{1}{2} \log c(\varepsilon').$$

For non-archimedean v , the explicit form of the measure $\mu_{t_i,v}$ (described in Section 3) implies that

$$(\mu_{t_i,v}, m_{F,\eta,v})_v = (\mu_{t_i,v}, [F]_v)_v$$

for this choice of η , because the potentials for $\mu_{t_i,v}$ are constant on disks of radius η_v .

We thus obtain from Proposition 7.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{t_i} \cdot h_{F,\eta} &\leq b + \sum_{v \in M_K^0} r_v \frac{-\log \eta_v}{2|F|} \\ &\quad + \sum_{v \in M_K^\infty} r_v \left(-(\mu_{t_i,v}, m_{F,\eta,v})_v + (\mu_{t_i,v}, [F]_v)_v + \frac{-\log \eta_v}{2|F|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where M_K^0 denotes the non-archimedean places and M_K^∞ the archimedean places.

We have for $v \in M_K^\infty$ that

$$-(\mu_{t_i,v}, m_{F,\eta,v})_v + (\mu_{t_i,v}, [F]_v)_v \leq \varepsilon' \log \max\{|t_i|_v, |t_i|_v^{-1}, |t_i - 1|_v^{-1}\}$$

for $i = 1, 2$ by Proposition 4.4.

Since the logarithmic Weil height satisfies $2h(x) = \sum_v |\log |x|_v|$, we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{t_i} \cdot h_{F,\eta} &\leq b + 2\varepsilon' (h(t_i) + h(t_i - 1)) \\ &\quad + \frac{2(h(t_1) + h(1 - t_1) + h(t_2) + h(1 - t_2)) - \frac{1}{2} \log c(\varepsilon')}{|F|} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Since $h(1 - t_i) \leq h(t_i) + \log 2 \leq h(t_1, t_2) + \log 2$ for $i = 1, 2$, this inequality becomes

$$\hat{h}_{t_i} \cdot h_{F,\eta} \leq b + 2\varepsilon' (2h(t_1, t_2) + \log 2) + \frac{1}{|F|} (8h(t_1, t_2) + 4 \log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \log c(\varepsilon'))$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

By the triangle inequality (7.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2}\right)^{1/2} &\leq \left(\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot h_{F,\eta}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\hat{h}_{t_2} \cdot h_{F,\eta}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2 \left(b + 2\varepsilon' (2h(t_1, t_2) + \log 2) + \frac{1}{|F|} (8h(t_1, t_2) + 4\log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \log c(\varepsilon')) \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} &\leq 4 \left(b + 2\varepsilon' (2h(t_1, t_2) + \log 2) + \frac{1}{|F|} (8h(t_1, t_2) + 4\log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \log c(\varepsilon')) \right) \\ (7.6) \quad &= 4b + \left(\frac{32}{|F|} + 16\varepsilon' \right) h(t_1, t_2) + \frac{16\log 2 - 2\log c(\varepsilon')}{|F|} + 8\varepsilon' \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$, and choose $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon/16$. Since $|F| = |S(b, t_1, t_2)| - 1 \geq 2$, we can find a large constant $C(\varepsilon)$ satisfying

$$\frac{32}{|F|} + 16\varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{|S(b, t_1, t_2)|}$$

and

$$\frac{16\log 2 - 2\log c(\varepsilon')}{|F|} + 8\varepsilon' \log 2 \leq \varepsilon + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{|S(b, t_1, t_2)|}.$$

The inequality (7.6) then yields

$$\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \leq 4b + \left(\varepsilon + \frac{C(\varepsilon)}{|S(b, t_1, t_2)|} \right) (h(t_1, t_2) + 1),$$

concluding the proof of Theorem 7.1.

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

In this section, we deduce Theorem 1.5 from Theorems 1.6, 1.7, 1.8 for algebraic values of t_1 and t_2 ; we then extend the result to hold for parameters t_i in \mathbb{C} , via a specialization argument. In fact, we prove the following stronger result over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$:

Theorem 8.1. *There exist constants B and $b > 0$ so that*

$$\left| \{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}_{t_1}(x) + \hat{h}_{t_2}(x) \leq b\} \right| \leq B$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Finally, in §8.4, we observe that there is no uniform bound on the order of the torsion points on E_{t_1} and E_{t_2} that can share an x -coordinate, even if Theorem 1.5 provides a uniform bound on the total number of such points.

8.1. **Proof of Theorem 8.1.** Let $\delta > 0$ be as in Theorem 1.6 so that

$$\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \geq \delta$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Fix

$$0 < b < \delta/8$$

so that, from Proposition 1.9, the set

$$S(b, t_1, t_2) = \{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}_{t_1}(x) + \hat{h}_{t_2}(x) \leq b\}$$

is finite for all $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Let $h(t_1, t_2)$ be the naive logarithmic height on $\mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Fix $H > \frac{2\beta}{\alpha}$ for the α, β of Theorem 1.7 and such that

$$(8.1) \quad \frac{H - 8b/\alpha}{H + 1} > 3/4.$$

Suppose that $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfy $h(t_1, t_2) \geq H$. Then for $\varepsilon = \frac{\alpha}{4}$, there exists by Theorem 7.1 a constant C such that

$$\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \leq 4b + \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} + \frac{C}{|S(b, t_1, t_2)|} \right) (h(t_1, t_2) + 1).$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 1.7 and the choice of H , we have

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} h(t_1, t_2) \leq \alpha h(t_1, t_2) - \beta \leq \hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} h(t_1, t_2) \leq 4b + \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} + \frac{C}{|S(b, t_1, t_2)|} \right) (h(t_1, t_2) + 1),$$

and so

$$|S(b, t_1, t_2)| \leq \frac{C}{\left(\frac{\alpha h/2 - 4b}{h+1} \right) - \frac{\alpha}{4}} = \frac{C}{\frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\frac{h-8b/\alpha}{h+1} \right) - \frac{\alpha}{4}} \leq \frac{8C}{\alpha}$$

for $h := h(t_1, t_2) \geq H$, from (8.1).

Suppose now that $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfy $h(t_1, t_2) < H$. Set $\varepsilon' = \frac{\delta}{4(H+1)}$, and find a constant C' as in Theorem 7.1 so that

$$\hat{h}_{t_1} \cdot \hat{h}_{t_2} \leq 4b + \left(\varepsilon' + \frac{C'}{|S(b, t_1, t_2)|} \right) (h(t_1, t_2) + 1),$$

and thus, since $b < \delta/8$, we have

$$\delta/2 < \delta - 4b \leq \left(\varepsilon' + \frac{C'}{|S(b, t_1, t_2)|} \right) (h(t_1, t_2) + 1).$$

We conclude that

$$|S(b, t_1, t_2)| \leq \frac{4(H+1)C'}{\delta},$$

providing a uniform bound also for t_1 and t_2 satisfying $h(t_1, t_2) < H$. This completes the proof of Theorem 8.1.

8.2. Specialization: proof of Theorem 1.5. We implement a standard specialization argument to deduce Theorem 1.5 from Theorem 8.1. Note that the division polynomials for the Legendre curve E_t have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$; see, for example, [Si, Exercise 3.7]. Let B be the uniform bound obtained in Theorem 8.1, so that

$$(8.2) \quad |\pi(E_{t_1}^{tors}) \cap \pi(E_{t_2}^{tors})| = |\{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) : \hat{h}_{t_1}(x) = \hat{h}_{t_2}(x) = 0\}| \leq B$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Assume that there exist $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ with

$$N(t_1, t_2) := |\pi(E_{t_1}^{tors}) \cap \pi(E_{t_2}^{tors})| > B$$

and t_1 transcendental. If $x \in \pi(E_{t_1}^{tors}) \cap \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, then $x \in \pi(E_t^{tors})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ as it is a root of a division polynomial. It follows that there is at least one non-algebraic point $x \in \pi(E_{t_1}^{tors}) \cap \pi(E_{t_2}^{tors})$, as only $x = 0, 1, \infty$ are torsion images for all $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ [DWY, Proposition 1.4].

Now let

$$S := \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\} = \pi(E_{t_1}^{tors}) \cap \pi(E_{t_2}^{tors}),$$

where $N = N(t_1, t_2)$, and assume that x_1 is transcendental. Because it is a torsion image for both parameters, $\mathbb{Q}(x_1, t_1, t_2)$ and therefore also the field

$$L := \mathbb{Q}(t_1, t_2, x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

are of transcendence degree one. Consequently L is isomorphic to a function field $k = K(X)$ for a number field K and an algebraic curve X defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Via the identification of L with k , there exists an algebraic point $\gamma \in X(\overline{K})$ with distinct specializations $x_i(\gamma) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and

$$t_1(\gamma) \neq t_2(\gamma) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

The division relations in L imply that the specializations $E_{t_1(\gamma)}$ and $E_{t_2(\gamma)}$ have at least N common torsion images, contradicting (8.2). Therefore, we must have

$$|\pi(E_{t_1}^{tors}) \cap \pi(E_{t_2}^{tors})| \leq B$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and the proof of Theorem 1.5 is complete.

8.3. Common torsion images. We obtain the following immediate corollary of Theorem 1.5, which is a special case of Conjecture 1.4. Recall that a standard projection from elliptic curve E to \mathbb{P}^1 is any degree-two branched cover that identifies each point $P \in E$ with its inverse $-P$.

Corollary 8.2. *There exists a uniform bound B such that*

$$|\pi_1(E_1^{tors}) \cap \pi_2(E_2^{tors})| \leq B$$

for any pair of elliptic curves E_i over \mathbb{C} and any pair of standard projections π_i for which

$$|\pi_1(E_1[2]) \cap \pi_2(E_2[2])| = 3.$$

Proof. By fixing coordinates on \mathbb{P}^1 , we may assume that $\pi_1(E_1[2]) \cap \pi_2(E_2[2]) = \{0, 1, \infty\}$. For each $e \in E_i[2]$ the composition $\pi_i^e(P) = \pi_i(P + e)$ is again a standard projection and satisfies $\pi_i^e(E_i^{tors}) = \pi_i(E_i^{tors})$. Therefore, we may assume that $\pi_i(O_i) = \infty$ for the origin O_i of E_i , $i = 1, 2$. Putting each E_i into Legendre form now shows that the corollary follows from Theorem 1.5. \square

8.4. No uniform bound on the torsion order. We conclude this section by observing that there cannot be a uniform bound on the order of the torsion points that lie in the intersections in Theorem 1.5.

Proposition 8.3. *Let E_t be the Legendre elliptic curve with equation (1.2) and $\pi(x, y) = x$. For every $N > 0$ and for every $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, there exist $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ so that the points*

$$P_i = \left(x_0, \pm \sqrt{x_0(x_0 - 1)(x_0 - t_i)} \right)$$

are torsion points on E_{t_i} of order $\geq N$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Fix $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $N > 0$. Let

$$P_t = \left(x_0, \pm \sqrt{x_0(x_0 - 1)(x_0 - t)} \right)$$

be the points on E_t with x -coordinate equal to x_0 . The set

$$\text{Tor}(x_0) := \{t \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\} : P_t \text{ is torsion on } E_t\}$$

is an infinite but proper subset of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. See, for example, [DWY, Theorem 1.2] for a proof, taking $a = b = x_0$ in the statement of the theorem. The fact that P_t is not torsion for every t is a consequence of Proposition 1.4 of [DWY] and the remark that follows it; it follows that $\text{Tor}(x_0)$ is a set of bounded height, and so not equal to all of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Consequently, there are only finitely many elements of $\text{Tor}(x_0)$ corresponding to points P_t of order $\leq N$, so we can find $t_1 \neq t_2$ where the point P_{t_i} has order at least N . This proves the proposition. \square

9. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.10

Throughout this section, we let \mathcal{L}_2 denote the hypersurface in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_2 consisting of all genus 2 curves X over \mathbb{C} that admit a degree-two map to an elliptic curve; see, e.g., [SV] for details on \mathcal{L}_2 . The surface \mathcal{L}_2 consists of all X whose Jacobians admit real multiplication by the real quadratic order of discriminant 4, as explained in the proof of [Mc, Theorem 4.10].

For any smooth, compact, genus 2 curve over \mathbb{C} , and for any Weierstrass point P on X ,

$$|j_P(X) \cap J(X)^{tors}| \geq 6$$

as the difference of two Weierstrass points is torsion. On the other hand, any curve X of genus $g \geq 2$ has $|j_P(X) \cap J(X)^{\text{tors}}| \leq 2$ for all but finitely many P , by Baker and Poonen [BP], so an Abel-Jacobi map based at a Weierstrass point has in this sense a large number of torsion images.

In this section we deduce Theorem 1.1 from Corollary 8.2, providing a uniform upper bound on $|j_P(X) \cap J(X)^{\text{tors}}|$ for all X in \mathcal{L}_2 . We also deduce Theorem 1.10 from Theorem 8.1.

9.1. Genus 2 curve from a pair of elliptic curves. Suppose that $\pi_1 : E_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\pi_2 : E_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ are standard projections on elliptic curves E_i such that

$$|\pi_1(E_1[2]) \cap \pi_2(E_2[2])| = 3,$$

as in Corollary 8.2. Recall that standard projections are degree-two branched covers $\pi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\pi(P) = \pi(-P)$ for all points $P \in E$, and so they have simple critical points at the four points of $E[2]$. Consider the diagonal $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and lift D to a curve $C \subset E_1 \times E_2$ via $\Pi = \pi_1 \times \pi_2$. Let $\nu : X \rightarrow C$ normalize C , noting that the degree four map $\Pi \circ \nu : X \rightarrow D$ has branch locus $\pi_1(E_1[2]) \cup \pi_2(E_2[2])$, with each branch point the image of two points in X , each of multiplicity two. By Riemann-Hurwitz, the genus of X is 2, and by construction, the curve X is in \mathcal{L}_2 in \mathcal{M}_2 . Note that X maps to both of the elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 with degree 2.

9.2. A pair of elliptic curves from a genus 2 curve. Here we observe that every $X \in \mathcal{L}_2$ arises from the construction described in §9.1. In particular, admitting a degree-two branched cover $X \rightarrow E_1$ to an elliptic curve E_1 implies that X also admits a second degree-two branched cover $X \rightarrow E_2$. The proof of the following proposition shows how the curve E_2 arises:

Proposition 9.1. *Every $X \in \mathcal{L}_2$ is the lift of the diagonal under a product of standard projections π_i on elliptic curves E_i for which*

$$|\pi_1(E_1[2]) \cap \pi_2(E_2[2])| = 3.$$

Moreover, there is a Weierstrass point $Q \in X(\mathbb{C})$ and a degree-four isogeny $\Phi : J(X) \rightarrow E_1 \times E_2$ such that

$$\Phi \circ j_Q(X) = (\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^{-1} D \text{ in } E_1 \times E_2$$

where D is the diagonal in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $J(X)$ is the Jacobian of X , and j_Q is the Abel-Jacobi embedding associated to Q .

Proof. As noted by [SV] and attributed to Jacobi [Ja], each curve $X \in \mathcal{L}_2$ has an affine model

$$C : y^2 = x^6 - s_1 x^4 + s_2 x^2 - 1,$$

where the polynomial on the right has non-zero discriminant. C admits degree two maps $(x, y) \mapsto (x^2, y)$ and $(x, y) \mapsto (1/x^2, iy/x^3)$ to elliptic curves with affine presentation

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 - s_1x^2 + s_2x - 1$$

and

$$E_2 : y^2 = x^3 - s_2x^2 + s_1x - 1,$$

respectively, defining a map $\nu : X \rightarrow E_1 \times E_2$. For each of these curves, the x -coordinate projection π_x is standard, so $\pi_1 := \pi_x$ and $\pi_2 := 1/\pi_x$ are standard projections for E_1 and E_2 respectively. The projection π_1 ramifies over $\{\infty, r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ and π_2 ramifies over $\{0, r_1, r_2, r_3\}$, where $\{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ are the distinct, nonzero roots of $x^3 - s_1x^2 + s_2x - 1$. Thus

$$|\pi_1(E_1[2]) \cap \pi_2(E_2[2])| = 3.$$

Define $\Pi := \pi_1 \times \pi_2$, noting that for $(x, y) \in C$, we have

$$\Pi \circ \nu(x, y) = \Pi(x^2, 1/x^2) = (x^2, x^2).$$

Thus $\Pi \circ \nu(X) = D$, where $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the diagonal.

Fix $r \in \pi_1(E_1[2]) \cap \pi_2(E_2[2])$, and equip each E_i with a group structure such that the identity lies above r . Observe that the $[-1]$ -involution on $E_1 \times E_2$ induces the hyperelliptic involution on X . In particular, the Weierstrass points on X are the six preimages of $\pi_1(E_1[2]) \cap \pi_2(E_2[2])$ under $\Pi \circ \nu$. Choose $Q \in X$ such that $\Pi(\nu(Q)) = (r, r)$, so that Q is Weierstrass and ν factors as $\Phi \circ j_Q$ for some isogeny $\Phi : J(X) \rightarrow E_1 \times E_2$. The nontrivial elements of the kernel of Φ are precisely the three 2-torsion points in $J(X)$ which are differences of Weierstrass points mapping to the same point in the diagonal $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus Φ is degree four as claimed, completing the proof. \square

9.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix $X \in \mathcal{L}_2$. From Proposition 9.1, we have elliptic curves E_1 and E_2 and a Weierstrass point $Q \in X$ such that

$$|j_Q(X) \cap J(X)^{tors}| \leq 16 |\pi_1(E_1^{tors}) \cap \pi_2(E_2^{tors})|,$$

for a pair of standard projections $\pi_i : E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ satisfying $|\pi_1(E_1[2]) \cap \pi_2(E_2[2])| = 3$. Given any other Weierstrass point $P \in X$, we have $[P - Q] \in J(X)^{tors}$, so we conclude that

$$|j_P(X) \cap J(X)^{tors}| = |j_Q(X) \cap J(X)^{tors}| \leq 16B,$$

where B is the constant of Corollary 8.2.

9.4. Proof of Theorem 1.10. Fix $X \in \mathcal{L}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}_2$, defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. From Proposition 9.1 there is a pair $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and an isogeny $\Phi : J(X) \rightarrow E_{t_1} \times E_{t_2}$ of degree 4 so that $\Pi \circ \Phi \circ j_Q(X)$ is the diagonal in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where $\Pi = \pi \times \pi$ and Q is a Weierstrass point on X . Recall from §2.1 that the Néron-Tate canonical height on \hat{h}_{E_t} on E_t satisfies

$$\hat{h}_{E_t}(P) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_t(\pi(P))$$

for all $P \in E_t(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and each $t \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

Let

$$D = \{O_1\} \times E_{t_2} + E_{t_1} \times \{O_2\}$$

be a divisor on $E_{t_1} \times E_{t_2}$ where O_i denotes the identity element of E_{t_i} , and let L_D be the associated line bundle. Let $L_X = \Phi^* L_D$ on $J(X)$, and let \hat{h}_{L_X} be the associated Néron-Tate canonical height on $J(X)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. By the functoriality of canonical heights [HS, Theorem B.5.6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{L_X}(x) &= \hat{h}_{L_D}(\Phi(x)) \\ &= \hat{h}_{E_{t_1}}(\Phi(x)_1) + \hat{h}_{E_{t_2}}(\Phi(x)_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{h}_{t_1}(\pi(\Phi(x)_1)) + \hat{h}_{t_2}(\pi(\Phi(x)_2)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(x) = (\Phi(x)_1, \Phi(x)_2)$ in $E_{t_1} \times E_{t_2}$. Restricting to the points $x \in j_P(X)(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, so that $\pi(\Phi(x)_1) = \pi(\Phi(x)_2)$ in \mathbb{P}^1 , the theorem now follows from Theorem 8.1.

9.5. No uniform bound on the torsion order. We conclude the article with the observation that there cannot be a uniform bound on the *order* of the torsion points that lie in the intersections in Theorem 1.1. It is an immediate consequence of Propositions 8.3 and 9.1.

Proposition 9.2. *Given any $N > 0$, there exists a curve $X \in \mathcal{L}_2$ defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and a Weierstrass point $P \in X$ so that the intersection*

$$j_P(X) \cap J(X)^{\text{tors}}$$

contains a point of order $\geq N$ in the Jacobian $J(X)$.

Proof. Fix $N > 0$. From Proposition 8.3, we can find $t_1 \neq t_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and torsion points $P_{t_i} \in E_{t_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, having the same x -coordinate and torsion orders $\geq N$. Let x_0 denote the x -coordinate of P_{t_i} . Let X be the normalization of the curve $C \subset E_{t_1} \times E_{t_2}$ which is the lift of the diagonal in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ under the projection $\pi \times \pi$. From Proposition 9.1, we know that X is of genus 2 and lies in \mathcal{L}_2 , and there is a Weierstrass point $Q \in X$ so that $j_Q(X)$ maps to the curve C under a degree-four isogeny $\Phi : J(X) \rightarrow E_{t_1} \times E_{t_2}$. Let w be a preimage in $J(X)$ of the point (x_0, x_0) in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ under the map $(\pi \times \pi) \circ \Phi$. Then w has order at least N . \square

REFERENCES

- [BR] Matthew Baker and Robert Rumely. *Potential theory and dynamics on the Berkovich projective line*, volume 159 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [BP_e] Matthew Baker and Clayton Petsche. Global discrepancy and small points on elliptic curves. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **61**(2005), 3791–3834.
- [BP] Matthew Baker and Bjorn Poonen. Torsion packets on curves. *Compositio Math.* **127**(2001), 109–116.
- [Be] Vladimir Berkovich. *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*, volume 33 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
- [BFT] Fedor Bogomolov, Hang Fu, and Yuri Tschinkel. Torsion of elliptic curves and unlikely intersections. *Preprint*, arXiv:1706.01586.
- [BT] Fedor Bogomolov and Yuri Tschinkel. Algebraic varieties over small fields. In *Diophantine geometry*, volume 4 of *CRM Series*, pages 73–91. Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2007.
- [BJ] Sébastien Boucksom and Mattias Jonsson. Tropical and non-Archimedean limits of degenerating families of volume forms. *J. Éc. polytech. Math.* **4**(2017), 87–139.
- [Bu] Alexandru Buium. Geometry of p -jets. *Duke Math. Journal.* **82**(1996), no. 2, 349–367.
- [CS] Gregory S. Call and Joseph H. Silverman. Canonical heights on varieties with morphisms. *Compositio Math.* **89**(1993), 163–205.
- [CL1] Antoine Chambert-Loir. Mesures et équidistribution sur les espaces de Berkovich. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **595**(2006), 215–235.
- [CL2] Antoine Chambert-Loir. Heights and measures on analytic spaces. A survey of recent results, and some remarks. In *Motivic integration and its interactions with model theory and non-Archimedean geometry. Volume II*, volume 384 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–50. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [Co] Robert Coleman. Torsion points on curves and p -adic abelian integrals. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **121**(1985), 111–168.
- [De] Laura DeMarco. Iteration at the boundary of the space of rational maps. *Duke Math. Journal.* **130**(2005), 169–197.
- [DF1] Laura DeMarco and Xander Faber. Degenerations of complex dynamical systems. *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma* **2**(2014), 36 pages.
- [DF2] Laura DeMarco and Xander Faber. Degenerations of complex dynamical systems II: analytic and algebraic stability. *Math. Ann.* **365**(2016), 1669–1699. With an appendix by Jan Kiwi.
- [DKY] Laura DeMarco, Holly Krieger, and Hexi Ye. Common preperiodic points of quadratic polynomials. *Preprint*, arXiv:1911.02458v1.
- [DWY] Laura DeMarco, Xiaoguang Wang, and Hexi Ye. Torsion points and the Lattès family. *Amer. J. Math.* **138**(2016), 697–732.
- [Fa] Charles Favre. Degeneration of endomorphisms of the complex projective space in the hybrid space. *Preprint*, arXiv:1611.08490v1 [math.DS].
- [FRL1] C. Favre and J. Rivera-Letelier. Équidistribution quantitative des points de petite hauteur sur la droite projective. *Math. Ann.* **335**(2006), 311–361.
- [FRL2] Charles Favre and Juan Rivera-Letelier. Théorie ergodique des fractions rationnelles sur un corps ultramétrique. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **100**(2010), 116–154.

- [Fi] Paul Fili. A metric of mutual energy and unlikely intersections for dynamical systems. Preprint, arXiv:1708.08403v1.
- [HS] Marc Hindry and Joseph H. Silverman. *Diophantine geometry*, volume 201 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. An introduction.
- [Hr] Ehud Hrushovski. The Manin-Mumford conjecture and the model theory of difference fields. *Ann. of Pure and App. Logic.* **112**(1)(2001), 43–115.
- [Ja] C. G. J. Jacobi. Nachrichten von Büchern. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **8**(1832), 413–417.
- [KRZB] Eric Katz, Joseph Rabinoff, and David Zureick-Brown. Uniform bounds for the number of rational points on curves of small Mordell-Weil rank. *Duke Math. J.* **165**(2016), 3189–3240.
- [KS] S. Kawaguchi and J. H. Silverman. Canonical heights and the arithmetic complexity of morphisms on projective space. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **5**(2009), 1201–1217.
- [Ma] Barry Mazur. Arithmetic on curves. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **14**(1986), 207–259.
- [Mc] Curtis T. McMullen. Dynamics of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ over moduli space in genus two. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **165**(2007), 397–456.
- [Mi] John Milnor. On Lattès maps. In *Dynamics on the Riemann sphere*, pages 9–43. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
- [PST] Clayton Petsche, Lucien Szpiro, and Thomas J. Tucker. A dynamical pairing between two rational maps. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364**(2012), 1687–1710.
- [Po] Bjorn Poonen. Genus-two curves with 22 torsion points. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **330**:7(2000), 573–576.
- [Ra] M. Raynaud. Courbes sur une variété abélienne et points de torsion. *Invent. Math.* **71**(1983), 207–233.
- [SV] Tony Shaska and Helmut Völklein. Elliptic subfields and automorphisms of genus 2 function fields. *Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry with Applications. Papers from Shreeram S. Abhyankar’s 70th Birthday Conference*, (2004), 703–723.
- [Si] Joseph H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.
- [St] Michael Stoll. <http://www.mathe2.uni-bayreuth.de/stoll/torsion.html>
- [SUZ] L. Szpiro, E. Ullmo, and S. Zhang. Équirépartition des petits points. *Invent. Math.* **127**(1997), 337–347.
- [Ul] Emmanuel Ullmo. Positivité et discrétion des points algébriques des courbes. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **147**(1998), 167–179.
- [Zh1] Shou-Wu Zhang. Equidistribution of small points on abelian varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **147**(1998), 159–165.
- [Zh2] Shouwu Zhang. Small points and adelic metrics. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **4**(1995), 281–300.

LAURA DEMARCO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD, EVANSTON, IL 60208, USA

E-mail address: demarco@northwestern.edu

HOLLY KRIEGER, DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, CAMBRIDGE CB3 0WB, UK

E-mail address: hkrieger@dpms.cam.ac.uk

HEXI YE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, 310027, CHINA

E-mail address: yehexi@gmail.com